



EUROPSKI PARLAMENT

2009 – 2014

Dokument s plenarne sjednice

A7-0282/2013

7.8.2013

*****I**
IZVJEŠĆE

o izmijenjenom prijedlogu Uredbe Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća o Europskom fondu za pomorstvo i ribarstvo i stavljanju izvan snage Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1198/2006 i Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 861/2006 te Uredbe Vijeća br. XXX/2011 o integriranoj pomorskoj politici (COM(2013)0245 – C7-0108/2013 – 2011/0380(COD))

Odbor za ribarstvo

Izvjestitelj: Alain Cadec

Oznake postupka

- * Postupak savjetovanja
- *** Postupak suglasnosti
- ***I Redovni zakonodavni postupak (prvo čitanje)
- ***II Redovni zakonodavni postupak (drugo čitanje)
- ***III Redovni zakonodavni postupak (treće čitanje)

(Navedeni se postupak temelji na pravnoj osnovi predloženoj u nacrtu akta.)

Izmjene nacrta akta

U amandmanima Parlamenta izmjene nacrta akta označene su ***podebljanim kurzivom***. *Obični kurziv* naznaka je tehničkim službama da se radi o dijelovima nacrta akta za koje se predlaže ispravak prilikom izrade konačnog teksta (na primjer o očitim pogreškama ili izostavcima u danoj jezičnoj verziji). Za predložene ispravke potrebna je suglasnost dotičnih tehničkih službi.

Zaglavlje svakog amandmana na postojeći akt koji se želi izmijeniti nacrtom akta sadrži i treći redak u kojem se navodi postojeći akt te četvrti redak u kojem se navodi odredba akta na koju se izmjena odnosi. Dijelovi teksta odredbe postojećeg akta koju Parlament želi izmijeniti, a koja je u nacrtu akta ostala nepromijenjena, označeni su ***podebljanim slovima***. Za moguća brisanja u tim dijelovima teksta koristi se oznaka [...].

SADRŽAJ

Stranica

NACRT ZAKONODAVNE REZOLUCIJE EUROPSKOG PARLAMENTA	5
EXPLANATORY STATEMENT	231
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AFFAIRS ON THE LEGAL BASIS	235
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON BUDGETS.....	242
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS.....	256
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE ENVIRONMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH AND FOOD SAFETY	293
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT	368
PROCEDURE	440

NACRT ZAKONODAVNE REZOLUCIJE EUROPSKOG PARLAMENTA

**o izmijenjenom prijedlogu Uredbe Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća o Europskom fondu za pomorstvo i ribarstvo i stavljanju izvan snage Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1198/2006 i Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 861/2006 te Uredbe Vijeća br. XXX/2011 o integriranoj pomorskoj politici
(COM(2013)0245 – C7-0108/2013 – 2011/0380(COD))**

(Redovni zakonodavni postupak: prvo čitanje)

Europski parlament,

- uzimajući u obzir prijedlog Komisije upućen Parlamentu i Vijeću (COM(2011)0804) i izmijenjeni prijedlog COM(2013)0245),
 - uzimajući u obzir članak 294. stavak 2. i članak 42., članak 43. stavak 2, članak 91. stavak 1., članak 100. stavak 2., članak 173. stavak 3., članak 175., članak 188., članak 192. stavak 1., članak 194. stavak 2. te članak 195. stavak 2. Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije, u skladu s kojima je Komisija podnijela prijedlog Parlamentu (C7–0108/2013),
 - uzimajući u obzir mišljenje Odbora za pravna pitanja o predloženoj pravnoj osnovi,
 - uzimajući u obzir članak 294. stavak 3. Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije,
 - uzimajući u obzir mišljenja Europskoga gospodarskog i socijalnog odbora od 22. svibnja 2013. ¹,
 - uzimajući u obzir članke 55. i 37. Poslovnika,
 - uzimajući u obzir izvješće Odbora za ribarstvo i mišljenja Odbora za proračune, Odbora za zapošljavanje i socijalna pitanja, Odbora za okoliš, javno zdravlje i sigurnost hrane te Odbora za regionalni razvoj (A7-0282/2013),
1. usvaja sljedeće stajalište u prvom čitanju;
 2. traži od Komisije da predmet ponovno uputi Parlamentu ako namjerava bitno izmijeniti svoj prijedlog ili ga zamijeniti drugim tekstom;
 3. nalaže svojem predsjedniku da stajalište Parlamenta proslijedi Vijeću, Komisiji i nacionalnim parlamentima.

¹ Još nisu objavljena u Službenom listu.

Amandman 1

Nacrt zakonodavne rezolucije Stavak 1.c (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Ic. Podsjeća da je Parlament u svojoj rezoluciji od 8. lipnja 2011. naglasio da su ribolovni resursi javno dobro od ključne važnosti za sigurnost opskrbe hranom na globalnoj razini; ukazao je na činjenicu da su sektori ribarstva i akvakulture te s njima povezane aktivnosti često glavni izvor zarade i održivog zapošljavanja u obalnim, otočnim i udaljenim regijama; smatrao je, nadalje, da će reformiranoj zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici (ZRP-u) biti potrebna odgovarajuća financijska sredstva nakon 2013. kako bi ostvarila svoje srednjoročne i dugoročne ciljeve (stabilan, održiv i uspješan sektor ribarstva), omogućila obnovu svojih ribljih stokova i riješila socijalne aspekte povezane sa smanjenjem ribolovnog napora;

¹ *Usvojeni tekstovi, P7_TA(2011)0266.*

Amandman 2

Prijedlog Uredbe Pozivanje 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Uzimajući u obzir Ugovor o funkcioniranju Europske unije, a posebno njegov članak 42., članak 43. stavak 2., članak 91. stavak 1., članak 100. stavak 2., članak 173. stavak 3., članak 175., članak 188., članak 192. stavak 1., članak 194. stavak 2. *i*

Uzimajući u obzir Ugovor o funkcioniranju Europske unije, a posebno njegov članak 42., članak 43. stavak 2., članak 91. stavak 1., članak 100. stavak 2., članak 173. stavak 3., članak 175., članak 188., članak 192. stavak 1., članak 194. stavak 2.,

Justification

Reference should be made to Article 349 of the Treaty in the legal bases in order to take account of the specific arrangements for the outermost regions.

Amandman 3

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 1.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(1.a) Sektor ribarstva od strateške je važnosti za socijalno-gospodarsko stanje, za opskrbu ljudi ribom te za bilancu hrane pojedinih država članica i same Unije, te značajno pridonosi socijalno-gospodarskoj dobrobiti obalnih zajednica, lokalnom razvoju, zapošljavanju, održavanju/stvaranju gospodarskih djelatnosti i radnih mjesta u cijelom proizvodnom lancu, opskrbi svježom ribom i održavanju lokalnih kulturnih tradicija;

Amandman 4

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(2) Područje primjene EFPR-a trebalo bi obuhvaćati potporu ZRP-u u vezi s očuvanjem, upravljanjem i iskorištavanjem morskih bioloških resursa, slatkovodnih bioloških resursa i akvakulture, kao i u vezi s preradom i prodajom proizvoda ribarstva i akvakulture, ako se te aktivnosti obavljaju na području država članica ili u vodama Unije, ***uključujući i onda kad ih obavljaju ribarska plovila koja vijore zastavu trećih zemalja ili su registrirana u trećim***

(2) Područje primjene EFPR-a trebalo bi obuhvaćati potporu ZRP-u u vezi s očuvanjem, upravljanjem i ***održivim*** iskorištavanjem morskih bioloških resursa, slatkovodnih bioloških resursa i akvakulture, kao i u vezi s preradom i prodajom proizvoda ribarstva i akvakulture, ako se te aktivnosti obavljaju na području država članica ili u vodama Unije ili ih obavljaju ribarska plovila Unije ili državljani država članica, ne dovodeći u

zemljama, ili ih obavljaju ribarska plovila Unije ili državljani država članica, ne dovodeći u pitanje primarnu odgovornost države zastave i vodeći računa o odredbama članka 117. Konvencije Ujedinjenih naroda o pravu mora.

pitanje primarnu odgovornost države zastave i vodeći računa o odredbama članka 117. Konvencije Ujedinjenih naroda o pravu mora.

Justification

Providing support for non-EU fishing vessels is not part of the EMFF's remit.

Amandman 5

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 2.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(2.a) Treba naglasiti da je ZRP politika povezana s hranom, što opravdava javnu intervenciju putem EFPR-a radi održavanja sigurnosti izvora hrane za građane Unije.

Amandman 6

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 2.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(2.b) EFPR bi trebao u cijelosti uzeti u obzir posebni položaj najudaljenijih regija u skladu s člankom 349. UFEU-a. Zbog položaja najudaljenijih regija i posebnosti sektora ribarstva u tim regijama, trebalo bi ZRP i s njome povezane fondove, osobito EFPR, prilagoditi posebnim značajkama, ograničenjima, dodatnim troškovima i okolnostima u tim regijama, koje se veoma razlikuju od onih u ostatku Unije. U tu bi svrhu za te regije trebalo odobriti posebne mjere.

Amandman 7

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 2.c (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(2.c) Budući EFPR bi trebao bu potpunosti uzeti u obzir probleme i specifične potrebe malog ribolova, imajući na umu da je u Uniji upravo flota za mali ribolov ona koja zapošljava najveći broj osoba po ulovljenoj ribi.

Amandman 8

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(3) Uspjeh zajedničke ribarstvene politike ovisi o učinkovitom sustavu kontrole, inspekcije i izvršenja te o pouzdanim i cjelovitim podacima, kako za potrebe znanstvenih savjeta tako i za potrebe provedbe i kontrole; EFPR bi stoga trebao podupirati te politike.

(3) Uspjeh zajedničke ribarstvene politike ovisi o učinkovitom sustavu kontrole, inspekcije, ***uključujući inspekcije radnih mjesta***, i izvršenja te o pouzdanim i cjelovitim podacima, kako za potrebe znanstvenih savjeta tako i za potrebe provedbe i kontrole; EFPR bi stoga trebao podupirati te politike.

Amandman 9

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 3.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(3.a) Kako bi se kod ribara potaknuo osjećaj vlasništva nad ZRP-om i time pridonijelo pravilnoj provedbi i ukupnom uspjehu ZRP-a, EFPR bi trebao podupirati partnerstvo, suradnju i dijalog između znanstvenika i ribara.

Amandman 10

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(4) Područje primjene EFPR-a trebalo bi obuhvaćati potporu IPP-u u području razvoja i provedbe usklađenih operacija i postupaka odlučivanja u vezi s oceanima, morima, obalnim regijama i pomorskim sektorima, koji dopunjuju različite politike EU-a koje se na njih odnose, posebno zajedničku ribarstvenu politiku, prijevoz, industriju, teritorijalnu koheziju, okoliš, energetiku i turizam. Pri upravljanju različitim sektorskim politikama u morskim bazenima Baltičkog mora, Sjevernog mora, Keltskog mora, Biskajskog zaljeva i iberijske obale, Sredozemnog mora i Crnog mora trebalo bi osigurati usklađenost i integraciju.

Izmjena

(4) Područje primjene EFPR-a trebalo bi obuhvaćati potporu IPP-u u području razvoja i provedbe usklađenih operacija i postupaka odlučivanja u vezi s oceanima, morima, obalnim regijama i pomorskim sektorima, koji dopunjuju različite politike EU-a koje se na njih odnose, posebno zajedničku ribarstvenu politiku, prijevoz, industriju, teritorijalnu koheziju, okoliš, energetiku i turizam, ***dakle područja u kojima je potrebno poticati znanstveno istraživanje, stručnu osposobljenost i izobrazbu.*** Pri upravljanju različitim sektorskim politikama u morskim bazenima Baltičkog mora, Sjevernog mora, Keltskog mora, Biskajskog zaljeva i iberijske obale, Sredozemnog mora i Crnog mora trebalo bi osigurati usklađenost i integraciju.

Amandman 11

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 4.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

((4.a) Pri utvrđivanju i provedbi politika i aktivnosti koje se odnose na reformu ZRP-a, IPP-a i EFPR-a, Unija bi trebala uzeti u obzir zahtjeve povezane s promicanjem visoke razine zapošljavanja, jamstvom odgovarajuće socijalne zaštite, borbom protiv društvene isključenosti te visokom razinom obrazovanja, osposobljavanja i zaštite zdravlja ljudi, u skladu s člankom 9. UFEU-a.

Amandman 12

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 5.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(5) U skladu sa zaključcima Europskog vijeća od 17. lipnja 2010., kojima je usvojena strategija Europa 2020., Unija i države članice trebale bi ostvarivati pametan, održiv i uključiv rast istodobno promičući usklađen razvoj Unije. Resurse bi osobito trebalo usmjeriti na ostvarenje općih i specifičnih ciljeva strategije Europa 2020. te poboljšati učinkovitost većom usredotočenošću na rezultate. Uključivanje IPP-a u novi EFPR također pridonosi glavnim ciljevima politike koji su navedeni u Komunikaciji Komisije od 3. ožujka 2010. pod nazivom „Europa 2020. – Strategija za pametan, održiv i uključiv rast („Strategija Europa 2020.”) i u skladu je s općim ciljevima za povećanje gospodarske, socijalne i teritorijalne kohezije koji su navedeni u Ugovoru.

Izmjena

(5) U skladu sa zaključcima Europskog vijeća od 17. lipnja 2010., kojima je usvojena strategija Europa 2020., Unija i države članice trebale bi ostvarivati pametan, održiv i uključiv rast istodobno promičući usklađen razvoj Unije. Resurse bi osobito trebalo usmjeriti na ostvarenje općih i specifičnih ciljeva strategije Europa 2020., **ponajprije onih povezanih sa zapošljavanjem i borbom protiv siromaštva, društvene isključenosti i klimatskih promjena.** Nadalje, učinkovitost bi trebalo povećati većom usredotočenošću na rezultate. Uključivanje IPP-a u novi EFPR također pridonosi glavnim ciljevima politike koji su navedeni u Komunikaciji Komisije od 3. ožujka 2010. pod nazivom „Europa 2020. – Strategija za pametan, održiv i uključiv rast („Strategija Europa 2020.”) i u skladu je s općim ciljevima za povećanje gospodarske, socijalne i teritorijalne kohezije koji su navedeni u Ugovoru.

Amandman 13

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 6.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(6) Kako bi se osiguralo da EFPR pridonosi ostvarenju ciljeva ZRP-a, IPP-a i strategije Europa 2020., potrebno je **usredotočiti se na ograničen broj** glavnih prioriteta koji se odnose na podupiranje

Izmjena

(6) Kako bi se osiguralo da EFPR pridonosi ostvarenju ciljeva ZRP-a, IPP-a i strategije Europa 2020., potrebno je **utvrditi niz** glavnih prioriteta koji se odnose na podupiranje ribarstva i

ribarstva i akvakulture utemeljenih na inovacijama i znanju, promicanje održivog ribarstva i akvakulture koji učinkovito koriste resurse te povećanje zaposlenosti i teritorijalne kohezije kroz oslobađanje potencijala rasta i stvaranja radnih mjesta u obalnim i kontinentalnim ribarskim zajednicama te promicanje diverzifikacije aktivnosti u ribarstvu prema ostalim sektorima pomorskog gospodarstva.

akvakulture utemeljenih na inovacijama i znanju, promicanje održivog ribarstva i akvakulture koji učinkovito koriste resurse te povećanje zaposlenosti i teritorijalne kohezije kroz oslobađanje potencijala rasta i stvaranja radnih mjesta u obalnim i kontinentalnim ribarskim zajednicama te promicanje diverzifikacije aktivnosti u ribarstvu prema ostalim sektorima pomorskog gospodarstva.

Amandman 14

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 7.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(7.a) EFPR bi trebao pridonijeti poboljšanju životnog standarda onih koji ovise o ribolovu, i to jamčenjem boljih radnih uvjeta za ribare, posebno putem osiguravanja usklađenosti sa zakonodavstvom na području zdravlja i sigurnosti na radu te s odredbama iz kolektivnih ugovora.

Amandman 15

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 7.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(7.b) Kako bi se izbjegle nejasnoće u vezi s primjenom i učincima posebnih financijskih mjera EFPR-a na različite zainteresirane strane koje sudjeluju u sektoru ribarstva, korisno bi bilo uvesti jasno razlikovanje između vlasnika brodova i zaposlenih ribara, kao što je već utvrđeno u Konvenciji ILO-a br. 188.

Amandman 16

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 8.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(8) Opći je cilj zajedničke ribarstvene politike osigurati da ribolovne aktivnosti i aktivnosti akvakulture pridonose dugoročno održivim **uvjetima okoliša koji su potrebni za gospodarski i socijalni** razvoj. Osim toga, ona bi trebala pridonijeti većoj produktivnosti, primjerenom životnom standardu za sektor ribarstva i stabilnim tržištima te osigurati raspoloživost resursa i dolazak robe do potrošača po razumnim cijenama.

Izmjena

(8) Opći je cilj zajedničke ribarstvene politike osigurati da ribolovne aktivnosti i aktivnosti akvakulture pridonose dugoročnom **gospodarskom, socijalnom i ekološki** održivom razvoju. Osim toga, ona bi trebala pridonijeti većoj produktivnosti, primjerenom životnom standardu za sektor ribarstva i stabilnim tržištima te osigurati raspoloživost resursa i dolazak robe do potrošača po razumnim cijenama.

Justification

The economic, social and environmental pillars should not be ranked hierarchically.

Amandman 17

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 9.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(9) Od ključne je važnosti da se pitanja okoliša bolje uključe u ZRP koja bi trebala pridonijeti ostvarenju općih i specifičnih ciljeva Unijine politike okoliša i strategije Europa 2020. Cilj je ZRP-a postići takvo iskorištavanje živih morskih bioloških resursa da se **najkasnije do 2015.** riblji stokovi obnove i održe na razinama koje daju najveći održivi prinos. **ZRP bi trebala provoditi načelo predostrožnog i ekosustavnog pristupa upravljanju ribarstvom.** Shodno tome, EFPR bi trebao pridonositi zaštiti morskog okoliša kako je

Izmjena

(9) Od ključne je važnosti da se pitanja okoliša bolje uključe u ZRP koja bi trebala pridonijeti ostvarenju općih i specifičnih ciljeva Unijine politike okoliša i strategije Europa 2020. Cilj je ZRP-a postići takvo iskorištavanje živih morskih bioloških resursa da se **po mogućnosti do 2015., a u svakom slučaju do 2020.** riblji stokovi obnove i održe na razinama koje daju najveći mogući održivi prinos. U okviru ZRP-a **trebalo bi** primjenjivati **uravnotežen pristup održivom razvoju, i to planiranjem, iskorištavanjem i**

navedeno u Direktivi 2008/56/EZ
Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od 17.
lipnja 2008. o uspostavljanju okvira za
djelovanje Zajednice u području politike
morskog okoliša (Okvirna direktiva o
pomorskoj strategiji)

*upravljanjem ribarstvom pri čemu se
uzimaju u obzir težnje i trenutačne
društvene potrebe, ali ne ugrožavaju
koristi koje bi buduće generacije trebale
imati od svih roba i usluga koje proizlaze
iz morskih ekosustava. Shodno tome,
EFPR bi trebao pridonositi zaštiti morskog
okoliša kako je navedeno u Direktivi
2008/56/EZ Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća
od 17. lipnja 2008. o uspostavljanju okvira
za djelovanje Zajednice u području politike
morskog okoliša (Okvirna direktiva o
pomorskoj strategiji)*

Amandman 18

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 9.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

*(9.a) Mjere koje se financiraju iz EFPR-a
trebale bi biti u skladu s člancima 39. i 41.
UFEU-a koji se odnose na uravnotežen
pristup primjeni radnog prava i
učinkovitu usklađenost na području
strukovnog osposobljavanja.*

Amandman 19

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 10.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(10) Budući da države članice, zbog opsega
i učinaka operacija koje se financiraju u
okviru operativnih programa te zbog
strukturnih problema s kojima se suočavaju
pri razvoju sektora ribarstva i pomorstva
kao i zbog ograničenih financijskih
sredstava, ne mogu dostatno ostvariti
ciljeve ove Uredbe, nego ih se može bolje
ostvariti na razini Unije pružanjem

(10) Budući da države članice, zbog opsega
i učinaka operacija koje se financiraju u
okviru operativnih programa te zbog
strukturnih problema s kojima se suočavaju
pri razvoju sektora ribarstva, **akvakulture** i
pomorstva kao i zbog ograničenih
financijskih sredstava, ne mogu dostatno
ostvariti ciljeve ove Uredbe, nego ih se
može bolje ostvariti na razini Unije

višegodišnje financijske pomoći usredotočene na relevantne prioritete, Unija može donijeti mjere u skladu s načelom supsidijarnosti kako je utvrđeno u članku 5. stavku 3. Ugovora o Europskoj uniji. U skladu s načelom proporcionalnosti kako je utvrđen u članku 5. stavku 4. Ugovora, ova Uredba ne prelazi ono što je potrebno za ostvarivanje tog cilja.

pružanjem višegodišnje financijske pomoći usredotočene na relevantne prioritete, Unija može donijeti mjere u skladu s načelom supsidijarnosti kako je utvrđeno u članku 5. stavku 3. Ugovora o Europskoj uniji. U skladu s načelom proporcionalnosti kako je utvrđen u članku 5. stavku 4. Ugovora, ova Uredba ne prelazi ono što je potrebno za ostvarivanje tog cilja.

Amandman 20

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 11.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(11) Pri financiranju izdataka zajedničke ribarstvene politike i integrirane pomorske politike iz jednog fonda, tj. EFPR-a, trebalo bi voditi računa o pojednostavljenju i jačanju povezanosti obiju politika. Proširenje zajedničkog upravljanja na zajedničke organizacije tržišta, uključujući nadoknadu za najudaljenije regije, aktivnosti kontrole te prikupljanje podataka, trebalo bi dodatno pridonijeti pojednostavljenju i smanjiti administrativno opterećenje za Komisiju i države članice te dovesti do veće usklađenost i učinkovitosti dodijeljene potpore.

Izmjena

(11) Pri financiranju izdataka zajedničke ribarstvene politike i integrirane pomorske politike iz jednog fonda, tj. EFPR-a, trebalo bi voditi računa o pojednostavljenju i jačanju povezanosti obiju politika. Proširenje zajedničkog upravljanja na zajedničke organizacije tržišta, uključujući nadoknadu za najudaljenije regije, aktivnosti kontrole te prikupljanje *i upravljanje podacima*, trebalo bi dodatno pridonijeti pojednostavljenju i smanjiti administrativno opterećenje za Komisiju i države članice te dovesti do veće usklađenost i učinkovitosti dodijeljene potpore.

Amandman 21

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 11.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(11.a) Financiranje bi trebalo biti onako kako je utvrđeno u točki 17. Međuinstitucionalnog sporazuma od

XX/201Z između Europskog parlamenta, Vijeća i Komisije o suradnji u proračunskim pitanjima i dobrom financijskom upravljanju.

Amandman 22

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 11.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(11.b) Nijedno financiranje ne bi smjelo dovesti u pitanje odredbe uredbe o utvrđivanju višegodišnjeg financijskog okvira za razdoblje 2014.–2020. i Međuinstitucionalnog sporazuma od xxx/201z između Europskog parlamenta, Vijeća i Komisije o suradnji u proračunskim pitanjima i dobrom financijskom upravljanju.

Amandman 23

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 12.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(12) Iz proračuna Unije trebali bi se financirati izdaci zajedničke ribarstvene politike i integrirane pomorske politike iz jednog fonda, tj. EFPR-a, izravno ili u okviru zajedničkog upravljanja s državama članicama. Zajedničko upravljanje s državama članicama trebalo bi se primjenjivati ne samo na mjere potpore ribarstvu, akvakulturi i lokalnom razvoju koji predvodi zajednica, nego i na ***zajedničke organizacije tržišta*** i nadoknadu za najudaljenije regije, aktivnosti kontrole i prikupljanje podataka. Izravno bi se upravljanje trebalo primjenjivati na znanstvene savjete,

(12) Iz proračuna Unije trebali bi se financirati izdaci zajedničke ribarstvene politike i integrirane pomorske politike iz jednog fonda, tj. EFPR-a, izravno ili u okviru zajedničkog upravljanja s državama članicama. Zajedničko upravljanje s državama članicama trebalo bi se primjenjivati ne samo na mjere potpore ribarstvu, akvakulturi i lokalnom razvoju koji predvodi zajednica, nego i na ***mjere u području prodaje i prerade te na nadoknadu za najudaljenije regije***, aktivnosti kontrole i prikupljanje podataka. Izravno bi se upravljanje trebalo primjenjivati na ***pripremu planova***

dobrovoljne doprinose regionalnim organizacijama za upravljanje u ribarstvu, savjetodavna vijeća te aktivnosti za provedbu integrirane pomorske politike. Trebalo bi točno odrediti vrste mjera koje se mogu financirati iz EFPR-a.

proizvodnje i prodaje, potporu za skladištenje, znanstvene savjete, dobrovoljne doprinose regionalnim organizacijama za upravljanje u ribarstvu, savjetodavna vijeća te aktivnosti za provedbu integrirane pomorske politike. Trebalo bi točno odrediti vrste mjera koje se mogu financirati iz EFPR-a.

Justification

It is essential to ensure that a level playing field exists for POs throughout the European Union and that the funding for the preparation of production and marketing plans and storage aid is by direct means.

Amandman 24

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 14.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(14) U skladu s člancima 50. i 51. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] (dalje u tekstu „Uredba o ZRP-u”), financijska pomoć Unije iz EFPR-a trebala bi biti uvjetovana poštivanjem pravila ZRP-a od strane država članica i gospodarskih subjekata. Namjera je ovog uvjetovanja odraziti odgovornost Unije da u javnom interesu osigura očuvanje morskih bioloških resursa u okviru ZRP-a, kako je utvrđeno u članku 3. UFEU-a.

Izmjena

(14) U skladu s člancima 50. i 51. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] (dalje u tekstu „Uredba o ZRP-u”), financijska pomoć Unije iz EFPR-a trebala bi biti uvjetovana poštivanjem pravila ZRP-a od strane država članica i gospodarskih subjekata. Namjera je ovog uvjetovanja odraziti odgovornost Unije da u javnom interesu osigura očuvanje morskih bioloških resursa u okviru ZRP-a, **osigura poštovanje zahtjeva povezanih s promicanjem visoke razine zapošljavanja i jamči odgovarajuću socijalnu zaštitu,** kako je utvrđeno u članku 3. **odnosno članku 9.** UFEU-a.

Amandman 25

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 15.

(15) Ostvarenje ciljeva ZRP-a bilo bi ugroženo kad bi se financijska pomoć Unije u okviru EFPR-a isplaćivala gospodarskim subjektima koji prethodno nisu ispunjavali zahtjeve povezane s javnim interesom očuvanja morskih bioloških resursa. Stoga bi **trebali biti prihvatljivi samo oni gospodarski subjekti koji** u određenom razdoblju prije podnošenja zahtjeva za potporu nisu bili uključeni u rad, upravljanje ili posjedovanje ribarskih plovila uvrštenih na Unijin popis plovila NNN, kako je navedeno u članku 40. stavku 3. Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1005/2008 od 29. rujna 2008. o uspostavi sustava Zajednice za sprečavanje, suzbijanje i uklanjanje nezakonitog, neprijavljenog i nereguliranog ribolova, o izmjeni uredbi (EEZ) br. 2847/93, (EZ) br. 1936/2001 i (EZ) br. 601/2004 i o stavljanju izvan snage uredbi (EZ) br. 1093/94 i (EZ) br. 1447/1999, i **koji** nisu počinili teški prekršaj iz članka 42. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1005/2008 ili članka 90. stavka 1. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1224/2009 od 20. studenoga 2009. o uspostavi sustava kontrole Zajednice za osiguranje sukladnosti s pravilima zajedničke ribarstvene politike, o izmjeni uredbi (EZ) br. 847/96, (EZ) br. 2371/2002, (EZ) br. 811/2004, (EZ) br. 768/2005, (EZ) br. 2115/2005, (EZ) br. 2166/2005, (EZ) br. 388/2006, (EZ) br. 509/2007, (EZ) br. 676/2007, (EZ) br. 1098/2007, (EZ) br. 1300/2008, (EZ) br. 1342/2008 i o stavljanju izvan snage uredbi (EEZ) br. 2847/93, (EZ) br. 1627/94 i (EZ) br. 1966/2006 niti na drugi način postupali protivno pravilima ZRP-a i time posebno ugrožavali održivost predmetnih stokova i koji bi predstavljao ozbiljnu prijetnju održivom iskorištavanju živih morskih bioloških resursa koje obnavlja i održava populacija izlovljenih vrsta iznad razina koje daju najveći održivi prinos

(15) Ostvarenje ciljeva ZRP-a bilo bi ugroženo kad bi se financijska pomoć Unije u okviru EFPR-a isplaćivala gospodarskim subjektima koji prethodno nisu ispunjavali zahtjeve povezane s javnim interesom očuvanja morskih bioloških resursa. **Stoga bi gospodarski subjekti trebali biti prihvatljivi samo pod uvjetom da** u određenom razdoblju prije podnošenja zahtjeva za potporu nisu bili uključeni u rad, upravljanje ili posjedovanje ribarskih plovila uvrštenih na Unijin popis plovila NNN, kako je navedeno u članku 40. stavku 3. Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1005/2008 od 29. rujna 2008. o uspostavi sustava Zajednice za sprečavanje, suzbijanje i uklanjanje nezakonitog, neprijavljenog i nereguliranog ribolova, o izmjeni uredbi (EEZ) br. 2847/93, (EZ) br. 1936/2001 i (EZ) br. 601/2004 i o stavljanju izvan snage uredbi (EZ) br. 1093/94 i (EZ) br. 1447/1999 i **nisu bili uključeni u rad, upravljanje ili posjedovanje ribarskih plovila koja plovo pod zastavom država uvrštenih u kategoriju nekooperativnih trećih zemalja, kako je navedeno u članku 33. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1005/2008. Isto tako, gospodarski bi subjekti trebali biti prihvatljivi jedino pod uvjetom da** nisu počinili teški prekršaj iz članka 42. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1005/2008 ili članka 90. stavka 1. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1224/2009 od 20. studenoga 2009. o uspostavi sustava kontrole Zajednice za osiguranje sukladnosti s pravilima zajedničke ribarstvene politike, o izmjeni uredbi (EZ) br. 847/96, (EZ) br. 2371/2002, (EZ) br. 811/2004, (EZ) br. 768/2005, (EZ) br. 2115/2005, (EZ) br. 2166/2005, (EZ) br. 388/2006, (EZ) br. 509/2007, (EZ) br. 676/2007, (EZ) br. 1098/2007, (EZ) br. 1300/2008, (EZ) br. 1342/2008 i o stavljanju izvan snage uredbi (EEZ) br. 2847/93, (EZ) br. 1627/94 i (EZ)

(dalje u tekstu „NOP”).

br. 1966/2006 niti na drugi način postupali protivno pravilima ZRP-a i time posebno ugrožavali održivost predmetnih stokova i koji bi predstavljao ozbiljnu prijetnju održivom iskorištavanju živih morskih bioloških resursa koje obnavlja i održava populacija izlovljenih vrsta iznad razina koje daju najveći održivi prinos (dalje u tekstu „NOP”).

Amandman 26

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 17.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(17) Ako korisnici krše pravila ZRP-a, trebale bi se primjenjivati posljedice koje su utvrđene za neispunjavanje uvjeta prihvatljivosti. . Kako bi se odredio iznos neprihvatljivih izdataka, trebalo bi uzeti u obzir težinu povrede pravila ZRP-a koju je počinio korisnik, ekonomsku korist koja proizlazi iz povrede pravila ZRP-a ili važnost koju doprinos EFPR-a ima za gospodarsku djelatnost korisnika.

Izmjena

(17) Ako korisnici krše pravila ZRP-a, trebale bi se primjenjivati posljedice koje su utvrđene za neispunjavanje uvjeta prihvatljivosti. . Kako bi se odredio iznos neprihvatljivih izdataka, trebalo bi uzeti u obzir težinu (***uključujući opseg, trajanje i svako ponavljanje***) povrede pravila ZRP-a koju je počinio korisnik, ekonomsku korist koja proizlazi iz povrede pravila ZRP-a ili važnost koju doprinos EFPR-a ima za gospodarsku djelatnost korisnika.

Justification

The gravity of non-compliance by a beneficiary is determined by a number of factors: its severity, extent, duration and re-occurrence

Amandman 27

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 18.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(18) Ostvarenje ciljeva ZRP-a bilo bi također ugroženo kad bi se financijska

Izmjena

(18) Ostvarenje ciljeva ZRP-a bilo bi također ugroženo kad bi se financijska

pomoć Unije u okviru EFPR-a isplaćivala državama članicama koje ne ispunjavaju svoje obveze u skladu s pravilima ZRP-a u vezi s javnim interesom očuvanja morskih bioloških resursa, kao što su prikupljanje podataka i provedba obveza kontrole. Osim toga, ako se te obveze ne ispunjavaju, postoji rizik da države članice neće otkriti neprihvatljive korisnike ili neprihvatljive operacije.

pomoć Unije u okviru EFPR-a isplaćivala državama članicama koje ne ispunjavaju svoje obveze *postizanja ravnoteže između ribolovne flote i ribolovnih mogućnosti u skladu s člankom 34. stavkom 1. osnovne Uredbe o ZRP-u ili svoje obveze* u skladu s pravilima ZRP-a u vezi s javnim interesom očuvanja morskih bioloških resursa, kao što su prikupljanje podataka i provedba obveza kontrole. Osim toga, ako se te obveze ne ispunjavaju, postoji rizik da države članice neće otkriti neprihvatljive korisnike ili neprihvatljive operacije.

Amandman 28

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 19.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(19) Kako bi se spriječile nepropisne isplate i države članice potaknule na poštivanje pravila ZRP-a ili da od korisnika zahtijevaju poštivanje pravila, trebalo bi, kao mjere predostrožnosti, primjenjivati i prekid roka plaćanja i obustavu plaćanja, pri čemu je područje primjene tih mjera vremenski ograničeno. Kako bi se poštovalo načelo proporcionalnosti, financijske korekcije koje imaju konačne i neopozive posljedice trebalo bi primjenjivati jedino na izdatke koji su izravno povezani s operacijama tijekom kojih su prekršena pravila ZRP-a.

Izmjena

(Ne utječe na englesku verziju.)

Justification

(Does not affect English version.)

Amandman 29

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 20.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(20) Kako bi se poboljšala koordinacija i uskladila provedba fondova za potporu u okviru kohezijske politike, a to su Europski fond za regionalni razvoj (EFRR), Europski socijalni fond (ESF) i Kohezijski fond (KF), s fondovima za ruralni razvoj, to jest Europskim poljoprivrednim fondom za ruralni razvoj (EPFRR), i onim za sektor pomorstva i ribarstva, to jest Europskim fondom za pomorstvo i ribarstvo (EFPR), [Uredbom (EU) br. [...] o utvrđivanju zajedničkih odredbi] utvrđene su zajedničke odredbe za sve te fondove („fondovi ZSO-a”). Osim te Uredbe, za EFPR vrijede i posebne odredbe zbog posebnosti ZRP-a i IPP-a.

Izmjena

(20) Kako bi se poboljšala koordinacija i uskladila provedba fondova za potporu u okviru kohezijske politike, a to su Europski fond za regionalni razvoj (EFRR), Europski socijalni fond (ESF) i Kohezijski fond (KF), s fondovima za ruralni razvoj, to jest Europskim poljoprivrednim fondom za ruralni razvoj (EPFRR), i onim za sektor pomorstva i ribarstva, to jest Europskim fondom za pomorstvo i ribarstvo (EFPR), [Uredbom (EU) br. [...] o utvrđivanju zajedničkih odredbi] utvrđene su zajedničke odredbe za sve te fondove („fondovi ZSO-a”). ***Trebalo bi također naglasiti da se radi učinkovitijeg ostvarenja ciljeva kohezijske politike Unije i strategije Europa 2020., navedeni fondovi mogu koristiti tako da se uzajamno nadopunjuju; s tim u vezi trebalo bi skrenuti pozornost na to da je potrebno ostvariti sinergiju između EFPR-a i ESF-a kako bi se ostvarili ključni ciljevi u pogledu zapošljavanja i borbe protiv siromaštva i društvene isključenosti.*** Osim te Uredbe, za EFPR vrijede i posebne odredbe zbog posebnosti ZRP-a i IPP-a.

Amandman 30

**Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 22.**

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(22) ***Djelovanje Unije trebalo bi dopunjavati djelovanje država članica ili nastojati pridonijeti njihovom djelovanju.*** Kako bi se osigurala značajna dodana vrijednost, trebalo bi ojačati partnerstvo između Komisije ***i država članica*** kroz dogovore o sudjelovanju ***različitih partnera***, uz puno uvažavanje

Izmjena

(22) Kako bi se osigurala značajna dodana vrijednost, trebalo bi ojačati partnerstvo između Komisije, ***tijela vlasti država članica i različitih partnera*** kroz dogovore o sudjelovanju ***tih sudionika, uključujući i dotične socijalne partnere***, uz puno uvažavanje institucionalne nadležnosti država članica. To se partnerstvo odnosi na

institucionalne nadležnosti država članica. **Posebnu bi pozornost trebalo posvetiti osiguranju odgovarajuće zastupljenosti žena i manjinskih skupina.** To se partnerstvo odnosi na regionalna, lokalna i druga tijela javne vlasti, kao i na druga odgovarajuća tijela, uključujući i ona koja su nadležna za okoliš i promicanje jednakosti između muškaraca i žena, te na gospodarske i socijalne partnere i ostala nadležna tijela. Dotični bi partneri trebali sudjelovati u pripremi ugovora o partnerstvu, kao i u pripremi, provedbi, praćenju i ocjenjivanju programa.

regionalna, lokalna i druga tijela javne vlasti, kao i na druga odgovarajuća tijela, uključujući i ona koja su nadležna za okoliš i promicanje jednakosti između muškaraca i žena, te na gospodarske i socijalne partnere, **ribarsku industriju** i ostala nadležna tijela. **S obzirom na specifičnu prirodu sektora ribarstva, trebalo bi donijeti odredbe kojima će se jamčiti sudjelovanje gospodarskih i socijalnih partnera koji zastupaju različite potsektore i teritorijalne razine, znanstveno-istraživačke organizacije te nacionalne i regionalne mreže lokalnih akcijskih skupina u ribarstvu (LASR).** **Posebnu bi pozornost trebalo posvetiti osiguranju odgovarajuće zastupljenosti žena i manjinskih skupina.** Dotični bi partneri trebali sudjelovati u pripremi ugovora o partnerstvu, kao i u pripremi, provedbi, praćenju i ocjenjivanju programa.

Amandman 31

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 24.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(24) Komisija bi trebala na temelju objektivnih i transparentnih kriterija utvrditi godišnju raspodjelu raspoloživih odobrenih sredstava za preuzimanje obveza po državi članici; ta bi mjerila trebala uključivati **prethodne** dodjele u okviru Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1198/2006 i prethodnu potrošnju u okviru Uredbe Vijeća br. 861/2006.

Izmjena

(24) Komisija bi trebala na temelju objektivnih i transparentnih kriterija utvrditi godišnju raspodjelu raspoloživih odobrenih sredstava za preuzimanje obveza po državi članici; ta bi mjerila trebala uključivati **opis prethodnih** dodjela u okviru Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1198/2006 i prethodnu potrošnju u okviru Uredbe Vijeća br. 861/2006.

Amandman 32

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 25.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(25) U kontekstu ZRP-a od najveće je važnosti da su ispunjeni određeni ex-ante uvjeti, posebno u vezi s podnošenjem višegodišnjeg nacionalnog strateškog plana za akvakulturu i dokazanom administrativnom sposobnošću za ispunjavanje zahtjeva u pogledu podataka za upravljanje ribarstvom te za provedbu sustava Unije za kontrolu, inspekciju i izvršenje.

Izmjena

(25) U kontekstu ZRP-a od najveće je važnosti da su ispunjeni određeni ex-ante uvjeti, posebno u vezi s podnošenjem višegodišnjeg nacionalnog strateškog plana za akvakulturu i dokazanom administrativnom sposobnošću za ispunjavanje zahtjeva u pogledu podataka za upravljanje ribarstvom te za provedbu sustava Unije za kontrolu, inspekciju i izvršenje, **kao i za osiguranje provedbe prava Unije u području radnih uvjeta, posebno u vezi sa sigurnošću, zaštitom zdravlja, obrazovanjem i osposobljavanjem.**

Amandman 33

**Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 26.**

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(26) U skladu s ciljem pojednostavljenja sve aktivnosti EFPR-a koje su obuhvaćene zajedničkim upravljanjem, uključujući kontrolu i prikupljanje podataka, trebale bi biti u obliku samo jednog operativnog programa po državi članici u skladu s njezinom nacionalnom strukturom. Programiranje obuhvaća razdoblje od 1. siječnja 2014. do 31. prosinca 2020. Svaka bi država članica trebala pripremiti jedan operativni program. U svakom bi se programu trebala utvrditi strategija za postizanje ciljeva u vezi s prioritetima Unije u okviru EFPR-a i izabrane mjere. Programiranje bi istodobno trebalo biti u skladu s prioritetima Unije i prilagođeno nacionalnom kontekstu te dopunjavati ostale politike Unije, **posebno politiku ruralnog razvoja i kohezijsku politiku.**

Izmjena

(26) U skladu s ciljem pojednostavljenja sve aktivnosti EFPR-a koje su obuhvaćene zajedničkim upravljanjem, uključujući kontrolu i prikupljanje podataka, trebale bi biti u obliku samo jednog operativnog programa po državi članici u skladu s njezinom nacionalnom strukturom. Programiranje obuhvaća razdoblje od 1. siječnja 2014. do 31. prosinca 2020. Svaka bi država članica trebala pripremiti jedan operativni program. U svakom bi se programu trebala utvrditi strategija za postizanje ciljeva u vezi s prioritetima Unije u okviru EFPR-a i izabrane mjere. Programiranje bi istodobno trebalo biti u skladu s prioritetima Unije i prilagođeno nacionalnom **i regionalnom kontekstu, posebno specifičnim značajkama najudaljenijih regija**, te dopunjavati ostale politike Unije, **pri čemu bi trebao biti zadržan trenutačni sustav upravljanja i**

kontrole kako ne bi nastali dodatni troškovi ili došlo do kašnjenja u provedbi programa.

Justification

Maintaining the current management and control system, such as the Structural Funds and the EAFRD, will avoid additional costs incurred by modifying information systems and spending extra time adapting manuals and other administrative procedures, which would cause delays to programme implementation.

Amandman 34

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 30.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(30) Države članice trebale bi u skladu s višegodišnjim programom Unije izraditi u operativnom programu odjeljak o prikupljanju podataka. Kako bi se države članice prilagodile posebnim potrebama u pogledu aktivnosti prikupljanja **podataka**, trebale bi izraditi godišnji plan rada koji će svake godine prilagoditi u skladu sa smjericama Komisije i koji će podlijegati odobrenju Komisije.

Izmjena

(30) Države članice trebale bi u skladu s višegodišnjim programom Unije izraditi u operativnom programu odjeljak o prikupljanju podataka., Kako bi se države članice prilagodile posebnim potrebama u pogledu aktivnosti prikupljanja **i upravljanja podacima**, trebale bi izraditi godišnji plan rada koji će svake godine prilagoditi u skladu sa smjericama Komisije i koji će podlijegati odobrenju Komisije.

Amandman 35

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 31.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(31) Kako bi se povećala konkurentnost i gospodarska uspješnost ribolovnih aktivnosti, ključno je poticati inovacije i poduzetništvo. EFPR bi stoga trebao pružati potporu inovativnim operacijama i razvoju poduzeća.

Izmjena

(31) Kako bi se povećala konkurentnost i gospodarska uspješnost ribolovnih aktivnosti, ključno je poticati inovacije i poduzetništvo. EFPR bi stoga trebao pružati potporu inovativnim operacijama i **ekološki održivom** razvoju poduzeća, **u skladu s načelom predostrožnosti i**

ekosustavnim pristupom.

Amandman 36

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 31.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(31.a) Zbog gospodarskih potresa zadnjih godina izazvanih financijskom krizom, mnogi se mladi suočavaju s poteškoćama u dobivanju financijskih sredstava potrebnih za ulazak u sektor ribarstva. Potpora EFPR-a trebala bi stoga biti usmjerena, između ostalog, na pomoć mladima pri ulasku u sektor ribarstva, na primjer tako što će pridonositi prvom pokretanju poslovnih aktivnosti.

Amandman 37

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 32.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(32) Ulaganje u ljudski kapital također je od ključne važnosti za povećanje konkurentnosti i gospodarske uspješnosti ribolovnih i pomorskih aktivnosti. EFPR bi stoga trebao podupirati cjeloživotno učenje, suradnju između znanstvenika i ribara potičući širenje znanja kao i savjetodavne službe koje pomažu poboljšati ukupnu uspješnost i konkurentnost gospodarskih subjekata.

(32) Ulaganje u ljudski kapital također je od ključne važnosti za povećanje konkurentnosti i gospodarske uspješnosti ribolovnih i pomorskih aktivnosti. EFPR bi stoga trebao podupirati ***strukovno osposobljavanje (koje bi, uz tehnička pitanja, trebalo obuhvaćati i znanja o održivom upravljanju u ribarstvu i pravilnom postupanju s ribom u svrhu postizanja veće unosnosti), poboljšane standarde zaštite zdravlja, sigurnosti i higijene na radu***, cjeloživotno učenje, suradnju između znanstvenika i ribara potičući širenje znanja kao i savjetodavne službe koje pomažu poboljšati ukupnu

uspješnost i konkurentnost gospodarskih subjekata. *Osim toga, važno je da EFPR promiče generacijsko obnavljanje i pomlađivanje ovog zanimanja stvaranjem posebnih mehanizama za poticanje mladih na ulazak u sektor, to jest poboljšanjem statusa ribarskog zanimanja putem osiguranja boljih standarda zaštite zdravlja, sigurnosti i dobrobiti na plovilu, izobrazbe i strukovnog osposobljavanja te većeg dohotka.*

Amandman 38

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 32.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(32.a) EFPR bi trebao podupirati mali ribolov kako bi se odgovorilo na probleme koji su specifični za taj segment i pružila potpora lokalnom, održivom upravljanju ribolovnim zonama u kojima se taj ribolov odvija te razvoju obalnih zajednica.

Amandman 39

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 32.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(32.b) Budući da je malim poduzećima otežan pristup potpori Zajednice, EFPR bi trebao poticati provedbu zajedničkih projekata i podupirati tehničku pomoć za nositelje tih projekata.

Amandman 40

Prijedlog Uredbe

PE494.539v03-00

26/440

RR\1000710HR.doc

Uvodna izjava 32.c (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(32.c) EFPR bi trebao podupirati održivo upravljanje ribarstvom na lokalnoj razini te razvoj obalnih zajednica.

Amandman 41

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 32.d (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(32.d) EFPR bi trebao poticati uključivanje malih ribarskih subjekata u sektoru malog obalnog ribolova i ribolova na kopnenim vodama u pripremu zajedničkih projekata te bi trebao pružati tehničku pomoć voditeljima projekata.

Justification

Support should be provided in particular for joint projects conducted by small-scale and coastal and inland fisheries operators.

Amandman 42

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 33.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(33) Prepoznajući važnost uloge koju supružnici samozaposlenih ribara imaju u malom obalnom ribolovu, EFPR bi trebao podupirati osposobljavanje i umrežavanje koje pridonosi njihovom stručnom razvoju i omogućuje im da bolje izvršavaju pomoćne zadaće koje tradicionalno obavljaju.

(33) Prepoznajući važnost uloge koju supružnici samozaposlenih ribara imaju u malom obalnom ribolovu, EFPR bi trebao podupirati ***cjeloživotno*** osposobljavanje i umrežavanje koje pridonosi njihovom stručnom razvoju i omogućuje im da bolje izvršavaju pomoćne zadaće koje tradicionalno obavljaju ***ili druge zadaće koje proizlaze iz diversifikacije ribolovnih aktivnosti.***

Amandman 43

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 33.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(33.a) EFPR bi trebao podupirati socijalni dijalog na europskoj, nacionalnoj, regionalnoj i lokalnoj razini uključivanjem socijalnih partnera i jačanjem njihovih organizacijskih sposobnosti.

Justification

The EMFF should foster structured social dialogue in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors.

Amandman 44

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 33.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(33.b) Pomoćne aktivnosti u ribarstvu i akvakulturi, koje kao takve odrede države članice – na primjer, poput onih koje obavljaju izrađivači mreža, dobavljači mamaca, poduzeća za pakiranje i ostali koji posluju izravno s ribarima – trebale bi biti prihvatljive za potporu iz EFPR-a kako bi se omogućio njihov razvoj i time pridonijelo boljem funkcioniranju sektora.

Amandman 45

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 34.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(34) S obzirom na slabu zastupljenost malih obalnih ribara u socijalnom

(34) S obzirom na društvenu i teritorijalnu važnost obalnih ribara, EFPR bi trebao

dijalogu, EFPR bi trebao pružati potporu organizacijama *koje promiču taj* dijalog u odgovarajućim forumima

pružati potporu organizacijama *koje promiču socijalni* dijalog *sektora obalnog ribarstva* u odgovarajućim forumima

Amandman 46

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 34.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(34.a) Trebalo bi davati potporu za razvoj i provedbu višegodišnjih planova (članci 9. – 11. Uredbe o ZRP-u)

Amandman 47

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 35.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(35) S obzirom na velike mogućnosti koje diversifikacija pruža ribarima koji se bave malim obalnim ribolovom i imajući na umu njihovu ključnu ulogu u obalnim zajednicama, EFPR bi trebao pridonijeti diversifikaciji pružajući potporu za pokretanje poslovnih aktivnosti i za ulaganja u naknadno opremanje plovila te za odgovarajuće osposobljavanje u svrhu stjecanja stručnih vještina u relevantnim područjima izvan ribolova.

(35) EFPR bi trebao pridonijeti stvaranju radnih mjesta pružajući potporu za pokretanje poslovnih aktivnosti u sektoru ribarstva i za razvoj dopunskih aktivnosti povezanih s ribolovnom djelatnošću te za odgovarajuće osposobljavanje u svrhu stjecanja odgovarajućih stručnih vještina.

Amandman 48

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 35.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(35.a) Kako bi se osiguralo generacijsko obnavljanje u sektoru ribarstva, važno je da EFPR potiče mlade da se počnu baviti ribolovom, posebno davanjem poticaja mladim ribarima koji prvi put nabavljaju plovilo.

Justification

New recital intended to supplement the rapporteur's Amendment 93.

Amandman 49

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 35.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(35.b) Trebalo bi imati na umu da Međunarodna organizacija rada (ILO) smatra ribolov opasnim zanimanjem u usporedbi s drugim zanimanjima te da je ta organizacija potpisala ili donijela više konvencija i preporuka s ciljem promicanja primjerenih uvjeta rada za ribare. Načela sadržana u tim konvencijama i preporukama trebala bi služiti kao vodeća načela za bolje korištenje sredstava EFPR-a.

Amandman 50

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 36.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(36) Kako bi se riješile potrebe u području zdravlja i sigurnosti na plovilu, EFPR bi trebao podupirati ulaganja u području sigurnosti i higijene na plovilu.

(36) Kako bi se riješile potrebe u području zdravlja i sigurnosti na plovilu, EFPR bi trebao podupirati ulaganja u području sigurnosti i higijene na plovilu ***te u***

poboljšanje uvjeta boravka na plovilu.

Amandman 51

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 37.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(37) Zbog uspostave sustava prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija predviđenih člankom 27. [Uredbe o ZRP-u] i radi potpore državama članicama u provedbi tih novih sustava, EFPR bi trebao davati potporu u smislu jačanja mogućnosti i razmjene najboljih praksi.

brisano

Justification

The rapporteur is opposed to the introduction of transferable fishing concessions, which would turn fishing rights into tradable commodities and jeopardise the future of small-scale and coastal fishing.

Amandman 52

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 37.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(37.a) Prepoznajući važnost pomorske baštine, EFPR bi trebao podupirati ulaganja u zaštitu i očuvanje pomorske baštine i s njom povezanih tradicionalnih zanata;

Amandman 53

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 38.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(38) Za očekivati je da će uvođenje prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija učiniti sektor konkurentnijim. Zbog toga mogu biti potrebne nove profesionalne mogućnosti izvan ribolovnih aktivnosti. EFPR bi stoga trebao podupirati diversifikaciju i stvaranje radnih mjesta u ribarskim zajednicama, posebno dajući potporu pokretanju poslovnih aktivnosti i prenamjeni plovila tako da obavljaju druge pomorske aktivnosti umjesto malog obalnog ribolova. Ova zadnje spomenuta operacija čini se primjerenom jer ribarska plovila za mali obalni ribolov nisu obuhvaćena sustavima prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija.

brisano

Justification

The rapporteur is opposed to the introduction of transferable fishing concessions, which would turn fishing rights into tradable commodities and jeopardise the future of small-scale and coastal fishing.

Amandman 54

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 38.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(38.a) U skladu s ciljem stvaranja radnih mjesta uključenih u strategiju Europa 2020., EFPR mora također osigurati sredstva za olakšanje donošenja mjera stvaranja radnih mjesta i povećanja razine zaposlenosti u cijeloj ribarskoj industriji, od ribolova i akvakulture do prerade i stavljanja na tržište.

Justification

The EMFF is a fishing fund whose main objective should not be forgotten, which is why, under the Europe 2020 strategy; it should not only be used for the purposes of job creation in

other sectors but also, first and foremost, in the fishing sector itself.

Amandman 55

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 38.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(38.b) Kako bi sesektoru ribarstva osigurala održiva budućnost, važno je da EFPR promiče stvaranje radnih mjesta u ribarskim zajednicama, posebno podupirući pokretanje novih poduzeća i pomažući mladima da se počnu baviti zanimanjima u sektoru ribarstva.

Amandman 56

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 39.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(39) Cilj je zajedničke ribarstvene politike osigurati održivo iskorištavanje ribljih stokova. Utvrđeno je da je preveliki kapacitet jedan od glavnih uzroka prekomjernog izlova. Stoga je nužno prilagoditi ribarsku flotu Unije raspoloživim resursima. Uklanjanje prevelikog kapaciteta uz javnu potporu, kao što su programi potpore za privremenu ili trajnu obustavu ribolovnih aktivnosti i za rezanja plovila, pokazalo se neučinkovitim. EFPR će stoga podupirati uspostavu i upravljanje sustavima prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija čiji je cilj smanjenje prevelikog kapaciteta i povećanje gospodarske uspješnosti i unosnosti dotičnih gospodarskih subjekata.

brisano

Justification

The rapporteur considers the claim that there is overcapacity in the EU fleet to be questionable: overcapacity has yet to be defined by the Commission and is therefore difficult to establish. Even though fishing capacity in some regions may well be too high in comparison with the stocks available, this is still a localised situation and cannot in any way be taken to mean that the same is true in all EU fishing grounds, nor can it be taken as a basic premise.

Amandman 57

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 40.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(40) S obzirom na to da je preveliki kapacitet jedan od glavnih uzroka prekomjernog izlova, potrebno je poduzeti mjere kako bi se ribarska flota Unije prilagodila raspoloživim resursima; u tom bi kontekstu EFPR trebao podupirati uspostavljanje, prilagodavanje i upravljanje sustavima prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija koji se uvode ZRP-om kao upravljački alat za smanjenje prevelikog kapaciteta.

brisano

Amandman 58

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 40.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(40.a) S ciljem osuvremenjivanja i obnavljanja Unijine zastarjele flote, EFPR bi trebao pridonositi ulaganjima u nova ribarska plovila kako bi se zamijenila zastarjela plovila koja su upućena u rezalište ili prenamijenjena za aktivnosti izvan ribolova. Takva bi ulaganja trebala dovesti do više razine sigurnosti na plovilu, energetske učinkovitosti i selektivnosti ribolovnih

alata i ne bi smjela imati za posljedicu povećanje ribolovnog kapaciteta.

Justification

The EU fleet is ageing (half of the vessels are more than 25 years old). This situation poses serious problems as regards on-board safety and protection of the marine environment. The EMFF should therefore support fleet renewal, subject to strict conditions, by funding the replacement of obsolete vessels with modern vessels. Under no circumstances must such investment result in an increase in fishing capacity.

Amandman 59

Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 40.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(40.b) Državama članicama koje ne ispunjavaju svoje obveze u pogledu prikupljanja i prijenosa podatka ili ne prijave stvarni kapacitet svoje ribarske flote trebalo bi zamrznuti ili smanjiti financijska sredstva iz EFPR-a.

Amandman 60

Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 40.c (new)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(40.c) Kako bi se zajamčilo da države članice poštuju gornje granice ribolovnog kapaciteta utvrđene u Prilogu II. [Uredbi (EU) br. .../.... o ZRP-u], Komisija bi trebala biti ovlaštena obustaviti sva ili dio plaćanja i izdvajanja za operativne programe država članica za koje se pregledom provedenim tri godine nakon stupanja na snagu ove Uredbe utvrdi da ne poštuju gornje granice kapaciteta utvrđene za njihovu flotu.

Justification

It is essential for the Member States to respect their fleets' capacity ceilings specified in the Basic Regulation. In this connection, the payments and commitments under the EMFF should be suspended for Member States, which fail to respect their capacity ceilings.

Amandman 61

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 40.d (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(40.d) Državama članicama koje ne ispunjavaju svoje obveze u pogledu prikupljanja i prijenosa podatka ili ne prijave svoju stvarnu ribarsku flotu i njezin stvarni kapacitet trebalo bi zamrznuti ili smanjiti financijska sredstva iz EFPR-a.

Amandman 62

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 40.e (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(40.e) Kako bi se zaštitio prihod ribara, EFPR bi trebao pridonositi uzajamnim fondovima koji pokrivaju gubitke uzrokovane prirodnim katastrofama, lošim vremenskim uvjetima, ekološkim nesrećama ili nesrećama s posljedicama na zdravlje ili velikim povećanjima cijena goriva.

Justification

The EMFF should help to compensate fishermen for losses resulting from exceptional external events. The rapporteur proposes that such assistance should take the form of contributions to mutual funds set up by fishermen.

Amandman 63

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 41.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(41) Od ključne je važnosti da se pitanja okoliša bolje uključe u EFPR i da se pruži potpora provedbi mjera očuvanja u okviru ZRP-a, vodeći pri tom računa o različitim uvjetima u vodama Unije. U tu je svrhu nužno razviti regionalizirani pristup mjerama očuvanja.

Izmjena

(41) Od ključne je važnosti da se pitanja okoliša bolje uključe u EFPR i da se pruži potpora provedbi mjera očuvanja u okviru ZRP-a, vodeći pri tom računa o različitim uvjetima u vodama Unije. U tu je svrhu nužno razviti regionalizirani pristup mjerama očuvanja, ***i to kroz višegodišnji pristup upravljanju ribarstvom u okviru kojeg se, kao prioritet, uspostavljaju višegodišnji planovi koji odražavaju biološke posebnosti različitih vrsta i posebne značajke pojedinih vrsta ribolova.***

Amandman 64

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 41.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(41.a) Od presudne je važnosti ublažavati negativne učinke klimatskih promjena u obalnim i morskim ekosustavima. EFPR bi trebao podupirati ulaganja u smanjenje doprinosa sektora ribolova emisiji stakleničkih plinova, kao i projekte čiji je cilj zaštititi i obnoviti dna pokrivena morskim cvjetnicama i obalne močvare, koji su glavna odlagališta ugljika.

Amandman 65

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 42.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(42) Isto tako, EFPR bi trebao podupirati smanjenje utjecaja ribolova na morski okoliš, ***osobito promicanjem ekoinovacija***, selektivnijih ribolovnih alata i opreme te mjera za zaštitu i obnovu morske bioraznolikosti i morskih ekosustava i usluga koje pružaju, u skladu s EU-ovom Strategijom o biološkoj raznolikosti do 2020.

Izmjena

(42) Isto tako, EFPR bi trebao podupirati smanjenje ***negativnih*** utjecaja ribolova na morski okoliš ***i smanjenje emisije stakleničkih plinova te promicati*** selektivnije ribolovne alate i opremu ***i podupirati razvoj energetski učinkovitijih plovila. EFPR bi osobito trebao promicati ekoinovacije u području brodskih trupova, pogonskih strojeva i ribolovnih alata***, te mjere za zaštitu i obnovu morske bioraznolikosti i morskih ekosustava i usluga koje pružaju, u skladu s EU-ovom Strategijom o biološkoj raznolikosti do 2020 ***i glavnim ciljevima strategije Europa 2020. koji se odnose na klimatske promjene.***

Amandman 66

Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 42.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(42.a) Kako bi se pridonijelo pozitivnom razvoju vodenih resursa i održavanju ribolova u razdoblju kada ribolov nije zabranjen, EFPR bi trebao moći podupirati obustavu ribolova tijekom razdoblja biološkog oporavka u određenim kritičnim fazama životnog ciklusa vrsta, kad god su ta razdoblja nužna za održivo iskorištavanje ribolovnih resursa.

Amandman 67

Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 42.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(42.b) Kako bi se utjecaj ribolova na morske ekosustave sveo na najmanju moguću mjeru, EFPR bi trebao podupirati uspostavu, upravljanje, praćenje i kontrolu koherentne mreže područja za oporavak ribljih stokova.

Amandman 68

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 43.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(43) U skladu sa zabranom odbacivanja ulova, ***koja je uvedena*** ZRP-om, EFPR bi trebao podupirati ulaganja u plovila čiji je cilj omogućiti optimalno iskorištenje neželjenog ulova i vrednovanje premalo iskorištenih dijelova ulovljene ribe. Uzimajući u obzir oskudicu resursa i s ciljem povećanja vrijednosti ulovljene ribe, EFPR bi trebao podupirati i ulaganja u plovila čiji je cilj povećanje komercijalne vrijednosti ulovljene ribe.

(43) U skladu s ***postupnom*** zabranom odbacivanja ulova ***i postupnim uvođenjem obveze iskrcavanja cjelokupnog ulova, koji su predviđeni*** ZRP-om, EFPR bi trebao podupirati ulaganja u plovila čiji je cilj omogućiti optimalno iskorištenje neželjenog ulova i vrednovanje premalo iskorištenih dijelova ulovljene ribe. Uzimajući u obzir oskudicu resursa i s ciljem povećanja vrijednosti ulovljene ribe, EFPR bi trebao podupirati i ulaganja u plovila čiji je cilj povećanje komercijalne vrijednosti ulovljene ribe.

Amandman 69

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 43.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(43.a) S obzirom na rizike koji su povezani s ulaganjima u ribolovne aktivnosti, EFPR bi trebao pridonositi sigurnosti poslovanja omogućujući pristup osiguranju koje pokriva

proizvodne rizike, štiteći time prihod proizvođača u slučaju neuobičajenih gubitaka u proizvodnji koji osobito nastaju zbog prirodnih katastrofa, nepovoljnih klimatskih događaja, iznenadnih promjena u kvaliteti vode, bolesti ili napada štetnika te uništenja proizvodnih alata.

Amandman 70

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 44.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(44) Imajući na umu važnost ribarskih luka, iskrcajnih mjesta i zakloništa, EFPR bi trebao podupirati odgovarajuća ulaganja, osobito ona čiji je cilj poboljšati energetske učinkovitost, zaštitu okoliša, kvalitetu iskranih proizvoda te sigurnost i radne uvjete.

Izmjena

(44) Imajući na umu važnost ribarskih luka, *licitacijskih dvorana*, iskrcajnih mjesta i zakloništa, EFPR bi trebao podupirati odgovarajuća ulaganja, osobito ona čiji je cilj poboljšati energetske učinkovitost, zaštitu okoliša, kvalitetu iskranih proizvoda te sigurnost i radne uvjete.

Amandman 71

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 44.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(44.a) S ciljem promicanja malog obalnog ribolova države članice bi trebale svojim operativnim programima priložiti planove djelovanja za razvoj takvog ribolova i za osiguranje njegove konkurentnosti i održivosti.

Justification

Small-scale and coastal fishing makes a key contribution to the vitality of coastal areas. The EMFF should therefore help to develop such fishing and ensure that it is competitive and sustainable. The rapporteur proposes that each Member State should attach to its operational programme an action plan setting out the steps to be taken with a view to this.

Amandman 72

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 44.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(44.b) EFPR bi trebao podupirati uspostavu koherentne mreže područja oporavka ribljih stokova na kojima su zabranjene sve ribolovne aktivnosti i koja uključuju područja važna za produktivnost ribe, osobito rastilišta, mrjestilišta i hranilišta ribljih stokova.

Amandman 73

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 44.c (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(44.c) Neke bi odredbe o EFPR-u trebalo prilagoditi kako bi se ispunile potrebe najudaljenijih regija i istodobno nastavio ostvarivati glavni cilj, a to je osigurati održiv i odgovoran ribolov i akvakulturu. EFPR bi posebno trebao uzeti u obzir činjenicu da neke od tih regija zaostaju u pogledu procjene resursa, infrastrukture, organiziranosti industrije i praćenja aktivnosti i proizvodnje. Sredstva EFPR-a trebalo bi stoga upotrijebiti za osuvremenjivanje sektora, posebno njegove infrastrukture, te za obnovu i modernizaciju njegovih proizvodnih resursa, vodeći računa o specifičnim okolnostima u morskim bazenima najudaljenijih regija i raspoloživosti resursa.

Justification

The EMFF should take due account of the specific circumstances in outermost regions.

Amandman 74

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 45.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(45) Od ključne je važnosti za Uniju da se uspostavi ravnoteža između slatkovodnih resursa i njihovog iskorištavanja; **stoga**, vodeći računa o utjecaju na okoliš i istodobno osiguravajući da ti sektori ostanu ekonomski održivi, odgovarajućim bi mjerama trebalo podupirati slatkovodni ribolov.

Izmjena

(45) Od ključne je važnosti za Uniju da se uspostavi ravnoteža između slatkovodnih resursa i njihovog iskorištavanja, **s obzirom na činjenicu da su riječni bazeni, ušća i obalne lagune pogodna staništa za razmnožavanje i odrastanje mnogih vrsta nedoraslih riba**, te vodeći računa o utjecaju na okoliš i istodobno osiguravajući da ti sektori ostanu ekonomski održivi, odgovarajućim bi mjerama trebalo podupirati slatkovodni ribolov.

Amandman 75

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 46.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(46) U skladu sa strategijom Komisije za održivi razvoj europske akvakulture, ciljevima ZRP-a i strategijom Europe 2020., EFPR bi trebao podupirati ekološki, gospodarski i socijalno održivi razvoj industrije akvakulture.

Izmjena

(46) U skladu sa strategijom Komisije za održivi razvoj europske akvakulture, ciljevima ZRP-a i strategijom Europe 2020., EFPR bi trebao podupirati ekološki, gospodarski i socijalno održivi razvoj industrije akvakulture, **s posebnim naglaskom na promicanje ekoinovacija, smanjenje ovisnosti o ribljem brašnu i ulju, poboljšanje dobrobiti uzgajanih organizama i promicanje ekološke akvakulture u zatvorenom sustavu.**

Amandman 76

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 46.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(46.a) Zbog mogućeg utjecaja uzgajanih životinja pobjeglih iz ribogojilišta na divlje populacije morskih organizama, EFPR ne bi smio davati poticaje za uzgoj egzotičnih vrsta ili genetski modificiranih organizama.

Amandman 77

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 47.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(47) Poljoprivreda pridonosi rastu i stvaranju radnih mjesta u obalnim i ruralnim regijama. Stoga je ključno da EFPR bude dostupan poduzećima u akvakulturi, **osobito malim i srednjim poduzećima**, te da pridonosi ulasku novih uzgajivača u sektor akvakulture. Kako bi se povećala konkurentnost i gospodarska uspješnost aktivnosti akvakulture, nužno je poticati inovacije i poduzetništvo. EFPR bi stoga trebao podupirati inovativne operacije i razvoj poduzeća, **posebno** neprehrambenu akvakulturu i akvakulturu na otvorenom moru.

(47) Poljoprivreda pridonosi rastu i stvaranju radnih mjesta u obalnim i ruralnim regijama. Stoga je ključno da EFPR bude dostupan poduzećima u akvakulturi, **neovisno o njihovoj veličini**, te da pridonosi ulasku novih uzgajivača u sektor akvakulture. Kako bi se povećala konkurentnost i gospodarska uspješnost aktivnosti akvakulture, nužno je poticati inovacije i poduzetništvo. EFPR bi stoga trebao podupirati inovativne operacije i razvoj poduzeća **u akvakulturi općenito, uključujući** neprehrambenu akvakulturu i akvakulturu na otvorenom moru.

