



2019/2213(BUD)

27.2.2020

AMENDMENTS

1 - 24

Draft opinion

Antonio Tajani

(PE646.930v01-00)

Guidelines for the 2021 Budget - Section III
(2019/2213(BUD))

Amendment 1
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the preparation of the budget for 2021 will need to take account ***of the outcome of the negotiations on the next*** Multiannual Financial Framework and the reform of the EU own resources system, the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU and the start of the work of the Conference on the Future of Europe;

Amendment

A. whereas the preparation of the budget for 2021 will need to take ***into*** account ***the 2021 - 2027*** Multiannual Financial Framework and the reform of the EU own resources system, the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU and the start of the work of the Conference on the Future of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 2
João Ferreira

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

3a. whereas previous budgets were not provided with sufficient resources to give effect to the principle of economic, social and territorial cohesion, resulting in inequalities between Member States and leading to increasing divergence; whereas the role of the EU budget, and in particular of the part devoted to economic, social and territorial cohesion, should be strengthened to ensure convergence between Member States;

Amendment

Or. pt

Amendment 3
Cristian Ghinea, Pascal Durand, Gilles Boyer, Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the European Parliament has repeatedly called on the Council to strengthen the Union's Budget, especially concerning own resources as foreseen by the article 311 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Cristian Ghinea, Pascal Durand, Gilles Boyer, Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. whereas the focus on national issues by the Members of the European Council has led to a deadlock in the negotiations of the Union's budget; whereas , despite the calls from the European Citizens to act, this deadlock is due to a general lack of ambition, unwillingness to create genuine own-resources and a dysfunctional Institutional procedure in setting-up the EU Budget;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Cristian Ghinea, Pascal Durand, Gilles Boyer, Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Considers that communication with

1. Considers that ***real and effective***

citizens should be among the top priorities for the EU budget in order to ensure broad, active and effective involvement of citizens in the Conference on the Future of Europe;

communication **and consultation** with citizens should be among the top priorities for the EU budget in order to ensure broad, active and effective involvement of citizens in the Conference on the Future of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 6
João Ferreira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers **that** communication **with citizens should be among the top priorities for the EU budget in order to ensure broad, active and effective involvement of citizens in the Conference on the Future of Europe;**

Amendment

1. Considers communication **on where and how the EU budget is used to be important; calls, in this regard, for such information to be made available in a transparent and easily accessible manner to all citizens of the Member States;**

Or. pt

Amendment 7
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

(1) Considers that, from a constitutional perspective, it is paramount to ensure that the 2021 EU budget is sufficient to finance the exercise of the competences attributed to the Union by the Treaties, which have increased over time;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 8
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 2 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(2) Calls for increasing funding for Erasmus Plus and the European Solidarity Corps, since mobility programs are essential for building a strong European sentiment, a critical component of the broader concept of the European Citizenship;

Or. en

Amendment 9
João Ferreira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Considers that the 2021 EU budget should include: the end of macroeconomic conditionality imposed on Member States; rules governing the funds permitting their use in accordance with the priorities of each country; the exclusion for the purpose of the Stability Pact of public expenditure linked to the co-financing of investments financed by EU funds, at least until the abrogation of the Stability Pact and of the standards and procedures of 'economic governance' ;

Or. pt

Amendment 10
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. *Believes that greater account should be taken of the views of EU citizens when decisions are made on the future of Europe, and that the EU budget must deliver results that matter to European citizens, with one of the objectives being to reduce economic and social disparities not just between Member States but also within each Member State;*

Or. ro

Amendment 11

Cristian Ghinea, Pascal Durand, Gilles Boyer, Charles Goerens

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. *Recalls that according to the treaties Members or former Members of the European Union are bound to their budgetary obligations until the end of their commitments and that not respecting these would have durable consequences for the mutual trust in their future relations.*

Or. en

Amendment 12

João Ferreira

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. *Supports the creation of dedicated budget lines for the organisation and roll-*

deleted

out of the Conference on the Future of Europe in the budgets of various EU institutions and bodies, and the provision of the resources necessary for the achievement of the Conference goals;

Or. pt

Amendment 13

Cristian Ghinea, Pascal Durand, Gilles Boyer, Charles Goerens

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Supports the creation of dedicated budget lines for the organisation and roll-out of the Conference on the Future of Europe in the budgets of various EU institutions and bodies, and the provision of the resources necessary for the achievement of the Conference goals;

Amendment

2. Supports the creation of dedicated budget lines for the organisation and roll-out of the Conference on the Future of Europe in the budgets of various EU institutions and bodies, and the provision of the resources necessary for the achievement of the Conference goals; ***calls on the Commission and the Council to take into account in their allocated budget lines for the Conference the high level of ambition for this event as set by the Parliament in its resolution on this issue^{1a}***;

^{1a} ***European Parliament resolution of 15 January 2020 on the European Parliament's position on the Conference on the Future of Europe (2019/2990(RSP))***

