



2023/2083(INI)

26.9.2023

AMENDMENTS

1 - 114

Draft report
Victor Negrescu
(PE751.581v01-00)

Implementation of the Treaty provisions on special legislative procedures
((2023/2083(INI))

Amendment 1
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Having regard to the final report of the Conference on the Future of Europe, and in particular its proposal 39 on EU decision-making process,*

Or. en

Amendment 2
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the revised Statute of the European Ombudsman^{1a}*

^{1a} *OJ L 253, 16.7.2021.*

Or. en

Amendment 3
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 10 May 2022 on Parliament's right of initiative^{1b}*

^{1b} *Texts adopted, P9_TA(2022)0242.*

Amendment 4
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***Having regard to its resolution of 3 May 2022 on the proposal for a Council Regulation on the election of the members of the European Parliament by direct universal suffrage, repealing Council Decision (76/787/ECSC, EEC, Euratom) and the Act concerning the election of the members of the European Parliament by direct universal suffrage annexed to that decision^{4a},***

^{4a} ***P9_TA(2022)0129***

Amendment 5
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***having regard to the Proposal of a Manifesto for a Federal Europe: Sovereign, Social and Ecological, adopted by the Spinelli Group on 29 August 2022^[1], [1] https://thespinelligroup.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/20220912_Proposal-Manifesto-for-a-Federal-Europe-political-social-and-ecological.pdf.***

Amendment 6
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *Having regard to its legislative resolutions of 15 June 2023^{4b} and of 14 September 2023^{4c} on the composition of the European Parliament,*

^{4b} P9_TA(2023)0243

^{4c} P9_TA(2023)0311

Or. en

Amendment 7
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *Having regard to its legislative resolution of 23 May 2012 on a proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament on the detailed provisions governing the exercise of the European Parliament's right of inquiry and repealing Decision 95/167/EC, Euratom, ECSC of the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission^{4d}*

^{4d} P7_TA(2012)0219

Or. en

Amendment 8
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas other SLPs *are envisaged for the Council, whereby it is the institution required* to give *its* consent *to the European Parliament*, by qualified majority voting (QMV) or by unanimity;

Amendment

B. whereas other SLPs *require* Council to give consent by qualified majority voting (QMV) or by unanimity *to a European Parliament's proposal*;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ba. whereas special legislative procedures are procedures that either reduce or weaken the role of the European Parliament as colegislator on an equal footing with the Council, and whereas the institutions must therefore restore a balance in that regard;

Or. de

Amendment 10
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bb. whereas the different areas in which the special legislative procedure applies must be carefully examined, as must the different roles of the European Parliament, where consent is required or where it is merely consulted or where it

acts instead as initiator of legislation (Statute for Members of the European Parliament, European electoral law, right of inquiry, Statute of the Ombudsman, etc.), bearing in mind that the special legislative procedure in the Treaties is used in certain more sensitive policy areas (such as the budget, international agreements, etc.);

Or. de

Amendment 11
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. *whereas SPLs have a higher constitutional dignity than OLPs due to their specific provision in the Treaties and therefore the procedural obligations of both institutions - Council and Parliament - are not inferior to those arising from the OLPs;*

Or. en

Amendment 12
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Recital C b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Cb. *whereas the Treaties award Parliament direct rights of initiative with regard to its own composition, the election of its Members and their Statute, the Statute of the European Ombudsman and Parliament's right of inquiry, instances where special legislative procedures apply;*

Amendment 13
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas in several cases of SLPs, the Council refused to engage in negotiations, as it had already disagreed with the initial draft presented by Parliament;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 14
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. Whereas the respect of the authority and of the role of the institutions is one of the fundamental principles of constitutional laws of the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F. whereas in multiple cases, the Council's position ignored long-standing recommendations of the Commission and

deleted

Parliament, prolonging the decision without any time frame for completion, or even blocking the decision altogether by applying vetoes that were not based on legally defined and concrete Treaty-based arguments or explanations;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas in multiple cases, the Council's position ignored long-standing recommendations of the Commission and Parliament, prolonging the decision without any time frame for completion, or even blocking the decision altogether *by applying vetoes that were not based on legally defined and concrete Treaty-based arguments or explanations;*

Amendment

F. whereas in multiple cases, the Council's position ignored long-standing recommendations of the Commission and Parliament, prolonging the decision without any time frame for completion, or even blocking the decision altogether;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas in multiple cases, the Council's position ignored long-standing recommendations of the Commission and Parliament, prolonging the decision without any time frame for completion, or even blocking the decision altogether by applying vetoes that were not based on legally defined and concrete Treaty-based

Amendment

F. whereas in multiple cases, the Council's position ignored long-standing recommendations of the Commission and Parliament, prolonging the decision without any time frame for completion, or even blocking the decision altogether by applying vetoes that were not based on legally defined and concrete Treaty-based

arguments or explanations;

arguments or explanations, *or even by breaching Council's treaty obligations*;

