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Arms export: implementation of Common Position 2008/944/CFSP
(2020/2003(INI))
Amendment 1
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

— having regard to the principles enshrined in Article 21 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), notably the promotion of democracy and the rule of law, the preservation of peace, the prevention of conflicts and the strengthening of international security,

Amendment

— having regard to the principles enshrined in Article 346.1 (b) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) on the production of or trade in arms, in Article 42.3 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) on “defining a European capabilities and armaments policy”, and in Article 21 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), notably the promotion of democracy and the rule of law, the preservation of peace, the prevention of conflicts and the strengthening of international security,

Or. en

Amendment 2
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7

— having regard to the updated Common Military List of the European Union, adopted by the Council on 18 February 20197,

Amendment

— having regard to the updated Common Military List of the European Union, adopted by the Council on 17 February 20207,


Or. en

Amendment 3
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the updated Common Military List of the European Union, adopted by the Council on 18 February 2019, 7

Amendment

— having regard to the updated Common Military List of the European Union, adopted by the Council on 17 February 2020, 7


Or. en

Amendment 4
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the updated Common Military List of the European Union, adopted by the Council on 18 February 2019, 7

Amendment

— having regard to the updated Common Military List of the European Union, adopted by the Council on 17 February 2020, 7

7 OJ C 85, 13.3.2020, p. 1

Or. fr

Amendment 5
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Citation 14

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Commission proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June

Amendment

— having regard to the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2018 establishing
2018 establishing the European Defence Fund (EDF) (COM(2018)0476) and to the common understanding reached between the co-legislators during negotiations.

Amendment 6
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Citation 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

- having regard to UN Resolution 2216 (2015) on arm embargo to Yemen and the OHCHR report A/HRC/39/43 on the Situation of human rights in Yemen, including violations and abuses since September 2014,

Amendment 7
Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Citation 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

- having regard to Council Decision (CFSP) 2020/472 of 31 March 2020 on a European Union military operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFOR MED IRINI)¹a,

¹a OJ L 101, 1.4.2020, p. 4

Or. fr

Or. en
Amendment 8  
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution  
Citation 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

- having regard to UN Security Council resolution 2473 (2019) adopted on 10 June 2019 which renewed measures designed to implement the arms embargo against Libya and to the United Nations Support Mission in Libya (UNSMIL) statement of 25 January 2020 on continued violations of arms embargo in Libya,

Amendment 9  
Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution  
Citation 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment


Amendment 10  
Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution  
Citation 18 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard the Conclusions of the European Council of 16 October 2019 on Turkey, which endorsed the Conclusions of the Foreign Affairs Council of 14 October 2019 on its illegal actions in Northern Syria and Eastern Mediterranean,

Or. en

Amendment 11
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Andrea Cozzolino, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Citation 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to the UN Sustainable Development Goal 16, aiming at the promotion of peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development 14a

14a
https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdg 16

Or. en

Amendment 12
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Citation 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to the NATO Industrial Advisory Group and the
existing commitments of Member States who are part of the Transatlantic Defence Technological and Industrial Cooperation (TADIC),

Amendment 13
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Citation 22

— having regard to its resolutions on the humanitarian situation in Yemen of 25 February 2016\(^\text{20}\), 15 June 2017\(^\text{21}\) and 30 November 2017\(^\text{22}\),

\[\begin{align*}
20 & \text{OJ C 35, 31.1.2018, p. 142.} \\
21 & \text{OJ C 331, 18.9.2018, p. 146.} \\
22 & \text{OJ C 356, 4.10.2018, p. 104.}
\end{align*}\]

Amendment
— having regard to its resolutions on the humanitarian situation in Yemen of 25 February 2016\(^\text{20}\), 15 June 2017\(^\text{21}\) and 30 November 2017\(^\text{22}\), on the killing of journalist Jamal Khashoggi in the Saudi consulate in Istanbul of 25 October 2018; on Egypt of 24 October 2019, on the UAE, notably the situation of human rights defender Ahmed Mansoor of 4 October 2018,

\[\begin{align*}
20 & \text{OJ C 35, 31.1.2018, p. 142.} \\
21 & \text{OJ C 331, 18.9.2018, p. 146.} \\
22 & \text{OJ C 356, 4.10.2018, p. 104.}
\end{align*}\]