Justification

The EMFF should support aquaculture enterprises in general, regardless of their size. Large companies interested in investing in structural projects that support knowledge and innovation should therefore also receive assistance. Given the need to supply fish for human consumption, non-food aquaculture should not be a development priority. Off-shore aquaculture is not feasible in many coastal areas of the EU.

Amandman 78

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 48.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(48) Već se pokazalo da novi oblici prihoda u kombinaciji s aktivnostima akvakulture stvaraju dodanu vrijednost za razvoj poslovanja. Stoga bi EFPR trebao podupirati te dopunske aktivnosti izvan akvakulture, kao što su turistički ribolov, aktivnosti u području izobrazbe ili aktivnosti u području okoliša.

Izmjena

(48) Već se pokazalo da novi oblici prihoda u kombinaciji s aktivnostima akvakulture stvaraju dodanu vrijednost za razvoj poslovanja. Stoga bi EFPR trebao podupirati te dopunske aktivnosti izvan akvakulture, kao što su turistički ribolov, ***turizam povezan s akvakulturom koji promiče sektor akvakulture i njegove proizvode***, aktivnosti u području izobrazbe ili aktivnosti u području okoliša.

Amandman 79

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 49.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(49) Drugi važan način na koji poduzeća u akvakulturi mogu povećati svoj prihod je dodavanje vrijednosti svojim proizvodima preradom i stavljanjem na tržište vlastite proizvodnje, kao i uvođenjem novih vrsta koje imaju dobre izgleda za uspjeh na tržištu te im omogućuju diversifikaciju proizvodnje.

Izmjena

(49) Drugi važan način na koji poduzeća u akvakulturi mogu povećati svoj prihod je dodavanje vrijednosti svojim proizvodima preradom i stavljanjem na tržište vlastite proizvodnje, kao i uvođenjem novih vrsta koje ***su biološki kompatibilne s postojećim vrstama i*** imaju dobre izgleda za uspjeh na tržištu te im omogućuju diversifikaciju proizvodnje.

Amandman 80

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 50.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(50) S obzirom na to da je za razvoj akvakulture potrebno odrediti najprikladnija područja u smislu pristupa vodama i prostoru, EFPR bi trebao pružati potporu nacionalnim tijelima u donošenju

Izmjena

(50) S obzirom na to da je za razvoj akvakulture potrebno odrediti najprikladnija ***zemljopisna*** područja u smislu pristupa vodama i prostoru ***i da je važno razviti predostrožan pristup kako bi***

strateških odluka na nacionalnoj razini.

se osigurala održivost stokova, EFPR bi trebao pružati potporu nacionalnim tijelima u donošenju strateških odluka na nacionalnoj razini *kao i regionalnim tijelima u razvoju njihovih regionalnih varijanti*.

Amandman 81

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 51.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(51) Ulaganje u ljudski kapital također je od ključne važnosti za povećanje konkurentnosti i gospodarske uspješnosti aktivnosti u akvakulturi. EFPR bi stoga trebao podupirati cjeloživotno učenje i stvaranje mreža koje potiču širenje znanja, *kao i savjetodavne službe koje pomažu poboljšati ukupnu uspješnost i konkurentnost* gospodarskih subjekata.

Izmjena

(51) Ulaganje u ljudski kapital također je od ključne važnosti za povećanje konkurentnosti i gospodarske uspješnosti aktivnosti u akvakulturi. EFPR bi stoga trebao podupirati cjeloživotno učenje i stvaranje mreža koje potiču širenje *i razmjenu* znanja *i dobrih praksi, preko svih savjetodavnih službi nadležnih za predmetna područja, uključujući strukovna udruženja, tako da ona mogu pomoći u poboljšanju ukupne uspješnosti i konkurentnosti* gospodarskih subjekata.

Amandman 82

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 51.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(51.a) S obzirom na to da je za razvoj akvakulture potrebno odrediti zemljopisna područja koja nude veće mogućnosti u smislu pristupa vodi i kopnu, EFPR bi trebao pružati potporu nacionalnim i regionalnim tijelima u donošenju strateških odluka, posebno u pogledu određivanja i kartiranja zona koje se mogu smatrati najprikladnijima za razvoj

akvakulture, uzimajući u obzir, ako je relevantno, postupak pomorskog prostornog planiranja.

Amandman 83

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 52.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(52) S ciljem promicanja ekološki održive akvakulture, EFPR bi trebao podupirati aktivnosti akvakulture koje jamče visoku razinu zaštite okoliša, prijelaz poduzeća u akvakulturi na **ekološko upravljanje**, korištenje revizijskih programa kao i prijelaz na ekološku akvakulturu. Na isti način bi EFPR također trebao podržavati akvakulturu za pružanje posebnih usluga zaštite okoliša.

Izmjena

(52) S ciljem promicanja ekološki, **socijalno i gospodarski** održive akvakulture, EFPR bi trebao podupirati aktivnosti akvakulture koje jamče visoku razinu zaštite okoliša, prijelaz poduzeća u akvakulturi na **održivo upravljanje**, korištenje revizijskih programa kao i prijelaz na ekološku akvakulturu. Na isti način bi EFPR također trebao podržavati akvakulturu za pružanje posebnih usluga zaštite okoliša **i usluge od javnog interesa**.

Amandman 84

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 53.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(53) S obzirom na važnost zaštite potrošača, EFPR bi trebao osigurati odgovarajuću potporu uzgajivačima kako bi se spriječili i ublažili rizici za javno zdravlje i zdravlje životinja koje može izazvati uzgoj životinja u akvakulturi.

Izmjena

(53) S obzirom na važnost zaštite potrošača, EFPR bi trebao osigurati odgovarajuću potporu uzgajivačima kako bi se spriječili i ublažili rizici za javno zdravlje i zdravlje životinja koje može izazvati uzgoj životinja u akvakulturi, **osobito kroz programe čiji je cilj smanjiti ovisnost akvakulturnih aktivnosti o veterinarskim proizvodima**.

Amandman 85

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 53.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(53.a) EFPR bi trebao podupirati uspostavu koherentne mreže područja oporavka ribljih stokova koja uključuju i područja važna za produktivnost ribe, osobito rastilišta, mrjestilišta i hranilišta ribljih stokova, i na kojima su zabranjene sve ribolovne aktivnosti.

Amandman 86

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 54.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(54) S obzirom na rizike koji su povezani s ulaganjima u akvakulturne aktivnosti, EFPR bi trebao pridonositi sigurnosti poslovanja omogućujući pristup osiguranju akvakulturnih stokova, štiteći time prihod proizvođača u slučaju neuobičajenih gubitaka u proizvodnji koji osobito nastaju zbog prirodnih katastrofa, nepovoljnih klimatskih događaja, iznenadnih promjena u kvaliteti vode, bolesti ili napada štetnika te uništenja proizvodnih objekata.

(54) S obzirom na rizike koji su povezani s ulaganjima u akvakulturne aktivnosti, EFPR bi trebao pridonositi sigurnosti poslovanja omogućujući pristup osiguranju akvakulturnih stokova **ili podupirući razvoj uzajamnih fondova**, štiteći time prihod proizvođača u slučaju neuobičajenih gubitaka u proizvodnji koji osobito nastaju zbog prirodnih katastrofa, nepovoljnih klimatskih događaja, iznenadnih promjena u kvaliteti vode, bolesti ili napada štetnika te uništenja proizvodnih objekata.

Amandman 87

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 55.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(55) Uzimajući u obzir da se pristup lokalnom razvoju koji se temelji na tome

(55) Uzimajući u obzir da se pristup lokalnom razvoju koji se temelji na tome

da ga vodi zajednica zadnjih godina pokazao korisnim u promicanju razvoja ribarskih i ruralnih **područja** jer u potpunosti uzima u obzir višesektorske potrebe za endogenim razvojem, potporu bi trebalo nastaviti i u budućnosti je pojačati.

da ga vodi zajednica zadnjih godina pokazao korisnim u promicanju razvoja ribarskih i ruralnih **zajednica** jer u potpunosti uzima u obzir višesektorske potrebe za endogenim razvojem, potporu bi trebalo nastaviti i u budućnosti je pojačati.

Amandman 88

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 56.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(56) U ribarskim područjima, lokalni razvoj koji vodi zajednica trebao bi poticati inovativne pristupe kako bi se potaknuo rast i stvorila radna mjesta, posebno dodavanjem vrijednosti proizvodima ribarstva i diversifikacijom lokalnog gospodarstva prema novim gospodarskim djelatnostima, uključujući i one koje nude „plavi rast” i širi pomorski sektori.

Izmjena

(56) U ribarskim područjima, lokalni razvoj koji vodi zajednica trebao bi poticati inovativne pristupe kako bi se potaknuo rast i stvorila radna mjesta, posebno dodavanjem vrijednosti proizvodima ribarstva i diversifikacijom lokalnog gospodarstva **isto tako i** prema novim gospodarskim djelatnostima, uključujući i one koje nude „plavi rast” i širi pomorski sektori.

Amandman 89

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 57.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(57) Održivi razvoj ribarskih područja trebao bi pridonijeti ciljevima EU 2020. za promicanje društvene uključenosti i smanjenje siromaštva te poticanju inovacija na lokalnoj razini, kao i teritorijalnoj koheziji, koja je jedan od glavnih prioriteta Lisabonskog ugovora.

Izmjena

(57) Održivi razvoj ribarskih područja trebao bi pridonijeti ciljevima EU 2020. za promicanje društvene uključenosti i smanjenje siromaštva, **povećanju stopa zaposlenosti** te poticanju inovacija, **uključujući socijalne inovacije**, na lokalnoj razini, kao i teritorijalnoj koheziji, koja je jedan od glavnih prioriteta Lisabonskog ugovora.

Amandman 90

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 58.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(58) Lokalni razvoj koji vodi zajednica trebalo bi provodi u skladu s pristupom „odozdo prema gore” u okviru lokalnih partnerstava u kojima sudjeluju predstavnici javnog i privatnog sektora i civilnog društva i koja pravilno odražavaju lokalno društvo; ti su lokalni akteri u najboljem položaju da izrade i provode integrirane višesektorske strategije lokalnog razvoja kako bi odgovorili na potrebe svojih lokalnih ribarskih područja; ***kako bi se osigurala zastupljenost lokalnih akcijskih skupina***, važno je da ***nijedna interesna skupina nema više od 49 % glasačkih prava*** u tijelima nadležnima za odlučivanje.

Izmjena

(58) Lokalni razvoj koji vodi zajednica trebalo bi provodi u skladu s pristupom „odozdo prema gore” u okviru lokalnih partnerstava u kojima sudjeluju predstavnici javnog i privatnog sektora i civilnog društva i koja pravilno odražavaju lokalno društvo; ti su lokalni akteri u najboljem položaju da izrade i provode integrirane višesektorske strategije lokalnog razvoja kako bi odgovorili na potrebe svojih lokalnih ribarskih područja. ***Kako bi se osiguralo da su lokalne akcijske skupine reprezentativne i da djelovanje tih skupina odgovara na izazove u sektorima ribarstva i akvakulture***, važno je da ***ribari i/ili uzgajivači riba čine većinu gospodarskih subjekata zastupljenih*** u tijelima nadležnima za odlučivanje.

Amandman 91

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 60.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(60) Potpora ribarskim područjima u okviru EFPR-a trebala bi biti usklađena s potporom ruralnom razvoju iz drugih fondova Unije te bi trebala obuhvaćati sve aspekte pripreme i provedbe strategija lokalnog razvoja i djelovanja lokalnih akcijskih skupina, kao i troškove animiranja lokalnog područja i funkcioniranja lokalnog partnerstva.

Izmjena

(60) Potpora ribarskim područjima u okviru EFPR-a trebala bi biti usklađena s potporom ruralnom razvoju iz drugih fondova Unije te bi trebala obuhvaćati sve aspekte pripreme i provedbe strategija lokalnog razvoja i djelovanja lokalnih akcijskih skupina, kao i troškove animiranja lokalnog područja i funkcioniranja lokalnog partnerstva. ***Ta bi potpora trebala uključivati mogućnost***

pristupa tehničkoj pomoći, osobito instrumentima financijskog inženjeringa, za uspostavu projekata lokalnog razvoja, osobito onih za mali obalni ribolov i ribolov na kopnenim vodama.

Justification

There should be access to technical assistance for local development projects led by people involved in small-scale and coastal fishing as well as fishing in inland waters.

Amandman 92

**Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 61.**

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(61) Kako bi se osigurala održivost ribarstva i akvakulture na visoko konkurentnom tržištu, potrebno je utvrditi odredbe o pružanju potpore za provedbu [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta proizvodima ribarstva i akvakulture]¹ kao i za aktivnosti marketinga i prerade koje gospodarski subjekti obavljaju kako bi povećali vrijednost proizvoda ribarstva i akvakulture. Posebnu bi pozornost trebalo posvetiti promicanju operacija koje povezuju proizvodne, prerađivačke i marketinške aktivnosti u opskrbnom lancu. ***Radi prilagodbe novoj politici zabrane odbacivanja ulova, EFPR bi također trebao podupirati preradu neželjenog ulova.***

Izmjena

(61) Kako bi se osigurala održivost ribarstva i akvakulture na visoko konkurentnom tržištu, potrebno je utvrditi odredbe o pružanju potpore za provedbu [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta proizvodima ribarstva i akvakulture]¹ kao i za aktivnosti marketinga i prerade koje gospodarski subjekti obavljaju kako bi povećali vrijednost proizvoda ribarstva i akvakulture. Posebnu bi pozornost trebalo posvetiti promicanju operacija koje povezuju proizvodne, prerađivačke i marketinške aktivnosti u opskrbnom lancu.

Amandman 93

**Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 62.**

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(62) Prednost bi trebalo davati organizacijama proizvođača i udruženjima organizacija proizvođača dodjeljujući im potporu. ***Postupno bi trebalo ukinuti naknadu za pomoć za skladištenje i pomoć za planove proizvodnje i prodaje jer je takva vrsta pomoći izgubila na značaju zbog izmijenjene strukture tržišta Unije za tu vrstu proizvoda i sve veće važnosti jakih organizacija proizvođača.***

Amandman 94

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 63.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(63) S obzirom na to da se ribari koji se bave malim obalnim ribolovom suočavaju sa sve većom konkurencijom, EFPR bi trebao podupirati poduzetničke inicijative koje takvi ribari poduzimaju kako bi ribi koju ulove dali dodatnu vrijednost, i to osobito preradom ili izravnom prodajom ulovljene ribe.

Amandman 95

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 63.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(62) Prednost bi trebalo davati organizacijama proizvođača i udruženjima organizacija proizvođača dodjeljujući im potporu.

Izmjena

(63) S obzirom na to da se ribari koji se bave malim obalnim ribolovom suočavaju sa sve većom konkurencijom ***i da određene priobalne zajednice ovisе o ribolovu,*** EFPR bi trebao podupirati poduzetničke inicijative koje takvi ribari poduzimaju kako bi ribi koju ulove dali dodatnu vrijednost, i to osobito preradom ili izravnom prodajom ulovljene ribe.

Izmjena

(63.a) EFPR bi trebao podupirati poduzetničke inicijative i zajedničke inicijative koje se poduzimaju kako bi se postigli ciljevi Unije u područjima zaštite okoliša i očuvanja ribljih stokova uspostavom zajedničkih vodno-okolišnih mjera, posebno za ribolov na kopnenim

vodama.

Justification

The EMFF should support joint initiatives by operators in small-scale and coastal fishing and fishing in inland waters where these concern environmental protection and the preservation of fish stocks.

Amandman 96

**Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 64.**

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(64) **Ribolovne** aktivnosti u najudaljenijim regijama Europske unije se suočavaju s poteškoćama, osobito zbog **dodatnih troškova koji nastaju pri stavljanju određenih proizvoda ribarstva na tržište i posljedica su** posebnih ograničenja utvrđenih u članku 349. Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije.

Izmjena

(64) **S obzirom na to da se ribolovne** aktivnosti u najudaljenijim regijama Europske unije suočavaju s poteškoćama, osobito zbog **udaljenosti i posebnih klimatskih uvjeta, EFPR bi trebao uzeti u obzir** posebna ograničenja utvrđena u članku 349. Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije.

Justification

The difficulties faced by the outermost regions are linked to other factors apart from the additional costs incurred by marketing.

Amandman 97

**Prijedlog Uredbe
Uvodna izjava 65.**

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(65) Kako bi se zadržala konkurentnost određenih proizvoda ribarstva iz najudaljenijih regija Europske unije u odnosu na konkurentnost sličnih proizvoda iz drugih regija Europske unije, Europska je unija 1992. donijela mjere s ciljem nadoknade odgovarajućih dodatnih troškova u sektoru ribarstva. Mjere koje se primjenjuju za razdoblje 2007.–2013.

Izmjena

(65) Kako bi se zadržala konkurentnost određenih proizvoda ribarstva iz najudaljenijih regija Europske unije u odnosu na konkurentnost sličnih proizvoda iz drugih regija Europske unije, Europska je unija 1992. donijela mjere s ciljem nadoknade odgovarajućih dodatnih troškova u sektoru ribarstva. Mjere koje se primjenjuju za razdoblje 2007.–2013.

utvrđene su Uredbom Vijeća (EZ) br. 791/2007¹. Potrebno je **nastaviti pružati** potporu za nadoknadu dodatnih troškova stavljanja određenih proizvoda ribarstva na tržište, i to od 1. siječnja 2014.

utvrđene su Uredbom Vijeća (EZ) br. 791/2007¹. **S obzirom na poteškoće s kojima se suočavaju ribolovne aktivnosti u najudaljenijim regijama**, potrebno je **povećati** potporu za nadoknadu dodatnih troškova stavljanja određenih proizvoda ribarstva na tržište, i to od 1. siječnja 2014.

Amandman 98

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 66.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(66) Zbog drugačijih tržišnih uvjeta u najudaljenijim regijama, oscilacija u ulovu i ribljim stokovima te zbog tržišne potražnje, trebalo bi dopustiti dotičnim državama članicama da same odrede proizvode ribarstva koji su prihvatljivi za nadoknadu, odgovarajuće maksimalne količine te iznos nadoknada u okviru ukupnih sredstava dodijeljenih po državi članici.

Izmjena

(66) Zbog drugačijih **uvjeta proizvodnje i prerade i** tržišnih uvjeta **za proizvode ribarstva i akvakulture** u najudaljenijim regijama, **i** oscilacija u ulovu i ribljim stokovima te zbog tržišne potražnje, trebalo bi dopustiti dotičnim državama članicama da same odrede proizvode ribarstva **i akvakulture ili kategorije proizvoda koji će biti** prihvatljivi za nadoknadu, odgovarajuće maksimalne količine te iznos nadoknada u okviru ukupnih sredstava dodijeljenih po državi članici.

Amandman 99

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 68.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(68) Države članice trebale bi utvrditi visinu nadoknada na razini koja omogućuje odgovarajuću nadoknadu dodatnih troškova koji nastaju zbog posebnih ograničenja najudaljenijih regija, **a posebno zbog troškova prijevoza proizvoda do kontinentalnog dijela Europe**. Kako bi se izbjegle prevelike

Izmjena

(68) Države članice trebale bi utvrditi visinu nadoknada na razini koja omogućuje odgovarajuću nadoknadu dodatnih troškova koji nastaju zbog posebnih ograničenja najudaljenijih regija. Kako bi se izbjegle prevelike nadoknade, njihov bi iznos trebao biti razmjern dodatnim troškovima koji se nadoknađuju potporom i

nadoknade, njihov bi iznos trebao biti razmjeran dodatnim troškovima koji se nadoknađuju potporom i ni u kojem slučaju ne smije premašiti 100 % troškova prijevoza do kontinentalne Europe i drugih povezanih troškova. U tu bi svrhu također trebalo uzeti u obzir ostale vrste javnih intervencija koje utječu na visinu dodatnih troškova.

ni u kojem slučaju ne smije premašiti 100 % troškova prijevoza do kontinentalne Europe i drugih povezanih troškova. U tu bi svrhu također trebalo uzeti u obzir ostale vrste javnih intervencija koje utječu na visinu dodatnih troškova.

Justification

The availability of assistance should not have the effect of limiting trade between the outermost regions.

Amandman 100

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 69.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(69) Od iznimne je važnosti da države članice i gospodarski subjekti imaju odgovarajuću opremu za provođenje kontrola u skladu s visokim standardima, osiguravajući time sukladnost s pravilima zajedničke ribarstvene politike i istodobno omogućujući održivo iskorištavanje živih vodenih resursa; EFPR bi stoga trebao pružati potporu državama članicama i gospodarskim subjektima u skladu s Uredbom Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009. Ta bi potpora trebala pridonositi održivom rastu uspostavljajući kulturu poštovanja pravila.,

Izmjena

(69) Od iznimne je važnosti da države članice i gospodarski subjekti imaju odgovarajuću opremu za provođenje kontrola u skladu s visokim standardima, osiguravajući time sukladnost s pravilima zajedničke ribarstvene politike i istodobno omogućujući održivo iskorištavanje živih vodenih resursa; EFPR bi stoga trebao pružati potporu državama članicama i gospodarskim subjektima u skladu s Uredbom Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009. Ta bi potpora trebala pridonositi održivom rastu uspostavljajući kulturu poštovanja pravila., ***Kako bi se ujednačio i pojačao nadzor, države članice trebale bi moći uspostaviti zajedničke sustave kontrola.***

Amandman 101

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 70.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(70) Potpora državama članicama na temelju Uredbe (EZ) br. 861/2006 za izdatke nastale u vezi s provedbom sustava kontrole Unije trebala bi se **nastaviti dodjeljivati** u okviru EFPR-a, slijedeći logiku jedinstvenog fonda.

Izmjena

(70) Potpora državama članicama na temelju Uredbe (EZ) br. 861/2006 za izdatke nastale u vezi s provedbom sustava kontrole Unije trebala bi se **povećati** u okviru EFPR-a, slijedeći logiku jedinstvenog fonda.

Amandman 102

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 72. a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(72.a) EFPR treba podržavati sredstva za dodatne aktivnosti kontrole i inspekcije u područjima u kojima je prijavljen nezakoniti ribolov (IUU).

Amandman 103

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 73.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(73.) Trebale bi se propisati odredbe za potporu za prikupljanje, upravljanje i korištenje podataka o ribarstvu kako je i navedeno u višegodišnjem programu Unije, a osobito za potporu nacionalnog programa te vođenje i korištenje podataka za znanstvene analize i provedbe zajedničke ribarstvene politike (ZRP). Potpora koja je dodijeljena državama članicama temeljem Uredbe (EZ) br. 861/2006 za troškove vezane uz prikupljanje, upravljanje i korištenje podataka o ribarstvu trebala bi se nastaviti prema EFPR-u slijedeći primjer jednog fonda.

(73.) **Relevantni dionici trebaju biti informirani o postupcima putem Savjetodavnog vijeća.** Trebale bi se propisati odredbe za potporu za prikupljanje, upravljanje i korištenje podataka o ribarstvu kako je i navedeno u višegodišnjem programu Unije, a osobito za potporu nacionalnog programa te vođenje i korištenje podataka za znanstvene analize i provedbe zajedničke ribarstvene politike (ZRP). Potpora koja je dodijeljena državama članicama temeljem Uredbe (EZ) br. 861/2006 za troškove vezane uz prikupljanje, upravljanje i korištenje podataka o ribarstvu trebala bi se nastaviti prema EFPR-u slijedeći primjer

jednog fonda.

Amandman 104

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 73. a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(73.a) Mora se naglasiti osobita važnost financiranja prikupljanja podataka, što je osnova zajedničke ribarstvene politike. To je neophodan preduvjet za određivanje preciznih ciljeva koji se žele postići, osobito vezano uz maksimalni održivi prinos i bolje upravljanje ribarstvom. U tom smislu potrebno je osigurati da se za prikupljanje podataka dodijeli dio proračuna EFPR-a koji je razmjern njegovoj važnosti. Također je potrebno osigurati stopu sufinanciranja koja će potaknuti cjelokupan pregled stanja europskih zaliha ribe.

Amandman 105

Prijedlog uredbe Uvodna izjava 74.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(74.) Također je potrebno podržati suradnju među državama članicama, kao i s trećim zemljama tamo gdje je to važno, s obzirom na prikupljanje podataka unutar istog morskog bazena, kao i s relevantnim međunarodnim znanstvenim tijelima.

(74.) Također je potrebno podržati suradnju među državama članicama, kao i s trećim zemljama tamo gdje je to važno, s obzirom na prikupljanje podataka unutar istog morskog bazena, kao i s relevantnim međunarodnim znanstvenim tijelima *i regionalnim Savjetodavnim vijećem.*

Amandman 106

Prijedlog uredbe Uvodna izjava 76.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(76.) Potrebno je kontinuirano financirati provedbu i daljnji razvoj integrirane pomorske politike Europske unije kako je i navedeno u izvješćima Vijeća, Europskog parlamenta i Odbora regija.

Izmjena

(76.) Potrebno je kontinuirano financirati provedbu i daljnji razvoj integrirane pomorske politike Europske unije kako je i navedeno u ***Uredbi (EU) br. 1255/2011 Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od 30. studenog 2011., o uspostavi Programa za potporu daljnjeg razvoja integrirane pomorske politike¹*** i izvješćima Vijeća, Europskog parlamenta i Odbora regija. ***Očekuje se da će razvoj pomorstva kroz financijske potpore za mjere integrirane pomorske politike imati značajan utjecaj u smislu ekonomske, društvene i teritorijalne povezanosti.***

¹ SL L321, 5.12.2011., str.1

Amandman 107

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 76. a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(76.a) U tom bi smislu EFPR trebao biti namijenjen za potporu istraživačkog rada na aktivnostima kojima je cilj promicati strateške ciljeve integrirane pomorske politike, a gdje je odgovarajuća pažnja usmjerena na njihov cjelokupan učinak i to na temelju pristupa ekosustavu, održivom gospodarskom razvoju, zapošljavanju, inovativnosti i kompetitivnosti u obalnim, otočkim i najudaljenijim regijama, te za promicanje međunarodne dimenzije integrirane pomorske politike.

Amandman 108

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 77.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(77.) EFPR treba podržavati promicanje integriranog upravljanja morima na svim razinama, posebice kroz razmjenu najboljih praksi i daljnjem razvoju i provedbi strategija za morske bazene. Te su strategije usmjerene na uspostavu cjelovitog okvira za rješavanje zajedničkih izazova u europskim morskim bazenima, te na jačanje suradnje između dionika kako bi se maksimalno povećalo korištenje financijskih instrumenata i fondova Unije i kako bi se doprinijelo gospodarskoj, društvenoj i teritorijalnoj povezanosti Unije.

Izmjena

(77.) EFPRF treba podržavati promicanje integriranog upravljanja morima na svim razinama, posebice kroz razmjenu najboljih praksi i daljnjem razvoju i provedbi strategija za morske bazene. ***U tom je kontekstu vrlo važno poboljšati upravljanje morima, uključujući i jačanje suradnje i koordinacije, na odgovarajućoj razini, nadležnih tijela koja obavljaju funkciju obalne straže u Uniji, osiguravajući zdravija i sigurnija mora i oceane i to posebice provođenjem postojećih pomorskih propisa.*** Te su strategije usmjerene na uspostavu cjelovitog okvira za rješavanje zajedničkih izazova u europskim morskim bazenima, te na jačanje suradnje između dionika kako bi se maksimalno povećalo korištenje financijskih instrumenata i fondova Unije i kako bi se doprinijelo gospodarskoj, društvenoj i teritorijalnoj povezanosti Unije ***i održivost okoliša. Stoga je vrlo važno poboljšati i unaprijediti vanjsku suradnju i koordinaciju u odnosu na postizanje ciljeva integrirane pomorske politike, na temelju Konvencije Ujedinjenih naroda o pravu mora (UNCLOS).***

Justification

IMP funding throughout the years 2014–20 should be made available for supporting actions targeting cooperation and coordination among the competent authorities performing coast guard functions in the EU. Effective implementation of the wide array of EU and international maritime legislation is a typical coast guard function in all EU Member States and a prerequisite for good maritime governance for ensuring healthier, safer and more secure seas. Therefore, cross-border cooperation and coordination should be supported by

Amandman 109

Prijedlog uredbe Uvodna izjava 77. a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(77.a) Kako bi se poboljšalo usklađivanje rasporeda sredstava za ribarstvo i akvakulturu i sredstava za integriranu pomorsku politiku, EFPR treba osigurati određeni okvir za promicanje postignuća kojima su ribarstvo i akvakultura doprinijeli integriranoj pomorskoj politici. Neophodno je poticanje punog razmatranja tih aktivnosti kroz potporu za sudjelovanje u integriranom upravljanju i kolektivnim projektima koji doprinose provedbi integrirane pomorske politike.

Amandman 110

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 79.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(79.) Međusobna povezanost određenih informacijskih sustava kojima upravljaju ti sektori mogu zahtijevati mobilizaciju vlastitih mehanizama financiranja na dosljedan način i u skladu s odredbama Ugovora. Pomorsko prostorno planiranje i integrirano upravljanje priobalnim područjima neophodni su za održivi razvoj morskih i priobalnih područja, te doprinose ciljevima upravljanja koji se zasnivaju na ekosustavu i razvoju povezanosti kopna i mora. Ti alati također su važni za upravljanje raznovrsnim korištenjem naših obala, mora i oceana kako bi se omogućio

(79.) Međusobna povezanost određenih informacijskih sustava kojima upravljaju ti sektori mogu zahtijevati mobilizaciju vlastitih mehanizama financiranja na dosljedan način i u skladu s odredbama Ugovora. Pomorsko prostorno planiranje i integrirano upravljanje priobalnim područjima neophodni su za održivi razvoj morskih i priobalnih područja, te doprinose ciljevima upravljanja koji se zasnivaju na ekosustavu i razvoju povezanosti kopna i mora. Ti alati također su važni za upravljanje raznovrsnim korištenjem naših obala, mora i oceana kako bi se omogućio

njihov održiv gospodarski razvoj i kako bi se poticale prekogranične investicije, dok će provedba Okvirne direktive o morskoj strategiji dodatno definirati granice održivosti ljudskih aktivnosti koje imaju utjecaja na morski okoliš. Nadalje, potrebno je poboljšati znanje o morskom svijetu i poticati inovacije pomoću prikupljanja, razmjene, ponovne uporabe i distribucije podataka o statusu mora i oceana.

njihov održiv gospodarski razvoj i kako bi se poticale prekogranične investicije, dok će provedba Okvirne direktive o morskoj strategiji dodatno definirati granice održivosti **industrijskih, građevinskih i** ljudskih aktivnosti koje imaju utjecaja na morski okoliš. Nadalje, potrebno je poboljšati znanje o morskom svijetu i poticati inovacije pomoću prikupljanja, razmjene, ponovne uporabe i distribucije podataka o statusu mora i oceana **i statusu ribarstva, te omogućiti da budu dostupni krajnjim korisnicima i široj javnosti.**

Amandman 111

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 80.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(80.) EFPR također treba podržati održiv gospodarski rast, zaposlenost, inovacije i konkurentnost unutar pomorskog sektora i obalne regije. Posebno je važno prepoznati regulatorne prepreke i nedostatak vještina koje ometaju rast razvoja novih i potencijalnih pomorskih sektora, kao i operacije usmjerene na poticanje ulaganja u tehnološke inovacije koje su potrebne da bi se unaprijedio poslovni potencijal morskih i pomorskih aplikacija.

Izmjena

(80.) EFPR također treba podržati održiv gospodarski rast, zaposlenost, inovacije i konkurentnost unutar pomorskog sektora i obalne regije. Posebno je važno prepoznati regulatorne prepreke i nedostatak vještina koje ometaju rast razvoja novih i potencijalnih pomorskih sektora, kao i operacije usmjerene na poticanje ulaganja u tehnološke inovacije koje su potrebne da bi se unaprijedio poslovni potencijal morskih i pomorskih aplikacija. **EFPR treba podržati mjere za razvoj obrazovanja i stručnog usavršavanja u tom sektoru, uključujući nabavu opreme i alate potrebne za poboljšanje kvalitete obrazovanja i pružanja usluga za usavršavanje.**

Amandman 112

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 81.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(81.) EFPR treba nadopunjavati i biti u skladu s postojećim i budućim financijskim instrumentima dostupnima od strane Unije i država članica na nacionalnoj i subnacionalnoj razini, za promoviranje zaštite i održivog korištenja oceana, mora i obale, za pomoć u poticanju učinkovitije suradnje država članica i njihovih priobalnih, otočnih i najudaljenijih regija, a uzimajući u obzir prvenstveno pravo i napredak nacionalnih i lokalnih projekata. Fond će se povezati s drugim politikama Unije koje mogu obuhvatiti pomorsku dimenziju, a posebice s Europskim fondom za regionalni razvoj, Kohezijskim fondom i Europskim socijalnim fondom, kao i s programom za istraživanje i energetska politiku "Horizon 2020".

Izmjena

(81.) EFPR treba nadopunjavati i biti u skladu s postojećim i budućim financijskim instrumentima dostupnima od strane Unije i država članica, na nacionalnoj i subnacionalnoj razini, za promicanje **održivog gospodarskog i društvenog razvoja**, zaštite održivog korištenja oceana, mora i obale, za pomoć u poticanju učinkovitije suradnje država članica i njihovih priobalnih, otočnih i najudaljenijih regija, a uzimajući u obzir prvenstveno pravo i napredak nacionalnih i lokalnih projekata. Fond će se povezati s drugim politikama Unije koje mogu obuhvatiti pomorsku dimenziju, a posebice s Europskim fondom za regionalni razvoj, Kohezijskim fondom i Europskim socijalnim fondom, kao i s programom za istraživanje i energetska politiku "Horizon 2020".

Amandman 113

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 84.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(84.) Putem tehničke pomoći EFPR-a treba osigurati pripremnu, administrativnu i tehničku podršku, kao i podršku za informacijske mjere, umrežavanje, procjene, revizije, studije i razmjene iskustava, a u cilju olakšavanja provedbe operativnog programa, te treba promicati inovativne pristupe i prakse za jednostavnu i transparentnu provedbu. Tehnička podrška također bi trebala uključivati uspostavu Europske mreže za ribarstvo

Izmjena

(84.) Putem tehničke pomoći EFPR-a treba osigurati pripremnu, administrativnu i tehničku podršku, kao i podršku za informacijske mjere, umrežavanje, procjene, revizije, studije i razmjene iskustava, a u cilju olakšavanja provedbe operativnog programa, te treba promicati inovativne pristupe i prakse za jednostavnu i transparentnu provedbu, **uključujući i one koji će koristiti gospodarskim subjektima i ribarskim organizacijama.**

lokalnih akcijskih grupa čiji bi cilj bio jačanje kapaciteta, širenje informacija, razmjena iskustava i poticanje suradnje među lokalnim partnerstvima.

Tehnička podrška također bi trebala uključivati uspostavu Europske mreže za ribarstvo lokalnih akcijskih grupa čiji bi cilj bio jačanje kapaciteta, širenje informacija, razmjena iskustava i poticanje suradnje među lokalnim partnerstvima.

Justification

Technical assistance can also target operators and fishermen's organisations.

Amandman 114

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 88.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(88.) Svjesni važnosti osiguranja očuvanja morskih bioloških i zaštite ribljih zaliha, pogotovo od nezakonitog ribolova, a u duhu zaključaka izvučenih iz Zelene knjige o reformi zajedničke ribarstvene politike, oni gospodarski subjekti koji se ne ponašaju u skladu s pravilima zajedničke ribarstvene politike i osobito ugrožavaju održivost zaliha u pitanju, te stoga predstavljaju ozbiljnu prijetnju za održivo iskorištavanje živih bioloških resursa **koji obnavljaju i održavaju populaciju uzgojenih vrsta iznad razine koju može osigurati maksimalni održivi prinos, i** oni koji su uključeni u nezakoniti ribolov, trebaju se isključiti iz potpora EFPRa. Financiranje Unije ne smije se ni u kojem trenutku, od selekcije, pa sve do provedbe operacije, koristiti za narušavanje javnog interesa očuvanja morskih bioloških resursa koji su izraženi u ciljevima Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici.

Izmjena

(88.) Svjesni važnosti osiguranja očuvanja morskih bioloških **resursa** i zaštite ribljih zaliha, pogotovo od nezakonitog ribolova, a u duhu zaključaka izvučenih iz Zelene knjige o reformi zajedničke ribarstvene politike, oni gospodarski subjekti koji se ne ponašaju u skladu s pravilima zajedničke ribarstvene politike i osobito ugrožavaju održivost zaliha u pitanju i **konkretno, ciljeva obnove i održavanja populacije uzgojenih vrsta iznad razine koju može osigurati maksimalni održivi prinos do 2015. i postizanja i održavanja dobrog stanja okoliša do 2020.,** predstavljaju stoga ozbiljnu prijetnju za održivo iskorištavanje živih bioloških resursa, **uz** one koji su uključeni u nezakoniti ribolov, **i** trebali bi biti isključeni iz potpora EMFF-a. Financiranje Unije ne smije se ni u kojem trenutku, od selekcije, pa sve do provedbe operacije, koristiti za narušavanje javnog interesa očuvanja morskih bioloških resursa koji su izraženi u ciljevima Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici.

Amandman 115

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 88. a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(88.a) Ako se neka zemlja članica nije u stanju nositi s problemom nezakonitog ribarenja unutar svojih voda i svoje ribarske flote, trebala bi postojati mogućnost zamrzavanja financiranja iz EFPR-a. .

Amandman 116

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 91.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(91.) Kako bi se pristupilo specifičnim potrebama zajedničke ribarstvene politike spomenutim u Člancima 50. i 51. [Uredba o ZRP-u] i doprinijelo usklađivanju s pravilima zajedničke ribarstvene politike, trebaju se utvrditi dodatne odredbe u propisima o prekidu roka plaćanja [Uredba (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama]. Tamo gdje neka država članica, ili neki gospodarski subjekt nisu postupali u skladu s obvezama iz zajedničke ribarstvene politike, ili gdje Komisija ima dokaze koji **sugeriraju** ovaj nedostatak sukladnosti s dotičnim obvezama, kao mjera opreza, Komisiji bi se trebalo dozvoliti da prekine plaćanje.

(91.) Kako bi se pristupilo specifičnim potrebama zajedničke ribarstvene politike spomenutim u Člancima 50. i 51. [Uredba o ZRP-u] i doprinijelo usklađivanju s pravilima zajedničke ribarstvene politike, trebaju se utvrditi dodatne odredbe u propisima o prekidu roka plaćanja [Uredba (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama]. Tamo gdje neka država članica, ili neki gospodarski subjekt nisu postupali u skladu s obvezama iz zajedničke ribarstvene politike, ili gdje Komisija ima dokaze koji **potvrđuju** ovaj nedostatak sukladnosti s dotičnim obvezama, kao mjera opreza, Komisiji bi se trebalo dozvoliti da prekine plaćanje.

Amandman 117

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 93.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(93.) Operativni program bi se u cilju poboljšanja njegove kvalitete trebao pratiti i evaluirati kako bi demonstrirao svoja dostignuća. Komisija treba uspostaviti okvir za zajednički monitoring i evaluaciju osiguravajući, između ostalog, da relevantni podaci budu **pravodobno** dostupni. U tom kontekstu trebalo bi utvrditi popis pokazatelja i utjecaj politike EFPR-a kojeg bi ocijenila Komisija u odnosu na specifične ciljeve.

Izmjena

(93.) Operativni program bi se u cilju poboljšanja njegove kvalitete trebao pratiti i evaluirati kako bi demonstrirao svoja dostignuća. Komisija treba uspostaviti okvir za zajednički monitoring i evaluaciju osiguravajući, između ostalog, da relevantni podaci budu **pravodobno javno objavljeni**. U tom kontekstu trebalo bi utvrditi popis pokazatelja i utjecaj politike EFPR-a kojeg bi ocijenila Komisija u odnosu na specifične ciljeve.

Amandman 118

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 95.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(95.) S ciljem jačanja transparentnosti i dostupnosti informacija o mogućnostima financiranja i korisnicima projekta, u svakoj državi članici trebali bi biti dostupni jedna *web* stranica ili *web* portal koji pružaju informacije o operativnom programu, uključujući i liste aktivnosti koje podržava svaki operativni program. Te informacije trebaju davati razumne, opipljive i konkretne ideje široj javnosti, a posebice poreznim obveznicima Unije, o tome kako se troše fondovi Unije u okviru EFPR-a. Osim zbog navedenog, objavljivanje relevantnih podataka treba služiti u svrhu daljnjeg objavljivanja mogućnosti podnošenja zahtjeva za financiranjem. Ipak, potpuno poštujući temeljna prava na zaštitu podataka, te u skladu s presudom Suda u spojenim predmetima *Schecke*, objavljivanje imena fizičkih osoba ne bi se trebalo tražiti.

Izmjena

(95.) S ciljem jačanja transparentnosti i dostupnosti informacija o mogućnostima financiranja i korisnicima projekta, u svakoj državi članici trebali bi biti dostupni jedna *web* stranica ili *web* portal koji pružaju informacije o operativnom programu, uključujući i liste aktivnosti koje podržava svaki operativni program. ***Takve web stranice svih država članica također bi morale biti dostupne preko službenih stranica Unije kako bi se građanima različitih država članica pomoglo da dobiju lakši pristup objavljenim podacima svih država članica.*** Te informacije trebaju davati razumne, opipljive i konkretne ideje široj javnosti, a posebice poreznim obveznicima Unije, o tome kako se troše fondovi Unije u okviru EFPR-a. Osim zbog navedenog, objavljivanje relevantnih podataka treba služiti u svrhu daljnjeg objavljivanja mogućnosti podnošenja zahtjeva za financiranjem. Ipak, potpuno poštujući

temeljna prava na zaštitu podataka, te u skladu s presudom Suda u spojenim predmetima Schecke, objavljivanje imena fizičkih osoba ne bi se trebalo tražiti.

Amandman 119

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 96. a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(96.a) To je posebno važno kako bi se osiguralo da se poštuju ex-ante uvjetovanosti koje se odnose na administrativne kapacitete zahtjeva za podacima uprave za ribarstvo i inspekcijski nadzor i provedbu sustava Unije.

Amandman 120

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 96. b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(96.b) To je posebno važno kako bi se osiguralo da se poštuju ex-ante uvjetovanosti koje se odnose na administrativne kapacitete zahtjeva za podacima uprave za ribarstvo i inspekcijski nadzor i provedbu sustava Unije.

Amandman 121

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) održivi razvoj ribolovnih područja i slatkovodnog ribarstva,

Izmjena

(c) održivi razvoj ribolovnih i **akvakulturnih** područja, slatkovodnog ribarstva i **povezanih aktivnosti kako su definirane ovom Uredbom**,

Amandman 122

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 1. – stavak 1. – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(d) i integrirane pomorske politike (IPP).

Izmjena

(d) i integrirane pomorske politike (IPP), **uključujući Okvirnu direktivu o morskoj strategiji**.

Amandman 123

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 2. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Ova Uredba primijenit će se na aktivnosti izvršene na teritoriju Unije osim ako nije drugačije izričito navedeno u ovoj Uredbi.

Izmjena

Ova Uredba primijenit će se na aktivnosti izvršene na teritoriju, **u vodama i flotama** Unije osim ako nije drugačije izričito navedeno u ovoj Uredbi.

Amandman 124

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. — stavak 2. — točka – 1 (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(–1) 'zatvoreni sustav za akvakulturu' predstavlja objekte za akvakulturu u kojima se uzgajaju ribe i drugi vodeni

proizvodi u zatvorenim recirkulacijskim sustavima koji zadržavaju i prerađuju vodu unutar sustava, čime svode uporabu vode na najmanju moguću mjeru. Ti se sustavi obično nalaze na kopnu i ponovno upotrebljavaju gotovo svu vodu koja se izvorno unese u sustav;

Amandman 125

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. — stavak 2. — točka 2 a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(2a) 'diversifikacija' podrazumijeva prakse koje aktivnosti ribarstva ili akvakulture čine raznovrsnijima i koje se izravno nadopunjavaju ili ovise o takvim aktivnostima;

Amandman 126

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. — stavak 2. — točka 4 a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(4a) 'egzotične vrste' podrazumijeva vrste za koje prirodni opseg distribucije ne uključuje područje u kojem se nalazi uzgajalište;

Amandman 127

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. — stavak 2. — točka 4 b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(4b) 'ekstenzivna akvakultura' podrazumijeva akvakulturnu proizvodnju koja ne prima namjerne unose hrane, ali

ovisi o prirodnoj hrani u uzgajalištu, uključujući hranu koju donose vodeni tokovi kao što su struje i plimne oscilacije. Ekstenzivna akvakultura u velikoj mjeri ovisi o jedinstvenom unosu, odnosno sjemenu;

Amandman 128

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. — stavak 2. — točka 5

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(5) 'područje ribarstva' podrazumijeva područje uz obalu mora ili jezera, ili uključuje ribnjake ili ušće rijeke *sa* značajnom razinom zaposlenosti u ribarstvu *ili* akvakulturi te ga je kao takvog imenovala država članica;

Izmjena

(5) 'područje ribarstva *i akvakulture*' podrazumijeva područje uz obalu mora, *rijeke* ili jezera, ili uključuje ribnjake ili ušće rijeke *sa* značajnom razinom zaposlenosti u *sektoru* ribarstva *ili* akvakulture te ga je kao takvog imenovala država članica;

Amandman 129

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. — stavak 2. — točka 5 a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(5a) 'područje oporavka zaliha ribe' podrazumijeva geografski definirano morsko područje u kojem su zabranjene sve ribolovne aktivnosti kako bi se poboljšalo iskorištavanje i očuvanje živih vodenih resursa ili zaštite morskih ekosustava, kako je navedeno u Uredbi (EU) br. .../.. [o zajedničkim odredbama];

Izmjena

Amandman 130

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 5 b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(5b) 'sektor ribarstva' podrazumijeva gospodarski sektor koji obuhvaća sve aktivnosti koje se odnose na proizvodnju, preradu i marketing ribarstva i akvakulturnih proizvoda;

Amandman 131

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 5 c (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(5c) 'Upravljanje i sustavi pristupa ribarstvu' podrazumijeva mehanizme za atribuciju i pristup ribarskih prava ili za upravljanje ribolovnim naporom, a koji su razvijeni na nacionalnoj, regionalnoj ili lokalnoj razini, ili na razini morskih bazena, na vrste ispod kvote ili izvan kvote unutar 12 nautičkih milja obalnog pojasa ili izvan njega i s fokusom na zdrave zalihe. Ovi sustavi provode se putem javnih ovlasti ili ribarskih organizacija;

Amandman 132

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 6

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(6) 'ribar' podrazumijeva svaku osobu koja se bavi profesionalnim ribolovom, kako to priznaje država članica, na aktivnom ribarskom plovilu ili se bavi profesionalnim uzgojem morskih

(6) 'ribar' podrazumijeva svaku osobu koja se bavi profesionalnim ribolovom, **uključujući zaposlenike**, kako to priznaje država članica, na aktivnom ribarskom plovilu ili se bavi profesionalnim uzgojem

organizama, kako to priznaje država članica, bez plovila;

slatkovodnih ili morskih organizama, kako to priznaje država članica, bez plovila;

Justification

The definition of 'fisherman' should be made more precise by including professionals who own their vessels and salaried fishermen.

Amandman 133

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. — stavak 2. — točka 6 a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(6a) 'ribolovni turizam' podrazumijeva dopunsku aktivnost koju obavljaju profesionalni ribari dok se osobe koje nisu članovi posade ukrcavaju na ribarske brodove kao turisti ili istraživači;

Amandman 134

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 6 b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(6b) 'sporedno ribarstvo i akvakulturne aktivnosti' podrazumijevaju aktivnosti koje provode svi oni koji pružaju profesionalne usluge ribarima, a koje su im potrebne za njihovu aktivnost, te ih je kao takve imenovala država članica.

Amandman 135

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. — stavak 2. — točka 8 a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(8a) 'intenzivna akvakultura'
podrazumijeva akvakulturnu proizvodnju koja ovisi o nutritivno bogatoj prehrani koja se dodaje prehrambenom sustavu, bila to svježa, divlja, pomorska ili slatkovodna riba, ili riba na formuliranoj prehrani. U velikoj mjeri ovisi o potpunoj i komercijalno dostupnoj hrani i odlikuje se visokom gustoćom zaliha;

Amandman 136

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 10

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(10) 'slatkovodni ribolov' podrazumijeva ribolov koji se u gospodarske svrhe obavlja **plovilima koja djeluju** isključivo na kopnenim vodama ili pomoću drugih naprava koje se koriste za ribolov na ledu;

(10) 'slatkovodni ribolovi' podrazumijeva ribolov koji se u gospodarske svrhe obavlja **s plovila ili na neki drugi način,** isključivo na kopnenim vodama ili pomoću drugih naprava koje se koriste za ribolov na ledu;

Amandman 137

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 12

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(12) 'integrirano pomorsko upravljanje' podrazumijeva koordinirano upravljanje svim sektorskim politikama EU-a koje utječu na oceane, mora i obalna područja;

(12) 'integrirano pomorsko upravljanje' podrazumijeva koordinirano upravljanje svim sektorskim politikama **na razini Unije** koje utječu na oceane, mora i obalna područja;

Amandman 138

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 13

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(13) 'pomorske regije' podrazumijevaju zemljopisna područja iz Priloga I. Odluke Vijeća 2004/585/EZ i područja uspostavljena regionalnim organizacijama za upravljanje ribarstvom;

Izmjena

brisano

Amandman 139

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 16

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(16) 'strategija morskih bazena' podrazumijeva strukturirani okvir suradnje u odnosu na određeno geografsko područje koji su izradile europske institucije, države članice, njihove regije, i prema potrebi treće zemlje koje dijele morski bazen; strategija uzima u obzir geografske, klimatske, gospodarske i političke posebnosti tog morskog bazena;

Izmjena

(16) 'strategija morskih bazena' podrazumijeva strukturirani okvir suradnje u odnosu na određeno geografsko područje koji su izradile europske institucije, države članice, njihove regije *i lokalne vlasti*, i prema potrebi treće zemlje koje dijele morski bazen; strategija uzima u obzir geografske, klimatske, gospodarske i političke posebnosti tog morskog bazena;

Amandman 140

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 16 a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(16a) '*polu-intenzivna akvakultura*' podrazumijeva *akvakulturu koja u velikoj mjeri ovisi o prirodnoj hrani, ali gdje se prirodno postojeće količine hrane povećavaju pomoću dopunske hrane kao dodatak prirodnoj hrani. Gustoća kultura*

održava se na razinama nižim od onih tipičnih za intenzivnu proizvodnju u akvakulturi;

Amandman 141

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 17 a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(17a) 'tradicionalno ribarsko plovilo' podrazumijeva plovilo koje je izgrađeno s tipologijom u obliku trupa, načinom izrade i materijalom koji se nisu mijenjali barem 100 godina i koji može ploviti i nastavlja obavljati ribarske aktivnosti koje su u skladu s važećim zakonodavstvom Unije i nacionalnim zakonodavstvom;

Amandman 142

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2. – točka 18 a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(18a) 'sabirač / uzgajivač školjkaša' jest svaki pojedinac koji se bavi vađenjem, uzgojem ili polu-kultivacijom, bilo pješice ili na brodu, koristeći selektivnu, posebnu opremu za hvatanje jedne ili više vrsta mekušaca, rakova, plaštenjaka, bodljikaša ili drugih morskih beskralješnjaka;

Amandman 143

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) promicanje održivog *i konkurentnog*

(a) promicanje *ekološki* održivog,

ribarstva i akvakulture;

gospodarski održivog i društveno odgovornog ribarstva, akvakulture i ***povezanih aktivnosti prerade ili marketinga;***

Amandman 144

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c) promicanje uravnoteženog i uključivog teritorijalnog razvoja ribolovnih područja;

(c) promicanje uravnoteženog i uključivog teritorijalnog razvoja ribolovnih i ***akvakulturnih*** područja;

Amandman 145

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – stavak 1. – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(d) poticanje provedbe ZRP-a.

(d) poticanje provedbe ZRP-a, ***uključujući njezinu regionalizaciju i provedbu zajedničke organizacije tržišta.***

Amandman 146

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – stavak 1. – točka d a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(da) Poticanje otvaranja novih radnih mjesta kako bi se spriječio nestanak ribarskih zajednica te osiguravanje poboljšanih kvalifikacija i radnih uvjeta u sektoru ribarstva.

Amandman 147

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – stavak 2. (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. U ostvarivanju tih ciljeva Europski fond za pomorstvo i ribarstvo uzet će u obzir načela međugeneracijske i rodne jednakosti.

Amandman 148

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – stavak 3. (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

3. Ti će se ciljevi provoditi bez povećavanja ribolovnog kapaciteta.

Amandman 149

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Ostvarivanje ciljeva EFPR-a doprinijet će strategiji 'Europa 2020' za pametan, održiv i uključivi rast. To će se provoditi kroz sljedećih šest prioriteta Unije koji odražavaju relevantne tematske ciljeve Zajedničkog strateškog okvira (u daljnjem tekstu CSF):

Ostvarivanje ciljeva EFPR-a doprinijet će strategiji 'Europa 2020' za pametan, održiv i uključivi rast, **kao i provedbi ZRP-a**. To će se provoditi kroz sljedećih šest prioriteta Unije **za ribarstvo, održivu akvakulturu i vezane aktivnosti**, a koji odražavaju relevantne tematske ciljeve Zajedničkog strateškog okvira (u daljnjem tekstu CSF):

Amandman 150

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 1 – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(1) Povećanje zaposlenosti i teritorijalne kohezije putem sljedećih ciljeva:

Izmjena

(1) Povećanje zaposlenosti i **društvene i** teritorijalne kohezije putem sljedećih ciljeva:

Amandman 151

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 1 – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) promicanje gospodarskog rasta, društvene uključenosti, stvaranja radnih mjesta i **podržavanja mobilnosti radne snage** u obalnim i kontinentalnim zajednicama koje ovise o ribarstvu i akvakulturi;

Izmjena

(a) promicanje gospodarskog rasta **i** društvene uključenosti, **uključujući** stvaranje radnih mjesta **i razvoja zapošljivosti** i mobilnosti u obalnim i kontinentalnim zajednicama koje ovise o ribarstvu i akvakulturi **uključujući i najudaljenije regije**;

Amandman 152

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 1 – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) diversifikacija ribarskih aktivnosti **u** ostale sektore pomorskog gospodarstva te rast pomorskog gospodarstva uključujući ublažavanje klimatskih promjena.

Izmjena

(b) diversifikacija ribarskih aktivnosti **u sektoru ribarstva i u** ostalim sektorima pomorskog gospodarstva **koji su usko vezani uz sektor ribarstva**, te rast pomorskog gospodarstva uključujući ublažavanje klimatskih promjena.

Amandman 153

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 1 – točka b a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ba) promicanje provedbe usklađenih društvenih pravila na razini Unije.

Amandman 154

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 2 – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) potpora jačanju tehnološkog razvoja, inovacija i prijenosa znanja;

(a) potpora jačanju tehnološkog razvoja, inovacija ***koje uključuju povećanje energetske učinkovitosti*** i prijenosa znanja.

Amandman 155

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 2 – točka a a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) smanjenje negativnog utjecaja ribolova na dobrobit životinja;

Amandman 156

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 2 – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) jačanje konkurentnosti i održivosti ribarstva, ***posebice flota malog obalnog ribolova***, i poboljšanje sigurnosnih ***ili*** radnih uvjeta;

(b) jačanje konkurentnosti i održivosti ribarstva i poboljšanje ***zdravstvenih, higijenskih***, sigurnosnih ***i*** radnih uvjeta.

Amandman 157

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 2 – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) razvoj novih profesionalnih vještina i cjeloživotno učenje;

Izmjena

(c) razvoj **stručnog osposobljavanja**, novih profesionalnih vještina i cjeloživotnog učenja, **posebno za mlade ribare**;

Amandman 158

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 2 – točka c a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ca) razvoj malog i obalnog ribolova posebice njegove konkurentnosti i održivosti uključujući očuvanja tradicionalnih ribarskih plovila;

Amandman 159

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 3 – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(3) Poticanje inovativne **i** konkurentne **akvakulture utemeljene** na znanju s naglaskom na sljedeća područja:

Izmjena

(3) Poticanje **održive**, inovativne, konkurentne **akvakulture utemeljene na** znanju **i ekosustavu**, s fokusom na sljedeća područja:

Amandman 160

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 3 – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) podrška jačanju tehnološkog razvoja, inovacija i prijenosa znanja;

Izmjena

(a) podrška jačanju tehnološkog razvoja, **tehničkih, društvenih i gospodarskih** inovacija i prijenosa znanja;

Amandman 161

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 3 – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) jačanje konkurentnosti i održivosti poduzeća koja se bave akvakulturom, a pogotovo malih i srednjih poduzetnika;

Izmjena

(b) jačanje konkurentnosti i održivosti poduzeća koja se bave **ekstenzivnom i poluintenzivnom** akvakulturom, a pogotovo malih i srednjih poduzetnika;

Amandman 162

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 3 – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) razvoj novih profesionalnih vještina i cjeloživotno učenje;

Izmjena

(c) razvoj novih profesionalnih vještina i **poticanje stručnog osposobljavanja** i cjeloživotnog učenja, **pogotovo mladih uzgajivača u akvakulturi**;

Amandman 163

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 3 – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(d) poboljšanje organizacije tržišta za

Izmjena

(d) poboljšanje organizacije tržišta za

proizvode akvakulture.

proizvode akvakulture *i poticanje ulaganja u sektore prerade i marketinga.*

Amandman 164

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 3 – točka d a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(da) ograničavanje ekoloških utjecaja putem akvakulture.

Amandman 165

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 4 – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) *smanjenje utjecaja* ribolova na morski okoliš;

(a) *prevencija, minimalizacija i, koliko god je moguće, uklanjanje neželjenih ulova i negativnih utjecaja* ribolova na morski okoliš, *posebno putem boljeg odabira ribolovne opreme;*

Amandman 166

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 4 – točka a a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) osiguravanje ravnoteže između ribolovnih kapaciteta i raspoloživih mogućnosti za ribolov;

Amandman 167

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 4 – točka b a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ba) provedba Okvirne direktive o morskoj strategiji i postizanje dobrog ekološkog statusa do 2020.;

Amandman 168

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 5 – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) jačanje ekosustava povezanih s akvakulturom i promicanje akvakulture koja učinkovito koristi resurse;

(a) promicanje akvakulture koja učinkovito koristi resurse i to putem smanjivanja ovisnosti o ribljnoj hrani i uljima i smanjivanjem uporabe kemikalija i antibiotika;

Amandman 169

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 5 – točka a a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) procjena, smanjenje i, gdje je to moguće, uklanjanje utjecaja akvakulturnih aktivnosti na morske, kopnene i slatkovodne ekosustave;

Amandman 170

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 6 – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(6) Poticanje provedbe ZRP-a kroz:

(6) Poticanje provedbe ZRP-a i jačanje njezinih veza i usklađenosti s Integriranom pomorskom politikom kroz:

Amandman 171

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 6 – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) *opskrbu* znanstvenim spoznajama i *prikupljanje podataka*;

Izmjena

(a) *potporu za prikupljanje podataka i za upravljanje podacima u cilju poboljšanja* znanstvenih spoznaja;

Amandman 172

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 6 – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) potporu *za* kontrolu i provedbu, jačanje institucionalnih kapaciteta i učinkovite javne uprave.

Izmjena

(b) potporu *za monitoring*, kontrolu i provedbu, jačanje institucionalnih kapaciteta i učinkovite javne uprave *bez dodatnog administrativnog opterećenja*;

Amandman 173

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6. – stavak 1. – točka 6 – točka b a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(*ba*) *potporu za regionalizaciju ZRP-a, pogotovo putem Regionalnih savjetodavnih vijeća.*

Amandman 174

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 8 – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. *Ne dovodeći u pitanje stavak 2. ovog Članka*, Članci 107., 108. i 109. Ugovora primijenit će se na potporu koju države članice dodjeljuju poduzećima koja se bave ribarstvom *i* akvakulturom.

Izmjena

1. Članci 107., 108. i 109. Ugovora primijenit će se na potporu koju države članice dodjeljuju poduzećima *u sektoru* ribarstva *i* akvakulture.

Amandman 175

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 8. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. *Međutim*, Članci 107., 108. i 109. Ugovora neće se primjenjivati na isplate koje vrše države članice na temelju i u skladu s ovom Uredbom, u okviru Članka 42. Ugovora.

Izmjena

2. *Odstupajući od stavka 1.*, Članci 107., 108. i 109. Ugovora neće se primjenjivati na isplate koje vrše države članice na temelju i u skladu s ovom Uredbom, u okviru Članka 42. Ugovora.

Amandman 176

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 10. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Osim načela navedenih u Članku 4. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama], Komisija i države članice osigurat će koordinaciju i komplementarnost između potpore dobivene od EFPR-a i od drugih Unijinih politika i financijskih instrumenata, uključujući *Uredbu (EZ) br. [o uspostavi Okvirnog programa za okoliš i djelovanje protiv klimatskih promjena (Okvirni program LIFE)]* te od onih koji djeluju iz vanjskog okvira Unije. *Koordinacija između pomoći iz EFPR-a i Okvirnog programa LIFE postići će se posebno promicanjem financiranja aktivnosti koje*

Izmjena

Osim načela navedenih u Članku 4. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama], Komisija i države članice osigurat će koordinaciju i komplementarnost između potpore dobivene od EFPR-a i od drugih Unijinih politika i financijskih instrumenata uključujući one koji djeluju iz vanjskog okvira Unije. *Ta koordinacija i komplementarnost bit će uključene u operativne programe.*

nadopunjuju integrirane projekte koji se financiraju u okviru Okvirnog programa LIFE, kao i promicanjem primjene rješenja, metoda i pristupa potvrđenih u okviru Okvirnog programa LIFE.

Amandman 177

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 11.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Ex ante uvjeti navedeni u Prilogu III. ove Uredbe primjenjivat će se za EFPR.

Izmjena

Specifični ex ante uvjeti navedeni u Prilogu III. ove Uredbe primjenjivat će se za EFPR.

Amandman 178

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 11. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 11.a

Procjena usklađenosti s ukupnim kapacitetima

- 1. Do ... *, Komisija će u suradnji s državama članicama provoditi procjenu poštivanja ukupnih ribolovnih kapaciteta država članica navedenih u Prilogu II Uredbe (EZ) br ... / [o ZRP-u].**
- 2. Ukoliko procjena iz stavka 1. ukazuje na to da država članica ne poštuje svoje ukupne kapacitete, Komisija može donijeti provedbeni akt kojim obustavlja cjelokupnu isplatu ili dio isplate i obveze prema operativnom programu te države članice.**
- 3. Komisija će ukinuti suspenziju plaćanja i obveza čim država članica poduzima**

mjere s ciljem da se uskladi sa svojim ukupnim kapacitetima, a koje je odobrila Komisija.

**SL molimo umetnuti datum tri godine nakon stupanja na snagu ove Uredbe.*

Justification

It is vital that Member States comply with the capacity ceilings of their fleet set out in the basic regulation. To this end, the payments and commitments from the EMFF to States who do not comply with their capacity ceilings should be suspended.

Amandman 179

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 12. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) gospodarski subjekti koji su počinili ozbiljan prekršaj iz Članka 42. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1005/2008 ili Članka 90. stavka 1. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1224/2009;

Izmjena

(a) gospodarski subjekti **čija je ribarska dozvola obustavljena tri ili više puta, u skladu s člankom 92. stavkom 3. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1224/2009, ili** koji su počinili ozbiljan prekršaj iz članka 42. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1005/2008 ili članka 90. stavka 1. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1224/2009, **što je kažnjivo temeljem rješenja u upravnom sporu;**

Amandman 180

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 12. – stavak 1. – točka b a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(ba) gospodarski subjekti koji su počinili ozbiljan prekršaj iz članka 42. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1005/2008 ili članka 90. stavka 1. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1224/2009;

Izmjena

(ba) **gospodarski subjekti uključeni u rad, upravljanje ili posjedovanje ribarskih plovila koja nose zastave zemalja koje su navedene kao nekooperativne treće zemlje u skladu s člankom 33. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1005/2008;**

Amandman 181

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 12. – stavak 1. – točka b b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(bb) gospodarski subjekti koji su proglašeni krivima u krivičnim ili upravnim postupcima za ozbiljnu povredu primjenjivih nacionalnih propisa u sljedećim područjima:

- uvjeti plaćanja i zapošljavanja u struci;*
- profesionalna odgovornost;*
- trgovanje ljudima ili drogom;*

Amandman 182

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 12. – stavak 1. – točka b c (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(bc) gospodarski subjekti koji su proglašeni krivima u krivičnim ili upravnim postupcima, u jednoj ili više država članica, za ozbiljnu povredu prava Unije, osobito vezano uz:

- radno vrijeme i vrijeme odmora za ribare;*
- zakonodavstvo koje se odnosi za zdravlje i sigurnost;*
- uvjete plaćanja i zapošljavanja u struci;*
- početne kvalifikacije i kontinuirano usavršavanje ribara;*

Amandman 183

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 12. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) gospodarski subjekti koji su odgovorni za druge **slučajeve nepoštivanja** pravila ZRP-a koji ozbiljno ugrožavaju održivost zaliha u pitanju;

Izmjena

(c) gospodarski subjekti koji **su** počinili druge **ozbiljne povrede** pravila ZRP-a koje ozbiljno ugrožavaju održivost zaliha u pitanju;

Amandman 184

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 12. – stavak 1. – točka c a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ca) gospodarski subjekti koji ne poštuju odredbe Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 199/2008 od 25. veljače 2008. o uspostavi okvira Zajednice za prikupljanje, upravljanje i korištenje podataka u sektoru ribarstva i potpori za znanstveno savjetovanje vezano uz ZRP¹.

¹ SL L 60, 5.3.2008., str.1.

Amandman 185

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 12. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

4. Države članice zahtijevat će da gospodarski subjekti koji prema EFPR-u podnose prijave upravnom tijelu dostave potpisanu izjavu kojom potvrđuju da poštuju kriterije navedene u stavku 1. te **da nisu počinili nepravilnost u okviru EEF-a ili EFPR-a kako je navedeno u stavku 2.** Države članice dužne su provjeriti vjerodostojnost izjave prije nego daju svoju suglasnost u postupku

Izmjena

4. Države članice zahtijevat će da gospodarski subjekti koji prema EFPR-u podnose prijave upravnom tijelu dostave potpisanu izjavu kojom potvrđuju da poštuju kriterije navedene u stavku 1. te da nisu počinili nepravilnost u okviru EEF-a ili EFPR-a kako je navedeno u stavku 2. Države članice dužne su provjeriti vjerodostojnost izjave prije nego daju svoju suglasnost u postupku, **pozivajući se na**

informacije navedene u nacionalnom registru prekršaja uspostavljenom u skladu s člankom 93. Uredbe (EZ) br. 1224/2009 ili na druge podatke dostavljene u tu svrhu.

Amandman 186

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) postupci koji povećavaju ribolovni kapacitet plovila;

Izmjena

(a) postupci koji povećavaju ribolovni kapacitet plovila *ili njegovu sposobnost da ulovi ribu;*

Amandman 187

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 1. – točka a a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) postupci koji ugrožavaju održivost morskih bioloških resursa i ekosustava;

Amandman 188

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 1. – točka a b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ab) destruktivne mjere zapošljavanja;

Amandman 189

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) izgradnja novih ribarskih plovila, povlačenje ribarskih plovila iz uporabe ili uvoz ribarskih plovila;

Izmjena

(b) izgradnja novih ribarskih plovila, povlačenje ribarskih plovila iz uporabe ili uvoz ribarskih plovila, *osim pod uvjetima iz članka 32.b;*

Amandman 190

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 1. – točka b a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ba) ulaganja u plovila koja pripadaju dijelu flote za koji je izvješće o kapacitetu, iz članka 34. stavka 1. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici], pokazalo da ne postoji održiva ravnoteža između ribolovnih prilika i kapaciteta flote;

Amandman 191

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c) privremeni prestanak ribolovnih aktivnosti;

brisano

Amandman 192

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 1. – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(d) *eksperimentalni* ribolov;

(d) *istraživački* ribolov;

Amandman 193

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 1. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(e) prijenos vlasništva poslovanja;

brisano

Amandman 194

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 15. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. **Sredstva** raspoloživa za izdvajanje iz EFPR-a za razdoblje od 2014. do 2020. u okviru zajedničkog upravljanja iznosit će **5.520,000,000** eura prema **tekućim** cijenama u skladu s godišnjom raspodjelom iz Priloga II.

