



2022/2050(INI)

25.10.2022

AMENDMENTS

1 - 29

Draft opinion
Victor Negrescu
(PE736.727v01-00)

Implementation of the common security and defence policy - annual report
2022
(2022/2050(INI))

Amendment 1
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas a particular focus should be placed on the protection of the EU's external borders;

Amendment

B. whereas a particular focus should be placed on the protection of the EU's external borders; ***highlights the essential role of the Schengen area in assuring protection and border control; stresses that, in the context of the war in Ukraine, frontline countries, such as Romania, have demonstrated full application of the Schengen acquis, contributing to the EU's external borders protection;***

Or. en

Amendment 2
Markéta Gregorová
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas a particular focus should be placed on ***the protection of the EU's external borders;***

Amendment

B. whereas a particular focus should be placed on ***European security, the safety and security of EU citizens, and the security and stability of partners and allies;***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Vladimír Bilčík

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

Amendment

B. whereas a particular focus should be placed on the protection of the EU's external borders;

B. whereas a particular focus should be placed on the protection **and stability** of the EU's external borders;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Recital B a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

B a. whereas the changing geopolitical dynamics brought by the war in Ukraine also represent an impetus for increased cooperation between the EU and NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Vladimír Bilčík

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

Amendment

C. whereas cooperation should be envisaged with the UK and possibly with the European Political Community, including on security and defence matters;

C. whereas NATO remains the most important EU defence and security ally and the cornerstone of collective defense for those Member States which are also NATO members; whereas cooperation should be envisaged with the UK ***and other like-minded democracies*** and possibly with the European Political Community, including on security and defence matters;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas cooperation ***should be envisaged*** with the UK ***and possibly with the European Political Community, including*** on security and defence matters;

Amendment

C. whereas ***close*** cooperation with the UK ***remains crucial within the OSCE and the NATO and potentially within*** the European Political Community, on security and defence matters;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Markéta Gregorová
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas cooperation should be envisaged with the UK ***and possibly with the European Political Community,*** including on security and defence matters;

Amendment

C. whereas cooperation should be envisaged with the UK, including on security and defence matters;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Markéta Gregorová
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital D

Draft opinion

D. whereas ***the*** EU ***joint*** armed ***forces*** should be used ***only for self-defence*** purposes;

Amendment

D. whereas ***there is the urgent need to establish permanent multinational*** EU armed ***units which*** should be used ***for*** purposes ***as foreseen by the Treaty;***

Or. en

Amendment 9
Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Recital D a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

D a. Whereas the accession of Finland and Sweden to NATO reinforces the Atlantic alliance as a pillar in the EU defence policy;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Recital D b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

D b. Whereas the European Defence Agency remains not funded by EU Budget,

Or. en

Amendment 11
Vladimír Bilčík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Reiterates its call for the establishment of a permanent EU council of defence ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR);

1. Reiterates its call for the establishment of a permanent EU council of defence ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR); ***suggests that this permanent council could tackle a broader range of issues,***

including traditional CSDP matters, but also systematically fighting foreign interference, spread of manipulative disinformation and other hybrid threats;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Vladimír Bilčík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Stresses that the security situation in Europe has significantly deteriorated following Russia's unjustified and illegal war against Ukraine; underlines that Russia's war against Ukraine fundamentally impacts EU's neighboring countries and has ripple-effects throughout the entire continent; stresses that the EU must step up its defence capacities and show greater willingness to act decisively when European values and security are attacked; welcomes the unprecedented and united EU response to Russia's war against Ukraine, including the provision of military equipment through the EPF; remains committed to supporting Ukraine's defence of its territorial integrity and sovereignty; calls on the EU to sustain its efforts and provide Ukraine with all necessary financial, humanitarian and military aid;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Urges the Member States to make use of Article 31(2) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), which allows the Council to take certain decisions by qualified majority in common foreign and security policy (CFSP) matters; calls on the Member States to make full use of the passerelle clause contained in Article 31(3) TEU;

Amendment

2. Urges the Member States to make use of Article 31(2) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), which allows the Council to take certain decisions by qualified majority in common foreign and security policy (CFSP) matters; calls on the Member States to make full use of the passerelle clause contained in Article 31(3) TEU; ***recommends, in view of the revision of the Treaties, to introduce codecision between Council and Parliament in CSSP matters ;***

Or. en

Amendment 14
Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Urges the Member States to make use of Article 31(2) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), which allows the Council to take certain decisions by qualified majority in common foreign and security policy (CFSP) matters; calls on the Member States to make full use of the passerelle clause contained in Article 31(3) TEU;

Amendment

2. Urges the Member States to make use of Article 31(2) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), which allows the Council to take certain decisions ***such as sanctions or related to human rights but also on opening negotiations*** by qualified majority in common foreign and security policy (CFSP) matters; calls on the Member States to make full use of the passerelle clause contained in Article 31(3) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Markéta Gregorová
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Urges the Member States to make use of Article 31(2) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), which allows the Council to take certain decisions by qualified majority in common foreign and security policy (CFSP) matters; calls on the Member States to make full use of the passerelle clause contained in Article 31(3) TEU;

Amendment

2. Urges the Member States to make use of Article 31(2) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), which allows the Council to take certain decisions by qualified majority in common foreign and security policy (CFSP) matters; calls on the Member States to make full use of the passerelle clause contained in Article 31(3) TEU, *especially in priority areas*;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Vladimír Bilčík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Urges the Member States to make use of Article 31(2) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), which allows the Council to take certain decisions by qualified majority in common foreign and security policy (CFSP) matters; calls on the Member States to make full use of the passerelle clause contained in Article 31(3) TEU;