Or. en

Amendment 18

Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas cooperation between the institutions *is based on* the principles of institutional balance and of mutual sincere cooperation, as laid down in Article 13(2) TEU;

Amendment

G. whereas cooperation between the institutions *must be carried out in compliance with* the principles of institutional balance and of mutual sincere cooperation, as laid down in Article 13(2) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 19

Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas mutual sincere cooperation requires the institutions to cooperate in good faith, *to support one another* and to refrain from any measure that would impede the other institutions from exercising their competences;

Amendment

H. whereas mutual sincere cooperation requires the institutions to cooperate in good faith and to refrain from any measure that would impede the other institutions from exercising their competences;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Calls for the role of the European Parliament in these special legislative procedures to be strengthened accordingly in order to enable it to conduct serious negotiations with the Council;

Or. de

Amendment 21
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Highlights that for most SLPs touching upon the electoral processes and the democratic functioning of the Union (such as the reform of the Electoral Law of the European Union), the Council is required to vote by unanimity, and Parliament is called to give consent; Regrets that this procedures fundamentally decrease the bargaining power of Parliament, regardless of its direct democratic legitimacy;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in most SLPs, Parliament lacks full participatory rights;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 23
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Regrets the fact that in most SLPs, Parliament lacks full participatory rights;

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in most SLPs, Parliament lacks full participatory **and decision-making** rights;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Regrets the fact that in **most** SLPs, Parliament lacks full participatory rights;

Amendment

4. Regrets the fact that in **some** SLPs, Parliament lacks full participatory rights;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Acknowledges that the rights of the institutions under the different procedures and the specific features of those procedures also afford each institution latitude - exercising latitude being part of the interinstitutional competitive process - and that that latitude may also be exercised to secure acceptance for political positions; stresses,

however, that such latitude must also be seen to be exercised at all times as a measure of good faith to actually carry out the colegislation functions that have been conferred, that the dividing line between latitude and obstruction must not be crossed, and that, in cases of doubt, formal termination of a procedure is preferable to permanent non-acceptance;

Or. de

Amendment 26
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Acknowledges that the Treaties do not impose any time limit on Council to react to a legislative initiative adopted by Parliament; Is of the view, however, that Council should adopt its position within a reasonable time, with a view to comply with the principle of mutual sincere cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Acts adopted by Parliament requiring the Council's participation

Acts adopted by Parliament requiring the Council's *active* participation

Or. en

Amendment 28

Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Points out that the *cases in* which a legislative *act is adopted by Parliament with the participation of the Council primarily* pertain to *Parliament's own organisation* and the *establishment* of the *annual budget*;

Amendment

5. Points out that the *Treaty of Lisbon bestows direct rights of initiative on Parliament* which *encompass different special legislative procedures that* pertain to *its competence to self-organise, its scrutiny function and its democratic legitimacy as the only directly elected EU institution*; *regrets that these special legislative procedures have too seldom been successfully concluded due to the lack of agreement of the Commission and the Council^{1c}*; *underlines the special and reinforced constitutional dignity of the issues that are subject to the initiative of Parliament*; *considers that the Treaties barely regulate such procedures and calls for a new interinstitutional agreement between the three institutions devoted exclusively to this matter, with full respect for its special constitutional dignity*;

^{1c} *Study entitled 'The European Parliament's right of initiative', pp 34-35 (PE 655.134 – ISBN 978-92-846-6738-3).*

Or. en

Amendment 29

Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. *Recalls that on 23 May 2012^{4e}, Parliament put forward a proposal for a new regulation to modify the provisions governing the exercise of its right of*

inquiry, aimed at strengthening the available tools for inquiry and reinforcing the authority of committees of inquiry;

^{4e} OJ C 264 E, 13.9.2013, p. 41.

Or. en

Amendment 30
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. Regrets the deadlock in the negotiations with the Council and the Commission on the right of inquiry and their lack of effort towards a constructive dialogue with Parliament; Recalls that the protracted unwillingness of Council to react to Parliament's proposal constitutes a violation of Art. 265 TFEU, and therefore a clear case of failure to act; Calls on the Council and the Commission to comply with the principle of mutual sincere cooperation enshrined in Article 13(2) TEU and to engage with Parliament in order to overcome the current institutional blockage;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Acts adopted by Council or by the European Council on the initiative of Parliament and after receiving its consent

Amendment 32
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Recalls that on 3 May 2022⁵, Parliament adopted a ***position on reforming*** the European electoral law aimed at fostering ***a true*** pan-European political debate ahead of European elections ***and increasing*** citizens' interest in European ***affairs***;

⁵ OJ C 465, 6.12.2022, p. 171.