1. **Indikativan iznos** raspoloživ za izdvajanje iz EFPR-a za razdoblje od 2014. do 2020. u okviru zajedničkog upravljanja iznosi **X** eura prema **konstantnim** cijenama iz **2011.** u skladu s **indikativnom** godišnjom raspodjelom iz Priloga II.

Amandman 195

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 15. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Sredstva u iznosu od **4.535,000,000** eura kako je navedeno u stavku 1. dodjeljuju se održivom razvoju ribarstva, akvakulture i ribolovnih područja iz glave V, poglavlja I., **II. i III.**

2. Sredstva u iznosu od **X** eura kako je navedeno u stavku 1. dodjeljuju se održivom razvoju ribarstva **i** akvakulture, **preradi i marketingu proizvoda ribarstva i akvakulture** i ribolovnih područja iz Glave V, poglavlja I. **do IV.**

Amandman 196
Prijedlog Uredbe
Članak 15. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Sredstva u iznosu od **477.000,000** eura kako je navedeno u stavku 1. dodijelit će se mjerama kontrole i provedbe kako je navedeno u članku 78.

Izmjena

3. Sredstva **u minimalnom iznosu od X** eura kako je navedeno u stavku 1. dodijelit će se mjerama kontrole i provedbe kako je navedeno u članku 78.

Amandman 197

Prijedlog Uredbe
Članak 15. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

4. Sredstva u iznosu od **358.000,000** eura kako je navedeno u stavku 1. dodijelit će se **mjerama za** prikupljanje podataka kako je navedeno u članku 79.

Izmjena

4. Sredstva **u minimalnom iznosu od X** eura kako je navedeno u stavku 1. dodijelit će se **mjerama za prikupljanje podataka i upravljanje** kako je navedeno u članku 79.

Amandman 198

Prijedlog Uredbe
Članak 15. – stavak 5. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

5. Sredstva izdvojena za naknadu najudaljenijim regijama u okviru glave V., poglavlja V. **godišnje** ne smiju prelaziti:

Izmjena

5. Sredstva izdvojena za naknadu najudaljenijim regijama u okviru glave V., poglavlja V. ne smiju prelaziti:

Amandman 199

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 15. – stavak 5. – alineja 1

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

- 4.300,000 eura za Azore i Madeiru;

Izmjena

- X eura **godišnje** za Azore i Madeiru;

Amandman 200

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 15. – stavak 5. – alineja 2

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

- 5.800,000 eura za Kanarske otoke;

Izmjena

- X eura **godišnje** za Kanarske otoke;

Amandman 201

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 15. – stavak 5. – alineja 3

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

- 4.900,000 eura za Francusku **Gvajanu i Réunion**.

Izmjena

- X eura **godišnje** za francuske **najudaljenije regije**;

Amandman 202

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 15. – stavak 6.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

6. Sredstva u iznosu od **45.000,000** eura kako je navedeno u stavku 1. dodijelit će se potpori za skladištenje kako je navedeno u članku **72. u razdoblju od 2014. zaključno s 2018. godinom.**

Izmjena

6. Sredstva u iznosu od X eura kako je navedeno u stavku 1. dodijelit će se **planovima za proizvodnju i marketing iz članka 69. i** potpori za skladištenje iz članka 70.

Amandman 203

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 16. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Iznos od **1.047,000, 000** eura iz EFPR-a dodijelit se za mjere u okviru izravnog upravljanja kako je navedeno u poglavljima I. i II. glave VI. Ovaj iznos uključuje tehničku pomoć iz članka 91.

Izmjena

Iznos od **X** eura iz EFPR-a dodijelit će se za mjere u okviru izravnog upravljanja kako je navedeno u poglavljima I. i II. glave VI. Ovaj iznos uključuje tehničku pomoć iz članka 91.

Proračunska sredstva namijenjena za integriranu pomorsku politiku ne smiju prelaziti 6% ukupnog proračuna EFPR-a.

Amandman 204

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 16. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 16.a

[Godišnji referentni iznosi i godišnja raspodjela sredstava]

1. Ukupan indikativni referentni financijski iznos, kao što je definirano u točki [17] Međuinstitucionalnog sporazuma o xx/201z, između Europskog parlamenta, Vijeća i Komisije, o suradnji po pitanjima proračuna i dobrog financijskog upravljanja za provedbu Programa za razdoblje 2014.- 2020., iznosit će X eura u stalnim cijenama 2011.

2. Godišnju raspodjelu sredstava odobrvat će Europski parlament i Vijeće ne dovodeći u pitanje odredbe Uredbe o utvrđivanju višegodišnjeg financijskog okvira za godine 2014.-2020. i međuinstitucionalni sporazum xxx/201z, između Europskog parlamenta, Vijeća i Komisije, o suradnji po pitanjima proračuna i dobrog financijskog

upravljanja.

Amandman 205

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 17. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(i) razina zaposlenosti u ribarstvu *i* akvakulturi,

Izmjena

(i) razina zaposlenosti u ribarstvu, *u* akvakulturi *i u prerađivačkoj industriji,*

Amandman 206

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 17. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka ii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(ii) razina proizvodnje u ribarstvu *i* akvakulturi,

Izmjena

(ii) razina proizvodnje u ribarstvu, *u* akvakulturi *i u prerađivačkoj industriji,*

Amandman 207

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 17. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka iii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iii) opseg zadataka dotične države članice koji se odnose na *prikupljanje* podataka procjenjuje se veličinom nacionalne ribarske flote, brojem iskrcaja, količinom aktivnosti znanstvenog monitoringa na moru, te brojem anketa u kojima sudjeluje država članica, *i*

Izmjena

(iii) opseg zadataka dotične države članice koji se odnose na *prikupljanje* podataka *i upravljanje podacima* procjenjuje se veličinom nacionalne ribarske flote, brojem iskrcaja, količinom aktivnosti znanstvenog monitoringa na moru, te brojem anketa u kojima sudjeluje država članica, *i*

Amandman 208

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 17. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka iv

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iv) **dostupnim** resursima za prikupljanje podataka u odnosu na opseg zadataka prikupljanja podataka države članice, pri čemu se dostupna sredstva procjenjuju **brojem promatrača na moru i količinom** ljudskih resursa te tehničkim sredstvima potrebnim za provedbu nacionalnog programa uzorkovanja za prikupljanje podataka.

Izmjena

(iv) resursima prikupljanja podataka **i upravljanja koji su dostupni** u odnosu na opseg zadataka prikupljanja podataka **i upravljanja** države članice, pri čemu se dostupna sredstva procjenjuju ljudskim resursima i tehničkim sredstvima potrebnim za provedbu nacionalnog programa uzorkovanja za prikupljanje podataka.

Amandman 209

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 17. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) Što se tiče svih mjera, **povijesne dodjele** na temelju Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1198/2006 te povijesna potrošnja na temelju Uredbe Vijeća br. 861/2006.

Izmjena

(c) Što se tiče svih mjera, **povijesne dodjele sredstava** na temelju Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1198/2006 **za razdoblje od 2007. do 2013.** te **prethodna** potrošnja na temelju Uredbe Vijeća br. 861/2006.

Amandman 210

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 18. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Svaka država članica sastavit će jedinstveni operativni program za provođenje prioriteta Unije koje će sufinancirati EFPR.

Izmjena

1. Svaka država članica sastavit će jedinstveni operativni program za provođenje prioriteta Unije **iz članka 6. ove Uredbe** koje će sufinancirati EFPR.

Amandman 211

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 18. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Za odjeljak koji se odnosi na operativni program naveden u članku 20. stavku 1. točki (n), Komisija će **provedbenim aktom** donijeti prioritete Unije za politiku provedbe i kontrole najkasnije do 31. svibnja 2013.

Izmjena

3. Za odjeljak koji se odnosi na operativni program naveden u članku 20. stavku 1. točki (n), Komisija **je ovlaštena** donijeti **delegirane akte, u skladu s člankom 127., uspostavljanjem** prioriteta Unije za politiku provedbe i kontrole najkasnije do 31. svibnja 2013.

Amandman 212

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 19. – stavak 2. (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(2.) svaka država članica mora uključiti plan proizvodnje i marketinga kao što je navedeno u članku 32. Uredbe (EU) br / ... [o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta za proizvode u ribarstvu i akvakulturi].

Justification

As the preparation of production and marketing plans is included under Union priorities (see previous amendment to Art. 6 paragraph 2 (d)) it is essential that the Member States operational programme includes such plans.

Amandman 213

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 19. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) predviđene su odgovarajuće aktivnosti koje će pojednostaviti i olakšati provedbu programa;

Izmjena

(c) predviđene su odgovarajuće aktivnosti koje će pojednostaviti i olakšati provedbu programa, **a posebno će se olakšati pristup dostupnoj financijskoj pomoći gospodarskim subjektima malog i obalnog ribolova i njihovim organizacijama.**

Amandman 214

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 19. – točka d a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(da) gdje je primjenjivo, dosljednost mjera prema prioritetima Unije za EFPR, kao što je navedeno u članku 38. (1) d ove Uredbe i prioritetnih akcijskih okvira za 'Naturu 2000' kao što se navodi u članku 8. (4) Direktive Vijeća 92/43 / EEZ, kao i postizanje dobrog ekološkog stanja, kao što je definirano u Direktivi 2008/56/EZ Europskoga parlamenta i Vijeća od 17. lipnja 2008., kojom se uspostavlja okvir za djelovanje zajednice u području politike morskog okoliša (Okvirna direktiva o morskoj strategiji).

Amandman 215

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) analiza situacije u smislu SWOT analize i utvrđivanje potreba koje treba zadovoljiti u geografskom području koje obuhvaća program;

Analiza će biti strukturirana oko prioriteta Unije. Specifične potrebe vezane uz ublažavanje učinaka klimatskih promjena i prilagodba klimatskim promjenama, te promicanje inovacija, ocjenjivat će se **po svim** prioritetima Unije, s ciljem utvrđivanja relevantnih odgovora za **ova dva područja** na razini svakog prioriteta; **sintezu stanja u područjima politike prihvatljivima za potporu u smislu njihovih prednosti i slabosti;**

(b) analiza situacije u smislu SWOT analize i utvrđivanje potreba koje treba zadovoljiti u geografskom **i okolišnom** području koje obuhvaća program;

Analiza će biti strukturirana oko prioriteta Unije, **kako je navedeno u članku 6.** Specifične potrebe vezane uz ublažavanje učinaka klimatskih promjena i prilagodba klimatskim promjenama, te promicanje inovacija, ocjenjivat će se **u odnosu na prioritete** Unije **s ciljem** utvrđivanja **najrelevantnijih** odgovora na razini svakog od **prioriteta povezanih s tim** područjima;

Ova analiza obuhvatit će i učinke provedbe ZRP-a u svakoj obalnoj regiji ili području.

Amandman 216

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka b a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ba) analiza posljedica provedbe ZRP-a na radna mjesta u cijelom vrijednosnom lancu i inovativnih prijedloga za poslove u zahvaćenim područjima;

Amandman 217

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c) predstavljanje odgovarajućeg pristupa integriranog u program prema inovacijama, okolišu, uključujući specifične potrebe područja mreže 'Natura 2000' te ublažavanje učinaka klimatskih promjena i prilagodbu klimatskim promjenama;

(c) analiza koja pokazuje da program uzima u obzir učinke ribarstva i akvakulture na okoliš i, po potrebi, posebne potrebe područja mreže 'Natura 2000', kao i postizanje dobrog ekološkog statusa, uspostavu koherentne mreže područja oporavka riba te ublažavanje učinaka klimatskih promjena i prilagodbu klimatskim promjenama;

Amandman 218

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka c a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ca) procjena ravnoteže između ribarskog kapaciteta i dostupnih mogućnosti za ribarstvo u skladu sa zahtjevima Uredbe (EZ) br. .../.... [o ZRP-u] i opis mjera

*koje se poduzimaju za usklađivanje s
ukupnim ribarskim kapacitetima iz
Priloga II. te Uredbe;*

Amandman 219

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka h

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(h) jasan pokazatelj **aktivnosti** u okviru glave V. poglavlja III. koje se mogu zajednički poduzeti i na taj način iskoristiti viši intenzitet potpore prema članku 95. stavku 3.;

Izmjena

(h) jasan pokazatelj **mjera** u okviru glave V. poglavlja III. koje se mogu zajednički poduzeti i na taj način iskoristiti viši intenzitet potpore prema članku 95. stavku 3.;

Amandman 220

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka h a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

*(ha) procjena ravnoteže između
ribolovnog kapaciteta i raspoloživih
mogućnosti za ribolov kao što je
propisano Uredbom (EU) br / ... [o
ZRP-u] i opis mjera poduzetih da bi se
udovoljilo ukupnim ribolovnim
kapacitetima koji su navedeni u Prilogu
II. te Uredbe;*

Izmjena

Amandman 221

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka h b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(hb) detaljan opis mjera koje se odnose na pripremu i provedbu planova proizvodnje i marketinga koji imaju korist od potpore temeljem članka 69.;

Amandman 222

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(i) ***analiza potreba koje se odnose na zahtjeve za monitoring*** i evaluaciju, te evaluacijski plan iz članka 49. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] kojom se utvrđuju zajedničke odredbe]. ***Države članice osigurati će dostatne resurse i aktivnosti izgradnje kapaciteta*** za rješavanje utvrđenih potreba;

(i) zahtjevi za evaluaciju te evaluacijski plan iz članka 49. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] kojom se utvrđuju zajedničke odredbe] i ***mjere koje se moraju poduzeti*** za rješavanje utvrđenih potreba;

Amandman 223

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka j – točka ii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ii) tablica koja određuje primjenjive resurse EFPR-a i stopu sufinanciranja za ***ciljeve u okviru*** prioriteta Unije iz članka 6. i tehničku pomoć. Kada je to primjenjivo, ova tablica zasebno navodi resurse EFPR-a i stope sufinanciranja koje se primjenjuju odstupajući od općeg pravila članka 94. stavka 1. za potporu navedenu u članku 72., članku 73., članku 78. stavku 2. točkama (a) do (d) te (f) do (j), članku 78. stavku 2. točke (e) i članku 79.

(ii) tablica koja određuje primjenjive resurse EFPR-a i stopu sufinanciranja za prioritete Unije iz članka 6. i tehničku pomoć. Kada je to primjenjivo, ova tablica zasebno navodi resurse EFPR-a i stope sufinanciranja koje se primjenjuju odstupajući od općeg pravila članka 94. stavka 1. za potporu navedenu u članku 72., članku 73., članku 78. stavku 2. točkama (a) do (d) te (f) do (j), članku 78. stavku 2. točke (e) i članku 79.

Amandman 224

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka k

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(k) podaci o komplementarnosti s mjerama koje se financiraju kroz ostale *fondove CSF-a ili Okvirni program LIFE*;

Izmjena

(k) informacije o komplementarnosti s mjerama koje se financiraju kroz ostale *politike i financijske instrumente Unije*;

Amandman 225

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka l – točka ia (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ia) jasan opis uloga koje moraju izvršavati FLAG-ovi i upravno tijelo ili tijelo imenovano za utvrđivanje zadataka za provedbu strategije;

Amandman 226

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka l – točka ii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(ii) opis postupaka monitoringa i evaluacije kao i sastav odbora za monitoring;

Izmjena

(ii) opis postupaka monitoringa i evaluacije kao i *općeniti* sastav odbora za monitoring;

Amandman 227

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka m

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(m) imenovanje partnera iz članka 5. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama] i rezultati savjetovanja s partnerima;

Izmjena

(m) **postupak za** imenovanje partnera iz članka 5. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama] i rezultati savjetovanja s partnerima; **promjene koje se odnose na partnere mogu se provoditi za vrijeme trajanja programa uz suglasnost odbora za monitoring;**

Amandman 228

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka n – točka i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(i) popis tijela koja primjenjuju sustav kontrole, inspekcije i provedbe, te kratak opis njihovih ljudskih i financijskih resursa dostupnih za kontrolu, inspekciju i provedbu ribarstva, njihovu opremu dostupnu za kontrolu, inspekciju i provedbu ribarstva posebice broj plovila, zrakoplova i helikoptera;

Izmjena

(i) popis tijela koja primjenjuju sustav kontrole, inspekcije i provedbe te kratak opis njihovih ljudskih i financijskih resursa dostupnih za kontrolu, inspekciju i provedbu ribarstva, njihovu **glavnu** opremu dostupnu za kontrolu, inspekciju i provedbu ribarstva posebice broj plovila, zrakoplova i helikoptera;

Amandman 229

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka o – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(o) u cilju prikupljanja podataka za održivo upravljanje ribarstvom kako je navedeno u članku 6. stavku 6. i članku 18. stavku 4. te u skladu s višegodišnjim programom Unije navedenim u članku 37. stavku 5. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici]:

Izmjena

(o) u cilju prikupljanja podataka za održivo upravljanje ribarstvom kako je navedeno u članku 6. stavku 6. i članku 18. stavku 4. te u skladu s višegodišnjim programom Unije navedenim u članku 37. stavku 5. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] **i za analizu društveno-ekonomske situacije**

*prerađivačke i marketinške industrije za
proizvode ribarstva i akvakulture:*

Amandman 230

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka o – točka i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(i) opis aktivnosti prikupljanja podataka koje treba provesti kako bi se omogućilo sljedeće:

Izmjena

(i) opis aktivnosti prikupljanja podataka koje treba provesti **u suradnji s dionicima** kako bi se omogućilo sljedeće:

Amandman 231

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka o – točka i – alineja 1

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

- evaluacija sektora ribarstva (biološke, **ekonomske** i transverzalne varijable kao i istraživačke ankete na moru),

Izmjena

- evaluacija sektora ribarstva (biološke, **ekonomske, društvene** i transverzalne varijable, **u cijelom vrijednosnom lancu**, kao i istraživačke ankete na moru),

Amandman 232

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka o – točka i – alineja 2

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

- evaluacija gospodarskog stanja u akvakulturi i prerađivačkoj industriji,

Izmjena

- evaluacija gospodarskog **i društvenog** stanja u akvakulturi i prerađivačkoj industriji,

Amandman 233

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka o – točka i – alineja 3

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

– procjena učinaka ribarskog *sektora* na ekosustav.

Izmjena

–procjena učinaka ribarskog *i akvakulturnog sektora* na ekosustav *kako bi se omogućile usporedbe između vrsta aktivnosti ribarstva i akvakulture i segmenata flote u skladu sa zahtjevima Uredbe (EU) No .../.... [o ZRP-u].*

Amandman 234

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 1. – točka o – točka iii – podstavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iii) *demonstriranje* sposobnosti postizanja dobrog financijskog i administrativnog upravljanja prikupljenim podacima.

Izmjena

(iii) *opravdanost* sposobnosti postizanja dobrog financijskog i administrativnog upravljanja prikupljenim podacima.

Amandman 235

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 20. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

4. *Putem* provedbenih akata, Komisija će *utvrditi* pravila predstavljanja elemenata opisanih u stavcima 1., 2, i 3. Ti će se provedbeni akti donijeti u skladu sa *savjetodavnim* postupkom iz članka 128. stavak 2.

Izmjena

4. Komisija će *donijeti* provedbene akte kojima se *utvrđuju* pravila predstavljanja elemenata opisanih u stavcima 1., 2, i 3. Ti će se provedbeni akti donijeti u skladu s postupkom *razmatranja* iz članka 128. stavak 2.

Amandman 236

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 21. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Komisija će **odobriti** operativni program **putem provedbenog akta**.

Izmjena

2. Komisija će donijeti provedbene akte koji odobravaju operativni program **kada bude zadovoljna ispunjavanjem zahtjeva iz stavka 1. Nakon što budu odobreni, operativni programi bit će dostupni javnosti.**

Amandman 237

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 22. – stavak 2. – podstavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

U tu svrhu Komisija će donijeti **odluku putem provedbenog akta detaljno pojašnjavajući** promjene u prioritetima Unije u provedbi politike i kontrole iz članka 18. stavka 3., te odrediti prioritete odgovarajućim prihvatljivim operacijama.

Izmjena

U tu svrhu Komisija **će biti ovlaštena** za usvajanje delegiranih akata **u skladu s člankom 127. detaljno pojašnjavajući** promjene u prioritetima Unije u provedbi politike i kontrole iz članka 18. stavka 3., te odrediti prioritete odgovarajućim prihvatljivim operacijama.

Amandman 238

U ime Kluba zastupnika EPP-a, S&D grupe

Kompromisni amandman koji zamjenjuje amandmane 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 22. – stavak 2. – podstavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Uzimajući u obzir nove prioritete propisane odlukom spomenutom u drugom podstavku ovog stavka, države članice dostavit će Komisiji amandman na Operativni program do 31. listopada godine koja prethodi godini dotične provedbe,

Izmjena

Države članice mogu izmijeniti svoje operativne programe, uzimajući u obzir nove prioritete propisane odlukom spomenutom u drugom podstavku ovog stavka. Države članice dostavit će Komisiji **sve takve izmjene** do 31. listopada godine koja prethodi godini dotične provedbe,

Amandman 239

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 23. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. U svrhu primjene članka 20. stavka 1. točke (o) države članice će do 31. listopada svake godine Komisiji podnositi **godišnji plan rada**. Godišnji planovi rada sadrže opis postupaka i metoda koje će se koristiti u prikupljanju i analizi podataka te u ocjeni njihove točnosti i preciznosti.

Izmjena

1. U svrhu primjene članka 20. stavka 1. točke (o) države članice će do 31. listopada svake godine Komisiji podnositi **godišnji plan rada ili će Komisiju obavijestiti o nastavku provedbe plana koji je bio na snazi prethodne godine**. Godišnji planovi rada **izrađivat će se u okviru višegodišnjeg nacionalnog programa u skladu s programom Unije i sadržavat će** opis postupaka i metoda koje će se koristiti za prikupljanje i analizu podataka i procjenu njihove točnosti i preciznosti.

Amandman 240

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 24. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) uvođenje ili povlačenje mjera ili vrsta operacija;

Izmjena

(b) uvođenje ili povlačenje mjera ili vrsta **relevantnih operacija i informacija i pokazatelja vezanih za njih**;

Amandman 241

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 24. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Ti će se provedbeni akti donijeti u

Izmjena

2. Ti će se provedbeni akti donijeti u

skladu sa *savjetodavnim* postupkom iz članka 128. stavka 2.

skladu s postupkom *razmatranja* iz članka 128. stavka 2.

Amandman 242

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 25. – glava

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Godišnji *program* rada

Izmjena

Višegodišnji operativni program
i godišnji *programi* rada

Amandman 243

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 25. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. *U cilju provedbe* poglavlja I. i II. Glave VI. te članka 92., Komisija će *putem provedbenih* akata *donijeti* godišnji *program* rada u skladu s ciljevima navedenim u ovim poglavljima. *Ti provedbeni akti donijet će se u skladu s postupkom razmatranja iz članka 128. stavka 3.*

Izmjena

1. *Kako bi se uspostavile pojedinosti za primjenu poglavlja I. i II. Glave VI. i članka 92., Komisija će donijeti delegirane akte, u skladu s člankom 127., utvrđujući višegodišnji operativni program, a jedan od njegovih zadataka bit će utvrđivanje godišnjih programa* rada u skladu s ciljevima navedenim u ovim poglavljima.

Amandman 244

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 25. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Godišnji *program* rada utvrdit će ciljeve koji se žele postići, očekivane rezultate,

Izmjena

2. *Višegodišnji operativni program i* godišnji *programi* rada utvrdit će ciljeve

načine provedbe i njezin ukupan iznos. Program će također sadržavati opis aktivnosti koje treba financirati, naznaku iznosa koji će se dodijeliti za svaku aktivnost, indikativan raspored provedbe, kao i informacije o njihovoj provedbi. Uključivat će bespovratna sredstva za prioritete, temeljne kriterije za evaluaciju i maksimalnu stopu sufinanciranja.

koji se žele postići, očekivane rezultate, načine provedbe i njezin ukupan iznos. **Oni** će također sadržavati opis aktivnosti koje treba financirati, naznaku iznosa koji će se dodijeliti za svaku aktivnost, indikativan raspored provedbe, kao i informacije o njihovoj provedbi. **Za bespovratna sredstva oni** će uključivati prioritete, temeljne kriterije za evaluaciju i maksimalnu stopu sufinanciranja. **Nadalje, uključivat će zahtjev za godišnjim izvješćima o provedbi proračuna.**

Amandman 245

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 27. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Vlasnik ribarskog plovila koji je primio potporu u okviru članka 32. **stavka 1. točke (b)**, članka 36., članka 39. **stavka 1. točke (a)**, ili članka 40. **stavka 2.** ove Uredbe, ne smije izvesti plovilo u treću zemlju izvan Unije **tijekom** najmanje 5 godina od datuma izvršene uplate korisniku.

Izmjena

1. Vlasnik ribarskog plovila koji je primio potporu u okviru članaka 32., 36., 39. i 40. ove Uredbe ne smije izvesti plovilo u treću zemlju izvan Unije **barem pet** godina od datuma izvršene uplate **te potpore** korisniku, **osim ukoliko korisnik ne nadoknadi tu potporu prema načelu pro rata temporis prije nego se plovilo izveze u treću zemlju izvan Unije. Prva rečenica ovog stavka ne dovodi u pitanje članak 135.[Financijske uredbe].**

Amandman 246

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 28. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. U cilju poticanja inovacija u ribarstvu, EFPR može podržati projekte usmjerene na razvijanje ili uvođenje novih ili znatno

Izmjena

1. U cilju poticanja inovacija u ribarstvu **i prerađivačkoj industriji**, EFPR može podržati projekte usmjerene na razvijanje

poboljšanih proizvoda, *u odnosu na najviši stupanj razvoja tih proizvoda, nove* ili poboljšane procese, nove ili poboljšane sustave upravljanja i organizacije.

ili uvođenje novih ili znatno poboljšanih *tehnologija, opreme ili* proizvoda, *na primjer projektiranjem inovativnih plovila, kao i* nove ili poboljšane procese i nove ili poboljšane sustave upravljanja i organizacije, *pod uvjetom da takvi projekti doprinose ciljevima navedenim u članku 2. Uredbe (EU) br. .../.... [o ZRP-u].*

Amandman 247

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 28. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Operacije financirane u okviru ovog članka moraju se izvršiti u suradnji sa znanstvenim ili tehničkim tijelom koje je priznala država članica i koje mora potvrditi rezultate takvih operacija.

Izmjena

2. Operacije financirane u okviru ovog članka moraju se izvršiti *od strane ili* u suradnji sa znanstvenim ili tehničkim tijelom koje je priznala država članica *ili Unija* i koje mora potvrditi rezultate takvih operacija.

Amandman 248

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 28. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Rezultati operacija financiranih u okviru ovog članka bit će podložni odgovarajućoj promidžbi od strane države članice u skladu s člankom 120.

Izmjena

3. Rezultati operacija financiranih u okviru ovog članka postat će *predmetom javno dostupnih izvješća i* bit će podložni promidžbi od strane države članice u skladu s člankom 120.

Amandman 249

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 28. – stavak 3. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

3a. Postupak prijavljivanja za potpore inovacijama bit će dostupniji u cilju poticanja većeg broja projekata.

Amandman 250

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 29. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se poboljšala cjelokupna uspješnost i konkurentnost gospodarskih subjekata, EFPR može podržati:

1. Kako bi se poboljšala cjelokupna uspješnost i konkurentnost gospodarskih subjekata ***i kako bi se promicalo više održivo ribarstvo***, EFPR može podržati:

Amandman 251

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 29. – stavak 1. – točka a a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) pružanje stručnih savjeta o razvoju više održive ribarske prakse s naglaskom na ograničavanje i, ako je moguće, uklanjanje učinka takvih aktivnosti na morski, kopneni i slatkovodni ekosustav;

Amandman 252

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 29. – stavak 1. – točka a b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ab) pružanje tehničkih, pravnih ili gospodarskih savjetodavnih usluga povezanih s projektima koji potencijalno ispunjavaju uvjete za potporu u okviru

ovog poglavlja;

Amandman 253

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 29. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) pružanje stručnih savjeta o poslovnim i marketinškim strategijama.

Izmjena

(b) pružanje stručnih savjeta o poslovnim i marketinškim strategijama, ***uključujući savjete vezane uz promicanje, marketing i odnose s javnošću.***

Amandman 254

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 29.– stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Studije izvedivosti ***i*** savjetovanje kako su ***redom navedeni*** u stavku 1. točkama (a) i (b) dobivat će se od priznatih znanstvenih ili tehničkih tijela s potrebnim savjetodavnim sposobnostima koje priznaje nacionalno pravo svake države članice.

Izmjena

2. Studije izvedivosti, savjetovanje ***i usluge*** navedene po točkama (a), ***(aa)***, ***(ab)*** i (b) ***stavka 1.***, dobivat će se od priznatih znanstvenih, ***akademskih, stručnih*** ili tehničkih tijela s potrebnim savjetodavnim sposobnostima koje priznaje nacionalno pravo svake države članice.

Amandman 255

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 29. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Potpora navedena u stavku 1. dodijelit će se gospodarskim subjektima ***ili*** organizacijama ribara, priznatih od strane države članice, koji su naručili studiju izvedivosti iz stavka 1.

Izmjena

3. Potpora navedena u stavku 1. dodijelit će se gospodarskim subjektima, organizacijama ribara ***ili javnopravnim tijelima*** koja priznaju države članice i koja su naručila studiju o izvedivosti ***ili***

zatražila savjet ili savjetodavne usluge navedene u točkama (a), (aa), (ab) i (b) stavka 1.

Amandman 256

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 29. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

4. Države članice dužne su osigurati da operacije koje će se financirati prema ovom članku budu odabrane ubrzanim postupkom.

Izmjena

4. Države članice dužne su osigurati da operacije koje će se financirati prema ovom članku budu odabrane ubrzanim postupkom, ***a posebno u slučaju malog i obalnog ribarstva i slatkovodnog ribarstva.***

Amandman 257

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 30. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Kako bi se poticao prijenos znanja između znanstvenika i ribara, EFPR može podržati:

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se poticalo ***poboljšano prikupljanje, promicanje i*** prenošenje znanja između znanstvenika i ribara, EFPR može podržati:

Amandman 258

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 30. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) stvaranje ***mreže koja se sastoji od*** jednog ili više neovisnih znanstvenih tijela i ribara ili jedne ili više organizacija ribara;

Izmjena

(a) stvaranje ***mreža, partnerskih sporazuma, ugovora ili udruženja između*** jednog ili više neovisnih znanstvenih tijela i ribara ili jedne ili više organizacija ribara, ***uz sudjelovanje onih javnih tijela država***

članica koja žele sudjelovati;

Amandman 259

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 30. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) aktivnosti koje provodi **određena mreža kako je navedeno** u točki (a).

Izmjena

(b) aktivnosti koje se provode **u okviru mreža, partnerskih sporazuma, ugovora ili udruženja stvorenih u skladu s** točkom (a).

Amandman 260

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 30. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Aktivnosti navedene u stavku 1. točki (b) mogu obuhvatiti aktivnosti prikupljanja podataka, studije, širenje znanja i najbolje prakse.

Izmjena

2. Aktivnosti navedene u stavku 1. točki (b) mogu obuhvatiti aktivnosti prikupljanja podataka i **upravljanja, zajedničke istraživačke projekte**, studije, **pilot projekte, seminare**, širenje znanja i najbolje prakse.

Amandman 261

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 31. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) cjeloživotno učenje, širenje znanstvenog znanja i inovativnih praksi te stjecanje novih stručnih vještina posebno povezanih s **održivim upravljanjem morskim ekosustavima, aktivnostima u morskom sektoru, inovacijama i**

Izmjena

(a) **radnje i operacije za promicanje stručnog osposobljavanja**, cjeloživotnog učenja, širenja znanstvenog, **tehničkog, ekonomskog ili pravnog** znanja i inovativne prakse te stjecanje novih stručnih vještina, posebno **onih** povezanih

poduzetništvom;

s :

- *održivim upravljanjem morskim i slatkovodnim ekosustavima;*
- *aktivnostima u pomorskom sektoru;*
- *inovacijama;*
- *poduzetništvom, posebno pristupom mladih ljudi ribarskim zanimanjima;*
- *higijenom, zdravljem i sigurnošću;*
- *osposobljavanjem ribara za provedbu odredaba ZRP-a;*
- *sprječavanjem rizika na radnom mjestu.*

Amandman 262

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 31. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) umrežavanje i razmjena iskustava i najbolje prakse između dionika, uključujući i organizacije koje **promiču** jednake mogućnosti između muškaraca i žena;

Izmjena

(b) umrežavanje i razmjena iskustava i najbolje prakse između zainteresiranih strana uključujući i organizacije za **osposobljavanje** i one **organizacije koje promiču** jednake mogućnosti muškaraca i žena **i promidžbu [priznanje] ključne uloge koju žene imaju u ribarskim zajednicama;**

Amandman 263

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 31. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) **promicanje** društvenog dijaloga na nacionalnoj, regionalnoj **ili** lokalnoj razini uključujući **ribare** i ostale relevantne zainteresirane strane.

Izmjena

(c) **promicanje** društvenog dijaloga na razini **Unije**, na nacionalnoj, regionalnoj i lokalnoj razini u koji su uključeni **gospodarski subjekti, socijalni partneri** i drugi relevantni dionici, **s posebnim naglaskom na skupine koje nisu dovoljno**

predstavljene, kao što su one koje se bave malim i obalnim ribolovom i ribolovom s obale.

Amandman 264

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 32. – glava

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Olakšavanje diversifikacije i stvaranja radnih mjesta

Izmjena

Olakšavanje *poduzetništva*, diversifikacije i stvaranja radnih mjesta

Amandman 265

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 32.– stavak –1. (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

-1. Radi poticanja poduzetništva i olakšavanja stvaranja radnih mjesta, EFPR može podržati prijenos vlasništva nad postojećim poduzećem u sektoru ribarstva, pod uvjetom da se to napravi samo za korisnike mlađe od 35 godina, te da se slijede kriteriji navedeni u članku 32.a.

Amandman 266

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 1. – Uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Kako bi se olakšala diversifikacija *i stvaranje novih radnih mjesta izvan ribolova*, EFPR može podržati:

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se olakšala diversifikacija, EFPR može *isto tako* podržati *komplementarne aktivnosti vezane uz osnovnu poslovnu djelatnost ribarstva putem:*

Amandman 267

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 1. – točka a a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) pokretanje poslovnih djelatnosti izvan ribolova;

Izmjena

(aa) ulaganje u djelatnosti na plovilu koje nadopunjuju ribolov, kao što su usluge zaštite okoliša i odgojno-obrazovne ili turističke djelatnosti;

Amandman 268

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) opremanje malih ribarskih plovila za obalni ribolov kako bi ih se moglo preraspodijeliti *na* aktivnosti izvan ribolova.

Izmjena

(b) opremanje malih ribarskih plovila za obalni ribolov kako bi ih se preraspodijelilo *na* aktivnosti izvan *komercijalnog* ribolova.

Amandman 269

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) opremanje malih ribarskih plovila za obalni ribolov kako bi ih se preraspodijelilo na aktivnosti izvan ribolova.

Izmjena

(Ne utječe na englesku verziju.)

Amandman 270

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 2. – Uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Potpora iz stavka **1. (a)** dodjeljuje se ribarima koji:

Izmjena

2. Potpora iz stavka **–1. i stavka 1. (aa)** dodjeljuje se ribarima koji:

Amandman 271

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) podnesu poslovni plan za razvoj svojih **novih** aktivnosti;

Izmjena

(a) podnesu poslovni plan za razvoj svojih aktivnosti;

Amandman 272

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Potpora iz stavka 1. (b) odobrit će se ribarima malog obalnog ribolova koji posjeduju ribarsko plovilo Unije registrirano kao aktivno te koje je provodilo ribolovne aktivnosti na moru najmanje 60 dana tijekom dvije godine prije datuma podnošenja molbe. **Dozvola**

Izmjena

3. Potpora iz stavka 1. (b) odobrit će se ribarima malog obalnog ribolova koji posjeduju ribarsko plovilo Unije registrirano kao aktivno te koje je provodilo ribolovne aktivnosti na moru najmanje 60 dana tijekom dvije **kalendarske** godine prije datuma

za ribolov koja se odnosi na ribarsko plovilo trajno se povlači.

podnošenja molbe.

Amandman 273

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 3. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

3a. Potpora iz stavka 1. (c) odobrava se samo ribarima čije su komplementarne aktivnosti povezane s ribarstvom kao osnovnom poslovnom djelatnošću, kao što su udičarski turizam, restorani, ribarske usluge zaštite okoliša ili edukativne aktivnosti vezane uz ribarstvo.

Amandman 274

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 4

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

4. Korisnici potpore iz stavka 1. neće se baviti profesionalnim ribolovom tijekom pet godina nakon primitka zadnje isplate potpore.

Brisano

Amandman 275

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. – stavak 6.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

6. Iznos financijske potpore dodijeljene prema navedenom u *stavku 1. (a)* neće prelaziti 50% proračuna predviđenog u poslovnom planu za svaki postupak te neće prelaziti maksimalan iznos od **50 000 eura**

6. Iznos financijske potpore dodijeljene prema navedenom u *stavku –1. i 1. (aa)* neće prelaziti 50% proračuna predviđenog u poslovnom planu za svaki postupak te neće prelaziti maksimalan iznos od **100**

za svaki postupak.

000 eura za svaki postupak.

Amandman 276

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 32. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 32a

***Potpore za pokretanje poslovnih
aktivnosti za mlade ribare***

***1. EFPR može odobriti pojedinačnu
potporu mladim ribarima pod uvjetom da
su:***

– mlađi od 35 godina;

***– dokazali da su radili najmanje pet
godina kao ribari ili imaju
jednakovrijedno stručno obrazovanje;***

***– stekli, po prvi puta, vlasništvo nad
ribarskim plovilom za mali i obalni
ribolov koje je staro između pet i 20
godina te su tijekom prethodnih pet
godina sudjelovali u ribolovnim
aktivnostima.***

***2. Ribarsko plovilo iz stavka 1. pripada
segmentu flote za koji izvješće o
kapacitetu, iz Članka 34. (1) Uredbe (EU)
br / ... [o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj
politici], pokazuje ravnotežu između
ribolovnih mogućnosti i kapaciteta flote.***

***3. Iznos potpore iz stavka 1. ne prelazi
100 000 eura.***

Amandman 277

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 32. b (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 32. b

Ulaganja u obnovu flote

1. EFPR može podržati ulaganje u obnovu ribarskih plovila za mali i obalni ribolov koja su starija od 35 godina, pod sljedećim uvjetima:

(i) plovilo pripada segmentu flote za koji izvyšeće o kapacitetu iz Članka 34. (1) Uredbe (EU) br / ... [o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici], pokazuje ravnotežu ribolovnih mogućnosti i kapaciteta flote, a investicija će smanjiti kapacitet plovila ribolovne napore i potrošnju energije za najmanje 40%;

(ii) ulaganje znatno poboljšava selektivnost opreme plovila;

(iii) ulaganje poštuje sigurnosne propise na plovilu;

(iv) plovilo je tijekom posljednjih pet godina obavljalo ribolovne aktivnosti.

2. Potpora iz stavka 1. dodjeljuje se samo vlasnicima plovila i, s izuzetkom tradicionalnih ribarskih plovila, bit će dodijeljena u zamjenu za povlačenje iz prometa plovila starija od 35 godina.

3. Iznos potpore iz stavka 1. ne prelazi 15% ukupnog ulaganja i 80 000 eura.

4. Komisija ima ovlasti za usvajanje delegiranih propisa, u skladu s Člankom 127., u kojima se navode detaljna pravila za primjenu kriterija iz ovog Članka.

Amandman 278

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 33. – naslov

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Zdravlje i sigurnost na brodu

Izmjena

Zdravlje, **higijena** i sigurnost na brodu

Amandman 279

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 33. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. S ciljem poboljšanja radnih uvjeta ribara na brodu, EFPR može podržati ulaganja u brod ili u pojedinu opremu, **uz uvjet** da ta ulaganja nadilaze standarde predviđene nacionalnim zakonom ili zakonima Unije.

Izmjena

1. S ciljem poboljšanja **zdravstvenih, higijenskih, sigurnosnih, radnih i životnih** uvjeta ribara na brodu, EFPR može podržati ulaganja u brod ili u pojedinu opremu, **uz uvjet** da ta ulaganja nadilaze standarde predviđene nacionalnim zakonom ili zakonima Unije **te ne povećavaju ribolovni kapacitet plovila.**

Amandman 280

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 33. – stavak 1. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1a. Kako bi se poboljšala skrb za ribare u slučaju nesreće, EFPR može promicati zajedničke projekte kako bi medicinska izobrazba bila dostupna čitavim posadama.

Amandman 281

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 33. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 33. a

Privremena obustava ribolovnih aktivnosti

1. EFPR može doprinijeti mjerama financiranja za privremenu obustavu ribolovnih aktivnosti samo u sljedećim slučajevima:

(a) u okviru višegodišnjeg plana, definiranog u Uredbi (EU) Br .../.... [o ZRP-u];

(b) u slučaju hitnih mjera koje je usvojila Komisija u skladu s Člankom 13. Uredbe (EU) Br .../.... [o ZRP-u];

(c) tijekom razdoblja biološkog oporavka u danim kritičnim fazama životnog ciklusa vrsta, kada su takve mjere nužne za održivo korištenje ribolovnih resursa, za pomoć u očuvanju ribljeg fonda i za omogućavanje ribolova izvan takvih razdoblja mirovanja.

Potpora se dodjeljuje u obliku financijske naknade za razdoblje neaktivnosti.

2. Trajanje mjera iz stavka 1. utvrđuje se na temelju najboljih dostupnih znanstvenih istraživanja o stanju fonda.

3. Redovna sezonska obustava ribolova koja nije obuhvaćena stavkom 1. (c) ne uzima se u obzir pri odobravanju naknade ili kod isplata iz ovog Članka.

4. EFPR može doprinijeti financiranju mjera iz stavka 1. koje se odnose na zahvaćene ribare i vlasnike ribolovnih plovila najduže šest mjeseci po plovidu za vrijeme trajanja programskog razdoblja. Potpora se dodjeljuje:

(a) vlasnicima ribarskih plovila upisanima u Registar flote Unije koji su se bavili ribolovnim aktivnostima najmanje 120 dana prije podnošenja zahtjeva za potporom, i

(b) članovima posade ribarskog plovila zahvaćenima privremenom obustavom aktivnosti pod uvjetima iz točke (a) ovog stavka.

4. Tijekom razdoblja primanja potpore iz stavka 1., ribarsko plovilo i članovi posade neće se baviti ribolovnim aktivnostima. Države članice osigurat će prestanak aktivnosti.

Amandman 282

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 33. b (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 33. b

Uzajamni fondovi za osiguranje

1. EFPR može doprinijeti uzajamnim fondovima prepoznatima od strane države članice u skladu s njezinim nacionalnim zakonima koji omogućuju ribarima koji su pridruženi članovi da se osiguraju protiv gubitaka uslijed:

(a) prirodnih katastrofa; ili

(b) ekoloških incidenata ili incidenata s posljedicama na zdravlje.

2. Događaji će se službeno priznati kao prirodne katastrofe ili ekološki incidenti ili incidenti s posljedicama na zdravlje od strane države članice ili, ako se to zahtijeva, prema internim pravilima uzajamnog fonda. Države članice mogu, u odgovarajućem slučaju, unaprijed utvrditi

kriterije na temelju kojih će se takvo formalno priznanje odobriti.

Amandman 283

**Prijedlog Uredbe
Članak 34.**

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 34.

Brisano

***Podrška sustavima prenosive ribolovne
koncesije ZRP-a***

1. Kako bi se uspostavili ili modificirali sustavi prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija iz Članka 27 [Uredbe o ZRP-u], EFPR može podržati:

- a) osmišljavanje i razvoj tehničkih i administrativnih sredstava potrebnih za izradu ili funkcioniranje sustava prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija;***
- b) sudjelovanje dionika u izradi i razvoju sustava prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija;***
- c) praćenje i ocjenjivanje sustava prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija;***
- d) upravljanje sustavima prenosivih ribolovnih koncesija.***

2. Potpora iz stavka 1. (a), (b) i (c) dodjeljuje se samo tijelima javne vlasti. Potpora iz članka 1 (d) ovog Članka dodjeljuje se samo tijelima javne vlasti, pravnim ili fizičkim osobama ili prepoznatim organizacijama proizvođača, uključenima u kolektivno upravljanje skupnim prenosivim ribolovnim koncesijama u skladu s Člankom (4) Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici.

Justification

The rapporteur is opposed to the introduction of transferable fishing concessions. Such an arrangement would serve to monetise fishing rights and would endanger small-scale and

coastal fishing.

Amandman 284

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 35. – naslov

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Potpora provedbi mjera očuvanja u okviru
ZRP-a

Izmjena

Potpora **osmišljavanju i** provedbi mjera
očuvanja u okviru ZRP-a

Amandman 285

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 35. – stavak 1. – Uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Kako bi se osigurala učinkovita provedba
mjera očuvanja iz **Članaka 17. i 21.**
[Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici]
EFPR može podržati:

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se osiguralo učinkovito
osmišljavanje i provedba **prioriteta ZRP-a**
o regionalizaciji i mjera očuvanja **koje su**
donesene u skladu s [Uredbom o
zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici],
uključujući višegodišnje planove, EFPR
može podržati:

Amandman 286

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 35. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) osmišljavanje **i** razvoj tehničkih i
administrativnih sredstava potrebnih za
provedbu mjera očuvanja iz **Članaka 17. i**
21. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj
politici];

Izmjena

(a) osmišljavanje, razvoj **i praćenje**
tehničkih i administrativnih sredstava
potrebnih za **osmišljavanje i** provedbu
višegodišnjih planova i mjera očuvanja u
smislu [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj
politici];

Amandman 287

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 35. – stavak 1. – točka a a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) uspostava koherentne mreže područja za oporavak ribljeg fonda prema Uredbi (EU) No .../... [o ZRP-u];

Amandman 288

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 35. – stavak 1. – točka a b (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ab) provedba razdoblja biološkog oporavka;

Amandman 289

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 35. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) sudjelovanje zainteresiranih strana u osmišljavanju i provedbi mjera očuvanja u smislu **Članaka 17. i 21.** [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici]

(b) sudjelovanje zainteresiranih strana **i suradnja između država članica** u osmišljavanju i provedbi **višegodišnjih planova i** mjera očuvanja u smislu [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici]

Amandman 290

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 35. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Potpora iz stavka 1. dodjeljuje se **samo**

2. Potpora iz stavka 1. **može** se dodijeliti

tijelima javne vlasti.

tijelima javne vlasti, *savjetodavnim vijećima, znanstvenim ustanovama, prepoznatim organizacijama ribara i organizacijama proizvođača, pod uvjetom da su uključeni u provedbu višegodišnjih planova i mjere očuvanja u okviru ZRP-a.*

Amandman 291

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 1. – Uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Kako bi se smanjio učinak ribolova na morski okoliš, potaknulo uklanjanje odbacivanja ribe i olakšao prijelaz na iskorištavanje živih obnovljivih bioloških resursa, radi održanja populacije ulovljenih vrsta iznad razina koje mogu proizvesti NOP, EFPR može podržavati ulaganja u opremu:

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se smanjio učinak ribolova na morski okoliš, potaknulo uklanjanje odbacivanja ribe i olakšao prijelaz na ***održivo*** iskorištavanje živih obnovljivih bioloških resursa, radi održanja populacije ulovljenih vrsta iznad razina koje mogu proizvesti NOP, EFPR može podržati ***istraživanja i*** ulaganja u opremu, ***instrumente ili sustave***:

Amandman 292

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 1. – točka a a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) zamjena ribarske opreme, pod uvjetom da nova oprema ima bolju odgovarajuću veličinu, bolju selektivnost vrsta, ograničen učinak na morski okoliš i osjetljive morske ekosustave, a ne povećava kapacitet ribarskog broda za ulov ribe;

Amandman 293

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) smanjivanje neželjenog ulova komercijalnih ribljih fondova ili ostalog prilova;

Izmjena

(b) smanjivanje neželjenog *ili neovlaštenog* ulova komercijalnih ribljih fondova ili ostalog prilova, *s naglaskom na razvoj i uvođenje naprava za smanjivanje takvog ulova;*

Amandman 294

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) ograničavanje fizičkih i bioloških učinaka ribolova na ekosustav ili morsko dno.

Izmjena

(c) ograničavanje *i, ako je moguće, uklanjanje* fizičkih i bioloških učinaka ribolova na ekosustav ili morsko dno, *naročito u područjima koja su identificirana kao biogeografski osjetljiva;*

Amandman 295

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 1. – točka c a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ca) štiti opremu i ulov od sisavaca i ptica zaštićenih Direktivom Vijeća 92/43/EEZ od 21. svibnja 1992. o očuvanju prirodnih staništa i divlje faune i flore¹ ili Direktivom 2009/147/EZ Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od 30. studenog 2009. o zaštiti divljih ptica², pod uvjetom da to ne umanjuje selektivnost ribolovne

¹ OJ L 206, 22.7.1992 p.7

² OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7.

opreme te da su poduzete sve potrebne mjere kako bi se izbjegle fizičke ozljede predatora.

Amandman 296

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 1. – točka c b (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(cb) smanjenje negativnog učinka ribarskih aktivnosti na dobrobit životinja.

Amandman 297

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 1. – točka c c (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(cc) doprinos procjeni ribljeg fonda.

Amandman 298

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 1. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Ia. Potpora iz stavka 1. može se dodijeliti usidrenim uređajima za privlačenje riba (FAD) u najudaljenijim regijama, pod uvjetom da oni doprinose održivom i selektivnom ribolovu.

Amandman 299

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Potpora se dodjeljuje samo ako alati ili ostala oprema iz stavka 1. imaju dokazano bolji izbor veličina *ili* slabiji učinak na neciljane vrste od standardne i druge opreme dozvoljene prema zakonima Unije ili mjerodavnim nacionalnim zakonima države članice koje su usvojene u kontekstu regionalizacije, kako je navedeno u [Uredbi o ZRP-u].

Izmjena

3. Potpora se dodjeljuje samo ako alati ili ostala oprema iz stavka 1. imaju dokazano *znatno* bolji izbor veličina *i* slabiji učinak na *ekosistem i na* neciljane vrste od standardne i druge opreme, *instrumenata ili sustava* dozvoljenim prema zakonima Unije ili mjerodavnim nacionalnim zakonima države članice koji su usvojeni u kontekstu regionalizacije, kako je navedeno u [Uredbi o ZRP-u].

Amandman 300

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 36. – stavak 4. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) ribari koju posjeduju opremu koju treba zamijeniti i koji su radili na Unijinom ribarskom plovilu najmanje 60 dana tijekom dvije godine prije datuma podnošenja prijave;

Izmjena

(b) ribari koju posjeduju opremu, *instrumente ili sustave* koje treba zamijeniti i koji su radili na Unijinom ribarskom plovilu najmanje 60 dana tijekom dvije godine prije datuma podnošenja prijave;

Amandman 301

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 36. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 36a

Potpoma za ublažavanje ekonomskog utjecaja izvanrednih događaja

Kako bi se ublažio ekonomski utjecaj izvanrednog događaja koji sprječava normalan ribolov, potpora EFPR-a može biti odobrena vlasnicima ribarskih brodova i ribarima, radi privremene obustave ribolovnih aktivnosti. Provedba mjera očuvanja ribljeg fonda neće se smatrati izvanrednim događajem.

Justification

Alongside fish stock conservation measures, the EMFF Regulation must include an instrument for addressing exceptional events, such as natural disasters or large-scale maritime pollution, in order to mitigate the situation, on a temporary basis, for the fishing vessel owners and fishermen affected.

Amandman 302

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 37. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se eliminiralo odbacivanje ulovljene ribe i prilova te olakšao prijelaz na iskorištavanje živih morskih bioloških resursa radi održanja populacije ulovljenih vrsta iznad razina koje mogu proizvesti NOP, EFPR može podržati projekte ***koji imaju za cilj razvoj*** ili ***uvođenje*** novih tehničkih ili organizacijskih znanja koja smanjuju učinke ribarskih aktivnosti na okoliš ili ***postizanje*** održivog korištenja morskih bioloških resursa:

1. Kako bi se eliminiralo odbacivanje ulovljene ribe i prilova te olakšao prijelaz na iskorištavanje živih morskih bioloških resursa radi održanja populacije ulovljenih vrsta iznad razina koje mogu proizvesti NOP, EFPR može podržavati projekte ***koji imaju za cilj razvoj, poboljšanje*** ili ***uvođenje*** novih tehničkih ili organizacijskih znanja koja smanjuju utjecaj ribarskih aktivnosti na okoliš, ***uključujući bolje ribolovne tehnike i bolju***

selektivnost ribolovnih operacija, ili postizanje održivog korištenja morskih bioloških resursa na temelju pristupa upravljanju ribarstvom koji se temelji na ekosustavu.

Amandman 303

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 37. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Radnje koje se financiraju na temelju ovog članka **moraju se** provoditi u suradnji sa znanstvenim ili tehničkim tijelima priznatim od strane **nacionalnog zakonodavstva** svake države članice koja će potvrditi rezultate takvih radnji.

Izmjena

2. Radnje koje se financiraju na temelju ovog članka, **koje mogu provoditi organizacije ribara prepoznate od strane države članice, će** se provoditi u suradnji sa znanstvenim ili tehničkim tijelima priznatim od strane svake države članice koja će potvrditi rezultate takvih radnji.

Amandman 304

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 37. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Država članica mora rezultate operacija koje se financiraju u skladu s ovim člankom **objaviti javnosti na odgovarajući način** u skladu s člankom 120.

Izmjena

3. Država članica mora rezultate operacija koje se financiraju u skladu s ovim člankom **učiniti javno dostupnim** u skladu s člankom 120.

Amandman 305

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 37. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

4. Ribarska plovila uključena u projekte

Izmjena

4. Ribarska plovila uključena u projekte

financirane na temelju ovog članka ne smiju prelaziti 5% nacionalne flote ili 5% tonaže nacionalne flote u bruto-tonaži, izračunate u vrijeme podnošenja zahtjeva.

financirane na temelju ovog članka ne smiju prelaziti 5% nacionalne flote ili 5% tonaže nacionalne flote u bruto-tonaži, izračunate u vrijeme podnošenja zahtjeva.

Na zahtjev države članice, u opravdanim slučajevima, a na temelju preporuke STECF-a, Komisija može odobriti projekte koji prelaze ograničenje propisano u ovom stavku.

Amandman 306

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 37. – stavak 5.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

5. Operacije koje se sastoje od testiranja novih ribolovnih alata ili tehnika provode se u okviru granica ribolovnih mogućnosti dodijeljenih državi članici.

Izmjena

5. Operacije koje se sastoje od testiranja novih ribolovnih alata ili tehnika provode se u okviru granica ribolovnih mogućnosti dodijeljenih državi članici ***ili u okviru kvote za znanstveno istraživanje u skladu s Člankom 33. (6) Uredbe (EZ) br. 1224/2009.***

Amandman 307

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 38. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Kako bi se potaknulo ribare da sudjeluju u zaštiti i očuvanju morske bioraznolikosti i ekosustava uključujući usluge koje oni pružaju u okviru održivog ribolova, EFPR može podržati sljedeće operacije:

(a) prikupljanje otpada iz mora, kao što je

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se potaknulo ribare da sudjeluju u zaštiti i očuvanju morske bioraznolikosti i ekosustava uključujući usluge koje oni pružaju u okviru održivog ribolova, EFPR može podržati sljedeće operacije ***koje imaju izravan učinak na aktivnosti ribarskog sektora:***

(a) prikupljanje, ***od strane ribara,*** otpada iz

uklanjanje izgubljene ribarske opreme i morskog otpada;

(b) izgradnja ili postavljanje nepokretnih ili pokretnih objekata namijenjenih zaštititi i obogaćivanju morske faune i flore;

(c) doprinos boljem upravljanju ili očuvanju resursa;

(d) upravljanje, obnovu i praćenje lokaliteta mreže *NATURA 2000 u skladu s Direktivom Vijeća 92/43/EEZ od 21. svibnja 1992. o očuvanju prirodnih staništa i divlje flore i faune te Direktivom Vijeća i Europskog parlamenta 2009/147/EZ od 30. studenog 2009. o zaštiti divljih ptica, u skladu s prioritetnim akcijskim okvirima, ustanovljenim sukladno Direktivi Vijeća 92/43/EEZ;*

(e) upravljanje, obnova i praćenje morskih zaštićenih područja, u smislu provedbe prostornih mjera zaštite iz Članka 13. (4) *Direktive Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća 2008/56/EZ;*

(f) sudjelovanje u ostalim aktivnostima usmjerenima na održavanje i jačanje bioraznolikost i i usluga povezanih s ekosustavom, kao što su obnova specifičnih morskih i obalnih staništa kako bi se podržao održivi riblji fond

mora, kao što je uklanjanje izgubljene ribarske opreme i morskog otpada;

(b) izgradnja, postavljanje *ili modernizacija* nepokretnih ili pokretnih objekata *koji se lako mogu rastaviti, a koji su* namijenjeni zaštititi i obogaćivanju morske faune i flore; *i znanstvene studije i ocjenjivanje takvih objekata;*

(c) doprinos boljem upravljanju ili očuvanju *morskih bioloških* resursa;

(d) *utvrđivanje, odabir,* upravljanje, obnova i praćenje *sljedećeg:*

(i) lokaliteta mreže NATURA 2000 u skladu s Direktivom Vijeća 92/43/EEZ i Direktivom 2009/147/ECor, u skladu s prioritetnim akcijskim okvirima, ustanovljenim sukladno Direktivi Vijeća 92/43/EEZ, pri čemu su operacije povezane s ribolovnim aktivnostima,

(ii) morskih zaštićenih područja, u smislu provedbe prostornih mjera zaštite vezanih uz ribolovne aktivnosti iz Članka 13. (4) Direktive 2008/56/EZ;

(e) sudjelovanje u drugim akcijama usmjerenim na održavanje i jačanje bioraznolikost i i usluga povezanih s ekosustavom, *povezanih s djelovanjem Unije u području politike razvoja morskog okoliša i u skladu s pristupom upravljanju ribarstvom koje se temelji na ekosustavu,* kao što su obnova specifičnih morskih i obalnih staništa kako bi se podržao održivi riblji fond, *uključujući pripremu takvih akcija i njihovu znanstvenu procjenu;*

(ea) *ekološku svijest ribara o zaštiti i*

obnovi morske bioraznolikosti.

Amandman 308

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 38. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Radnje iz ovog Članka provode javnopravna tijela te uključuju ribare *ili* organizacije ribara, prepoznate od strane države članice ili nevladine organizacije u suradnji s organizacijama ribara ili FLAG-ovima, prema definiciji iz Članka 62.

Izmjena

2. Radnje iz ovog Članka provode *tehnička ili znanstvena* javnopravna tijela te uključuju ribare, *savjetodavna vijeća*, organizacije ribara, prepoznate od strane države članice ili nevladine organizacije u suradnji s organizacijama ribara ili FLAG-ovima, prema definiciji iz Članka 62

Amandman 309

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 39. – naslov

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Ublažavanje klimatskih promjena

Izmjena

Energetska učinkovitost i smanjenje kapaciteta

Amandman 310

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 39. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Kako bi se *ublažili učinci klimatskih promjena*, EFPR može podržati:

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se *poboljšala energetska učinkovitost ribarskih plovila*, EFPR može podržati:

Amandman 311

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 39. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) ulaganja u plovilo s ciljem smanjenja emisije onečišćivača ili stakleničkih plinova te povećanja energetske učinkovitosti ribarskog plovila;

Izmjena

(a) ulaganja **u opremu ili** plovilo s ciljem smanjenja emisije onečišćivača ili stakleničkih plinova te povećanja energetske učinkovitosti ribarskog plovila, **uključujući povlačenje, zamjenu ili modernizaciju glavnih ili pomoćnih motora, pod uvjetom da je snaga novog motora barem 40% niža od zamijenjenog motora;**

Amandman 312

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 39. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) sheme i revizije za energetske učinkovitost.

Izmjena

(b) revizije, **savjeti** i sheme za energetske učinkovitost, **pod uvjetom da ne vode do povećanja ribolovnih napora.**

Amandman 313

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 39. – stavak 1. – točka b a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ba) zaštita i obnova trave morskog dna i obalnih područja koji su ugljični bazeni od kritične važnosti za ublažavanje negativnih utjecaja klimatskih promjena;

Amandman 314

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 39. – stavak 1. – točka b b (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(bb) zamjena ribarske opreme koja troši puno energije opremom koja troši manje energije, uz uvjet da promjene ne rezultiraju povećanjem ribolovnog kapaciteta ribarske jedinice te da je zamijenjena ribarska oprema konfiscirana i uništena;

Amandman 315

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 39. – stavak 1. – točka b c (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(bc) nezavisne procjene i revizije energetske otiska ribljih proizvoda na tržištu, kako bi se kupcima omogućilo razlikovanje ribljih proizvoda koji dolaze iz ribolovnih metoda koje troše manje energije.

Amandman 316

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 39. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Potpora ne doprinosi zamjeni ili modernizaciji glavnih ili pomoćnih motora. Potpora se dodjeljuje ***samo*** vlasnicima ribarskih plovila i to ***ne više od*** jednom tijekom programskog razdoblja za isto ribarsko plovilo.

2. Potpora se dodjeljuje ***samo*** vlasnicima ribarskih plovila i to ***samo*** jednom u programskom razdoblju za isto ribarsko plovilo.

Amandman 317

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 39. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Komisija je ovlaštena donositi delegirane akte u skladu s Člankom 127. **kako bi se definirala** prihvatljiva ulaganja sukladna stavku 1.(a).

Izmjena

3. Komisija je ovlaštena donositi delegirane akte u skladu s Člankom 127., kako bi se **utvrdila** prihvatljiva ulaganja u okviru stavka 1. (a) **i propisati detaljna pravila za primjenu kriterija iz ovog Članka.**

Amandman 318

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 40. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Kako bi se poboljšala kvaliteta **ulovljene ribe**, EFPR može **u tu svrhu** podržati **ulaganja u plovila.**

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se poboljšala **dodana vrijednost i kvaliteta komercijalnih ulova**, EFPR može podržati:

(a) ulaganja koja dodaju vrijednost ribarskim proizvodima, naročito omogućujući ribarima da sami vrše preradu i izravnu prodaju vlastitog ulova;

(b) inovativna ulaganja u plovila koja poboljšavaju kvalitetu i očuvanje ribarskih proizvoda;

Amandman 319

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 40. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. **Kako bi se poboljšalo korištenje neželjenog ulova**, EFPR može podržati ulaganja u plovilo radi boljeg iskorištavanja komercijalnih zaliha

Izmjena

2. EFPR može podržati ulaganja u plovilo radi **poboljšanja upravljanja, skladištenja i iskrcavanja neželjenog ulova, radi boljeg iskorištavanja** komercijalnih zaliha

neželjenog ulova i procjenu nedovoljno korištenih komponenti ulovljene ribe, u skladu s Člankom 15. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] i Članku 8. (b) [Propisa (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih i akvakulturnih proizvoda].

neželjenog ulova te **za** procjenu nedovoljno korištenih komponenti ulovljene ribe, u skladu s Člankom 15. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] i Članku 8. (b) [Propisa (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih i akvakulturnih proizvoda].

Amandman 320

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 40. – stavak 2. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2a. Potpora iz stavka 1. (b) ovisi o uporabi selektivnih alata kako bi se neželjeni ulov sveo na minimum.

Amandman 321

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 40. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

4. Potpora iz stavka 1. dodjeljuje se vlasnicima Unijinih ribarskih plovila čija su plovila ona **koja su** obavljala ribolovnu aktivnost barem 60 dana na moru tijekom dvije godine prije datuma podnošenja prijave.

4. Potpora iz stavka 1. **(b)** dodjeljuje se vlasnicima Unijinih ribarskih plovila čija su plovila ona koja su **izvršavala** ribolovne aktivnosti najmanje 60 dana na moru tijekom dvije **kalendarske** godine prije datuma podnošenja prijave.

Amandman 322

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 41. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. U svrhu povećavanja kvalitete istovarenog proizvoda, povećanja energetske učinkovitosti, doprinosa zaštiti okoliša ili poboljšanju sigurnosti i radnih uvjeta, EFPR može podržati ulaganja u poboljšanje infrastrukture ribolovnih luka ili pristaništa, uključujući ulaganja u objekte za prikupljanje smeća i morskog otpada.

Izmjena

1. EFPR može podržati ulaganja u poboljšanje infrastrukture ribolovnih luka ili pristaništa.

Amandman 323

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 41. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. U svrhu olakšavanja iskorištavanja neželjenih ulova, EFPR može podržati ulaganja u ribarske luke ili pristaništa koja omogućuju najbolje iskorištavanje komercijalnih zaliha neželjenog ulova i koja vrednuju neiskorištene komponente ulovljene ribe, u skladu s člankom 15. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] i člankom 8. (b) [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih i akvakulturnih proizvoda].

Izmjena

2. Ulaganja se mogu odnositi na:

(a) poboljšanja kvalitete, svježine i sljedivosti porijekla istovarenog proizvoda;

(b) poboljšanja uvjeta istovara, prerade, skladištenja i aukcije;

(c) korištenja neželjenog ulova komercijalnih zaliha i boljeg iskorištavanja neiskorištene komponente ribljeg ulova, u skladu s Člankom 15. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] i Člankom 8. (b) [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih

- i akvakulturnih proizvoda];*
- (d) energetska učinkovitost;*
- (e) zaštitu okoliša, naročito prikupljanje, skladištenje i tretiranje smeća i morskog otpada;*
- (f) poboljšanja higijene, zdravlja i sigurnosti;*
- (g) poboljšanje radnih uvjeta;*
- (h) opskrbu ledom, vodom i električnom energijom;*
- (i) opremu za popravak i održavanje ribarskog plovila;*
- (j) izgradnju, modernizaciju i proširenje pristaništa kako bi se povećala sigurnost tijekom iskrcaja ili utovara;*
- (k) kompjuterizirano upravljanje ribolovnim aktivnostima;*
- (l) umrežavanje ribarskih luka, mjesta iskrcaja i licitacijskih dvorana.*

Amandman 324

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 41. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 41. a

Zaštita morskog nasljeđa

1. U svrhu potpore i promicanja tradicionalnih pomorskih obrta povezanih s ribolovom te očuvanja i održavanja plovnosti vozila koja su pod zaštitom pomorskog nasljeđa države članice, EFPR može podržati:

(a) obuku i ulaganja kao potporu tradicionalnim brodogradilištima i tradicionalnim pomorskim obrtima;

(b) ulaganja u plovilo koje imaju za cilj obnavljanje tradicionalnih drvenih

*ribarskih plovila, bez povećavanja
ribolovnih kapaciteta tih plovila;*

*(c) ulaganja u čuvanje i održavanje
tradicionalnih ribarskih plovila koja su
pod zaštitom pomorskog nasljeđa i koja su
stavljena izvan pogona.*

*2. Potpora se dodjeljuje samo vlasnicima
brodogradilišta i ribarskih plovila te samo
jednom tijekom programskog razdoblja za
isto ribarsko plovilo.*

*3. Države članice će osigurati da plovilo
koje primi potporu iz stavka 1. (b) nastavi
biti u opticaju.*

Amandman 325

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Kako bi se smanjio učinak slatkovodnog ribolova na okoliš, povećala energetska učinkovitost, povećala kvaliteta iskrane ribe ili poboljšala zaštita na radu *ili* radni uvjeti, EFPR može podržati sljedeća ulaganja:

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se smanjio učinak slatkovodnog ribolova na okoliš, povećala energetska učinkovitost, povećala kvaliteta iskrane ribe ili poboljšalo *zdravlje*, zaštita na radu, radni uvjeti, *ljudski kapital i osposobljavanje*, EFPR može podržati sljedeća ulaganja:

Amandman 326

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 1. – točka a a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

*(aa) promicanje ljudskog kapitala i
socijalnog dijaloga u skladu s uvjetima iz
Članka 31.;*

Amandman 327

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) u opremu, kako je navedeno u Članku 36. i prema uvjetima iznesenima u *tom Članku*;

Izmjena

(b) u opremu i projekte, kako je navedeno u Članku 36. *i Članku 37.* i prema uvjetima iznesenima u *tim člancima*;

Amandman 328

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 1. –točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(d) u *postojeće* luke i pristaništa, kako je navedeno u Članku 41. i prema uvjetima iznesenim u tom Članku.

Izmjena

(d) u *ribarske* luke, *skloništa* i pristaništa, kako je navedeno u Članku 41. i prema uvjetima iznesenima u tom Članku.

