

7.3.2018

A8-0048/150

Amendment 150

Sophie Montel, Jörg Meuthen, Mireille D’Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament’s position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. *Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, the introduction of new genuine EU own resources remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;*

deleted

Or. en

7.3.2018

A8-0048/151

Amendment 151

Sophie Montel, Mireille D’Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament’s position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. *Reiterates its long-standing position that the Union’s political ambition must be matched with adequate financial resources and recalls that Article 311 TFEU states that ‘the Union shall provide itself with the means necessary to attain its objectives and carry out its policies’;*

deleted

Or. en

7.3.2018

A8-0048/152

Amendment 152

Sophie Montel, Mireille D'Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30. Is convinced that all unallocated margins should be *carried over without restrictions to future financial years and mobilised by the budgetary authority, for any purpose deemed necessary, in the annual budgetary procedure; calls, therefore, for the Global Margin for Commitments to be maintained, but without any restrictions in scope and time;*

30. Is convinced that all unallocated margins should be *considered unnecessary and therefore terminated in the next financial year; stresses that this would be in line with the rigorous approach the EU has taken when dealing with the budgets of some Member States;*

Or. en

7.3.2018

A8-0048/153

Amendment 153

Sophie Montel, Jörg Meuthen, Mireille D’Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament’s position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39. *Calls, in particular, for a substantial increase in the financial envelope of the Flexibility Instrument of up to an annual allocation of at least EUR 2 billion; recalls that the Flexibility Instrument is not linked to any specific policy field and can thus be mobilised for any purpose that is deemed necessary; considers, therefore, that this instrument can be mobilised to cover any new financial needs as they occur during the MFF;*

deleted

Or. en

7.3.2018

A8-0048/154

Amendment 154

Sophie Montel, Mireille D'Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

45. Considers that the Contingency Margin should be maintained as an instrument of last resort; stresses that this is a special instrument that can also be mobilised for payment appropriations only, and that its mobilisation was instrumental in responding to the 2014 payment crisis; ***calls, therefore, for an upward adjustment of its maximum annual allocation to 0.05 % of EU GNI;***

45. Considers that the Contingency Margin should be maintained as an instrument of last resort; stresses that this is a special instrument that can also be mobilised for payment appropriations only, and that its mobilisation was instrumental in responding to the 2014 payment crisis;

Or. en

7.3.2018

A8-0048/155

Amendment 155

Sophie Montel, Mireille D'Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46. Underlines that the MFF special instruments should be counted over and above the MFF ceilings **both** for commitment **and** payment appropriations; **considers that the issue of budgeting the payments of these instruments was settled in an unequivocal manner during the 2014-2020 MFF mid-term revision, putting an end to the long-standing conflict of interpretation with the Council; advocates the introduction of a clear provision in the MFF Regulation, stating that payments resulting from the mobilisation in commitments of MFF special instruments should be counted over and above the annual MFF payment ceilings;**

46. Underlines that the MFF special instruments should **not** be counted over and above the MFF ceilings for **either** commitment **or** payment appropriations;

Or. en

7.3.2018

A8-0048/156

Amendment 156

Sophie Montel, Mireille D'Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47. Notes that the current IIA requires a special majority in Parliament for the mobilisation of three MFF special instruments; considers this provision to be *obsolete*, given that it reflects the *special majorities needed for the adoption of the EU budget before the Lisbon Treaty; calls for a homogeneous approach as regards the voting requirements for the mobilisation of these instruments, which should be the same as for the adoption of the EU budget;*

47. Notes that the current IIA requires a special majority in Parliament for the mobilisation of three MFF special instruments; considers this provision to be *useful*, given that it reflects the *fact that the mobilisation of these instruments must be an exceptional measure;*

Or. en

7.3.2018

A8-0048/157

Amendment 157

Sophie Montel, Jörg Meuthen, Mireille D’Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament’s position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

48. ***Reiterates its long-standing position*** that any revenue resulting from fines imposed on companies for breaching EU competition law or linked to late payments of national contributions to the EU budget should ***constitute an extra item of revenue for the EU budget without*** a corresponding decrease in GNI contributions;

48. ***Considers*** that any revenue resulting from fines imposed on companies for breaching EU competition law or linked to late payments of national contributions to the EU budget should ***go back to the Member States in the form of*** a corresponding decrease in GNI contributions;

Or. en

7.3.2018

A8-0048/158

Amendment 158

Sophie Montel, Jörg Meuthen, Mireille D’Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament’s position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

49. *Calls, to this end, for a special reserve to be established on the revenue side of the EU budget, which will be progressively filled up by all types of unforeseen other revenue; considers that this reserve should be deployed in order to cover additional payment needs, especially those linked to the mobilisation of the Global Margin for Commitments or the MFF special instruments;*

deleted

Or. en

7.3.2018

A8-0048/159

Amendment 159

Sophie Montel, Jörg Meuthen, Mireille D’Ornano, Florian Philippot
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament’s position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54. Underlines that the ‘health check’ of EU spending **cannot** provide for a reduction in the level of EU ambition or a sectoralisation of EU policies and programmes, **nor should it lead to a replacement of grants by financial instruments with a view to generating some savings, as the great majority of actions supported by the EU budget are not suitable to be funded by the latter; is of the opinion that the ‘health check’ should rather lead to identifying ways in which the implementation of EU spending programmes could be improved;**

54. Underlines that the ‘health check’ of EU spending **should** provide for a reduction in the level of EU ambition or a sectoralisation of EU policies and programmes, **as it is the will repeatedly expressed by citizens in several Member States;**

Or. en