



2020/2088(INI)

20.7.2020

AMENDMENTS

1 - 265

Draft report
Pascal Durand
(PE653.777v01-00)

Stocktaking of European elections
(2020/2088(INI))

Amendment 1
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union, in particular articles 39 and 52(1) thereof,*

Or. en

Amendment 2
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and in particular Article 21 thereof,*

Or. fr

Amendment 3
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and in particular Article 25 thereof,*

Or. fr

Amendment 4
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), and in particular Article 29 thereof,*

Or. fr

Amendment 5
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the European Pillar of Social Rights, and in particular its principle 1,*

Or. fr

Amendment 6
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, and in particular Article 21 thereof,*

Or. fr

Amendment 7

**Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Gabriele Bischoff,
Raphaël Glucksmann**

Motion for a resolution

Citation 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 13 February 2019 on the state of the debate on the future of Europe,*

Or. en

Amendment 8

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Citation 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its decision of 18 June 2020 on setting up a special committee on foreign interference in all democratic processes in the European Union, including disinformation, and defining its responsibilities, numerical strength and term of office;*

Or. en

Amendment 9

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Judgement of the Court of the European Union (Grand Chamber) of 19 December 2019 on Case C-502/19 (preliminary ruling on*

Amendment 10
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Petition 0563/2019 by R.S. (German) on the denial of the right to be included in the electoral roll,*

Or. fr

Amendment 11
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the European Economic and Social Committee's March 2019 information report on the reality of the right to vote in European elections for persons with disabilities^{1 a},*

^{1 a} <https://www.eesc.europa.eu/en/our-work/opinions-information-reports/information-reports/the-real-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities-to-vote-in-EP-elections-information-report>

Or. fr

Amendment 12
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 16 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the work of the Interparliamentary Union (IPU) on gender equality, in particular its Plan of Action for Gender-sensitive Parliaments^{1 a},*

1 a

<https://www.ipu.org/en/resources/publications/reference/2016-07/plan-ofaction-for-gendersensitive-parliaments>

Or. fr

Amendment 13
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to **Rule 54 of its Rules of Procedure,***

— *having regard to **its Rules of Procedure, and in particular Rule 54 thereof,***

Or. fr

Amendment 14
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. *whereas the 2019 European elections registered the highest turnout of the any elections to the European Parliament in the last 20 years, with 50.2 %, (an increase of eight percentage points*

A. *whereas the 2019 European elections registered the highest turnout of the any elections to the European Parliament in the last 20 years, with 50.2 %, (an increase of eight percentage*

compared to 2014), sending a positive signal that European citizens believe that many of their concerns can be addressed at EU level;

points compared to 2014), sending a positive signal that European citizens believe that many of their concerns can be addressed at EU level; ***whereas an election in which half the citizens voted is not satisfactory; whereas, moreover, that figure masks wide disparities between the Member States; whereas only 18% of those surveyed thought their vote could 'change things'^{1 a}; whereas, therefore, a higher turnout should not be the only criterion taken into account; whereas the election also reflects the disillusionment of part of the population with the European integration process and current EU policies;***

^{1 a} <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/at-your-service/files/be-heard/eurobarometer/2019/post-election-survey-2019-complete-results/report/en-post-election-survey-2019-report.pdf>

Or. fr

Amendment 15 **Jorge Buxadé Villalba**

Motion for a resolution **Recital A**

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the 2019 European elections registered the highest turnout of the any elections to the European Parliament in the last 20 years, with 50.2 %, (an increase of eight percentage points compared to 2014), ***sending a positive signal that European citizens believe that many of their concerns can be addressed at EU level;***

Amendment

A. whereas the 2019 European elections registered the highest turnout of the any elections to the European Parliament in the last 20 years, with 50.2 %, (an increase of eight percentage points compared to 2014);

Or. es

Amendment 16

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Gabriele Bischoff, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the 2019 European elections registered the highest turnout of the any elections to the European Parliament in the last 20 years, with 50.2 %, (an increase of eight percentage points compared to 2014), sending a positive signal that European citizens believe that many of their concerns can be addressed at EU level;

Amendment

A. whereas the 2019 European elections registered the highest turnout of the any elections to the European Parliament in the last 20 years, with 50.2 %, (an increase of eight percentage points compared to 2014), sending a positive signal that European citizens believe that many of their concerns can be addressed at EU level; ***whereas the 2019 turnout still remains low and more needs to be done to increase participation in EU elections;***

Or. en

Amendment 17

Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the 2019 European elections registered the highest turnout of the any elections to the European Parliament in the last 20 years, with **50.2 %**, (an increase of eight percentage points compared to 2014), sending a positive signal ***that European citizens believe that many of their concerns can be addressed*** at EU level;

Amendment

A. whereas the 2019 European elections registered the highest turnout of the any elections to the European Parliament in the last 20 years, with **50.6 %**, (an increase of eight percentage points compared to 2014), sending a positive signal, ***given that it shows that European citizens are taking an increasing interest in developments*** at EU level ***and the impact that EU rules have on their daily lives;***

Or. fr

Amendment 18

**Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Gabriele Bischoff,
Raphaël Glucksmann**

**Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas results of the Eurobarometer survey commissioned by the European Parliament after the European elections shows that the state of the economy and the environment were the two main priorities for voters which clearly indicates that citizens who take part in European elections wish to have more action at the European level in these two policy fields of shared competence between the EU and the national level;

Or. en

**Amendment 19
Damian Boeselager**
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas the right choice of an electoral system creates the right environment for citizens to believe in their basic democratic right to vote for their democratic representatives and at the same time for the political representatives to listen to their voters and represent their interest and as such creates a self-efficacy amongst citizens;

Or. en

**Amendment 20
Leila Chaibi**

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the higher turnout was in part due to the increased participation of young people;

Amendment

B. whereas the higher turnout was in part due to the increased participation of young people, ***although people in the over-40 age group are still much more likely to vote; whereas more than 50% of young people vote out of a sense of civic duty and in response to the climate emergency^{1 a}***;

^{1 a} <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/at-your-service/files/be-heard/eurobarometer/2019/post-election-survey-2019-complete-results/report/en-post-election-survey-2019-report.pdf>

Or. fr

Amendment 21

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Gabriele Bischoff, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. whereas the relentless engagement of civil society played a crucial role for a pro-European discourse ahead of the European elections;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. *whereas the higher turnout was ultimately linked to gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration, especially in several founding Member States where far-right extremists and anti-European forces won the elections;* **deleted**

Or. es

Amendment 23

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. *whereas the higher turnout was ultimately linked to gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration, especially in several founding Member States where far-right extremists and anti-European forces won the elections;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 24

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. *whereas the higher turnout was ultimately linked to gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration, especially in several founding Member States where far-right extremists and anti-European forces won the elections;*

C. *whereas the higher turnout was ultimately linked to gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration;*

Or. fr

Amendment 25

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the higher turnout was **ultimately** linked to gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration, especially in several founding Member States where far-right extremists and anti-European forces won the elections;

Amendment

C. whereas the higher turnout was linked to **both** gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration, especially in several founding Member States where far-right extremists and anti-European forces won the elections **and gains by pro-European parties receiving votes from younger generations**;

Or. en

Amendment 26

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the **higher** turnout was **ultimately linked to gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration, especially in several founding Member States where far-right extremists and anti-European forces won the elections**;

Amendment

C. whereas the **highest** turnout **in 20 years demonstrates a strong engagement of citizens in shaping the future of the Union and can be pinned down to multiple reasons, such as a rise in the number of those voters who are in favour of the EU or who believe that their voice can make things change in the EU**^{1a};

^{1a} The 2019 post-electoral survey:
<https://www.europarl.europa.eu/at-your-service/files/be-heard/eurobarometer/2019/post-election-survey-2019-complete-results/report/en-post-election-survey-2019-report.pdf>

Amendment 27

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the higher turnout was ultimately linked to gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration, especially in several founding Member States where *far-right extremists and anti-European forces* won the elections;

Amendment

C. whereas the higher turnout was ultimately linked to gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration, especially in several founding Member States where *the far right* won the elections;

Or. fr

Amendment 28

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, Danuta Maria Hübner, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the higher turnout *was ultimately linked to gains by Eurosceptics, which should be considered as a warning for European integration, especially in several founding Member States where far-right extremists and anti-European forces won the elections;*

Amendment

C. whereas the higher turnout *should not divert our attention from the gains of eurosceptic, populist and nationalist movements; whereas many of these radical forces from left to right are against the EU integration project;*

Or. en

Amendment 29

Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas the abstention rate in the 2019 European elections was still high, at 49.4%, and whereas the turnout varied significantly from Member State to Member State;

Or. fr

Amendment 30

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D. whereas the higher turnout is also a sign that EU citizens want the EU to act swiftly and effectively on important matters such as climate change, migration, protection of fundamental rights and democratisation; ***deleted***

Or. fr

Amendment 31

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D. whereas the higher turnout is also a sign that EU citizens want the EU to act swiftly and effectively on important matters such as climate change, migration, protection of fundamental rights and democratisation; ***deleted***

Amendment 32

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the higher turnout is also a sign that EU citizens want the EU to act swiftly and effectively ***on important matters such as climate change, migration, protection of fundamental rights and democratisation;***

Amendment

D. whereas the higher turnout is also a sign that EU citizens want the EU to act swiftly and effectively;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Leila Chaibi, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the higher turnout is also a sign that EU citizens want the EU to act swiftly and effectively on important matters such as climate change, migration, protection of fundamental rights and democratisation;

Amendment

D. whereas the higher turnout is also a sign that EU citizens want the EU to act swiftly, ***democratically*** and effectively on important matters such as ***social dumping***, climate change, migration, protection of fundamental rights and democratisation;

Or. fr

Amendment 34

Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the higher turnout is also a sign that EU citizens want the EU to *act* swiftly and effectively *on important matters such as climate change, migration, protection of fundamental rights and democratisation*;

Amendment

D. whereas the higher turnout is also a sign that EU citizens want the EU to *respond* swiftly and effectively *to their main concerns, namely employment, the cost of living, health, climate change and migration*;

Or. fr

Amendment 35

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. Whereas we need to be more efficient and proactive in taking advantage of all means of communication, including digital technology, to foster a strong link between European political decisions and constituents' sense of connection to EU institutions;

Or. en

Amendment 36

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas gender equality among Members of the European Parliament has improved (41 % women in 2019, up from 37 % in 2014);

deleted

Amendment 37

Leila Chaibi, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas gender equality among Members of the European Parliament has improved (41 % women in 2019, up from 37 % in 2014);

Amendment

E. whereas gender equality among Members of the European Parliament has improved (41 % women in 2019, up from 37 % in 2014); ***whereas this figure masks significant differences between Member States and the many challenges still to be overcome if gender parity is to be achieved, including in Parliament's committees; whereas only a few Member States make it a requirement for political parties to have gender-balanced lists in which men and women alternate;***

Or. fr

Amendment 38

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas gender equality among Members of the European Parliament has improved (41 % women in 2019, up from 37 % in 2014);

Amendment

E. whereas gender equality among Members of the European Parliament has improved (41 % women in 2019, up from 37 % in 2014); ***whereas Ursula von der Leyen is the first female President of the European Commission and her Commission has historically the largest share of female Commissioners, with 12 women Commissioners;***

Or. en

Amendment 39
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas gender *equality* among Members of the European Parliament has *improved* (41 % women in 2019, up from 37 % in 2014);

Amendment

E. whereas *the* gender *gap* among Members of the European Parliament has *been reduced* (41 % women in 2019, up from 37 % in 2014) *without however reaching a gender balanced parliament*;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ea. whereas the Interparliamentary Union (IPU), of which the European Parliament is an associate member, is calling for women to be proportionally and fairly represented on all committees, not just on committees dealing with issues relating to women, childhood, gender equality, the family, health or education; whereas it is not a matter of incorporating a 'feminine sensibility' into these committees, but of encouraging women to occupy a place commensurate with their role in society and to share their expertise;

Or. fr

Amendment 41
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. whereas Europe's diverse and multicultural society needs to be represented better in the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Eb. whereas of the 20 European Parliament committees, only two have more women than men, the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality (89 % women) and the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs; whereas only the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety has the same number of men and women; whereas only 19.5 % of the members of the Committee on Constitutional Affairs, only 24 % of the members of the Committee on Budgets and only 26 % of the members of the Committee on Foreign Affairs are women; whereas, lastly, these figures fail to take account of the split between full and substitute members, with women substitute members too often serving to make up the gender parity numbers;

Or. fr

Amendment 43
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital E c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ec. whereas only 5 % of the MEPs elected in the 2019 European elections were members of ethnic minorities, although these minorities make up 10 % of the European population; whereas, moreover, following the departure of the UK MEPs only 24 out of 705 MEPs are black, seven fewer than before^{1 a};

^{1 a} ENAR's Election Analysis - other minorities in the new European Parliament 2019-2025 : <https://www.enar-eu.org/ENAR-s-Election-Analysis-Ethnic-minorities-in-the-new-European-Parliament-2019>.