Amandman 329

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 1. – točka d a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(da) poboljšanje vrijednosti ili kvalitete ribljeg ulova, kako je navedeno u Članku 40 i podložno uvjetima iznesenima u tom Članku.

Amandman 330

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 1. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1a. EFPR može podržati ulaganja vezana uz poduzetništvo, u skladu s Člankom 32. i prema istim uvjetima iz tog Članka.

Amandman 331

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 1. b (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1b. EFPR može podržati razvoj i olakšavanje uvođenja inovacija u skladu s Člankom 28., savjetodavne usluge u skladu s Člankom 29. i partnerstva između znanstvenika i ribara, u skladu s Člankom 30.

Amandman 332

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) Upućivanja iz Članka 33., 36. i 39. na ribarska plovila smatraju se upućivanjima na plovila koja plove samo na području kopnenih voda;

(a) Upućivanja iz Članka 33., 36., **37.**, 39. i **40.** na ribarska plovila smatraju se upućivanjima na plovila koja djeluju samo na području kopnenih voda;

Amandman 333

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) Upućivanja iz članka 36. na morski

(b) Upućivanja iz članka 36. na morski

okoliš smatraju se upućivanjima na okoliš u kojemu **plovi** plovilo za slatkovodni ribolov.

okoliš smatraju se upućivanjima na okoliš u kojemu **se odvija** slatkovodni ribolov.

Amandman 334

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Kako bi se zadržala diversifikacija među ribarima slatkovodnog ribarstva, EFPR može podržati **preraspodjelu plovila koja se koriste za** slatkovodni ribolov na druge djelatnosti izvan područja ribolova **u okviru** uvjeta iz članka 32. ove Uredbe.

Izmjena

3. Kako bi se zadržala diversifikacija među ribarima slatkovodnog ribarstva, EFPR može podržati **diversifikaciju** aktivnosti slatkovodnog ribolova **koje nadopunjuju** druge djelatnosti izvan područja ribolova **u okviru** uvjeta iz članka 32. ove Uredbe.

Amandman 335

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 42. – stavak 5.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

5. U svrhu zaštite i razvoja vodene faune i flore, EFPR može podržati **sudjelovanje ribara slatkovodnog ribolova u upravljanju, obnavljanju i praćenju lokaliteta mreže Natura 2000 kada se ova područja direktno tiču ribolovnih aktivnosti kao i rehabilitaciju kopnenih voda, uključujući područja mriješćenja i migracijske putove za migratorne vrste, ne dovodeći u pitanje Članak 38. stavak 1. (d).**

Izmjena

5. U svrhu zaštite i razvoja vodene faune i flore, EFPR može podržati:

(a) sudjelovanje ribara slatkovodnog ribolova u upravljanju, obnavljanju i praćenju lokaliteta mreže Natura 2000 kada se ova područja direktno tiču ribolovnih aktivnosti kao i rehabilitaciju kopnenih voda, uključujući područja mriješćenja i migracijske putove za

*migratorne vrste, ne dovodeći u pitanje
Članak 38. stavak 1. (d);*

*(b) gradnju, modernizaciju ili postavljanje
nepomičnih ili pomičnih objekata,
namijenjenih zaštiti i obogaćivanju
vodene faune i flore, uključujući njihovo
znanstveno praćenje i ocjenjivanje.*

Amandman 336

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 42. – stavak 6

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

6. Države članice moraju osigurati da plovila koja primaju potporu u okviru ovog članka nastave djelovati isključivo u kopnenim vodama.

Izmjena

6. Ne dovodeći u pitanje stavak 3., države članice moraju osigurati da plovila koja primaju potporu u okviru ovog članka nastave djelovati isključivo u kopnenim vodama.

Amandman 337

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 44. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Potpora u okviru ovog poglavlja ograničava se na poduzeća za akvakulturu, osim ako izričito nije određeno drugačije.

Izmjena

1. Potpora u okviru ovog poglavlja ograničava se na **održiva** poduzeća za akvakulturu, uključujući poduzetnike koji ulaze u sektor iz stavka 1.a i na organizacije za akvakulturu i poduzetnike, osim ako izričito nije određeno drugačije. **Potpora neće biti dodijeljena onima koji su počinili ozbiljne povrede Unijinih zakona o okolišu.**

Amandman 338

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 44. – stavak 1. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1a. U svrhu ovog članka poduzetnici koji ulaze u sektor moraju dostaviti poslovni plan i, ako iznos ulaganja prelazi 150 000 eura, studiju o izvedivosti.

Amandman 339

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 44. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Kada se operacije sastoje od ulaganja u opremu ili infrastrukturu kojom se osigurava sukladnost sa zahtjevima o okolišu, zdravlju ljudi ili životinja, higijeni ili dobrobiti životinja u okviru prava Unije, i koje će stupiti na snagu nakon 2014., potpora se može dodijeliti do datuma kada standardi postaju obavezni za poduzeća.

2. Potpora je ograničena na ulaganja u opremu ili infrastrukturu koja imaju dokazivo manji učinak na okoliš ili bolje rezultate, s obzirom na zdravlje ljudi ili životinja, higijenu ili dobrobit životinja nego što se zahtijeva prema zakonu Unije.

Potpota se ne dodjeljuje operacijama akvakulture u kojima se koriste egzotične vrste ili genetički modificirani organizmi.

Potpota se ne dodjeljuje intenzivnim operacijama akvakulture u zaštićenim morskim područjima, područjima za oporavak ribljeg fonda ili na lokacijama Natura 2000.

Amandman 340

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 45. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se potaknule inovacije u akvakulturi, EFPR može podržati

1. Kako bi se potaknule inovacije u održivoj akvakulturi, EFPR može podržati

operacije:

(a) **uvođenja novih** tehničkih ili organizacijskih znanja u akvakulturnim uzgajalištima koja smanjuju **njihov** učinak na okoliš **ili** podržavaju **bolje** održivo korištenje resursa u akvakulturi;

(b) **razvoj** ili **uvođenje** na tržište novih ili znatno unaprijeđenih proizvoda **u usporedbi s postojećim stanjem**, novih ili poboljšanih procesa, novog ili poboljšanog sustava upravljanja i organizacije.

projekte koji imaju za cilj:

(a) **razvoj** tehničkih, **znanstvenih** ili organizacijskih znanja u akvakulturnim uzgajalištima koja **napose** smanjuju učinak na okoliš, **smanjuju ovisnost o ribljem brašnu i ulju**, potiču održivo korištenje resursa u akvakulturi **ili olakšavaju nove održive metode proizvodnje**;

(b) **razvoj** ili **uvođenje** na tržište novih ili znatno unaprijeđenih proizvoda, novih ili poboljšanih procesa, novog ili poboljšanog sustava upravljanja i organizacije, **kao i inovacije ili napredak u proizvodnji i preradi akvakulturnih proizvoda**;

(ba) istraživanje tehničke ili ekonomske izvedivosti inovacija, proizvoda ili procesa.

Amandman 341

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 45. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Operacije iz ovog članka **moraju** se izvršiti u suradnji sa znanstvenim ili tehničkim tijelima koja je priznalo nacionalno pravo svake države članice koja mora potvrditi rezultate takvih operacija.

Izmjena

2. Operacije iz ovog članka **će** biti izvršene **od strane ili** u suradnji s **javnim ili privatnim** znanstvenim, **akademskim** ili tehničkim tijelima koja je priznalo nacionalno pravo svake države članice koja mora potvrditi rezultate takvih operacija.

Amandman 342

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 45. – stavak 3. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

3a. EFPR daje financijski doprinos za razvoj i inovacije u akvakulturi, proveden

prema višegodišnjim strateškim planovima država članica.

Amandman 343

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 46. – naslov

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Ulaganja u *neprehrambenu* akvakulturu
na otvorenome moru

Izmjena

Ulaganja u akvakulturu

Amandman 344

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 46. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR može, u svrhu promicanja oblika akvakultura s visokim potencijalom rasta, podržati *ulaganja u razvoj* akvakulture na otvorenome moru ili neprehrambene akvakulture.

Izmjena

1. EFPR može, u svrhu promicanja oblika *održivih* akvakultura s visokim potencijalom rasta, podržati: –

(a) produktivne investicije u akvakulturu, uključujući investicije u akvakulture na otvorenome moru ili neprehrambene akvakulture;

(b) raznolikost proizvodnje i korištenih vrsta, kao i studiju prinosa i pogodnosti lokacije.

Amandman 345

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 46. – stavak 1. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Ia. Potpora iz stavka 1. može se dodijeliti

za povećanja u proizvodnji i/ili modernizaciju postojećih akvakulturnih poduzeća ili izgradnju novih pod uvjetom da je razvoj u skladu s višegodišnjim nacionalnim strateškim planom za razvoj akvakulture.

Amandman 346

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 46. – stavak 1. b (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1b. Potpora iz ovog Članka dodjeljuje se samo ako je u neovisnom marketinškom izvješću jasno dokazano da predmetni proizvod ima dobar održiv potencijal na tržištu. Osnovana poduzeća moraju biti ekonomski održiva i neće doprinosti pretjeranoj proizvodnji u sektoru.

Amandman 347

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 47. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. EFPR može, u svrhu poticanja poduzetništva u akvakulturi, podržati ulaganja koja doprinose:

1. EFPR može, u svrhu poticanja poduzetništva u **održivoj** akvakulturi, podržati ulaganja koja doprinose:

Amandman 348

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 47. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) dodavanju vrijednosti akvakulturnim proizvodima, **posebice dopuštajući**

(a) dodavanju vrijednosti akvakulturnim proizvodima, **primjerice pružanjem**

akvakulturnom *poduzeću da obavlja* preradu, oglašavanje i izravnu prodaju vlastite akvakulturne proizvodnje.

potpore sektoru akvakulture *u obavljanju* prerade, marketinga i izravne prodaje vlastite akvakulturne proizvodnje, *ili kod uspostave udruženja ili sporazuma o udruživanju za preradu;*

Amandman 349

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 47. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) diversifikaciji prihoda akvakulturnog *poduzeća* kroz razvoj novih vrsta akvakulture s dobrim tržišnim izgledima;

Izmjena

(b) diversifikaciji prihoda *sektora* akvakulture kroz razvoj novih *lokalnih* vrsta akvakulture *u njihovim područjima* koja imaju *dodanu vrijednost i* koja su perspektivna na tržištu i u *ekološkom* smislu;

Amandman 350

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 47. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) diversifikaciji prihoda akvakulturnih poduzeća kroz razvoj komplementarnih aktivnosti *izvan akvakulture*.

Izmjena

(c) diversifikaciji prihoda akvakulturnih poduzeća kroz razvoj komplementarnih aktivnosti.

Amandman 351

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 47. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Potpora iz stavka 1. (c) dodjeljuje se samo akvakulturnim poduzećima pod uvjetom da se komplementarne aktivnosti izvan akvakulture mogu povezati s

Izmjena

2. Potpora iz stavka 1. točke (c) dodjeljuje se samo akvakulturnim poduzećima pod uvjetom da se komplementarne aktivnosti izvan akvakulture odnose na temeljnu

temeljnim akvakulturnim *poslovima poduzeća*, kao što je udičarski turizam, usluge akvakulture koje se odnose na zaštitu okoliša ili edukacijske aktivnosti vezane uz akvakulturu.

akvakulturnu *proizvodnju ili marketing*, kao što je udičarski turizam, usluge akvakulture koje se odnose na zaštitu okoliša ili edukacijske aktivnosti vezane uz akvakulturu.

Amandman 352

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 48. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR može, u svrhu poboljšanja ukupnog rezultata i konkurentnosti akvakulturnih farmi, podržati:

Izmjena

1. EFPR može, u svrhu poboljšanja ukupnog rezultata i konkurentnosti akvakulturnih farmi *i smanjenja učinka njihovih djelovanja na okoliš*, podržati:

Amandman 353

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 48. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) pružanje savjetodavnih usluga farmama koje su tehničke, znanstvene, pravne ili ekonomske prirode.

Izmjena

(b) pružanje savjetodavnih usluga farmama koje su tehničke, znanstvene, pravne ili *ekološke* ili ekonomske prirode.

Amandman 354

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 48. – stavak 2. – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(d) standarde zdravlja i zaštite utemeljene na Unijinom i nacionalnom zakonodavstvu;

Izmjena

(d) standarde zdravlja, *higijene* i zaštite utemeljene na Unijinom i nacionalnom zakonodavstvu;

Amandman 355

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 48. – stavak 2. – točka e a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ea) promicanje jednakih mogućnosti, posebno ravnopravnosti spolova i integracije osoba s invaliditetom;

Amandman 356

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 48. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

3. Potpora iz stavka 1. (a) dodjeljuje se samo javnopravnim tijelima izabranima za uspostavu savjetodavnih usluga za uzgajališta. Potpora iz stavka 1. (b) dodjeljuje se samo akvakulturnim MSP-ovima ***ili*** organizacijama akvakulturnih proizvođača.

3. Potpora iz stavka 1. (a) dodjeljuje se samo javnopravnim tijelima izabranima za uspostavu savjetodavnih usluga za uzgajališta ***ili stručnim organizacijama koje je priznala država članica***. Potpora iz stavka 1. (b) dodjeljuje se samo akvakulturnim MSP-ovima, ***stručnim organizacijama za akvakulturu koje je priznala država članica***, organizacijama akvakulturnih proizvođača ***ili udruženjima organizacija akvakulturnih proizvođača***.

Amandman 357

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 48. – stavak 3. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

3a. U slučajevima kada potpora ne iznosi više od 4 000 eura, korisnik se može odabrati ubrzanim postupkom.

Amandman 358

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 48. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

4. Tijekom programskog razdoblja akvakulturna uzgajališta ne primaju potporu za savjetodavne usluge više od jedanput za svaku kategoriju usluga obuhvaćenu stavkom 2. točkama (a) do (e)..

Brisano

Amandman 359

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 49. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) cjeloživotno učenje, diseminacija znanstvenog znanja i inovativnih praksi **te** stjecanje novih profesionalnih vještina u akvakulturi;

(a) **stručno osposobljavanje**, cjeloživotno učenje, diseminacija znanstvenog **i tehničkog** znanja i inovativnih praksi, stjecanje novih profesionalnih vještina u akvakulturi, **poboljšanje uvjeta rada, promocija sigurnosti na radu i smanjenje učinka akvakulture na okoliš;**

Amandman 360

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 49. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) umrežavanje i razmjena iskustava i najboljih praksi među akvakulturnim poduzećima ili profesionalnim organizacijama i drugim dionicima, uključujući znanstvena tijela ili ona koja

(b) umrežavanje i razmjena iskustava i najboljih praksi među akvakulturnim poduzećima ili profesionalnim organizacijama i drugim **privatnim ili javnim** dionicima, uključujući znanstvena,

promiču jednake mogućnosti između muškaraca i žena.

tehnička i tijela za *osposobljavanje* ili ona koja promiču jednake mogućnosti između muškaraca i žena.

Amandman 361

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 49. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Potpora iz stavka 1. (a) ne dodjeljuje se velikim akvakulturnim poduzećima.

Brisano

Amandman 362

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 50. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se doprinijelo razvoju akvakulturnih lokaliteta i infrastrukture, EFPR može podržati:

1. Kako bi se doprinijelo razvoju akvakulturnih lokaliteta i infrastrukture *i smanjio utjecaj operacija na okoliš*, EFPR može podržati:

–

Amandman 363

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 50. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) identifikaciju i mapiranje najprikladnijih područja za razvoj akvakulture, *i* kada je primjenjivo, uzimajući u obzir pomorske prostorne procese planiranja;

(a) utvrđivanje i označavanje najpovoljnijih područja za razvoj *održive* akvakulture *s malim učinkom na okoliš* te, kada je to primjenjivo, uzimajući u obzir pomorske prostorne procese planiranja, *kao i aktivnosti koje slijede ekološke interakcije tijekom proizvodne faze*

akvakulturnih aktivnosti;

Amandman 364

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 50. – stavak 1. – točka a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) utvrđivanje i označavanje područja kao što su uzgajališta, obalna područja za mriješćenje, lokaliteti Natura 2000 ili područja oporavka ribljeg fonda gdje treba isključiti intenzivne akvakulturne djelatnosti, u svrhu održavanja uloge takvih područja u funkcioniranju ekosustava;

Amandman 365

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 50. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

b) poboljšavanje infrastruktura akvakulturnih **područja** kroz konsolidaciju tla, opskrbu energijom ili upravljanje vodom;

(b) poboljšavanje i razvoj pomoćnih sustava i infrastruktura potrebnih za povećanje potencijala akvakulturnih lokacija i smanjenje ekološkog otiska akvakulture, uključujući investiranje u konsolidaciju tla, opskrbu energijom ili upravljanje vodom;

Amandman 366

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 50. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c) aktivnosti koje poduzimaju **i provode** nadležna tijela **iz članka 9. stavka 1.**

(c) aktivnosti koje poduzimaju nadležna tijela **radi ublažavanja sukoba s divljim**

Direktive 2009/147/EZ ili **članka 16. stavka 1.** Direktive 92/43/EZ s ciljem sprječavanja ozbiljnih šteta akvakulturi.

vrstama zaštićenim u okviru Direktive 2009/147/EZ ili Direktive 92/43/EZ, s ciljem sprječavanja ozbiljnih šteta akvakulturi.

Amandman 367

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 50. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. **Korisnici** potpore iz ovog članka jedino su javnopravna tijela.

Izmjena

2. **Mogućnost prijave** za potporu iz ovog članka bit će **ograničena na** javnopravna tijela **ili privatne organizacije kojima je država članica povjerila provedbu aktivnosti iz točaka (a), (aa) i (b) stavka 1.**

Amandman 368

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 51. – naslov

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Poticanje novih akvakulturnih **uzgajivača**

Izmjena

Poticanje novih **uzgajivača u sektoru održive akvakulture i akvakulturne prerade**

Amandman 369

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 51. – stavak 1

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR može, u svrhu promicanja poduzetništva u akvakulturi, podržati uspostavljanje akvakulturnih poduzeća od strane novih uzgajivača -početnika.

Izmjena

1. EFPR može, u svrhu promicanja poduzetništva u akvakulturi, podržati uspostavljanje **održivih** akvakulturnih poduzeća **ili suradnju** s novim uzgajivačima- početnicima, **uključujući povezani sektor za preradu, s posebnim**

*naglaskom na mlade akvakulturiste i
ravnopravnost spolova.*

Amandman 370

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 51. – stavak 2. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Potpora 1. dodjeljuje se akvakulturnim uzgajivačima koji pristupaju ovom sektoru pod uvjetom da:

Izmjena

2. Potpora *iz stavka* 1. dodjeljuje se akvakulturnim uzgajivačima koji pristupaju ovom sektoru pod uvjetom da:

Amandman 371

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 51. – stavak 2. – točka b–

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) po prvi put uspostavljaju *akvakulturno* mikro ili malo poduzeće kao voditelji takvog poduzeća;

Izmjena

(b) po prvi put uspostavljaju mikro ili malo poduzeće *u sektoru akvakulture ili povezanom prerađivačkom sektoru*, kao voditelji takvog poduzeća;

Amandman 372

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 51. – stavak 2. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) podnesu poslovni plan za razvoj svojih akvakulturnih aktivnosti.

Izmjena

(c) podnesu *ekonomski i ekološki uvjerljiv* poslovni plan za razvoj svojih akvakulturnih aktivnosti, *uključujući plan kako utjecaj njihove aktivnosti na okoliš svesti na najmanju moguću mjeru.*

Amandman 373

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 52. – naslov

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Promocija akvakulture s visokom razinom zaštite okoliša

Izmjena

Promocija **održive** akvakulture s visokom razinom zaštite okoliša

Amandman 374

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 52. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

EFPR može, u svrhu značajnog smanjenja učinka akvakulture na okoliš, podržati ulaganja:

Izmjena

EFPR može, u svrhu značajnog smanjenja učinka akvakulture na okoliš, podržati **sljedeća** ulaganja:

Amandman 375

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 52. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) **kojima se** omogućuje znatno smanjenje učinka akvakulturnih poduzeća na vode, posebice smanjenjem količine vode koja se koristi ili poboljšanjem kvalitete izlazne vode, uključujući putem uspostave multitrofičkih akvakulturnih sustava;

Izmjena

(a) koja omogućuju znatno smanjenje učinka akvakulturnih poduzeća na **uporabu i kvalitetu** vode, posebice smanjenjem količine **kemikalija, antibiotika i drugih lijekova** ili vode koja se koristi ili poboljšanjem kvalitete izlazne vode, uključujući putem uspostave multitrofičkih akvakulturnih sustava;

Amandman 376

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 52. – stavak 1. – točka a a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) promicanje zatvorenih akvakulturnih sustava;

Amandman 377

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 52. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) kojima se ograničava negativan učinak akvakulturnih poduzeća na prirodu ili bioraznolikost;

(b) kojima se ograničava negativan učinak akvakulturnih poduzeća na prirodu *i promiče zaštita okoliša i bioraznolikost, posebno ograničavanjem učinka na divlje riblje fondove, interakcije s vrstama grabežljivaca, uporabe otrovnih kemikalija i antibiotika i drugih učinaka na okoliš povezanih s intenzivnom akvakulturom;*

Amandman 378

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 52. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c) u kupnju opreme koja štiti akvakulturna uzgajališta od divljih grabežljivaca *zaštićenih Direktivom Vijeća i Europskog parlamenta 2009/147/EEZ i Direktivom Vijeća 92/43/EZ;*

(c) u kupnju opreme koja štiti akvakulturna uzgajališta od divljih grabežljivaca;

Amandman 379

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 52. – stavak 1. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(e) u obnovu postojećih akvakulturnih ribnjaka ili laguna uklanjanjem mulja, ili **putem poduzimanja mogućih mjera** sprječavanja taloženja mulja.

Izmjena

(e) u obnovu **estuarija**, postojećih akvakulturnih ribljaka ili laguna **i povezanih staništa** putem uklanjanja mulja ili sprječavanja taloženja mulja.

Amandman 380

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 53. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR može, u svrhu promicanja razvoja organske ili energetske učinkovite akvakulture, podržati:

Izmjena

1. EFPR može, u svrhu promicanja razvoja organske ili energetske **učinkovitije** akvakulture, podržati::

Amandman 381

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 53. – stavak 1. – točka a a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) pretvorbu operacija iz uzgoja mesojednih vrsta na operacije uzgoja biljojednih vrsta koje se ne oslanjaju na prehranu od svježe, divlje, morske ili slatkovodne ribe, ribljeg brašna ili proizvoda od ribljeg ulja;

Amandman 382

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 53. – stavak 1. – točka a b (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ab) promoviranje zatvorenog sustava akvakulture gdje su ribe i druge vodene vrste uzgojene u zatvorenim recirkulacijskim sustavima, čime se minimalizira upotreba vode.

Amandman 383

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 53. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) sudjelovanje u Unijinim shemama za ekološko upravljanje i reviziju uspostavljenima Uredbom (EZ) br. 761/2001 Europskog *parlamenta* i *Vijeća* od 19. ožujka 2001. o dopuštanju dobrovoljnog sudjelovanja organizacija u shemi Zajednice za ekološko upravljanje i reviziju (EMAS).

(b) sudjelovanje u Unijinim shemama za ekološko upravljanje i reviziju *kao što su one* uspostavljene Uredbom (EZ) br. 761/2001 Europskog *parlamenta* i *Vijeća* od 19. ožujka 2001. o dopuštanju dobrovoljnog sudjelovanja organizacija u shemi Zajednice za ekološko upravljanje i reviziju (EMAS) ili *sudjelovanje u nacionalno priznatim shemama za ekološko upravljanje;*

Amandman 384

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 53. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Potpora se dodjeljuje samo korisnicima koji se obvežu sudjelovati najmanje **3** godine u EMAS-u ili se obvežu najmanje **5 godina** poštovati zahtjeve organske proizvodnje.

2. Potpora se dodjeljuje samo korisnicima koji se obvežu sudjelovati najmanje *pet* godina u EMAS-u ili se obvežu *najmanje pet* godina poštovati zahtjeve organske proizvodnje.

Amandman 385

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 53. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Potpora ima oblik nadoknade za najviše **dvije** godine u razdoblju prijelaza poduzeća na organsku proizvodnju ili tijekom pripreme za sudjelovanje u shemi EMAS-a.

Izmjena

3. Potpora ima oblik nadoknade za najviše **pet** godina u razdoblju prijelaza poduzeća na organsku proizvodnju ili tijekom pripreme za sudjelovanje u shemi EMAS-a.

Amandman 386

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 53. – stavak 4. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) gubitak prihoda ili dodatni troškovi nastali tijekom razdoblja tranzicije iz konvencionalne u organsku proizvodnju za operacije podložne stavku 1. (a) ovog članka;

Izmjena

(a) gubitak prihoda ili dodatni troškovi nastali tijekom razdoblja tranzicije iz konvencionalne u organsku proizvodnju **ili održavanja organske proizvodnje** za operacije podložne stavku 1. (a) ovog članka;

Amandman 387

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 54. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR može, s ciljem poticanja razvoja akvakulture koja osigurava usluge zaštite okoliša, podržati:

Izmjena

1. EFPR može, s ciljem poticanja razvoja **održive** akvakulture koja osigurava usluge zaštite okoliša, podržati:

Amandman 388

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 54. –stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) akvakulturne metode koje su u skladu sa specifičnim potrebama okoliša i podložne su posebnim upravljačkim zahtjevima koji proizlaze iz označavanja područja mreže Natura 2000 u skladu s Direktivom Vijeća 92/43/EEZ i Direktivom **Vijeća i Europskog parlamenta** 2009/147/EZ;

Izmjena

(a) metode **ekstenzivne i poluekstenzivne** akvakulture koje su u skladu sa specifičnim potrebama okoliša i podložne su posebnim upravljačkim zahtjevima koji proizlaze iz označavanja područja mreže Natura 2000 u skladu s Direktivom Vijeća 92/43/EEZ i Direktivom 2009/147/EZ;

Amandman 389

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 54. –stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) sudjelovanje u ex-situ očuvanju i reprodukciji akvatičnih životinja, u okviru programa očuvanja i obnavljanja bioraznolikosti koje su izradila tijela javne vlasti ili su izrađeni pod njihovim nadzorom;

Izmjena

(b) **troškovi direktno povezani sa** sudjelovanjem u *ex-situ* očuvanju i reprodukciji akvatičnih životinja, u okviru programa očuvanja i obnavljanja bioraznolikosti koje su izradila tijela javne vlasti ili su izrađeni pod njihovim nadzorom;

Amandman 390

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 54. –stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) oblici opsežne akvakulture, uključujući očuvanje i poboljšanje okoliša, biološke raznolikosti, upravljanja krajolikom i tradicionalnim karakteristikama akvakulturnih zona.

Izmjena

(c) oblici opsežne **i poluintenzivne** akvakulture, **i u obalnom području i u kopnenim vodama**, uključujući očuvanje i poboljšanje okoliša, biološke raznolikosti, upravljanja krajolikom i tradicionalnim karakteristikama akvakulturnih zona.

Amandman 391

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 54. –stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Potpora iz stavka 1. (a) ima oblik godišnje nadoknade za dodatne nastale troškove ili za izgubljeni prihod proizašao iz upravljačkih zahtjeva u dotičnim područjima, a koji se odnosi na provedbu Direktive Vijeća 92/43/EEZ ili Direktive Vijeća i Europskog parlamenta 2009/147/EZ.

Izmjena

2. Potpora iz stavka 1. (a) ima oblik godišnje nadoknade za dodatne nastale troškove *i*/ili za izgubljeni prihod proizašao iz upravljačkih zahtjeva u dotičnim područjima, a koji se odnosi na provedbu Direktive Vijeća 92/43/EEZ ili Direktive Vijeća i Europskog parlamenta 2009/147/EZ.

Amandman 392

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 54. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

4. Potpora iz stavka 1. (c) ima oblik godišnje nadoknade za dodatne nastale troškove.

Izmjena

4. Potpora iz stavka 1. (c) ima oblik godišnje nadoknade za dodatne nastale troškove *i nadoknade za gubitke koje su akvakulturnim fondovima uzrokovale zaštićene vrste, pod uvjetom da su poduzete zaštitne mjere.*

Amandman 393

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 55. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR *mora* pružiti potporu nadoknadama za uzgajivače mekušaca u slučaju privremene obustave izlova uzgojenih mekušaca, isključivo iz razloga zaštite javnog zdravstva.

Izmjena

1. EFPR *može* pružiti potporu nadoknadama za uzgajivače mekušaca u slučaju privremene obustave izlova uzgojenih mekušaca, isključivo iz razloga zaštite javnog zdravstva.

Amandman 394

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 55. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) traje **više od četiri uzastopna mjeseca**;
ili

Izmjena

(a) traje **jedan mjesec**; ili

Amandman 395

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 55. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) pretrpljen gubitak zbog privremene obustave izlova iznosi više od **35 %** godišnjeg prometa dotičnog poduzeća, izračunatog na osnovi prosječnog prometa poduzeća u protekle tri godine.

Izmjena

(b) pretrpljen gubitak zbog privremene obustave izlova iznosi više od **15 %** godišnjeg prometa dotičnog poduzeća, izračunatog na osnovi prosječnog prometa poduzeća u protekle tri godine **ili kada tvrtka ima manje implementacijsko razdoblje, u prethodnom razdoblju aktivnosti. Države članice mogu ustanoviti posebna pravila za izračun koja će se koristiti u slučajevima tvrtki koje su aktivne manje od jedne godine.**

Amandman 396

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 55. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Trajanje nadoknade može biti odobreno na maksimalno 12 mjeseci tijekom čitavog programskog razdoblja.

Izmjena

Brisano

Amandman 397

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 56. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR može, s ciljem poticanja zdravlja i dobrobiti životinja u akvakulturnim poduzećima, posebice u smislu prevencije i biosigurnosti, podržati:

Izmjena

1. EFPR može, s ciljem poticanja zdravlja i dobrobiti životinja u akvakulturnim poduzećima, posebice u smislu prevencije i biosigurnosti, podržati **akvakulturna uzgajališta i stručne akvakulturne organizacije za sljedeće operacije:**

Amandman 398

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 56. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) kontrolu i iskorjenjivanje bolesti u akvakulturi na temelju uvjeta iz Odluke Vijeća 2009/470/EEZ o troškovima u području veterine;

Izmjena

(a) **troškove** kontrole i iskorjenjivanja bolesti u akvakulturi na temelju uvjeta iz Odluke Vijeća 2009/470/EZ o troškovima u području veterine, **uključujući nužne operativne troškove za ispunjavanje obveza iz plana iskorjenjivanja;**

Amandman 399

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 56. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) razvoj općih i najboljih praksi koje se posebno odnose na vrste ili razvoj kodeksa ponašanja za biosigurnost **ili** potrebe dobrobiti životinja u akvakulturi;

Izmjena

(b) razvoj općih i najboljih praksi koje se posebno odnose na vrste ili razvoj kodeksa ponašanja za biosigurnost, **zdravlje životinja** i potrebe dobrobiti životinja u akvakulturi;

Amandman 400

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 56. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) **povećanje dostupnosti** veterinarskih lijekova **za korištenje u akvakulturi i promicanje prikladne upotrebe takvih lijekova** putem naručivanja farmaceutskih studija te širenjem i razmjenom informacija.

Izmjena

(c) **inicijativu s ciljem smanjivanja ovisnosti akvakulture o veterinarskim lijekovima;**

Amandman 401

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 56. – stavak 1. – c a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ca) uspostavu i rad skupina za zaštitu zdravlja u akvakulturnom sektoru kako ga priznaju države članice.

Amandman 402

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 57. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. Kako bi se zaštitio prihod akvakulturnih proizvođača, EFPR može podržati doprinos osiguranju akvakulturnih fondova koje će nadoknaditi gubitke uzrokovane:

1. Kako bi se zaštitio prihod akvakulturnih proizvođača, EFPR može podržati doprinos osiguranju akvakulturnih fondova **ili uzajamnom fondu koji priznaje država članica**, a koji će nadoknaditi gubitke uzrokovane **barem jednim od sljedećih:**

Amandman 403

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 57. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) prirodnim katastrofama;

Izmjena

(a) prirodnim katastrofama **ili ekstenzivnim onečišćenjem morskog okoliša,**

Amandman 404

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 57. – stavak 1. točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) naglim promjenama u kvaliteti vode;

Izmjena

(c) naglim promjenama u kvaliteti **i količini** vode;

Amandman 405

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 57. – stavak 1. točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(d) bolestima u akvakulturi ili uništenjem proizvodnih pogona.

Izmjena

(d) bolestima u akvakulturi, **grabežljivcima, mehaničkim kvarovima** ili uništenjem proizvodnih objekata **za koje operator nije odgovoran;**

Amandman 406

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 57. – stavak 1. točka d a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman 407

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 57. – stavak 1. – točka d b (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(db) zagađenjem okoliša uzrokovanim vanjskim događajem izvan akvakulturnog uzgajališta;

Amandman 408

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 57. – stavak 1. – točka d c (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(dc) prikupljanjem ili uništavanjem životinja koje su umrle u uzgajalištu od prirodnih uzroka ili od nesreća u uzgajalištu za koje operator nije odgovoran ili su ubijene i pokopane na samom uzgajalištu iz zdravstvenih razloga i uz prethodno dopuštenje relevantnih nadležnih tijela.

Amandman 409

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 57. – stavak 2. – podstavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Pojava elementarne nepogode ili ***epidemije bolesti*** u akvakulturi mora biti službeno priznata od strane dotične države članice.

2. Pojava elementarne nepogode, ***bolesti, ekstenzivnog onečišćenja ili bilo koje mjerodavne okolnosti iz stavka 1.*** u akvakulturi mora biti formalno priznata od strane dotične države članice.

Amandman 410

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 57. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Potpora se dodjeljuje samo za ugovore o osiguranju akvakulturnih fondova koji pokrivaju ekonomske gubitke iz stavka 1. koji prelaze **30 %** prosječne godišnje proizvodnje akvakulturnog uzgajivača.

Izmjena

3. Potpora se dodjeljuje samo za ugovore o osiguranju akvakulturnih fondova ili uzajamne fondove koji pokrivaju ekonomske gubitke iz stavka 1. koji prelaze **25%** prosječne godišnje proizvodnje akvakulturnog uzgajivača.

Amandman 411

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 58.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

EFPR podupire održivi razvoj ribarstvenih područja prema pristupu lokalnom razvoju kojeg predvodi zajednica kako je navedeno u članku 28. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama].

Izmjena

EFPR podupire održivi razvoj ribarstvenih i **akvakulturnih** područja prema pristupu lokalnom razvoju kojeg predvodi zajednica kako je navedeno u članku 28. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama].

Amandman 412

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 59. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Financijska potpora iz ovog članka doprinosi ostvarivanju prioriteta Unije prepoznatih u članku 6. (1).

Izmjena

Financijska potpora iz ovog članka doprinosi ostvarivanju prioriteta Unije prepoznatih u članku 6. (1). **(2) i (3).**

Justification

Fisheries areas are very important in the development of sustainable aquaculture and moreover have a big role to play in organizing innovation. We have numerous examples of regions being the driver of innovation in coastal areas, and when it comes to aquaculture the role of the region is crucial because of their responsibilities when it comes to spatial

planning.

Amandman 413

Prijedlog Uredbe

Naslov 5. – poglavlje 3. – odjeljak 2. – naslov

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Ribarstvena područja, lokalna partnerstva i lokalne razvojne strategije

Ribarstvena *i akvakulturna* područja, lokalna partnerstva i lokalne razvojne strategije

Amandman 414

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 60. – naslov

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Ribarstvena područja

Ribarstvena *i akvakulturna* područja

Amandman 415

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 60. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. *Ribarstvena područja* koja imaju pravo na potporu su:

1. *Kako bi se područje kvalificiralo kao područje koje ima pravo na potporu, ono mora biti područje za morski ribolov, za slatkovodni ribolov ili akvakulturno područje. Ono mora biti funkcionalno koherentno u geografskom, ekonomskom i društvenom smislu, posebno uzimajući u obzir sektore ribarstva, akvakulture i povezane aktivnosti te treba nudi dostatnu kritičnu masu u smislu ljudskih, financijskih i gospodarskih resursa za potporu izvedivoj strategiji lokalnog razvoja.*

Amandman 416

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 60. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) ograničena u veličini i u pravilu, manje od razine NUTS III. zajedničkog razvrstavanja prostornih jedinica za statistiku u smislu Uredbe (EZ) br. 1059/2003 Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od 26. svibnja 2003. o uspostavi zajedničkog razvrstavanja prostornih jedinica za statistiku (NUTS); i

Brisano

Amandman 417

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 60. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) funkcionalno koherentna u geografskom, ekonomskom i društvenom smislu, te posebno uzimaju u obzir sektore ribarstva i akvakulture te nude dostatnu kritičnu masu u smislu ljudskih, financijskih i gospodarskih resursa za potporu izvedivoj strategiji lokalnog razvoja.

brisano

Amandman 418

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 61. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. U svrhu EFPR-a, integrirana strategija

1. U svrhu EFPR-a, integrirana strategija

lokalnog razvoja iz članka 28. stavka 1. točke (c) [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama] temelji se na interakciji između sudionika i projekata **različitih sektora lokalnog gospodarstva, posebice** sektora ribarstva i akvakulture;

lokalnog razvoja iz članka 28. stavka 1. točke (c) [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] o zajedničkim odredbama] temelji se na interakciji **i konzultacijama** između sudionika i projekata sektora ribarstva i akvakulture, **kao i drugih sektora lokalnog gospodarstva. S tim u vezi konzultiraju se Savjetodavna vijeća.**

Amandman 419

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 61. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) postići najvišu razinu sudjelovanja sektora ribarstva i akvakulture u održivom razvoju obalnih i kopnenih ribarstvenih područja;

Izmjena

(a) postići najvišu razinu sudjelovanja sektora ribarstva i akvakulture u održivom razvoju obalnih i kopnenih ribarstvenih i **akvakulturnih** područja;

Amandman 420

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 61. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) osigurati da lokalne zajednice u potpunosti iskoristavaju mogućnosti koje nudi morski **i** obalni razvoj.

Izmjena

(b) osigurati da lokalne zajednice u potpunosti iskoristavaju mogućnosti koje nudi morski, obalni **i slatkovodni razvoj i, posebice, pomoći malim ribarskim lukama koje su u nestajanju da iskoriste u najvećoj mogućoj mjeri svoj pomorski potencijal razvojem diversificirane infrastrukture.**

Amandman 421

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 61. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Strategija mora biti usklađena s mogućnostima i potrebama utvrđenima u ovom području i s Unijinim prioritetima za EFPR. Strategije *moгу imati raspon od onih koje su* usredotočene na ribarstvo *do* strategija širokog djelokruga usmjerenih na diversifikaciju ribarstvenih područja. Strategija mora nadilaziti samo prikupljanje operacija ili nizanje sektorskih mjera.

Amandman

3. Strategija mora biti usklađena s mogućnostima i potrebama utvrđenima u ovom području i s Unijinim prioritetima za EFPR. Strategije se *moraju uglavnom* usredotočiti na ribarstvo *ili akvakulturu, ali mogu biti* i šire, usmjerene na diversifikaciju ribarstvenih *i akvakulturnih* područja. Strategija mora nadilaziti samo prikupljanje operacija ili nizanje sektorskih mjera.

Amandman 422

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 61. – stavak 5.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

5. *Komisija je ovlaštena donositi delegirane akte u skladu s člankom 127. u vezi sa sadržajem* akcijskog plana iz članka 29. (1)(e) [[Uredbe (EU) br [...]o zajedničkim odredbama]

Izmjena

5. *Integrirana strategija lokalnog razvoja uključuje* akcijski plan iz članka 29. (1)(e) [[Uredbe (EU) br [...]o zajedničkim odredbama. Akcijski plan će između ostalog utvrditi popis *akcija predviđenih za provedbu strategije i za svaku akciju navodi ciljeve te akcije, odgovarajuće troškove, prihvatljive korisnike, financijsku omotnicu vezanu uz povezane javne fondove, kriterije za odabir operacija i pokazatelje uspješnosti.*

Amandman 423

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 62. – stavak 3. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) osigurati *značajnu zastupljenost sektora* ribarstva i akvakulture.

Izmjena

(b) osigurati *većinsku* zastupljenost *sektora* ribarstva *i/ili* akvakulture.

Amandman 424

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 62. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

4. Ako strategiju lokalnog razvoja podupiru drugi fondovi osim EFPR-a, potrebno je **osnovati posebno** tijelo za odabir za projekte koje podupire EFPR **sukladno kriterijima** navedenim u stavku 3.

Izmjena

4. Ako strategiju lokalnog razvoja podupiru drugi fondovi osim EFPR-a, tijelo za odabir **iz FLAG-a** za projekte koje podupire EFPR mora **ispunjavati zahtjeve** iz stavka 3.

Amandman 425

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 62. – stavak 7.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

7. Uloge FLAG-a, upravljačkog tijela za sve provedbene zadaće vezane uz strategiju, jasno su opisane u operativnom programu.

Izmjena

7. Uloge FLAG-a, upravljačkog tijela **i provedbenog tijela, ako se razlikuje od uloge upravljačkog tijela**, za sve provedbene zadaće vezane uz strategiju, jasno su opisane u operativnom programu.

Amandman 426

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 63. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Operacije koje imaju prava iz ovog Odjeljka iznesene su u članku 31. [[Uredbe (EU) br. [...]o zajedničkim odredbama].

Izmjena

1. Operacije **i troškovi** koji imaju prava iz ovog Odjeljka izneseni su u članku 31. [[Uredbe (EU) br [...]o zajedničkim odredbama].

Amandman 427

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 64. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Pripremna podrška će pokrivati izgradnju kapaciteta i umrežavanje u pogledu priprema i implementacije lokalne razvojne strategije.

Izmjena

1. Pripremna podrška će pokrivati izgradnju kapaciteta, ***konzultiranje***, obuku i umrežavanje u pogledu priprema i implementacije lokalne razvojne strategije.

Amandman 428

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 65. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) dodavanje vrijednosti, stvaranje radnih mjesta, promicanje inovacija u svim fazama opskrbnog lanca u ribarstvu i akvakulturi;

Izmjena

(a) dodavanje vrijednosti, stvaranje radnih mjesta, ***privlačenje mladih ljudi*** i promicanje inovacija u svim fazama opskrbnog lanca u ribarstvu, akvakulturi i ***preradi***;

Amandman 429

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 65. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) podrška raznolikosti i stvaranju radnih mjesta u području ribarstva, ***posebice u ostalim pomorskim sektorima***;

Izmjena

(b) podrška raznolikosti i stvaranju radnih mjesta u području ribarstva, ***uključujući raznolikost u pomorskim aktivnostima koje nadopunjavaju aktivnosti ribarstva i akvakulture***;

Amandman 430

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 65. – stavak 1. – točka b a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ba) jačanje osposobljavanja i poboljšanje uvjeta rada na području ribarstva i akvakulture;

Amandman 431

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 65. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c) obogaćivanje i kapitalizacija dobara ribolovnih područja uključujući operacije za ublažavanje klimatskih promjena;

(c) obogaćivanje i kapitalizacija ekoloških dobara ribolovnih **i akvakulturnih** područja, uključujući radnje za **održavanje bioraznolikosti, poboljšanje upravljanja priobalnom zonom i** ublažavanje klimatskih promjena;

Amandman 432

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 65. – stavak 1. – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(d) promicanje društvene dobrobiti i kulturnog nasljeđa u ribarskim područjima, uključujući pomorsko kulturno nasljeđe;

(d) promicanje društvene dobrobiti i kulturnog nasljeđa u ribarskim područjima **i akvakulturnim područjima**, uključujući **ribarstvo** i pomorsko kulturno nasljeđe;

Amandman 433

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 65. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Dobivena potpora može uključivati

2. Dobivena potpora može uključivati

mjere predviđene u poglavljima I. *i* II. ovog Naslova, pod uvjetom da postoji jasan razlog za njihovim upravljanjem na lokalnoj razini. Kada je pomoć odobrena za radnje koje odgovaraju tim mjerama, primjenjuju se odgovarajući uvjeti i ljestvice doprinosa za pojedinu operaciju koje su utvrđene u poglavljima I. *i* II. ovog Naslova.

mjere predviđene u poglavljima I., II *i* IV. ovog Naslova, pod uvjetom da postoji jasan razlog za njihovo upravljanje na lokalnoj razini. Kada je pomoć odobrena za radnje koje odgovaraju tim mjerama, primjenjivat će se odgovarajući uvjeti i ljestvice doprinosa za pojedinu operaciju koje su utvrđene u poglavljima I., II *i* IV. ovog Naslova.

Amandman 434

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 66. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. *Osим* ostalih FLAG-ova, partner FLAG-a u okviru EFPR-a može biti lokalno javno-privatno partnerstvo koje provodi strategiju lokalnog razvoja unutar ili izvan Unije.

Izmjena

2. *Za potrebe ovog članka, osim* ostalih FLAG-ova, partneri FLAG-a u okviru EFPR-a mogu biti *sudionici u projektima suradnje koji nisu smješteni na teritoriju FLAG-a na temelju* lokalnog javno-privatnog partnerstva koje provodi strategiju lokalnog razvoja unutar ili izvan Unije.

Amandman 435

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 66. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. U slučajevima kada projekte suradnje nije izabrao FLAG, države članice mogu ustanoviti sustav trajnih *prijava* za projekte suradnje. One će donijeti javne nacionalne ili regionalne administrativne procedure za selekciju transnacionalnih projekata suradnje i popis dozvoljenih troškova najkasnije dvije godine nakon datuma odobravanja njihovog operativnog programa.

Izmjena

3. U slučajevima kada projekte suradnje nije izabrao FLAG, države članice mogu ustanoviti sustav trajnih *prijava* za projekte suradnje. One će donijeti javne nacionalne ili regionalne administrativne procedure za selekciju transnacionalnih projekata suradnje i popis dozvoljenih troškova najkasnije dvije godine nakon datuma odobravanja njihovog operativnog programa. *Savjetodavna vijeća, zbog svog transnacionalnog karaktera, mogu biti*

uključena u sustav trajnih prijava.

Amandman 436

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 66. – stavak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

4. **Odobranje** projekata suradnje neće trajati duže od četiri mjeseca od datuma podnošenja prijave za projekt.

Izmjena

4. **Administrativne odluke vezane uz** projekt suradnje neće trajati duže od četiri mjeseca od datuma podnošenja prijave za projekt.

Amandman 437

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 68.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Podrška ovom poglavlju pridonosi **postizanju specifičnih ciljeva poglavlja I. i poglavlja II. ove glave.**

Izmjena

Podrška ovom poglavlju pridonosi:

(a) postizanju specifičnih ciljeva poglavlja I. i poglavlja II. ove glave.

(b) poboljšanju kompetitivnosti proizvodnje i marketingu proizvoda ribarstva i akvakulture.

(c) poboljšanju sigurnosti hrane i kakvoći proizvoda.

(d) razvoju, proizvodnji i marketingu novih proizvoda te korištenju novih proizvoda i inovativnih proizvodnih metoda.

(e) smanjenju negativnog utjecaja na okoliš i povećanju energetske učinkovitosti.

(f) boljem iskorištenju manje zastupljenih vrsta, nusproizvoda i otpada.

(g) razvoju, proizvodnji i marketingu novih proizvoda, korištenju novih tehnologija i inovativnih proizvodnih metoda.

(h) poboljšanju radnih uvjeta i obuke radnika.

(i) otvaranju i razvoju novih tržišta.

Amandman 438

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 69 – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR *može poduprijeti* pripremu i provedbu proizvodnih i marketinških planova na koje se upućuje u članku 32. [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture].

Izmjena

1. EFPR *podupire* pripremu i provedbu proizvodnih i marketinških planova na koje se upućuje u članku 32. [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture].

Amandman 439

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 70. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. 1. EFPR može *poduprijeti* naknadu priznatim organizacijama proizvođača i udrugama organizacija proizvođača koji skladište ribarske proizvode popisane u Prilogu II. Uredbe br. [o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture], pod uvjetom da su proizvodi skladišteni u skladu s člancima 35. i 36. Uredbe br. ...*[o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture]*:

Izmjena

1. EFPR može *sufinancirati* naknadu priznatim organizacijama proizvođača i udrugama organizacija proizvođača koji skladište ribarske proizvode *i* proizvode *akvakulture* popisane u Prilogu II. Uredbe br. [o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture], pod uvjetom da su proizvodi skladišteni u skladu s člancima 35. i 36. *te* Uredbe:

Amandman 440

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 70. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) iznos potpore za skladištenje ne premašuje iznos tehničkih i financijskih troškova postupaka potrebnih za stabilizaciju i skladištenje proizvoda o kojima je riječ;

Izmjena

(a) iznos potpore za skladištenje ne premašuje iznos tehničkih i financijskih troškova postupaka potrebnih za stabilizaciju, *pripremu* i skladištenje proizvoda o kojima je riječ;

Amandman 441

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 70. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) godišnja financijska pomoć ne premašuje *sljedeće postotke* prosječne godišnje vrijednosti tržišne proizvodnje pri prvoj prodaji članova organizacija proizvođača u razdoblju od 2009-2011. U slučaju da članovi organizacija proizvođača nisu imali nikakvu tržišnu proizvodnju od 2009-2011, uzima se u obzir prosječna godišnja vrijednost tržišne proizvodnje u prve tri godine proizvodnje takvog člana:

– *1 % in 2014.*

– *0,8 % in 2015.*

– *0,6 % in 2016.*

– *0,4 % in 2017.*

– *0,2 % in 2018.*

Izmjena

(c) godišnja financijska pomoć ne premašuje *5%* prosječne godišnje vrijednosti tržišne proizvodnje pri prvoj prodaji članova organizacija proizvođača u razdoblju od 2009-2011. U slučaju da članovi organizacija proizvođača nisu imali nikakvu tržišnu proizvodnju od 2009-2011, uzima se u obzir prosječna godišnja vrijednost tržišne proizvodnje u prve tri godine proizvodnje takvog člana.

Amandman 442

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 70. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Potpora na koju se upućuje u stavku 1. će do 2019. biti postupno ukinuta.

Brisano

Amandman 443

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Izmjena

1. EFPR može podupirati marketinške mjere za proizvode ribarstva i akvakulture koje imaju za cilj:

1. EFPR **može podupirati** marketinške mjere za proizvode ribarstva, akvakulture i **slatkovodnog ribarstva** koje imaju za cilj:

Amandman 444

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka a – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) poboljšanje uvjeta za stavljanje na tržište:

(a) **nalaženje novih tržišta i** poboljšanje uvjeta za stavljanje na tržište **ribljih i akvakulturnih vrsta, uključujući:**

Amandman 445

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka i a (new)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ia) proizvodi koje tržištu nude ribarske organizacije, njihove udruge i burze ribljih proizvoda;

Amandman 446

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka ii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(ii) slučajni ulov istovaren u skladu s člankom 15. [Uredbe o Zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] i člankom 8. (b) druga alineja [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribljih i akvakulturnih proizvoda];

Izmjena

(ii) slučajni ulov istovaren *iz komercijalnih zaliha* u skladu s *tehničkim mjerama*, člankom 15. [Uredbe o Zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] i člankom 8. (b) druga alineja [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribljih i akvakulturnih proizvoda];

Amandman 447

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka iii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iii) proizvodi do kojih se došlo korištenjem metoda sa slabim utjecajem na okoliš ili proizvodi organske akvakulture definirani kao u Uredbi Vijeća (EZ) br. 834/2007 o organskoj proizvodnji.

Izmjena

(iii) *ribarski* proizvodi ili proizvodi *akvakulture* do kojih se došlo korištenjem metoda sa slabim utjecajem na okoliš ili proizvodi organske akvakulture definirani kao u Uredbi Vijeća (EZ) br. 834/2007 o organskoj proizvodnji *ili u zatvorenim akvakulturnim sustavima*;

Amandman 448

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka iii a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(iiia) lokalni i sezonski proizvodi, kao i proizvodi koje pokriva Uredba Vijeća (EZ) br. 510/2006 od 20. ožujka 2006. o zaštiti geografskih pokazatelja i oznaka podrijetla za poljoprivredne proizvode i hranu;

Amandman 449

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka iii b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(iib) novi i poboljšani proizvodi.

Amandman 450

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka b – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) promicanje kakvoće olakšava:

(b) promicanje kakvoće **i dodatne vrijednosti** olakšava:

Amandman 451

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka ii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ii) davanje certifikata **i** promicanje, uključujući i proizvode održivog ribarstva i akvakulture te ekološki prihvatljive metode obrade;

(ii) davanje certifikata **kakvoće te** promicanje **i stvaranje specifičnih oznaka koje se odnose na** proizvode održivog ribarstva i akvakulture – **proizvode obalnog ribarstva malih razmjera, lokalne i sezonske proizvode** te ekološki prihvatljive metode obrade;

Amandman 452

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka ii a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(iia) mogućnost utvrđivanja podrijetla ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture, kao i razvijanje eko-oznake za ribarske proizvode i proizvode akvakulture širom Unije;

Amandman 453

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka ii b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(iib) inovativni postupci i metode;

Amandman 454

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka iii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(iii) izravni marketing ribarskih proizvoda malih obalnih ribara.

(iii) izravni marketing ribarskih proizvoda malih obalnih ribara ***i ribara bez plovila;***

Amandman 455

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka iii a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(iiia) predstavljanje i pakiranje proizvoda;

Amandman 456

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka iii b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(iiib) udovoljavanje zahtjevima usklađenosti i certifikacije za proizvode koje pokriva Uredba (EZ) 510/2006 od strane proizvođača, prerađivača i razvojnih programera na koje se primjenjuje sustav kontrole i certifikacije.

Amandman 457

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c) pridonosenje transparentnosti proizvodnje i tržišta te ispitivanje tržišta;

(c) pridonosenje transparentnosti proizvodnje i tržišta te ispitivanje tržišta i provođenje *studija o trgovinskoj ovisnosti Unije*;

Amandman 458

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(e) osnivanje proizvođačkih organizacija, udruga proizvođačkih organizacija ili međugranskih organizacija priznatih u poglavlju 2., odjeljku 3. Uredbe [o Zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribljih i akvakulturnih proizvoda];

(e) osnivanje *i spajanje* proizvođačkih organizacija, udruga proizvođačkih organizacija ili međugranskih organizacija priznatih u poglavlju 2., odjeljku 3. Uredbe [o Zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribljih i akvakulturnih proizvoda] *kako bi se potaklo njihovu ulogu u upravljanju ribogojilištima i marketinškim mjerama*;

Amandman 459

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 71. – stavak 1. – točka f

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(f) provođenje regionalnih, državnih i prekodržavnih promidžbenih kampanja za riblje i akvakulturne proizvode.

Izmjena

(f) provođenje regionalnih, državnih i prekodržavnih promidžbenih kampanja, **uključujući sajmove i medijske kampanje za ekološki održive** riblje i akvakulturne proizvode.

Amandman 460

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 72. – stavak 1. – točka --a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(--a) za inovaciju kako bi se razvilo nove proizvode veće izvrsnosti i dodane vrijednosti, novih ili unaprijeđenih postupaka obrade te u novim ili poboljšanim upravljačkim i organizacijskim sustavima;

Amandman 461

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 72. – stavak 1. – točka –b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(–b) povećanje dodane vrijednosti proizvoda;

Amandman 462

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 72. – stavak 1. – točka a a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) poboljšanje sigurnosti, higijene, zdravstvenih i radnih uvjeta;

Amandman 463

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 72. – stavak 1. – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(d) za preradu proizvoda organske akvakulture kao što je propisano 6. i 7. člankom Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 834/2007.

(d) za preradu **proizvoda održive akvakulture** i proizvoda organske akvakulture kao što je propisano 6. i 7. člankom Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 834/2007.

Amandman 464

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 72. – stavak 1. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1a. EFPR može podupirati tvrtke, udruge i tehnološki centre koji predstavljaju prerađivački sektor da razvijaju istraživačke i inovacijske djelatnosti na koje se upućuje u stavku 1.

Amandman 465

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 73. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. EFPR **može** podupirati kompenzacijski sustav uveden Uredbom Vijeća (EZ) br. 791/2007 za dodatne troškove kojima su izloženi djelatnici pri ribolovu, uzgoju i

1. EFPR **će** podupirati kompenzacijsku **shemu** uvedenu **temeljem članka 349. UFEU** Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 791/2007 kako bi se nadoknadilo dodatne troškove

marketingu određenih ribljih i akvakulturnih proizvoda s *Azora, Madeire, Kanarskog otočja, Francuske Gvajane i otoka Réunion*.

kojima su izloženi djelatnici pri ribolovu, uzgoju, *preradi* i marketingu određenih ribljih i akvakulturnih proizvoda iz *najudaljenijih krajeva*. *Ta se shema primjenjuje na sve dodatne troškove kojima su izloženi djelatnici uključeni u djelatnosti o kojima je riječ u ovom stavku.*

Amandman 466

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 73. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Svaka dotična država članica utvrđuje za regije o kojima je riječ u stavku 1. popis ribljih i akvakulturnih proizvoda, kao i količinu onih proizvoda koji udovoljavaju uvjetima za naknadu.

Izmjena

2. Svaka dotična država članica utvrđuje za regije o kojima je riječ u stavku 1. popis *dodatnih troškova kojima su izloženi djelatnici uključeni u djelatnosti na koje se odnosi stavak 1. Ona također izrađuje popis* ribljih i akvakulturnih proizvoda, kao i količinu onih proizvoda koji udovoljavaju uvjetima za naknadu.

Amandman 467

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 73. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Pri utvrđivanju popisa i količina na koje se odnosi stavak 2. država članica uzima u obzir sve relevantne čimbenike, a osobito potrebu da se osigura da naknada bude u potpunosti kompatibilna s pravilima ZRP-a.

Izmjena

3. Pri utvrđivanju popisa i količina na koje se odnosi stavak 2. država članica uzima u obzir sve relevantne čimbenike, a osobito potrebu da se osigura da naknada bude u potpunosti kompatibilna s pravilima ZRP-a *te da ribolovni kapacitet dotičnih flota bude sukladan ribolovnim prilikama koje su na raspolaganju.*

Amandman 468

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 73. – stavak 4. – točka c a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ca) dobiveno putem IUU [nap. prev. nezakonitog, neprijavljenog i nereguliranog] ribolova.

Amandman 469

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 73. – stavak 5. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

5a. Sljedeći djelatnici koji su izloženi dodatnim troškovima u marketingu ribljih proizvoda udovoljavaju uvjetima za naknadu:

(a) fizičke ili pravne osobe koje koriste proizvodna sredstva da bi dobile riblje ili akvakulturne proizvode s ciljem da ih stave na tržište;

(b) vlasnici ili djelatnici plovila koja su registrirana u lukama regija na koje se odnosi stavak 1., a koji djeluju u tim regijama, ili udruge takvih vlasnika ili djelatnika;

(c) djelatnici u prerađivačkom i marketinškom sektoru ili udruge takvih djelatnika.

Amandman 470

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 73. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 73. a

Djelatnici

- 1. Naknada se isplaćuje djelatnicima koji obavljaju djelatnosti u ribarstvu i akvakulturi u regijama o kojima je riječ.**
- 2. Države članice o kojima je riječ poduzimaju neophodne mjere kako bi se osiguralo da djelatnici koji primaju naknadu ostanu ekonomski održivi.**

Amandman 471

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 74. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) za svaki riblji ili akvakulturni proizvod dodatni troškovi koji proizlaze iz specifičnih nedostataka regija o kojima je riječ, i

(a) za svaki riblji ili akvakulturni proizvod **ili proizvodnu kategoriju** dodatni troškovi koji proizlaze iz specifičnih nedostataka regija o kojima je riječ, i

Amandman 472

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 74. – stavak 1. – točka b a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ba) bilo koja druga potpora koju korisnik i dalje prima ili ju je primao za svoju djelatnost.

Amandman 473

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 75. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Države članice o kojima je riječ podastiru Komisiji kompenzacijski plan za svaku regiju o kojoj je riječ, koji uključuje popis i količine na koje se upućuje u članku 73., razinu naknade na koju se upućuje u članku 74. te nadležno tijelo kao što je propisano člankom 99.

Izmjena

1. Države članice o kojima je riječ podastiru Komisiji kompenzacijski plan za svaku regiju o kojoj je riječ, koji uključuje popis i količine **te tip djelatnika** na koje se upućuje u članku 73., razinu naknade na koju se upućuje u članku 74. te nadležno tijelo kao što je propisano člankom 99.

Amandman 474

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 75. – stavak 1. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1a. Države članice mogu izmijeniti sadržaj kompenzacijskoga plana o kojemu je riječ u stavku 1. Takve se izmjene podnose Komisiji.

Amandman 475

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 75. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Komisija je ovlaštena za usvajanje delegiranih akata, **sukladno** članku 127., **radi definiranja** sadržaja kompenzacijskog plana, uključujući kriterije za računanje dodatnih troškova koji proizlaze iz specifičnih teškoća regija o kojima je riječ.

2. Komisija je ovlaštena za usvajanje delegiranih akata, u skladu s člankom 127., **predviđajući** sadržaj kompenzacijskog plana, uključujući kriterije za računanje dodatnih troškova koji proizlaze iz specifičnih teškoća regija o kojima je riječ.

Amandman 476

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 75. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 75.a

Državna potpora

- 1. Odstupajući od članka 8. Komisija može ovlastiti u skladu s člankom 108. TFEU-a operativnu pomoć u sektorima proizvodnje, prerade i marketinga ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture s ciljem uklanjanja specifičnih ograničenja u najudaljenijim regijama kao posljedicu njihove izolacije, otočnosti i krajnje udaljenosti.**
- 2. Države članice mogu dodijeliti dodatno financiranje za provedbu kompenzacijskih planova iz članka 75. U takvim slučajevima država članica treba obavijestiti Komisiju državne potpore koju Komisija može ovlasiti u skladu s ovom Uredbom kao dijelom tih planova. Državna potpora koja je bila obaviještena u skladu s ovim stavkom također se može smatrati obaviještenom u smislu prve rečenice članka 108.(3) TFEU-a.**

Amandman 477

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 78. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. EFPR može podržati provedbu sustava kontrole, inspekcije i izvršenja Unije kao što je propisano u članku 46. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] i specificirano u Uredbi Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009 od 20. studenog 2009. osnivanjem kontrolnog sustava Unije radi osiguravanja usklađenosti pravila

1. EFPR može podržati provedbu sustava kontrole, inspekcije i izvršenja Unije kao što je propisano u članku 46. [Uredbe o zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici] i specificirano u Uredbi Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009 od 20. studenog 2009. osnivanjem kontrolnog sustava Unije radi osiguravanja usklađenosti pravila

Zajedničke ribarstvene politike.

Zajedničke ribarstvene politike, *kao i uspostavljanje komponenata koje su neophodne za osiguravanje mogućnosti utvrđivanja podrijetla ribarskih proizvoda na temelju članka 58. Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009. Takav sustav treba rezultirati brojnim kontrolama zasnivanim na veličini flote u različitim državama članicama.*

Amandman 478

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 78. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) nabava *ili* razvoj tehnologije, uključujući hardware i software, sustav za daljinsku detekciju ribarskih plovila (VDS), CCTV sustave i IT mreže omogućavajući prikupljanje, administraciju, provjeru valjanosti, analizu i razmjenu, i razvoj metoda uzoraka za podatke vezane uz ribarstvo, kao i uzajamno povezivanje s međusektorskim sustavima razmjene podataka;

Izmjena

(a) nabava, **uspostavljanje** i razvoj tehnologije, uključujući hardware i software, sustav detekcije plovila (VDS), CCTV sustave i IT mreže omogućavajući prikupljanje, administraciju, provjeru valjanosti, analizu, **upravljanje rizikom, prijavu** i razmjenu, i razvoj metoda uzoraka za podatke vezane uz ribarstvo, kao i uzajamno povezivanje s međusektorskim sustavima razmjene podataka, **pod uvjetom da takve djelatnosti poštuju osobne slobode i jamče zaštitu osobnih podataka;**

Amandman 479

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 78. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) nabava i instalacija komponenata neophodnih za omogućavanje prijenosa podataka od sudionika uključenih u ribarstvo i marketing ribarskih proizvoda do relevantne države članice i nadležnih tijela EU-a, uključujući neophodne

Izmjena

(b) **razvoj**, nabava i instalacija komponenata, **uključujući hardware i software računala, koji su** neophodni za omogućavanje prijenosa podataka od sudionika uključenih u ribarstvo i marketing ribarskih proizvoda do

komponente sustava za elektronsko bilježenje i izvješćivanje (ERS), sustava nadzora plovila (VMS), i sustava automatske identifikacije (AIS) korištenih u svrhu kontrole;

relevantne države članice i nadležnih tijela EU-a, uključujući neophodne komponente sustava za elektronsko bilježenje i izvješćivanje (ERS), sustava nadzora plovila (VMS), i sustava automatske identifikacije (AIS) korištenih u svrhu kontrole;

Amandman 480

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 78. – stavak 2. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) nabava i instalacija komponenata koje su neophodne kako bi osigurale mogućnost utvrđivanja podrijetla ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture na temelju članka 58. Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009;

Izmjena

(c) **razvoj**, nabava i instalacija komponenata, **uključujući hardware i software računala**, koji su neophodni kako bi osigurali mogućnost utvrđivanja podrijetla ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture na temelju članka 58. Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009;

Amandman 481

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 78. – stavak 2. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(e) modernizacija i nabava patrolnih plovila, zrakoplova i helikoptera, pod uvjetom da se koriste najmanje 60 % vremena **za kontrolu ribarstva**;

Izmjena

(e) modernizacija i nabava patrolnih plovila, zrakoplova i helikoptera, pod uvjetom da se koriste **za kontrolu ribarstva** najmanje 60 % od **ukupnog** vremena **u kojem se ta sredstva koriste godišnje**;

Amandman 482

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 78. – stavak 2. – točka g

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(g) provedba pilot projekata koji se odnose na kontrolu ribarstva, uključuju DNK analizu ribe ili razvoj web stranica vezanih uz kontrolu;

Izmjena

(g) razvoj inovativne kontrole i sustava praćenja i provedba pilot projekata koji se odnose na kontrolu ribarstva, uključuju DNK analizu ribe ili razvoj web stranica vezanih uz kontrolu;

Amandman 483

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 78. – stavak 2. – točka j a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ja) programi koji izvršavaju snažniju kontrolu za zalihe koje su podložne specifičnoj kontroli i programima inspekcije ustanovljenim u skladu s člankom 95. Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009, uključujući bilo koje operativne troškove kojima su izloženi;

Amandman 484

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 78. – stavak 2. – točka j b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(jb) programi vezani uz provedbu akcijskog plana ustanovljenog u skladu s člankom 102.(4.) Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009, uključujući bilo koje operativne troškove kojima su izloženi.

Amandman 485

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 78. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Mjere popisane u točkama (h), (i) i (j), stavka 2. ovog članka udovoljavaju uvjetima za potporu samo ako su vezane uz djelatnosti kontrole koju trebaju izvršiti državna tijela..

Amandman 486

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 78. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

3. Mjere popisane u točkama (h), (i), (j), **(ja) i (jb)** stavka 2. ovog članka udovoljavaju uvjetima za potporu samo ako su vezane uz djelatnosti kontrole koju trebaju izvršiti državna tijela.

Izmjena

Članak 78.a

Kolektivni postupci u cilju jačanja i standardiziranja kontrole

1. U cilju jačanja i standardiziranja kontrole, EFPR može poduprijeti/podržati provedbu međudržavnih projekata kojima je svrha razvoj i testiranje unutardržavnog sustava kontrole, inspekcije i izvršenja predviđenih člankom 46. Uredbe (EU) br. o .../..... [o ZRP-u] i predviđeno u Uredbi Vijeća (EZ) br. 1224/2009 od 20. studenog 2009. ustanovljavajući kontrolni sustav Zajednice za osiguranje sukladnosti s pravilima ZRP-a.

2. Vrste djelovanja koje udovoljavaju uvjetima uključuju osobito sljedeće:

(a) međunarodne programe obuke za osoblje odgovorno za praćenje, kontrolu i nadgledanje ribarstvenih djelatnosti;

(b) inicijative, uključujući seminare i medijske alate za standardiziranje tumačenja pravila i odgovarajućih kontrola u Uniji.

Amandman 487

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR podupire prikupljanje i upravljanje i korištenje primarnih bioloških, tehničkih, okolišnih i socioekonomskih podataka kao u višegodišnjem programu Unije na koji se upućuje u članku 37. (5) [Uredbe o Zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici].

