



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 – 2014

Committee on Constitutional Affairs

2011/0901(COD)

2.2.2012

AMENDMENTS

37 – 40

Draft opinion
Morten Messerschmidt
(PE470.092v01-00)

Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council
amending the Statute of the Court of Justice of the European Union
(02074/2011 – C7-0090/2011 – 2011/0901(COD))

AM\890175EN.doc

PE480.658v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_LegOpinion

Amendment 37
Rolandas Paksas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 5

Draft by the Court of Justice

(5) As a consequence of the progressive expansion of its jurisdiction since its creation, the number of cases before the General Court is now constantly increasing.

Amendment

(5) As a consequence of the progressive expansion of its jurisdiction since its creation, the number of cases before the General Court is now constantly increasing ***and delays in proceedings are also rising.***

Or. It

Amendment 38
Rolandas Paksas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9

Draft by the Court of Justice

(9) Consequently, the necessary measures should be taken to address this situation, and the possibility, provided for by the Treaties, of increasing the number of Judges of the General Court is such as to enable both the volume of pending cases and the excessive duration of proceedings ***before the General Court to be reduced*** within a short time.

Amendment

(9) Consequently, the necessary measures should be taken to address this situation, and the possibility, provided for by the Treaties, of increasing the number of Judges of the General Court is such as to enable ***it to increase its efficiency and reduce*** both the volume of pending cases and the excessive duration of proceedings within a short time, ***and to be able to deal with the ‘other proceedings’ category as a priority.***

Or. It

Amendment 39
Rolandas Paksas

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 10 a (new)

Draft by the Court of Justice

Amendment

(10a) Temporary Judges must be subject to obligations of independence, impartiality, competence and aptitude and enjoy the same rights, in the performance of their duties, as permanent Judges.

Or. It

Amendment 40

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the EFD Group

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 10 b (new)

Draft by the Court of Justice

Amendment

(10b) Whilst there is a need for the Court of Justice to introduce a mechanism allowing dissenting opinions to be expressed, this must not jeopardise the independence of Judges; a thorough debate on this issue is needed between experts, practitioners and other interested parties.

Or. en