Or. fr

Amendment 44
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital E d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ed. whereas the Administration does not require elected representatives to provide details of their profession; whereas although elected representatives must provide details of the occupation they exercise in their declaration of financial interests, Parliament's Administration does not compile and publish this data; whereas the national parliaments make this information available; whereas it is therefore difficult to determine whether Parliament is representative of society; whereas it is a matter of public interest to compile and publish this information in an effort to ensure that all socio-professional

categories are properly represented in Parliament;

Or. fr

Amendment 45

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Recital E e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ee. whereas the use of English, without interpretation, in negotiation meetings involving Parliament's rapporteur and shadow rapporteurs and the late delivery or non-availability of translations of the documents issued by the EU institutions are additional obstacles to the more diverse and accurate representation of European societies in the European Parliament;

Or. fr

Amendment 46

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F. whereas 15 Member States still restrict voting rights for people with disabilities, thus preventing the meaningful participation and representation of these citizens in democratic processes;

F. whereas 15 Member States still restrict voting rights for people with disabilities, thus preventing the meaningful participation and representation of these citizens in democratic processes; ***whereas it is important to guarantee the right to vote in law and in practice; whereas, according to the EESC, by virtue of the laws in force in their countries some 800 000 EU citizens in 16 Member States are denied the right to vote on the grounds of disability or mental health problems;***

whereas many people with disabilities want to vote at a polling station even if other voting arrangements more suited to their circumstances are available; whereas in 12 Member States national rules do not make it possible to switch from the polling station assigned on the basis of place of residence to another which is more suitable in the light of a voter's disability; whereas, lastly, Article 29 of the UNCRPD explicitly stipulates that the States Parties undertake to ensure that persons with disabilities can effectively and fully participate in political and public life on an equal basis with others, directly or through freely chosen representatives;

Or. fr

Amendment 47

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Gabriele Bischoff, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas 15 Member States still restrict voting rights for people with disabilities, thus preventing the meaningful participation and representation of these citizens in democratic processes;

Amendment

F. whereas 15 Member States still restrict voting rights for people with disabilities, thus preventing the meaningful participation and representation of these citizens in democratic processes; *whereas, due to national rules, an estimated 800 000 EU citizens were not able to exercise their right to vote in the last European elections because of their disabilities or mental health problems;*^{1a}

^{1a} *European Economic and Social Committee's information report of 20 March 2019 on 'The real right of persons with disabilities to vote in European Parliament elections'*

Or. en

Amendment 48
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas demographic change and the ageing of our societies are factors which will increase the number of people resident in long-term care establishments and hospitals; whereas the wider use of the specific, formal arrangements made for such people in many Member States should be encouraged;

Or. fr

Amendment 49
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F a. whereas on 14 June 2019, the Spanish Electoral Commission published a decision proclaiming the names of the elected Members of the European Parliament, 54 in total for Spain, and the name of Oriol Junqueras i Vies was on the list;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F b. whereas, according to the Court of Justice of the European Union (C-502/19), a person elected Member of European Parliament enjoys, as a result of and from the time of the declaration of the election results, the immunities guaranteed by Article 9 of the Protocol on the privileges and immunities of the European Union; whereas the primary objectives pursued by the Protocol consist of ensuring that the proper functioning and independence of the EU institutions are protected; whereas it is in the powers and prerogatives of the European Parliament to decide on the immunity of its Members;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital F b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fb. whereas the deadline for registering on the electoral roll varies greatly from one Member State to another, ranging from 90 days to 3 days prior to elections; whereas the EESC information report on the reality of the right to vote in European elections for persons with disabilities recommends that electoral rolls should be closed at the earliest two weeks before elections are held;

Or. fr

Amendment 52
Leila Chaibi, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Recital F c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fc. whereas, according to the joint report drawn up by the European Federation of National Associations Working with the Homeless (Feantsa) and the Fondation Abbé-Pierre, there are 700 000 homeless people in the European Union and almost 11 million households live in severely inadequate housing; whereas in 10 years this figure has increased by 70 %; whereas it is very difficult for homeless people to vote;

Or. fr

Amendment 53
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

G. whereas the reform of the Electoral Act of 1976, as adopted by the European Parliament in its legislative resolution of 4 July 2018 on the draft Council decision amending the Act concerning the election of the members of the European Parliament by direct universal suffrage, annexed to Council Decision 76/787/ECSC, EEC, Euratom of 20 September 1976¹⁴, is still not fully ratified owing to the unwillingness of Germany, Spain and Cyprus to take the last necessary steps in their national legislation, and thereby blocking the evolution of the regulatory framework for European elections;

deleted

¹⁴ J C 118, 8.4.2020, p. 246.

Amendment 54**Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Giuliano Pisapia, Juan Fernando López Aguilar****Motion for a resolution****Recital G***Motion for a resolution*

G. whereas the reform of the Electoral Act of 1976, as adopted by the European Parliament in its legislative resolution of 4 July 2018 on the draft Council decision amending the Act concerning the election of the members of the European Parliament by direct universal suffrage, annexed to Council Decision 76/787/ECSC, EEC, Euratom of 20 September 1976¹⁴, is still not fully ratified *owing to the unwillingness of Germany, Spain and Cyprus to take the last necessary steps in their national legislation, and thereby blocking the evolution of the regulatory framework for European elections*;

¹⁴ J C 118, 8.4.2020, p. 246.

Amendment

G. whereas the reform of the Electoral Act of 1976, as adopted by the European Parliament in its legislative resolution of 4 July 2018 on the draft Council decision amending the Act concerning the election of the members of the European Parliament by direct universal suffrage, annexed to Council Decision 76/787/ECSC, EEC, Euratom of 20 September 1976¹⁴, is still not fully ratified *by three Member States*;

¹⁴ J C 118, 8.4.2020, p. 246.

Or. en

Amendment 55**Damian Boeselager**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution**Recital G***Motion for a resolution*

G. whereas the reform of the Electoral Act of 1976, as adopted by the European Parliament in its legislative resolution of 4 July 2018 on the draft Council decision amending the Act concerning the election of the members of the European Parliament by direct universal suffrage, annexed to

Amendment

G. whereas the reform of the Electoral Act of 1976, as adopted by the European Parliament in its legislative resolution of 4 July 2018 on the draft Council decision amending the Act concerning the election of the members of the European Parliament by direct universal suffrage, annexed to

Council Decision 76/787/ECSC, EEC, Euratom of 20 September 1976¹⁴, is still not fully ratified *owing to the unwillingness of Germany, Spain and Cyprus to take the last necessary steps in their national legislation, and thereby blocking the evolution of the regulatory framework for European elections*;

¹⁴ J C 118, 8.4.2020, p. 246.

Council Decision 76/787/ECSC, EEC, Euratom of 20 September 1976¹⁴, is still not fully ratified, *which constitutes an opportunity to restart the negotiations and improve the Electoral Act of 1976*;

¹⁴ J C 118, 8.4.2020, p. 246.

Or. en

Amendment 56

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the *amended* Electoral Act, still pending ratification by some Member States, *already requires further improvements (i.e. regarding parental leave for MEPs)*;

Amendment

H. whereas the *Parliament should pursue its proposals for amendments to the* Electoral Act, still pending ratification by some Member States, *with renewed vigour and to push for unified European electoral rules*;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the amended Electoral Act, still pending ratification by some Member States, already requires further

Amendment

H. whereas the amended Electoral Act, still pending ratification by some Member States, already requires further

improvements (i.e. regarding parental leave for MEPs);

improvements (i.e. regarding parental leave for MEPs *and zip lists*);

Or. en

Amendment 58

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Gabriele Bischoff

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the amended Electoral Act, still pending ratification by some Member States, already requires further improvements (*i.e. regarding parental leave for MEPs*);

Amendment

H. whereas the amended Electoral Act, still pending ratification by some Member States, already requires further improvements;

Or. en

Amendment 59

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas EU citizens resident in a Member State other than their own continue to encounter problems in voting, such as a lack of voting booths and staff in consulates, principally as a result of the austerity policies implemented by some Member States;

Or. fr

Amendment 60

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections fragmented political representation in Parliament, leading to increased complexity in the decision-making process;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 61

Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections fragmented political representation in Parliament, leading to increased complexity in the decision-making process;

Amendment

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections fragmented political representation in Parliament, leading to increased complexity in the decision-making process; ***whereas this problem could be remedied by introducing the possibility for European political parties and movements to form pre-electoral coalitions;***

Or. it

Amendment 62

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections fragmented political representation in Parliament, leading to increased ***complexity in the decision-making process;***

Amendment

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections fragmented political representation in Parliament, leading to increased ***democracy, parliamentary dialogue and more meaningful negotiations, putting an end to the***

duopoly enjoyed by the two largest groups;

Or. fr

Amendment 63
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections fragmented political representation in Parliament, ***leading to increased complexity in the decision-making process;***

Amendment

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections fragmented political representation in Parliament, ***which gives a boost to political pluralism, and has weakened those political forces that would like to see further European integration;***

Or. es

Amendment 64
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections fragmented political representation in Parliament, ***leading to increased complexity in the decision-making process;***

Amendment

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections fragmented political representation in Parliament;

Or. fr

Amendment 65
Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections ***fragmented*** political representation in Parliament, leading to increased complexity in the decision-making process;

Amendment

I. whereas the outcome of the 2019 European elections ***has led to the emergence of a new parliamentary majority composed of different*** political groups with a clear pro-European identity;

Or. en

Amendment 66

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I a. whereas, despite the large turnover of Members and new parties joining the European Parliament, the European Parliament aggregates political positions efficiently, suggesting that no electoral thresholds do not impede the functioning of the policy-making process in the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 67

Gabriele Bischoff

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I a. whereas European citizens who took part in the European elections believing that their vote could make a difference when it comes to the election of the President of the European Commission were disappointed by the dismissal of the Spitzenkandidat principle

Amendment 68

Pedro Silva Pereira, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the 2019 elections failed to culminate in the choice of a Commission President from among the various Spitzenkandidaten, **resulting in a backward step from the process which was established in 2014;**

Amendment

J. whereas the 2019 elections failed to culminate in the choice of a Commission President from among the various Spitzenkandidaten, **whereas the election of the Commission President depends on securing the support of the majority of Members of the European Parliament;**

Or. en

Amendment 69

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the 2019 elections failed to culminate in the choice of a Commission President from among the various Spitzenkandidaten, resulting in a backward step from the process which was established in 2014;

Amendment

J. whereas the 2019 elections failed to culminate in the choice of a Commission President from among the various Spitzenkandidaten, resulting in a backward step from the process which was established in 2014 **consequently reducing the trust in the process;**

Or. en

Amendment 70

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the 2019 elections failed to culminate in the choice of a Commission President from among the various Spitzenkandidaten, ***resulting in a backward step from the process which was established in 2014;***

Amendment

J. whereas the 2019 elections failed to culminate in the choice of a Commission President from among the various Spitzenkandidaten;

Or. en

Amendment 71

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the 2019 elections failed to culminate in the choice of a Commission President from among the various Spitzenkandidaten, resulting in a ***backward step*** from the process which was established in 2014;

Amendment

J. whereas the 2019 elections failed to culminate in the choice of a Commission President from among the various Spitzenkandidaten, resulting in a ***departure*** from the process which was established in 2014;

Or. fr

Amendment 72

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has yet to be fully developed; whereas it lacks, among other things, the possibility for Spitzenkandidaten to stand as official candidates in all Member

Amendment

deleted

States on transnational lists, allowing all European voters to choose and vote for their preferred Spitzenkandidat; whereas Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵;

¹⁵ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.*

Or. es

Amendment 73

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

K. *whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has yet to be fully developed; whereas it lacks, among other things, the possibility for Spitzenkandidaten to stand as official candidates in all Member States on transnational lists, allowing all European voters to choose and vote for their preferred Spitzenkandidat; whereas Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵;* **deleted**

¹⁵ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.*

Or. fr

Amendment 74

Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli, Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has *yet* to be fully ***developed***; whereas it lacks, among other things, the possibility for Spitzenkandidaten to stand as official candidates in all Member States on transnational lists, allowing all European voters to choose and vote for their preferred Spitzenkandidat; whereas Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵ ;

¹⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.