Izmjena

1. EFPR podupire prikupljanje i upravljanje, **analizu** i korištenje primarnih bioloških, tehničkih, okolišnih i socioekonomskih podataka **neophodnih za upravljanje ribarstvom i akvakulturom baziranim na održivim ekosistemima** kao u višegodišnjem programu Unije na koji se upućuje u članku 37. (5) [Uredbe o Zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici].

Amandman 488

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) upravljanje i korištenje podataka u svrhu znanstvene analize i provedbe ZRP-a;

Izmjena

(a) **prikupljanje**, upravljanje i korištenje podataka u svrhu znanstvene analize i provedbe ZRP-a;

Amandman 489

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 2. – točka a a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa)nabava ili razvoj tehnologije, uključujući računalni hardware i software, potrebni za prikupljanje, upravljanje i korištenje podataka;

Amandman 490

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) nacionalni, višegodišnji programi uzorkovanja;

Izmjena

(b) nacionalni, **međudržavni i podnacionalni** višegodišnji programi uzorkovanja;

Amandman 491

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 2. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Prikupljanje podataka

(c) praćenje komercijalnog i rekreativnog ribolova na moru;

Izmjena

Prikupljanje podataka

(c) praćenje komercijalnog i rekreativnog ribolova na moru, uključujući praćenje usputnog ulova morskih organizama i ptica;

Amandman 492

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 2. – točka d a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(da) upravljanje godišnjim radnim programima u vezi s tehničkom i znanstvenom stručnošću u ribarstvu, obradi prijenosa podataka i skupina podataka i pripremnom radu za pružanje znanstvenog savjeta;

Amandman 493

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 2. – točka d b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(db) Organizacija i upravljanje sastancima stručnjaka u ribarstvu;

Amandman 494

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 2. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Prikupljanje podataka

(e) sudjelovanje predstavnika država članica na sastancima regionalne koordinacije kao što je navedeno u članku 37. (4) [Uredbe o Zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici], sastancima uprava regionalnih ribarskih organizacija kojima je EU ugovorni partner ili promatrač ili sastancima međunarodnih tijela zaduženih za znanstvene savjete.

Prikupljanje podataka

(e) sudjelovanje **predstavnika** država članica i **njihovih znanstvenih stručnjaka, kao** i predstavnika **regionalnih vlasti** na sastancima regionalne koordinacije kao što je navedeno u članku 37. (4) [Uredbe o Zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici], sastancima uprava regionalnih ribarskih organizacija kojima je EU ugovorni partner ili promatrač ili sastancima međunarodnih tijela zaduženih za znanstvene, **ekonomske ili tehničke** savjete.

Amandman 495

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 2. – točka e a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Prikupljanje podataka

(ea) poboljšanje sustava prikupljanja podataka i upravljanja podacima i provedba pilot studija za poboljšanje postojećih sustava prikupljanja podataka i upravljanja podacima;

Amandman 496

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. – stavak 2. – točka e b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Prikupljanje podataka

Izmjena

Prikupljanje podataka

(eb) operativni troškovi koji su nastali prikupljanjem i obradom podataka.

Amandman 497

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 79. a (novo)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 79. a

Kazne

Komisija je ovlaštena usvojiti delegirane akte, u skladu s člankom 150., sankcionirajući državu članicu zamrzavanjem i/ili smanjenjem fondova iz EFPR-a za propuste:

(a) u ispunjenju obveze prikupljanja i prijenosa podataka ili zato što nije prijavila stvarni kapacitet svoje ribarske flote; ili

(b) u borbi protiv problema IUU-a [nap. prev. ilegalnog, neprijavljenog i nereguliranog] ribarstva unutar svojih voda i/ili unutar svoje ribarske flote.

Justification

As Member States do not always fulfil their reporting obligations an effective tool is needed to raise compliance with these common EU provisions.

Amandman 498

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Podrška ovom poglavlju pridonosi razvoju i provedbi Unijine integrirane pomorske politike. Trebala bi:

Izmjena

Podrška ovom poglavlju pridonosi **unaprjeđivanju** razvoja i provedbi Unijine integrirane pomorske politike. Trebala bi:

Amandman 499

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(i) promotivne djelatnosti koje potiču države članice i **EU** regije da razviju, predstave ili provedu integrirano pomorsko upravljanje;

Izmjena

(i) promotivne djelatnosti koje potiču države članice i **njihove** regije da razviju, predstave ili provedu integrirano pomorsko upravljanje;

Amandman 500

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka ii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(ii) promicanje dijaloga i suradnje između i s državama članicama i zainteresiranim stranama o morskim i pomorskim pitanjima, uključujući razvijanje strategije morskih slivova,

Izmjena

(ii) promicanje dijaloga i suradnje između i s državama članicama i zainteresiranim stranama o morskim i pomorskim pitanjima, uključujući razvijanje **i provedbu integrirane** strategije morskih slivova, **imajući na umu potrebu za uravnoteženim pristupom u svim morskim zaljevima i uzimajući u obzir specifične karakteristike morskih slivova i poluslivova i relevantne makroregionalne strategije, gdje je prikladno;**

Amandman 501

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka iii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iii) promicanje međusektorske platforme za kooperaciju i umrežavanje, uključujući predstavnike javnih vlasti, regionalnih i lokalnih vlasti, turističkog sektora, interesne strane u istraživanju, građanstvo, organizacije civilnog društva i socijalne partnere;

Izmjena

(iii) promicanje međusektorske platforme za kooperaciju i umrežavanje, uključujući predstavnike **nacionalnih** javnih vlasti, regionalnih i lokalnih vlasti, turističkog sektora, interesne strane u istraživanju, građanstvo, organizacije civilnog društva i socijalne partnere, **uključujući strategiju morskih slivova**;

Amandman 502

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka a – točka iv

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iv) promicanje razmjene najboljih praksi i dijaloga na međunarodnoj razini, uključujući bilateralni dijalog s trećim zemljama, ne dovodeći u pitanje ostale sporazume ili odredbe koji možda postoje između EU-a i trećih zemalja koje su uključene;

Izmjena

(iv) promicanje razmjene najboljih praksi i dijaloga na međunarodnoj razini, uključujući bilateralni dijalog s trećim zemljama, **vodeći računa o Konvenciji Ujedinjenih naroda o pravu mora (UNCLOS) i relevantnim postojećim međunarodnim konvencijama zasnovanim na UNCLOS-u**, ne dovodeći u pitanje ostale sporazume ili odredbe koji možda postoje između EU-a i trećih zemalja koje su uključene;

Amandman 503

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka b – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) **Pridonošenje** razvoju međusektorske inicijative koja je uzajamno korisna

Izmjena

(b) **pridonošenje** razvoju međusektorske inicijative koja je uzajamno korisna

različitim pomorskim sektorima i/ili sektorskim politikama, vodeći računa i oslanjajući se na postojeće instrumente i inicijative, kao što su:

različitim pomorskim *i morskim* sektorima i/ili sektorskim politikama, vodeći računa i oslanjajući se na postojeće instrumente i inicijative, kao što su:

Amandman 504

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(i) integrirani morski nadzor za povećanje djelotvornosti i učinkovitosti putem razmjene informacija između sektora i granica vodeći računa o postojećim i budućim sustavima;

Izmjena

(i) integrirani morski nadzor za povećanje **sigurnosti**, djelotvornosti i učinkovitosti putem razmjene informacija između sektora i granica vodeći računa o postojećim i budućim sustavima;

Amandman 505

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka b – točka iii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iii) progresivni razvoj sveobuhvatnog i javno dostupnog visoko kvalitetnog uporišta znanja o moru **koje olakšava** razmjenu, ponovno korištenje i distribuciju ovih podataka između različitih korisničkih grupa.

Izmjena

(iii) progresivni razvoj sveobuhvatnog i javno dostupnog visoko kvalitetnog uporišta znanja o moru **za smanjenje umnožavanja**, olakšavanje razmjene, ponovnog korištenja i distribuciju ovih podataka između različitih korisničkih grupa.

Amandman 506

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka b a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ba) poboljšanje suradnje među državama članicama preko razmjene informacija i

najboljih praksi između različitih funkcija obalne straže s ciljem stvaranja Europske obalne straže.

Amandman 507

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) **Podupiranje** održivog ekonomskog rasta, zapošljavanja, inovacija i novih tehnologija unutar prospektivnog pomorskog sektora koji je u nastajanju u obalnim regijama, u komplementarnosti s ustanovljavanjem sektoralnih i nacionalnih djelatnosti.

Izmjena

(c) **Podupiranje** održivog ekonomskog rasta, zapošljavanja, inovacija i novih tehnologija unutar prospektivnog pomorskog sektora koji je u nastajanju, **kao i** u obalnim, **otočnim i najudaljenijim** regijama **Unije**, u komplementarnosti s ustanovljavanjem sektoralnih i nacionalnih djelatnosti.

Amandman 508

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka c a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(ca) **podržavanje** razvoja ljudskog kapitala u pomorskom sektoru, osobito promovirajući suradnju i razmjenu u području osposobljavanja.

Izmjena

(ca) **podržavanje** razvoja ljudskog kapitala u pomorskom sektoru, osobito promovirajući suradnju i razmjenu u području osposobljavanja.

Amandman 509

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 81. – stavak 1. – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(d) **promicanje** zaštite morskog okoliša, osobito njegove bioraznolikosti zaštićenih morskih područja kao sto su lokaliteti

Izmjena

(d) **promicanje** zaštite morskog okoliša, osobito njegove bioraznolikosti zaštićenih morskih područja kao što su lokaliteti

Natura-e 2000 i održivo korištenje morskih i obalnih resursa *i* dalje definiranje **granica održivosti** ljudskih djelatnosti **koje imaju utjecaj na morski okoliš, osobito u okviru** Okvirne direktive o pomorskoj strategiji.

Natura-e 2000 i održivo korištenje morskih i obalnih resursa **primjenjujući pristup temeljen na ekosustavima u upravljanju** ljudskim djelatnostima **u skladu s ciljevima postizanja i održavanja dobrog okolišnog statusa kao što zahtijeva** Okvirna direktiva o pomorskoj strategiji.

Amandman 510

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) projekti, uključujući ispitne projekte i projekte suradnje;

Izmjena

(b) projekti, od **plana izvedbe do provedbe**, uključujući ispitne projekte i **nacionalne i prekogranične** projekte suradnje;

Amandman 511

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 1. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) javne informacije i razmjena najbolje prakse, kampanje podizanja svjesnosti i udružene komunikacijske i distribucijske djelatnosti kao što su promidžbene kampanje, društvena događanja, promjene i održavanje web stranica, tribine s interesnim grupama, uključujući korporativnu komunikaciju političkih prioriteta Unije ukoliko je povezana s općim ciljevima ove Uredbe;

Izmjena

(c) javne informacije i razmjena najbolje prakse, **uključujući u vezi s relevantnim djelotvornim europskim istraživačkim programima**, kampanje podizanja svjesnosti i udružene komunikacijske i distribucijske djelatnosti kao što su promidžbene kampanje, društvena događanja, promjene i održavanje web stranica, tribine s interesnim grupama, uključujući korporativnu komunikaciju političkih prioriteta Unije ukoliko je povezana s općim ciljevima ove Uredbe;

Amandman 512

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 1. – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(d) konferencije, seminari i radionice;

Izmjena

(d) konferencije, seminari, **forumi** i radionice;

Amandman 513

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 1. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(e) razmjena najboljih praksi, koordinacija djelovanja uključujući mreže razmjene informacija i **mehanizme upravljanja** za strategiju morskih slivova;

Izmjena

(e) razmjena najboljih praksi, koordinacija djelovanja uključujući mreže razmjene informacija i **podršku razvoja** za strategiju morskih slivova;

Amandman 514

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 1. – točka f

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(f) razvoj, operacija i održavanje IT sustava i mreža omogućavajući prikupljanje, administraciju, provjeru valjanosti, analizu i razmjenu, i razvoj metoda uzoraka za, podatke **koji se odnose na ribarstvo**, kao i uzajamno povezivanje s međusektorskim sustavima razmjene podataka;

Izmjena

(f) razvoj, operacija i održavanje IT sustava i mreža omogućavajući prikupljanje, administraciju, provjeru valjanosti, analizu i razmjenu, i razvoj metoda uzoraka za, podatke, kao i uzajamno povezivanje s međusektorskim sustavima razmjene podataka;

Amandman 515

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 1. – točka f a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(fa) projekti osposobljavanja za razvoj znanja, profesionalnih kvalifikacija i mjera koje su usmjerene na promicanje profesionalnog razvoja u pomorskom sektoru;

Amandman 516

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 1. – f b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(fb) relevantni instrumenti za intergrirane obalne površine, pomorsko prostorno planiranje i upravljanje zajedničkim resursima zajedničkim na razini morskog sliva;

Amandman 517

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 1. – točka f c (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(fc) tehnička pomoć u skladu s člankom 51. Uredbe (EU) br. .../..... [predviđa zajedničke odredbe].

Amandman 518

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 2. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. S namjerom da postigne specifične ciljeve razvijanja međusektorskih djelovanja navedenih u članku 81. b) EFPR može poduprijeti:

Izmjena

2. S namjerom da postigne specifične ciljeve razvijanja **međugraničnih i** međusektorskih djelovanja navedenih u članku 81. b) EFPR može poduprijeti:

Amandman 519

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) djelatnosti koordinacije i suradnje među državama članicama radi razvijanja pomorskog prostornog planiranja i integriranog upravljanja obalnom zonom, uključujući izdatke koji su povezani sa sustavima i praksama dijeljenja i praćenja podataka, djelatnostima ocjenjivanja, postavljanja i pokretanja mreža stručnjaka, postavljanja programa kojima je cilj proširenje mogućnosti za državu članicu za provedbu pomorskog prostornog planiranja;

Izmjena

(b) djelatnosti koordinacije i suradnje među državama članicama **i, gdje je prikladno, među državama članicama i regijama,** radi razvijanja pomorskog prostornog planiranja i integriranog upravljanja obalnom zonom, uključujući izdatke koji su povezani sa sustavima i praksama dijeljenja i praćenja podataka, djelatnostima ocjenjivanja, postavljanja i pokretanja mreža stručnjaka, postavljanja programa kojima je cilj proširenje mogućnosti za državu članicu za provedbu pomorskog prostornog planiranja;

Amandman 520

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 82. – stavak 2. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) tehničke instrumente za postavljanje i pokretanje operativne Europske mreže za informiranje i promatranje morskog okruženja **ciljajući na** olakšavanje prikupljanja, grupiranja, kontroliranja kvalitete, ponovnog korištenja i distribucije podataka **i znanja** o morskom okruženju

Izmjena

(c) tehničke instrumente za postavljanje i pokretanje operativne Europske mreže za informiranje i promatranjemorskog okruženja **kojoj je cilj** olakšavanje prikupljanja, **stjecanja,** grupiranja, kontroliranja kvalitete, ponovnog korištenja i distribucije podataka **i znanja** o

preko suradnje između institucija *država* članica uključenih u mrežu.

morskom okruženju preko suradnje između institucija *država* članica uključenih u mrežu.

Amandman 521

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 84. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Mjere u skladu s ovim poglavljem olakšavaju provedbu ZRP-a i IPP-a osobito s obzirom na:

Izmjena

Mjere u skladu s ovim poglavljem olakšavaju provedbu ZRP-a i IPP-a osobito s obzirom na:

Amandman 522

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 84. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) znanstveni savjet u skladu sa ZRP-om;

Izmjena

(a) **prikupljanje, upravljanje i distribucija** znanstvenih savjeta u skladu sa ZRP-om;

Amandman 523

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 84. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) specifična kontrola i provođenje mjera u skladu sa ZRP-om;

Izmjena

(b) specifična kontrola i provođenje mjera u skladu sa ZRP-om, **uključujući inspekcije rada;**

Amandman 524

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 84. – stavak 1. – točka d a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(da) socijalni dijalog i učešće socijalnih partnera;

Amandman 525

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 84. – stavak 1. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(e) obavještajni podatci o stanju na tržištu;

(e) obavještajni podatci o stanju na tržištu, uključujući kreiranje elektronskih tržišta;

Amandman 526

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 84. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 84. a

Zaštitne mjere

S namjerom da osigura učinkovitu provedbu zaštitnih mjera u skladu s člankom 17. i 21. Uredbe (EU) br. .../..... [o ZRP-u] EFPR može podupirati inicijative preuzete od država članica za suradnju i provedbu zajedničkih mjera za postizanje svrha i ciljeva dogovorenih unutar višegodišnjih planova ustanovljenih temeljem članaka 9., 10. i 11. Uredbe (EU) No .../..... [o ZRP-u];

Amandman 527

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 85. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR može poduprijeti opskrbu znanstvenim isporučevinama, osobito primijenjenim istraživačkim projektima izravno povezanim s odredbama znanstvenog mišljenja i savjeta, u svrhu razboritih i djelotvornih odluka ribarstvene uprave prema ZRP-u.

Izmjena

1. EFPR može poduprijeti opskrbu znanstvenim isporučevinama, osobito primijenjenim istraživačkim projektima izravno povezanim s odredbama znanstvenog **i socio-ekonomskog** mišljenja i savjeta, u svrhu razboritih i djelotvornih odluka ribarstvene uprave prema ZRP-u.

Amandman 528

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 85. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) studije i pilot projekti potrebni za provedbu i razvoj ZRP-a, uključujući alternativne tipove tehnike uprave održivog ribarstva i akvakulture;

Izmjena

(a) studije i pilot projekti potrebni za provedbu i razvoj ZRP-a, uključujući alternativne tipove tehnike uprave održivog ribarstva i akvakulture, **uključujući unutar Savjetodavnog Vijeća;**

Amandman 529

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 85. – stavak 2. – točka a a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) studije neophodne za provedbu i razvoj ZRP-a u biogeografski osjetljivim područjima;

Amandman 530

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 85. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) priprema i provođenje znanstvenih mišljenja i savjeta od znanstvenih tijela, uključujući međunarodna savjetodavna tijela zadužena za procjenu zaliha, od **nezavisnih** stručnjaka i od istraživačkih institucija;

Izmjena

(b) priprema i provođenje znanstvenih mišljenja i savjeta od znanstvenih tijela, uključujući međunarodna savjetodavna tijela zadužena za procjenu zaliha, od stručnjaka i od istraživačkih institucija;

Amandman 531

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 85. – stavak 2. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(c) sudjelovanje stručnjaka na sastancima o ribarstvenim znanstvenim i tehničkim pitanjima i radnim grupama stručnjaka kao i međunarodnih savjetodavnih tijela i na sastancima gdje će se tražiti doprinos stručnjaka u ribarstvu;

Izmjena

(c) sudjelovanje stručnjaka na sastancima o ribarstvenim znanstvenim i tehničkim pitanjima i radnim grupama stručnjaka kao i međunarodnih savjetodavnih tijela i na sastancima gdje će se tražiti doprinos stručnjaka u ribarstvu ***i akvakulturi***;

Amandman 532

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 85. – stavak 2. – točka c a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ca) financiranje istraživačkih plovila provodeći programe znanstvenih istraživanja u područjima izvan voda Unije gdje Unija djeluje u sklopu sporazuma o ribarstvu;

Amandman 533

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 85. – stavak 2. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(e) djelatnosti suradnje među državama članicama u području prikupljanja podataka, uključujući postavljanje i pokretanje regionaliziranih baza podataka za pohranu, upravljanje i korištenje podacima koji će koristiti regionalnoj suradnji i poboljšati djelatnosti sakupljanja i upravljanja podacima kao i znanstvenoj stručnosti u podršci upravljanja ribarstvom;

Amandman 534

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 85. – stavak 2. – točka e a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(e) djelatnosti suradnje među državama članicama u području prikupljanja podataka, uključujući **različite regionalne interesne skupine i uključujući** postavljanje i pokretanje regionaliziranih baza podataka za pohranu, upravljanje i korištenje podacima koji će koristiti regionalnoj suradnji i poboljšati djelatnosti sakupljanja i upravljanja podacima kao i znanstvenoj stručnosti u podršci upravljanja ribarstvom;

(ea) kreiranje elektronskih tržišta radi bolje koordinacije informacija između tržišnih djelatnika i prerađivača.

Amandman 535

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 86. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) zajednička nabava, od strane nekoliko država članica koje pripadaju istom zemljopisnom području, patrolnih vozila, zrakoplova i helikoptera, pod uvjetom da se koriste najmanje 60 % vremena **za kontrolu ribarstva**;

Izmjena

(a) zajednička nabava, od strane nekoliko država članica koje pripadaju istom zemljopisnom području, patrolnih vozila, zrakoplova i helikoptera, pod uvjetom da se koriste **za kontrolu ribarstva** najmanje 60 % od **ukupnog** vremena **u kojem se sredstva koriste, izračunato na godišnjoj osnovi**;

Amandman 536

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 86. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) izdatci vezani uz procjenu i razvoj novih tehnologija;

Izmjena

(b) izdatci vezani uz procjenu i razvoj novih tehnologija ***kao i procesa razmjene podataka između vlasti i institucija s odgovornostima u području kao što je sigurnost, spašavanje i kontrola u Uniji;***

Amandman 537

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 88. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR ***može poduprijeti*** operativne troškove Savjetodavnog Vijeća kao što je predviđeno ***člankom 52.*** [Uredbe o Zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici].

Izmjena

1. EFPR ***podupire neophodne*** operativne troškove ***i troškove stručnosti*** Savjetodavnog Vijeća kao što je predviđeno [Uredbom o Zajedničkoj ribarstvenoj politici], ***kako bi se osiguralo da izvršavaju svoje zadatke potpuno i djelotvorno.***

Amandman 538

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 88. – stavak 1. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1a. EFPR može poduprijeti operativne troškove Savjetodavnog Vijeća gdje ti troškovi promiču učešće i sudjelovanje organizacija ribara.

Amandman 539

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 88. – stavak 1. b (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1b. EFPR podupire operativne, tehničke i znanstvene troškove povezane s provođenjem studija koje podupiru preporuke Savjetodavnog Vijeća.

Amandman 540

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 88. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 88. a

Socijalni dijalog

EFPR podupire operativne troškove struktura koji pomiču socijalni dijalog i učešće socijalnih partnera.

Justification

Direct-management CFP flanking measures should be able to finance the operational costs of structures that promote social dialogue and the involvement of the social partners.

Amandman 541

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 89. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

EFPR može podupirati razvoj i distribuciju obavještajnih podataka o stanju na tržištu za ribarske proizvode i proizvode akvakulture od strane Komisije u skladu s člankom 49. [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih

EFPR može podupirati razvoj i distribuciju obavještajnih podataka o stanju na tržištu za ribarske proizvode i proizvode akvakulture od strane Komisije u skladu s člankom 49. [Uredbe (EU) br. o zajedničkoj organizaciji tržišta ribarskih proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture],

proizvoda i proizvoda akvakulture].

uključujući formiranje elektronskih tržišta za bolju koordinaciju informacija između tržišnih djelatnika i prerađivača;

Amandman 542

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 91. – stavak 1. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) ***provedba*** sporazuma o održivom ribarstvu i sudjelovanje Unije u regionalnim organizacijama ribarstvene uprave;

Izmjena

(b) ***pripremanje, praćenje i procjena*** sporazuma o održivom ribarstvu i sudjelovanje Unije u regionalnim organizacijama ribarstvene uprave; ***mjere u pitanju sastoje se od studija, sastanaka, uključivanja stručnjaka, troškova privremenog osoblja, informativnih djelatnosti ili bilo kojih drugih administrativnih troškova ili troškova koji proizlaze iz znanstvene ili tehničke pomoći od Komisije.***

Amandman 543

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 92. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFPR može poduprijeti, na inicijativu države članice, uvjetnu gornju granicu od **5%** od ukupne svote operativnog programa:

Izmjena

1. EFPR može poduprijeti, na inicijativu države članice, uvjetnu gornju granicu od **6%** od ukupne svote operativnog programa:

Amandman 544

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 94. – stavak 3. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) **100** % od prihvatljivog javnog izdatka koji udovoljava uvjetima za potporu pomoći skladištenja na koju se upućuje u članku 70.;

Izmjena

(a) **50** % od prihvatljivog javnog izdatka koji udovoljava uvjetima za potporu pomoći skladištenja na koju se upućuje u članku 70.;

Amandman 545

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 94. – stavak 3. – točka a a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(aa) 100% od prihvatljivog javnog izdatka koji udovoljava uvjetima za pripremu proizvodnje i marketinškim planovima na koje se upućuje u članku 69.;

Amandman 546

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 94. – stavak 3. – točka d

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(d) **80** % od prihvatljivog javnog izdatka koji udovoljava uvjetima za potporu na koju se upućuje u članku 78. (2)(a) do (d) i (f) do (j);

(d) **90** % od prihvatljivog javnog izdatka koji udovoljava uvjetima za potporu na koju se upućuje u članku 78. (2)(a) do (d) i (f) do (j);

Amandman 547

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 94. – stavak 3. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(e) **65** % od prihvatljivog javnog izdatka koji udovoljava uvjetima za potporu na

(e) **80** % od prihvatljivog javnog izdatka koji udovoljava uvjetima za potporu na

koju se upućuje u članku 79.

koju se upućuje u članku 79.

Amandman 548

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 94. – stavak 3. – točka e a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ea) plus 10 postotnih bodova, plus maksimalna stopa doprinosa EFPR-a, gdje djelovanje financira EFPR na zabačenim grčkim otocima i u najudaljenijim regijama koje su zbog udaljenosti u nepovoljnom položaju;

Amandman 549

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 95. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. Države članice primjenjuju maksimalni intenzitet javne pomoći od **50** % od potpunog iznosa koji udovoljava uvjetima operacije.

1. Države članice primjenjuju maksimalni intenzitet javne pomoći od **60** % od potpunog iznosa koji udovoljava uvjetima operacije.

Amandman 550

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 95. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) korisnik je javno pravno tijelo;

(a) korisnik je javno pravno tijelo ili **privatno tijelo koje izvršava zadatke javne službe;**

Amandman 551

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 95. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(b) djelovanje je u vezi s pomoći za skladištenje kao što je navedeno u članku 70.;

Izmjena

Brisano

Amandman 552

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 95. – stavak 3. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Iznimno od stavka 1., država članica može primijeniti intenzitet javne pomoći između **50 %** i maksimalnih 100% ukupnog izdatka koji udovoljava uvjetima kada je operacija provedena pod *poglavljem 3.* V. glave i zadovoljava *jedan* od sljedećih kriterija:

Izmjena

3. Iznimno od stavka 1., država članica može primijeniti intenzitet javne pomoći između **60 %** i maksimalnih 100% ukupnog izdatka koji udovoljava uvjetima kada je operacija provedena pod *poglavljem 1., 2., 3. ili 4.* V. glave i zadovoljava *dva ili više* od sljedećih kriterija:

Amandman 553

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 98. – stavak 2. – podstavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Komisija provodi ovlaštenje u punom smislu načela proporcionalnosti i vodeći računa o riziku da neusklađenost s dotičnim pravilima ZRP-apredstavlja ozbiljnu prijetnju održivoj eksploataciji živećih morskih bioloških resursa koji obnavljaju i održavaju populacije lovljenih vrsta iznad razine koju može proizvesti NOP, održivost stoka koji je uključen ili

Izmjena

Komisija provodi ovlaštenje u punom smislu načela proporcionalnosti i vodeći računa o riziku da neusklađenost s dotičnim pravilima ZRP-apredstavlja ozbiljnu prijetnju održivoj eksploataciji živećih morskih bioloških resursa koji obnavljaju i održavaju populacije lovljenih vrsta iznad razine koju može proizvesti NOP, održivost stoka koji je uključen ili zaštita morskog okoliša ili *postizanje i*

Amandman 554

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 100. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. U dodatku članku 135. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...] koje predviđaju Zajedničke odredbe], države članice su u prvom stupnju odgovorne također za istraživanje slučaja neusklađenosti s pravilima primjenjivim pod Zajedničkom ribarstvenom politikom.

Izmjena

(Ne utječe na englesku verziju.)

Amandman 555

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 100. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Za slučajeve financijskih korekcija primijenjenih na izdatke izravno povezane s neusklađenosti s člankom 105., država članica odlučuje o iznosu korekcije uzimajući u obzir **težinu** neusklađenosti korisnika sa ZRP-ovim pravilima, ekonomsku korist koja proizlazi iz neusklađenosti sa ZRP-ovim pravilima ili važnost prinosa EFPR-a ekonomskoj djelatnosti korisnika.

Izmjena

2. Za slučajeve financijskih korekcija primijenjenih na izdatke izravno povezane s neusklađenosti s člankom 105., država članica odlučuje o iznosu korekcije vodeći računa o **težini (uključujući raspon, trajanje i bilo koje ponavljanje)** neusklađenosti korisnika sa ZRP-ovim pravilima, ekonomsku korist koja proizlazi iz neusklađenosti sa ZRP-ovim pravilima ili važnostprinosa EFPR-a ekonomskoj djelatnosti korisnika.

Justification

The gravity of non-compliance by a beneficiary is determined by a number of factors: its severity, extent, duration and re-occurrence

Amandman 556

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 102. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. U dodatku članku 134. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...]koje predviđaju Zajedničke odredbe], Komisija može suspendirati, putem provedbenih akata, sve ili dijelove privremenih plaćanja operativnih programa gdje je Komisija usvojila odluku putem provedbenih akata priznajući da je država članica propustila uskladiti se s obvezama predviđenim Zajedničkom ribarstvenom politikom. Takva neusklađenost dužno ima utjecaj na izdatke sadržane u ovjerovljenoj izvaji izdatka za koju je privremeno plaćanje zatraženo.

Izmjena

1. U dodatku članku 134. [Uredbe (EU) br. [...]koje predviđaju Zajedničke odredbe], Komisija može suspendirati, putem provedbenih akata, sve ili dijelove privremenih plaćanja operativnih programa gdje:

(a) Komisija je usvojila odluku putem provedbenog akta priznajući da je država članica propustila uskladiti se sa svojim obvezama pod Zajedničkom ribarstvenom politikom. Takva neusklađenost dužno ima utjecaj na izdatke sadržane u ovjerovljenoj izvaji izdatka za koju je privremeno plaćanje zatraženo;

(aa) država članica je propustila predati tražene izvještaje o kapacitetu flote i njegovim odnosima s raspoloživim resursima traženim pod Uredbom (EU) br. .../..... [o ZRP-u].

Amandman 557

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 111. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Ključne informacije o provedbi programa, o svakoj operaciji izabranoj za financiranje, kao i o završenim operacijama potrebnim za praćenje i

Izmjena

(Ne utječe na englesku verziju.)

procjenu, uključujući ključne karakteristike korisnika i projekta, bilježe se i čuvaju elektronski.

Amandman 558

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 113. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

2. Upravna vlast i povjerenstvo za praćenje provode praćenje operativnih programa preko financijskih pokazatelja, pokazatelja izlaznih rezultata i ciljnih pokazatelja.

(Ne utječe na englesku verziju.)

Amandman 559

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 114. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) unutar četiri mjeseca od odluke koja potvrđuje program treba biti konzultirano i izdano mišljenje o selekcijskim kriterijima za financirane operacije; selekcijski kriteriji trebaju biti revidirani prema potrebama programa;

(Ne utječe na englesku verziju.)

Amandman 560

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 120. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

a) osiguranje kreiranja jedinstvene web stranice ili jedinstvenog web portala koji pruža informacije o, i pristup prema,

(a) osiguranje kreiranja jedinstvene web stranice ili jedinstvenog web portala koji pruža informacije o, i pristup prema,

operativnom *programu* u svakoj državi članici;

operativnim *programima* u svakoj državi članici;

Amandman 561

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 120. – stavak 1. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) osiguranje kreiranja jedinstvene web stranice ili jedinstvenog g web portala koji pruža informacije o, i pristup prema, operativnom programu u svakoj državi članici;

Izmjena

(a) osiguranje kreiranja jedinstvene web stranice ili jedinstvenog g web portala koji pruža informacije o, i **jednostavan** pristup prema, operativnom programu u svakoj državi članici;

Amandman 562

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 120. – stavak 4. a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

4a. S obzirom na pristup informacijama o okolišu primjenjuju se Direktiva 2003/4/EC i Odredbe 1049/2001/EC i 1367/2006/EC.

Amandman 563

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog I – tabela 1. – red 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Tipovi operacija

Povezano s obalnim ribarstvom malog razmjera djelovanja može se koristiti

Postotni bodovi

25

povećanje od

Izmjena Parlamenta

Tipovi operacija

Postotni bodovi

Povezano s obalnim ribarstvom malog razmjera djelovanja može se koristiti povećanje od

30

Amandman 564

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog 1. – tabela 1. – red 5.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Provedeno od organizacija proizvođača **ili udruga** organizacija proizvođača može se koristiti povećanje od **10** postotnih bodova

Provedeno od organizacija proizvođača, **udruga** organizacija proizvođača, **organizacija profesionalnih ribara priznatih od države članice ili međustrukovne organizacije**, može se koristiti povećanje od **30** postotnih bodova.

Justification

The ceiling for public aid should be increased for professional organisations as part of collective projects.

Amandman 565

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog 1. – tabela 1 – red 5.a (novi)

Izmjena Parlamenta

Tipovi operacija

Postotni bodovi

Uskladenost sa zahtjevima održivosti svih kriterija koje država članica može primijeniti može voditi do povećanja od

10

Amandman 566

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog 1. – tabela 1. – red 8.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Tipovi operacija	Postotni bodovi
Operacije koje provode kompanije koje nisu obuhvaćene definicijom SME-sa: smanjenje od	20

Izmjene Parlamenta

Tipovi operacija	Postotni bodovi
Operacije koje provode kompanije koje nisu obuhvaćene definicijom SME-sa: smanjenje od	15

Amandman 567

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog 1. – tabela 1. – red 8.a (novi)

Izmjene Parlamenta

Tipovi operacija	Postotni bodovi
<i>Ako zadovoljavaju zahtjeve niza kriterija održivosti koje država članica može provesti, oni mogu primiti dodatnih</i>	10

Justification

The management authorities can develop a set of criteria that are conditional on sustainable development goals (environmental, social and economic) that encourage staff to exceed current regulations. In such cases, the management authorities are able to increase the aid provided. This amendment aims to strengthen the link between the EMFF as a financial instrument and the CFP, and make it a tool for encouraging sustainable practices.

Amandman 568

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog III – tabela 1. – red 7. a (novi)

Izmjene Parlamenta

7a.
Zakonodavstvo
vezano uz radne
uvjete

Odnos djelatnika prema
zakonu Unije o radnim
uvjetima.

Djelotvorna primjena i
provedba zakona Unije o
radnim uvjetima pokriva:

- zakon vezan uz radno vrijeme i razdoblje odmora za ribare;
- zakon o zaštiti na radu;
- zakon vezan uz početne kvalifikacije i daljnju obuku za ribare.

Justification

As part of the EMFF's social component, an ex ante conditionality should be added in respect of compliance with legislation on working conditions in the fisheries sector.

Amandman 569

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog III – tabela 2. – stupac 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Prioritet EFPR-a: 6. Promicanje provedbe ZRP-a DO 6.: zaštita okoliša i promicanje	Dokazana administrativna sposobnost za poštivanjem traženih podataka za upravljanje u ribarstvu navedenih u članku 37. [Uredbe o ZRP-u]	Dokazana administrativna sposobnost za pripremanjem i primjenom višegodišnjih programa za prikupljanje podataka, koji treba kritički ispitati STECF [nap. prev. <i>Znanstveni, tehnički i ekonomski odbor za ribarstvo</i>] i prihvatiti Komisija Dokazana administrativna sposobnost za pripremanjem i primjenom godišnjih programa za prikupljanje podataka, koji treba
--	---	---

učinkovitosti resursa		<p>kritički ispitati STECF [nap. prev. <i>Znanstveni, tehnički i ekonomski odbor za ribarstvo</i>] i prihvatiti Komisija</p> <p>Dovoljna sposobnost u raspodjeli ljudskih resursa za preuzimanje bilateralnih ili multilateralnih sporazuma s drugim državama članicama ako je posao provedbe obveza prikupljanja podataka podijeljen</p>
-----------------------	--	---

Izmjena

<p>EFPR Prioritet: 6. Promicanje provedbe ZRP-a</p> <p>DO 6.: zaštita okoliša i promicanje učinkovitosti resursa</p>	<p>Dokazana administrativna sposobnost za poštivanjem traženih podataka za upravljanje u ribarstvu navedenih u članku 37. [Uredbe o ZRP-u]</p> <p><i>Procjena ravnoteže između kapaciteta ribarstva i prilika za ribarstvo:</i></p> <p><i>Specifična analiza ravnoteže između kapaciteta ribarstva i prilika za ribarstvo je provedena s namjerom da osigura djelotvornu provedbu za mjere plovidbene uprave</i></p>	<p>Dokazana administrativna sposobnost za pripremanjem i primjenom višegodišnjih programa za prikupljanje podataka, koji treba kritički ispitati STECF [nap. prev. <i>Znanstveni, tehnički i ekonomski odbor za ribarstvo</i>] i prihvatiti Komisija</p> <p>Dokazana administrativna sposobnost za pripremanjem i primjenom godišnjih programa za prikupljanje podataka, koji treba kritički ispitati STECF [nap. prev. <i>Znanstveni, tehnički i ekonomski odbor za ribarstvo</i>] i prihvatiti Komisija</p> <p>Dovoljna sposobnost u raspodjeli ljudskih resursa za preuzimanje bilateralnih ili multilateralnih sporazuma s drugim državama članicama ako je posao provedbe obveza prikupljanja podataka podijeljen</p> <p><i>Dokazana administrativna sposobnost za pripremanjem i provedbom procjena kapaciteta flote;</i></p> <p><i>Prikladno izvješćivanje o naporima da se uspostavi ravnoteža između</i></p>
--	--	---

		<i>kapaciteta ribarstva i prilika za ribarstvo sukladno zahtjevu članka 34. i članka 37. Uredbe (EU) No .../..... [o ZRP-u].</i>
--	--	--

Justification

The proposal should make funding for fleets, vessels and gears conditional upon adequate assessment of fishing capacity in relation to available fishing opportunities. Several Member States fail to comply with the legal requirement to report on their efforts to balance fleet capacity in relation to fishing opportunities. Fleet assessments should be made as minimum basis to avoid unintended consequences and to spend modernisation aid in a more targeted manner.

Amandman 570

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog 3. – stavak 2. – točka 1. – tabela 1. – red 4. a (novi)

Izmjene Parlamenta

EU prioritet za EFPR/
Tematski cilj (TC) CSF-a

Ex ante uvjeti

Kriteriji za ispunjavanje

Prioriteti EFPR-a:

6. Promicanje provedbe ZRP-a

DO 6.: zaštita okoliša i promicanje učinkovitosti resursa

Procjena ravnoteže između ribolovnog kapaciteta i ribolovnih prilika: Specifična analiza ravnoteže između ribolovnog kapaciteta i ribolovnih prilika je provedena s namjerom da osigura djelotvornu provedbu za mjere plovidbene uprave koje se tiču smanjivanja kapaciteta flote i izravnih investicija u plovila.

Specifični postupci uključuju:

– Dokazanu administrativnu sposobnost za pripremanjem i provedbom procjena kapaciteta flote;

– Prikladno izvješćivanje o naporima da se uspostavi ravnoteža između ribolovnog kapaciteta i ribolovnih prilika: prema potrebi članka 34. i članka 37. Uredbe (EU) No .../..... [o predloženoj Temeljnoj uredbi].

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

1. The overcapacity of the fleet: a questionable premise

The Commission justifies its proposed reform of the CFP by asserting that the European fishing fleet suffers from overcapacity. Your rapporteur would like to draw attention to the questionable nature of this premise: the Commission has so far failed to define what it means by overcapacity, so it is difficult to prove whether or not it exists. And even if some regions may have excess fishing capacity in relation to the available resources, this situation remains localised, occurring only in isolated cases, and it would be an error to assume that such a situation exists in all the Union's fishing areas.

Moreover, the Commission's determination to reduce the fleet's alleged overcapacity would have no effect on the total volume of catch, since catches would continue to depend on the annual TACs and quotas. Your rapporteur notes therefore that the Commission proposes that the same quantity of fish should be caught by fewer ships, which would put many fishing enterprises – and many jobs – at risk, particularly in small-scale or coastal enterprises.

Your rapporteur wishes to challenge this approach and would like to see strict controls on fishing leading to the sustainable environmental and social management of resources. He wishes to draw attention in this connection to the fact that Member States are obliged to respect the fleet size limits determined in the basic Regulation. In order to reinforce this obligation, he suggests that Member States should indicate in their EMFF operational programme what measures they are implementing to meet these limits under this Regulation.

2. Transferable fishing concessions and conversion

The key measure proposed in the basic Regulation to limit the alleged overcapacity is the introduction of transferable fishing concessions. The inevitable consequence of this mechanism would be to concentrate fishing rights in the hands of a small number of operators and to generate market speculation in rights. It would pose a threat to the small-scale and coastal fisheries and would probably lead to instances of abuse of dominant position. This measure would consist in dismantling any form of regulation and relying solely on the market.

The logical consequence of introducing transferable fishing concessions would be to orient the EMFF towards the retraining of fishermen outside the fisheries sector, as Article 32 clearly indicates. This proposal would spell the end of small-scale and coastal fishing. Your rapporteur is opposed to this measure.

The EMFF aims to provide structural support to the fisheries sector in order to boost its competitiveness and sustainability. Supporting the diversification of fishing activities into other sectors is incompatible with this objective. The emphasis should be rather to encourage the creation of businesses in the fishing sector.

3. Action plan for small-scale and coastal fisheries

Small-scale and coastal fisheries exhibit very different characteristics depending on the sea

basins concerned. It is not appropriate to impose a single definition at European level. Your rapporteur therefore proposes leaving it to the Member States to define this term.

Small-scale and coastal fisheries play a fundamental role in ensuring the vitality of coastal areas. The EMFF should therefore support the development, competitiveness and sustainability of these fisheries. Your rapporteur proposes that each Member State should annex to its operational programme an action plan setting out the measures taken to this effect.

4. Social dimension of the EMFF

Your rapporteur is very keen that the PCP should contain an ambitious social component. The EMFF should therefore encourage vocational training and the entry of young people into the fisheries sector, whether as fishing vessel owners or employed fishermen.

5. Fleet renewal

Your rapporteur hopes that the EMFF will allow the renewal of the EU fishing fleet, 50% of which is over 25 years old¹. This obsolescence raises important issues in terms of safety on board and respect for the marine environment.

In order to enable this renewal to take place, your rapporteur proposes that the EMFF should support the replacement of antiquated vessels by new, more energy-efficient, safer, more selective and less powerful vessels. Under no circumstances would the aid lead to increased fleet size.

Measures should also be taken to support investments for the modernisation of vessels in order to improve working conditions and safety, reduce energy consumption and pollution and promote more selective fishing gear. The EMFF should support the modernization and replacement of engines, without increasing fleet size.

Your rapporteur is opposed to make the landing of unwanted catches obligatory, and instead supports the goal of a significant and gradual reduction of discards to be achieved by heavily investing in selective fishing gear.

6. Temporary cessations of activity and mutual funds

The EMFF should finance temporary cessations of fishing activity for fishermen, especially in case of the application of urgent measures and biological rest periods within the framework of the basic Regulation.

The EMFF should also contribute to the mutual funds set up by fishermen at national level to compensate for losses due to exceptional external events such as natural disasters, adverse climatic events, environmental or sanitary accidents or sudden, short-term surges in fuel prices.

7. Investing in ports

¹ Source Eurostat 2010:<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu>

Your rapporteur regrets the Commission's lack of ambition regarding ports. He therefore proposes to extend EMFF investments in this area in order to take into account the strategic role of ports for the competitiveness and sustainability of fishing activities and coastal areas.

8. Scientific opinions and data-gathering

Your rapporteur stresses the importance of strengthening scientific knowledge about the quantitative and qualitative state of fisheries resources in order to obtain sound scientific advice for all commercial stocks in the EU. He welcomes the importance given to partnerships between scientists and fishermen.

The maximum sustainable yield must be achieved as soon as possible and no later than 2020, for all commercial species by acquiring all the means necessary to attain this goal. Data-gathering plays a vital role here. Your rapporteur therefore proposes increasing to 75% the co-financing rate under Article 79.

9. Storage aid

The Commission proposes that the storage aid should decrease until its abolition in 2019. Your rapporteur opposes this planned abolition and wishes to maintain this important instrument for regulating the market of fishery products. This system helps ensure that products are sold at a remunerative price for producers.

10. Compensation for additional costs incurred in the outermost regions

Your rapporteur sets great store by the regime of compensation for additional costs in the outermost regions, in accordance with Article 349 TFEU. He supports taking into account in the EMFF all additional costs that affect operators in the outermost regions.

11. Aquaculture

In aquaculture, the EMFF will intervene to promote innovation, entrepreneurship, the human capital, environmental protection, public health and aquaculture stock insurance.

Your rapporteur welcomes the ambitious proposals for aquaculture. He emphasizes the importance of extensive aquaculture and recalls that aquaculture should be seen as complementary to fishing activities.

12. Sustainable development of fisheries areas

Your rapporteur welcomes the Commission's proposals for the sustainable and inclusive territorial development of fisheries and rural areas. He emphasizes the positive role played by local development strategies integrated into the spatial planning of coastal and maritime territories.

The integrated local development strategies will be led by Fisheries Local Action Groups fishing (FLAGs) representing the various stakeholders. Your rapporteur proposes that the fisheries and aquaculture sectors be represented as a majority in these FLAGs.

13. Integrated Maritime Policy

The Commission is proposing a budget of 432 million euros over the period 2014–2020 for the IMP, i.e. 7% of the EMFF budget. On an annual average, that corresponds to more than four times the current budget for that policy.

Your rapporteur stresses the need for an adequate budget for the IMP, but refuses to accept that such funding should be provided at the expense of fisheries and aquaculture. He therefore proposes that the IMP share of the EMFF should be capped at 5%.

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AFFAIRS ON THE LEGAL BASIS

Mr Gabriel Mato Adrover
Chair
Committee on Fisheries
BRUSSELS

Subject: Legal basis for the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund [repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 and Council Regulation(EC) No 861/2006 and Council Regulation No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy] (COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD))

Dear Mr Chair,

By letter of 25 June 2013, you asked the Committee on Legal Affairs, pursuant to Rule 37 of the Rules of Procedure, to give its opinion on the possibility of adding Article 349 TFEU to the legal basis for the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund [repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 and Council Regulation (EC) No 861/2006 and Council Regulation No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy].

The proposal (COM(2011)0804, amended proposal COM(2013)0245) was presented by the Commission on the basis of Article 42, Article 43(2), Article 91(1), Article 100(2), Article 173(3), Article 175, Article 188, Article 192(1), Article 194(2) and Article 195(2) TFEU.

By note of 4 July 2013, Parliament's Legal Service provided an analysis of the legal bases put forward for the proposed regulation. According to its conclusions, Article 349 TFEU should not be added to the legal basis of the proposal.

Background

1. The proposal

The proposed regulation which regulates the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) is to be seen in the context of the Common Fisheries Policy reform¹ as well as the Integrated Maritime Policy (IMP)². As laid down in its Article 1, the proposal defines Union financial measures for the implementation of these two policies as well as relevant measures relating to

¹ COM(2011)0425 – 2011/0195(COD) where political agreement has recently been reached.

² Regulation (EU) No 1255/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2011 establishing a Programme to support the further development of an Integrated Maritime Policy (OJ L 321, 5.12.2011, p. 1).

the Law of the Sea and the sustainable development of fisheries areas and inland fishing.

On 22 April 2013, the Commission presented a modified proposal (COM (2013)0245), following, as it explains in the explanatory memorandum to that proposal, thorough discussions on the delivery arrangements of the EMFF in the Fisheries Working Party of the Council and bilateral contacts with the Member States.

2. The legal bases in question

(a) Legal basis of the proposal

The proposal is based on Article 42, Article 43(2), Article 91(1), Article 100(2), Article 173(3), Article 175, Article 188, Article 192(1), Article 194(2) and Article 195(2) TFEU.

Articles 42 and Article 43(2) of the TFEU read as follows:

"Article 42

The provisions of the Chapter relating to rules on competition shall apply to production of and trade in agricultural products only to the extent determined by the European Parliament and the Council within the framework of Article 43(2) and in accordance with the procedure laid down therein, account being taken of the objectives set out in Article 39.

[...]

Article 43

(1) [...]

(2) The European Parliament and the Council, acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure and after consulting the Economic and Social Committee, shall establish the common organisation of agricultural markets provided for in Article 40 (1) and the other provisions necessary for the pursuit of the objectives of the common agricultural policy and the common fisheries policy."

For more explanations on the other provisions of the TFEU, see below under "Analysis", point 1.

(b) Proposed change in the legal basis

PECH has requested the opinion of the Legal Affairs Committee on the possibility of adding Article 349 to the legal basis for the proposal. INTA refers to some amendments that have been tabled in committee to this end (AM 158 (Alain Cadec), AM 159 (Younous Omarjee) and AM 160 (Isabelle Thomas)). The opinion of the Committee on Regional Development of 30 October 2012 (FdR 917425) also contains such an amendment.

Article 349 TFEU reads as follows:

"Taking account of the structural social and economic situation of Guadeloupe, French Guiana, Martinique, Réunion, Saint-Barthélemy, Saint-Martin, the Azores, Madeira and the

Canary Islands, which is compounded by their remoteness, insularity, small size, difficult topography and climate, economic dependence on few products, the permanence and combination of which severely restrain their development, the Council, on a proposal from the Commission and after consulting the European Parliament, shall adopt specific measures aimed, in particular, at laying down the conditions of application of the Treaties to those regions, including common policies. Where the specific measures in question are adopted by the Council in accordance with a special legislative procedure, it shall also act on a proposal from the Commission and after consulting the European Parliament.

The measures referred to in the first paragraph concern in particular areas such as customs and trade policies, fiscal policy, free zones, agriculture and fisheries policies, conditions for supply of raw materials and essential consumer goods, State aids and conditions of access to structural funds and to horizontal Union programmes.

The Council shall adopt the measures referred to in the first paragraph taking into account the special characteristics and constraints of the outermost regions without undermining the integrity and the coherence of the Union legal order, including the internal market and common policies."

Analysis

Certain principles emerge from the case law of the Court as regards the choice of legal basis. First, in view of the consequences of the legal basis in terms of substantive competence and procedure, the choice of the correct legal basis is of constitutional importance¹. Secondly, under Article 13(2) TEU, each institution is to act within the limits of the powers conferred upon it by the Treaty². Thirdly, according to the case-law of the Court of Justice, "the choice of legal basis for a Community measure must rest on objective factors amenable to judicial review, including in particular the aim and the content of the measure"³. Finally, as regards multiple legal bases, if examination of a EU measure reveals that it pursues a twofold purpose or that it has a twofold component and if one of those is identifiable as the main or predominant purpose or component, whereas the other is merely incidental, the act must be based on a single legal basis, namely that required by the main or predominant purpose or component⁴. On the other hand, where a measure has several contemporaneous objectives or components which are indissolubly linked with each other without one being secondary and indirect in respect of the others, the measure must be based on the various relevant Treaty provisions⁵.

The objective of the instrument in question is the provision of financial measures for the implementation of the common fisheries policy.

¹ Opinion 2/00 *Carthage Protocol* [2001] ECR I-9713, para. 5; Case C-370/07 *Commission v. Council* [2009] E.C.R. I-8917, paras 46-49; Opinion 1/08, *General Agreement on Trade in Services* [2009] ECR I-11129, para. 110.

² Case C-403/05 *Parliament v. Commission* [2007] ECR I-9045, para. 49, and the case-law cited therein.

³ See recently Case C-411/06 *Commission v Parliament and Council* [2009] ECR I-7585.

⁴ Case C-42/97 *Parliament v Council* [1999] ECR I-868, paras. 39-40; Case-C 36/98 *Spain v Council* [2001] ECR I-779, para. 59; Case C-211/01 *Commission v Council* [2003] ECR I-8913, para. 39.

⁵ Case C-165/87 *Commission v. Council* [1988] ECR 5545, para. 11; Case C-178/03 *Commission v. European Parliament and Council* [2006] ECR I-107, paras. 43-56.

1. Choice of legal basis by the Commission

The legal basis chosen by the Commission consists, on the one hand, of two provisions providing the legal basis for measures in the context of the common fisheries policy, on the other hand, of the provisions which form – again together with Article 43(2) TFEU – the legal basis for the IMP Regulation which is proposed to be replaced by the proposed regulation, i.e. Articles 91(1), 100(2) and 173(3), Articles 175 and 188, and Articles 192(1), 194(2) and 195(2) TFEU.

As for the choice of legal basis of the IMP Regulation, the Commission has – correctly – explained that no explicit legal basis in the Treaty exists, but that it covers many EU sectoral policies with a bearing on the seas and coasts. That is why the proposed legislative act is based on Article 43(2) (fisheries policy), Article 91(1) (transport policy) and 100(2) (sea and air transport), Article 173(3) (competitiveness of the Union's industry), Article 175 (economic policies), Article 188 (research and development programmes), Article 192(1) (environment), Article 194(2) (energy) and Article 195(2) (tourism). The respective legal bases all provide for the adoption of measures in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure.

The proposal in question repeals the IMP Regulation by Article 152 of the initial Commission proposal and Article 129 of the modified Commission proposal, respectively.

At first sight, the legal basis chosen by the Commission thus adds, to the general legal basis for the common fisheries policy under which the proposal undoubtedly falls, the legal basis of the IMP Regulation. This legal basis chosen by the Commission thus appears to provide the appropriate legal basis for the proposal.

2. Addition of Article 349 TFEU

The question, now, is whether Article 349 needs to be included in the legal basis.

It is worth mentioning that, in this case, recourse to a dual legal basis would not be excluded from the outset on the ground that the procedures laid down for each legal basis are incompatible with one another¹. The use of a dual legal basis has been held valid where it did not lead to an encroachment upon the European Parliament's rights. In this case, the other provisions constituting the legal basis of the proposal provide for the ordinary legislative procedure, whereas Article 349 foresees consultation of the Parliament only. The Court has held that, in such a case, the ordinary legislative procedure would prevail, as it involves greater participation of Parliament².

However, it has to be determined above all whether recourse to a dual legal basis is necessary, i.e. whether the measure in question has several contemporaneous objectives or components which are indissolubly linked with each other without one being secondary and indirect in respect of the others by way of the purposes and components that can be identified within the

¹ Case C-178/03 *Commission v. European Parliament and Council* [2006] ECR I-107, para. 57; Case C-300/89 *Commission v Council ("Titanium dioxide")* [1991] ECR I-2867, paras 17-25.

² Case C-155/07 *European Parliament v Council* [2008] ECR I-8103, paras. 75-79.

proposal.

It is true that the measures proposed concern to a certain extent outermost regions.

In particular, outermost regions are referred to in the following parts of the proposal:

- The definition of "integrated market policy" (Article 5(5)) mentions the outermost regions, next to coastal and insular regions, as an example of regions targeted.
- The proposal provides that the EMFF may support the compensation regime introduced by Council Regulation (EC) No 791/2007¹ for the additional costs incurred by the operators in the fishing, farming and marketing of certain fishery and aquaculture products from the Azores, Madeira, the Canary Islands, French Guiana, and Réunion (Chapter V, Articles 73-75 of the proposal). Corresponding budget allocations are dealt with in Article 15(5) of the proposal.
- Annex I provides for an addition to public aid intensity of 35 percentage points under Article 95 of the proposal for the outermost regions.

Also, amongst the 2766 amendments tabled, only two amendments (AMs 53 and 709) are aimed at adding a provision concerning outermost regions, namely an exemption of the prohibition of state aids for the production of fisheries products.

It can thus be concluded that the content of the proposed regulation, in itself, does not refer specifically to the objective of taking specific measures in order to take account of the structural and economic situation of the outermost regions. Insofar as market policy measures concerning outermost regions are allowed to be supported by the EMFF these are not established in the proposed regulation but in Council Regulation (EC) No 791/2007 which was, in turn, adopted on the basis of Article 37 EC Treaty (now Article 42 TFEU) and Article 299(2) EC Treaty (now Article 349 TFEU). The reference to outermost regions in Annex I concerns one of several similar increases of aid intensity ceilings for other operations. The amendments tabled do not change this assessment. Thus, it is not possible to identify the protection of outermost regions as an independent component alongside the common commercial policy such as to require recourse to a dual legal basis.

Furthermore, the wording of Article 349 clearly states that this provision provides for "specific measures aimed, in particular, at laying down the conditions of application of the Treaties to those regions, including common policies". Thus, the provision is drafted so as to provide a special legal basis for such measures. Nothing in its wording indicates that any regulation adopted on the basis of Article 43(2) TFEU which touches upon outermost regions would have to also include Article 349 TFEU in its legal basis, as long as the legal basis for the common agricultural policy covers the measures taken.

Recommendation of the Committee on Legal Affairs

The committee considered the above question at its meeting of 9 July 2013. At this meeting, it

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 791/2007 of 21 May 2007 introducing a scheme to compensate for the additional costs incurred in the marketing of certain fishery products from the outermost regions the Azores, Madeira, the Canary Islands, French Guiana and Réunion (OJ L 176, 6.7.2007, p. 1). This Regulation was adopted on the basis of Article 37 EC Treaty (now Article 42 TFEU) and Article 299(2) EC Treaty (now Article 349 TFEU).

accordingly unanimously decided¹ to recommend that the appropriate legal basis for the proposed Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund [repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 and Council Regulation(EC) No 861/2006 and Council Regulation No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy] is Article 42, Article 43(2), Article 91(1), Article 100(2), Article 173(3), Article 175, Article 188, Article 192(1), Article 194(2) and Article 195(2) TFEU, and that it is unnecessary to add Article 349 TFEU.

Yours sincerely,

Klaus-Heiner Lehne

¹ The following were present for the final vote: Raffaele Baldassarre (Vice-Chair), Luigi Berlinguer, Sebastian Valentin Bodu (Vice-Chair), Piotr Borys, Françoise Castex (Vice-Chair), Christian Engström, Giuseppe Gargani, Lidia Joanna Geringer de Oedenberg, Sajjad Karim, Klaus-Heiner Lehne (Chair), Jörg Leichtfried (pursuant to Rule 187(2)), Eva Lichtenberger, Antonio López-Istúriz White, Jiří Maštálka, Bernhard Rapkay, Rebecca Taylor, Alexandra Thein, Axel Voss, Cecilia Wikström, Tadeusz Zwiefka, and Anna Záborská (pursuant to Rule 187(2)).

19.12.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON BUDGETS

for the Committee on Fisheries

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 and Council Regulation(EC) No 861/2006 and Council Regulation No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy
(COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD))

Rapporteur: François Alfonsi

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

The general objective of the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) is to support the objectives of the CFP, a policy on which the EU has exclusive competence, and to further develop the EU Integrated Maritime Policy. The objectives are based on promoting sustainable and competitive fisheries and aquaculture, fostering the development and implementation of the Union's Integrated Maritime Policy, in a complementary manner to cohesion policy and to CFP, promoting a balanced and inclusive territorial development of fisheries areas and contributing to the implementation of the CFP.

In line with the Europe 2020 strategy, the broad objectives for 2014-2020 are translated into Union priorities for the EMFF, which are structured around 4 pillars, such as Smart, Green Fisheries to foster the transition to sustainable fishing, Smart, Green Aquaculture to achieve economically viable, competitive and green aquaculture, Sustainable and Inclusive Territorial Development to reverse the decline of many coastal and inland communities dependent on fishing and Integrated Maritime Policy to support those cross cutting priorities which generate savings and growth.

In the next financial period the EMFF should as a key contribution support the transition to sustainable fishing, based on maximum sustainable yields, the elimination of discards and the reduction of the impact of fisheries on the marine environment, whilst also promoting aquaculture with high levels of environmental protection and an increased coordination of maritime policies. This should all lead to more sustainable use of resources.

By promoting social cohesion and job creation in fisheries dependent communities the EMFF will add more value to fisheries and foster diversification into other maritime sectors.

The community-led approach to the sustainable development of fisheries areas will be reinforced. EMFF also recognises for the first time the role of women through legal recognition, as they can benefit *inter alia* from EMFF support for training, in particular for the acquisition of skills linked to entrepreneurship and business management. Moreover, human capital and diversification will be strengthened by enabling local communities to acquire skills needed for entering new activities emerging in other maritime sectors.

Another important part is the transition to Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) and to facilitate the gradual introduction of a discard ban through the integral approach, involving measures such as support for more selective gears and fishing techniques, investment in equipment on board and port facilities necessary for the use of unwanted catches, marketing measures and processing. With the same objective, the financial allocation to data collection and scientific advice and to control expenditure (to ensure better compliance) will be substantially increased.

An EMFF during the years of 2014-2020 is indispensable and a substantial strengthening of the fisheries sector, also with regard to its financial envelope, is essential.

MFF proposed ceiling for the EU fisheries sector in heading 2, in EUR mio.

Heading 2	MFF 2007 - 2013	MFF 2014 - 2020	difference 2014-2020 vs 2007-2013
European Maritime and Fisheries Fund	6.730	6.912	+2.7%

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Budgets calls on the Committee on Fisheries, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Draft legislative resolution Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

1a. Points out that the financial envelope specified in the legislative proposal is only an indication to the legislative authority and cannot be fixed until agreement is reached on the proposal for a regulation

laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014-2020;

Amendment 2

Draft legislative resolution Paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. Recalls its resolution of 8 June 2011 on Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe¹; reiterates that sufficient additional resources are needed in the next MFF in order to enable the Union to fulfil its existing policy priorities, in particular those linked to the EU2020 strategy, and the new tasks provided for by the Treaty of Lisbon, as well as to respond to unforeseen events; points out that, even with an increase in the level of resources for the next MFF of at least 5 % compared to the 2013 level, only a limited contribution can be made to the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives and commitments and the principle of Union solidarity; challenges the Council, if it does not share this approach, to clearly identify which of its political priorities or projects could be dropped altogether, despite their proven European added value;

¹ *Texts adopted, P7_TA(2011)0266.*

Amendment 3

Draft legislative resolution Paragraph 1 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1c. Recalls that, in its resolution of 8 June 2011, it stressed that fisheries resources constitute a public good vital for global food security; Parliament pointed to the fact that the fisheries and aquaculture sector and related activities were often the main source of livelihood and sustainable employment in coastal, island and remote regions; it furthermore considered that, in order to achieve its medium and long-term goals (stable, sustainable and viable fisheries sector), recovery of its fish stocks and tackling the social aspects linked to the reduction of fishing effort, the reformed Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) would need adequate financial resources post 2013;

¹ *Texts adopted, P7_TA(2011)0266.*

Amendment 4

Draft legislative resolution Paragraph 1 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1d. Recalls that, in its resolution of 8 June 2011, the European Parliament recognised the need for increased coordination with cohesion policy, it underlined that the European Fisheries Fund should be used to support sustainable fishery practices, in accordance with the maximum sustainable yield principle, as well as to conserve marine ecosystems while paying special attention to the small scale fisheries sector;

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) It is paramount to better integrate environmental concerns into the CFP which should deliver on the objectives and targets of the Union's environmental policy and the Europe 2020 Strategy. The CFP is aimed at an exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains fish stocks *at* levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield, not later than 2015. The CFP shall implement the precautionary and *eco-system* approaches to fisheries management. Consequently the EMFF should contribute to the protection of the marine environment as set out in *the* Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for *community* action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).

Amendment

(9) It is paramount to better integrate environmental concerns into the CFP which should deliver on the objectives and targets of the Union's environmental policy and the Europe 2020 Strategy. The CFP is aimed at an exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains fish stocks *of harvested species above* levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield, not later than 2015. The CFP shall implement the precautionary and *ecosystem* approaches to fisheries management. Consequently the EMFF should contribute to the protection of the marine environment as set out in Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for *Community* action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11a) The financing should be as defined in point 17 of the Interinstitutional Agreement of XX/201Z between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on cooperation in budgetary matters and sound financial management.

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation Recital 11 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11b) Any financing should be without prejudice to the provisions of the Regulation laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014-2020 and the Interinstitutional Agreement of xxx/201z between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on cooperation in budgetary matters and sound financial management.

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(18) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would also be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is paid to Member States *who* do not comply with their obligations under the CFP rules related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources, such as collecting data and implementing the control obligations. Moreover, without complying with those obligations there is a risk that inadmissible beneficiaries or ineligible operations are not detected by the Member States.

(18) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would also be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is paid to Member States *which* do not comply with their obligations under the CFP rules related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources, such as collecting data, ***ensuring that there is an effective balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunities,*** and implementing the control obligations. Moreover, without complying with those obligations there is a risk that inadmissible beneficiaries or ineligible operations are not detected by the Member States.

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

Conscious of the potential that diversification offers for small scale coastal fishermen and their crucial role in coastal communities, the EMFF should help diversification by covering business start-ups and investments for the retrofitting of their vessels, in addition to the relevant training to acquire professional skills in the relevant field outside fishing activities.

Amendment

Conscious of the potential that diversification offers for small scale coastal fishermen and their crucial role in coastal communities ***and taking into account the local traditions***, the EMFF should help diversification by covering business start-ups and investments for the retrofitting of their vessels, in addition to the relevant training to acquire professional skills in the relevant field outside fishing activities ***respecting traditional and historical communities***.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

The introduction of the transferable fishing concessions systems should make the sector more competitive. Consequently, there may be a need for new professional opportunities outside the fishing activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support the diversification and job creation in fishing communities in particular by supporting business start-ups and the reassignment of vessels for maritime activities outside fishing activities of small scale coastal fishing vessels. This last operation seems to be appropriate as the small scale coastal fishing vessels are not covered by the transferable fishing concessions systems.

Amendment

The introduction of the transferable fishing concessions systems should make the sector more competitive ***and more sustainable***. Consequently, there may be a need for new professional opportunities outside the fishing activities ***respecting traditional and historical communities***. Therefore, the EMFF should support the diversification and job creation in fishing communities in particular by supporting business start-ups and the reassignment of vessels for maritime activities outside fishing activities of small scale coastal fishing vessels. This last operation seems to be appropriate as the small scale coastal fishing vessels are not covered by the transferable fishing concessions systems.

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 40 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(40a) Overcapacity and overfishing is the major problem in European fisheries today. No EMFF funds should be used for decommissioning, new building or modernisation that would increase a vessel's fishing capacity and fishing ability. The EMFF must not subsidise overfishing.

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 40 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(40b) Member States which do not fulfil their data collection and transmission obligations or fail to report their actual fishing fleet and capacity should be sanctioned by freezing and/or decreasing funds from the EMFF.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) entry of new fishermen starting up in fishing;

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) development of new professional skills and lifelong learning;

Amendment

(c) **vocational training and** development of new professional skills and lifelong learning;

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The **resources** available for commitments from the EMFF for the period 2014 to 2020 under shared management shall be EUR 5 520 000 000 in **current** prices in accordance with the annual breakdown set out in Annex II.

Amendment

1. The **indicative amount** available for commitments from the EMFF for the period 2014 to 2020 under shared management shall be EUR 5 520 000 000 in **constant 2011** prices in accordance with the **indicative** annual breakdown set out in Annex II.

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. EUR 477 000 000 of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) shall be allocated to control and enforcement measures referred to in Article 78.

Amendment

3. **A minimum of** EUR 477 000 000 of the resources referred to in paragraph 1 shall be allocated to control and enforcement measures referred to in Article 78.

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. EUR 358 000 000 of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) shall be allocated to measures on data collection

Amendment

4. **A minimum of** EUR 358 000 000 of the resources referred to in paragraph 1 shall be allocated to measures on data collection

referred to in Article 79.

referred to in Article 79.

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. EUR 45 000 000 of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) **shall** be allocated to the storage aid referred to in Article 72 from 2014 to 2018 *included*.

Amendment

6. **A maximum of** EUR 45 000 000 of the resources referred to in paragraph 1 **may** be allocated to the storage aid referred to in Article 72 from 2014 to 2018 *inclusive*.

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 16a

1. The overall indicative financial reference amount as defined in the point [17] of the Interinstitutional Agreement of xx/201z between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on cooperation in budgetary matters and sound financial management for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2014 to 2020 shall be EUR 6 567 000 000 in 2011 constant prices.

2. Annual appropriations shall be authorised by the budgetary authority without prejudice to the provisions of the Regulation laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014-2020 and the Interinstitutional Agreement xxx/201z between the European Parliament, Council and the Commission on cooperation in budgetary matters and sound financial management.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

An amount of EUR 1 047 000 000 *of the EMFF* shall be allocated to measures under direct management as specified in Chapter I and II of Title VI. This amount includes technical assistance under Article 91.

Amendment

An *indicative* amount of EUR 1 047 000 000 *in constant 2011 prices* shall *be* allocated to measures under direct management as specified in Chapters I and II of Title VI. This amount includes technical assistance under Article 91.