Amendment

6. Recalls that on 3 May 2022⁵, Parliament adopted a ***proposal on the reform of*** the European electoral law aimed at fostering ***the Europeanisation of the Union public sphere, the emergence of a genuine*** pan-European political debate ahead of European elections, citizens' interest in European ***politics, and at increasing the democratic legitimacy of the Union***;

⁵ OJ C 465, 6.12.2022, p. 171.

Amendment 33
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Recalls that on 3 May 2022⁵, Parliament adopted a position on reforming the European electoral law ***aimed at fostering a true pan-European political debate ahead of European elections and increasing citizens' interest in European affairs***;

⁵ OJ C 465, 6.12.2022, p. 171.

Amendment

6. Recalls that on 3 May 2022⁵, Parliament adopted a position on reforming the European electoral law;

⁵ OJ C 465, 6.12.2022, p. 171.

Amendment 34

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Understands that some Member States have expressed reservations on certain elements of the proposal, but also regrets the fact that the proposal is not being discussed regularly in the Council;

Amendment

7. Understands that some Member States have expressed reservations on certain elements of the proposal, but also regrets the fact that the proposal is not being discussed regularly in the Council ***and for more than a year no significant progress has been achieved; urges the Spanish and Belgian Council Presidencies to make this file a priority and engage in consensus building in light of developing a Council position in order to work towards reaching an agreement with Parliament on reforming the European electoral law before the next European elections;***

Or. en

Amendment 35

Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. ***Understands*** that some Member States have expressed reservations on certain elements of ***the*** proposal, ***but also regrets the fact*** that the ***proposal is not being discussed regularly*** in the ***Council***;

Amendment

7. ***Acknowledges*** that some Member States have expressed reservations on certain elements of ***that*** proposal; ***Deplores, however, the undue postponement of the commencement of negotiations on Parliament's report, which clearly disregards the principle of sincere cooperation; reiterates*** that the ***lack of unanimity on a draft legislative report does not represent a valid justification for not engaging***

constructively in the *identification of a compromise*;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Understands that *some* Member States have expressed *reservations on* certain elements of the proposal, but also regrets the fact that the proposal is not being discussed *regularly* in the Council;

Amendment

7. Understands that *the majority of* Member States have expressed *objections to* certain elements of the proposal, but also regrets the fact that the proposal is not being discussed in the Council;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. *Highlights that the arguments used by the Council on the lack of respect for the subsidiarity principle and the breach of fundamental principles should be counterbalanced by the political demand for increased democratic legitimacy and the enhancement of the European trans-national dimension;*

deleted

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 38
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Highlights that *the arguments* used by the Council on the lack of respect for the subsidiarity principle and the breach of fundamental principles should be counterbalanced by the political demand for increased democratic legitimacy and the enhancement of the European transnational dimension;

Amendment

8. Highlights that *only a limited number of discussion rounds have taken place to resolve the concerns raised by the Council; underlines that the concerns* used by the Council on the lack of respect for the subsidiarity principle and the breach of fundamental principles should be counterbalanced by the political demand for increased democratic legitimacy and the enhancement of the European transnational dimension; *stresses that any of these arguments should not be a pretence to not engage on reaching an agreement with the aim of bringing the European elections closer to the citizens, ultimately increasing turnout and further building a European public sphere;*

Or. en

Amendment 39

Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Highlights that the arguments used by the Council on the lack of respect for the subsidiarity principle and the breach of fundamental principles should be *counterbalanced by the political demand for increased democratic legitimacy and the enhancement of the European transnational dimension;*

Amendment

8. Highlights that the arguments used by the Council on the lack of respect for the subsidiarity principle and the breach of fundamental principles should be *taken into account ;*

Or. en

Amendment 40

Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Highlights that the *arguments used* by the Council on *the lack of respect for the subsidiarity principle and the breach of fundamental principles* should be *counterbalanced by the political demand for increased democratic legitimacy and the enhancement of the European transnational dimension*;

Amendment

8. Highlights that the *political and legal concerns expressed* by the Council on *Parliament's proposal* should be *addressed in a timely manner in the framework of an open and constructive dialogue between co-legislators*;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. *Highlights that, in addition to the right to initiate the procedure, Parliament is called to give its consent to Council's position; believes that all delay in Council's work jeopardises the democratisation of the Union in view of the 2024 elections, considering that Council's decision can enter into force only after being approved by the Member States in accordance with their respective constitutional requirements*;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Recalls that on 23 May 2012⁶, Parliament put forward a proposal for a new regulation to modify the provisions governing the exercise of its right of inquiry, aimed at strengthening the available tools for inquiry and reinforcing the authority of committees of inquiry;

deleted

⁶ OJ C 264 E, 13.9.2013, p. 41.