Amendment

K. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has to be fully ***reformed***; whereas it lacks, among other things, the possibility for Spitzenkandidaten to stand as official candidates in all Member States on transnational lists, allowing all European voters to choose and vote for their preferred Spitzenkandidat; whereas ***the Spitzenkandidaten system and transnational lists should be open to coalitions of European political parties or movements, representing at least a quarter of Member States, or even to mixed coalitions of political parties and movements as long as they share a common political programme and a common Spitzenkandidat***; whereas Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵ ;

¹⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.

Or. en

Amendment 75

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has yet to be fully developed; ***whereas it lacks, among other things, the possibility for Spitzenkandidaten to stand as official candidates in all Member States on transnational lists, allowing all European voters to choose and vote for their preferred Spitzenkandidat***; whereas

Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵ ;

¹⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.

¹⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.

Or. en

Amendment 76
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has yet to be fully developed; whereas it lacks, among other things, the possibility for Spitzenkandidaten to stand as official candidates in all Member States on transnational lists, allowing all European voters to choose and vote for their preferred Spitzenkandidat; whereas Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵;

¹⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.

Amendment

K. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has yet to be fully developed; whereas it lacks, among other things, the possibility for Spitzenkandidaten to stand as official candidates in all Member States on transnational lists, ***even if they do not belong to a European political party, but are supported by a grouping of parties or movements representing at least one-quarter of the Member States***, allowing all European voters to choose and vote for their preferred Spitzenkandidat; whereas Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵;

¹⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.

Or. fr

Amendment 77
Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has yet to be fully ***developed***; ***whereas it lacks, among other things, the possibility for Spitzenkandidaten to stand as official candidates in all Member States on transnational lists, allowing all European voters to choose and vote for their preferred Spitzenkandidat***; whereas Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵ ;

¹⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.

Amendment

K. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has yet to be fully ***consolidated, in line with the provisions of the Lisbon Treaty***; whereas Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵ ;

¹⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0030.

Or. en

Amendment 78

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, Danuta Maria Hübner, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten process has yet to be ***fully developed***; ***whereas it lacks, among other things, the possibility for Spitzenkandidaten to stand as official candidates in all Member States on transnational lists***, allowing all European voters to ***choose and vote for their preferred Spitzenkandidat***; whereas Parliament raised this issue in its decision of 7 February 2018 on the revision of the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the European Commission¹⁵ ;

Amendment 79
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Recital L

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be improved and formalised in the EU's primary law after an in-depth institutional reflection; whereas this reflection should also include the de facto political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

deleted

Amendment 80
Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Gabriele Bischoff

Motion for a resolution
Recital L

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be improved and formalised in the EU's primary law after an in-depth institutional reflection; whereas this reflection should also include the de facto political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be *urgently* improved and formalised in the EU's primary law after an in-depth institutional reflection, *by taking into account the proportional nature of the European electoral system, and to be ready to be applied at the next European elections in 2024*; whereas this reflection should also include the de facto political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

Amendment 81

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Recital L

Motion for a resolution

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be **improved** and formalised in the **EU's primary law** after an in-depth institutional reflection; whereas this reflection should also include the de facto political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

Amendment

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be **overhauled** and formalised in the **EU Treaties** after an in-depth institutional reflection **as part of the Conference on the Future of Europe**; whereas this reflection should also include the de facto political role of the Commission and its President **and the need for them to be accountable to voters and the European Parliament** and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 82

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Recital L

Motion for a resolution

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be **improved and formalised in the EU's primary law** after an in-depth institutional reflection; whereas this reflection should also include the **de facto** political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

Amendment

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be **abandoned** after an in-depth institutional reflection; whereas this reflection should also include the **excessively broad** political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 83

Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli

Motion for a resolution

Recital L

Motion for a resolution

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be **improved** and formalised in the EU's primary law after an in-depth institutional reflection; whereas this reflection should also include the de facto political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

Amendment

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be **reformed** and formalised in the EU's primary law after an in-depth institutional reflection; whereas this reflection should also include the de facto political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

Or. it

Amendment 84

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Recital L

Motion for a resolution

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be **improved and formalised** in the **EU's primary law after an in-depth institutional reflection**; whereas this reflection should also include the de facto political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

Amendment

L. whereas the Spitzenkandidaten system needs to be **addressed and reflected upon** in the **Conference for the Future of Europe**; whereas this reflection should also include the de facto political role of the Commission and its President and any related changes to the decision-making process of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 85

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Recital L a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

L a. whereas the fact that only 8% of EU voters said to have voted in the last elections in order to influence the choice of the next President of the European Commission^{1a} underlines that the process of the selection of the President of the European Commission needs to urgently be clarified and be made more transparent to the voters;

^{1a} Eurobarometer 91.5, September 2019

Or. en

Amendment 86

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution

Recital M

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

M. whereas institutional improvements such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, would radically transform the European elections into one true European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national elections that it is today;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 87
Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Recital M

Motion for a resolution

M. whereas institutional improvements such as transnational lists, *as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, would radically transform the European elections into one true European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national elections that it is today;*

Amendment

M. whereas institutional improvements such as *the possible creation of* transnational lists *should be discussed in the Conference on the Future of Europe;*

Or. en

Amendment 88

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Recital M

Motion for a resolution

M. whereas institutional improvements *such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current*

Amendment

M. whereas institutional improvements *are needed in order to achieve a true European political sphere* as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national *debates* that it is today;

institutional set-up of the European Union, would radically transform the European elections into one true European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national *elections* that it is today;

Or. en

Amendment 89

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital M

Motion for a resolution

M. whereas institutional improvements such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, would radically transform the European elections into one true European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national elections that it is today;

Amendment

M. whereas institutional improvements such as *placing European political parties at the centre European elections, as intended in article 10(4) of the Treaty on European Union*, transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, would radically transform the European elections into one true European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national elections that it is today;

Or. en

Amendment 90

Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli

Motion for a resolution

Recital M

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

M. whereas institutional improvements such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, would radically transform the European elections into one true European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national elections that it is today;

M. whereas institutional improvements such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, ***or the introduction of the possibility for European political parties and movements to form pre-electoral coalitions***, would radically transform the European elections into one true European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national elections that it is today;

Or. it

Amendment 91 **Loránt Vincze**

Motion for a resolution **Recital M**

Motion for a resolution

M. whereas ***institutional improvements such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, would radically transform the European elections into one true European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national elections that it is today;***

Amendment

M. whereas ***European elections are a joint European exercise in democracy where separate elections in Member States with national parties from pan-European political families lead to a common European result; whereas in the past Parliament has discussed various improvements to this exercise, such as in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament or in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union;***

Or. en

Amendment 92
Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Motion for a resolution
Recital M

Motion for a resolution

M. whereas institutional improvements such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, would **radically** transform the European elections into **one true** European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national elections that it is today;

Amendment

M. whereas institutional improvements such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, would **help to** transform the European elections into **a single** European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national elections that it is today;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital M

Motion for a resolution

M. whereas institutional improvements such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, **would** radically transform the European elections into **one true European election, as opposed to the collection of 27 separate national**

Amendment

M. whereas institutional improvements such as transnational lists, as acknowledged by Parliament in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament, or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union, as proposed in its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union, **could** radically transform the European elections into **a key event, preceded by real debates and the presentation of contrasting visions for the**

elections that it is today;

*future of the European integration
process;*

Or. fr

Amendment 94

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Recital M a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

M a. Whereas proposal such as the "transnational lists" or the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber are still under discussion; whereas none of them count currently with a majority support; Whereas the upcoming Conference on the Future of Europe provides a true opportunity to discuss these issues and other institutional reforms; Whereas the success of the Conference will crucially depend on the agenda, the involvement of the citizens and the European Council's willingness to implement results;

Or. en

Amendment 95

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Recital M a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

M a. whereas one of the ideas discussed concerned the creation of a joint constituency with transnational lists; whereas Parliament ultimately rejected the idea on 7 February 2018 based on

concerns that elections without a local constituency would not ensure accountability to voters, would weaken the link between Members and their electorate but would also favour large Member States; whereas the objective of the EU must be to be more democratic and accountable instead of more centralised and distant;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Gabriele Bischoff

Motion for a resolution
Recital M a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

M a. whereas in the absence of a legally binding framework for the application of the Spitzenkandidat principle, other measures have to be taken into consideration in order to allow the Parliament to swiftly convene with newly elected Members after the European elections, while respecting national deadlines for the formal appointment of newly elected Members, in order to be able to position itself jointly in favour of one Spitzenkandidat

Or. en

Amendment 97
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital N

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

N. whereas the intensified political exchanges in Parliament have also highlighted the shortcomings of several

N. whereas the intensified political exchanges in Parliament have also highlighted the shortcomings of several

standing procedures such as the hearings of Commissioners-designate, with particular regard to the conditions for the legal assessment of their applications (e.g. declarations of financial interests);

standing procedures such as the hearings of Commissioners-designate, with particular regard to the conditions for the legal assessment of their applications (e.g. declarations of financial interests); ***whereas the College of Commissioners should be appointed by means of an exemplary process, in keeping with the undertaking given in Article 17 of the Treaty on European Union; whereas the current procedure for scrutinising the financial interests of Commissioners-designate, which is carried out by the Committee on Legal Affairs (JURI), makes it impossible to honour that undertaking; whereas in her mission letter Commissioner Jourova undertook to work with the European Parliament and the Council to set up an independent ethics watchdog for all the EU institutions; whereas the ethics watchdog must be set up in such a way as to provide sufficient guarantees of independence, starting with provision of the time and resources needed to exercise scrutiny, in keeping with the best practices employed in the Member States;***

Or. fr

Amendment 98

Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli

Motion for a resolution

Recital N

Motion for a resolution

N. whereas the intensified political exchanges in Parliament have also highlighted the shortcomings of several standing procedures such as the hearings of Commissioners-designate, with particular regard to the conditions for the legal assessment of their applications (e.g. declarations of financial interests);

Amendment

N. whereas the intensified political exchanges in Parliament have also highlighted the shortcomings of several standing procedures such as the hearings of Commissioners-designate, with particular regard to the conditions for the legal assessment of their applications (e.g. declarations of financial interests); ***whereas, furthermore, such hearings are playing an increasing role in determining***

the make-up of the European Commission, and whereas, therefore, at such hearings, pluralism and the participation of all political forces, including those that do not belong to political groups of the European Parliament, should be ensured as far as possible;

Or. it

Amendment 99

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital N

Motion for a resolution

N. whereas the intensified political exchanges in Parliament have also highlighted the shortcomings of several standing procedures such as the hearings of Commissioners-designate, with particular regard to the conditions for the legal assessment of their applications (e.g. declarations of financial interests);

Amendment

N. whereas the intensified political exchanges in Parliament have ***improved in comparison to previous iterations, but*** also highlighted the shortcomings of several standing procedures such as the hearings of Commissioners-designate, with particular regard to the conditions for the legal assessment of their applications (e.g. declarations of financial interests);

Or. en

Amendment 100

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Gabriele Bischoff

Motion for a resolution

Recital N

Motion for a resolution

N. whereas the ***intensified political exchanges in Parliament have also highlighted the shortcomings of several standing procedures such as*** the hearings of ***Commissioners-designate, with particular regard*** to the ***conditions for the***

Amendment

N. whereas the ***process of examination of the declarations of interests and*** the hearings of ***the designated European Commissioners by the European Parliament was an important step in increasing the***

legal assessment of their applications (e.g. declarations of financial interests);