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation Article 30 - paragraph 1 - point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the creation of a network composed by one or more independent scientific bodies and fishermen or one or more organisations of fishermen;

Amendment

(a) the creation of a network composed by one or more independent scientific bodies, *including NGOs*, and fishermen or one or more organisations of fishermen *in particular the small-scale coastal fisheries*;

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation Article 34

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 34

Support to systems of transferable fishing concessions of the CFP

1. In order to establish or modify systems of transferable fishing concessions under Article 27 of the [Regulation on the CFP], the EMFF may support:

(a) the design and development of technical and administrative means

Amendment

deleted

necessary for the creation or functioning of a transferable fishing concessions system;

(b) stakeholder participation in designing and developing transferable fishing concessions systems;

(c) the monitoring and evaluation of transferable fishing concessions systems;

(d) the management of transferable concessions systems.

2. Support under paragraph 1 (a), (b) and (c) shall only be granted to public authorities. Support under paragraph 1 (d) of this Article shall be granted to public authorities legal or natural persons or recognized producer organizations involved in collective management of pooled transferable fishing concessions in accordance with Article 28(4) of the Regulation on Common Fisheries.

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation Article 45 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The EMFF shall provide a financial contribution for development and innovation activities in aquaculture implemented under multiannual strategic plans drawn up by Member States.

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation Article 79 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the management and use of data for the purpose of scientific analysis and CFP implementation;

(a) the management and use of data for the purpose of scientific *and socio-economic* analysis and CFP implementation;

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation Article 85 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The EMFF may support the provision of scientific deliverables, particularly applied-research projects directly linked to the provision of scientific opinions and advice, for the purpose of sound and efficient fisheries management decisions under the CFP.

Amendment

1. The EMFF may support the provision of scientific deliverables, particularly applied-research projects directly linked to the provision of scientific **and socio-economic** opinions and advice, for the purpose of sound and efficient fisheries management decisions under the CFP.

PROCEDURE

Title	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (repeal of Council Regulations (EC) No 1198/2006, (EC) No 861/2006 and (EC) No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy)
References	COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD)
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	PECH 15.12.2011
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	BUDG 15.12.2011
Rapporteur Date appointed	François Alfonsi 6.2.2012
Date adopted	18.12.2012
Result of final vote	+: 26 –: 3 0: 2
Members present for the final vote	Marta Andreasen, Richard Ashworth, Zuzana Brzobohatá, Jean-Luc Dehaene, Göran Färm, José Manuel Fernandes, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Jens Geier, Ivars Godmanis, Ingeborg Gräßle, Lucas Hartong, Monika Hohlmeier, Anne E. Jensen, Ivailo Kalfin, Jan Kozłowski, Alain Lamassoure, Jan Mulder, Vojtěch Mynář, Juan Andrés Naranjo Escobar, Dominique Riquet, Alda Sousa, Derek Vaughan, Angelika Werthmann
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	François Alfonsi, Maria Da Graça Carvalho, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Jaroslav Paška, Paul Rübig, Peter Šťastný, Georgios Stavrakakis, Gianluca Susta

PROCEDURE

Title	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (repeal of Council Regulations (EC) No 1198/2006, (EC) No 861/2006 and (EC) No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy)
References	COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD)
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	PECH 15.12.2011
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	BUDG 15.12.2011
Rapporteur Date appointed	François Alfonsi 6.2.2012
Date adopted	18.12.2012
Result of final vote	+: 26 -: 3 0: 2
Members present for the final vote	Marta Andreasen, Richard Ashworth, Zuzana Brzobohatá, Jean-Luc Dehaene, Göran Färm, José Manuel Fernandes, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Jens Geier, Ivars Godmanis, Ingeborg Gräßle, Lucas Hartong, Monika Hohlmeier, Anne E. Jensen, Ivailo Kalfin, Jan Kozłowski, Alain Lamassoure, Jan Mulder, Vojtěch Mynář, Juan Andrés Naranjo Escobar, Dominique Riquet, Alda Sousa, Derek Vaughan, Angelika Werthmann
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	François Alfonsi, Maria Da Graça Carvalho, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Jaroslav Paška, Paul Rübig, Peter Šťastný, Georgios Stavrakakis, Gianluca Susta

16.10.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS

for the Committee on Fisheries

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund [repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 and Council Regulation (EC) No 861/2006 and Council Regulation (EC) No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy]
(COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD))

Rapporteur: Veronica Lope Fontagné

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

The **European Maritime and Fisheries Fund** (EMFF) is the Union's new instrument for financing the common fisheries policy (CFP) and integrated maritime policy (IMP) from 2014 to 2020.

The EMFF is part of the Europe 2020 strategy and is to contribute to achieving the common objectives of the Union under three flagship initiatives: i) a resource efficient Europe, ii) an innovation union and iii) the agenda for new skills and jobs.

The EMFF also falls within the wider context of cohesion policy and shares the same objectives and priorities as the other funds, the aim being to promote integrated use of the available resources.

The Commission's proposal for a regulation seeks to incorporate a more significant social dimension into the EMFF. The text of the regulation includes a number of elements that focus on this aspect, to which others might be added.

The EMFF must promote job creation and social cohesion, particularly in fisheries-dependent communities, whilst also allowing diversification into other maritime sectors.

The EMFF must therefore promote human capital and foster diversification by enabling fishermen to acquire training to enter new activities emerging in other maritime sectors. It should further be established that the EMFF can also finance instruments and activities designed to anticipate the skills that will be required.

Improved health and safety for fishermen and other fishing sector workers, through

investment on board, in individual equipment or in infrastructure, as well as health and safety training, is another key aspect that will require financing from the Fund.

In the Commission proposal, the EMFF also recognises for the first time the role that spouses, mostly women, often play in family fishing businesses. They will be able to benefit from EMFF support for training, in particular for the acquisition of skills linked to entrepreneurship and business management. Spouses should also be encouraged to participate in the social dialogue and in the community-led approach.

Specific aid is to be provided for innovation; it should be made clear that this aid must include social innovation aimed at promoting new solutions to social problems.

The EMFF may also be used to strengthen the community-led approach to the sustainable development of fishing areas, and it should be stressed in this context that all stakeholders must be represented in the decision-making process.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Employment and Social Affairs calls on the Committee on Fisheries, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) The fishing industry is of immense strategic importance to the socio-economic situation, as well as for the supply of fish to the public and for a stable food balance in Member States and within the European Union (EU) itself, and also makes a substantial contribution in terms of the socio-economic well-being of coastal communities, local development, employment, preserving and creating economic activities and jobs upstream and downstream, supplying fresh fish, and keeping alive local cultural traditions.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) The scope of the EMFF should cover the support of the CFP which extends to conservation, management and exploitation of marine biological resources, *fresh water* biological resources and- aquaculture, as well as to the processing and marketing of fishery and aquaculture products, where such activities take place on the territory of Member States, or in Union waters, including by fishing vessels flying the flag of, and registered in, third countries, or by Union fishing vessels, or by nationals of Member States, without prejudice to the primary responsibility of the flag State, bearing in mind the provisions of Article 117 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

Amendment

(2) The scope of the EMFF should cover the support of the CFP, which extends to conservation, management and exploitation of marine biological resources, *freshwater* biological resources and aquaculture, as well as to the processing and marketing of fishery and aquaculture products ***and to economic activities in the coastal communities most dependent on fishing***, where such activities take place on the territory of Member States, or in Union waters, including by fishing vessels flying the flag of, and registered in, third countries, or by Union fishing vessels, or by nationals of Member States, without prejudice to the primary responsibility of the flag State, bearing in mind the provisions of Article 117 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2a) The future EMFF should allow fully for the problems and specific needs of small-scale fisheries, bearing in mind that the small-scale fleet is the one which, in the EU, employs a greater quantity of labour for every fish caught.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3a) In order to enhance the ownership of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) by fishermen and thereby enhancing correct implementation and the overall success of the CFP, the EMFF should support partnership, cooperation and dialogue between scientists and fishermen.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) The scope of the EMFF should cover the support to the IMP which extends to the development and implementation of coordinated operations and decision-making in relation to the oceans, seas, coastal regions and maritime sectors complementing the different EU policies that touch upon them, notably the Common Fisheries *Policies*, transport, industry, territorial cohesion, environment, energy **and** tourism. Coherence and integration should be ensured in the management of different sectoral policies within the Baltic Sea, North Sea, Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay and the Iberian Coast, Mediterranean and Black Sea sea basins.

(4) The scope of the EMFF should cover the support to the IMP, which extends to the development and implementation of coordinated operations and decision-making in relation to the oceans, seas, coastal regions and maritime sectors complementing the different EU policies that touch upon them, notably the Common Fisheries *Policy*, transport, industry, territorial cohesion, environment, energy, tourism, **and the creation of stable employment with rights**. Coherence and integration should be ensured in the management of different sectoral policies within the Baltic Sea, North Sea, Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay and the Iberian Coast, Mediterranean and Black Sea sea basins.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) It should be borne in mind that, when defining and implementing its policies and actions relating to the reform of the

CFP, IMP and EMFF, the Union will take into account requirements linked to the promotion of a high level of employment, a guarantee of adequate social protection, the fight against social exclusion and a high level of education, training and protection of human health, in accordance with Article 9 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) In line with the conclusions of the European Council of 17 June 2010, whereby the Europe 2020 Strategy was adopted, the Union and Member States should implement the delivery of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, while promoting harmonious development of the Union. In particular, resources should be concentrated to meet the Europe 2020 objectives and targets and effectiveness should be improved by an increased focus on results. The inclusion of the IMP in the new EMFF also contribute to the major policy objectives set out in the Communication from the Commission of 3 March 2010 ‘Europe 2020 - A strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (‘Europe 2020 Strategy’) and is in line with the general objectives to increase economic, social and territorial cohesion set out in the Treaty.

Amendment

(5) In line with the conclusions of the European Council of 17 June 2010, whereby the Europe 2020 Strategy was adopted, the Union and Member States should implement the delivery of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, while promoting harmonious development of the Union. In particular, resources should be concentrated to meet the Europe 2020 objectives and targets, ***with a particular emphasis on objectives linked to employment and the fight against poverty and social exclusion and climate change,*** and effectiveness should be improved by an increased focus on results. The inclusion of the IMP in the new EMFF also contribute to the major policy objectives set out in the Communication from the Commission of 3 March 2010 ‘Europe 2020 - A strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (‘Europe 2020 Strategy’) and is in line with the general objectives to increase economic, social and territorial cohesion set out in the Treaty.

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) To ensure that the EMFF contributes to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, the IMP and the Europe 2020 Strategy, it is necessary to focus on a limited number of core priorities relating to fostering innovation and knowledge based fisheries and aquaculture, ***promoting sustainable and resource-efficient fishing and aquaculture, and increasing employment and territorial cohesion*** by unlocking the ***growth*** and job potential of coastal and inland fisheries communities and promoting diversification of fisheries activities into other sectors of the marine economy.

Amendment

(6) To ensure that the EMFF contributes to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, the IMP and the Europe 2020 Strategy, it is necessary to focus on a limited number of core priorities relating to ***promoting sustainable and resource-efficient fishing and aquaculture and increasing employment and economic, social and territorial cohesion through fostering innovation and knowledge based fisheries and aquaculture and ensuring sustainable employment, improved working conditions and economic, social and territorial cohesion*** by unlocking the ***development*** and job potential of coastal and inland fisheries communities and promoting diversification of fisheries activities into other ***sustainable*** sectors of the marine economy.

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) The overall objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure that fishing and aquaculture activities contribute to long-term sustainable environmental conditions which are necessary for economic and social development. It should contribute moreover to increased productivity, ***a fair standard of living for the fisheries sector***, stable markets, ensure the availability of resources and that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices.

Amendment

(8) The overall objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure that fishing and aquaculture activities contribute to long-term sustainable environmental conditions which are necessary for economic and social development ***in order to guarantee a fair standard of living for the fisheries sector, with the aim of reversing the decline of many coastal and inland communities dependent on fishing, by adding more value to fishing and fishing-related activities and promoting diversification to other sectors of the***

maritime economy. It should contribute moreover to increased productivity, stable markets, ensure the availability of resources and that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) According to Articles 50 and 51 of the [Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy] (hereinafter CFP Regulation) Union financial assistance under EMFF should be made conditional upon compliance by Member States as well as by operators with the rules of the CFP. This conditionality is intended to reflect the responsibility of the Union to ensure, in public interest, conservation of marine biological resources under the CFP, as enshrined in *Article 3* of the TFEU.

Amendment

(14) According to Articles 50 and 51 of the [Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy] (hereinafter CFP Regulation) Union financial assistance under EMFF should be made conditional upon compliance by Member States as well as by operators with the rules of the CFP. This conditionality is intended to reflect the responsibility of the Union to ensure, in public interest, conservation of marine biological resources under the CFP *as well as the respect of requirements linked to the promotion of a high level of employment and the guarantee of adequate social protection*, as enshrined in *Articles 3 and 9 respectively* of the TFEU.

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would also be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is paid to Member States who do not comply with their obligations under the CFP rules related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources, such as collecting data and implementing the control obligations.

Amendment

(18) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would also be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is paid to Member States who do not comply with their obligations under the CFP rules related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources, such as collecting data and implementing the control obligations,

Moreover, without complying with those obligations there is a risk that inadmissible beneficiaries or ineligible operations are not detected by the Member States.

including labour inspections, and respect of social and labour legislation. Moreover, without complying with those obligations there is a risk that inadmissible beneficiaries or ineligible operations are not detected by the Member States.

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) In order to improve coordination and harmonise implementation of the Funds providing support under the cohesion policy, namely the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the European Social Fund (ESF) and the Cohesion Fund (CF), with the Funds for rural development, namely the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD), and for the maritime and fisheries sector, namely the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF), common provisions have been established for all these Funds (the 'CSF Funds') in the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions]. In addition to this Regulation, the EMFF contains specific provisions due to the particularities of the CFP and the IMP.

Amendment

(20) In order to improve coordination and harmonise implementation of the Funds providing support under the cohesion policy, namely the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the European Social Fund (ESF) and the Cohesion Fund (CF), with the Funds for rural development, namely the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD), and for the maritime and fisheries sector, namely the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF), common provisions have been established for all these Funds (the 'CSF Funds') in the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions]. ***It should also be stressed that the Funds may be used in a complementary manner so that the priorities of the Union's cohesion policy and the Europe 2020 strategy can be achieved more efficiently; attention should be drawn in this connection to the need for synergy between the EMFF and the ESF in order to achieve the key objectives relating to employment and the fight against poverty and social exclusion.*** In addition to this Regulation, the EMFF contains specific provisions due to the particularities of the CFP and the IMP.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation Recital 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) Taking into account the size of the future EMFF and in the light of the principle of proportionality, the provisions relating to the strategic planning have been derogated from the Regulation [on Common Provisions], henceforth the consultation of the stakeholders should take place at least *twice* during the programming period however not obligatory once each year as it would constitute a excessive administrative and financial burden both for the Commission and the Member States.

Amendment

(21) Taking into account the size of the future EMFF and in the light of the principle of proportionality, the provisions relating to the strategic planning have been derogated from the Regulation [on Common Provisions], henceforth the consultation of the stakeholders should take place at least *three times* during the programming period however not obligatory once each year as it would constitute a excessive administrative and financial burden both for the Commission and the Member States.

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) ***Action by the Union should be complementary to action carried out by Member States or seek to contribute to that action.*** In order to ensure significant added value the partnership between the Commission ***and Member States*** should be strengthened through arrangements for the participation of ***various types of partners*** with full regard to the institutional competences of the Member States. ***Particular attention should be paid to ensuring adequate representation for women and minority groups.*** This partnership concerns regional, local and other public authorities, as well as other appropriate bodies, including those responsible for the environment and for the promotion of equality between men and women, the economic and social partners

Amendment

(22) In order to ensure significant added value the partnership between the Commission, ***the Member State authorities and the various types of partners*** should be strengthened through arrangements for the participation of ***these players including the social partners concerned***, with full regard to the institutional competences of the Member States. This partnership concerns regional, local and other public authorities, as well as other appropriate bodies, including those responsible for the environment and for the promotion of equality between men and women, the economic and social partners, ***the fishing industry*** and other competent bodies. ***Given the specific nature of the fishing sector, provision will need to be made to guarantee the participation of economic***

and other competent bodies. The partners concerned should be involved in the preparation of Partnership Contracts, as well as in the preparation, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of programming.

and social partners representing the various subsectors and territorial levels, scientific research organisations and national and regional networks of fisheries local action groups (FLAGs). Particular attention should be paid to ensuring adequate representation for women and minority groups. The partners concerned should be involved in the preparation of Partnership Contracts, as well as in the preparation, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of programming.

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) In line with the goal of simplification, all activities of the EMFF which fall under shared management, including control and data collection, should take the form of one single operational programme per Member State, in accordance with its national structure. The programming exercise shall cover the period from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020. Each Member State should prepare a single operational programme. Each programme should identify a strategy for meeting targets in relation to the Union priorities for the EMFF and a selection of measures. Programming should comply with Union priorities, while being adapted to national contexts and complement the other Union policies, in particular rural development policy and cohesion policy.

Amendment

(26) In line with the goal of simplification, all activities of the EMFF which fall under shared management, including control and data collection, should take the form of one single operational programme per Member State, in accordance with its national structure. The programming exercise shall cover the period from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020. Each Member State should prepare a single operational programme. Each programme should identify a strategy for meeting targets in relation to the Union priorities for the EMFF and a selection of measures. Programming should comply with Union priorities, while being adapted to national contexts and complement the other Union policies, in particular rural development policy and *economic, social and territorial* cohesion policy.

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 31

Text proposed by the Commission

(31) In order to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of fishing activities it is vital to stimulate innovation and entrepreneurship. Therefore the EMFF should support innovative operations and business development.

Amendment

(31) In order to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of fishing activities it is vital to stimulate innovation, ***including social innovation***, and entrepreneurship. Therefore the EMFF should support innovative operations and business development.

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 31 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(31a) As a consequence of recent years' economic turbulence due to the financial crisis, many young people are experiencing difficulties in gaining the finance needed to enter the fisheries sector; therefore EMFF support should include a focus on helping young people gain access to the fishing sector by, for example, contributing to first-time business start-ups.

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 32

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(32) Investment in human capital is also vital to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of fishing and maritime activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support lifelong learning, co-operation between scientists and fishermen stimulating the dissemination of knowledge as well as for advisory services helping to improve the overall performance

(32) Investment in human capital is also vital to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of fishing and maritime activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support ***actions geared to guaranteeing access to high-quality vocational, initial and*** lifelong learning, ***young people seeking to enter the industry***, co-operation between scientists

and competitiveness of operators.

and fishermen stimulating the dissemination of knowledge as well as for advisory services helping to improve the overall performance, competitiveness and *economic sustainability of* operators.

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation Recital 32 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(32a) The EMFF should support sustainable locally based fisheries management and the development of coastal communities.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation Recital 33

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(33) Recognising the importance of the role that spouses of self-employed fishermen play in small scale coastal fishing, the EMFF should support training and networking contributing to their professional development and giving them the means to better fulfil the ancillary tasks they traditionally perform.

(33) Recognising the importance of the role that spouses of self-employed fishermen play in small scale coastal fishing, the EMFF should support ***lifelong*** training and networking contributing to their professional development and giving them the means to better fulfil the ancillary tasks they traditionally perform ***or to accompany the diversification of fishing activities.***

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation Recital 34

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(34) ***Conscious of the weak presence of small scale coastal fishermen in the*** social

(34) ***Considering the weakness of social dialogue at the sectoral level,*** the EMFF

dialogue, the EMFF should support organisations promoting this dialogue *in the appropriate fora*.

should support organisations promoting this dialogue *to build their capacity at regional, national and European level and strengthening the representation of the sector's interests*.

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

(35) Conscious of the potential that diversification offers for small scale coastal fishermen and their crucial role in coastal communities, the EMFF should help diversification by covering business start-ups and investments for the retrofitting of their vessels, in addition to the relevant training to acquire professional skills in the relevant field outside fishing activities.

Amendment

(35) Conscious of the potential that diversification offers for small scale coastal fishermen and their crucial role in coastal communities, the EMFF should help diversification by covering business start-ups and investments for the retrofitting of their vessels, in addition to *anticipating skills and* the relevant training to acquire professional skills in the relevant field outside fishing activities *EMFF support to diversification activities should also pay special attention to the situation and opportunities of young people of the coastal communities*.

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation Recital 35 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(35a) It should be borne in mind that the International Labour Organisation (ILO) considers fishing to be a hazardous occupation by comparison with other occupations, and that various conventions and recommendations have been signed or adopted by that organisation with the aim of promoting decent working conditions for fishermen. The principles contained in such conventions and recommendations should serve as guiding

principles for better use of EMFF resources.

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation Recital 36

Text proposed by the Commission

(36) In order to address health and safety needs on board, the EMFF should support investments covering safety and hygiene on board.

Amendment

(36) In order to address health and safety needs on board ***and with a view to improving working conditions in the sector***, the EMFF should support investments covering safety and hygiene on board; ***these investments shall not increase the ability of the vessel to catch fish.***

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) The introduction of the transferable fishing concessions systems should make the sector more competitive. ***Consequently***, there may be a need for new professional opportunities outside the fishing activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support the diversification and job creation in fishing communities in particular by supporting business start-ups and the reassignment of vessels for maritime activities outside fishing activities of small scale coastal fishing vessels. This last operation seems to be appropriate as the small scale coastal fishing vessels are not covered by the transferable fishing concessions systems.

Amendment

(38) The introduction of the transferable fishing concessions systems should make the sector more competitive. ***An impact assessment on employment levels in the fishing sector should be carried out and there may be a need for new professional opportunities outside the fishing activities in sectors related to fishing.*** Therefore, the EMFF should support the diversification and job creation in fishing communities in particular by supporting business start-ups and the reassignment of vessels for maritime activities outside fishing activities of small scale coastal fishing vessels, ***possibly with low environmental impact.*** This last operation seems to be appropriate as the small scale coastal fishing vessels are not covered by the transferable fishing concessions systems.

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation Recital 44

Text proposed by the Commission

(44) Conscious of the importance of fishing ports, landing sites and shelters, the EMFF should support relevant investments in particular to increase energy efficiency, environmental protection, the quality of the product landed, *as well as* safety and working conditions.

Amendment

(44) Conscious of the importance of fishing ports, landing sites and shelters, the EMFF should support relevant investments in particular to increase energy efficiency, environmental protection, the quality of the product landed, **health and** safety and working conditions.

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation Recital 46

Text proposed by the Commission

(46) In line with the Commission's Strategy for the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture, the CFP objectives and Europe 2020 Strategy, the EMFF should support the environmentally, economically and socially sustainable development of the aquaculture industry.

Amendment

(46) In line with the Commission's Strategy for the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture, the CFP objectives and Europe 2020 Strategy, the EMFF should support the environmentally, economically and socially sustainable development of the aquaculture industry **that will guarantee high levels of quality employment.**

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation Recital 51

Text proposed by the Commission

(51) Investment in human capital is also vital to increase the competitiveness **and** economic performance of aquaculture activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support lifelong learning and networking

Amendment

(51) Investment in human capital is also vital to increase the competitiveness, economic performance **and producers' economic sustainability** of aquaculture activities. Therefore, the EMFF should

stimulating the dissemination of knowledge as well as advisory services helping to improve the overall performance and competitiveness of operators.

support *actions geared to guaranteeing access to initial and* lifelong learning and networking stimulating the dissemination of knowledge as well as advisory services helping to improve the overall performance and competitiveness of operators *as well as methodologies aiming at lower environmental impact activity.*

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation Recital 55

Text proposed by the Commission

(55) Considering that the community-led approach for local development has, over a number of years, proven its utility in promoting the development of fisheries and rural areas by fully taking into account the multi-sectoral needs for endogenous development, support should be continued and reinforced in the future.

Amendment

(55) Considering that the community-led approach for local development has, over a number of years, proven its utility in promoting the development of fisheries and rural areas by fully taking into account the multi-sectoral needs for endogenous development *and ensuring that it is socially sustainable*, support should be continued and reinforced in the future.

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation Recital 56

Text proposed by the Commission

(56) In fisheries areas, community-led local development should encourage innovative approaches to create growth and jobs, in particular by adding value to fisheries products and diversifying the local economy towards new economic activities, including those offered by "blue growth" and the broader maritime sectors.

Amendment

(56) In fisheries areas, community-led local development should encourage innovative *and inclusive* approaches to create *sustainable and high-quality* growth and jobs, in particular by adding value to fisheries products and diversifying the local economy towards new economic activities, including those offered by "blue growth" and the broader maritime sectors.

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation Recital 57

Text proposed by the Commission

(57) The sustainable development of fisheries areas should contribute to the EU2020 objectives of promoting social inclusion and poverty reduction and to fostering innovation at local level as well as to the objective of territorial cohesion, a main priority in the Lisbon Treaty.

Amendment

(57) The sustainable development of fisheries areas should contribute to the EU2020 objectives of promoting social inclusion and poverty reduction, ***to raising employment rates*** and to fostering innovation, ***including social innovation***, at local level as well as to the objective of territorial cohesion, a main priority in the Lisbon Treaty.

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation Recital 58

Text proposed by the Commission

(58) Community-led local development should be implemented through a bottom-up approach by local partnerships that are composed of representatives of the public, private and civil society sectors and mirror correctly the local society; these local actors are best placed to draw up and implement integrated multi-sectoral local development strategies to meet the needs of their local fisheries areas; it is important in order to ensure the representativeness of local action groups that no single interest *groups* has more than 49% of the voting right in the decision-making bodies.

Amendment

(58) Community-led local development should be implemented through a bottom-up approach by local partnerships that are composed of representatives of the public, private and civil society sectors and mirror correctly the local society ***including the social partners concerned***; these local actors are best placed to draw up and implement integrated multi-sectoral local development strategies to meet the needs of their local fisheries areas; it is important in order to ensure the representativeness of local action groups, ***the participation of all stakeholders and the transparency of local development strategies*** that no single interest *group* has more than 49% of the voting right in the decision-making bodies.

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation Recital 59

Text proposed by the Commission

(59) Networking between local partnerships is an essential feature of this approach. Cooperation between these local partnerships is an important development tool which should be made available by the EMFF.

Amendment

(59) Networking between local partnerships is an essential feature of this approach. Cooperation between these local partnerships is an important development tool which should be ***promoted and*** made available by the EMFF.

Amendment 34

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 60**

Text proposed by the Commission

(60) The support to fisheries areas through the EMFF should be coordinated with the local development support offered by other Union Funds and should cover all aspects of the preparation and implementation of local development strategies and operations of local action groups as well as the costs of animating the local area and running the local partnership.

Amendment

(60) The support to fisheries areas through the EMFF should be coordinated with the local development support offered by other Union Funds, ***in particular the ESF for matters concerning employment and social inclusion***, and should cover all aspects of the preparation and implementation of local development strategies and operations of local action groups as well as the costs of animating the local area and running the local partnership.

Amendment 35

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 77**

Text proposed by the Commission

(77) The EMFF should support the promotion of integrated maritime governance at all levels especially through exchanges of best practices and the further development and implementation of sea basin strategies. These strategies aim at setting up an integrated framework to address common challenges in European sea basins and strengthened co-operation

Amendment

(77) The EMFF should support the promotion of integrated maritime governance at all levels especially through exchanges of best practices and the further development and implementation of sea basin strategies. These strategies aim at setting up an integrated framework to address common challenges in European sea basins and strengthened co-operation

between stakeholders to maximise the use of Union financial instruments and funds and contribute to *the* economic, social and territorial cohesion *of* the Union.

between stakeholders to maximise the use of Union financial instruments and funds and contribute to *employment and* economic, social and territorial cohesion *in* the Union.

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation Recital 80

Text proposed by the Commission

(80) The EMFF should also support sustainable economic growth, employment, innovation and competitiveness within maritime sectors and in coastal regions. It is particularly important to identify regulatory barriers and skill deficiencies hindering growth in emerging and prospective maritime sectors, as well as operations aimed at fostering investment in technological innovation necessary to enhance the business potential of marine and maritime applications.

Amendment

(80) The EMFF should also support sustainable *and inclusive* economic growth, *quality* employment, *improved living and working conditions*, innovation and competitiveness within maritime sectors and in coastal regions. It is particularly important to identify regulatory barriers and skill deficiencies hindering growth in emerging and prospective maritime sectors, as well as operations aimed at fostering investment in technological innovation necessary to enhance the business potential of marine and maritime applications.

Amendment 37

Proposal for a regulation Recital 81

Text proposed by the Commission

(81) The EMFF should be complementary and coherent with existing and future financial instruments made available by the Union and the Member States, at national and sub-national level, for promoting the protection and sustainable use of the oceans, seas and coasts, helping to foster more effective cooperation between the Member States and their coastal, island, and outermost regions, and taking into account the prioritisation and progress of

Amendment

(81) The EMFF should be complementary and coherent with existing and future financial instruments made available by the Union and the Member States, at national and sub-national level, for promoting *sustainable economic and social development*, the protection and sustainable use of the oceans, seas and coasts, helping to foster more effective cooperation between the Member States and their coastal, island, and outermost

national and local projects. The Fund will tie in with other Union policies that may encompass a maritime dimension, in particular the European Regional Development Fund, the Cohesion Fund and the European Social Fund as well the Horizon 2020 Programme for Research and energy policy

regions, and taking into account the prioritisation and progress of national and local projects. The Fund will tie in with other Union policies that may encompass a maritime dimension, in particular the European Regional Development Fund, the Cohesion Fund and the European Social Fund as well the Horizon 2020 Programme for Research and energy policy

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 88

Text proposed by the Commission

(88) Conscious of the importance of ensuring conservation of marine biological and protecting fish stocks in particular from illegal fishing and in the spirit of the conclusions drawn in the Green Paper on the Reform of the CFP, those operators who do not comply with the rules of the CFP, and particularly jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned and constitute therefore a serious threat to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY, and those who are involved in IUU fishing should be excluded from support under the EMFF. Union funding should not at any stage from the selection to the implementation of an operation be used to undermine the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources expressed in the objectives of the CFP Regulation.

Amendment

(88) Conscious of the importance of ensuring conservation of marine biological and protecting fish stocks in particular from illegal fishing and in the spirit of the conclusions drawn in the Green Paper on the Reform of the CFP, those operators who do not comply with the rules of the CFP, and particularly jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned and constitute therefore a serious threat to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY, and those who are involved in IUU fishing should be excluded from support under the EMFF. Union funding should not at any stage from the selection to the implementation of an operation be used to undermine the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources expressed in the objectives of the CFP Regulation. ***The principles contained in Convention No. 188 and Recommendation No. 199 of the ILO should serve as guiding principles for better use of EMFF resources.***

Amendment 39

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) *the* sustainable development of fisheries areas and inland fishing,

Amendment

(c) ***strategies for*** sustainable development, ***increased employment and the promotion of social cohesion in*** fisheries areas and inland fishing,

Amendment 40

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) ‘fisherman’ means any person engaging in professional fishing, as recognised by the Member State, on board of an operational fishing vessel or engaging in professional harvesting of marine organisms, as recognised by the Member State, without a vessel;

Amendment

(6) ‘fisherman’ means any person engaging in professional fishing, as recognised by the Member State, on board of an operational fishing vessel or engaging in professional harvesting of marine organisms, as recognised by the Member State, without a vessel. ***Unless otherwise specified, 'fisherman' implies both the vessel owner and the person working onboard having an employment relationship with the vessel owner;***

Amendment 41

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promoting sustainable and competitive fisheries and aquaculture;

Amendment

(a) promoting sustainable and competitive fisheries and aquaculture ***and promoting high levels of quality employment;***

Amendment 42

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) promoting a balanced and inclusive territorial development *of* fisheries areas;

Amendment

(c) promoting a balanced and inclusive territorial development ***and social cohesion in*** fisheries areas;

Amendment 43

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Increasing employment and territorial cohesion through the following objectives:

Amendment

(1) Increasing employment and ***economic, social and*** territorial cohesion through the following objectives:

Amendment 44

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promotion of economic growth, social inclusion, creation of jobs and supporting labour mobility in coastal and inland communities depending on fishing and aquaculture;

Amendment

(a) promotion of economic growth, social inclusion, creation of ***quality*** jobs, ***employability***, and supporting labour mobility in coastal and inland communities depending on fishing and aquaculture, ***taking into account the situation of vulnerable groups***;

Amendment 45

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) diversification of fisheries activities

Amendment

(b) ***support to the fisheries sector and***

into other sectors of maritime economy and growth of maritime economy, including mitigation of climate change.

diversification of fisheries activities into other *viable* sectors of maritime economy, *mobility of employed fishermen if made redundant* and *sustainable* growth of maritime economy, including mitigation of climate change.

Amendment 46

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) support *to* strengthening technological development, innovation and knowledge transfer;

Amendment

(a) support *for* strengthening technological development, innovation, *including social innovation*, and knowledge transfer;

Amendment 47

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) enhancement of the competitiveness *and* viability of fisheries, in particular of small scale coastal fleet, and improvement of safety *or* working conditions;

Amendment

(b) enhancement of the competitiveness, viability *and producers' economic sustainability* of fisheries, in particular of small scale coastal fleet, and improvement of *health and* safety *and* working conditions;

Amendment 48

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) development of new professional skills and lifelong learning;

Amendment

(c) *anticipation and* development of new professional skills *in* lifelong learning and *vocational training, encouraging young people to enter the sector*;

Amendment 49

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) support *to* strengthening technological development, innovation and knowledge transfer;

Amendment

(a) support *for* strengthening technological development, innovation, ***including social innovation***, and knowledge transfer;

Amendment 50

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) development of new professional skills and lifelong learning;

Amendment

(c) ***anticipation and*** development of new professional skills and lifelong learning;

Amendment 51

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the support to control and enforcement, enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration.

Amendment

(b) the support to control and enforcement, ***including labour inspections***, enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration, ***and promoting the capacity of other parties involved in implementing measures financed by the Fund.***

Amendment 52

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) support partnership, cooperation and dialogue between scientists and fishermen

in order to enhance ownership of the CFP by affected stakeholders.

Amendment 53

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

By derogation to Article 5(4) of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions] the Commission shall consult at least **twice** during the programming period the organisations which represent the partners at Union level on the implementation of support from the EMFF.

Amendment

By derogation to Article 5(4) of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions] the Commission shall consult at least **three times** during the programming period the organisations which represent the partners at Union level on the implementation of support from the EMFF.

Amendment 54

Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In **addition to** the principles enounced in Article 4 of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions], the Commission and the Member States shall ensure coordination and complementarity between support from the EMFF and from other Union policies and financial instruments, including the Regulation (EC) No [establishing the Framework Programme for Environment and Climate Change Action (LIFE Framework Programme)] [27] and those in the framework of the Union's external action. Coordination between assistance from the EMFF and LIFE Framework Programme shall be achieved in particular, by promoting the funding of activities that complement integrated projects funded under LIFE Framework Programme, as well as by promoting the use of solutions,

Amendment

In **accordance with** the principles enounced in Article 4 of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions], the Commission and the Member States shall ensure coordination and complementarity between support from the EMFF and from other **funds within the framework of cohesion policy and** other Union policies and financial instruments, including the Regulation (EC) No [establishing the Framework Programme for Environment and Climate Change Action (LIFE Framework Programme)] [27] and those in the framework of the Union's external action. Coordination between assistance from the EMFF and LIFE Framework Programme shall be achieved in particular, by promoting the funding of activities that complement integrated projects funded

methods and approaches validated under LIFE Framework Programme.

under LIFE Framework Programme, as well as by promoting the use of solutions, methods and approaches validated under LIFE Framework Programme.

Amendment 55

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) transfer of ownership of a business;

Amendment

(e) transfer of ownership of a business, ***except in cases involving the transfer of ownership of a family business or where the transfer of ownership is accompanied by a diversification plan;***

Amendment 56

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) the level of employment in fisheries and aquaculture,

Amendment

(i) the level of employment in ***the*** fisheries ***sector*** and ***in*** aquaculture,

Amendment 57

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The operational programme shall be established by the Member State following close co-operation with the partners referred to in Article 5 of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions]. Consultation of the partners on the preparatory documents shall be organised in such a way as to allow the partners to examine them.

Amendment

2. The operational programme shall be established by the Member State following close co-operation with the partners ***as*** referred to in Article 5 of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions]. Consultation of the partners on the preparatory documents shall be organised in such a way as to allow the partners to examine them ***and express their opinion before the programme is***

submitted to the Commission.

Amendment 58

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Without prejudice to Article 5 of [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions], the partners consulted shall include, inter alia, the relevant public authorities and public bodies, including those responsible for the environment and for promoting equality between men and women, the economic and social partners representing the various relevant subsectors and territorial levels within the fishing sector, scientific research organisations and national and regional FLAGs networks.

Amendment 59

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point m

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(m) the designation of the partners referred to in Article 5 of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions] ***and the results of the consultation of the partners;***

(m) the designation of the partners ***and the results of the consultation of the partners*** referred to in Article 18, ***in accordance with Article 5*** of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions];

Amendment 60

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point o – point i – indent 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- an assessment of the balance between

Amendment 61

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In addition, the operational programme shall include a description of the specific actions to promote equal opportunities and prevent any discrimination based on sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age or sexual orientation, including the arrangements to ensure the integration of gender perspective at operational programme and operation level.

Amendment

3. In addition, the operational programme shall include a description of the specific actions to promote equal opportunities and prevent any discrimination based on sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age or sexual orientation, including the arrangements to ensure the integration of gender perspective ***and the principle of equal opportunities*** at operational programme and operation level.

Amendment 62

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to stimulate innovation in fisheries, the EMFF may support projects aiming at developing or introducing new or substantially improved products compared to the state of art, new or improved processes, new or improved management and organisation systems.

Amendment

1. In order to stimulate innovation in fisheries, ***including social innovation***, the EMFF may support projects aiming at developing or introducing new or substantially improved products compared to the state of art, new or improved processes, new or improved management and organisation systems.

Amendment 63

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) lifelong learning, dissemination of

Amendment

(a) ***young people seeking to enter the***

scientific knowledge and innovative practices, and acquisition of new professional skills in particular linked to the sustainable management of marine ecosystems, activities in the maritime sector, innovation and entrepreneurship;

sector, vocational training, lifelong learning, dissemination of scientific knowledge and innovative practices, and *anticipation and* acquisition of new professional skills in particular linked to the sustainable management of marine ecosystems, activities in the maritime sector, innovation and entrepreneurship;

Amendment 64

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) networking and exchange of experience and best practice between stakeholders including among organisations promoting equal opportunities between men and women;

Amendment

(b) networking and exchange of experience and best practice between stakeholders including among organisations promoting equal opportunities *and equality* between men and women *and associations representing fishermen's spouses*;

Amendment 65

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) promoting the social dialogue at national, regional or local level involving fishermen and other relevant stakeholders.

Amendment

(c) promoting the social dialogue at national, regional or local level involving fishermen, *the social partners concerned, organisations representing fishermen, fishermen's spouses who participate in fishing activities* and other relevant stakeholders.

Amendment 66

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to improve working conditions on board for fishermen the EMFF may support investments on board or in individual equipments providing that these investments go beyond standards required under national or Union law.

Amendment

1. In order to improve working conditions on board for fishermen the EMFF may support investments on board or in individual equipments ***or in training***, providing that these investments go beyond standards required under national or Union law.

Amendment 67

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 33 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. When the operation consists in an investment on board, the support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same fishing vessel. When the operation consists of an investment in individual equipment, the support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same beneficiary.

Amendment

3. When the operation consists in an investment on board, the support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same fishing vessel. When the operation consists of an investment in individual equipment ***or in training***, the support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same beneficiary.

Amendment 68

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For the purpose of increasing the quality of the product landed, increasing energy efficiency, contributing to environmental protection or improving safety and working conditions, the EMFF may support investments improving fishing port infrastructure or landing sites, including investments in facilities for waste and marine litter collection.

Amendment

1. For the purpose of increasing the quality of the product landed, increasing energy efficiency, contributing to environmental protection or improving ***health and*** safety and working conditions, the EMFF may support investments improving fishing port infrastructure or landing sites, including investments in facilities for waste and marine litter collection.

Amendment 69

Proposal for a regulation

Article 42 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to reduce the impact of inland fishing on the environment, increase energy efficiency, increase the quality of fish landed, or to improve safety or working conditions, the EMFF may support the following investments:

Amendment

1. In order to reduce the impact of inland fishing on the environment, increase energy efficiency, increase the quality of fish landed, or to improve **health and** safety or working conditions, the EMFF may support the following investments:

Amendment 70

Proposal for a regulation

Article 42 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) on board or in individual equipment as referred to in Article 33 and under the conditions set out in that Article;

Amendment

(a) on board or in individual equipment **or in training** as referred to in Article 33 and under the conditions set out in that Article;

Amendment 71

Proposal for a regulation

Article 45 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to stimulate innovation in aquaculture, the EMFF may support operations:

Amendment

1. In order to stimulate innovation in aquaculture, **including social innovation**, the EMFF may support operations:

Amendment 72

Proposal for a regulation

Article 49 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) lifelong learning, dissemination of scientific knowledge and innovative

Amendment

(a) lifelong learning, dissemination of scientific knowledge and innovative

practices and acquisition of new professional skills in aquaculture;

practices and *anticipation and* acquisition of new professional skills in aquaculture;

Amendment 73

Proposal for a regulation

Article 49 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) networking and exchange of experience and best practice among aquaculture enterprises or professional organisations and other stakeholders, including scientific bodies or those promoting equal opportunities between men and women.

Amendment

(b) networking and exchange of experience and best practice among aquaculture enterprises or professional organisations and other stakeholders, including scientific bodies or those promoting equal opportunities *and equality* between men and women.

Amendment 74

Proposal for a regulation

Article 60 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) functionally coherent in geographical, economic and social terms, taking specific account of the fisheries and aquaculture sectors *and offer sufficient critical mass in terms of human, financial and economic resources to support a viable local development strategy.*

Amendment

(b) functionally coherent in geographical, economic and social terms, taking specific account of the fisheries and aquaculture sectors.

Amendment 75

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ensure that local communities fully exploit and benefit from the opportunities offered by maritime and coastal development.

Amendment

(b) ensure that local communities *are involved in the drawing-up of integrated local development strategies and* fully exploit and benefit from the opportunities offered by maritime and coastal

development.

Amendment 76

Proposal for a regulation

Article 62 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) broadly reflect the main focus of their strategy and the socio-economic composition of the area through a balanced representation of the main stakeholders, including private sector, public sector and civil society;

Amendment

(a) broadly reflect the main focus of their strategy and the socio-economic composition of the area through a balanced representation of the main stakeholders, including private sector, public sector and civil society, ***including less well-represented groups, and the social partners concerned***;

Amendment 77

Proposal for a regulation

Article 62 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ensure a significant representation of fisheries and aquaculture sectors.

Amendment

(b) ensure a significant representation of fisheries and aquaculture sectors, ***including associations representing fishermen's spouses who participate in fishing activities and the social partners concerned***.

Amendment 78

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Preparatory support shall cover capacity building, training and networking with a view to preparing and implementing a local development strategy.

Amendment

1. Preparatory support shall cover capacity building, ***consultation***, training and networking with a view to preparing and implementing a local development strategy.

Amendment 79

Proposal for a regulation Article 65 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) adding value, creating jobs, and promoting innovation at all stages of the fisheries and aquaculture supply chain;

Amendment

(a) adding value, creating **quality** jobs, **attracting young people to the fisheries sector**, and promoting innovation, **including social innovation**, at all stages of the fisheries and aquaculture supply chain;

Amendment 80

Proposal for a regulation Article 65 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) supporting diversification and job creation in fisheries areas, in particular in other maritime sectors;

Amendment

(b) supporting diversification and **quality** job creation in fisheries areas, in particular in other maritime sectors;

Amendment 81

Proposal for a regulation Article 65 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) promoting social well being and cultural heritage in fisheries areas including maritime cultural heritage;

Amendment

(d) promoting social well being and **inclusion and** cultural heritage in fisheries areas including maritime cultural heritage;

Amendment 82

Proposal for a regulation Article 81 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) Supporting sustainable economic growth, employment, innovation and new technologies within emerging and prospective maritime sectors in coastal regions, in complementarity with established sectoral and national activities.

Amendment

(c) Supporting sustainable economic growth, ***a high level of quality*** employment, innovation, ***including social innovation***, and new technologies within emerging and prospective maritime sectors in coastal regions, in complementarity with established sectoral and national activities.

Amendment 83

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) specific control and enforcement measures under CFP;

Amendment

(b) specific control and enforcement measures under CFP, ***including labour inspections***;

Amendment 84

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the establishment of national networks aiming at disseminating information, capacity building, exchanging best practice and supporting cooperation between the FLAGs in their territory.

Amendment

(b) the establishment of national networks aiming at disseminating information, capacity building ***for partners involved in implementing measures financed by the Fund***, exchanging best practice and supporting cooperation between the FLAGs in their territory.

Amendment 85

Proposal for a regulation

Article 131 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The impact of the EMFF shall be measured

Amendment

The impact of the EMFF shall be measured

in relation to the Union priorities referred to in Article 6.

in relation to the Union priorities referred to in Article 6, *in particular the creation of sustainable jobs.*

Amendment 86

Proposal for a regulation Article 131 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall define, by means of implementing acts, the set of indicators specific to these Union priorities. Those implementing acts, shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 151(3).

Amendment

The Commission shall define, by means of implementing acts, the set of indicators specific to these Union priorities, *including the relevant social indicators.* Those implementing acts, shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 151(3).

Amendment 87

Proposal for a regulation Article 132 – paragraph 1 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) to enable the monitoring of developments in the social and employment situation in the territories concerned by the Fund's measures;

PROCEDURE

Title	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (repeal of Council Regulations (EC) No 1198/2006, (EC) No 861/2006 and (EC) No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy)
References	COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD)
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	PECH 15.12.2011
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	EMPL 19.1.2012
Rapporteur Date appointed	Veronica Lope Fontagné 19.1.2012
Discussed in committee	21.6.2012
Date adopted	9.10.2012
Result of final vote	+: 39 -: 2 0: 3
Members present for the final vote	Regina Bastos, Edit Bauer, Heinz K. Becker, Jean-Luc Bennahmias, Phil Bennion, Pervenche Berès, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Philippe Boulland, Milan Cabrnoch, Alejandro Cercas, Ole Christensen, Derek Roland Clark, Minodora Cliveti, Emer Costello, Karima Delli, Richard Falbr, Thomas Händel, Marian Harkin, Nadja Hirsch, Stephen Hughes, Danuta Jazłowiecka, Ádám Kósa, Jean Lambert, Patrick Le Hyaric, Veronica Lope Fontagné, Olle Ludvigsson, Thomas Mann, Elisabeth Morin-Chartier, Csaba Öry, Siiri Oviir, Konstantinos Poupakis, Sylvana Rapti, Licia Ronzulli, Elisabeth Schroedter, Joanna Katarzyna Skrzydlewska, Jutta Steinruck, Traian Ungureanu, Andrea Zannoni
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	Malika Benarab-Attou, Edite Estrela, Ria Oomen-Ruijten, Antigoni Papadopoulou, Csaba Sógor, Gabriele Zimmer

6.12.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE ENVIRONMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH AND FOOD SAFETY

for the Committee on Fisheries

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund [repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 and Council Regulation(EC) No 861/2006 and Council Regulation No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy
(COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD))

Rapporteur: Nikos Chrysogelos

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

The Working Document outlined the Commission's proposal and provided a brief political analysis. This Opinion proposes a number of amendments designed to ensure that the EMFF contributes to achieving the objectives of the CFP and achieves an environmentally sustainable management of fisheries in Europe and abroad.

The main amendments address a number of points.

Certain types of funding are removed:

- storage of fish which are caught when there is no market, since it is preferable to fish when the market conditions guarantee a good price, rather than to store the fish for prolonged periods of time;
- insurance premiums for aquaculture farms, since the operators should bear this expense themselves rather than the tax-payer;
- for help in managing "transferable fishing concessions";
- promotion and marketing of fish for which there is a limited market or no market at all.

In several places, language is added to ensure that support does not lead to an increase in fishing capacity.

Funding is re-directed to support the collection of scientific and other data and improved surveillance of fishing activities.

The section on aquaculture is amended to shift the emphasis from simplistic promotion of aquaculture, an industry that can cause significant environmental problems if it is poorly managed, to putting the emphasis on a much greater environmental sustainability for aquaculture operations.

Fishing is, essentially, private exploitation of a public good and hence, the right to fish carries certain responsibilities, especially ensuring that fishing does not lead to depletion of fish stocks or the degradation of the marine environment or coastal communities that depend upon them for their survival. Funding should be preferentially aimed at either collecting data to allow analyses of the sustainability of fishing activities or providing support for those who fish in the most environmentally and socially sustainable manner. Amendments are proposed to this effect, as well as the promotion of the precautionary approach and ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety calls on the Committee on Fisheries, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) The scope of the EMFF should cover the support of the CFP which extends to conservation, management and exploitation of marine biological resources, fresh water biological resources and- aquaculture, as well as to the processing and marketing of fishery and aquaculture products, where such activities take place on the territory of Member States, or in Union waters, including by fishing vessels flying the flag of, and registered in, third countries, or by Union fishing vessels, or by nationals of Member States, without prejudice to the primary responsibility of the flag State, bearing in mind the provisions of Article 117 of the United Nations Convention on

Amendment

(2) The scope of the EMFF should cover the support of the CFP which extends to conservation, management and *sustainable* exploitation of marine biological resources, fresh water biological resources and- aquaculture, as well as to the processing and marketing of fishery and aquaculture products, where such activities take place on the territory of Member States, or in Union waters, including by fishing vessels flying the flag of, and registered in, third countries, or by Union fishing vessels, or by nationals of Member States, without prejudice to the primary responsibility of the flag State, bearing in mind the provisions of Article 117 of the United

the Law of the Sea.

Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The success of the Common Fisheries Policy depends on an effective system of control, inspection and enforcement as well as on reliable complete data, both for scientific advice and for implementation and control purposes; therefore the EMFF should support these policies.

Amendment

(3) The success of the Common Fisheries Policy depends on an effective system of control, inspection and enforcement as well as on reliable complete **and timely** data, both for scientific advice and for implementation and control purposes; therefore the EMFF should support these policies.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) To ensure that the EMFF contributes to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, the IMP and the Europe 2020 Strategy, it is necessary to focus on a limited number of core priorities relating to fostering innovation and knowledge based fisheries and aquaculture, **promoting** sustainable and resource-efficient fishing and aquaculture, and increasing employment and territorial cohesion by unlocking the growth and job potential of coastal and inland fisheries communities and promoting diversification of fisheries activities into other sectors of the marine economy.

Amendment

(6) To ensure that the EMFF contributes to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, the IMP and the Europe 2020 Strategy, it is necessary to focus on a limited number of core priorities relating to fostering innovation and knowledge based fisheries and aquaculture, **developing environmentally** sustainable and resource-efficient fishing and aquaculture, **with a focus on organic aquaculture** and increasing employment and territorial cohesion by unlocking the growth and job potential of coastal and inland fisheries communities and promoting diversification of fisheries activities into other sectors of the marine economy.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) The overall objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure that fishing and aquaculture activities **contribute to** long-term **sustainable** environmental **conditions** which **are necessary** for economic and social development. It should contribute moreover to increased productivity, a fair standard of living for the fisheries sector, stable markets, ensure the availability of resources and that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) It is paramount to better integrate environmental concerns into the CFP which should deliver on the objectives and targets of the Union's environmental policy and the Europe 2020 Strategy. The CFP is aimed at **an** exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains **fish** stocks **at** levels **which can produce** the maximum sustainable yield, not later than 2015. The CFP shall implement the precautionary and eco-system **approaches to** fisheries management. Consequently the EMFF should contribute to the protection of the marine environment as set out in the Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).

Amendment

(8) The overall objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure that fishing and aquaculture activities **secure the** long-term environmental **sustainability of marine biological resources**, which **is a prerequisite** for economic and social development. It should contribute moreover to increased productivity, a fair standard of living for the fisheries sector, stable markets, ensure the **long-term** availability of resources and that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices.

Amendment

(9) It is paramount to better integrate environmental concerns into the CFP which should deliver on the objectives and targets of the Union's environmental policy and the Europe 2020 Strategy. The CFP is aimed at **a sustainable** exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains **all** stocks **of harvested species above** levels **capable of producing** the maximum sustainable yield, **or the relevant proxy**, not later than 2015. The CFP shall implement the precautionary **approach** and eco-system **based** fisheries **and aquaculture** management. Consequently the EMFF should contribute to the protection of the marine environment as set out in the Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is disbursed to operators who, ex-ante, do not comply with requirements related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources. Therefore only operators should be admissible who, within a particular period of time before lodging an application for aid, were not involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels included in the Union IUU vessel list as set out in Article 40(3) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 of 29 September 2008 establishing a Community system to prevent, deter and eliminate illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, amending Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93, (EC) No 1936/2001 and (EC) No 601/2004 and repealing Regulations (EC) No 1093/94 and (EC) No 1447/1999, and who have not committed a serious infringement under 42 of the Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 or Article 90(1) of the Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy, amending Regulations (EC) No 847/96, (EC) No 2371/2002, (EC) No 811/2004, (EC) No 768/2005, (EC) No 2115/2005, (EC) No 2166/2005, (EC) No 388/2006, (EC) No 509/2007, (EC) No 676/2007, (EC) No 1098/2007, (EC) No 1300/2008, (EC) No 1342/2008 and repealing Regulations (EEC) No 2847/93, (EC) No 1627/94 and (EC) No 1966/2006 or other cases of non-

Amendment

(15) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is disbursed to operators who, ex-ante, do not comply with requirements related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources. Therefore only operators should be admissible who, within a particular period of time before lodging an application for aid, were not involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels included in the Union IUU vessel list as set out in Article 40(3) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 of 29 September 2008 establishing a Community system to prevent, deter and eliminate illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, amending Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93, (EC) No 1936/2001 and (EC) No 601/2004 and repealing Regulations (EC) No 1093/94 and (EC) No 1447/1999, and who have not committed a serious infringement under 42 of the Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 or Article 90(1) of the Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy, amending Regulations (EC) No 847/96, (EC) No 2371/2002, (EC) No 811/2004, (EC) No 768/2005, (EC) No 2115/2005, (EC) No 2166/2005, (EC) No 388/2006, (EC) No 509/2007, (EC) No 676/2007, (EC) No 1098/2007, (EC) No 1300/2008, (EC) No 1342/2008 and repealing Regulations (EEC) No 2847/93, (EC) No 1627/94 and (EC) No 1966/2006 or other cases of non-

compliance with CFP rules which particularly jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned and constitute a serious threats to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the Maximum Sustainable Yield (hereinafter MSY).

compliance with CFP rules which particularly jeopardise the *long-term* sustainability of the stocks concerned and constitute a serious threats to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the Maximum Sustainable Yield (hereinafter MSY), *or the relevant proxy, by 2015.*

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would also be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is paid to Member States who do not comply with their obligations under the CFP rules related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources, such as collecting data and implementing the control obligations. Moreover, without complying with those obligations there is a risk that inadmissible beneficiaries or ineligible operations are not detected by the Member States.

Amendment

(18) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would also be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is paid to Member States who do not comply with their obligations under the CFP rules related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources, such as collecting data, *achieving a balance between fishing fleet capacity and available fishing opportunities* and implementing the control obligations. Moreover, without complying with those obligations there is a risk that inadmissible beneficiaries or ineligible operations are not detected by the Member States.

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

(24) The Commission should establish an annual breakdown by Member States of available commitment appropriations using objective and transparent criteria; these

Amendment

(24) The Commission should establish *and publish* an annual breakdown by Member States of available commitment appropriations using objective and

criteria should include the historical allocations under Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 and the historical consumption under Council Regulation No 861/2006.

transparent criteria; these criteria should include the historical allocations under Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 and the historical consumption under Council Regulation No 861/2006.

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) In line with the goal of simplification, all activities of the EMFF which fall under shared management, including control and data collection, should take the form of one single operational programme per Member State, in accordance with its national structure. The programming exercise shall cover the period from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020. Each Member State should prepare a single operational programme. Each programme should identify a strategy for meeting targets in relation to the Union priorities for the EMFF and a selection of measures. Programming should comply with Union priorities, while being adapted to national contexts and complement the other Union policies, in particular rural development policy *and* cohesion policy.

Amendment

(26) In line with the goal of simplification, all activities of the EMFF which fall under shared management, including control and data collection, should take the form of one single operational programme per Member State, in accordance with its national structure. The programming exercise shall cover the period from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020. Each Member State should prepare a single operational programme. Each programme should identify a strategy for meeting targets in relation to the Union priorities for the EMFF and a selection of measures. Programming should comply with Union priorities, while being adapted to national contexts and complement the other Union policies, in particular rural development policy, cohesion *and environmental* policy.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation Recital 31

Text proposed by the Commission

(31) In order to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of fishing activities it is vital to stimulate innovation and entrepreneurship. Therefore the EMFF

Amendment

(31) In order to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of fishing activities it is vital to stimulate innovation and entrepreneurship. Therefore the EMFF

should support innovative operations and business development.

should support innovative *and environmentally sustainable* operations and business development, *in line with restoring and maintaining populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the Maximum Sustainable Yield by 2015, achieving and maintaining a good environmental status by 2020 and with the precautionary principle and ecosystem based fisheries management.*

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation Recital 32

Text proposed by the Commission

(32) Investment in human capital is also vital to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of fishing and maritime activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support lifelong learning, co-operation between scientists and fishermen stimulating the dissemination of knowledge as well as for advisory services helping to improve the overall performance and competitiveness of operators.

Amendment

(32) Investment in human capital is also vital to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of fishing and maritime activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support *training, education and* lifelong learning, co-operation between scientists and fishermen stimulating the dissemination of knowledge *and promoting career opportunities in maritime professions*, as well as for advisory services helping to improve the overall *sustainability*, performance and competitiveness of operators.

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation Recital 36

Text proposed by the Commission

(36) In order to address health and safety needs on board, the EMFF should support investments covering safety and hygiene on board.

Amendment

(36) In order to address health and safety needs on board, the EMFF should support investments covering safety and hygiene on board, *under the condition that the investment will not lead to an increase of the fishing capacity of the vessel.*

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation Recital 37

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(37) As a result of the establishment of systems of transferable fishing concessions envisaged in Article 27 of the [CFP Regulation] and in order to support Member States in the implementation of these new systems, the EMFF should grant support in terms of capacity building and exchange of best practices.

deleted

Justification

The transferable fishing concessions are not an appropriate solution to the challenges facing the fishing sector.

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(38) The introduction of the transferable fishing concessions systems should make the sector more competitive.

Consequently, there may be a need for new professional opportunities outside the fishing activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support the diversification and job creation in fishing communities in particular by supporting business startups and the reassignment of vessels for maritime activities outside fishing activities of small scale coastal fishing vessels. This last operation seems to be appropriate as the small scale coastal fishing vessels are not covered by the transferable fishing concessions systems.

(38) The need to reduce overcapacity in order to achieve a balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunities may create a need for new professional opportunities outside the fishing activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support the diversification and job creation in fishing communities in particular by supporting business startups, especially micro-businesses.

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) The objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure a sustainable exploitation of fish stocks. Overcapacity has been identified as a major driver for overfishing. It is therefore paramount to ***adapt*** the Union fishing ***fleet to*** the resources available. The removal of overcapacity through public aid such as temporary or permanent cessation and scrapping schemes has proven ineffective. ***The EMFF will therefore support the establishment and management of systems of transferable fishing concessions aiming at the reduction of overcapacity and increased economic performance and profitability of the operators concerned.***

Amendment

(39) The objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure a sustainable exploitation of fish stocks. Overcapacity has been identified as a major driver for overfishing. It is therefore paramount to ***achieve a stable and ensuring balance between*** the Union fishing ***fleets and*** the resources available. ***As noted in the Green Paper, despite considerable sums of money spent over many years,*** the removal of overcapacity through public aid such as temporary or permanent cessation and scrapping schemes has proven ineffective ***and the size and composition of the EU fleet are still not in line with resources available. EMFF funds must be used to support fishermen and vessels that fish in the most environmentally and socially sustainable way.***

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation Recital 40

Text proposed by the Commission

(40) With overcapacity being one of the key drivers of overfishing, measures need to be taken to adapt the Union fishing fleet to the resources available; in this context, the EMFF should support the establishment, modification and management of the systems of transferable fishing concessions introduced by the CFP as management tools for reducing overcapacity.

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) It is paramount to integrate environmental concerns into the EMFF and support the implementation of conservation measures under the CFP taking however into account the diverse conditions throughout the Union waters. For this purpose it is essential to develop a regionalised approach to conservation measures.

Amendment

(41) It is paramount to integrate environmental concerns into the EMFF and ***promote actions for the mitigation of the effects of, and adaptation to, climate change on the marine, coastal and insular environment, with a particular emphasis on those areas that are most vulnerable in that respect,*** support the implementation of conservation measures under the CFP taking however into account the diverse conditions throughout the Union waters. For this purpose it is essential to develop a regionalised approach to conservation measures ***that facilitates cooperation and consistency among Member States sharing a particular fishery.***

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 42

Text proposed by the Commission

(42) In the same vein, the EMFF should support the reduction of the impact of fishing on the marine environment in particular through the promotion of eco innovation, more selective gears and equipment as well as measures aiming at protecting and restoring marine biodiversity and ecosystems and the services they provide, in line with the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2020.

Amendment

(42) In the same vein, the EMFF should support the reduction, ***and where possible elimination,*** of the impact of fishing on the marine environment in particular through the promotion of eco innovation, ***that has proven to improve long-term environmentally sustainable fishing and to reduce the impact of fishing on the marine environment,*** more selective gears and equipment as well as measures aiming at protecting and restoring marine biodiversity and ecosystems and the services they provide, in line with ***restoring and maintaining populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the Maximum Sustainable Yield by 2015, achieving and maintaining a good environmental status by 2020 and in***

line with the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2020.

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

(43) In line with the discard ban introduced by the CFP, the EMFF should support investments *on board aiming at make the best use of* unwanted fish *caught* and valorise underused components of the fish caught. Considering the scarcity of the resources, in order to maximise the value of the fish caught, the EMFF should also support investments on board aiming at adding commercial value to fish caught.

Amendment

(43) In line with the discard ban introduced by the CFP, the EMFF should support investments *in gear selectivity to avoid, minimize and where possible eliminate* the unwanted *catches of* fish and *investments on board aiming to* valorise underused components of the fish caught. Considering the scarcity of the resources, in order to maximise the value of the fish caught, the EMFF should also support investments on board aiming at adding commercial value to fish caught.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation Recital 44

Text proposed by the Commission

(44) Conscious of the importance of fishing ports, landing sites and shelters, the EMFF should support relevant investments in particular to increase energy efficiency, environmental protection, the quality of the product landed, as well as safety and working conditions.

Amendment

(44) Conscious of the importance of fishing ports, landing sites and shelters, the EMFF should support relevant investments in particular to increase *the recording of catches*, energy efficiency, environmental protection, the quality of the product landed *in accordance with the landing obligation laid out in Article 15 of the Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy*, as well as safety and working conditions.

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 44 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(44a) The EMFF should support the establishment a coherent network of fish stock recovery areas, in which all fishing activities are prohibited, and which include areas important for fish productivity, in particular nursery grounds, spawning grounds and feeding grounds for fish stocks.

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 45

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(45) It is vital for the Union that a sustainable balance be achieved between fresh water resources and their exploitation; therefore having due regard to environmental impact ***while ensuring*** that these sectors retain economic viability, appropriate provisions should support inland fishing.

(45) It is vital for the Union that a sustainable balance be achieved between fresh water resources and their exploitation; therefore having due regard to environmental impact ***in order to ensure*** that these sectors retain economic viability, appropriate provisions should support inland fishing.

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 46

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(46) In line with the Commission's Strategy for the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture, the CFP objectives and Europe 2020 Strategy, the EMFF should support the environmentally, economically and socially sustainable development of the aquaculture industry.

(46) In line with the Commission's Strategy for the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture, the CFP objectives and Europe 2020 Strategy, the EMFF should support the environmentally, economically and socially sustainable development ***and management*** of the aquaculture industry, ***with a particular focus on organic, closed-system***

aquaculture.

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 47

Text proposed by the Commission

(47) Aquaculture contributes to growth and jobs in coastal and rural regions. Therefore, it is crucial that the EMFF is accessible to aquaculture enterprises, in particular SMEs and contributes to bringing new aquaculture farmers into the business. In order to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of aquaculture activities it is vital to stimulate innovation and entrepreneurship. Therefore the EMFF should support innovative operations and business development, *in particular non-food and off-shore aquaculture.*

Amendment

(47) Aquaculture contributes to growth and jobs in coastal and rural regions. Therefore, it is crucial that the EMFF is accessible to *sustainable* aquaculture enterprises, in particular SMEs and contributes to bringing new aquaculture farmers into the business. In order to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of aquaculture activities it is vital to stimulate innovation and entrepreneurship. Therefore the EMFF should support *sustainable*, innovative operations and business development, *contributing to ensuring that aquaculture is sustainable and in line with the Water, Habitats, Environmental Impact Assessment and Marine Strategy Framework Directives.*

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 50

Text proposed by the Commission

(50) Conscious of the need to identify the most suitable areas for developing aquaculture taking into account access to waters and space, the EMFF should support national authorities in making their strategic choices at national level.

Amendment

(50) Conscious of the need to identify the most suitable areas for developing aquaculture taking into account access to waters and space, the EMFF should support national authorities in making their strategic choices at national level, *in line with the EU Policy on Coastal Zone Management and Maritime Spatial Planning.*

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation Recital 51

Text proposed by the Commission

(51) Investment in human capital is also vital to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of aquaculture activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support lifelong learning and networking stimulating the dissemination of knowledge as well as advisory services helping to improve the overall performance and competitiveness of operators.

Amendment

(51) Investment in human capital is also vital to increase the competitiveness and economic performance of aquaculture activities. Therefore, the EMFF should support lifelong learning and networking stimulating the dissemination of knowledge as well as advisory services helping to ***decrease the environmental impact of aquaculture activities and to*** improve the overall performance and competitiveness of operators.

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation Recital 52

Text proposed by the Commission

(52) In order to promote environmentally sustainable aquaculture, the EMFF should support aquaculture activities which are highly respectful of the environment, the conversion of aquaculture enterprises to eco-management, the use of audit schemes as well as the conversion to ***organic*** aquaculture. ***In the same vein, the EMFF should also support aquaculture providing for special environmental services.***

Amendment

(52) In order to promote environmentally sustainable aquaculture, the EMFF should support aquaculture activities which are ***ecosystem-based***, highly respectful of the environment, the conversion of aquaculture enterprises to eco-management, the use of audit schemes as well as the conversion to ***sustainable*** aquaculture.

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation Recital 53

Text proposed by the Commission

(53) Conscious of the importance of

Amendment

(53) Conscious of the importance of

consumer protection, the EMFF should ensure adequate support to farmers in order to prevent and mitigate the risk for public and animal health that aquaculture rearing may generate.

consumer protection, the EMFF should ensure adequate support to farmers in order to prevent and mitigate the risk for public and animal health *and welfare* that aquaculture rearing may generate.

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation Recital 54

Text proposed by the Commission

(54) Recognizing the risk of investments in aquaculture activities, the EMFF should contribute to business security by covering access to stock insurance and therefore safeguarding the income of producers in case of abnormal production losses due in particular to natural disasters, adverse climatic events, sudden water quality changes, diseases or pest infestations and destruction of production facilities.

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation Recital 61

Text proposed by the Commission

(61) In order to ensure the viability of fisheries and aquaculture in a highly competitive market, it is necessary to lay down provisions granting support for the implementation of the [Regulation (EU) No on the common organisation of the markets in fishery and aquaculture products] as well as for marketing and processing activities carried by operators to maximise the value of fisheries and aquaculture products. Particular attention should be paid to the promotion of operations which integrate producing, processing and marketing activities of the

Amendment

(61) In order to ensure the viability of fisheries and aquaculture in a highly competitive market, it is necessary to lay down provisions granting support for the implementation of the [Regulation (EU) No on the common organisation of the markets in fishery and aquaculture products] as well as for marketing and processing activities carried by operators to maximise the value of fisheries and aquaculture products. Particular attention should be paid to the promotion of operations which integrate producing, processing and marketing activities of the

supply chain. *In order to adapt to the new discard ban policy, the EMFF should also support the processing of unwanted catches.*

supply chain.