Or. en

Amendment 43

Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Regrets the deadlock in the negotiations with the Council and the Commission on the right of inquiry and deplores the fact that Parliament has been unable to hold formal discussions with the other two institutions;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 44

Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Regrets the deadlock in the negotiations with the Council and the Commission on the right of inquiry and deplores the fact that Parliament has been unable to hold formal discussions with the other two institutions;

10. Regrets the deadlock in the negotiations with the Council and the Commission on the right of inquiry and deplores the fact that Parliament has been unable to hold formal discussions with the other two institutions; ***recalls that the***

adoption of a new regulation on the European Parliament right of inquiry does not require unanimity in Council;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Regrets the deadlock in the negotiations with the Council and the Commission on the right of inquiry and deplores the fact that Parliament *has been unable* to hold formal discussions *with the other two institutions*;

Amendment

10. Regrets the deadlock in the negotiations with the Council and the Commission on the right of inquiry and deplores the fact that *the Council and Commission did not engage sufficiently with* Parliament *with the aim* to hold formal discussions;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Recommends that, in accordance with the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making (IIA), the legislative procedure resulting from the right of legislative initiative conferred on Parliament by the Treaties should include a request to establish a legislative timetable for the initiatives concerned along the lines of that for the ordinary legislative procedure; stresses further that such a special legislative procedure must comply with the IIA provisions on the institutional obligation on all three

institutions to negotiate;

Or. de

Amendment 47
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10b. *Stresses that, as currently worded, the third paragraph of Article 226 TFEU, which stipulates a ‘special legislative procedure’ and requires Council and Commission consent for adoption of a regulation on Parliament’s right of inquiry, does not oblige the Council and the Commission to negotiate, since they are obliged only to give or withhold their consent to Parliament’s proposal, and not to negotiate with a view to reaching a common accord;*

Or. de

Amendment 48
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. *Calls on the Council and the Commission to comply with the principle of interinstitutional cooperation enshrined in Article 13(2) TEU and to resume negotiations with Parliament on these two special procedures;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 49
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls on the Council and the Commission to comply with the principle of interinstitutional cooperation enshrined in Article 13(2) TEU and to **resume** negotiations with Parliament on these two special procedures;

Amendment

11. Calls on the Council and the Commission to **uphold its treaty obligations and** comply with the principle of interinstitutional cooperation enshrined in Article 13(2) TEU and to **finalise** negotiations with Parliament on these two special procedures **before the end of this legislative term**;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

11a. Points out that the Commission neglects its roles as “guardian of the Treaties” and “honest broker” in these special legislative procedures; expects the Commission to play an active role in facilitating the dialogue on the mentioned files by proactively engaging with Council and Parliament and by making proposals on how existing deadlocks can be overcome;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Acts adopted by the Council requiring Parliament's consent

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 52 Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution Subheading 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Acts adopted by the Council requiring Parliament's consent

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 53 Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Points out that the Council lays down the provisions necessary for the election of the Members of Parliament by direct universal suffrage and that on 15 June 2023⁷, Parliament voted on a proposal seeking to increase the number of seats by 11, to a total of 716, ahead of the European elections in June 2024;

deleted

⁷ *Texts adopted, P9_TA(2023)0243.*

Or. en

Amendment 54
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Points out that the Council *lays down the provisions necessary for the election of the Members of Parliament by direct universal suffrage and that on 15 June 2023⁷, Parliament voted on a proposal seeking to increase the number of seats by 11, to a total of 716, ahead of the European elections in June 2024;*

⁷ Texts adopted, P9_TA(2023)0243.

Amendment

12. Points out that the *European Council is required to adopt a Decision on the Composition* of the European Parliament by unanimity on the initiative of Parliament and after having obtained its consent by a majority of its component; *Highlights that, by reason of the right of initiative attributed to Parliament for this procedure, and its direct impact on the representation of Union citizens, negotiations on this Decision require a high degree of interinstitutional dialogue and concertation, in line with the principle of mutual sincere cooperation;*

Or. en

Amendment 55
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

12a. Recalls that, on 15 June 2023^{6a}, Parliament adopted a draft proposal for a European Council Decision on the Composition of the European Parliament for the legislative term 2024-2029, seeking to adjust the distribution of seats to ensure a degressively proportional representation of Member States;

^{6a} P9_TA(2023)0243

Amendment 56
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Stresses that Parliament wishes to keep a reserve of 28 seats for members elected in a future Union-wide constituency, in line with Parliament's proposal on European electoral law, which is awaiting progress in the Council; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 57
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Stresses that Parliament wishes to keep a reserve of 28 seats for members elected in a future Union-wide constituency, in line with Parliament's proposal on European electoral law, which is awaiting progress in the Council; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 58
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Stresses that Parliament wishes to **13. Recalls that Parliament's proposal**

keep a reserve of 28 seats for members elected in a future Union-wide constituency, in line with *Parliament's* proposal *on* European electoral law, *which is awaiting progress in the Council*;

allocated 28 seats to a Union-wide constituency, in line with *its* proposal *of 3 May 2022 on a reform of the* European electoral law; *Reiterates, in this respect, that the Decision on the Composition of the European Parliament and the reform of the European Electoral Law are politically and legally intertwined*;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Regrets that the European Council failed to inform Parliament regarding its intention to delete key provisions of the proposal submitted by Parliament, namely the allocation of 28 seats to a Union-wide Constituency;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Acts adopted by Council requiring Parliament's consent