Commission's accountability to the European Parliament and the public in general; whereas this process can and should be further improved in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution
Recital O

Motion for a resolution

O. whereas democratic processes at both Member State and EU levels have been targeted by foreign powers in order to influence the outcome of elections and weaken the Union; whereas the mechanisms put in place by the European institutions, such as the Code of Practice against Disinformation and the Rapid Alert System for elections contributed to the mitigation of foreign interference during the election campaign;

Amendment

O. whereas democratic processes at both Member State and EU levels have been targeted by foreign powers in order to influence the outcome of elections and weaken the Union; whereas the mechanisms put in place by the European institutions, such as the Code of Practice against Disinformation and the Rapid Alert System for elections contributed to the mitigation of foreign interference during the election campaign, ***but whereas, in the light of rapid developments in technologies and disinformation methods, constant vigilance and coordination among the Member States are essential;***

Or. fr

Amendment 102
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Recital O

Motion for a resolution

O. whereas democratic processes at both Member State and EU levels have been targeted by foreign powers in order to influence the outcome of elections and weaken the Union; whereas the

Amendment

O. whereas democratic processes at both Member State and EU levels have been targeted by foreign powers in order to influence the outcome of elections and weaken the Union; whereas the

mechanisms put in place by the European institutions, such as the Code of Practice against Disinformation and the Rapid Alert System for elections *contributed to the mitigation of* foreign interference during the election campaign;

mechanisms put in place by the European institutions, such as the Code of Practice against Disinformation and the Rapid Alert System for elections *put the right to freedom of expression at risk, without any proof that they helped to mitigate* foreign interference during the election campaign;

Or. es

Amendment 103

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Recital O

Motion for a resolution

O. whereas democratic processes at both Member State and EU levels have been targeted by foreign powers in order to influence the outcome of elections and weaken the Union; whereas the mechanisms put in place by the European institutions, such as the Code of Practice against Disinformation and the Rapid Alert System for elections contributed to the mitigation of foreign interference during the election campaign;

Amendment

O. whereas democratic processes at both Member State and EU levels have been targeted by foreign powers *sometimes in connection with internal actors* in order to influence the outcome of elections and weaken the Union; whereas the mechanisms put in place by the European institutions, such as the Code of Practice against Disinformation and the Rapid Alert System for elections contributed to the mitigation of foreign interference during the election campaign;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Commission's requests to social media platforms ahead of the elections created confusion and had unintended consequences such as the ban

Amendment

P. whereas the Commission's requests to social media platforms ahead of the elections created confusion and had unintended consequences such as the ban

on Europe-wide political advertisements, which is the only way for European political parties to advertise during European election campaigns; whereas especially on that matter, the institutions should develop an interinstitutional approach in order to have a positive impact on the security and stability of the electoral process;

on Europe-wide political advertisements, which is the only way for European political parties to advertise during European election campaigns; whereas especially on that matter, the institutions should develop an interinstitutional approach in order to have a positive impact on the security and stability of the electoral process; ***whereas the Code of Practice is purely voluntary and focuses on transparency rather than on actual limits like targeted political advertisement;***

Or. en

Amendment 105
Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution
Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Commission's requests to social media platforms ahead of the elections created confusion and had unintended consequences such as the ban on Europe-wide political advertisements, which is the only way for European political parties to ***advertise*** during European election campaigns; whereas especially on that matter, the institutions should develop an interinstitutional approach in order to have a positive impact on the security and stability of the electoral process;

Amendment

P. whereas the Commission's requests to social media platforms ahead of the elections created confusion and had unintended consequences such as the ban on Europe-wide political advertisements, which is the only way for European political parties to ***be identified and recognised by voters*** during European election campaigns; whereas especially on that matter, the institutions should develop an interinstitutional approach in order to have a positive impact on the security and stability of the electoral process;

Or. fr

Amendment 106
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Commission's requests to social media platforms ahead of the elections created confusion and had unintended consequences such as the ban on Europe-wide political advertisements, which is ***the only way*** for European political parties to advertise during European election campaigns; whereas especially on that matter, the institutions should develop an interinstitutional approach in order to have a positive impact on the security and stability of the electoral process;

Amendment

P. whereas the Commission's requests to social media platforms ahead of the elections created confusion and had unintended consequences such as the ban on Europe-wide political advertisements, which is ***one of the ways*** for European political parties to advertise during European election campaigns; whereas especially on that matter, the institutions should develop an interinstitutional approach in order to have a positive impact on the security and stability of the electoral process;

Or. fr

Amendment 107

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution

Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Commission's requests to social media platforms ahead of the elections ***created confusion and*** had unintended consequences such as the ban on Europe-wide political advertisements, which is ***the only way for*** European political parties to advertise during European election campaigns; whereas especially on that matter, the institutions should develop an interinstitutional approach in order to have a positive impact on the security and stability of the electoral process;

Amendment

P. whereas the Commission's requests to social media platforms ahead of the elections had unintended consequences such as the ban on Europe-wide political advertisements, which is ***an important instrument that*** European political parties ***use*** to advertise during European election campaigns; whereas especially on that matter, the institutions should develop an interinstitutional approach in order to have a positive impact on the security and stability of the electoral process;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli

Motion for a resolution

Recital Q

Motion for a resolution

Q. whereas European political parties and foundations are the primary facilitators of a successful European political debate, both during and beyond European elections;

Amendment

Q. whereas European political parties and foundations are the primary facilitators of a successful European political debate, both during and beyond European elections; ***whereas, by virtue of this important role, the European political parties and political foundations should ensure maximum financial transparency on the funds they manage, particularly on funds from the EU budget;***

Or. it

Amendment 109

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner

Motion for a resolution

Recital Q

Motion for a resolution

Q. whereas European political parties and foundations are the primary facilitators of a successful European political debate, both during and beyond European elections;

Amendment

Q. whereas European political parties and foundations are the primary facilitators of a successful European political debate, both during and beyond European elections ***and should be rendered more visible;***

Or. en

Amendment 110

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution

Recital Q

Motion for a resolution

Q. whereas ***European*** political parties and foundations are the primary facilitators

Amendment

Q. whereas ***national*** political parties and foundations are the primary facilitators

of *a successful European* political debate,
both during and beyond European
elections;

of political debate;

Or. es

Amendment 111

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Recital Q

Motion for a resolution

Q. whereas European political parties and foundations are *the primary* facilitators of a successful European political debate, both during and beyond European elections;

Amendment

Q. whereas European political parties and foundations are facilitators of a successful European political debate, both during and beyond European elections;

Or. fr

Amendment 112

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Recital Q

Motion for a resolution

Q. whereas European political parties and foundations are *the primary* facilitators of a successful European political debate, both during and beyond European elections;

Amendment

Q. whereas European political parties and foundations are facilitators of a successful European political debate, both during and beyond European elections;

Or. fr

Amendment 113

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital R

Motion for a resolution

R. whereas European political parties face various restrictions to campaigning during European elections and are prohibited from campaigning in national referendums on European matters;

Amendment

R. whereas European political parties face various restrictions to campaigning during European elections, ***including the limited possibility to finance campaigns and shared activities with their national member parties*** and are prohibited from campaigning in national referendums on European matters;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital R a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

R a. whereas the European Parliament is composed of representatives of the Union's citizens; whereas the lack of a genuine European electoral law leads to differing electoral procedures and practices in different Member States, infringing on the principle of equal suffrage;

Or. en

Amendment 115

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital R b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

R b. whereas the emergence of new political parties and movements ahead of

*the European elections has shown
citizens' interest in political innovation;*

Or. en

Amendment 116

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital R c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*R c. whereas diverging national rules
for establishing parties and accessing the
European elections remain a significant
obstacle for political innovation and for
creating a true pan-European political
debate;*

Or. en

Amendment 117

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital R d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*R d. whereas conditioning the
accession to the status of Member of the
European Parliament to certain national
procedures, such as swearing an oath on
a national constitution, produces an
unjustified distortion of the system of
guarantees established by EU law;*

Or. en

Amendment 118

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital R e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

R e. whereas it has been reported that due to the organization of the registration of voters in the United Kingdom, around a million European citizens were deprived of the possibility to exercise their right to vote in the European elections;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; ***considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time such as climate change and environmental concerns, social and gender inequalities, sustainable growth, and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; regrets both the lack of decisiveness by the Council and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based on a common approach;***

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections;

Or. es

Amendment 120

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. **Takes note of** the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time such as climate change and environmental concerns, social and gender inequalities, sustainable growth, and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; regrets both the lack of decisiveness by the Council and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based on a common approach;

Amendment

1. **Welcomes** the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections, **which demonstrates that the trend of decreasing voter turnout in Europe can be reversed; recognizes the important role of campaigns led by the EU institutions and civil society organisations to increase the turnout, such as the ‘This Time I’m Voting’ campaign;** considers that this **higher turnout** shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time such as climate change and environmental concerns, social and gender inequalities, sustainable growth, and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy; **underlines that the state of the economy and the environment were the two main priorities for voters clearly indicating that citizens who take part in European elections wish to have more action at the European level in these two policy fields of shared competence between the EU and the national level;** urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; regrets both the lack of decisiveness by the Council and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based on a common approach;

Or. en

Amendment 121

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time such as climate change and environmental concerns, ***social and gender inequalities, sustainable growth, and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; regrets both the lack of decisiveness by the Council and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based on a common approach;***

Amendment

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time such as climate change and environmental concerns;

Or. fr

Amendment 122

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU ***to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time*** such as climate change and environmental concerns, social and gender inequalities, sustainable growth, and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; regrets both the lack of decisiveness by the Council and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based on a common approach;

Amendment

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; ***notes that there are significant disparities between Member States;*** considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider ***that*** the EU ***must address the challenges of our time and amend its Treaties in order to tackle those challenges,*** such as climate change and environmental concerns, social and gender inequalities, sustainable growth, and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; regrets both the lack of decisiveness ***and transparency*** by the

Council and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based on a common approach;

Or. fr

Amendment 123

Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; considers that ***this*** shows that an increasing proportion of citizens ***consider*** the EU ***to be the appropriate level at which to address*** the challenges of our time such as climate change and environmental concerns, social and gender inequalities, sustainable growth, ***and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy***; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; ***regrets both the lack of decisiveness by the Council and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based on a common approach***;

Amendment

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections, ***but expresses disappointment at the continuing high abstention rate***; considers that ***the higher turnout*** shows that an increasing proportion of citizens ***are taking an interest in*** the EU ***and the role it can play in addressing*** the challenges of our time, such as ***unemployment and the cost of living, improving the quality and resilience of health systems***, climate change and environmental concerns, ***the digital transition***, social and gender inequalities, ***the economy and*** sustainable growth, ***migration and demographics, security and the EU's role in the world***; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens;

Or. fr

Amendment 124

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time such as climate change and environmental concerns, social and gender inequalities, sustainable growth, and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; regrets both the lack of decisiveness by the Council and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based on a common approach;

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time such as climate change and environmental concerns, social and gender inequalities, sustainable growth, and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; regrets both the lack of decisiveness by the Council ***on rules governing the European elections*** and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based on a common approach;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time ***such*** as climate change and environmental ***concerns***, social and gender inequalities, ***sustainable growth, and geopolitical concerns such as migration and foreign policy***; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly, by the citizens; ***regrets both the lack of decisiveness by the Council and the lack of clear intent to achieve solutions based***

Amendment

1. Takes note of the higher turnout in the 2019 European elections; considers that this shows that an increasing proportion of citizens consider the EU to be the appropriate level at which to address the challenges of our time as ***economy and sustainable growth, public health***, climate change and environmental ***protection, digital revolution, the promotion of freedom, human rights and democracy***, social and gender inequalities, migration and ***demography, security and the role of the EU in the world***; urges all the European institutions, therefore, to take responsibility and to act upon the mandate they have been given, directly or indirectly,

on a common approach;

by the citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Is confident that the trend of growing voter turnout can be repeated, if the connection and the accountability between voters and candidates are strengthened and EU-wide challenges and political programmes are debated across Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Welcomes the substantial increase of youth participation in the elections; reiterates its call on the Council and the Commission to take into account their concerns, which are critical for the lives of the next generations;

