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Implementation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in the EU institutional framework
(2017/2089(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

27a. Underlines that with full respect for human rights, the reintroduction of national border controls has, in a vast majority of cases, been a necessity and a success, as it has prevented illegal migrants, drugs and weapons from crossing between Member States; in this respect, calls on the Commission to respect Member States who choose to control their borders, in the best interests of the whole Union;
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Amendment 2
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Barbara Spinelli
Implementation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in the EU institutional framework
(2017/2089(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

28. Recalls the obligation laid down in article 6 TEU to accede to the ECHR; asks the Commission to take the necessary steps to eliminate the legal barriers that prevent the conclusion of the accession process, and to present a new draft agreement for the accession of the Union to the ECHR providing positive solutions to the objections raised by the CJEU in Opinion 2/13 of 18 December 2014; considers that its completion would introduce further safeguards protecting the fundamental rights of Union citizens and residents and provide an additional mechanism for enforcing human rights, namely the possibility of lodging a complaint with the ECtHR in relation to a violation of human rights derived from an act by an EU institution or a Member State implementing EU law, falling within the remit of the ECHR; is of the opinion, furthermore, that ECtHR case law will thus provide extra input for current and future EU action on the respect for, and promotion of, human rights and fundamental freedoms in the areas of civil liberties, justice and home affairs, in addition to the case law of the CJEU in this field; in that perspective, regrets the fact that the CJEU has still not granted judges
the right to freely express dissenting opinions, which runs counter to the ever more political role that the decisions of the Court are playing; expresses doubts as to whether this policy is defendable in a modern democracy; notes that the ECtHR in Strasbourg has for many years had a practice of allowing dissenting opinions, which has led to better and clearer jurisprudence;
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