Or. en

Amendment 61
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13b. *Recalls out that the adoption of the Regulation laying down the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) consists of a SLP whereby the Council acts unanimously after obtaining the consent of Parliament by absolute majority;*

Or. en

Amendment 62
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13c. *Welcomes the development of inter-institutional practices whereby, despite Parliament is only called to give its consent, negotiations take place in the format of “trilateral dialogue” on a package comprising of the MFF Regulation, and of the own resources Decision;*

Or. en

Amendment 63
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13d. *Regrets however the increasing role of the European Council in negotiations on the MFF, which severely alters the principle of interinstitutional balance as established by the Treaties;*

Holds that the Ordinary Legislative procedure should apply to negotiations on the MFF, and that Parliament should be given full budgetary powers, in line with the proposals of the Conference on the Future of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Recalls that the Council decides on the composition of Parliament by unanimity and Parliament must give its consent by a majority of its component members; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 65
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Recalls that the Council decides on the composition of Parliament by unanimity and Parliament must give its consent by a majority of its component members; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 66
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Recalls that the Council decides on the composition of Parliament by unanimity and Parliament must give its consent by a majority of its component members;

Amendment

14. Recalls that the **European** Council decides on the composition of Parliament by unanimity and Parliament must give its consent by a majority of its component members;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Recalls that the Council decides on the composition of Parliament by unanimity and Parliament must give its consent by a majority of its component members;

Amendment

14. Recalls that the **European** Council decides on the composition of Parliament by unanimity and Parliament must give its consent by a majority of its component members;

Or. en

Amendment 68
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Highlights that even though the proposal stems from Parliament itself, its subsequent consent is important, as the Council has the right to amend the proposal and only Parliament's consent to the final act guarantees that Parliament agrees with the rules on its own election; believes that any delay in the Council's

Amendment

deleted

work would be detrimental to the success of the whole process, since the Council's decision can enter into force only after being approved by the Member States in accordance with their respective constitutional requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Highlights that even though the proposal stems from Parliament itself, its subsequent consent is important, as the Council has the right to amend the proposal and only Parliament's consent to the final act guarantees that Parliament agrees with the rules on its own election; *believes that any delay in the Council's work would be detrimental to the success of the whole process, since the Council's decision can enter into force only after being approved by the Member States in accordance with their respective constitutional requirements;*

Amendment

15. Highlights that even though the proposal stems from Parliament itself, its subsequent consent is important, as the **European** Council has the right to amend the proposal and only Parliament's consent to the final act guarantees that Parliament agrees with the rules on its own election;

Or. en

Amendment 70

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

15a. Stresses that, contrary to its request expressed in the legislative

Amendment

resolution of 15 June 2023 on the composition of the European Parliament, the European Council failed to immediately inform the Parliament that it intended to deviate from the submitted proposal, both, concerning the number of seats, and the deletion made to the proposed allocation of 28 seats to a Union-wide constituency; strongly regrets that the European Council then changed its proposal considerably and further asked Parliament to vote on the European Council's proposal in its next plenary session; deplores this failure of the European Council to comply with the principle of mutual sincere cooperation as enshrined in Article 13(2) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recalls that in a number of cases, an SLP consists of the adoption of a legislative act by the Council after having obtained Parliament's opinion; stresses that, while only obliged to consult Parliament, the Council cannot take the final decision before Parliament has delivered its opinion, so that the Council can actually take notice of the opinion;

Amendment

16. Recalls that in a number of cases, an SLP consists of the adoption of a legislative act by the Council after having obtained Parliament's opinion; stresses that, while only obliged to consult Parliament, the Council cannot take the final decision before Parliament has delivered its opinion, so that the Council can actually take notice of the opinion; ***underlines in this regard that the Parliament should always act without undue delay;***

Or. en

Amendment 72
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Emphasises that, although the Council is not obliged to take Parliament's opinion into account, the consultation of Parliament constitutes an essential procedural requirement and sends a strong political signal;

Amendment

17. Emphasises that, although the Council is not obliged to take Parliament's opinion into account, the consultation of Parliament constitutes an essential procedural requirement and sends a strong political signal; ***underlines the need to explore how the opinion of the Parliament could become legally mandatory;***

Or. en

Amendment 73

Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Emphasises that, although the Council is not obliged to take Parliament's opinion into account, the consultation of Parliament constitutes an essential procedural requirement ***and*** sends a strong political signal;

Amendment

17. Emphasises that, although the Council is not obliged to take Parliament's opinion into account, the consultation of Parliament ***not only*** constitutes an essential procedural requirement ***but also*** sends a strong political signal ***and enhances the decisions' democratic legitimacy;***