2. Welcomes the substantial increase of youth participation in the elections; ***however, expresses concern that only 42% of people aged 16/18 to 24 cast a ballot in the 2019 European elections;^{1a} recommends that Member States should consider ways to harmonise the minimum age of voters at 16, in order to further enhance the participation of young voters;*** reiterates its call on the Council and the Commission to take into account their concerns, which are critical for the lives of the next generations; ***expresses concern, however, that across the EU almost half of all eligible voters did not cast a vote;***

stresses that more actions need to be undertaken at a local, regional, national, and European level to incentivise voters to participate in European elections;

1^a Eurobarometer 91.5, September 2019

Or. en

Amendment 128
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Welcomes the substantial increase of youth participation in the elections; reiterates its call on the Council and the Commission to take into account their concerns, which are critical for the lives of the next generations;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the substantial increase of youth participation in the elections; reiterates its call on the Council and the Commission to take into account their concerns, which are critical for the lives of the next generations, *by means of public consultation procedures and the Conference on the Future of Europe; calls for lots to be drawn in the Young Persons' Agora to be held as part of the Conference and for proper account to be taken of the results;*

Or. fr

Amendment 129
Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Welcomes the substantial increase of youth participation in the elections; *reiterates its call* on the Council and *the* Commission to take *into account their* concerns, *which are critical for the lives* of

Amendment

2. Welcomes the substantial increase of youth participation in the elections, *but expresses disappointment at the continuing high abstention rate; calls* on the Council and Commission to take

the *next generations*;

account of young people's concerns and the impact of EU rules on future generations, while taking heed of the message sent by those citizens who do not vote;

Or. fr

Amendment 130
Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission to study electoral procedures, in particular the choice of national or territorial lists depending on the population of the Member States, and their impact on the representativeness of the MEPs elected;

Or. fr

Amendment 131
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Deplores the fact that the outcome of the elections did not lead to genuine gender balance in Parliament; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with Parliament and other bodies such as the Venice Commission, to formulate recommendations to Member States with a view to increasing the representation of women in the European Parliament;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 132

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Gabriele Bischoff, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Deplores the fact that the outcome of the elections did not lead to genuine gender balance in Parliament; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with Parliament and other bodies such as the Venice Commission, to formulate recommendations to Member States with a view to increasing the representation of women in the European Parliament;

Amendment

3. Deplores the fact that the outcome of the elections did not lead to genuine gender balance in Parliament; ***recognizes that there are substantial differences between Member States, with some electing more than 50% of women and others which did not elect a single female Member of the European Parliament; calls on Member States and the institutions of the Union to take all necessary measures to promote the principle of equality between men and women throughout the whole electoral process; emphasises in this connection the importance of gender-balanced electoral lists***; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with Parliament and other bodies such as the Venice Commission, to formulate recommendations to Member States with a view to increasing the representation of women in the European Parliament ***by, for example, encouraging national parties to present list of candidates with an equal number of male and female candidates***;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Leila Chaibi, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Deplores the fact that the outcome of the elections did not lead to genuine gender balance in Parliament; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with

Amendment

3. Deplores the fact that the outcome of the elections did not lead to genuine gender balance in Parliament; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with

Parliament and other bodies such as the Venice Commission, to formulate recommendations to Member States with a view to increasing the representation of women in the European Parliament;

Parliament and other bodies such as the Venice Commission, to formulate recommendations to Member States with a view to increasing the representation of women in the European Parliament; ***calls on the Member States to consider incorporating into their national electoral law a general requirement for political parties to have fully gender-balanced lists of candidates in which men and women alternate; calls, further, on those Member States which have a preferential vote system to propose a preferential vote for an even number of candidates in order to guarantee parity;***

Or. fr

Amendment 134

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Deplores the fact that the outcome of the elections did not lead to genuine gender balance in Parliament; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with Parliament and other bodies such as the Venice Commission, to formulate recommendations to Member States with a view to increasing the representation of women in the European Parliament;

Amendment

3. Deplores the fact that the outcome of the elections did not lead to genuine gender balance in Parliament; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with Parliament and other bodies such as the Venice Commission, to formulate recommendations to Member States with a view to increasing the representation of women in the European Parliament; ***Calls on the European Parliament to initiate a revision of the electoral law, notably with a view to introduce mandatory zipped list***

Or. en

Amendment 135

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. ***Deplores*** the fact that the ***outcome of the elections did not lead to genuine*** gender balance in Parliament; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with Parliament and other bodies such as the Venice Commission, to formulate recommendations to Member States with a view to increasing the representation of women in the European Parliament;

Amendment

3. ***Welcomes*** the fact that the gender balance in ***Parliament has improved over the last elections; Stresses however that there is still room for further improvements in order to achieve a genuine gender-balanced*** Parliament; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with Parliament and other bodies such as the Venice Commission, to formulate recommendations to Member States with a view to increasing the representation of women in the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 136
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Calls for greater efforts to achieve parity in the European Parliament; considers that a few specific changes to its Rules of Procedure could do much to bring about greater parity, starting with the introduction of a parity rule in governing bodies; proposes, further, that the rule of the oldest be replaced by the rule of the youngest, so that in the event of a tie the youngest candidates are proclaimed elected, and not the oldest, as currently specified in the following rules: Rule 16 - Election of the President, Rule 17 - Election of the Vice-Presidents, Rule 29(2) - Conference of Committee Chairs, Rule 30(2) - Conference of Delegation Chairs, Rule 213 - Committee bureaux, Rule 231 - Election of the Ombudsman;

Amendment 137
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Considers that a 96% white Parliament cannot ensure a satisfactory representation of the plural and diverse European society; Deplores the fact that, while some groups experience structural racism on an everyday basis, no measure is being taken to improve the representation of racialized persons in the European Parliament; Believes that the elimination of exclusions and of intersectional discrimination is a duty that derives from EU values and from the Charter of fundamental rights; Calls on Member States and on political parties participating in European elections to adopt proactive policies to ensure equal opportunity and increase the representation of racialized persons and of all underrepresented and marginalized groups;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Notes that, despite representing approximately 10% of the EU's population, only 5% of Members of the European Parliament belong to ethnic

minorities;^{1a} stresses that more needs to be done at a national and European level in order to further increase the inclusion in electoral lists and election of ethnic minorities;

^{1a} Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee of 19 June 2020 'Report on the 2019 elections to the European Parliament', COM(2020)252 final

Or. en

Amendment 139
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 b. Deplores that Oriol Junqueras was not able to take his rightful seat, thus leaving the European Parliament incomplete; reminds that the European Parliament is the only competent body to waive the immunity of its Members and that while doing so, it shall act in accordance with the Charter; therefore calls upon the European Parliament President in particular, to apply the Court of Justice of the European Union judgement (C-502/19), by asserting the immunity of Oriol Junqueras and therefore protecting the independence of the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 140
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3b. Proposes, in accordance with the Interparliamentary Union's (IPU) Plan of Action for Gender-sensitive Parliaments, that senior posts in parliamentary institutions, and in particular the post of President of the European Parliament, should be held jointly by a woman and a man;

Or. fr

Amendment 141
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3c. Proposes that a requirement to designate joint male and female chairs should be incorporated into Rule 33(5) of the European Parliament's Rules of Procedure as a condition for the establishment of a political group; emphasises that this change would simply entail the inclusion in the declaration of the establishment of the group of the names of the two co-chairs, in addition to the name of the group and the political declaration setting out the aims of the group, the names of its members and the names of the members of its bureau;

Or. fr

Amendment 142
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3d. Calls on the Conference of Presidents, under the procedure set out in Rule 209(2) of the Rules of Procedure, to take the gender-parity criterion into account if the political groups fail to reach agreement on their proportional representation in one or more parliamentary committees;

Or. fr

Amendment 143

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3e. Suggests, as a transitional step towards a better gender balance, that consideration be given to introducing a quota in each European Parliament committee with a view to achieving a satisfactory gender mix;

Or. fr

Amendment 144

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Gabriele Bischoff, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Notes that similar recommendations could be made concerning the exercise of passive and active voting rights of citizens with disabilities; recalls with great concern that in several Member States citizens with disabilities were not able to vote in 2019

4. Notes that similar recommendations could be made concerning the exercise of passive and active voting rights of citizens with disabilities; recalls with great concern that in several Member States ***an estimated 800 000*** citizens with disabilities were not

because of unsuitable electoral material or infrastructure at polling stations;

able to vote in 2019 because of unsuitable electoral material or infrastructure at polling stations; *calls on Member States to guarantee that all those who have the right to vote, including EU citizens living outside their country of origin and prisoners who are granted such a right in accordance with national laws, are able to exercise this right;*

Or. en

Amendment 145

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Notes that diverging electoral cultures have resulted in a range of different electoral systems; recommends that clear regulations, recommendations and guidelines ensure an approximation of a unified electoral law and equality of the vote for European citizens, notably when it comes to the right register a party and to stand for elections, access to ballots, fielding candidates, accessibility, proxy or remote voting, and days of election;

Or. en

Amendment 146

Pedro Silva Pereira, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Acknowledges the good organization of the electoral process in

the 2019 European elections despite of the uncertainty resulting from the departure of the UK from the EU; Highlights, in this context, the smooth recomposition of the European Parliament after Brexit due to the safeguard clause foreseen in its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the Composition of the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 147
Leila Chaibi, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Welcomes the European Economic and Social Committee's March 2019 information report on the reality of the right to vote in European elections for persons with disabilities;

Or. fr

Amendment 148
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. Notes that under Art. 223(1) TFEU, it is the Parliament's prerogative to propose a change to the electoral law; notes that under Art. 223 (1) subparagraph 1 it is the Council's prerogative to alter the proposed text; takes note of the Council Legal Service interpretation of Art. 223 (TFEU) from 2016; recommends that both institutions work together in an organized and collaborative spirit from

the beginning to come forward with the best solutions for the European elections and to implement the result in Member States ahead of the 2024 elections;

Or. en

Amendment 149
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. *Points out that for voters with disabilities the practical voting arrangements are just as important as access to information or to the polling station; emphasises that for people who are blind, visually impaired or have problems using a pen with precision, the practice of asking voters to draw a circle, for example around a candidate's list number, or writing on the ballot paper a candidate's number or name or the name of a party, constitutes a significant obstacle;*

Or. fr

Amendment 150
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4c. *Calls on the Member States to step up exchanges of best practice in order to improve access to polling stations for persons with disabilities; urges the Member States to rent specially adapted private premises when suitable public facilities are not available;*

Amendment 151

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4d. Urges the Member States, ahead of the 2024 elections, to make more resources available in consulates so that checks can be stepped up and members of the public made aware that multiple voting is illegal;

Or. fr

Amendment 152

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4e. Calls on the Member States to improve their laws in order to make it easier for homeless people to vote; emphasises that insisting that people provide proof of address in order to vote, as laid down in Directive 93/109/EC, can serve to exclude homeless people in those countries where they cannot obtain an administrative address; urges that the requirement to provide proof of address be done away with, in order to make it easier for homeless people, who are full EU citizens, to vote;

Or. fr

Amendment 153

Leila Chaibi

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 f (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4f. Takes the view that the best way to ensure that all citizens can take part in elections is to guarantee inclusion and thus to put an end to homelessness; calls on the Commission to adopt as a matter of urgency an EU action plan to put an end to homelessness by 2030, to be implemented at national level by the Member States as part of their efforts to combat homelessness; notes, further, that the Commission's guidelines on combating the phenomenon of homelessness^{1 a} date from 2013 and have still not been translated into the 23 Union languages;

^{1 a} <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX:52010DC0245>

Or. fr

**Amendment 154
Leila Chaibi**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 g (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4g. Urges the Union and the Member States to step up awareness-raising campaigns for homeless people on the issue of voting with a view to giving them the means to take part in elections and discussing the importance of voting; urges that increased support be provided, in the form of dedicated funds, for training for the staff of centres for the homeless and local government officials;

Amendment 155
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Is of the opinion that the ***reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because no improvements were made to it following the experience of 2014; intends to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;***

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the ***democratic process is completely secure in the Member States.***

Amendment 156
Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. ***Is of the opinion that the reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because no improvements were made to it following the experience of 2014; intends to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;***