Amendment

2. Urges the Member States to make use of Article 31(2) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), which allows the Council to take certain decisions by qualified majority in common foreign and security policy (CFSP) matters; calls on the Member States to make full use of the passerelle clause contained in Article 31(3) TEU *without delay*;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Vladimír Bilčík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that the operational capabilities necessary to ensure the effectiveness of the mutual assistance clause under Article 42(7) TEU should be

Amendment

3. Considers that the operational capabilities necessary to ensure the effectiveness of the mutual assistance clause under Article 42(7) TEU should be

strengthened swiftly; underlines that a Treaty modification could define terrorist attacks, hybrid attacks, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries as elements that would trigger Article 42(7) TEU; calls for a clarification of the respective scope of application and interlinkage of Article 42(7) TEU and Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty;

strengthened swiftly; underlines that a Treaty modification could define terrorist attacks, hybrid attacks, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries as elements that would trigger Article 42(7) TEU; calls for a clarification of the respective scope of application and interlinkage of Article 42(7) TEU and Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty; ***in this respect, calls for provisions enabling joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending as well as the establishment of joint and permanently stationed military units, including command structures;***

Or. en

Amendment 18

Markéta Gregorová

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that the operational capabilities necessary to ensure the effectiveness of ***the mutual assistance clause under Article 42(7) TEU*** should be strengthened swiftly; ***underlines that a Treaty modification could define terrorist attacks, hybrid attacks, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries as elements that would trigger Article 42(7) TEU; calls for a clarification of the respective scope of application and interlinkage of Article 42(7) TEU and Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty;***

Amendment

3. Considers that the operational capabilities necessary to ensure the effectiveness of ***military tasks as foreseen in the Treaty*** should be strengthened swiftly; ***considers it necessary to urgently develop a solidarity policy strategy and operational implementation measures as regards the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU and to include hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries in that strategy;***

Or. en

Amendment 19

Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Encourages the development of mechanisms for maritime security and defence cooperation in the Black Sea area, considering its strategic role in the regional security architecture;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Considers that the Treaty Revision is an opportunity to redraft the TEU article 42.7 and make the mutual assistance clause an automatic response;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. Reiterates the importance of strengthening defence cooperation and shared threat assessments at EU level, building upon the objectives provided by the Strategic Compass;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that a Union mechanism should be established so as to allow all Member States to benefit from innovation in the field of defence and state-of-the-art defence technology;

Amendment

4. Considers that a Union mechanism should be established so as to allow all Member States to benefit from innovation in the field of defence and state-of-the-art defence technology; ***in this regard, calls for increased funding for defence research and technology, as well as for EU programs destined for capability development;***

Or. en

Amendment 23
Vladimír Bilčík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that a Union mechanism should be established so as to allow all Member States to benefit from innovation in the field of defence and state-of-the-art defence technology;

Amendment

4. Considers that a Union mechanism should be established so as to allow all Member States to benefit from innovation in the field of defence and state-of-the-art defence technology, ***which could lead to joint procurement schemes in these fields;***

Or. en

Amendment 24
Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the fact that, to date, the

Amendment

5. Regrets the fact that, to date, the

EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement has not been extended to matters falling under the common security and defence policy (CSDP); reiterates the need for an agreement on EU-UK foreign policy and security cooperation in order to better tackle common global security challenges;

EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement has not been extended to matters falling under the common security and defence policy (CSDP); reiterates the need for an agreement on EU-UK foreign policy and security cooperation in order to better tackle common global *and European* security challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Calls the EU to rationalize its Institutions and clarify the role of the European Council President, the HR/VP and the European Commission President

Or. en

Amendment 26
Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 b. Calls the EU Members States to coordinate themselves and have a common approach within the OSCE;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Markéta Gregorová
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Reiterates the need for Parliament to play a more prominent role in the definition, democratic scrutiny, supervision and evaluation of the CSDP; calls, in that regard, on the VP/HR to give full effect to Parliament's right of information under Article 36 TEU;

Amendment

6. Reiterates the need for Parliament to play a more prominent role in the definition, democratic scrutiny, supervision and evaluation of the CSDP; calls, in that regard, on the VP/HR to give full effect to Parliament's right of information under Article 36 TEU; ***stresses the need to introduce a role for Parliament when it comes to the decision of sending military and civilian security missions abroad; believes it would be appropriate to have a plenary debate before the relevant Council decision and to adopt a plenary resolution that would politically authorise that operation including its objectives, means and duration;***

Or. en

Amendment 28
Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Reiterates the need for Parliament to play a more prominent role in the definition, democratic scrutiny, supervision and evaluation of the CSDP; calls, in that regard, on the VP/HR to give full effect to Parliament's right of information under Article 36 TEU;

Amendment

6. Reiterates the need for Parliament to play a more prominent role in the definition, democratic scrutiny, supervision and evaluation of the CSDP, ***under ordinary legislative procedure, especially in view of the revision of the Treaties and its article 45 governing the rules for the European Defense Agency***; calls, in that regard, on the VP/HR to give full effect to Parliament's right of information under Article 36 TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Charles Goerens

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

**6 a. Pushes to achieve a genuine
military and defence union
complementary to the NATO Alliance;**

Or. en