Justification

Aid should not provide any incentives to target unwanted catch.

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 62

Text proposed by the Commission

(62) Priority should be given to producer organisations and associations of producer organisations by granting them support. The compensation for storage aid and aid for production and marketing plans should **gradually** be phased out as the importance of this particular kind of support has lost its interest in the light of the evolving structure of the Union market for this kind of products and the growing importance of strong producer's organisations.

Amendment

(62) Priority should be given to producer organisations and associations of producer organisations by granting them support. The compensation for storage aid and aid for production and marketing plans should be phased out as the importance of this particular kind of support has lost its interest in the light of the evolving structure of the Union market for this kind of products and the growing importance of strong producer's organisations.

Justification

Support funded under this measure is underutilized by Producer Organizations in the current financial framework. Instead of continuing funding this measure, storage aid should be phased out entirely, especially given that the EU (as derogation) is paying 100% of the financing for this measure and it is aimed solely at commercial species.

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 69

Text proposed by the Commission

(69) It is paramount that Member States and operators are equipped in such a way that controls can be carried out to a high standard and therefore ensure compliance

Amendment

(69) It is paramount that Member States and operators are equipped in such a way that controls can be carried out **regularly and** to a high standard and therefore ensure

with the rules of the Common Fisheries Policy while providing for the sustainable exploitation of living aquatic resources; the EMFF should therefore support Member States and operators in conformity with Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009. By creating a culture of compliance, this support should contribute to sustainable growth.

compliance with the rules of the Common Fisheries Policy while providing for the sustainable exploitation of living aquatic resources; the EMFF should therefore support Member States and operators in conformity with Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009. By creating a culture of compliance, this support should contribute to sustainable growth.

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation Recital 70

Text proposed by the Commission

(70) The support granted to Member States on the basis of the Regulation (EC) No. 861/2006 for the expenditure incurred relating to the implementation of the Union control system should be ***continued*** under the EMFF pursuing the logic of a single fund.

Amendment

(70) The support granted to Member States on the basis of the Regulation (EC) No. 861/2006 for the expenditure incurred relating to the implementation of the Union control system should be ***increased*** under the EMFF pursuing the logic of a single fund.

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation Recital 73

Text proposed by the Commission

(73) Provisions should be laid down for support to collect, manage and use of fisheries data as specified in the multiannual Union programme, in particular to support national programmes and the management and use of data for scientific analysis and CFP implementation. The support granted to Member States on the basis of the Regulation (EC) No. 861/2006 for the expenditure incurred relating to the collection, management and use of fisheries data should be ***continued*** under the EMFF pursuing the logic of a single

Amendment

(73) Provisions should be laid down for support to collect, manage and use of fisheries data as specified in the multiannual Union programme, in particular to support national programmes and the management and use of data for scientific analysis and CFP implementation. The support granted to Member States on the basis of the Regulation (EC) No. 861/2006 for the expenditure incurred relating to the collection, management and use of fisheries data should be ***increased*** under the EMFF pursuing the logic of a single

fund.

fund.

Amendment 35

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 75

Text proposed by the Commission

(75) The objective of the IMP is to support sustainable use of seas and oceans and to develop coordinated, coherent and transparent decision-making in relation to the policies affecting the oceans, seas, islands, coastal and outermost regions and maritime sectors, in accordance with the Commission Communication ‘An Integrated Maritime Policy of the European Union.

Amendment

(75) The objective of the IMP is to support sustainable use of seas and oceans and to develop coordinated, coherent and transparent decision-making in relation to the policies affecting the oceans, seas, islands, coastal and outermost regions and maritime sectors, in accordance with ***Regulation (EU) No 1255/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2011 establishing a Programme to support the further development of an Integrated Maritime Policy¹*** and the Commission Communication ‘An Integrated Maritime Policy of the European Union.

¹ *OJ L321, 5.12.2011, p.1*

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 76

Text proposed by the Commission

(76) Sustained funding is needed for the implementation and further development of the Integrated Maritime Policy for the European Union as reflected in the statements of the Council, the European Parliament and the Committee of the Regions.

Amendment

(76) Sustained funding is needed for the implementation and further development of the Integrated Maritime Policy for the European Union as reflected in ***Regulation (EU) No 1255/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2011 establishing a Programme to support the further development of an Integrated Maritime Policy¹*** and the statements of the Council, the European Parliament and the

Committee of the Regions. *The development of maritime affairs through financial support for IMP measures will have a significant impact in terms of economic, social and territorial cohesion.*

¹ OJ L321, 5.12.2011, p.1

Amendment 37

Proposal for a regulation Recital 76 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(76a) In this respect, EMFF should be designed to support exploratory work on actions which aim to promote the strategic objectives of the IMP, paying due attention to their cumulative impacts, on the basis of the ecosystem approach, to sustainable economic growth, employment, innovation and competitiveness in coastal, insular and outermost regions, and to the promotion of the international dimension of the IMP.

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation Recital 77

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(77) The EMFF should support the promotion of integrated maritime governance at all levels especially through exchanges of best practices and the further development and implementation of sea basin strategies. These strategies aim at setting up an integrated framework to address common challenges in European sea basins and strengthened co-operation between stakeholders to maximise the use

(77) The EMFF should support the promotion of integrated maritime governance at all levels especially through exchanges of best practices and the further development and implementation of sea basin strategies. These strategies aim at setting up an integrated framework to address common challenges in European sea basins and strengthened co-operation between stakeholders to maximise the use

of Union financial instruments and funds and contribute to the economic, social and territorial cohesion of the Union.

of Union financial instruments and funds and contribute to the economic, social and territorial cohesion of the Union ***and the environmental sustainability. It is therefore very important to improve and enhance external cooperation and coordination in relation to the objectives of the IMP, on the basis of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS);***

Amendment 39

Proposal for a regulation Recital 80

Text proposed by the Commission

(80) The EMFF should also support sustainable economic growth, employment, innovation and competitiveness within maritime sectors and in coastal regions. It is particularly important to identify regulatory barriers and skill deficiencies hindering growth in emerging and prospective maritime sectors, as well as operations aimed at fostering investment in technological innovation necessary to enhance the business potential of marine and maritime applications.

Amendment

(80) The EMFF should also support sustainable economic growth, employment, innovation and competitiveness within maritime sectors and in coastal, ***insular and outermost*** regions ***in the Union***. It is ***therefore significant to promote the development of coastal, maritime and island tourism***. It is particularly important to identify regulatory barriers and skill deficiencies hindering growth in emerging and prospective maritime sectors, as well as operations aimed at fostering investment in technological innovation necessary to enhance the business potential of marine and maritime applications.

Amendment 40

Proposal for a regulation Recital 88

Text proposed by the Commission

(88) Conscious of the importance of ensuring conservation of marine biological and protecting fish stocks in particular from illegal fishing and in the spirit of the conclusions drawn in the Green Paper on

Amendment

(88) Conscious of the importance of ensuring conservation of marine biological ***resources*** and protecting fish stocks in particular from illegal fishing and in the spirit of the conclusions drawn in the

the Reform of the CFP, those operators who do not comply with the rules of the CFP, and particularly jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned and constitute therefore a serious threat to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources *that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY, and* those who are involved in IUU fishing should be excluded from support under the EMFF. Union funding should not at any stage from the selection to the implementation of an operation be used to undermine the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources expressed in the objectives of the CFP Regulation.

Green Paper on the Reform of the CFP, those operators who do not comply with the rules of the CFP, and particularly jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned and *specifically the goals of restoring and maintaining populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY by 2015 and achieving and maintaining a good environmental status by 2020*, constitute therefore a serious threat to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources, *along* those who are involved in IUU fishing *and* should be excluded from support under the EMFF. Union funding should not at any stage from the selection to the implementation of an operation be used to undermine the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources expressed in the objectives of the CFP Regulation.

Amendment 41

Proposal for a regulation Recital 98

Text proposed by the Commission

(98) The operational programme should be subject to monitoring and evaluation in order to improve its quality and demonstrate its achievements. The Commission should set up a framework for a common monitoring and evaluation ensuring among others that relevant data is available on a timely manner. In this context a list of indicators should be determined and the impact of the EMFF policy assessed by the Commission in relation to specific objectives.

Amendment

(98) The operational programme should be subject to monitoring and evaluation in order to improve its quality and demonstrate its achievements. The Commission should set up a framework for a common monitoring and evaluation ensuring among others that relevant data is *publicly* available on a timely manner. In this context a list of indicators should be determined and the impact of the EMFF policy assessed by the Commission in relation to specific objectives.

Amendment 42

Proposal for a regulation Recital 101 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(101a) It is particularly important to ensure that ex-ante conditionalities concerning the administrative capacity to comply with the data requirements for fisheries management and the implementation of a Union control inspection and enforcement system are respected;

Amendment 43

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) and the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD).

Amendment 44

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) 'fish stock recovery area' means a clearly defined geographical space within a Member States coastal territorial waters, including nursery grounds, spawning grounds and feeding grounds for fish stocks, in which all fishing activities are prohibited;

Amendment 45

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6a) 'fishing capacity' means the ability of a vessel to catch fish and includes a vessel's tonnage in GT (Gross Tonnage), its power in kW (Kilowatt) as defined in Articles 4 and 5 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2930/86¹, its gear type as defined in Annex XI of Commission Regulation 404/2011 and the amount of gear deployed;

¹ OJ L 274, 25.9.1986, p. 1.

Amendment 46

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10) 'inland fishing' means fishing carried out for commercial purposes **by vessels operating** exclusively in inland waters or by other devices used for ice fishing;

(10) 'inland fishing' means fishing carried out for commercial purposes, **from a vessel or otherwise**, exclusively in inland waters or by other devices used for ice fishing;

Amendment 47

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15a) 'proxy' means that where maximum sustainable yield cannot be determined, the methodologies set out in part B descriptor 3.1 and 3.2 of Commission Decision 2010/477/EU on criteria and methodological standards on good

¹ OJ L 274, 25.9.1986, p. 1.

environmental status of marine waters for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (Directive 2008/56/EC) shall be applied to determine a suitable proxy;

Amendment 48

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) *promoting sustainable and competitive fisheries and aquaculture;*

Amendment

(a) *ensuring that fishing and aquaculture activities secure long-term environmental sustainability, which is necessary for economic and social sustainability, and contribute to the availability of food supplies;*

Amendment 49

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) *ensuring systematic and harmonized data collection of robust and timely biological, technical, environmental and socio-economic data necessary for ecosystem based management of fisheries and aquaculture.*

Amendment 50

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – point 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promotion of economic growth, social inclusion, creation of jobs and supporting labour mobility in coastal and inland communities depending on fishing and

Amendment

(a) promotion of *sustainable* economic growth, social inclusion, creation of jobs and supporting labour mobility in coastal and inland communities depending on

aquaculture;

fishing and aquaculture;

Amendment 51

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – point 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) support to strengthening technological development, innovation and knowledge transfer;

Amendment

(a) support to strengthening technological development, innovation and knowledge transfer, ***provided that they do not lead to a capacity increase;***

Amendment 52

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – point 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) enhancement of the competitiveness and viability of fisheries, in particular of small scale coastal fleet, and improvement of safety ***or*** working conditions;

Amendment

(b) enhancement of the competitiveness and viability of fisheries, in particular of small scale coastal fleet, and improvement of safety ***and*** working conditions;

Amendment 53

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – point 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) Fostering innovative, competitive and knowledge based aquaculture through the focus on the following areas:

Amendment

(3) Fostering ***sustainable***, innovative, competitive and knowledge ***and ecosystem*** based aquaculture through the focus on the following areas:

Amendment 54

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – point 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) enhancement of the competitiveness and viability of aquaculture enterprises, SMEs in particular;

Amendment

(b) enhancement of the **sustainability**, competitiveness and viability of aquaculture enterprises, **organic aquaculture** and SMEs in particular;

Amendment 55

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – point 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) **Promoting** a sustainable and **resource efficient** fisheries through the focus on the following areas:

Amendment

(4) **Ensuring** a sustainable and **ecosystem based** fisheries **management** through the focus on the following areas:

Amendment 56

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – point 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **reduction** of the impact of fisheries on the marine environment;

Amendment

(a) **minimization and where possible elimination of all by catch and** of the impact of fisheries on the marine environment;

Amendment 57

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – point 4 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) ensuring the compliance of fishing activities with Union environmental legislation, in particular with Directive 2008/56/EC, Directive 1992/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC and the

achievement of good environmental status as defined in the Marine Strategy Framework Directive.

Amendment 58

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – point 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) Promoting a sustainable and resource efficient aquaculture through the focus on the following areas:

Amendment

(5) Promoting a sustainable, ***ecosystem based*** and resource efficient aquaculture through the focus on the following areas:

Amendment 59

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – point 5 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) assessment, reduction and where possible elimination of the impacts of aquaculture activities on marine, terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems;

Amendment 60

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – point 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) promotion of aquaculture with high level of environmental protection and of animal health and welfare and of public health and safety.

Amendment

(b) promotion of aquaculture with high level of environmental protection, that is compatible with the objectives of Directive 2008/56/EC and of animal health and welfare and of public health and safety, ***with a focus on aquaculture that reduces dependence on fish meal and fish oil, ensuring that these come from sustainable sources, as well as producing food for human consumption and on organic***

aquaculture.

Amendment 61

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – point 6 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the supply of scientific knowledge and collection of data;

Amendment

(a) the supply of scientific knowledge and collection of data ***necessary for ecosystem based fisheries and aquaculture management including data required to achieve restoring and maintaining populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY by 2015 and achieve a good environmental status by 2020;***

Amendment 62

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – point 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the support to control and enforcement, enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration.

Amendment

(b) the support to control, ***monitoring*** and enforcement, enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration.

Amendment 63

Proposal for a regulation Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Applications submitted by the following operators shall not be admissible for support from the EMFF ***for an identified period of time:***

Amendment

1. Applications submitted by the following operators shall not be admissible for support from the EMFF:

Amendment 64

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) operators who committed other cases of non compliance with the CFP rules which *seriously* jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned.

Amendment

(c) operators who committed other cases of non compliance with the CFP rules *and Union environmental policy requirements* which jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned *and the achievement of a good environmental status by 2020*.

Amendment 65

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Applications submitted by operators that have committed an irregularity under the EFF or the EMFF shall not be admissible *for an identified period of time*.

Amendment

2. Applications submitted by operators that have committed an irregularity under the EFF or the EMFF shall not be admissible.

Amendment 66

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the identification of the period of time referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 which shall be proportionate to the seriousness or to the repetition of the infringement or non-compliance;

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 67

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the relevant starting or ending dates of the period referred to in paragraph 1;

deleted

Amendment 68

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) operations jeopardising the sustainability of marine biological resources and ecosystems;

Amendment 69

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) investments on board of vessels for which the flag Member State has not demonstrated a balance between the fleet capacity and the available fishing opportunities, as required under [Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy];

Amendment 70

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) direct restocking, unless explicitly foreseen as a conservation measure by a Union legal act **or in the case of experimental restocking.**

(f) direct restocking, unless explicitly foreseen as a conservation measure by a Union legal act.

Amendment 71

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **EUR 4 535 000 000** of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) shall be allocated to the sustainable development of fisheries, aquaculture and fisheries areas under Chapters I, II and III of Title V.

Amendment

2. **A maximum of EUR 3 964 000 000** of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) shall be allocated to the sustainable development of fisheries, aquaculture and fisheries areas under Chapters I, II and III of Title V.

Justification

Greater resources should be allocated to control activities and data collection.

Amendment 72

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. **EUR 477 000 000** of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) shall be allocated to control and enforcement measures referred to in Article 78.

Amendment

3. **A minimum of EUR 690 000 000** of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) shall be allocated to control and enforcement measures referred to in Article 78.

Justification

Greater resources should be allocated to control activities and data collection.

Amendment 73

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. **EUR 358 000 000** of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) shall be allocated to measures on data collection referred to in Article 79.

Amendment

4. **A minimum of EUR 716 000 000** of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) shall be allocated to measures on data collection referred to in Article 79.

Justification

Greater resources should be allocated to control activities and data collection.

Amendment 74

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. EUR 45 000 000 of the resources referred to in paragraph (1) shall be allocated to the storage aid referred to in Article 72 from 2014 to 2018 included. **deleted**

Justification

Rather than using public aid to store fish for which there is no immediate market, the timing of fishing activities should be better managed (see Art. 70).

Amendment 75

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) where applicable, consistency of the measures under Union priorities for EMFF referred to in Article 38(1)d of this Regulation and the prioritised action frameworks for Natura 2000 referred to in Article 8(4) of Council Directive 92/43/EEC and the achievement of good environmental status as defined in Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Amendment 76

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a demonstration of a pertinent approach integrated into the programme towards innovation, the environment, including the specific needs of Natura 2000 areas, and climate change mitigation and adaptation;

Amendment

(c) a demonstration of a pertinent approach integrated into the programme towards innovation, ***conservation of the marine*** environment, including the specific needs of Natura 2000 areas, ***the establishment of fish stock recovery areas*** and climate change mitigation and adaptation;

Amendment 77

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) an assessment of the balance between fishing capacity and available fishing opportunities as required under [Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy];

Amendment 78

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) description of selection criteria for projects;

Amendment

(f) description of selection criteria for projects, ***giving priority to projects that are designed to reduce the environmental impact of fishing and aquaculture activities;***

Amendment 79

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) description of selection criteria for local development strategies under Chapter III of Title V;

Amendment

(g) description of selection criteria for local development strategies under Chapter III of Title V, ***giving priority to projects that are designed to reduce the environmental impact of fishing and aquaculture activities;***

Amendment 80

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1 – point o – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(o) For the objective of collection of data for sustainable fisheries management referred in under Articles 6(6) and 18(4) and in accordance with the multiannual Union programme referred to in Article 37(5) of the [Regulation on Common Fisheries Policy]:

Amendment

(o) For the objective of collection of data for sustainable ***ecosystem based*** fisheries management referred in under Articles 6(6) and 18(4) and in accordance with the multiannual Union programme referred to in Article 37(5) of the [Regulation on Common Fisheries Policy]:

Amendment 81

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1 – point o – point i – indent 3

Text proposed by the Commission

– an evaluation of the effects of the fishing sector on the ecosystem.

Amendment

– an evaluation of the effects of the fishing sector on the ecosystem ***to allow comparisons among types of fishing activities and fleet segments according to the requirements of [Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy].***

Amendment 82

Proposal for a regulation Article 21 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall approve the operational programme by means of implementing act.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall approve the operational programme by means of implementing act ***when it is satisfied the requirements of paragraph 1 have been met.***

Justification

The programmes should only be approved once they are correct.

Amendment 83

Proposal for a regulation Article 24 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission ***may*** adopt, by means of implementing acts, rules on procedures, format and timetables for:

Amendment

The Commission ***shall*** adopt, by means of implementing acts, rules on procedures, format and timetables for:

Amendment 84

Proposal for a regulation Article 28 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to stimulate innovation in fisheries, the EMFF may support projects aiming at developing or introducing new or substantially improved products compared to the state of art, new or improved processes, new or improved management and organisation systems.

Amendment

1. In order to stimulate innovation in fisheries, the EMFF may support projects aiming at developing or introducing new or substantially improved products compared to the state of art, new or improved processes, new or improved management and organisation systems ***provided they contribute to the achievement of ecological sustainability, consistent with the objectives of the Common Fisheries Policy.***

Amendment 85

Proposal for a regulation Article 28 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Operations financed under this Article must be carried out in collaboration with a scientific or technical body recognised by the Member State which shall validate the results of such operations.

Amendment

2. Operations financed under this Article must be carried out in collaboration with a scientific or technical body recognised by the Member State which shall validate the results of such operations ***and make them available for the public.***

Justification

When public aid is given to operations, there must be full transparency in how these funds were allocated, used and the benefits derived.

Amendment 86

Proposal for a regulation Article 29 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) the provision of professional and scientific advice on the development of sustainable fisheries and aquaculture activities, with a focus on limiting and where possible eliminating the impacts of these activities on marine, terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems;

Amendment 87

Proposal for a regulation Article 30 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Activities referred to in paragraph 1(b) may cover data collection activities, studies, dissemination of knowledge and

Amendment

2. Activities referred to in paragraph 1(b) may cover data collection activities, ***joint research projects***, studies, dissemination of

best practices.

knowledge and best practices.

Amendment 88

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) business start-ups outside fishing;

Amendment

(a) business start-ups ***for sustainable activities related to the maritime sector*** outside fishing, ***including environmental services, educational activities or tourism***;

Amendment 89

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Support under paragraph 1(b) shall be granted to small scale coastal fishermen owning a Union fishing vessel registered as active and which have carried out fishing activities at sea at least **60 days** during the two years preceding the date of submission of the application. The fishing licence associated with the fishing vessel shall be permanently withdrawn.

Amendment

3. Support under paragraph 1(b) shall be granted to small scale coastal fishermen owning a Union fishing vessel registered as active and which have carried out fishing activities at sea at least **180 days** during the two years preceding the date of submission of the application. The fishing licence associated with the fishing vessel shall be permanently withdrawn ***and the national fleet reference level reduced accordingly***.

Amendment 90

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to improve working conditions on board for fishermen the EMFF may support investments on board or in individual equipments providing that these investments go beyond standards required

Amendment

1. In order to improve working conditions on board for fishermen ***related to health, safety and hygiene*** the EMFF may support investments on board or in individual equipments providing that these investments go beyond standards required

under national or Union law.

under national or Union law ***and do not increase the capacity of the fishing vessel.***

Amendment 91

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. When the operation consists in an investment on board, the support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same fishing vessel. When the operation consists of an investment in individual equipment, the support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same beneficiary.

deleted

Amendment 92

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The support referred to in paragraph 1 shall not exceed the amount of 50.000 euro.

Amendment 93

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 33 a

***Temporary cessation of fishing activities
The EMFF may contribute to the financing of measures for temporary cessation of fishing activities in the case of emergency situations caused by natural***

or manmade disasters such as oil spills, algal blooms or others. Such financing shall be limited to periods of three months, renewable for a further period of three months.

Amendment 94

Proposal for a regulation Article 34

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 34

Support to systems of **transferable** fishing concessions of the CFP

1. ***In order*** to establish or modify systems of **transferable** fishing concessions ***under Article 27 of the [Regulation on the CFP]***, the EMFF may support:

(a) the design and development of technical and administrative means necessary for the creation or functioning of a **transferable** fishing concessions system;

Amendment

Article 34

Support to systems of fishing concessions of the CFP

1. ***Where Member States choose*** to establish or modify systems of fishing concessions ***on the basis of objective and transparent criteria that are publicly available***, the EMFF may support:

(a) the design and development of technical and administrative means necessary for the creation or functioning of a system for the creation or functioning of a fishing concessions system ***that will include but not be limited to the following criteria:***

(i) the employment of more selective fishing methods, gears and practices with low by-catch and low impact on the marine ecosystem;

(ii) having a good record of compliance with the rules of the Common Fisheries Policy and EU environmental requirements and with respecting catch and/or fishing effort limits designated by scientific advice;

(iii) ensuring increased, good quality employment, provided that this does not have negative environmental impacts;

(iv) the use of vessels and fishing methods that have low fuel emissions and are

(b) stakeholder participation in designing and developing **transferable** fishing concessions systems;

(c) the monitoring and evaluation of **transferable** fishing concessions systems;

(d) the management of **transferable** concessions systems.

2. Support under paragraph 1 (a), (b) and (c) shall only be granted to public authorities. Support under paragraph 1 (d) of this Article shall be granted to public authorities legal or natural persons or recognized producer organizations involved in collective management of **pooled transferable** fishing concessions in accordance with Article 28(4) of *the Regulation on Common Fisheries*.

Amendment 95

Proposal for a regulation Article 34 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

energy efficient;

(v) the use of video surveillance or an equivalent electronic monitoring equipment;

(vi) working conditions that comply with relevant international standards, notably the 2007 ILO Work in Fishing Convention;

(vii) having reported the production during at least the three previous years;

(b) stakeholder participation in designing and developing fishing concessions systems;

(c) the monitoring and evaluation of fishing concessions systems;

(d) the management of **fishing** concessions systems.

2. Support under paragraph 1 (a), (b) and (c) shall only be granted to public authorities. Support under paragraph 1 (d) of this Article shall be granted to public authorities, legal or natural persons or recognized producer organizations involved in collective management of fishing concessions in accordance with Article 28 of *[Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy]*.

Amendment

Article 34 a

Support for the development and implementation of multiannual plans

1. In order to ensure the efficient implementation of multiannual plans under Articles 9-11 of the [Regulation on Common Fisheries Policy] the EMFF

shall support:

(a) the scientific advice and data necessary to ensure that the multiannual plans provide a fishing mortality rate that restores and maintains all stocks above levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield by 2015, whilst contributing to the achievement, by 2020, of good environmental status for marine waters as required by Directive 2008/56/EC;

(b) stakeholder participation in developing multiannual plans;

(c) the effective implementation of multiannual plans;

(d) the management and adaptation of multiannual plans.

Amendment 96

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 1 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) the establishment of a coherent network of fish stock recovery areas, in which all fishing activities are prohibited, that include areas important for fish productivity, in particular nursery grounds, spawning grounds and feeding grounds for fish stocks and that amount to at least 20% of territorial waters in each Member State;

Amendment 97

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) stakeholder participation in designing and implementing conservation measures

*(b) stakeholder participation **and cooperation among relevant Member***

in the meaning of Articles 17 and 21 of the [Regulation on Common Fisheries Policy]

States in designing and implementing *multiannual plans established pursuant to Articles 9, 10 and 11 and* conservation measures in the meaning of Articles 17 and 21 of the [Regulation on Common Fisheries Policy]

Amendment 98

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to *reduce* the impact of fishing on the marine environment, foster the elimination of discards and facilitate the transition to exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY, the EMFF may support investments in equipment:

Amendment

1. In order to *minimize, and where possible eliminate*, the impact of fishing on the marine environment, foster the elimination of discards and facilitate the transition to exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY *by 2015*, the EMFF may support investments in *research and* equipment:

Amendment 99

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) *reducing* unwanted catches of *commercial* stocks or other by-catches;

Amendment

(b) *minimizing and where possible eliminating* unwanted *or unauthorised* catches of *harvested* stocks or other by-catches;

Amendment 100

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) **limiting** the physical and biological impacts of fishing on the ecosystem or the sea bed.

Amendment

(c) **minimizing and where possible eliminating** the physical and biological impacts of fishing on the ecosystem or the sea bed, **including impacts on biodiversity, ecosystem and habitat integrity, trophic level balance and genetic variability;**

Amendment 101

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) promoting research on fishing practices, gears, stock assessment and impact of fishing activities on ecosystem that aim to minimize or avoid the harmful impacts of fishing on the marine species and habitats;

Justification

Funding should be made available for research on fishing practices, gears, stock assessment and impact of fishing activities on ecosystem that aim to minimize or avoid the harmful impacts of fishing on the marine species and habitats.

Amendment 102

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) contributing to the assessment of fish stocks.

Amendment 103

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same Union fishing vessel and for the same type of equipment.

Amendment

2. Support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same Union fishing vessel and for the same type of equipment ***and shall be granted on the condition that it does not lead to an increase in the fishing capacity of the fishing vessel.***

Amendment 104

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Support shall only be granted when the gear or other equipment referred under paragraph 1 has demonstrably better size-selection or lower impact on non-target species than the standard gear or other equipment permitted under Union law or relevant national law of Member States adopted in the context of regionalisation as referred to in the [Regulation on the CFP].

Amendment

3. Support shall only be granted when the gear or other equipment referred under paragraph 1 has demonstrably ***substantially*** better size-selection or lower impact on ***the ecosystem and on*** non-target species than the standard gear or other equipment permitted under Union law or relevant national law of Member States adopted in the context of regionalisation as referred to in the [Regulation on the CFP]. ***Support shall only be granted under the condition that investments will not increase the amount of fishing gear deployed;***

Amendment 105

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 4 – point a**

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) owners of Union fishing vessels whose vessels are registered as active vessels and which have carried a fishing activity of at least **60** days at sea during the two years preceding the date of submission of the

Amendment

(a) owners of Union fishing vessels whose vessels are registered as active vessels and which have carried a fishing activity of at least **180** days at sea during the two years preceding the date of submission of the

application;

application;

Justification

It is appropriate to restrict subsidies to vessels that have fished for an average of at least three months per year; otherwise marginal impact will be achieved.

Amendment 106

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) fishermen who own the gear to be replaced and who have worked on board of a Union fishing vessel for at least **60** days during the two years preceding the date of submission of the application;

(b) fishermen who own the gear to be replaced and who have worked on board of a Union fishing vessel for at least **180** days during the two years preceding the date of submission of the application;

Justification

It is appropriate to restrict subsidies to vessels that have fished for an average of at least three months per year; otherwise marginal impact will be achieved.

Amendment 107

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Support shall only be granted under the condition that the vessel concerned is not part of a fleet operating at overcapacity and subject to strict environmental criteria to prevent any incentive in support of promoting excess fishing capacity or overfishing.

Justification

The Commission identified existing overcapacity as a key structural failing of the CFP. Public aid should not be spent to modernise vessels/fleets operating with overcapacities. In the past, several vessels have received aid for modernisation and only weeks later aid for scrapping. Aid covering under article 36 should include conditions and limits meant to prevent the

accidental or intentional abuse of beneficial (or potentially beneficial) fishing aid under the EMFF.

Amendment 108

Proposal for a regulation Article 37 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to contribute to the elimination of discards and by-catches and facilitate the transition to exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY, the EMFF may support projects aiming at developing or introducing new technical or organisational knowledge reducing impacts of fishing activities on the environment *or achieving* a more sustainable use of marine biological resources.

Amendment

1. In order to contribute to *sustainable fisheries, including* the elimination of discards and by-catches and facilitate the transition to exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY *by 2015 and restore and maintain a good environmental status by 2020*, the EMFF may support projects aiming at developing or introducing new technical or organisational knowledge reducing impacts of fishing activities on the environment, *including reduction of damage to the marine habitat and improving the selectivity of fishing operations in order to achieve* a more sustainable use of marine biological resources, *based on the precautionary approach and an ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.*

Amendment 109

Proposal for a regulation Article 37 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Operations financed under this Article must be carried out in collaboration with a scientific or technical body recognised by the national law of each Member State which shall validate the results of such operations.

Amendment

2. Operations financed under this Article must be carried out in collaboration with a scientific or technical body recognised by the national law of each Member State which shall validate the results of such operations *and make them publicly available.*

Amendment 110

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to stimulate the participation of fishermen in the protection and restoration of marine biodiversity and ecosystems including the services they provide in the framework of sustainable fishing activities, the EMFF may support the following operations:

Amendment

1. In order to stimulate the participation of fishermen **and other stakeholders** in the protection and restoration of marine biodiversity and ecosystems including the services they provide in the framework of sustainable fishing activities, the EMFF may support the following operations:

Amendment 111

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) management, restoration and monitoring NATURA 2000 sites in accordance with Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora and Council and European Parliament Directive 2009/147/EC of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds, in accordance with prioritised action frameworks established pursuant to Council Directive 92/43/EEC;

Amendment

(d) **identification, selection, designation,** management, restoration and monitoring NATURA 2000 sites in accordance with Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora and Council and European Parliament Directive 2009/147/EC of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds, in accordance with prioritised action frameworks established pursuant to Council Directive 92/43/EEC;

Amendment 112

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) management, restoration and monitoring of marine protected areas in

Amendment

(e) **identification, selection, designation,** management, restoration and monitoring of

view of the implementation of the spatial protection measures referred to in Article 13(4) of the European Parliament and Council Directive 2008/56/EC;

marine protected areas in view of the implementation of the spatial protection measures referred to in Article 13(4) of the European Parliament and Council Directive 2008/56/EC;

Amendment 113

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) identification, selection, designation, management, restoration and monitoring of a coherent network of fish stock recovery areas, in which all fishing activities are prohibited, that include areas important for fish productivity, in particular nursery grounds, spawning grounds and feeding grounds for fish stocks and that amount to at least 20% of territorial waters in each Member State;

Amendment 114

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) the participation in other actions aimed at maintaining and enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem services, such as the restoration of specific marine and coastal habitats in support of sustainable fish stocks.

(f) the participation in other actions aimed at maintaining and enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem services, ***reducing the impacts of fishing activities on biodiversity and ecosystem integrity*** such as the restoration of specific marine and coastal habitats in support of sustainable fish stocks ***and achieving a good environmental status by 2020, consistent with the precautionary approach and an ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.***

Amendment 115

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) environmental awareness for and by fishermen for the protection and restoration of marine biodiversity.

Amendment 116

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) investments on board aimed at reducing the emission of pollutants or green-house gases *and increasing energy efficiency of fishing vessels*;

(a) investments on board aimed at reducing the emission of pollutants or green-house gases, *under the condition that the investment will not lead to an increase in the fishing capacity of the fishing vessel*;

Amendment 117

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) other projects aimed at reducing pressures on marine biological resources associated with climate change.

Amendment 118

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Support shall not contribute to the replacement or modernisation of main or ancillary engines. Support shall only be

2. Support shall not contribute to the replacement or modernisation of main or ancillary engines *nor serve to increase*

granted to owners of fishing vessels and not more than once during the programming period for the same fishing vessel.

their power. Support shall only be granted to owners of fishing vessels and not more than once during the programming period for the same fishing vessel.

Amendment 119

Proposal for a regulation Article 40 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Product quality and ***use of unwanted catches***

Amendment

Product quality and ***the valorisation of underused components of fish***

Amendment 120

Proposal for a regulation Article 40 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The support referred to in paragraph 1 shall only be granted to owners of Union fishing vessels whose vessels ***are which*** have carried a fishing activity for at least ***60*** days at sea during the two years preceding the date of submission of the application.

Amendment

4. The support referred to in paragraph 1 shall only be granted to owners of Union fishing vessels whose vessels have carried ***out*** a fishing activity for at least ***180*** days at sea during the two years preceding the date of submission of the application.

Amendment 121

Proposal for a regulation Article 41 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For the purpose of increasing the quality of the product landed, increasing energy efficiency, contributing to environmental protection or improving safety and working conditions, the EMFF may support investments improving fishing port infrastructure or landing sites, including investments in facilities for waste and

Amendment

1. For the purpose of increasing the quality of the product landed, increasing energy efficiency, contributing to environmental protection or improving safety and working conditions, the EMFF may support investments improving fishing port infrastructure or landing sites, including investments in facilities for waste and

marine litter collection.

marine litter collection *such as lost or damaged fishing gear that has been retrieved and marine litter;*

Justification

Replacing amendment 28 to Article 38.1(a) since is more appropriate here.

Amendment 122

**Proposal for a regulation
Title 5 – chapter 2 – title**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Sustainable development of aquaculture

Sustainable **management and** development of aquaculture

Amendment 123

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 44 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. **Where** operations consist of investments in equipment or infrastructure **ensuring compliance with requirements** on the environment, human or animal health, hygiene or animal welfare under Union law, **and entering into force after 2014, may be granted until the date on which the standards become mandatory for the enterprises.**

2. **Support shall only be granted when** operations consist of investments in equipment or infrastructure **which has demonstrably lower impact** on the environment, **or better performance with respect to** human or animal health, hygiene or animal welfare **than the requirements** under Union law.

Justification

The criteria for allocating support should be tightened up.

Amendment 124

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 – paragraph 1 – point b**

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) developing or introducing in the market new or substantially improved products compared to the state of art, new or improved processes, new or improved management and organisation systems.

Amendment

(b) developing or introducing in the market new or substantially improved products compared to the state of art, new or improved processes, new or improved management and organisation systems ***that are in line with the general objectives expressed in Article 2 and 3(c) of the [Regulation on the Common Fisheries policy].***

Amendment 125

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1 – point a**

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) adding value to aquaculture products, in particular by allowing the aquaculture ***enterprise*** to carry out the processing, marketing and direct sale of its own aquaculture production;

Amendment

(a) adding value to aquaculture products, in particular by allowing the aquaculture ***sector*** to carry out the processing, marketing and direct sale of its own aquaculture production;

Amendment 126

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1 – point b**

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) diversification of the income of aquaculture enterprises through the development of new aquaculture species with good market prospects;

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 127

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1 – point c**

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) diversification of the income of aquaculture **enterprises** through the development of complementary activities outside aquaculture.

Amendment

(c) diversification of the income of **the** aquaculture **sector** through the development of complementary activities outside aquaculture.

Amendment 128

Proposal for a regulation

Article 48 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the setting up management, relief and advisory services for aquaculture farms;

Amendment

(a) the setting up management, relief and advisory services for aquaculture farms **which contribute to reducing the environmental impact of the operations;**

Amendment 129

Proposal for a regulation

Article 48 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the provision of farm advisory services of technical, scientific, legal or economic nature.

Amendment

(b) the provision of farm advisory services of **environmental**, technical, scientific, legal or economic nature **which contribute to reducing the environmental impact of the operations, amongst others.**

Amendment 130

Proposal for a regulation

Article 48 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) marketing and business strategies.

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 131

Proposal for a regulation

Article 49 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) lifelong learning, dissemination of scientific knowledge and innovative practices and acquisition of new professional skills in aquaculture;

Amendment

(a) lifelong learning, dissemination of scientific knowledge and innovative practices and acquisition of new professional skills in aquaculture ***which contribute to reducing the environmental impact of the operations;***

Amendment 132

Proposal for a regulation

Article 49 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) networking and exchange of experience and best ***practice*** among aquaculture enterprises or professional organisations and other stakeholders, including scientific bodies or those promoting equal opportunities between men and women.

Amendment

(b) networking and exchange of experience and best ***practices in minimizing the impact on marine, terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems*** among ***sustainable*** aquaculture enterprises or professional organisations and other stakeholders, including scientific bodies or those promoting equal opportunities between men and women.

Amendment 133

Proposal for a regulation

Article 50 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to contribute to ***the development of the*** aquaculture sites and infrastructures, the EMFF may support:

Amendment

1. In order to contribute to ***sustainable aquaculture and reducing the environmental impact*** of aquaculture sites and infrastructures, the EMFF may support:

Amendment 134

Proposal for a regulation
Article 50 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) identification and mapping of most suitable areas for developing aquaculture, and where applicable, taking into account maritime spatial planning processes;

Amendment

(a) identification and mapping of most suitable areas for developing aquaculture ***minimising the impact on biodiversity and ecosystem integrity in full compliance with Union environmental legislation***, and where applicable, taking into account maritime spatial planning processes;

Amendment 135

Proposal for a regulation
Article 50 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) improvement of infrastructures of aquaculture areas including through ***land consolidation***, energy supply or water management;

Amendment

(b) improvement of infrastructures of aquaculture areas including through energy supply or water management;

Amendment 136

Proposal for a regulation
Article 50 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) action taken and implemented by competent authorities under ***of Article 9(1)*** of Directive 2009/147/EC or ***Article 16(1)*** of Directive 92/43/EC with the aim of preventing serious damages to aquaculture.

Amendment

(c) ***non-lethal*** action taken and implemented by competent authorities ***aiming at mitigating conflicts with wild species protected*** under Directive 2009/147/EC or Directive 92/43/EC with the aim of preventing serious damages to aquaculture.

Amendment 137

Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to foster entrepreneurship in aquaculture, the EMFF may support the setting up of aquaculture enterprises by new starting farmers.

Amendment

1. In order to foster entrepreneurship in aquaculture, the EMFF may support the setting up of **sustainable** aquaculture enterprises by new **small** starting farmers.

Amendment 138

Proposal for a regulation

Article 51 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) submit **a** business plan for the development of their aquaculture activities.

Amendment

(c) submit **an economically credible** business plan for the development of their aquaculture activities.

Amendment 139

Proposal for a regulation

Article 51 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) have a plan for the enterprise's operation that is considered ecologically sustainable in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 834/2007.

Amendment 140

Proposal for a regulation

Article 52 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) allowing for a substantial reduction of impact of aquaculture enterprises on water, in particular through reducing the amount of **water used or improving the output water quality**, including through the deployment of multi-trophic aquaculture

Amendment

(a) allowing for a substantial reduction of impact of aquaculture enterprises on water **usage and quality**, in particular through reducing the amount of **chemicals, antibiotics and other medicines**, including through the deployment of multi-trophic

systems;

aquaculture systems;

Amendment 141

Proposal for a regulation

Article 55 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Support may only be granted where the suspension of harvesting due to contamination of molluscs is the result of the proliferation of toxin-producing plankton or the presence of plankton containing biotoxins, and when:

Amendment

2. Support may only be granted where the suspension of harvesting due to contamination of molluscs is the result of the proliferation of toxin-producing plankton or the presence of plankton containing biotoxins, **or the proliferation of coliforms (*E. coli*)** and when:

Amendment 142

Proposal for a regulation

Article 56 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) measures necessary for aquaculture enterprises to comply with:

(i) the Recommendation concerning the welfare of farmed fish adopted by the Standing Committee of the European Convention for the Protection of Animals Kept for Farming Purposes, and

(ii) the Recommendations of the World Organisation for Animal Health concerning the welfare of farmed fish during transport and slaughter.

Amendment 143

Proposal for a regulation Article 57

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 57

deleted

Aquaculture stock insurance

1. In order to safeguard the income of aquaculture producers the EMFF may support the contribution to an aquaculture stock insurance which shall cover the losses due to:

- (a) natural disasters;*
- (b) adverse climatic events;*
- (c) sudden water quality changes;*
- (d) diseases in aquaculture or destruction of production facilities.*

2. The occurrence of an adverse climatic event or the outbreak of disease in aquaculture shall be formally recognised as such by the Member State concerned.

Member States may, where appropriate, establish in advance criteria on the basis of which such formal recognition shall be deemed to be granted.

3. Support shall only be granted for aquaculture stock insurance contracts which cover economic losses under paragraph 1 exceeding 30% of the average annual production of the aquaculture farmer.

Justification

An inappropriate use of public funds.

Amendment 144

Proposal for a regulation Article 61 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ensure that local communities **fully** exploit and benefit from the opportunities offered by maritime and coastal development.

Amendment

(b) ensure that local communities **sustainably** exploit and **fully** benefit from the opportunities offered by maritime and coastal development.

Amendment 145

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) ensure the contribution of local communities to the implementation of ecosystem-based fisheries and aquaculture management and to limiting the impacts of fisheries and aquaculture activities on the integrity of ecosystems.

Amendment 146

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 70

deleted

Storage aid

1. The EMFF may support compensation to recognised producer organisations and associations of producers organisations which store fishery products listed in Annex II of Regulation No. [on the common organisation of the market in fishery and aquaculture products], provided that the products are stored in conformity with Articles 35 and 36 of Regulation No ...[on the common organisation of the markets in fishery and aquaculture products]:

(a) the amount of the storage aid shall not exceed the amount of the technical and

financial costs of the actions required for the stabilisation and storage of the products in question;

(b) the quantities eligible for storage aid shall not exceed 15 % of the annual quantities of the products concerned put up for sale by the producer organisation;

(c) the financial assistance per year shall not exceed the following percentages of the average annual value of the marketed production at first sale of the members of producer organisation in the period 2009-2011. In the case that members of producer organisation did not have any marketed production in 2009-2011, the average annual value of marketed production in the first three years of production of such member shall be taken into account:

– 1 % in 2014

– 0,8 % in 2015

– 0,6 % in 2016

– 0,4 % in 2017

– 0,2 % in 2018

2. By 2019 support referred to in paragraph 1 shall be phased out.

3. The support shall only be granted once the products are released for human consumption.

4. Member States shall fix the amount of the technical and financial costs applicable in their territories as follows:

(a) technical costs shall be calculated each year on the basis of direct costs relating to the actions required for stabilisation and storage;

(b) financial costs shall be calculated each year using the interest rate set annually in each Member State;

(c) technical and financial costs shall be made publicly available.

5. Member States shall carry out controls to ensure that the products benefiting from storage aid fulfil the conditions laid down in this Article. For the purposes of these inspection arrangements, beneficiaries of storage aid shall keep stock records for each category of products entered into storage and later reintroduced onto the market for human consumption.

Amendment 147

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) *surplus or* underexploited species;

Amendment

(i) underexploited species;

Amendment 148

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) conducting regional, national or transnational promotional campaigns for fishery and aquaculture products.

Amendment

(f) conducting regional, national or transnational promotional campaigns for fishery and aquaculture products ***that, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 834/2007, are considered organic or to have a low environmental impact.***

Amendment 149

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point fa (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) promoting, by means of regional or national campaigns by public bodies, fisheries and aquaculture products as

healthy sources of animal protein.

Amendment 150

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d**

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for the processing of *organic* aquaculture products *as regulated in Article 6 and 7 of Council Regulation(EC) No 834/2007.*

Amendment

(d) for the processing of *sustainable* aquaculture products.

Amendment 151

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) contributing to improved working conditions;

Amendment 152

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d b (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(db) improving and monitoring public health and hygiene conditions or product quality;

Amendment 153

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d c (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(dc) producing new products, applying new technologies, or developing

innovative production methods.

Amendment 154

Proposal for a regulation Article 74 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) any other support that the beneficiary is receiving or has received for his activity.

Amendment 155

Proposal for a regulation Article 79 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The EMFF shall support the collection and management and use of primary biological, technical, environmental and socioeconomic data as in the multiannual Union programme referred to in Article 37(5) of the [Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy].

1. The EMFF shall support the collection and management and use of primary biological, technical, environmental and socioeconomic data ***necessary for sustainable ecosystem-based fisheries and aquaculture management, including data required to restore and maintain populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY by 2015 and to achieve and maintain a good environmental status by 2020 as required by Directive 2008/56/EC,*** as in the multiannual Union programme referred to in Article 37(5) of the [Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy].

Amendment 156

Proposal for a regulation Article 79 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the management and use of data for the purpose of scientific analysis and CFP

(a) the ***collection,*** management and use of data for the purpose of scientific analysis

implementation;

and CFP implementation;

Amendment 157

Proposal for a regulation

Article 79 – paragraph 2 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) improvement of data collection and data management systems and implementation of pilot studies with the objective to improve the existing data collection and data management systems.

Amendment 158

Proposal for a regulation

Article 81 – point a – point iv

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv) promoting the exchange of best practices and dialogue at international level, including bilateral dialogue with third countries without prejudice to other agreements or arrangements which may exist between the EU and the third countries concerned;

(iv) promoting the exchange of best practices and dialogue at international level, including bilateral dialogue with third countries, ***taking into account the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) and the relevant existing international conventions based on UNCLOS***, without prejudice to other agreements or arrangements which may exist between the EU and the third countries concerned; ***such dialogue shall include, as appropriate, effective discussion on the ratification and the implementation of UNCLOS***;

Amendment 159

Proposal for a regulation

Article 81 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) the progressive development of a

(iii) the progressive development of a

comprehensive and publicly accessible high quality marine knowledge base which *shall facilitate* sharing, re-use and dissemination of these data and knowledge among various user groups.

comprehensive and publicly accessible high quality marine knowledge base which *facilitates* sharing, re-use and dissemination of these data and knowledge among various user groups, *thus avoiding duplication of the databases; for this purpose, the best use will be made of existing Union and Member State programmes, including INSPIRE and GMES.*

Amendment 160

Proposal for a regulation Article 81 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) Promote the improvement of cooperation between Member States mainly by exchanging information and best practices among the various coast guard functions aiming at achieving economies of scale and benefits for the Union. Particular attention should be paid to further collaboration or integration between the different coast guard functions with the prospect of creating a European Coast Guard.

Amendment 161

Proposal for a regulation Article 81 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) Supporting sustainable economic growth, employment, innovation and new technologies within emerging and prospective maritime sectors in coastal regions, in complementarity with established sectoral and national activities.

(c) Supporting sustainable economic growth, employment, innovation and new technologies within emerging and prospective maritime sectors *and* in coastal, *insular and outermost* regions *in the Union*, in complementarity with established sectoral and national activities.

Amendment 162

Proposal for a regulation Article 81 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) promoting the protection of the marine environment, in particular its biodiversity and marine protected areas such as Natura 2000 sites, and the sustainable use of marine and coastal resources **and to further define the boundaries of the sustainability of human activities that have an impact on the marine environment, in particular in the framework** of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive.

Amendment

(d) promoting the protection of the marine environment, in particular its biodiversity and marine protected areas such as Natura 2000 sites, and the sustainable use of marine and coastal resources **by applying an ecosystem-based approach to the management of human activities, in line with the goal of achieving or maintaining a good environmental status as required by the Marine Strategy Framework Directive.**

In order to reach this specific objective, the EMFF may support in particular:

(i) action to prevent and reduce discharges into the marine environment, including waste at sea, with a view to reducing pollution;

(ii) action to mitigate the effects of climate change on the marine, coastal and island environment and to facilitate adaptation to these changes, with particular attention in this respect to the most vulnerable areas;

Amendment 163

Proposal for a regulation Article 82 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In order to achieve the specific objective of developing cross-sectoral operations set out in Article 81 b), the EMFF may support:

Amendment

2. In order to achieve the specific objective of developing **cross-border and** cross-sectoral operations set out in Article 81 b) **and ba)**, the EMFF may support:

Amendment 164

Proposal for a regulation
Article 82 – paragraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***(aa) concentrated and coordinated actions
and initiatives for the EU Member States;***

Amendment 165

Proposal for a regulation
Article 84 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 84 a

Conservation measures

***In order to ensure efficient
implementation of conservation measures
under Articles 17 and 21 of the
[Regulation on Common Fisheries Policy]
the EMFF may support initiatives
undertaken by Member States to
cooperate and implement common
measures to achieve objectives and targets
agreed under multiannual plans
established pursuant to Articles 9, 10 and
11 of the [Regulation on Common
Fisheries Policy];***

Amendment 166

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) joint purchase by several Member States belonging to the same geographical area, of patrol vessels, aircrafts and helicopters, provided they are used at least **60%** of the time for fisheries control;

(a) joint purchase by several Member States belonging to the same geographical area, of patrol vessels, aircrafts and helicopters, provided they are used at least **75%** of the time for fisheries control;

Amendment 167

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The minimum EMFF contribution rate shall be 20%. ***deleted***

Justification

Technical error in proposal, to be deleted.

Amendment 168

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 100 % of the eligible public expenditure for the support under storage aid referred to in Article 70; ***deleted***

Amendment 169

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 3 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 65 % of the eligible expenditure for the support referred to in Article 79.

(e) 75 % of the eligible expenditure for the support referred to in Article 79.

Justification

The co-financing rate enabling data collection must be increased to encourage collection of comprehensive, good-quality information on fishing.

Amendment 170

Proposal for a regulation
Article 95 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the operation is related to the storage ***deleted***

aid referred to in Article 70;

Amendment 171

Proposal for a regulation

Article 95 – paragraph 3 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) the project aims to promote organically sustainable activity in accordance with the definition in Regulation (EC) No 834/2007.

Amendment 172

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. In addition to the general rules of Article 72 of [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions], and following the Commission decision approving the operational programme, an initial pre-financing amount for the whole programming period shall be paid by the Commission. This shall represent **4%** of the contribution from the Union budget to the operational programme concerned. It may be split into two instalments depending on budget availability.

1. In addition to the general rules of Article 72 of [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions], and following the Commission decision approving the operational programme, an initial pre-financing amount for the whole programming period shall be paid by the Commission. This shall represent **7%** of the contribution from the Union budget to the operational programme concerned. It may be split into two instalments depending on budget availability.

Amendment 173

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The beneficiary shall continue to comply with the admissibility conditions referred to in Article 12(1), after submitting the application and during the whole period of implementation of the operation and, ***for certain types of operation***, also for an

1. The beneficiary shall continue to comply with the admissibility conditions referred to in Article 12(1), after submitting the application and during the whole period of implementation of the operation and, also for an identified period of time after the

identified period of time after the last payment.

last payment.

Justification

To strengthen the conditions and compliance

Amendment 174

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall exercise the empowerment in full respect of the principle of proportionality and taking into account the risk that the non-compliance with the respective CFP rules constitutes a serious threats to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY, the sustainability of the stocks concerned or the conservation of the marine environment.

Amendment

The Commission shall exercise the empowerment in full respect of the principle of proportionality and taking into account the risk that the non-compliance with the respective CFP rules constitutes a serious threats to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the MSY **by 2015**, the sustainability of the stocks concerned, ***the achievement and maintenance of good environmental status by 2020*** or the conservation of the marine environment, ***based on the precautionary approach and an ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.***

Amendment 175

Proposal for a regulation

Article 117 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. For the cases of financial corrections applied on expenditure directly linked to the non-compliance with Article 105, the Member States shall decide the amount of a correction taking into account the gravity of the non compliance by the beneficiary

Amendment

3. For the cases of financial corrections applied on expenditure directly linked to the non-compliance with Article 105, the Member States shall decide the amount of a correction taking into account the gravity of the non compliance by the beneficiary

with CFP rules, the economic advantage derived from the non-compliance with CFP rules or the importance of the EMFF contribution to the economic activity of the beneficiary.

with CFP rules, the ***gravity of the damage to the ecosystem, fish stocks or the marine environment***, the economic advantage derived from the non-compliance with CFP rules or the importance of the EMFF contribution to the economic activity of the beneficiary.

Amendment 176

Proposal for a regulation

Article 119 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) the Member State has failed to submit the required reports on fleet capacity and its relationship with the available resources required under [Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy]

Amendment 177

Proposal for a regulation

Article 128 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. For the cases under paragraph 1(b) in case of non compliance with Article 105, and paragraph 1(d), the Commission shall base its financial corrections only on the expenditure directly linked to the non-compliance with CFP rules. The Commission shall decide the amount of a correction taking into account the gravity of the non compliance by the Member State or the beneficiary with CFP rules, the economic advantage derived from the non-compliance with CFP rules or the importance of the EMFF contribution to the economic activity of the beneficiary.

3. For the cases under paragraph 1(b) in case of non compliance with Article 105, and paragraph 1(d), the Commission shall base its financial corrections only on the expenditure directly linked to the non-compliance with CFP rules. The Commission shall decide the amount of a correction taking into account the gravity of the ***damage to the ecosystem, fish stocks or the marine environment, the gravity of the*** non compliance by the Member State or the beneficiary with CFP rules, the economic advantage derived from the non-compliance with CFP rules or the importance of the EMFF contribution to the economic activity of the beneficiary.

Amendment 178

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 143 – paragraph 4 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. With regards to access to environmental information, Directive 2003/4/EC and Regulations 1049/2001/EC and 1367/2006/EC shall apply.

Amendment 179

**Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – table 2 – row 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

<p>EMFF Priority: 6 Fostering the implementation of the CFP</p> <p>TO 6: protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency</p>	<p>Proven administrative capacity to comply with the data requirements for fisheries management set out in Article 37 of the [Regulation on the CFP]</p>	<p>– Proven administrative capacity to prepare and apply a multi-annual programme for data collection, to be reviewed by STECF and accepted by the Commission</p> <p>– Proven administrative capacity to prepare and implement an annual work plan for data collection, to be reviewed by STECF and accepted by the Commission</p> <p>– Sufficient capacity in human resources allocation to undertake bilateral or multilateral agreements with other MS if work to implement the data collection obligations is shared</p>
---	--	--

Amendment

<p>EMFF Priority: 6 Fostering the implementation of the</p>	<p>Proven administrative capacity to comply with the data requirements for fisheries management set out in Article 37 of the [Regulation on the CFP]</p>	<p>– Proven administrative capacity to prepare and apply a multi-annual programme for data collection, to</p>
---	--	---

<p>CFP</p> <p>TO 6: protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency</p>	<p><i>Assessment of the balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunities:</i></p> <p><i>Proven that specific analysis of the balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunity has been carried out for the effective implementation of fleet management measures</i></p>	<p>be reviewed by STECF and accepted by the Commission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Proven administrative capacity to prepare and implement an annual work plan for data collection, to be reviewed by STECF and accepted by the Commission – Sufficient capacity in human resources allocation to undertake bilateral or multilateral agreements with other MS if work to implement the data collection obligations is shared – <i>Proven administrative capacity to prepare and implement fleet capacity assessments;</i> – <i>Adequate reporting on efforts to establish a balance between fishing capacity and fishing opportunities as requested by Article 34 and Article 37 of the [Regulation on the Common Fisheries Policy].</i>
--	--	--

Justification

The proposal should make funding for fleets, vessels and gears conditional upon adequate assessment of fishing capacity in relation to available fishing opportunities. Several Member States fail to comply with the legal requirement to report on their efforts to balance fleet capacity in relation to fishing opportunities. Fleet assessments should be made as minimum basis to avoid unintended consequences and to spend modernisation aid in a more targeted manner.

PROCEDURE

Title	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (repeal of Council Regulations (EC) No 1198/2006, (EC) No 861/2006 and (EC) No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy)	
References	COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD)	
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	PECH 15.12.2011	
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	ENVI 15.12.2011	
Rapporteur Date appointed	Nikos Chrysogelos 1.2.2012	
Discussed in committee	7.5.2012	21.6.2012
Date adopted	28.11.2012	
Result of final vote	+: 49 -: 4 0: 1	
Members present for the final vote	Elena Oana Antonescu, Kriton Arsenis, Sophie Auconie, Pilar Ayuso, Paolo Bartolozzi, Sandrine Bélier, Sergio Berlato, Lajos Bokros, Nessa Childers, Yves Cochet, Chris Davies, Anne Delvaux, Bas Eickhout, Edite Estrela, Elisabetta Gardini, Matthias Groote, Françoise Grossetête, Satu Hassi, Jolanta Emilia Hibner, Dan Jørgensen, Karin Kadenbach, Christa Kläß, Eija-Riitta Korhola, Holger Kraemer, Jo Leinen, Peter Liese, Zofija Mazej Kukovič, Linda McAvan, Radvilė Morkūnaitė-Mikulėnienė, Vladko Todorov Panayotov, Antonia Parvanova, Andres Perello Rodriguez, Mario Pirillo, Oreste Rossi, Dagmar Roth-Behrendt, Carl Schlyter, Richard Seeber, Theodoros Skylakakis, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Salvatore Tatarella, Thomas Ulmer, Åsa Westlund, Glenis Willmott, Sabine Wils	
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	Nikos Chrysogelos, Julie Girling, Georgios Koumoutsakos, Judith A. Merkies, Britta Reimers, Birgit Schnieber-Jastram, Alda Sousa, Rebecca Taylor, Marita Ulvskog, Andrea Zaroni	

30.10.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT

for the Committee on Fisheries

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund repealing Council Regulation(EC) No 1198/2006 and Council Regulation(EC) No 861/2006 and Council Regulation(EC) No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy
(COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD))

Rapporteur: Rosa Estaràs Ferragut

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

Commission proposal

The Commission is proposing, for the next financial programming period, a new European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF), which will bring together measures that are eligible for funding under the Fisheries Policy and arrangements for financing the new Integrated Maritime Policy (IMP). By bringing these two policies together in one financial instrument, the Commission is aiming to simplify and reduce the administrative burden and to consolidate the new IMP for the future.

The EMFF will replace five regulations: Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 on the European Fisheries Fund, Regulation (EC) No 861/2006 establishing Community financial measures for the implementation of the Common Fisheries Policy and in the area of the Law of the Sea, the provisions of the Guarantee Fund relating to fishery and aquaculture products established by Regulation (EC) No 1290/2005 and Regulation (EC) No 791/2007 introducing a scheme to compensate for the additional costs incurred in the marketing of certain fishery products from the Azores, Madeira, the Canary Islands and the French departments of Guiana and Réunion.

The new fund will have a financial allocation of EUR 6 500 million, which is roughly the same amount as has been spent during the current programming period. Some EUR 5 520 million of this funding would chiefly be allocated to the Member States and the Commission for the shared management of the CFP. Some EUR 1 047 million would be earmarked for direct management by the IMP Committee and by measures designed to promote the application of both policies.

Where fisheries are concerned, the fund responds to the aims of the proposed reform of the basic CFP Regulation, which include encouraging the use of fishing gear that is more resource-friendly with a view to eliminating discards and establishing the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). It also includes the eligible measures referred to in the proposal on the common organisation of the market (COM) in fishery products.

The Commission is ruling out the possibility of support being provided for permanent cessations and temporary suspensions of fishing activity, taking the view that, in future, it will be possible to make changes to capacity by means of the new rights transfer scheme.

In addition, it is in favour of support for small-scale fishing and is seeking to promote innovation in fisheries and aquaculture and greater environmental sustainability through the use of more resource-friendly techniques, as well as promoting action to combat climate change.

The EMFF places particular emphasis on developing employment in coastal areas. One such measure is diversification into maritime activities other than fishing.

The proposal for the new fund also aims to strengthen social sustainability by recognising for the first time the role that spouses often play in family fishing businesses, in many cases without any legal recognition.

The rapporteur's position

The rapporteur welcomes the measures that the Commission has proposed with regard to the economic and social development of Europe's coastal areas, and agrees with most of the proposal's aims. She takes the view that the Commission is making positive efforts to improve the quality of life of the people living in these areas.

However, the Commission's approach consists of an overall reduction of the financial burden of fishing activities, which nevertheless constitute a vital sector for many areas, in favour of greater diversification into other maritime activities, with the new IMP also benefitting.

The objectives of the fund set out in the proposal need to be redefined to strengthen the important socio-economic role of the fishing sector and to acknowledge the fact that the processing and marketing sectors also play a role in job creation.

The rapporteur supports the establishment of a transferable quota rights scheme, provided that it is restricted to certain fleets, but she is opposed to the withdrawal of support for permanent cessations and temporary suspensions of activity. These measures have helped to adjust fishing capacity and soften the financial blow of serious situations such as fishing agreements coming to an end. This support ought to be retained, regardless of whether the Commission's proposal to introduce a management system based on transferable fishing concessions is ultimately rejected, as such a scheme would need time to adjust before it could have any effect on the EU's fishing capacity. Furthermore, the Commission's proposal contains very few socio-economic measures to help fishermen, other than those related to long-term training and the drawing-up of business plans when fishermen give up fishing. More measures are therefore needed to provide support for those who are forced out of the sector following the

cessation of a vessel's activity, such as early retirement and flat-rate aid.

The fishing sector, like all the others that make up the economy, also has to help combat climate change. There is therefore no justification for withdrawing support for improving the energy efficiency of engines. It is also vital that engines be modernised in order to ensure that the vessels are safe.

Furthermore, the proposal is rather patchy when it comes to action to encourage handover to future generations, which somewhat contradicts the efforts geared towards boosting the development of Europe's coastal areas.

In the past, the fisheries sector has faced traumatic situations caused by exceptional circumstances, such as natural disasters or large-scale pollution, and the EU did not have at its disposal the specific measures needed to deal with these events. The rapporteur takes the view that the opportunity presented by this new CFP reform to address this situation should not be wasted. To this end, she suggests the introduction of specific support to mitigate the effects of exceptional circumstances.

The draft report introduces a new definition, 'operator in the fisheries sector', which partly clarifies the beneficiaries that are specified in the Commission's proposal and partly widens their scope to cover all workers in the fisheries sector, including fishermen who gather seafood by hand. This applies to the measures geared towards improving safety and hygiene at work, which, in the Commission's proposal, are limited to fishermen working 'on board'.

The rapporteur also makes a change to the definition of small-scale fishing, on the grounds that this should not be based solely on vessel length, as the Commission proposes. This definition would take on particular significance in the reform, with the transferable fishing rights scheme being brought in. The small-scale fleet must be expressly excluded from this scheme, in order to stop large vessels gaining control over the majority of fish stocks. This would cause major social and economic problems in many coastal regions.

The draft report also amends the Commission text when it comes to discards and the MSY, opposing the aims the Commission sets out on the two issues in its proposal on the basic CFP regulation.

As regards market stabilisation measures eligible for aid, the draft report acknowledges the European sector's concerns in ruling out the withdrawal of support for private storage in 2019 and the immediate withdrawal of compensation payments in the tuna sector.

On aquaculture, the Commission proposal is too restrictive in limiting support from the fund to innovation within SMEs and micro-enterprises. Very often large companies are the only ones able to make the necessary investment, and given that innovation is one of the objectives of this proposal, the restrictions suggested by the Commission are contradictory. The rapporteur sees fishing as a sector of the future that needs to be promoted: restrictions in the new financial instrument should be avoided.

Furthermore, the Commission does not deal in enough detail with most of the activities related to fishing itself. With this in mind, this draft report contains a series of amendments

designed to broaden support to cover processing and marketing, sectors that should not be excluded from the implementation of local development strategies.

Generally speaking, the rapporteur agrees with the new guidelines that the Commission has introduced. She suggests changes that often echo the objectives set out by the Commission, but that sometimes call for certain elements of the old European Fisheries Fund to be reinstated and to continue to apply for the next financial programming period.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Regional Development calls on the Committee on Fisheries, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation

Citation 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 42, Article 43(2), Article 91(1), Article 100(2), Article 173(3), Article 175, Article 188, Article 192(1), Article 194(2) **and** Article 195(2) thereof,

Amendment

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 42, Article 43(2), Article 91(1), Article 100(2), Article 173(3), Article 175, Article 188, Article 192(1), Article 194(2), Article 195(2) **and Article 349** thereof,

Justification

For legal consistency, Article 340 TFEU must be included as the basis for the Regulation since the derogations provided for there are fully justified by the characteristics set out in Article 349 TFEU, particularly where different sea basins from those of continental Europe are involved.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) The scope of the EMFF should cover the support of the CFP which extends to conservation, management and exploitation

Amendment

(2) The scope of the EMFF should cover the support of the CFP which extends to conservation, management and exploitation

of marine biological resources, fresh water biological resources and- aquaculture, as well as to the processing and marketing of fishery and aquaculture products, where such activities take place on the territory of Member States, or in Union waters, ***including by fishing vessels flying the flag of, and registered in, third countries***, or by Union fishing vessels, or by nationals of Member States, without prejudice to the primary responsibility of the flag State, bearing in mind the provisions of Article 117 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

of marine biological resources, fresh water biological resources and aquaculture, as well as to the processing and marketing of fishery and aquaculture products, where such activities take place on the territory of Member States, or in Union waters, or by Union fishing vessels, or by nationals of Member States, without prejudice to the primary responsibility of the flag State, bearing in mind the provisions of Article 117 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

Justification

Including fishing vessels flying the flag of, and registered in, third countries does not appear to be applicable in terms of the allocation and controls of EU assistance.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2a) The EMFF should take account of the specific situation of the outermost regions in full recognition of the application of Article 349 TFEU. The situation of the outermost regions and the specific nature of the fisheries sector in those regions means that the Common Fisheries Policy and the associated funds, in particular the EMFF, can be adapted and can adapt to the specific features, constraints, additional costs and realities of those regions, which profoundly differ from the rest of the European Union. To that end, specific measures may be authorised for these regions.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) To ensure that the EMFF contributes to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, the IMP and the Europe 2020 Strategy, it is necessary to focus on a limited number of core priorities relating to fostering innovation and knowledge based fisheries and aquaculture, promoting sustainable and resource-efficient fishing and aquaculture, and increasing employment and territorial cohesion *by unlocking the growth and job potential of coastal and inland fisheries communities and promoting diversification of fisheries activities into other sectors of the marine economy.*

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) The overall objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure that fishing and aquaculture activities contribute to long-term sustainable environmental conditions which are necessary for economic and social development. It should contribute moreover to increased productivity, a fair standard of living for the fisheries sector, stable markets, ensure the availability of resources and that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices.

Amendment

(6) To ensure that the EMFF contributes to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, the IMP and the Europe 2020 Strategy, it is necessary to focus on a limited number of core priorities relating to fostering innovation and knowledge based fisheries and aquaculture, promoting sustainable and resource-efficient fishing and aquaculture, and *maintaining and increasing employment and the social and territorial cohesion of coastal and inland fisheries and aquaculture* communities.

Amendment

(8) The overall objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure that fishing and aquaculture activities contribute to long-term sustainable environmental conditions *and to the economic and social development of the sector*, which are necessary for economic and social development. It should contribute moreover to increased productivity, a fair standard of living for the fisheries sector, stable markets, ensure the availability of resources and that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) It is paramount to better integrate environmental concerns into the CFP which should deliver on the objectives and targets of the Union's environmental policy and the Europe 2020 Strategy. The CFP is aimed at an exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains fish stocks at levels which can **produce** the maximum sustainable yield, not later than **2015**. The CFP shall implement the precautionary and eco-system approaches to fisheries management. Consequently the EMFF should contribute to the protection of the marine environment as set out in the Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).