Amendment

5. ***Notes that the Spitzenkandidaten process is an important tool to organize the european political debate during the electoral campaign; Believes that further improvements and clarifications are needed to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024; Notes, however, that the election of the Commission President always depends on securing the support of the majority of Members of the European Parliament so that the electoral results are fully taken into account, as foreseen in the Lisbon Treaty;***

Amendment 157

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Is of the opinion that the reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because ***no improvements were made to it following the experience of 2014; intends to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;***

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because ***the Member States do not want it; calls for it to be abandoned once and for all;***

Amendment 158

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. ***Is of the opinion that the reason why*** the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections ***is because no improvements were made to it following the experience of 2014;*** intends to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;

Amendment

5. ***Acknowledges that*** the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections; intends to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;

Amendment 159

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Gabriele Bischoff

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Is of the opinion that the reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because no **improvements were made to it following the experience of 2014**; intends to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because no **formally binding framework for the application of the Spitzenkandidat principle has been adopted since 2014**; intends to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;

Or. en

Amendment 160

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Is of the opinion that the reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because no improvements were made to it following the experience of 2014; intends to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because no improvements were made to it following the experience of 2014 **stemming from the rejection by the European Council**; intends to strengthen the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;

Or. fr

Amendment 161
Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Is of the opinion that the reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because no improvements were made to it following the experience of 2014; intends to **strengthen** the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the reason why the Spitzenkandidaten process failed to produce a President of the European Commission after the 2019 elections is because no improvements were made to it following the experience of 2014; intends to **reform** the democratic process for choosing the Commission President before the next European elections of 2024;

Or. it

Amendment 162
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

5 a. Considers that an electoral threshold in the European elections is not required and that its introduction would decrease the representation of political forces that play a constructive and innovative role in European decision-making process;

Or. en

Amendment 163
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Welcomes the up-coming joint declaration of the three European institutions on the Conference on the Future of Europe, recalls the commitment by the Commission President to address the issue of transnational lists and the Spitzenkandidaten process as the priority institutional issues during the Conference;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 164

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Welcomes the up-coming joint declaration of the three European institutions on the Conference on the Future of Europe, recalls the commitment by the Commission President to address the issue of transnational lists and the Spitzenkandidaten process as the priority institutional issues during the Conference;

6. Deplores the fact that the idea of establishing transnational lists, rejected by the European Parliament in 2018, is being revived; calls for it to be abandoned once and for all;

Or. fr

Amendment 165

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. ***Welcomes the up-coming*** joint declaration of the three European institutions on the Conference on the Future of Europe, ***recalls the commitment by the Commission President to address the issue of transnational lists and the Spitzenkandidaten process as the priority institutional issues during the Conference;***

6. ***Calls for the swift adoption of the*** joint declaration of the three European institutions on the Conference on the Future of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 166

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Gabriele Bischoff, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes the up-coming joint declaration of the three European institutions on the Conference on the Future of Europe, recalls the commitment by the Commission President to address the issue of transnational lists and the Spitzenkandidaten process ***as the priority institutional issues*** during the Conference;

Amendment

6. Welcomes the up-coming joint declaration of the three European institutions on the Conference on the Future of Europe, recalls the commitment by the Commission President to address the issue of transnational lists and the Spitzenkandidaten process during the Conference, ***in accordance with the decisions taken by the Conference itself on the list of priorities to be addressed, and in order to be applicable at the next European election in 2024;***

Or. en

Amendment 167

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Deplores that based on a lack of strong European election campaigns and

debate, the European Parliament was not able to build a constructive majority behind a leading candidate of a European political party for President of the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 168
Vladimír Bilčík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Underlines the important role of the upcoming Conference on the Future of Europe in the debate related to institutional matters, in light of the outcome of the 2019 European elections;

Or. en

Amendment 169
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 b. Deplores that EU citizens cannot directly become members of European political parties and the limited resources for campaigning by European political parties that would be able to make leading candidates known in all Member States to strengthen the lead candidate principle and the European quality of debate and elections;

Or. en

Amendment 170
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 c. Deplores the limited campaign time horizon that candidates have to engage with the general public before the elections;

Or. en

Amendment 171
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Stresses that the election of the Commission President depends on a majority of Parliament's members, which de facto requires the formation of a coalition; recommends that the election procedure be reversed so that Parliament proposes and elects the President of the Commission, after obtaining the consent of the European Council;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 172
Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Stresses that the election of the

deleted

Commission President depends on a majority of Parliament's members, which de facto requires the formation of a coalition; recommends that the election procedure be reversed so that Parliament proposes and elects the President of the Commission, after obtaining the consent of the European Council;

Or. fr

Amendment 173

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that the election of the Commission President depends on a majority of Parliament's members, which de facto requires the formation of a coalition; ***recommends that the election procedure be reversed so that Parliament proposes and elects the President of the Commission, after obtaining the consent of the European Council;***

Amendment

7. ***Recalls the commitment by the Commission President to address the issue of the Spitzenkandidaten process and transnational lists in the context of the Conference on the Future of Europe;*** Stresses that the election of the Commission President depends on a majority of Parliament's members, which de facto requires the formation of a coalition, ***as shown in the election of July 2019 of the von der Leyen Commission;***

Or. en

Amendment 174

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that the election of the Commission President depends on a

Amendment

7. Stresses that the election of the Commission President depends on a

majority of Parliament's members, which de facto requires the formation of a coalition; recommends that ***the election procedure be reversed*** so that Parliament proposes and elects the President of the Commission, after obtaining the consent of the European Council;

majority of Parliament's members, which de facto requires the formation of a coalition; recommends that ***Article 17(1) of the Treaty on European Union be modified to reverse the election procedure*** so that Parliament proposes and elects the President of the Commission, after obtaining the consent of the European Council;

Or. fr

Amendment 175

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that the election of the Commission ***President depends on*** a majority of Parliament's members, which de facto requires the formation of a ***coalition; recommends that the election procedure be reversed so that Parliament proposes and elects the President of the Commission, after obtaining the consent of the European Council;***

Amendment

7. Stresses that the ***process of selection and election of the President of the European Commission should be consolidated, in line with the Lisbon Treaty's provisions and based on the Parliament's requests to appoint as President of the Commission a candidate that can be backed by*** a majority of Parliament's members, which de facto requires the formation of a ***programmatic agreement;***

Or. en

Amendment 176

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

7a. Points out that Article 17(7) of the Treaty on European Union confers the power to elect the President of the Commission on the European Council;

Amendment

stresses that the latter body is required only to take account of the elections to the European Parliament and that there was therefore no hope, either in 2014 or 2019, of the informal Spitzenkandidaten process being upheld; calls, therefore, for the EU Treaties to be amended so that proper account is taken of the European elections when the Commission President is chosen;

Or. fr

Amendment 177

Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Takes the view that the democratic process of appointing a Spitzenkandidat could be facilitated by allowing European parties and movements to form coalitions ahead of the European elections, and so to put forward a joint programme and a single coalition Spitzenkandidat;

Or. it

Amendment 178

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7b. Proposes, with a view to increasing the influence exercised by EU citizens, that the Spitzenkandidaten process not be reserved for European political parties; calls for the Treaties and the electoral Act to be revised to enable a grouping of parties or movements representing at least

one-quarter of the Member States to propose a candidate for the post of Commission President;

Or. fr

Amendment 179
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7c. Suggests that a rule be introduced stipulating that the candidates proposed by each European political party or each coalition of national parties or movements for the post of Commission President should be a male-female duo;

Or. fr

Amendment 180
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 181

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. ***Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;***

Amendment

8. ***Believes that the European Parliament's examination of the declaration of interests and hearings of the Commissioners-designate was a necessary exercise that increased the democratic accountability of the Commission in front of the Parliament; believes, however, that this process has highlighted the need for a technical and super partes evaluation of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls, to this end, for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have the scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate included in its responsibilities; underlines, however, that the approval or rejection of each Commissioner-designate and of the College of Commissioners should ultimately be a political exercise firmly in the hands of the European Parliament;***

Or. en

Amendment 182

Gabriele Bischoff

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; ***calls for the***

Amendment

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; ***underlines***

creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;

the importance of giving the Committee for Legal Affairs sufficient time for a thorough examination of the Commissioners-designate; highlights that the examination of the Committee for Legal Affairs should not be limited to the declarations made by the Commissioners-designate but expanded to evidence that is available by other means, underlines that the examination of conflict of interests should not be limited to family members so that the same rules should be applied to spouses and to long-term partners of Commissioners-designate

Or. en

Amendment 183

Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to ***have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;***

Amendment

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to ***support the relevant parliamentary committee in exercising the level of scrutiny required to check for any conflicts of interest or other impediments to a Commissioner's appointment;***

Or. it

Amendment 184

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate *means*, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;

Amendment

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate *investigative resources and sufficient time*, to have this *mandatory* scrutiny included in its responsibilities, *drawing on the best practices employed in the Member States*;

Or. fr

Amendment 185 Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; *calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities*;

Amendment

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate;

Or. en

Amendment 186 Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the **creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;**

Amendment

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the **strengthening of this scrutiny before, during and after the mandate of the Commissioners-designate by reinforcing the role of the already existing Independent Ethical Committee;**

Or. en

Amendment 187

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Considers that the **outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore** the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;

Amendment

8. Considers that the **problems encountered by the von der Leyen Commission highlight** the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;

Or. fr

Amendment 188

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;

Amendment

8. Considers that the outcome of the European elections has reinforced the political dimension of the election of the European Commission, and therefore the need for more accurate and objective scrutiny of the declarations of interests of the Commissioners-designate; calls for the creation of an independent *ethics* body, endowed with the appropriate means, to have this scrutiny included in its responsibilities;

Or. en

Amendment 189
Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. *Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where national interests take priority over the European common interest;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 190
Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where national interests take priority over the European common interest;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 191

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where national interests take priority over the European common interest;* *deleted*

Or. fr

Amendment 192

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where national interests take priority over the European common interest;* *deleted*

Or. es

Amendment 193

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where national interests take priority over the European common interest;

Amendment

9. Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where national interests **and representation** take priority over the European common interest **and asks for a reflection of this process and a distribution based on expertise and political priorities; recalls that under Article 17 (TEU) the amount of portfolios should be dependent on principles of good governance.;**

Or. en

Amendment 194
Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where national interests take priority over the European common interest;

Amendment

9. Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where national interests take priority over the European common interest; **recalls that Article 17(5) TEU provides for the limitation of the practice of having one Commissioner per Member State; calls on the Council to enact the Treaty provision under article 17(5) TEU;**

Or. en

Amendment 195
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where ***national interests*** take priority over the European common interest;

Amendment

9. Regrets that the attribution of portfolios in the Commission follows solely a logic where ***deals between the largest political parties*** take priority over the European common interest;

Or. fr

Amendment 196
Vladimír Bilčík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Considers that portfolios of Commissioners should reflect the institutional and committee set-up of the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 197
Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed to vote for their preferred candidate for the President of the Commission; reiterates, therefore, that the Spitzenkandidaten should be able to stand as official candidates at the next elections in a joint European constituency across all Member States;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 198
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed to vote for their preferred candidate for the President of the Commission; reiterates, therefore, that the Spitzenkandidaten should be able to stand as official candidates at the next elections in a joint European constituency across all Member States; **deleted**

Or. es

Amendment 199
Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed to vote for their preferred candidate for the President of the Commission; reiterates, therefore, that the Spitzenkandidaten should be able to stand as official candidates at the next elections in a joint European constituency across all Member States; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 200
Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed to vote for their preferred candidate for the President of the Commission; reiterates, therefore, that the Spitzenkandidaten should be able to stand as official candidates at the next elections in a joint European constituency across all Member States;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 201

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed to vote for their preferred candidate for the President of the Commission; reiterates, therefore, that the Spitzenkandidaten should be able to stand as official candidates at the next elections in a joint European constituency across all Member States;