Or. en

Amendment 74

Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Emphasises that, although the Council is not obliged to take Parliament's opinion into account, the consultation of Parliament constitutes an essential

Amendment

17. Emphasises that, although the Council is not obliged to take Parliament's opinion into account, the consultation of Parliament ***in the context of some SLPs***

procedural requirement and sends a strong political signal;

constitutes an essential procedural requirement and sends a strong political signal;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Reiterates its call on the Council to adopt a positive decision on Romania and Bulgaria's accession to the Schengen free movement area by the end of 2023;

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 76
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20a. Recalls that the Commission has submitted on November 2021 a proposal for a Council Directive laying down detailed arrangements for the exercise of the right to vote and stand as a candidate in elections to the European Parliament for mobile Union citizens, for which Parliament's consultation is required;

Or. en

Amendment 77
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20b. *Underlines that the Commission impact assessment attached to the abovementioned proposal lists a series of still existing serious impediments to the exercise of electoral rights by mobile citizens; recalls that Parliament has adopted its position in February 2023, with the aim of facilitating the entry into force of this directive in time for the 2024 European elections; regrets the lack of progress on this file in the Council;*

Or. en

Amendment 78

Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Notes that from the wording of the Treaties alone, conducting the consultation is the only legal obligation; points out, however, in the light of mutual sincere cooperation, that the institution adopting the act must deal with the opinion and should justify why it has decided not to follow it;

21. Notes that from the wording of the Treaties alone, conducting the consultation is the only legal obligation; points out, however, in the light of mutual sincere cooperation, that the institution adopting the act must deal with the opinion and should justify why it has decided not to follow it; ***calls upon the Council to give a legal explanation for not respecting the Commission assessment and Parliament calls for the accession of Romania and Bulgaria in the Schengen area;***

Or. en

Amendment 79

Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that from the wording of the Treaties alone, conducting the consultation is the only legal obligation; ***points out, however, in the light of mutual sincere cooperation, that the institution adopting the act must deal with the opinion and should justify why it has decided not to follow it;***

Amendment

21. Notes that from the wording of the Treaties alone, conducting the consultation is the only legal obligation;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. Highlights that the directives laying down arrangements for the exercise of the right to vote and stand as a candidate in elections to the European Parliament and in municipal elections for Union citizens residing in a Member State of which they are not nationals is also such an SLP, where Parliament is consulted for its opinion; reiterates that Parliament had adopted its positions on the recast procedures on 14 February 2023; regrets that the Commission's replies to Parliament's position was extremely negative and the Commission, instead of choosing a constructive approach of credibly engaging with possible improvements through Parliament's suggestions, decided to reply negatively by dismissing the proposals on strongly formal grounds; awaits Council to discuss this file and further expects Council to deal with its opinion and do its best to cooperate with Parliament on reaching an improvement for mobile Union citizens;

Amendment 81
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. Reiterates that, should use be made of a special legislative procedure and should no agreement be reached within a reasonable period, the Commission would have to withdraw the legislative proposal concerned;

Or. de

Amendment 82
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22. Stresses that the relationships between the EU institutions **should be** governed by the principles of institutional balance and mutual sincere cooperation enshrined in Article 13(2) TEU;

22. Stresses that the relationships between the EU institutions **are** governed by the principles of institutional balance and mutual sincere cooperation enshrined in Article 13(2) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. Recalls that the participation of Parliament in whatever form has been

23. Recalls that the participation of Parliament in whatever form has been

envisaged by the Treaties reflects a fundamental democratic principle that citizens should take part in the *exercise of power* through *the intermediary of a representative assembly*;

envisaged by the Treaties reflects a fundamental democratic principle that citizens should take part in the *decision making process* through *their elected representatives*;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Points out that the duty of mutual sincere cooperation between the institutions requires the institutions to keep each other informed and consult each other so that consent may be given at the end of the procedure; insists, therefore, that consent *should not consist of* a mere ‘yes or no’ question at the end of the procedure, but should be something the institutions aspire to achieve;

Amendment

24. Points out that the duty of mutual sincere cooperation between the institutions requires the institutions to keep each other informed and consult each other so that consent may be given at the end of the procedure; insists, therefore, that consent *is not* a mere ‘yes or no’ question at the end of the procedure, but *actually means an adhesion to the content of the legislative act and* should *therefore* be something *that* the institutions aspire to achieve;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Points out that the duty of mutual sincere cooperation between the institutions requires the institutions to keep each other informed and consult each other so that consent may be given at the end of the procedure; insists, therefore, that