Amendment

(9) It is paramount to better integrate environmental concerns into the CFP which should deliver on the objectives and targets of the Union's environmental policy and the Europe 2020 Strategy. The CFP is aimed at an exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains fish stocks at levels which can **meet the target set with regard to** the maximum sustainable yield, not later than **2020**. The CFP shall implement the precautionary and eco-system approaches to fisheries management. Consequently the EMFF should contribute to the protection of the marine environment as set out in the Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) The financing of the Common Fisheries Policy and *Maritime Integrated Policy* expenditure through a single fund, the EMFF, should address the need for simplification as well as strengthening the integration of both policies. The extension of shared management to Common Markets Organisations including the compensation for the outermost regions, control and data collection activities should further contribute to simplification and

Amendment

(11) The financing of the Common Fisheries Policy and *Integrated Maritime Policy* expenditure through a single fund, the EMFF, should address the need for simplification as well as strengthening the integration of both policies. The extension of shared management to Common Markets Organisations including the compensation for the outermost regions, control and data collection activities **and complementary tools for implementation**

reduce the administrative burden both for the Commission and the Member States as well as achieve greater coherence and efficiency of the support granted.

of the Integrated Maritime Policy should further contribute to simplification and reduce the administrative burden both for the Commission and the Member States as well as achieve greater coherence and efficiency of the support granted.

Justification

Part of the Integrated Maritime Policy should be incorporated into the measures under shared management in order to participate in its operational implementation in the EU Member States.

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is disbursed to operators who, ex-ante, do not comply with requirements related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources. Therefore only operators should be admissible who, within a particular period of time before lodging an application for aid, were not involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels included in the Union IUU vessel list as set out in Article 40(3) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 of 29 September 2008 establishing a Community system to prevent, deter and eliminate illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, amending Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93, (EC) No 1936/2001 and (EC) No 601/2004 and repealing Regulations (EC) No 1093/94 and (EC) No 1447/1999, and who have not committed a serious infringement under 42 of the Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 or Article 90(1) of the Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system

Amendment

(15) The achievement of the objectives of the CFP would be undermined if Union financial assistance under EMFF is disbursed to operators who, ex-ante, do not comply with requirements related to the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources. Therefore only operators should be admissible who, within a particular period of time before lodging an application for aid, were not involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels included in the Union IUU vessel list as set out in Article 40(3) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 of 29 September 2008 establishing a Community system to prevent, deter and eliminate illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, amending Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93, (EC) No 1936/2001 and (EC) No 601/2004 and repealing Regulations (EC) No 1093/94 and (EC) No 1447/1999, and who have not committed a serious infringement under 42 of the Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 or Article 90(1) of the Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system

for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy, amending Regulations (EC) No 847/96, (EC) No 2371/2002, (EC) No 811/2004, (EC) No 768/2005, (EC) No 2115/2005, (EC) No 2166/2005, (EC) No 388/2006, (EC) No 509/2007, (EC) No 676/2007, (EC) No 1098/2007, (EC) No 1300/2008, (EC) No 1342/2008 and repealing Regulations (EEC) No 2847/93, (EC) No 1627/94 and (EC) No 1966/2006. or other cases of non-compliance with CFP rules which particularly jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned and constitute a serious threats to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species *above* levels *which can produce* the Maximum Sustainable Yield (hereinafter MSY).

for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy, amending Regulations (EC) No 847/96, (EC) No 2371/2002, (EC) No 811/2004, (EC) No 768/2005, (EC) No 2115/2005, (EC) No 2166/2005, (EC) No 388/2006, (EC) No 509/2007, (EC) No 676/2007, (EC) No 1098/2007, (EC) No 1300/2008, (EC) No 1342/2008 and repealing Regulations (EEC) No 2847/93, (EC) No 1627/94 and (EC) No 1966/2006. or other cases of non-compliance with CFP rules which particularly jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned and constitute a serious threats to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species *at* levels *close to* the Maximum Sustainable Yield (hereinafter MSY).

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) Action by the Union should be complementary to action carried out by Member States or seek to contribute to that action. In order to ensure significant added value the partnership between the Commission and Member States should be strengthened through arrangements for the participation of various types of partners with full regard to the institutional competences of the Member States. Particular attention should be paid to ensuring adequate representation for women and minority groups. This partnership concerns regional, local and other public authorities, as well as other appropriate bodies, including those responsible for the environment and for the promotion of equality between men and women, the economic and social partners

Amendment

(22) Action by the Union should be complementary to action carried out by Member States or seek to contribute to that action. In order to ensure significant added value the partnership between the Commission and Member States should be strengthened through arrangements for the participation of various types of partners with full regard to the institutional competences of the Member States. Particular attention should be paid to ensuring adequate representation for women and minority groups. This partnership concerns regional, local and other public authorities, as well as other appropriate bodies, including those responsible for the environment and for the promotion of equality between men and women, the economic and social partners

and other competent bodies. The partners concerned should be involved in the preparation of Partnership Contracts, as well as in the preparation, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of programming.

at all levels of governance and other competent bodies. The partners concerned should be involved in the preparation of Partnership Contracts, as well as in the preparation, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of programming.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation Recital 34

Text proposed by the Commission

(34) Conscious of the *weak* presence of small scale coastal fishermen in the social dialogue, the EMFF should support organisations promoting this dialogue in the appropriate fora.

Amendment

(34) Conscious of the *growing need for the presence of small scale coastal fishermen and representatives of other types of fisheries* in the social dialogue, the EMFF should support organisations promoting this dialogue in the appropriate fora.

Justification

The need for appropriate representation in the social dialogue is common to all types of fishermen in European fisheries. An all-inclusive approach is essential.

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

(35) Conscious of the potential that diversification offers for small scale coastal fishermen and their crucial role in coastal communities, the EMFF should help *diversification* by covering business start-ups and investments for the retrofitting of their vessels, in addition to the relevant training to acquire professional skills *in the relevant field outside fishing activities*.

Amendment

(35) Conscious of the potential that *professional versatility and* diversification, *as defined in Article 3*, offers for small scale coastal fishermen and their crucial role in coastal communities, the EMFF should help *them to diversify their activities* by covering business start-ups and investments for the retrofitting of their vessels, in addition to the relevant training to acquire *appropriate* professional skills.

Justification

The European Union does too little to support and encourage versatility in fisheries activities although this is an option which can allow businesses to increase their turnover and to contribute to balanced resource management whilst remaining fully within the fisheries sector. In the event of a crisis, this versatility will considerably enhance the resilience of businesses in the face of market or resource-related uncertainties.

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

(35) Conscious of the potential that diversification offers for small scale coastal fishermen and their crucial role in coastal communities, the EMFF should help diversification by covering business start-ups and investments for the retrofitting of their vessels, in addition to the relevant training to acquire professional skills in the relevant field outside fishing activities.

Amendment

(35) Conscious of the potential that diversification offers for small scale coastal fishermen and their crucial role in coastal communities, the EMFF should help diversification ***within fishing and ancillary activities*** by covering business start-ups and investments for the retrofitting of their vessels, in addition to the relevant training to acquire professional skills in the relevant field outside ***other*** fishing activities.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 36

Text proposed by the Commission

(36) In order to address health and safety needs on board, the EMFF should support investments covering safety and hygiene on board.

Amendment

(36) In order to address health and safety needs on board, the EMFF should support investments covering safety and hygiene on board, ***as well as improved living conditions***.

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) The objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure a sustainable exploitation of fish stocks. Overcapacity has been identified as a major driver for overfishing. It is therefore paramount to adapt the Union fishing fleet to the resources available. ***The removal of overcapacity through public aid such as temporary or permanent cessation and scrapping schemes has proven ineffective. The EMFF will therefore support the establishment and management of systems of transferable fishing concessions aiming at the reduction of overcapacity and increased economic performance and profitability of the operators concerned.***

Amendment

(39) The objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to ensure a sustainable exploitation of fish stocks. Overcapacity has been identified as a major driver for overfishing. It is therefore paramount to adapt the Union fishing fleet to the resources available. ***To achieve this objective, public aid for temporary suspension and permanent cessation schemes and scrapping should be retained. Furthermore, the EMFF will therefore support the establishment and management of systems of transferable fishing concessions aiming at the reduction of overcapacity and increased economic performance and profitability of the operators concerned.***

Justification

Support for the permanent cessation and temporary suspension of activity has helped reduce the capacity of the European fleet. This support also helps mitigate the social and economic effects of critical situations in the sector, so it would not be appropriate to withdraw it.

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) It is paramount to integrate environmental concerns into the EMFF and support the implementation of conservation measures under the CFP taking however into account the diverse conditions throughout the Union waters. For this purpose it is essential to develop a regionalised approach to conservation measures.

Amendment

(41) It is paramount to integrate environmental concerns into the EMFF and support the implementation of conservation measures under the CFP taking however into account the diverse conditions throughout the Union waters. For this purpose it is essential to develop a regionalised approach to conservation measures, ***adopting a multiannual***

approach to fisheries management that gives priority to setting multiannual plans that reflect the specific biological characteristics of the various species and the specific nature of each fishery.

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

(43) In line with the ***discard ban*** introduced by the CFP, the EMFF should support investments on board aiming at make the best use of unwanted fish caught and valorise underused components of the fish caught. Considering the scarcity of the resources, in order to maximise the value of the fish caught, the EMFF should also support investments on board aiming at adding commercial value to fish caught.

Amendment

(43) In line with the ***gradual reduction of discards*** introduced by the CFP, the EMFF should support investments on board aiming at make the best use of unwanted fish caught and valorise underused components of the fish caught. Considering the scarcity of the resources, in order to maximise the value of the fish caught, the EMFF should also support investments on board aiming at adding commercial value to fish caught.

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation Recital 44

Text proposed by the Commission

(44) Conscious of the importance of fishing ports, landing sites ***and*** shelters, the EMFF should support relevant investments in particular to increase energy efficiency, environmental protection, the quality of the product landed, as well as safety and working conditions.

Amendment

(44) Conscious of the importance of fishing ports, landing sites, shelters ***and auction halls***, the EMFF should support relevant investments in particular to increase energy efficiency, environmental protection, the quality of the product landed, as well as safety and working conditions.

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation Recital 48

Text proposed by the Commission

(48) New forms of income combined with aquaculture activities have already shown their added value for business development. Therefore the EMFF should support these complementary activities outside aquaculture such as angling-tourism, educational or environmental activities.

Amendment

(48) New forms of income combined with aquaculture activities have already shown their added value for business development. Therefore the EMFF should support these complementary activities outside aquaculture such as angling-tourism **and** educational, **promotional** or environmental activities.

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 49

Text proposed by the Commission

(49) *An other* important form of increasing the income of aquaculture enterprises is adding value to their products by processing and marketing their own production, as well as introducing new species with good market prospects and thus diversifying their production.

Amendment

(49) *Another* important form of increasing the income of aquaculture enterprises is adding value to their products by processing and marketing their own production, as well as introducing new species **that are biologically compatible** with **existing species and have** good market prospects and thus diversifying their production.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 54

Text proposed by the Commission

(54) *Recognizing* the risk of investments in aquaculture activities, the EMFF should contribute to business security by covering access to stock insurance and therefore safeguarding the income of producers in case of abnormal production losses due in particular to natural disasters, adverse climatic events, sudden water quality changes, diseases or pest infestations and

Amendment

(54) *Recognising* the risk of investments in aquaculture activities, the EMFF should contribute to business security by covering access to stock insurance and therefore safeguarding the income of producers in case of abnormal production losses due in particular to natural disasters, **oil spills and inadequate or non-existent comprehensive water treatment**, adverse climatic events, sudden water quality changes, diseases or

destruction of production facilities.

pest infestations, ***expenses resulting from the gathering and destruction of animals that have died on the farm*** and destruction of production facilities.

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 56

Text proposed by the Commission

(56) In fisheries areas, community-led local development should encourage innovative approaches to create growth and jobs, in particular by adding value to fisheries products and diversifying the local economy towards ***new*** economic activities, ***including those offered by "blue growth" and the broader maritime sectors.***

Amendment

(56) In fisheries ***and aquaculture*** areas, community-led local development should encourage innovative approaches to create growth and jobs, in particular by adding value to fisheries ***and aquaculture*** products and diversifying the local economy, ***where necessary***, towards ***other*** economic activities ***within the fisheries sector, whilst maintaining and reinforcing local employment and local fisheries and aquaculture businesses in order to preserve a dynamic primary sector on the coastline.***

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 61

Text proposed by the Commission

(61) In order to ensure the viability of fisheries and aquaculture in a highly competitive market, it is necessary to lay down provisions granting support for the implementation of the [Regulation (EU) No on the common organisation of the markets in fishery and aquaculture products] as well as for marketing and processing activities carried by operators to maximise the value of fisheries and aquaculture products. Particular attention should be paid to the promotion of operations which integrate producing,

Amendment

(61) In order to ensure the viability of fisheries and aquaculture in a highly competitive market, it is necessary to lay down provisions granting support for the implementation of the [Regulation (EU) No on the common organisation of the markets in fishery and aquaculture products] as well as for marketing and processing activities carried by operators to maximise the value of fisheries and aquaculture products. Particular attention should be paid to the promotion of operations which integrate producing,

processing and marketing activities of the supply chain. In order to adapt to the new discard **ban** policy, the EMFF should also support the processing of unwanted catches.

processing and marketing activities of the supply chain. In order to adapt to the new discard **reduction** policy, the EMFF should also support the processing of unwanted catches.

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation Recital 62

Text proposed by the Commission

(62) Priority should be given to producer organisations and associations of producer organisations by granting them support. The compensation for storage aid and aid for production and marketing plans should ***gradually be phased out as the importance of this particular kind of support has lost its interest in the light of the evolving structure of the Union market for this kind of products and the growing importance of strong producer's organisations.***

Amendment

(62) Priority should be given to producer organisations and associations of producer organisations by granting them support. The compensation for storage aid and aid for production and marketing plans should be ***continued.***

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation Recital 63

Text proposed by the Commission

(63) Recognising the growing competition small scale coastal fishermen are confronted *to*, the EMFF should support entrepreneurial initiatives of small scale coastal fishermen adding value to the fish they catch, in particular by carrying out the processing or direct marketing of the fish they catch.

Amendment

(63) Recognising the growing competition small scale coastal fishermen are confronted ***with and the fact that certain coastal communities are dependent on fishing***, the EMFF should support entrepreneurial initiatives of small scale coastal fishermen adding value to the fish they catch, in particular by carrying out the processing or direct marketing of the fish they catch.

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation Recital 64

Text proposed by the Commission

(64) Fishing activities in the outermost regions of the European Union are facing difficulties, in particular because of the additional costs incurred in the marketing of *certain* fishery products, due to the particular handicaps recognised by Article 349 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

Amendment

(64) Fishing activities in the outermost regions of the European Union are facing difficulties, in particular because of the additional costs incurred in the ***production, processing and*** marketing of fishery ***and aquaculture*** products, due to the particular handicaps recognised by Article 349 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation Recital 65

Text proposed by the Commission

(65) In order to maintain the competitiveness of certain fishery products from the outermost regions of the European Union compared with that of similar products from other European Union's regions, the European Union introduced measures in 1992 to compensate for the related additional costs in the fisheries sector. The measures applying for the period 2007-2013 are laid down in Council Regulation (EC) No 791/2007. It is necessary to continue providing support to offset the additional costs for the marketing of certain fishery products as of 1 January 2014.

Amendment

(65) In order to maintain the competitiveness of certain fishery ***and aquaculture*** products from the outermost regions of the European Union compared with that of similar products from other European Union's regions, the European Union introduced measures in 1992 to compensate for the related additional costs in the fisheries sector. The measures applying for the period 2007-2013 are laid down in Council Regulation (EC) No 791/2007. It is necessary to continue providing support to offset the additional costs for the marketing of certain fishery products as of 1 January 2014. ***It is necessary to expand the support given by Council Regulation (EC) No 791/2007, with effect from 1 January 2014, to offset the additional costs for the production, processing and marketing of fishery and aquaculture products, for exports destined for the Community market or on the local market.***

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation Recital 66

Text proposed by the Commission

(66) In view of the different marketing conditions in the outermost regions concerned, the fluctuations in captures and stocks and of market demands, it should be left to the Member States concerned to determine the fishery products eligible for compensation, their respective maximum quantities and the compensation amounts within the overall allocation per Member State.

Amendment

(66) In view of the different ***production, processing and*** marketing conditions ***for fishery and aquaculture products*** in the outermost regions concerned, ***and*** the fluctuations in captures and stocks and of market demands, it should be left to the Member States concerned to determine the fishery ***and aquaculture*** products ***or product categories*** eligible for compensation, their respective maximum quantities and the compensation amounts within the overall allocation per Member State.

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation Recital 68

Text proposed by the Commission

(68) Member States should set the compensation amount at a level which allows appropriate off-setting of additional costs, arising from the specific handicaps of the outermost regions ***and in particular from the costs of transporting the products to mainland Europe***. To avoid overcompensation, the amount should be proportional to the additional costs the aid off-sets and in no case exceed 100 % of the transport and other related costs to mainland Europe. To this end, it should also take into account other types of public intervention having an impact on the level of additional costs.

Amendment

(68) Member States should set the compensation amount at a level which allows appropriate off-setting of additional costs, arising from the specific handicaps of the outermost regions. To avoid overcompensation, the amount should be proportional to the additional costs the aid off-sets and in no case exceed 100 % of the transport and other related costs to continental Europe. To this end, it should also take into account other types of public intervention having an impact on the level of additional costs.

Justification

The availability of assistance should not have the effect of limiting trade between the outermost regions.

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation Recital 69 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(69a) Specific measures for outermost regions have been very useful in compensating for the disadvantages that outlying islands suffer. With a view to the next financial programming period, careful consideration should be given to similar measures for those islands in the EU that are similar to the regions in which these agricultural policy instruments have proven so effective.

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation Recital 79

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(79) Interconnection of the certain information systems run by those sectors may require mobilisation of their own funding mechanisms in a coherent way and in line with Treaty provisions. Maritime spatial planning and integrated coastal zone management are essential for the sustainable development of marine areas and coastal regions and both contributing to the aims of ecosystem-based management and the development of land-sea links. These tools are also important to manage diverse uses of our coasts, seas and oceans to enable their sustainable economic development and to stimulate cross-border investment, whereas the

(79) Interconnection of the certain information systems run by those sectors may require mobilisation of their own funding mechanisms in a coherent way and in line with Treaty provisions. Maritime spatial planning and integrated coastal zone management are essential for the sustainable development of marine areas and coastal regions and both contributing to the aims of ecosystem-based management and the development of land-sea links. These tools are also important to manage diverse uses of our coasts, seas and oceans to enable their sustainable economic development and to stimulate cross-border investment, whereas the

implementation of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive will further define the boundaries of sustainability of human activities that have an impact on the marine environment. Furthermore, it is necessary to improve knowledge of the marine world, and stimulate innovation by facilitating collection, free sharing, re-use and dissemination of data concerning the status of oceans and seas.

implementation of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive will further define the boundaries of sustainability of human activities that have an impact on the marine environment. Furthermore, it is necessary to improve knowledge of the marine world, and stimulate innovation by facilitating collection, free sharing, re-use and dissemination of data concerning the status of oceans and seas ***and the status of fisheries, making it available to end users and the general public.***

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 84

Text proposed by the Commission

(84) By way of technical assistance the EMFF should provide preparatory, administrative and technical support as well as support for information measures, networking, evaluations, audits, studies and exchanges of experience in order to facilitate the implementation of the operational programme and to promote innovative approaches and practices for simple and transparent implementation. Technical assistance should also include the setting up of a European network of Fisheries Local Action Groups aiming at capacity building, disseminating information, exchanging experience and supporting cooperation between the local partnerships.

Amendment

(84) By way of technical assistance the EMFF should provide preparatory, administrative and technical support as well as support for information measures, networking, evaluations, audits, studies and exchanges of experience in order to facilitate the implementation of the operational programme and to promote innovative approaches and practices for simple and transparent implementation, ***including measures to benefit fisheries operators and organisations.*** Technical assistance should also include the setting up of a European network of Fisheries Local Action Groups aiming at capacity building, disseminating information, exchanging experience and supporting cooperation between the local partnerships.

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation Recital 88

Text proposed by the Commission

(88) Conscious of the importance of ensuring conservation of marine biological and protecting fish stocks in particular from illegal fishing and in the spirit of the conclusions drawn in the Green Paper on the Reform of the CFP, those operators who do not comply with the rules of the CFP, and particularly jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned and constitute therefore a serious threat to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species **above** levels **which can produce** the MSY, and those who are involved in IUU fishing should be excluded from support under the EMFF. Union funding should not at any stage from the selection to the implementation of an operation be used to undermine the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources expressed in the objectives of the CFP Regulation.

Amendment

(88) Conscious of the importance of ensuring conservation of marine biological and protecting fish stocks in particular from illegal fishing and in the spirit of the conclusions drawn in the Green Paper on the Reform of the CFP, those operators who do not comply with the rules of the CFP, and particularly jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks concerned and constitute therefore a serious threat to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species **at** levels **close to** the MSY, and those who are involved in IUU fishing should be excluded from support under the EMFF. Union funding should not at any stage from the selection to the implementation of an operation be used to undermine the public interest of conservation of marine biological resources expressed in the objectives of the CFP Regulation.

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation Recital 93

Text proposed by the Commission

(93) The rules and procedures governing commitments and payments should be simplified so that a regular cash flow is ensured. A pre-financing of **4 %** of the contribution from the EMFF should help speeding up the implementation of the operational programme.

Amendment

(93) The rules and procedures governing commitments and payments should be simplified so that a regular cash flow is ensured. A pre-financing of **7 %** of the contribution from the EMFF should help speeding up the implementation of the operational programme.

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the sustainable development of fisheries areas **and** inland fishing,

Amendment

(c) the sustainable development of fisheries areas, inland fishing **and aquaculture**,

Amendment 35

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) 'fisheries area' means an area with sea or lake shore or including ponds or a river estuary with a significant level of employment in fisheries or aquaculture and designated as such by the Member State;

Amendment

(5) 'fisheries **and aquaculture** area' means an area with sea, **river** or lake shore or including ponds or a river estuary with a significant level of employment in fisheries or aquaculture and designated as such by the Member State;

Justification

The Commission's definition fails to take account of the activity of freshwater aquaculture that is carried out in rivers, such as trout farming.

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) 'fisherman' means any person engaging in professional fishing, as recognised by the Member State, on board of an operational fishing vessel or engaging in professional harvesting of marine organisms, as recognised by the Member State, without a vessel;

Amendment

(6) 'fisherman' means any person engaging in professional fishing, as recognised by the Member State, on board of an operational fishing vessel or engaging in professional **rearing or** harvesting of marine organisms, as recognised by the Member State, without a vessel;

Amendment 37

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) ‘Integrated Maritime Policy’ (IMP) means a Union policy whose aim is to ***foster coordinated and coherent decision making to maximise the sustainable development, economic growth and social cohesion of Member States, and notably the coastal, insular and outermost regions in the Union, as well as maritime sectors, through coherent maritime-related policies and relevant international cooperation;***

Amendment

7) ‘Integrated Maritime Policy’ (IMP) means a Union policy whose aim is to ***establish and implement an integrated, coherent, transparent and sustainable decision making procedure for oceans, seas in coastal, island and outermost regions and for maritime sectors;***

Justification

This definition is in line with the one set out in the Commission communication of 10 October 2007 on an Integrated Maritime Policy for the European Union.

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16a) 'collective projects' means actions in the common interest implemented collectively by the operators themselves or by the organisations acting on behalf of the producers, or by other organisations recognised by the Member State, seeking in particular to:

(a) contribute sustainably to better management or conservation of resources;

(b) promote selective fishing methods or gears and reduction of by-catches;

(c) remove lost fishing gear from the sea bed in order to combat ghost fishing;

- (d) improve working conditions and safety;*
- (e) contribute to the transparency of markets in fisheries and aquaculture products including traceability;*
- (f) improve quality and food safety;*
- (g) develop, restructure or improve aquaculture sites;*
- (h) make investments concerning production, processing or marketing equipment and infrastructure including for waste treatment;*
- (i) upgrade professional skills, or develop new training methods and tools;*
- (j) promote partnership between scientists and operators in the fisheries sector;*
- (k) develop networking and exchange of experience and best practice among organisations promoting equal opportunities between men and women and other stakeholders;*
- (l) improve management and control of access conditions to fishing areas, in particular through the drawing up of local management plans approved by the competent national authorities;*
- (n) enhance internal and external communication in and between organisations of fishermen and aquaculture farmers and organisations of producers;*

Amendment 39

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 16 b (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16b) 'organisation of fishermen or aquaculture farmers' means a professional organisation recognised by the Member State representing the fishing

sector interests, and responsible for managing resource access and professional fishing and aquaculture activities, other than the producer organisations defined in Regulation (EU) No ... on the common organisation of the markets in fishery and aquaculture products;

Amendment 40

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*(19a) ‘operator in the fisheries sector’:
means a natural or legal person
operating, owning property or working,
either as a self-employed person or for a
company, in relation to an activity linked
to any of the stages in the production
chain for fisheries products.*

Justification

There is a need for a definition that covers the entire fisheries sector, in order to clarify the scope of the support provided under the new regulation from which in many cases all operators can benefit, including those who use traps or fishermen who perform ancillary tasks (net makers, packers, etc.).

Amendment 41

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) promoting *sustainable and* competitive fisheries and aquaculture;

(a) promoting competitive fisheries and aquaculture, *including processing and marketing, as well as the economic, social and environmental sustainability of these areas;*

Justification

The rapporteur takes the view that it is vital to boost the social and economic dimension of the objectives of the new fund, as it is important to remember that fishing is crucial for the prosperity of many coastal regions in the EU.

Amendment 42

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) promoting a balanced and inclusive territorial development of fisheries areas;

Amendment

(c) promoting a balanced and inclusive territorial development of fisheries **and aquaculture** areas;

Amendment 43

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – point 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) diversification of fisheries activities **into other** sectors of maritime economy **and growth of maritime economy, including mitigation of climate change.**

Amendment

(b) diversification of fishing activities, **including diversification in the** sectors of the maritime economy.

Justification

The rapporteur suggests that the priority proposed by the Commission be split in two. She also sees no reason why diversification should necessarily take place outside the fisheries sector. Fishing activities could provide job opportunities, and these should not be automatically ignored.

Amendment 44

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – point 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) growth of the maritime economy, including climate change.

Justification

The rapporteur suggests that the priority proposed by the Commission in point (b) be split in two.

Amendement 45

Proposition de règlement

Article 6 – point 1 – point b b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

bb) support given to fishermen facing temporary and permanent cessation of activities and cyclical losses linked to random problems.

Justification

The EMFF should contribute to compensation for temporary cessation and losses suffered by fishermen due to exceptional events beyond their control.

Amendment 46

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – point 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) renewal and modernisation of the fishing fleet;

Justification

The EU's fishing fleet is growing old (half of the vessels are over 25 years old). This poses serious problems as regards onboard safety and care of the marine environment. The EMFF should therefore support fleet renewal on certain strict terms and conditions, by providing funding to replace dilapidated vessels with new ones. This should not in any way serve to increase the vessels' capacity.

Amendment 47

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – point 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) enhancement of the competitiveness and viability of fisheries, in particular of small scale coastal fleet, and improvement of safety or working conditions;

Amendment

(b) enhancement of the competitiveness and viability of fisheries, in particular of small scale coastal fleet, and improvement of safety or working conditions, ***fostering the promotion of decent work that complies scrupulously with European and international labour law, adequate social protection and social dialogue;***

Amendment 48

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – point 4 – point a**

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) reduction of the impact of fisheries on the marine environment;

Amendment

(a) reduction of the impact of fisheries on the marine environment, ***by encouraging the use of more sustainable fishing gear;***

Amendment 49

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – point 6 – point a**

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the supply of scientific knowledge and collection of data;

Amendment

(a) ***the definition and promotion of*** the supply of scientific knowledge and collection of data ***and environmental, economic and social indicators, given the lack of scientific indicators on the state of fisheries, and economic and social indicators;***

Amendment 50

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) construction of new fishing vessels, **decommissioning** or importation of fishing vessels;

(b) construction of new fishing vessels or importation of fishing vessels;

Justification

Support for decommissioning is needed to for the continued restructuring of the European fleet. This will help make it possible to better tailor fishing capacity to the resources available. The Court of Auditors' report on the functioning of the EFF recommended improving the effectiveness of such aid, but did not say anything about withdrawing it.

Amendment 51

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 –point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **construction of new fishing vessels, decommissioning or** importation of fishing vessels;

(b) importation of fishing vessels;

Amendment 52

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) **temporary cessation of fishing activities;**

deleted

Justification

European support for the cessation of fishing activities has helped deal with crisis situations, while keeping the social costs of such situations to a minimum.

Amendment 53

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) experimental fishing;

deleted

Amendment 54

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. The resources allocated to compensation of outermost regions under Chapter V of Title V, shall not exceed per year:

- EUR **4 300 000** for the Azores and Madeira;
- EUR **5 800 000** for the Canary Islands;
- EUR **4 900 000** for *the* French Guiana and Réunion.

5. The resources allocated to compensation of outermost regions under Chapter V of Title V, shall not exceed per year:

- EUR **8 300 000** for the Azores and Madeira;
- EUR **11 600 000** for the Canary Islands;
- EUR **9 800 000** for French Guiana and Réunion.

Justification

The EU's outermost regions are being hit hard by the economic crisis, with unemployment rates over the EU average. The rapporteur suggests doubling the amounts earmarked for these regions in order to compensate them for the high costs they face as outlying islands. A total increase of EUR 15 million is being proposed, which would be taken from the amounts earmarked for direct EMFF management measures. This guarantees that this is a budget-neutral step.

Amendment 55

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

An amount of EUR **1 047 000 000** of the EMFF shall be allocated to measures under direct management as specified in Chapter I and II of Title VI. This amount includes technical assistance under Article 91.

An amount of EUR **1 032 000 000** of the EMFF shall be allocated to measures under direct management as specified in Chapter I and II of Title VI. This amount includes technical assistance under Article 91.

Justification

The Commission should provide a succinct justification for the expenditure on direct management measures, as the amount provided for in its proposal represents a very high percentage (16%) of the EMFF budget. The rapporteur takes the view that a small portion of the amount the Commission has set aside, just EUR 15 million, could be diverted towards the amounts earmarked for the EU's outermost regions, who are being hit harder by the economic crisis than the rest of the EU.

Amendment 56

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) where applicable, consistency of the measures under Union priorities for EMFF referred to in Article 38(1d) of this Regulation with the Natura 2000 prioritised action frameworks referred to in Article 8(4) of the [Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora].

Amendment 57

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 – point d b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(db) the Member States may establish regional operational subprogrammes.

Amendment 58

Proposal for a regulation Article 20 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point o – point i – indent 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– an evaluation of the economic situation

– an evaluation of the economic situation

of aquaculture and processing industries,

of *extensive and intensive* aquaculture, *and its social and economic impact*, and processing industries,

Amendment 59

Proposal for a regulation Article 22 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 22a

Action Plan for small-scale coastal fishing

1. Member States shall annex to their operational programme an action plan for small-scale coastal fishing. In keeping with the objectives of this Regulation and [CFP Regulation], this action plan shall set out a strategy for the development, competitiveness and sustainability of small-scale coastal fishing.

2. The Commission shall approve the action plan referred to in paragraph 1 together with the operational programme, in accordance with Article 21.

Justification

Small-scale coastal fishing is vital to the economic health of coastal areas. The EMFF should therefore support the development, competitiveness and sustainability of this form of fishing. The amendment proposes that each Member State should annex to its operational programme an action plan setting out the relevant measures taken.

Amendment 60

Proposal for a regulation Article 28 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. In order to stimulate innovation in fisheries, the EMFF may support projects aiming at developing or introducing new or substantially improved products compared

1. In order to stimulate innovation in fisheries, the EMFF may support projects aiming at:

to the state of art, new or improved processes, new or improved management and organisation systems.

– developing or introducing new or substantially improved products compared to the state of art, new or improved processes, new or improved management and organisation systems;

– *encourage the dissemination and economic and commercial exploitation of the results of research and innovation.*

Justification

The EMFF must be able to include the goals of the Europe 2020 Strategy, particularly improved conditions for research and technological development and innovation.

Amendment 61

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) entry of young people into the profession through start-up support for small-scale coastal fishing and one generation replacing another, notably thanks to business transfer arrangements;

Amendment 62

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*(c) promoting the social dialogue at national, regional or local level involving **fishermen** and other relevant stakeholders.*

*(c) the promotion of social dialogue at national, regional or local level involving **operators in the fisheries sector** and other relevant stakeholders.*

Justification

This amendment seeks to include as beneficiaries trap fishermen and other groups in the

fisheries sector, such as packers and net makers, whose activities are excluded from the definition of a 'fisherman'.

Amendment 63

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The support referred to in paragraph 1 shall also be granted to spouses of self-employed **fishermen** or, when and in so far as recognised by national law, the life partners of self-employed **fishermen**, not being employees or business partners, where they habitually, under the conditions laid down by national law, participate in the activities of the self-employed fishermen or perform ancillary tasks.

Amendment

2. The support referred to in paragraph 1 shall also be granted to spouses of self-employed **operators in the fisheries sector** or, when and in so far as recognised by national law, the life partners of self-employed **operators in the fisheries sector**, not being employees or business partners, where they habitually, under the conditions laid down by national law, participate in the activities of the self-employed fishermen or perform ancillary tasks.

Justification

This amendment seeks to include as beneficiaries trap fishermen and other groups in the fisheries sector, such as packers and net makers, whose activities are excluded from the definition of a 'fisherman'.

Amendment 64

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to facilitate **diversification and** job creation **outside fishing**, the EMFF may support:

Amendment

1. In order to facilitate job creation, the EMFF may support **business start-ups in the fisheries sector and the development of ancillary activities connected to fishing.**

Justification

The EMFF provides the fisheries sector with structural aid in order to boost its competitiveness and sustainability. Providing support for diversification away from fishing activities into other sectors conflicts with this aim. Instead, business start-ups in the fisheries sector should be encouraged, while still offering the opportunity to develop ancillary activities connected to fishing.

Amendment 65

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Support under paragraph 1 (a) shall be granted to **fishermen** who:

Amendment

Support under paragraph 1 (a) shall be granted to **operators in the fisheries sector** who:

Justification

Diversification and job creation should apply to the entire fisheries sector. The wording used in the proposal is not clear enough.

Amendment 66

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The EMFF may contribute to individual premiums for fishermen under 40 years of age who have worked for at least five years as fishermen or have equivalent professional training and who acquire for the first time part or full ownership of a small-scale coastal fishing vessel.

Justification

To help make fishing viable, the EMFF should help young fishermen to obtain ownership of a vessel, as is possible under the current EFF.

Amendment 67

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The amount of financial assistance

Amendment

6. The amount of financial assistance

granted under paragraph 1 (a) shall not exceed 50% of the budget foreseen in the business plan for each operation **and shall not exceed a maximum amount of 50 000 EUR for each operation.**

granted under paragraph 1 (a) shall not exceed 50% of the budget foreseen in the business plan for each operation.

Justification

The maximum amount of EUR 50 000 is arbitrary and might result in investment opportunities being lost.

Amendment 68

Proposal for a regulation
Article 32 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 32a

Investment in fleet renewal

1. The EMFF may support investment in the purchase of fishing vessels, whether new or used, in return for the scrapping of obsolete vessels or their reassignment to non-fishing activities.

2. The support shall be granted to the owners of fishing vessels.

3. The support must guarantee a higher level of on-board safety, energy efficiency and fishing-gear selectivity. It must not serve to increase fishing capacity.

4. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 150 in order to lay down the criteria to be used to determine whether the vessels referred to in paragraph 1 are obsolete.

Justification

The EU's fishing fleet is growing old (half of the vessels are over 25 years old). This poses serious problems as regards onboard safety and care of the marine environment. The EMFF should therefore support fleet renewal on certain strict terms and conditions, by providing funding to replace dilapidated vessels with new ones. These investments should not on any account serve to increase the vessels' capacity.

Amendment 69

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Health and safety *on board*

Health and safety *at work*

Justification

The support in question should be available to all fishermen, including those who gather seafood by hand, those who use traps and those who perform ancillary tasks.

Amendment 70

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. In order to improve working conditions *on board* for *fishermen* the EMFF may support investments *on board* or *in* individual *equipments* providing that *these investments go beyond standards required under national or Union law*.

1. In order to improve working conditions for *operators in the fisheries sector*, the EMFF may *provide* support *for* investments or individual *equipment geared towards improving safety, working conditions, hygiene, product quality, energy efficiency and selectivity*, providing that *this does not increase fishing capacity*.

Justification

The support in question should be available to all fishermen, including those who gather seafood by hand, those who use traps and those who perform ancillary tasks. There is also a need to specify the areas that could be covered by investments geared towards improving health and safety at work.

Amendment 71

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The support shall be granted to **fishermen** or owners of fishing vessels.

Amendment

2. The support shall be granted to **operators in the fisheries sector** or owners of fishing vessels **or of fishing gear**.

Justification

Support to improve health and safety at work must be of benefit to all operators in the fisheries sector, including fishermen who gather seafood by hand and use traps, those who perform ancillary tasks and the owners of vessels or fishing gear.

Amendment 72

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. **When the operation consists in an investment on board, the support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same fishing vessel. When the operation consists of an investment in individual equipment, the support shall not be granted more than once during the programming period for the same beneficiary.**

Amendment

deleted

Justification

The Commission's proposed new restrictions on the frequency of support granted with a view to improving health and safety restrict the scope of the aims being pursued in this article. The rapporteur is opposed to these limitations as it is impossible to know in advance how many investments will be necessary.

Amendment 73

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. *The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 150 in order to identify the types of operations eligible under paragraph 1.*

Amendment

4. *The operations eligible for support shall be included in the Operational Programme and in the selection criteria.*

Justification

The Commission should not be empowered to adopt delegated acts that specify which operations are eligible for support.

Amendment 74

Proposal for a regulation Article 35 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) the permanent cessation of fishing vessels' fishing activities;

Justification

Support for the permanent cessation of activities has helped restructure the sector in the past, and in future there will be a need to change Europe's fishing capacity again with a view to conserving resources.

Amendment 75

Proposal for a regulation Article 35 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The support referred to in paragraph 1 shall only be granted to public authorities.

2. The support referred to in paragraph 1 shall only be granted to public authorities, *legal or natural persons, fishing organisations or recognised producer organisations involved in implementing conservation measures under the CFP.*

Amendment 76

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Decisions on the permanent cessation of fishing vessels' activities may only be taken as part of a conservation measure under Article 7(c) of the CFP Regulation.

Justification

The permanent cessation and temporary suspension of activity has helped restructure the sector, cutting the social cost of the action taken to conserve fish stocks.

Amendment 77

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. In order to reduce the impact of fishing on the marine environment, foster the ***elimination*** of discards and facilitate the transition to exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels ***which can produce*** the MSY, the EMFF may support investments in equipment:

1. In order to reduce the impact of fishing on the marine environment, foster the ***reduction*** of discards and facilitate the transition to exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species at levels ***approaching*** the MSY, the EMFF may support investments in equipment:

Justification

The CFP needs to set realistic targets for the conservation of fish stocks.

Amendment 78

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) organisations of fishermen recognised by the Member State.

Amendment

(c) actors involved in the fisheries sector who own the gear to be replaced and who have conducted fisheries activities for at least 60 days during the two years preceding the date of submission of the application;

Justification

All professionals working in the fisheries sector should be eligible for assistance.

Amendment 79

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 36a

Support to mitigate exceptional events

In order to mitigate the economic impact of an exceptional event that prevents normal fishery from being conducted, support may be granted under the EMFF to fishing vessel owners and fishermen for the temporary suspension of fisheries activities. The implementation of fish stock conservation measures shall not be considered an exceptional event.

Justification

Alongside fish stock conservation measures, the EMFF Regulation must include an instrument for addressing exceptional events, such as natural disasters or large-scale maritime pollution, in order to mitigate the situation, on a temporary basis, for the fishing vessel owners and fishermen affected.

Amendment 80

Proposal for a regulation Article 37 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to contribute to the **elimination** of discards and by-catches and facilitate the transition to exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species **above levels which can produce** the MSY, the EMFF may support projects aiming at developing or introducing new technical or organisational knowledge reducing impacts of fishing activities on the environment or achieving a more sustainable use of marine biological resources.

Amendment

1. In order to contribute to the **reduction** of discards and by-catches and facilitate the transition to exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species **at levels close to** the MSY, the EMFF may support projects aiming at developing or introducing new technical or organisational knowledge reducing impacts of fishing activities on the environment or achieving a more sustainable use of marine biological resources.

Justification

The targets set should be realistic.

Amendment 81

Proposal for a regulation Article 38 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) the construction or installation of static or movable facilities intended to protect and enhance marine fauna and flora;

Amendment

b) the construction or installation of static or movable facilities intended to protect and enhance marine fauna and flora, **including the construction and maintenance of collectively managed fish aggregating devices (FADs) anchored inshore;**

Justification

Anchored fish aggregating devices should be financed in the outermost regions in order to preserve a traditional model of small-scale coastal fishing by allowing fishing of pelagic stock.

Amendment 82

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) management, restoration and monitoring NATURA 2000 sites in accordance with Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora and Council and European Parliament Directive 2009/147/EC of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds, in accordance with prioritised action frameworks established pursuant to Council Directive 92/43/EEC;

Amendment

(d) ***the identification, selection, and establishment of process as well as*** management, restoration and monitoring NATURA 2000 sites in accordance with Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora and Council and European Parliament Directive 2009/147/EC of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds, in accordance with prioritised action frameworks established pursuant to Council Directive 92/43/EEC;

Amendment 83

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) management, restoration and monitoring of marine protected areas in view of the implementation of the spatial protection measures referred to in Article 13(4) of the European Parliament and Council Directive 2008/56/EC;

Amendment

(e) ***the identification, selection, and establishment of process as well as*** management, restoration and monitoring of marine protected areas in view of the implementation of the spatial protection measures referred to in Article 13(4) of the European Parliament and Council Directive 2008/56/EC;

Amendment 84

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The EMFF shall promote research on fishing practices, gears, stock assessment

and impact of fishing activities on ecosystem that aim to minimize or avoid the harmful impacts of fishing on the marine species and habitats.

Amendment 85

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) the replacement or modernisation of the main or ancillary engines, in order to reduce the emission of pollutants or greenhouse gases and to increase vessels' energy efficiency without increasing their capacity;

Justification

The EMFF should be able to replace and modernise engines. This should not serve to increase the vessels' capacity.

Amendment 86

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Support shall not contribute to the replacement or modernisation of main or ancillary engines. Support shall only be granted to owners of fishing vessels and not more than once during the programming period for the same fishing vessel.

2. Support shall only be granted to owners of fishing vessels.

Justification

The restrictions proposed by the Commission could limit the scope of the measures to combat climate change. Support should be provided for engines in order to improve energy efficiency. There is no reason to withdraw that support. The amendment also maintains the possibility of making several investments in the same programming period, should this be necessary.

Amendment 87

Proposal for a regulation Article 40 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Product quality and use of unwanted catches

Amendment

Quality of sea products

Amendment 88

Proposal for a regulation Article 40 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to improve the quality of the *fish* caught the EMFF may support investments on board for this purpose.

Amendment

1. In order to improve the quality of the *fishery products* caught the EMFF may support investments on board for this purpose.

Amendment 89

Proposal for a regulation Article 40 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. In order to provide assistance for the marketing of products with low commercial value, the EMFF may support awareness-raising campaigns on fishery products that are unfamiliar to consumers.

Amendment 90

Proposal for a regulation Article 40 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Support under this Article shall not be granted more than once during the

Amendment

deleted

programming period for the same fishing vessel or the same beneficiary.

Justification

The restrictions proposed by the Commission could limit the scope of the measures. It should be possible to make several investments in the same programming period, should this be necessary.

Amendment 91

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 40 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The support referred to in paragraph 1 shall only be granted to owners of Union fishing vessels whose vessels have carried out a fishing activity for at least 60 days at sea during the two years preceding the date of submission of the application.

Amendment

4. The support referred to in paragraph 1 shall only be granted to owners of Union fishing vessels whose vessels have carried out a fishing activity for at least 60 days at sea during the two years preceding the date of submission of the application, ***unless there is a Member State derogation for new people entering the fisheries sector;***

Amendment 92

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 40 – paragraph 4 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. The support referred to in paragraph 2 may be granted to producer organisations or professional organisations in connection with collective projects.

Amendment 93

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 40 a (new)**

Article 40a

Mutual funds in the event of animal disease, contamination, non-point source pollution, environmental incidents and extraordinary climatic conditions

1. The Member States may provide for financial compensation, by means of financial contributions to approved mutual funds, to be paid to fishermen for economic losses caused by the outbreak of animal disease, contamination, an environmental incident, non-point source pollution recognised by the public authorities or extraordinary climatic conditions. To this end the EMFF could make a financial contribution to the mutual funds with a view to paying fishermen financial compensation for the economic losses arising from the outbreak of animal disease, contamination, non-point source pollution, an environmental incident or extraordinary climatic conditions.

2. For the purposes of this Article:

(a) ‘mutual funds’: a system recognised by the Member State under its national law, which enables affiliated fishermen to insure themselves and claim allowances in the event of economic losses associated with the outbreak of animal disease, contamination, an environmental incident, non-point source pollution recognised by the public authorities or extraordinary climatic conditions.

(b) ‘environmental incident’: a specific occurrence of pollution, contamination or degradation in the quality of the environment related to a specific event and of limited geographical scope. It shall not cover general environmental risks not connected with a specific event such as climate change.

(c) non-point source pollution recognised by the public authorities: any pollution of which the origin cannot be located at a precise point but which comes from many diffuse sources spread over a wide area, recognised by the Member State's public authorities.

(d) 'extraordinary climatic conditions': a climate incident that has an adverse effect on carrying out the activity or a natural disaster resulting from such a phenomenon.

3. The Commission shall make provision, by means of an implementing act, for the conditions for putting this article into effect.

Justification

It is proposed to give the fishing industry the opportunity to take part in setting up mutual funds on the basis of what is presented in the draft EAFRD Regulation.

Amendment 94

Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Fishing ports, landing sites **and** shelters

Fishing ports, landing sites, shelters **and**
auction halls

Justification

The Regulation should include the possibility of making infrastructure improvements to outmoded auction halls.

Amendment 95

Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. *For the purpose of increasing the*

1. The EMFF may support investments

quality of the product landed, increasing energy efficiency, contributing to environmental protection or improving safety and working conditions, the EMFF may support investments improving fishing port infrastructure or landing sites, including investments in facilities for waste and marine litter collection.

improving fishing port infrastructure, landing sites ***and fish markets. The investments shall relate in particular to:***

(a) the improvement of the quality and freshness of the product landed;

(b) the improvement of landing, processing, storage and auction conditions;

(c) measures to reduce unwanted catches and measures to make best use of unwanted catches of commercial stocks, if any are landed, and to valorise underused components of fish caught;

(d) energy efficiency;

(e) environmental protection, particularly the collection, storage and treatment of waste and marine litter collection;

(f) the improvement of safety and working conditions;

(g) the provision of fuel, ice, water and electricity;

(h) equipment for the repair and maintenance of fishing vessels;

(i) construction, modernisation and extension of quays, to improve safety during landing or loading;

(j) computerised management of fishing activities;

(k) the networking of fishing ports, landing sites and fish markets.

Justification

The author proposes extending EMFF investments in the ports in order to take into account their strategic role for competitiveness and the sustainability of fishing activities and coastal areas.

Amendment 96

Proposal for a regulation Article 41 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Support shall not cover the construction of new ports, new landing sites *or new auction halls*.

Amendment

4. Support shall not cover the construction of new ports *or* new landing sites.

Justification

New auction halls should be eligible for aid since halls which remain outmoded will need to be replaced.

Amendment 97

Proposal for a regulation Article 42 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 42 a

Public aid for permanent cessation of fishing activities

1. The EMFF may contribute to the financing of the permanent cessation of fishing activities by fishing vessels insofar as they are part of a multiannual plan as defined in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No ... on the common fisheries policy. The permanent cessation of fishing activities by a fishing vessel may be achieved only by:

- a) scrapping of the fishing vessel;*
- b) its reassignment, under the flag of a Member State and registration in the Community for activities outside fishing;*
- c) its reassignment for the purpose of the creation of artificial reefs. Member States shall ensure that an environmental impact assessment is carried out for these operations.*

2. Public aid for permanent cessation of fishing activities paid to the owners of fishing vessels shall apply to the vessel's fishing capacity and, where appropriate, to the fishing licences associated with it.

3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 150, specifying the procedures for implementation of this article.

Amendment 98

Proposal for a regulation Article 42 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 42b

Support for fishermen affected by the permanent cessation of a fishing vessel's activities

In order to alleviate the problems faced by fishermen who lose their jobs as a result of the permanent cessation of the activities of the vessel on which they work, the EMFF may grant socio-economic compensation:

(a) for early departure from the fishing sector, including early retirement;

(b) as one-off compensation to fishermen who have worked on board a vessel as fishermen for at least 12 months. This compensation shall be refunded on a pro rata temporis basis where the beneficiaries return to their work within a period of less than one year after receiving it.

Justification

Socio-economic support measures need to be established to alleviate the problems faced by fishermen who are affected by permanent cessations of activity. The rapporteur does not agree with the arguments made by the Commission in favour of withdrawing this support, since such action would have a very high social cost.

Amendment 99

Proposal for a regulation Article 42 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 42c

Aid for the temporary cessation of fishing activities

1. The EMFF may help finance aid for the temporary cessation of fishing activities for fishermen and owners of fishing vessels in the following cases:

(a) in the framework of a multiannual plan as defined in Article 9 of the [CFP Regulation];

(b) in the event of the emergency measures adopted by the Commission referred to in Article 13 of the [CFP Regulation];

(c) in the event of a biological recovery period as decided in accordance with the [CFP Regulation].

2. A recurrent seasonal suspension of fishing shall not be taken into account for the grant of allowances or payment under this article.

3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 150, specifying the procedures for implementation of this article.

Justification

The EMFF should support fishermen faced with temporary stoppages, particularly in the event of emergency measures or biological recovery periods in the context of the basic regulation.

Amendment 100

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) diversification of the income of aquaculture enterprises through the development of complementary activities outside ***aquaculture***.

Amendment

(c) diversification of the income of aquaculture enterprises through the development of complementary activities outside ***their core aquacultural activity***.

Justification

One business option for aquaculture farms is to diversify into complementary environmental or tourism activities which are not directly connected with their core activity.

Amendment 101

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Support under paragraph 1(c) shall be granted only to aquaculture enterprises ***provided that the complementary activities outside aquaculture relate to the*** core aquaculture ***business of enterprise***, such as angling tourism, aquaculture environmental services or educational activities on aquaculture.

Amendment

2. Support under paragraph 1(c) shall be granted only to aquaculture enterprises ***for*** activities ***complementary to their*** core aquaculture ***activity***, such as angling tourism, aquaculture environmental services or educational activities on aquaculture.

Justification

One business option for aquaculture farms is to diversify into complementary environmental or tourism activities which are not directly connected with their core activity.

Amendment 102

Proposal for a regulation

Article 48 – paragraph 2 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) promotion of equal opportunities, with particular reference to gender

equality and the integration of disabled persons.

Justification

The rapporteur proposes that the scope of the advisory measures be broadened to include the promotion of equal opportunities in the aquaculture sector.

Amendment 103

Proposal for a regulation

Article 48 – paragraph 2 – point e b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(eb) improvement of working conditions, including reconciling working life and family life.

Justification

Improving working conditions should be included among the advisory measures for aquaculture farms.

Amendment 104

Proposal for a regulation

Article 48 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Support under paragraph (1)(a) shall only be granted to public law bodies selected to set up the farm advisory services. Support under paragraph (1)(b) shall only be granted to aquaculture SMEs or ***aquaculture producer's*** organisations.

3. Support under paragraph (1)(a) shall only be granted to public law bodies selected to set up the farm advisory services. Support under paragraph (1)(b) shall only be granted to aquaculture SMEs or ***producer*** organisations ***or associations***.

Justification

The rapporteur is proposing that producer associations should also be eligible for support for the provision of advisory services of a technical, scientific, legal or economic nature.

Amendment 105

Proposal for a regulation Article 49 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Support referred to in paragraph 1(a) shall not be granted to large aquaculture enterprises. *deleted*

Justification

The role large enterprises can play as regards innovation should be born in mind. Only large enterprises have the capacity to conduct significant activities in that field. The restriction proposed by the Commission is therefore unjustifiable.

Amendment 106

Proposal for a regulation Article 54 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) forms of ***extensive*** aquaculture ***including*** conservation and improvement of the environment, biodiversity, and management of the landscape and traditional features of aquaculture zones.

(c) forms of aquaculture ***aimed at*** conservation and improvement of the environment, biodiversity and management of the landscape and traditional features of aquaculture zones.

Justification

There is no reason that extensive aquaculture should be more environment-friendly than other forms of aquaculture.

Amendment 107

Proposal for a regulation Article 56 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) the formation and operation of 'health defence groups' in the aquaculture sector.

Justification

Health defence groups function very effectively in the land-based stockbreeding sector. The rapporteur proposes that these groups be created for the aquaculture sector, which is the only animal production sector not to receive Community support for this.

Amendment 108

Proposal for a regulation

Article 57 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) expenses resulting from the gathering and destruction of animals that have died on the farm of natural causes or from farm accidents, or have been slaughtered and buried on the farm itself for animal health reasons and with prior administrative authorisation.

Justification

Expenses resulting from the gathering and destruction of animals are very high. The rapporteur proposes that these be included among the measures covered by the insurance supported by the EMFF.

Amendment 109

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) supporting diversification and job creation in fisheries areas, ***in particular*** in other maritime sectors;

(b) supporting diversification and job creation in fisheries areas, ***including*** in other maritime sectors;

Justification

The diversification of economic activities should not have to be ‘in particular’ into other maritime sectors. The fisheries sector might present opportunities that it would make no sense to overlook.

Amendment 110

Proposal for a regulation Article 65 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The support given may include measures provided for Chapters I **and** II of this Title, provided there is a clear rationale for their management at local level. When assistance is granted for operations corresponding to these measures, the relevant conditions and the scales of contribution per operation laid down in Chapters I **and** II of this Title shall apply.

Amendment

2. The support given may include measures provided for Chapters I, II **and** IV of this Title, provided there is a clear rationale for their management at local level. When assistance is granted for operations corresponding to these measures, the relevant conditions and the scales of contribution per operation laid down in Chapters I, II **and** IV of this Title shall apply.

Justification

Owing to their key role in generating employment, marketing and processing should be included under the implementation of local development strategies.

Amendment 111

Proposal for a regulation Article 69 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The EMFF **may** support the preparation and implementation of production and marketing plans referred to in Article 32 of [Regulation (EU) No on the common organisation of the markets in fishery and aquaculture products].

Amendment

1. The EMFF **shall** support the preparation and implementation of production and marketing plans referred to in Article 32 of [Regulation (EU) No on the common organisation of the markets in fishery and aquaculture products].

Justification

The preparation and implementation of production and marketing plans are key measures for the common organisation of the market, which require support from the EMFF.

Amendment 112

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the financial assistance per year shall not exceed ***the following percentages*** of the average annual value of the marketed production at first sale of the members of producer organisation in the period 2009-2011. In the case that members of producer organisation did not have any marketed production in 2009-2011, the average annual value of marketed production in the first three years of production of such member shall be taken into account:

- ***1% in 2014***
- ***0.8% in 2015***
- ***0.6% in 2016***
- ***0.4% in 2017***
- ***0.2% in 2018***

Amendment

(c) the financial assistance per year shall not exceed **2%** of the average annual value of the marketed production at first sale of the members of producer organisation in the period 2009-2011. In the case that members of producer organisation did not have any marketed production in 2009-2011, the average annual value of marketed production in the first three years of production of such member shall be taken into account.

Justification

Storage aid is the only market stabilisation measure which the Commission is proposing to carry into the next programming period. That measure must be maintained in order to address fluctuations in the market.

Amendment 113

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By 2019 support referred to in paragraph 1 shall be phased out.

Amendment

deleted

Justification

Storage aid is the only market stabilisation measure which the Commission is proposing to

carry into the next programming period. That measure must be maintained in order to address fluctuations in the market.

Amendment 114

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 70a

Compensation for producers of tuna

1. The EMFF may support compensation for producers organisations when a fall in the import prices of tuna for the canning industry may threaten the income levels of Community producers.

2. Compensation shall be granted in accordance with the conditions laid down in Regulation No (..) on the common organisation of the markets in fisheries and aquaculture products.

Justification

The rapporteur is in favour of maintaining the compensation currently paid to tuna producers in return for the complete and permanent suspension of Common Customs Tariff duties on whole tuna imported from third countries for processing. The abolition of these compensatory payments would put Community producers at a clear disadvantage.

Amendment 115

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point a – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) improving the conditions for the placing on the market of:

(a) improving the conditions, **particularly in the transition to the MSY**, for the placing on the market of:

Amendment 116

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ia) products where supplies are not regular;

Amendment 117

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) direct marketing of fishery products by small scale coastal fishermen.

(iii) direct marketing of fishery products by small scale coastal fishermen ***and those who gather seafood by hand.***

Justification

Shellfish gatherers should not be excluded from this support for quality promotion.

Amendment 118

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) information campaigns.

Justification

Information campaigns would help improve the marketing of fishery and aquaculture products. The rapporteur therefore proposes including them in the list of measures that may be supported.

Amendment 119

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point f b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fb) organisation and participation in sectoral fairs and trade events.

Justification

The Commission's proposal should be rounded off with measures that the rapporteur considers are needed to boost the marketing of fishery and aquaculture products.

Amendment 120

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point f c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fc) innovation in businesses.

Justification

The Commission's proposal should be rounded off with measures that the rapporteur considers are needed to boost the marketing of fishery and aquaculture products.

Amendment 121

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point f d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fd) training, especially in the field of innovation.

Justification

The Commission's proposal should be rounded off with measures that the rapporteur considers are needed to boost the marketing of fishery and aquaculture products.

Amendment 122

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point f e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fe) finding new markets.

Justification

Ditto previous justifications.

Amendment 123

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1 – point f f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ff) support for the promotion and placing on the market of local and seasonal products.

Amendment 124

Proposal for a regulation

Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) for improving the competitiveness and economic viability of businesses;

Justification

The processing sector has a very important role in ensuring the fisheries industry is economically sustainable and in maintaining and creating jobs. The Commission's proposal should be improved by widening the aims of the support provided for under the EMFF.

Amendment 125

Proposal for a regulation

Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(db) for improving working conditions, hygiene, public health and quality;

Justification

The processing sector has a very important role in ensuring the fisheries industry is economically sustainable and in maintaining and creating jobs. The Commission's proposal should be improved by widening the aims of the support provided for under the EMFF.

Amendment 126

Proposal for a regulation

Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(dc) increasing production capacity where good market prospects exist;

Amendment 127

Proposal for a regulation

Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(dd) for innovation and research in businesses and organisations in the sector;

Amendment 128

Proposal for a regulation

Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(de) for innovation training for workers;

Amendment 129

Proposal for a regulation Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(df) social measures for workers;

Amendment 130

Proposal for a regulation Article 72 – paragraph 1 – point d g (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(dg) for measures to facilitate dialogue and cooperation between the interested parties.

Amendment 131

Proposal for a regulation Article 72 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The support referred to in paragraph 1 *shall* be granted *exclusively* through the financial instruments provided for in Title IV of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions].

2. The support referred to in paragraph 1 *may* be granted, *in particular* through the financial instruments provided for in Title IV of the [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions].

Amendment 132

Proposal for a regulation Title V – Chapter IV a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER IVa – Measures relating to

the IMP under shared management

Article 72a. *The EMFF shall provide for the option of:*

1. Increasing the involvement of fishing and aquaculture operators in the implementation of the IMP.

The following operations are eligible:

(a) mapping fishing or aquaculture areas;

(b) socio-economic assessment of fishing or aquaculture activities;

(c) taking part in consultations;

(d) experimenting with management methods;

(e) cross-border or transnational cooperation between fishing organisations, including with organisations from non-EU countries;

2. Supporting the implementation of maritime spatial planning and integrated management of coastal regions by encouraging:

(a) regional or local approaches to networking stakeholders;

(b) pilot schemes, particularly in the areas of preventing and combating pollution and of maritime safety.

Justification

Il est essentiel de créer des points d'articulation entre les activités de pêche et d'aquaculture et la mise en œuvre de la politique maritime intégrée. Il est proposé l'introduction d'un chapitre sur la Politique Maritime Intégrée en gestion intégrée qui ne remet pas en cause les mesures prévues en gestion directe par la Commission. L'idée est de favoriser l'appropriation des outils par les acteurs et une anticipation par rapport à une éventuelle directive sur la planification spatiale maritime. L'introduction d'un chapitre relatif à la PMI en gestion partagée vise à renforcer la mise en œuvre de la PMI dans les différents Etats membres. Le rapprochement des enveloppes financières dédiées à la pêche, l'aquaculture et la PMI demande à être rendu plus concret grâce à des synergies renforcées.

Amendment 133

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The EMFF may support the compensation regime introduced by Council Regulation (EC) No 791/2007 for the additional costs incurred by the operators *in the fishing, farming* and marketing of *certain* fishery and aquaculture products *from the Azores, Madeira, the Canary Islands, French Guiana, and Réunion*.

Amendment

1. The EMFF may support the compensation regime introduced by Council Regulation (EC) No 791/2007 for the additional costs incurred by the operators *from the outermost regions during the production, processing* and marketing *for export to the Community or the local market* of fishery and aquaculture products.

Amendment 134

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State concerned shall determine for the regions referred to in paragraph 1 the *list* of fishery and aquaculture products and the *quantity of those products eligible for the compensation*.

Amendment

2. Each Member State concerned shall determine, *in consultation with the elected public authorities responsible and the regional fisheries management organisations*, for the regions referred to in paragraph 1 the *products or categories* of fishery and aquaculture products *eligible for compensation, their respective maximum quantities* and the *compensation amounts within the overall allocation per Member State*.

Amendment 135

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) obtained by illegal, unreported, or unregulated fishing.

Amendment 136

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 73a

Operators

1. The compensation shall be paid to operators carrying out fishing and aquaculture activities in the regions concerned.

2. The Member States concerned shall take the measures necessary to ensure that operators receiving the compensation remain economically viable.

Amendment 137

Proposal for a regulation
Article 75 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Member States concerned shall submit to the Commission a compensation plan for each region concerned including the list and quantities referred to in Article 73, the level of compensation referred to in Article 74 and the competent authority as laid down in Article 108.

1. The Member States concerned, ***in partnership with the competent regional authorities***, shall submit to the Commission a compensation plan for each region concerned including the list and quantities referred to in Article 73, the level of compensation referred to in Article 74 and the competent authority as laid down in Article 108.

Amendment 138

Proposal for a regulation
Article 75 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 75a

Adjustment

To allow for changing circumstances, the Member States concerned, in partnership

with the competent regional authorities, may adjust the list and the quantities referred to in Article 73(2) and the level of compensation under Article 74.

Amendment 139

Proposal for a regulation Article 82 – paragraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) technical assistance under Article 51 of the Regulation laying down common provisions on funds covered by the CSF [.../...]

Amendment 140

Proposal for a regulation Article 96 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. In addition to the general rules of Article 72 of [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions], and following the Commission decision approving the operational programme, an initial pre-financing amount for the whole programming period shall be paid by the Commission. This shall represent **4%** of the contribution from the Union budget to the operational programme concerned. It may be split into two instalments depending on budget availability.

1. In addition to the general rules of Article 72 of [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions], and following the Commission decision approving the operational programme, an initial pre-financing amount for the whole programming period shall be paid by the Commission. This shall represent **7%** of the contribution from the Union budget to the operational programme concerned. It may be split into two instalments depending on budget availability.

Justification

The Community's pre-financing rate for the operational programme should not be reduced during a financial crisis like the current one.

Amendment 141

Proposal for a regulation Article 102 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from Article 55(7) of [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions], expenditure which becomes eligible because of an amendment of the programme under Article 22(2) shall only be eligible as of ***1st January of the year following the submission of the amendment.***

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from Article 55(7) of [Regulation (EU) No [...] laying down Common Provisions], expenditure which becomes eligible because of an amendment of the programme under Article 22(2) shall only be eligible as of ***the date on which the amendment is submitted.***

Justification

In most of the cases where a Managing Authority submits an amendment to the programme this is done to correct or alter an action to fit new circumstances and therefore it should take effect from the date on which it is submitted, as occurs in the EFF.

Amendment 142

Proposal for a regulation Article 105 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall exercise the empowerment in full respect of the principle of proportionality and taking into account the risk that the non-compliance with the respective CFP rules constitutes a serious *threats* to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species ***above*** levels ***which can produce*** the MSY, the sustainability of the stocks concerned or the conservation of the marine environment.

Amendment

The Commission shall exercise the empowerment in full respect of the principle of proportionality and taking into account the risk that the non-compliance with the respective CFP rules constitutes a serious *threat* to the sustainable exploitation of living marine biological resources that restores and maintains populations of harvested species ***at*** levels ***close to*** the MSY, the sustainability of the stocks concerned or the conservation of the marine environment.

Amendment 143

Proposal for a regulation
Article 107 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Member States shall designate, *for* the *operational programme*, the following authorities:

1. *The* Member States, *in partnership with the regional and local authorities and representatives of the fisheries and aquaculture*, shall designate the following authorities:

Amendment 144

Proposal for a regulation
Article 107 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the managing authority, which may be either a public or private law body acting at national or regional level, or the Member State itself when it carries out that task, to be in charge of the management of the programme concerned;

(a) the managing authority, which may be either a public or private law body acting at national or regional level, or the Member State itself *or the region* when it carries out that task, to be in charge of the management of the programme concerned;

PROCEDURE

Title	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (repeal of Council Regulations (EC) No 1198/2006, (EC) No 861/2006 and (EC) No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy)
References	COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD)
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	PECH 15.12.2011
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	REGI 15.12.2011
Rapporteur Date appointed	Rosa Estaràs Ferragut 26.1.2012
Date adopted	10.10.2012
Result of final vote	+: 40 –: 6 0: 1

Members present for the final vote	Luís Paulo Alves, Charalampos Angourakis, Catherine Bearder, Victor Boştinaru, John Bufton, Alain Cadec, Salvatore Caronna, Nikos Chrysogelos, Francesco De Angelis, Tamás Deutsch, Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Danuta Maria Hübner, Filiz Hakaeva Hyusmenova, Vincenzo Iovine, María Irigoyen Pérez, Seán Kelly, Mojca Kleva, Constanze Angela Krehl, Petru Constantin Luhan, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Vladimír Maňka, Riikka Manner, Iosif Matula, Erminia Mazzoni, Ana Miranda, Jens Nilsson, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Jan Olbrycht, Younous Omarjee, Markus Pieper, Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Monika Smolková, Ewald Stadler, Georgios Stavrakakis, Csanád Szegedi, Nuno Teixeira, Oldřich Vlasák, Kerstin Westphal, Hermann Winkler, Joachim Zeller
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	Karima Delli, Jens Geier, Rodi Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Elisabeth Schroedter, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Giommaria Uggias

PROCEDURE

Title	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (repeal of Council Regulations (EC) No 1198/2006, (EC) No 861/2006 and (EC) No XXX/2011 on integrated maritime policy)			
References	COM(2011)0804 – C7-0460/2011 – 2011/0380(COD)			
Date submitted to Parliament	2.12.2011			
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	PECH 15.12.2011			
Committee(s) asked for opinion(s) Date announced in plenary	BUDG 15.12.2011	EMPL 19.1.2012	ENVI 15.12.2011	TRAN 15.12.2011
	REGI 15.12.2011			
Not delivering opinions Date of decision	TRAN 19.12.2011			
Rapporteur(s) Date appointed	Alain Cadec 26.9.2011			
Legal basis disputed Date of JURI opinion	JURI 9.7.2013			
Discussed in committee	25.1.2012	29.2.2012	30.5.2012	12.7.2012
	19.9.2012	18.2.2013		
Date adopted	10.7.2013			
Result of final vote	+ : 13 - : 8 0 : 2			
Members present for the final vote	John Stuart Agnew, Antonello Antinoro, Kriton Arsenis, Alain Cadec, Chris Davies, João Ferreira, Carmen Fraga Estévez, Pat the Cope Gallagher, Dolores García-Hierro Caraballo, Marek Józef Gróbarczyk, Ian Hudghton, Iliana Malinova Iotova, Werner Kuhn, Isabella Lövin, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Guido Milana, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves, Crescenzo Rivellini, Ulrike Rodust, Raül Romeva i Rueda, Struan Stevenson, Isabelle Thomas, Nils Torvalds			
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	Diane Dodds, Julie Girling			
Substitute(s) under Rule 187(2) present for the final vote	Krzysztof Lisek			
Date tabled	8.8.2013			