

9.1.2019

A8-0475/36

Amendment 36
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution
Recital BG

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

BG. whereas it was unfortunately not possible to resolve this controversy in the Special Committee; *deleted*

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/37

Amendment 37

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Recital BI

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

BI. *whereas the so-called Monsanto Papers and the recent judgment by the Superior Court of the State of California in case Dewayne Johnson v Monsanto (case No CGC-16-550128) and subsequent appeal have raised concerns about the independence and conflicts of interest in the evaluation process of glyphosate;* **deleted**

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/38

Amendment 38

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Recital BK

Motion for a resolution

BK. whereas the decision to renew the approval of glyphosate did not contain legally binding risk mitigation measures at Union level; *whereas* the Commission decided to adopt a specific *recommendation* in the approval conditions that Member States, when granting authorisations for glyphosate-containing plant protection products, *should* pay particular attention to the risk to terrestrial vertebrates; whereas a high long-term risk was found for almost all uses of glyphosate for non-target terrestrial vertebrates, including mammals and birds;

Amendment

BK. whereas the decision to renew the approval of glyphosate did not contain legally binding risk mitigation measures at Union level, *while* the Commission decided to adopt a specific *provision* in the approval conditions that Member States, when granting authorisations for glyphosate-containing plant protection products, *must* pay particular attention to the risk to terrestrial vertebrates; whereas a high long-term risk was found for almost all uses of glyphosate for non-target terrestrial vertebrates, including mammals and birds, *which were, however, not identified as a critical concern in the EFSA conclusions, because the assessment in line with Article 4(5) of the Regulation deduced for at least one of the representative uses that the risk was expected to be low*;

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/39

Amendment 39

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Recital BL

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***BL. whereas ECHA concluded that
glyphosate causes serious eye damage and
is toxic to aquatic life with long-lasting
effects;***

deleted

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/40

Amendment 40

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Recital BM

Motion for a resolution

BM. whereas *it is not clear under what conditions the Commission and the Member States consider a risk to be unacceptable for the environment;*

Amendment

BM. whereas *the European Court of Justice, in its ruling in Case T-257/07, states that 'In determining the level of risk deemed unacceptable for society, the institutions are bound by their obligation to ensure a high level of protection of public health, safety and the environment. That high level of protection does not necessarily, in order to be compatible with that provision, have to be the highest that is technically possible. Moreover, those institutions may not take a purely hypothetical approach to risk and may not base their decisions on a "zero risk"'*;

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/41

Amendment 41
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution
Recital BN

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

BN. whereas the fact that the Commission, with the support of the Member States, approves active substances found by EFSA to pose high risks to the environment and biodiversity is a concern, given that according to Article 4(3)(e) of the Regulation a plant protection product must have no unacceptable effects on the environment;

deleted

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/42

Amendment 42
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution
Recital BO

Motion for a resolution

BO. whereas the European Ombudsman, in her decision in case 12/2013/MDC of 18 February 2016, *stated* that submission of confirmatory information should not concern data requirements which existed at the time of the submission of the application in relation to the assessment of risks to health and for which adequate guidance documents were available;

Amendment

BO. whereas the European Ombudsman, in her decision in case 12/2013/MDC of 18 February 2016, *took note of the Commission's statement* that submission of confirmatory information should not concern data requirements which existed at the time of the submission of the application in relation to the assessment of risks to health and for which adequate guidance documents were available;

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/43

Amendment 43

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Recital BP

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

BP. whereas confirmatory data are generally not subject to the same scientific scrutiny or assessment as data submitted in the original application as they are not subjected systematically to an EFSA peer review; whereas the European Ombudsman, in her 2016 decision, invited the Commission to consider whether, from now on, all confirmatory information should be systematically subject to an EFSA peer review and whether the guidance documents should be amended accordingly;

deleted

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/44

Amendment 44
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution
Recital BQ

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***BQ.** whereas, based on the follow-up report submitted by the Commission in February 2018 with regard to ten active substances examined in the context of the Ombudsman's inquiry, the confirmatory data procedure has led to two active substances, haloxyfop-P and malathion, that would otherwise have been restricted, remaining on the market for an extended period of time;*

deleted

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/45

Amendment 45
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution
Recital BS

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

BS. whereas ***despite the*** risks identified by EFSA in its conclusions on active substances, the Commission often leaves risk mitigation measures to the Member States, notwithstanding the possibility granted to it under the Regulation to impose them at EU level; whereas this approach was condemned by the European Ombudsman in her decision in case 12/2013/MDC;

BS. whereas risks ***are*** identified by EFSA in its conclusions on active substances, ***but*** the Commission often leaves risk mitigation measures to the Member States, ***which have expertise relevant to country-specific needs***, notwithstanding the possibility granted to it under the Regulation to impose them at EU level; whereas this approach was condemned by the European Ombudsman in her decision in case 12/2013/MDC; ***whereas the Ombudsman declared that her understanding is that the exact definition of mitigation measures should be left to national authorities, in an acknowledgement that this is the most appropriate level for ensuring that such measures are adapted to specific land, soil and climate conditions and local agricultural practices;***

Or. en