Amendment

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed to vote for their preferred candidate for the President of the Commission ; reiterates, therefore, that the Spitzenkandidaten should be able to stand as official candidates at the next elections in a joint European constituency across all Member States ***elected by a European Political Party or movement and standing for a unified European electoral program available in at least all official languages of the EU; believes a limited number of elected Members of the European Parliament in such European constituency would be likely candidates for the posts of Commission President, Commissioners and political group presidents or vice presidents with a high accountability towards all EU citizens, whereas a larger number of such representatives would likely strengthen the role of European political parties and establish a division of constituency responsibilities as is already today the case in bigger multi-person constituencies, assuring in either case the desirable accountability of elected representatives by citizens in their***

constituencies;

Or. en

Amendment 202

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Gabriele Bischoff

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed to vote for their preferred candidate for the President of the Commission; reiterates, therefore, that the Spitzenkandidaten should be able to stand as official candidates at the next elections in a joint European constituency across all Member States;

Amendment

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed to vote for their preferred candidate for the President of the Commission; reiterates, therefore, that the Spitzenkandidaten should be able to stand as official candidates at the next elections in a joint European constituency across all Member States; ***stresses that, taking into account the proportional electoral system of the EU, the election of the President of the European Commission should depend on his or her ability to be supported by a majority of Members of the European Parliament;***

Or. en

Amendment 203

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed to vote for ***their preferred candidate for the President of the Commission***; reiterates, ***therefore***, that the Spitzenkandidaten should ***be able to*** stand as official candidates ***at the next elections in a joint European constituency***

Amendment

10. Insists that all European voters should be allowed ***be allowed to know who the candidates to the presidency of the European Commission are, giving them the choice*** to vote for ***his or her political party***; reiterates, that the Spitzenkandidaten should stand as official candidates ***in the***

across all Member States;

European Elections;

Or. en

Amendment 204
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Believes that granting European voters a second vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties and movements, would elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 205
Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Believes that granting European voters a second vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties and movements, would elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 206

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Believes that granting European voters a second vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties and movements, would elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 207

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Believes that granting European voters a second vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties and movements, would elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 208

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Gabriele Bischoff, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Believes that granting European voters a **second** vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties and movements, would elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten;

Amendment

11. Believes that granting European voters a vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties and movements, would **contribute to** elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten; ***calls on the Commission and Council, together with the Parliament and in line with the works of the Conference on the Future of Europe, to analyse the possibility of introducing a pan-European constituency for the elections of the European Parliament; stresses that the transnational lists should, if introduced, include rules that ensure gender equality and geographical balance;***

Or. en

Amendment 209
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Believes that granting European voters a second vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties **and** movements, would elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten;

Amendment

11. Believes that granting European voters a second vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties **or** movements, would elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests ***ultimately aiming to strengthen European political parties to be able to present their own election lists for both the transnational and national constituencies,*** particularly if such lists were headed by the respective

Spitzenkandidaten; *Considers that rules concerning the constitution of these transnational lists can easily ensure geographical balance;*

Or. en

Amendment 210
Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Believes that *granting European voters a second vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties and movements, would elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten;*

Amendment

11. Believes that *the possible creation of transnational lists should be discussed in the Conference on the Future of Europe;*

Or. en

Amendment 211
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Believes that granting European voters a second vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties and movements, would *elevate the European elections above purely national campaigns based on national interests, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten;*

Amendment

11. Believes that granting European voters a second vote for transnational lists in a joint European constituency, drawn up by European political parties and movements, would *enhance democratic debate and the significance of the election, particularly if such lists were headed by the respective Spitzenkandidaten;*

Or. fr

Amendment 212
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Points out that the proposed changes to the EU's primary law within this report, which reflect the increased political role of the Commission within the EU framework, should also include the individual and collective responsibility of the Commission towards Parliament and the Council, as well as the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union; *deleted*

Or. es

Amendment 213
Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Points out that the proposed changes to the EU's primary law within this report, which reflect the increased political role of the Commission within the EU framework, should also include the individual and collective responsibility of the Commission towards Parliament and the Council, as well as the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 214

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Points out that the proposed changes to the EU's primary law within this report, ***which reflect the increased political role of the Commission within the EU framework***, should ***also*** include the ***individual and collective responsibility of the Commission towards Parliament and the Council, as well as the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union***;

Amendment

12. Points out that the proposed changes to the EU's primary law within this report should include the transformation of the ***Commission*** into a ***simple permanent technical Secretariat, with no policy-making role***;

Or. fr

Amendment 215

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Points out that the proposed changes to the ***EU's primary law*** within this report, which reflect the increased political role of the Commission within the EU framework, should also include the individual and collective responsibility of the Commission towards Parliament and the Council, as well as the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union;

Amendment

12. Points out that the proposed changes to the ***EU Treaties*** within this report, which reflect the increased political role of the Commission within the EU framework, should also include the individual and collective responsibility of the Commission towards Parliament and the Council, as well as the transformation of the Council into a second legislative chamber of the Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 216

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Proposes to reform the Electoral Law Act and the decision on the composition of the European Parliament, envisaging both immediate improvements for the upcoming elections as well as an agreed and obligatory roadmap of improvements beyond the upcoming elections;

Or. en

Amendment 217
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 218
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact

that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, **such as** provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, **as well as on** the elections in **the** joint European constituency;

that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, **including** provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, **the insertion of provisions for** the elections in **a** joint European constituency, **provisions to ensure parental leaves for Members, common election admission rules of candidates, common campaign and funding rules, as well as harmonised standards for passive and active voting rights across EU Member States, including by decreasing to 16 the minimum age of voters in all Member States;**

Or. en

Amendment 219
Samira Rafaela, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency;

Amendment

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, **the possibility for temporary replacement of Members due to a period of absence in case of maternity, parental leave or severe illness,** as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency;

Or. en

Amendment 220
Pascal Durand

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency;

Amendment

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, ***the possibility for temporary replacement of Members to a period of absence in case of maternity, parental leave or severe illness***, as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency;

Or. en

Amendment 221

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements ***are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency***;

Amendment

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements ***should be addressed at the Conference on the Future of Europe***;

Or. en

Amendment 222

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for **remote voting operations** in defined or exceptional circumstances, as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency;

Amendment

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for **alternative voting methods, including** in defined or exceptional circumstances, **in order to incentivise voter turnout**, as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency;

Or. en

Amendment 223

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, **as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency**;

Amendment

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances;

Or. en

Amendment 224

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law

Amendment

13. Acknowledges that despite the fact that the agreed reform of the Electoral Law

has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances, *as well as on the elections in the joint European constituency*;

has not yet been ratified by some Member States, further improvements are required, such as provisions for remote voting operations in defined or exceptional circumstances;

Or. fr

Amendment 225

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Regrets that while minorities make up about 10% of the overall EU population, their elected representatives represent only about 5% of the membership of the European Parliament^{1a}; points out in this regard the effective barrier that electoral thresholds represent for parties representing minority communities running in single national constituencies; points out several examples of good practice in this regard, where special thresholds apply for parties representing language communities or recognized linguistic or national minorities such as Italy or Belgium; is of the opinion that the EU institutions should issue recommendations to Member States in this regard;

^{1a} <https://www.enar-eu.org/ENAR-s-Election-Analysis-Ethnic-minorities-in-the-new-European-Parliament-2019>

Or. en

Amendment 226

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Welcomes the grown participation of young voters in the 2019 European elections; deplores the average age of Members does not reflect yet fully this grown interest of young voters; encourages political parties to consider that the age of candidates should reflect the diversity of voters ; calls on those 13 Member States requiring a higher minimum age of candidates than 18 to lower this minimum age to 18;

Or. en

Amendment 227
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 b. Believes that the increase in young voter turnout, the emergence of new political debates, parties and movements requires the modernisation of the Electoral Law Act to facilitate access to the European elections without electoral thresholds thereby allowing for political innovation to take place also at a European level and offering more choice to voters in the European elections;

Or. en

Amendment 228
Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 b. Strongly regrets that there are still Member States in the European Union whose election laws prohibit the use of mother tongue for national minorities in election campaigns; considers that such practices are discriminatory, create unjustified electoral barriers and limit access to voters and thus political participation in elections and beyond;

Or. en

Amendment 229

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 c. Recommends the establishment of a European Electoral Authority with a mandate to monitor the implementation of the guidelines and provisions related to the European electoral law, to register the lists running in the joint constituency and to monitor their compliance with the applicable rules; recommends further strengthening the exchange mechanisms between national electoral offices under the coordination of the European Electoral Office;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 c. Recalls the particular difficulties faced by Roma in the area of political participation, especially in what regards accessing voter registration procedures due among others to lacking identity documentation; calls on the Member States to strengthen Roma voter education and turnout;

Or. en

Amendment 231

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Takes note of the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral campaign; ***points out, nevertheless, that the financial and human resources needed to counter these attacks on European democracy, including at national level, are many times superior to the combined designated European resources; urges the Commission and the Member States to raise significantly the financing they make available for the fight against foreign interference;***

14. Takes note of the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral campaign;

Or. fr

Amendment 232

Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Takes note of the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral campaign; points out, nevertheless, that the financial and human resources needed to counter these attacks on European democracy, including at national level, are many times superior to the combined designated European resources; urges the Commission and the Member States to raise significantly the financing they make available for the fight against foreign interference;

14. Takes note of the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral campaign; points out, nevertheless, that the financial and human resources needed to counter these attacks on European democracy, including at national level, are many times superior to the combined designated European resources; urges the Commission and the Member States to raise significantly the financing they make available *to their national authorities* for the fight against foreign interference; ***stresses that priority must be given to improving the education children receive from a young age in media use and civics, in society as a whole and in schools, in order to develop people's ability to think critically and identify unsubstantiated information and links to verifiable information;***

Or. fr

Amendment 233

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes note of the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral campaign; points out, nevertheless, that the financial and human resources needed to counter these attacks on European democracy, including at national level, are many times superior to the combined designated European resources; urges the Commission and the Member States to raise significantly the financing ***they make available*** for the fight against foreign interference;

Amendment

14. ***Expresses deep concern about the fact that evidence of interferences and disinformation campaigns is continuously coming to light, often with indications of foreign influence, in the run-up to the 2019 European elections;*** takes note of the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral campaign; points out, nevertheless, that the financial and human resources needed to counter these attacks on European democracy, including at national level, are many times superior to the combined designated European resources; urges the Commission and the Member States to raise significantly the

financing for the fight against foreign interference;

Or. en

Amendment 234

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes note of the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral campaign; points out, nevertheless, that the financial and human resources needed to counter these attacks on European democracy, including at national level, are many times superior to the combined designated European resources; urges the Commission and the Member States to raise significantly the financing they make available for the fight against foreign interference;

Amendment

14. Takes note of the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral campaign, ***notably through the EEAS' East StratCom task force and the new Electoral Authority***; points out, nevertheless, that the financial and human resources needed to counter these attacks on European democracy, including at national level, are many times superior to the combined designated European resources; urges the Commission and the Member States to raise significantly the financing they make available for the fight against foreign interference;

Or. en

Amendment 235

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. ***Takes note of*** the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral

Amendment

14. ***Commends*** the efforts by the Commission and other institutions to tackle foreign interference during the electoral

campaign; points out, nevertheless, that the financial and human resources needed to counter these attacks on European democracy, including at national level, are many times superior to the combined designated European resources; urges the Commission and the Member States to raise significantly the financing they make available for the fight against foreign interference;

campaign; points out, nevertheless, that the financial and human resources needed to counter these attacks on European democracy, including at national level, are many times superior to the combined designated European resources; urges the Commission and the Member States to raise significantly the financing they make available for the fight against foreign interference;

Or. en

Amendment 236

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Considers that illegitimate interference in electoral processes is not an exclusively foreign phenomenon; believes that the content promoting algorithms of social media platforms have to be scrutinised and, if necessary, regulated in order to ensure that the information available to citizens is not biased and protects their right to information during and beyond electoral campaigns;

Or. en

Amendment 237

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Believes that the difficulties

encountered with political advertisement on social media platforms demonstrate the need to harmonize campaign rules throughout the Union, especially while the European elections de facto lead to pan-European campaigns where the need to comply with 27 different legal regimes in a digital space creates hurdles and legal uncertainty for political parties and movements;

Or. en

Amendment 238
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Urges the Commission and the Council to consider, in accordance with the work of Parliament's Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation, the urgent creation of a European organisation dedicated to the fight against foreign interference; encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 239
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Urges the Commission and the

