Amendment 86
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

72. Calls for adequate resources to be deleted allocated to enable finalisation of landscape-scale post-market environmental monitoring and analysis, including monitoring of pesticide residues in soils and dust, the results of which should be shared with EFSA;

Or. en
Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74

Amendment 87
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74

74. Calls on the Commission’s Scientific Advice Mechanism to act on request as a mediator in scientific controversies concerning active substances;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en
Amendment 88
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

75. Calls on the Scientific Advice Mechanism to initiate a systematic review of all available studies concerning the carcinogenicity of glyphosate and glyphosate-based formulations with a view to assessing whether it would be justified to review the approval of glyphosate in accordance with Article 21 of the Regulation;

Or. en
Amendment 89
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Strongly regrets the numerous delays at Member State and Commission level before and after peer review by EFSA, in particular the delays in the assessment of substances that meet the cut-off criteria, and urges the RMSs and the Commission to meet their deadlines as laid down in the Regulation;

Amendment

76. Strongly regrets the numerous delays at Member State level before and after peer review by EFSA, in particular the delays in the assessment of substances that meet the cut-off criteria, and urges the RMSs and the Commission to meet their deadlines as laid down in the Regulation;

Or. en
Amendment 90
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 80

80. Calls on the Commission to **strictly limit** the use of the confirmatory data procedure to **its purpose as** laid down in Article 6(f) of the Regulation, namely where new requirements are established during the evaluation process or as a result of new scientific and technical knowledge; considers that the protection of public health and the environment must take the highest priority, while at the same time applicants must be provided with reliable timelines for authorisation; stresses that complete dossiers are essential for active substance approvals; **regrets** that the **derogation by confirmatory data procedure has led to at least two active substances that would otherwise have been restricted remaining on the market for an extended period of time**;

80. Calls on the Commission to **assess** the use of the confirmatory data procedure **and limit its application to the** purpose laid down in Article 6(f) of the Regulation, namely where new requirements are established during the evaluation process or as a result of new scientific and technical knowledge; considers that the protection of public health and the environment must take the highest priority, while at the same time applicants must be provided with reliable timelines for authorisation; stresses that complete dossiers are essential for active substance approvals; **notes** that in the **absolute majority of cases, the confirmatory information fully confirmed the Commission decisions on approval and that in only two of these cases did the assessment of the confirmatory information lead to the adoption of further risk management measures**;

Or. en
Amendment 91
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 83

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

83. Calls also on the Commission to deleted
ensure that post-market monitoring will
assess the effectiveness and efficiency of
the implemented mitigation measures;

Or. en
Amendment 92
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides (2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 86

Motion for a resolution
86. Calls on the Commission, in accordance with its resolution of 15 February 2017 on low-risk pesticides of biological origin and its resolution of 13 September 2018 on the implementation of the Regulation, to submit a specific legislative proposal to amend the Regulation outside of the ongoing REFIT procedure, with a view to enabling a rigorous high-quality fast-track evaluation, authorisation and registration process;

Amendment
86. Calls on the Commission, in accordance with its resolution of 15 February 2017 on low-risk pesticides of biological origin and its resolution of 13 September 2018 on the implementation of the Regulation, to submit a specific legislative proposal to amend the Regulation outside of the ongoing REFIT procedure, with a view to enabling a rigorous high-quality evaluation, authorisation and registration process; stresses that plant protection products of biological origin should be subject to the same rigorous evaluations as other substances;

Or. en
9.1.2019

Amendment 93
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides (2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88

Motion for a resolution

88. Calls on the Commission to undertake an in-depth assessment of the zonal system, with a view to assessing how best to ensure the proper harmonised scientific assessment of plant protection products while safeguarding the responsibilities of Member States for the authorisation, restriction or refusal thereof, and to revise the limitations for refusal of authorisation;

Amendment

88. Welcomes the idea and targets of the zonal authorisation system and recalls that this system should, in principle, lead to an authorisation of plant protection products that is more time- and cost-efficient for all parties concerned; regrets that despite the aim of avoiding double assessment, the work of the PEST committee has shown that there are differences in how the zones apply the mutual recognition procedures; demands that the Commission and Member States act to improve the functioning and effectiveness of the zonal system, by strengthening coordination and fully implementing mutual recognition; calls on the Commission, in this regard, to undertake an in-depth assessment of the zonal system;

Or. en
Amendment 94
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 92

92. Calls on the Member States to ensure that all plant protection products undergo proper assessments, including exposure scenarios, on the basis of data obtained for the plant protection product itself, and considers that extrapolation of data on plant protection products should not be done from data obtained on active substances, unless this is scientifically justified and confirmed as reliable by post-market monitoring;

Amendment

92. Calls on the Member States to ensure that all plant protection products undergo proper assessments, including exposure scenarios, on the basis of data obtained for the plant protection product itself;

Or. en
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 96

96. Calls for public access to be granted to the above studies, including all supporting data and information relating to applications for authorisation, in a machine-readable format and in their entirety in order to ensure transparency, thus allowing for timely independent scrutiny while protecting personal data and ensuring that those who requested the studies can only use them for non-commercial purposes, so as to safeguard the relevant intellectual property rights;

Amendment

96. Calls for public access to be granted to the above studies, including all supporting data and information relating to applications for authorisation, in a machine-readable format in their entirety in order to ensure transparency, in full compliance with intellectual property rights and at an appropriate stage of the approval process;

Or. en