Amendment

24. Points out that the duty of mutual sincere cooperation between the institutions requires the institutions to keep each other informed and consult each other so that consent may be given at the end of the procedure; insists, therefore, that

consent should not consist of a mere ‘yes or no’ question at the end of the procedure, but should be something the institutions aspire to achieve;

consent should not consist of a mere ‘yes or no’ question at the end of the procedure, but should be something the institutions aspire to achieve ***through sincere cooperation***;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Points out that the duty of mutual sincere cooperation between the institutions requires the institutions to keep each other informed and consult each other so that consent may be given at the end of the procedure; insists, therefore, that consent should not consist of a mere ***‘yes or no’ question at the end of the procedure***, but should be ***something the institutions aspire to achieve***;

Amendment

24. Points out that the duty of mutual sincere cooperation between the institutions requires the institutions to keep each other informed and consult each other so that consent may be given at the end of the procedure; Insists, therefore, that consent should not consist of a mere ***validation or rejection***, but should be ***the result of a constant dialogue aiming at finding a mutually acceptable agreement***;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

24a. Recalls the modus operandi adopted by the Parliament’s negotiating team that lead to the adoption of the revised Statute of the European Ombudsman after years of refusal from the Council to give consent, which included informal consultations with the Council and the Commission, enabling the former to anticipate the main

legislative options of the Parliament and, where necessary, allow both institutions to find compromise solutions to unblock the adoption of the file; believes this should constitute a practical precedent to other areas where consent is required ^{1d};

^{1d} Texts tabled, A9-0174/2021, Explanatory statement.

Or. en

Amendment 88
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24a. *Considers that, when the principle of mutual sincere cooperation is not respected, this should be automatically ascertained and an immediate solution should be applied, including annulling the unanimity vote requirement and applying the simplified voting procedure;*

Or. en

Amendment 89
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25. Supports the opening of negotiations on an interinstitutional agreement on a clear framework to foster full respect for participatory rights and the principles of institutional balance and mutual sincere cooperation in SLPs;

25. Supports the opening of negotiations on an interinstitutional agreement on a clear framework to foster full respect for **the respective** participatory rights and the principles of institutional balance and mutual sincere cooperation in

SLPs, *including a series of procedural arrangements requesting co-legislators to engage in open and constructive dialogue and negotiations on an equal footing on legislative acts where a SLP is foreseen;*

Or. en

Amendment 90
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Supports the opening of negotiations on an interinstitutional agreement on a clear framework to foster full respect for participatory rights and the principles of institutional balance and mutual sincere cooperation in SLPs;

Amendment

25. Supports the opening of negotiations on an interinstitutional agreement on a clear framework to foster full respect for participatory rights and the principles of institutional balance and mutual sincere cooperation in SLPs *to avoid Council's refusal to negotiate with the Parliament;*

Or. en

Amendment 91
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

25a. *Calls for an interinstitutional agreement devoted to the special legislative procedures where Parliament has the right of initiative, to clarify the applicable procedures and roles of the institutions;*

Amendment

25a. *Calls for an interinstitutional agreement devoted to the special legislative procedures where Parliament has the right of initiative, to clarify the applicable procedures and roles of the institutions;*

Or. en

Amendment 92
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Highlights the need to define precise requirements on the time frame for the adoption of an act by the Council;

Amendment

26. Highlights the need to define precise requirements on the time frame for the adoption of an act by the Council; ***regrets that the Council delayed for 12 years a decision on the accession of Romania and Bulgaria in the Schengen area despite the positive recommendations of the Commission on the fulfilment of criteria;***

Or. en

Amendment 93
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Highlights the need to define precise requirements on the time frame for the adoption of an act by the Council;

Amendment

26. Highlights the need to define precise requirements on the time frame for the adoption of an act by the Council, ***to better ensure the compliance with the principle of mutual sincere cooperation, and to avoid institutional blockages;***

Or. en

Amendment 94
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26. Highlights the need to define precise requirements on the time frame for the adoption of an act by the Council;

26. Highlights the need to define precise requirements, ***including deadlines***, on the time frame for the adoption of an act by the Council;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Highlights the need to define precise requirements on the time frame for the adoption of an act by the Council;

Amendment

26. Highlights the need to define precise requirements on the time frame for the adoption ***or refusal*** of an act by the Council;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26a. Stresses that the time limits available to each institution for the different procedures - in primary law or through IIA arrangements - must also reflect the principle that the institutions are of equal standing;

Or. de

Amendment 97
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27. Insists on its call to release the public positions of the Member States at the moment of the adoption of an act by the Council; suggests introducing binding justifications, based on Treaty provisions, respect for the fundamental rights of all EU citizens and Member States and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in all cases in which Member States apply a veto to a particular decision;

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 98
Paulo Rangel**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27. Insists on its call to release the public positions of the Member States at the moment of the adoption of an act by the Council; ***suggests introducing binding justifications, based on Treaty provisions, respect for the fundamental rights of all EU citizens and Member States and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in all cases in which Member States apply a veto to a particular decision;***

27. Insists on its call to release the public positions of the Member States at the moment of the adoption of an act by the Council;