Or. en

Amendment 14

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Supports the creation of dedicated budget lines for the organisation and roll-out of the Conference on the Future of Europe in the budgets of various EU institutions and bodies, and the provision of the resources necessary for the achievement of the Conference goals;

Amendment

2. Supports the creation of dedicated budget lines for the organisation and roll-out of the Conference on the Future of Europe in the budgets of various EU institutions and bodies, and the provision of the resources necessary for the achievement of the Conference goals;
Insists that this budget should meet the ambitions set out in resolution 2019/2990(RSP) on the European Parliament's position on the Conference on the Future of Europe, including the organization of thematic European Citizens' agoras and Youth agoras throughout the process;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Supports the creation of dedicated budget lines for the organisation and roll-out of the Conference on the Future of Europe in the budgets of various EU institutions and bodies, and the provision of the resources necessary for the achievement of the Conference goals;

Amendment

2. Supports the creation of dedicated budget lines for the organisation and roll-out of the Conference on the Future of Europe in the budgets of ***the*** various EU institutions and bodies, and the provision of the resources necessary for the achievement of the Conference goals, ***as well as its promotion among all citizens in all regions and cities of the EU;***

Or. ro

Amendment 16
Cristian Ghinea, Pascal Durand, Gilles Boyer, Charles Goerens

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Insists that, considering the imperious need to have a solid budget to tackle the challenges of our time, the budget negotiations are not a session of horse trading between Member States; reiterates therefore its plea to the rapid setting up of genuine own resources as foreseen in article 311 TFUE in order to constitute a Union's budget more dependent on the common European interest than on consideration of domestic political nature;*

Or. en

Amendment 17
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Since national and regional parliaments have a major role to play in the organisation of the Conference on the Future of Europe, they should be encouraged to organise events that promote and highlight the importance of the Conference.*

Or. ro

Amendment 18
Cristian Ghinea, Pascal Durand, Gilles Boyer, Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Underlines the need for sufficient commitment to and payment appropriations for the Europe for Citizens Programme, the Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme and the European Citizens' Initiative, as these instruments are vital for intensifying the participatory democracy processes in the EU, building citizens' trust and enhancing their understanding of EU policies;

3. Underlines the need for sufficient commitment to and payment appropriations for the Europe for Citizens Programme, the Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme and the European Citizens' Initiative, as these instruments are vital for intensifying the participatory democracy processes in the EU, building citizens' trust and enhancing their understanding of EU policies; ***Calls for development of effective educational and communication programs, which should focus on the European history and to explain to the citizens both the challenges facing the European Union and the added value of belonging to a strong inclusive and democratic Union.***

Or. en

Amendment 19
João Ferreira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Underlines the need for sufficient commitment to and payment appropriations for the Europe for Citizens Programme, the Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme and the European Citizens' Initiative, as these instruments are vital for intensifying the participatory democracy processes in the EU, building citizens' trust and enhancing their understanding of EU policies;***

Amendment

3. ***Considers that*** the Europe for Citizens programme, the Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme and the European Citizens' Initiative ***should not contribute to the creation of an artificial European identity, the falsification and revisionism of history, anti-communism and the white-washing of Nazism-Fascism;***

Or. pt

Amendment 20
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Calls for a dedicated budget line for the development of a demonstrative curriculum on EU Citizenship education;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Underlines the urgent need to provide the Joint Transparency Register Secretariat with sufficient and adequate administrative and financial means in order to fulfil its tasks, as it plays a key role in ensuring fair and transparent activities of interest representatives

Or. en

Amendment 22

João Ferreira

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Proposes that the 2021 budget should ensure a substantial increase in funding for economic, social and territorial cohesion in order to increase convergence between Member States and increase the participation and standard of living of citizens;

Amendment 23

Cristian Ghinea, Pascal Durand, Gilles Boyer, Charles Goerens

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Insists that proper levels of financing be secured to enable the activities of the EU institutions and bodies, such as the Commission Representations, to counter disinformation.

Amendment

4. Insists that proper levels of financing be secured to enable the activities of the EU institutions and bodies, such as the Commission Representations, to counter disinformation. ***Underlines that East StratCom Task Force is underfinanced; Calls for a substantial increase in its budget in order for the EU to successfully counter-attack disinformation and foreign interferences; Calls for more information campaigns to better explain EU policies in the Eastern Partnership countries; Points out the paramount importance of the protection of our European democracies and exhorts the Member States to attribute more resources to tackling this issue;***

Amendment 24

Maria Grapini

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Insists that proper levels of financing be secured to enable the activities of the EU institutions and bodies, such as the Commission Representations, to counter disinformation.

Amendment

4. Insists that proper levels of financing be secured to enable the activities of the EU institutions and bodies, such as the Commission Representations, to counter disinformation ***and campaigns manipulating public opinion.***