15. Urges the Commission and the

Council to consider, in accordance with the work of Parliament's Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation, the urgent creation of a European organisation dedicated to the fight against foreign interference; encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;

Council to consider, in accordance with the work of Parliament's Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation, the urgent creation of a European organisation dedicated to the fight against foreign interference; encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament; ***emphasises that setting up EU agencies to address every new problem which arises is not a panacea and that in this instance urging GAFAM to introduce safeguards, for example in the form of transparency labels, would be much more effective;***

Or. fr

Amendment 240

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. ***Urges the Commission and the Council to consider, in accordance with the work of Parliament's Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation, the urgent creation of a European organisation dedicated to the fight against foreign interference;*** encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;

Amendment

15. Encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters ***of interference***, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;

Or. fr

Amendment 241
Gabriele Bischoff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. ***Urges the Commission and the Council to consider, in accordance with the work of Parliament's Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation, the urgent creation of a European organisation dedicated to the fight against foreign interference; encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;***

Amendment

15. Encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on ***the fight against foreign interference***, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 242
Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Urges the Commission and the Council to ***consider, in accordance with the work of Parliament's Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation, the urgent creation of a European organisation dedicated to the fight against foreign interference; encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;***

Amendment

15. Urges the Commission and the Council to ***take all necessary measures to combat foreign interference effectively, and to implement the recommendations made by the European Parliament's special committee on foreign interference in all democratic processes in the European Union, including disinformation, as soon as its conclusions are delivered and before the next European elections;***

Or. en

Amendment 243

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Urges the Commission and the Council to **consider, in accordance** with the **work of** Parliament's Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation, **the urgent creation of a European organisation dedicated to the fight against foreign interference**; encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;

Amendment

15. Urges the Commission and the Council to **fully engage** with the Parliament's **new** Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation **and take into consideration the outcome of its work**; encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 244

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Urges the Commission and the Council to consider, in accordance with the work of Parliament's Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation, the urgent creation of a European **organisation** dedicated to the fight against foreign interference; encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these matters, as the

Amendment

15. Urges the Commission and the Council to consider, in accordance with the work of Parliament's Special Committee on Foreign interference and Disinformation, the urgent creation of a **strong** European **Electoral Authority** dedicated to the fight against foreign interference; encourages the Commission and the Council to work much more closely with Parliament on these

protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;

matters, as the protection of our democratic institutions is a core competence of the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 245
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Recognises the important role of European political parties and foundations in fostering a European political debate; points out, however, that owing to restrictive measures at European and national levels, European political parties cannot fully participate in European election campaigns; stresses, moreover, that they are not allowed to campaign in referendums that concern European matters, including international trade agreements or the UK's 2016 referendum on EU membership;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 246
Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Recognises the important role of European political parties and foundations in fostering a European political debate; points out, however, that owing to restrictive measures at European and national levels, European political parties cannot fully participate in European

16. Recognises the important role of European political parties and foundations in fostering a European political debate; points out, however, that owing to restrictive measures at European and national levels, European political parties cannot fully participate in European

election campaigns; stresses, moreover, that they are not allowed to campaign in referendums that concern European matters, including international trade agreements or the UK's 2016 referendum on EU membership;

election campaigns; stresses, moreover, that they are not allowed to campaign in referendums that concern European matters, including international trade agreements or the UK's 2016 referendum on EU membership; ***calls for a further alignment of national and EU level legislation in order to level the playing field across the EU for the European elections; proposes that the visibility of European political parties be enhanced by placing their names and logos on the ballot papers, and recommends that the same should also appear on all materials used in European election campaigns;***

Or. en

Amendment 247
Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recognises the important role of European political parties and foundations in fostering a European political debate; points out, however, that owing to restrictive measures at European and national levels, European political parties cannot fully participate in European election campaigns; stresses, moreover, that they are not allowed to campaign in referendums that concern European matters, ***including international trade agreements or the UK's 2016 referendum on EU membership;***

Amendment

16. Recognises the important role of European political parties and foundations in fostering a European political debate; points out, however, that owing to restrictive measures at European and national levels, European political parties cannot fully participate in European election campaigns; stresses, moreover, that they are not allowed to campaign in referendums that concern European matters;

Or. fr

Amendment 248
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recognises the important role of European political parties and foundations in fostering a European political debate; points out, however, that owing to restrictive measures at European and national levels, European political parties cannot fully participate in European election campaigns; stresses, moreover, that they are not allowed to campaign in referendums that concern European matters, **including** international trade agreements or the UK's 2016 referendum on EU membership;

Amendment

16. Recognises the important role of European political parties, **political movements** and foundations in fostering a European political debate; points out, however, that owing to restrictive measures at European and national levels, European political parties cannot fully participate in European election campaigns; stresses, moreover, that they are not allowed to campaign in referendums that concern European matters **such as** international trade agreements or the UK's 2016 referendum on EU membership;

Or. en

Amendment 249

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Considers European political parties' manifestos should be known before the elections, which requires clear and transparent rules on campaigning; underlines the European election rules shall promote European party democracy, including by making obligatory for parties running in European elections and the European party logo appear (next to the national one) on the ballot sheet;

Or. en

Amendment 250

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Proposes to amend Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1141/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the statute and funding of European political parties and European political foundations¹⁶ to allow European political parties and foundations to fully participate in the European political space while at the same time increasing the transparency of their funding, especially when funding comes from member parties outside the EU;

deleted

¹⁶ OJ L 317, 4.11.2014, p. 1.

Or. es

Amendment 251

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Proposes to amend Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1141/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the statute and funding of European political parties and European political foundations¹⁶ to allow European political parties and foundations to fully participate in the European political space **while at the same time increasing** the transparency of their funding, especially when funding comes from member parties outside the EU;

17. Proposes to amend Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1141/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the statute and funding of European political parties and European political foundations to allow European political parties and foundations to fully participate in the European political space, **to campaign and be able to receive campaign funds across borders and stand in European elections, to increase** the transparency of their funding, especially when funding comes from member parties outside the EU **and to prohibit donations from legal entities;**

stresses nonetheless that membership fees from parties from third countries should be allowed in view of fostering bonds with national parties from current and future candidate countries;

¹⁶ OJ L 317, 4.11.2014, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 252

Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Proposes to amend Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1141/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the statute and funding of European political parties and European political foundations¹⁶ to allow European political parties and foundations to fully participate in the European political space while at the same time increasing the transparency of their funding, especially when funding comes from member parties outside the EU;

¹⁶ OJ L 317, 4.11.2014, p. 1.

Amendment

17. Proposes to amend Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1141/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the statute and funding of European political parties and European political foundations¹⁶ to allow European political parties and foundations to fully participate in the European political space while at the same time increasing the transparency of their funding, especially *as regards the management of funds from the European budget and* when funding comes from member parties outside the EU;

¹⁶ OJ L 317, 4.11.2014, p. 1.

Or. it

Amendment 253

Gerolf Annemans, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Proposes to amend Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1141/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the statute and funding of European political parties and European political foundations¹⁶ to allow European political parties and foundations to ***fully participate in the European political space while at the same time increasing*** the transparency of their funding, especially when funding comes from member parties outside the EU;

¹⁶ OJ L 317, 4.11.2014, p. 1.

17. Proposes to amend Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1141/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the statute and funding of European political parties and European political foundations¹⁶ to allow European political parties and foundations to ***increase*** the transparency of their funding, especially when funding comes from member parties outside the EU;

¹⁶ OJ L 317, 4.11.2014, p. 1.

Or. fr

Amendment 254
Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Points out that European parties' manifestos were still not a relevant part of the political debate ahead of the elections; strongly regrets instances when such debate instead of EU matters were focused on national topics without any direct link to EU policy-making; considers that the European dimension of elections can most significantly be enhanced through more information provided to citizens on the decisions taken by the EU and the impact of such decisions on their daily lives;

Or. en

Amendment 255
Gerolf Annemans, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Emphasises, nevertheless, that this 2014 regulation has already been modified twice^{1 a}; expresses concern at the fact that the most recent modification was made only two months prior to the May 2019 European elections, in a breach of the recommendations issued by the Venice Commission^{2 b}; points out that a further revision would do nothing to strengthen public confidence in the European parties and foundations;

^{1 a} OJ L 114I, 4.5.2018, p. 1. 1. OJ L 85I, 27.3.2019, p. 7.

^{2 b} 'Stability of the law is a crucial element for the credibility of electoral processes. It is therefore important that stability of electoral law be ensured in order to protect it against political manipulation.' Joint Guidelines for preventing and responding to the misuse of administrative resources during electoral processes, Study 778/2014 of the Venice Commission - Strasbourg, Warsaw, 14 March 2016

Or. fr

Amendment 256

Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareș Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Danuta Maria Hübner

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Is of the opinion that the introduction of an annual European Week taking place simultaneously in all national parliaments, with debates between MPs, European Commissioners,

MEPs and representatives of civil society on the Commission Work Programme would support the emergence of connected inter-parliamentary public spheres as well as improving the communication of European actions at national level;

Or. en

Amendment 257
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Calls for a coordinated strategy at European level to ensure media coverage of the European elections, notably by ensuring that the political platforms of the different European political forces are debated, that candidates standing for the European elections in different Member States are invited and that campaign events are covered;

Or. en

Amendment 258
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 b. Encourages public broadcasters to host and broadcast debates between leading candidates as well as between candidates running for the European Parliament as part of their mandate to inform the public;

Amendment 259

Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira, Gabriele Bischoff, Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 18***Motion for a resolution*

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe;

Amendment

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; ***underlines that the outbreak of Covid-19 increased the urgency of an institutional reform process on the European level;*** calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious ***framework for the*** Conference on the Future of Europe, ***and to follow up on its conclusions in order to achieve significant and tangible changes in the policies and institutional architecture of the EU;*** ***considers that following the Covid-19 crisis the Conference on the Future of Europe will represent an opportunity for a deep reflection on the European recovery, notably on the need to readdress the European socio-economic model towards solidarity and cohesion;***

Or. en

Amendment 260

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 18***Motion for a resolution*

18. Considers that the outcome of the

Amendment

18. Considers that the outcome of the

European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners *to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious* Conference on the Future of Europe;

European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; *stresses that Parliament's current composition shows that many Member State citizens believe that the answer to their problems is not further European integration but joint, efficient action, when it provides European added value;* calls, therefore, on all institutional partners, *following the coronavirus crisis, to pursue a* Conference on the Future of Europe *without predetermined positions and at which all ideas can be debated freely;*

Or. es

Amendment 261
Leila Chaibi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe;

Amendment

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives, *through national democratic consultation processes,* to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe *and leave open all options for addressing the crucial challenges facing the EU, such as social dumping, inequality and climate change;*

Or. fr

Amendment 262
Esteban González Pons, Paulo Rangel, Vladimír Bilčík, Roberta Metsola, Vangelis Meimarakis, József Szájer, Andrius Kubilius, Karlo Ressler, Brice Hortefeux, Sven

Simon, Mairead McGuinness, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Ivan Štefanec, László Trócsányi, Luděk Niedermayer

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18**

Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections **is a clear signal** for an in-depth **institutional** reflection **that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape** the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe;

Amendment

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections **presents an opportunity** for an in-depth reflection **about** the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious, **interactive and inclusive** Conference on the Future of Europe **open to citizens, civil society and their representatives, and which can strengthen representative democracy as well as EU's resilience by delivering tangible results for its citizens, including on health protection and security**

Or. en

**Amendment 263
Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Sabrina Pignedoli**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18**

Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe;

Amendment

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe **that strives, without restraint, to achieve the real shift required to reinvigorate the European project;**

Or. it

Amendment 264

Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe;

Amendment

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow citizens, civil society and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver *in due course* an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe;

Or. fr

Amendment 265

Gilles Lebreton, Gunnar Beck, Gerolf Annemans, Laura Huhtasaari, Antonio Maria Rinaldi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow *citizens, civil society* and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe;

Amendment

18. Considers that the outcome of the European elections is a clear signal for an in-depth institutional reflection that will allow *States, citizens* and their representatives to shape the future of the Union; calls, therefore, on all institutional partners to assume their responsibility and deliver an ambitious Conference on the Future of Europe;

Or. fr