Or. en

**Amendment 99
Damian Boeselager**
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27**

Motion for a resolution

27. Insists on its call to release the public positions of the Member States at the moment of the adoption of an act by the Council; suggests introducing binding justifications, based on Treaty provisions, respect for the fundamental rights of all EU citizens and Member States and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in all cases in which Member States apply a veto to a particular decision;

Amendment

27. Insists on its call to release the public positions of the Member States at the moment of the adoption of an act by the **Council; insists further that the Council should systematically record the identity of Member States when they express their positions in** Council; suggests introducing binding justifications, based on Treaty provisions, respect for the fundamental rights of all EU citizens and Member States and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in all cases in which Member States apply a veto to a particular decision;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Insists on its call to release the public positions of the Member States at the moment of the adoption of an act by the Council; **suggests introducing** binding justifications, based on Treaty provisions, respect for the fundamental rights of all EU citizens and Member States and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in all cases in which Member States apply a veto to a particular decision;

Amendment

27. Insists on its call to release the public positions of the Member States at the moment of the adoption of an act by the Council; **calls for the introduction of** binding justifications, based on Treaty provisions, respect for the fundamental rights of all EU citizens and Member States and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in all cases in which Member States apply a veto to a particular decision **and stresses that, when a veto is not legally justified, it should be considered null and void**;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Recalls the importance of early cooperation and coordinated legal analysis between European institutions, in order to help find compromises on issues where concerns arise ***from misunderstandings rather than strong political divergences***;

Amendment

28. Recalls the importance of early cooperation and coordinated legal analysis between European institutions, in order to help find compromises on issues where concerns arise;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Recalls the importance of early cooperation and coordinated legal analysis between European institutions, ***in order to help find compromises on issues where concerns arise from misunderstandings rather than strong political divergences***;

Amendment

28. Recalls the importance of early cooperation and coordinated legal analysis between European institutions, ***which might contribute to preventing institutional blockages caused by purely legal concerns rather than political reserves***;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28a. Considers it necessary for all institutions to step up efforts to publish, as the product of the lawmaking process, texts whose content is consistent across all official languages and, in the interests of uniform application of the law throughout

the Union, robustly interpretable and applicable for all responsible actors involved in implementing legislation, within the judiciary and within the executive at all levels;

Or. de

Amendment 104
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28a. Calls on Member States to agree on enhanced cooperation schemes pursuant to Art. 20 TEU and 326 TFEU to deepen integration on key policy areas when the achievement of unanimity in Council is not possible;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29. Reiterates its call for the Treaties to be amended urgently to extend the areas of application of the ordinary legislative procedure;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 106
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Reiterates its call for the Treaties to be amended urgently to extend the **areas of** application of the ordinary legislative procedure;

Amendment

29. Reiterates its call for the Treaties to be amended urgently to extend the application of the ordinary legislative procedure **to all policy areas where Special Legislative Procedures are currently foreseen**;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Reiterates its call for the Treaties to be amended urgently to extend the areas of application of the ordinary legislative procedure;

Amendment

29. Reiterates its call for the Treaties to be amended urgently to extend the areas of application of the ordinary legislative procedure, **including to issues related to the Schengen area**;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Reiterates its call for the Treaties to be amended urgently to extend the areas of application of the ordinary legislative procedure;

Amendment

29. Reiterates its call for the Treaties to be amended urgently to extend the areas of application of the ordinary legislative procedure **avoiding unanimity and national ratifications**;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29a. *Considers that the discontinuity principle within the legislative procedure fundamentally strengthens the Union's colegislating institutions and significantly complements the institutions' better-lawmaking efforts;*

Or. de

Amendment 110
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30. *Calls for the immediate activation of passerelle clauses to move from SLPs to ordinary legislative procedures in key policy fields in order to improve the EU's capacity to act;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 111
Sandro Gozi, Max Orville, Alin Mituța

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30. *Calls for the immediate activation of passerelle clauses to move from SLPs to ordinary legislative procedures in key policy fields in order to improve the EU's capacity to act;*

30. *Calls for the immediate activation of passerelle clauses to move from SLPs to ordinary legislative procedures in key policy fields in order to improve the EU's capacity to act, **pending the entry into***

force of changes to the Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Calls for the immediate activation of passerelle clauses to move from SLPs to ordinary legislative procedures in **key** policy fields in order to improve the EU's capacity to act;

Amendment

30. Calls for the immediate activation of passerelle clauses to move from SLPs to ordinary legislative procedures in **some** policy fields in order to improve the EU's capacity to act;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30a. Calls upon the Commission to approve an immediate legal act defining the special legislative procedures, the legal justifications of vetoes in the Council and the obligations related to the mutual cooperation principle;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31a. Calls on the political parties to ensure that their election programmes express their commitment to the proposal by Parliament for a new and updated regulation on its right of inquiry, and invites the various lead candidates to offer their public and political support on this matter;

Or. de