Amendment 14
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 27 a (new)

— having regard to the Treaty between the Federal Republic of Germany

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Motion for a resolution} \\
\text{Amendment}
\end{align*}\]
motion for a resolution

Citation 27 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to the EU Foreign Affairs Council conclusions of 21 August 2013 on Egypt,

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

A. whereas the latest SIPRI figures show that arms exports from the EU-28 amounted to some 26% of the global total in 2015-2019, making the EU-28 collectively the second largest arms supplier in the world after the USA (36%) and followed by Russia (21%);

questions the validity of this comparison because under Article 346 TEU the production of or trade in arms remain with member states and therefore the aggregated figures cannot be compared to unitary states like the USA or Russia;
Amendment 17
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

Aa. whereas a large proportion of arms supplies continue to go to countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region; whereas in 2018, arms to Saudi Arabia worth EUR 1.937 billion, to Egypt worth EUR 1.355 billion and to the United Arab Emirates worth EUR 292 million were exported; whereas these three states are part of the Saudi-led coalition in the Yemen conflict and these exports constitute a clear violation of the Common Position; whereas the current situation in Libya is similar since European arms exports are being authorised to states which are directly involved in the conflict and providing the conflict parties with arms;

Amendment 18
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

Aa. whereas due to the sharp
difference in data formats, in particular as regards the different type of information that Member States use in order to generate data on the value of licences, the annual reports as tool for comparison between countries and destinations or developments over time is unfortunately very limited; whereas because of these shortcomings EU institutions and EU citizens need to rely on alternative sources of information in order to obtain objective information about the EU28 or EU27 exports to third countries;

Amendment 19
Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

Aa. whereas Criterion Four of the Decision on Preservation of regional peace, security and stability clearly states as a reason of denying an export licence the possibility this military technology or equipment to be used “to assert by force a territorial claim”, including the existence “of a claim against the territory of a neighbouring country which the recipient has in the past tried or threatened to pursue by means of force”;

Amendment 20
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. whereas the latest SIPRI figures show that EU-28, is the second arm exporter to both Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates; whereas according to the UN Group of Regional and International Eminent Experts, parties to the armed conflict of Yemen have perpetrated, and continue to perpetrate, violations and crimes under international law;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. whereas arms, weapons and equipment exports enhance defence industry’s ability to efficiently research and develop defensive technologies and therefore secure ability of the EU Member States to defend themselves and protect their citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Sven Mikser, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)
Aa. whereas a new arms race in the world is growing and the major military powers no longer rely on arms control and disarmament to reduce international tensions and improve the global security environment;

Amendment 23
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Aa. whereas the Council’s common position of 8 December 2008 is an essential instrument for enhanced cooperation and the closer alignment of Member States’ export policies;

Amendment 24
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Aa. whereas Member States recognise the special responsibility that may derive from exporting military technology and equipment to third states in influencing or exacerbating existing tensions and conflicts;

Amendment 25
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Aušrevičius, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

Aa. whereas the global crisis created by the COVID-19 pandemic could have significant geostrategic repercussions and strengthens the need to build a genuine European strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

Ab. whereas the EU FAC conclusions of 21 August 2013 stated that ‘Member States also agreed to suspend export licenses to Egypt of any equipment which might be used for internal repression and to reassess export licenses of equipment covered by Common Position 2008/944/CFSP and review their security assistance with Egypt’; whereas companies based in several EU Member States have continued to export arms, surveillance technology and other security equipment to Egypt, thus facilitating hacking and malware as well as other forms of attacks on human rights defenders and civil society activists both physically and online; whereas this activity has led to the repression of freedom of expression online;

Or. en
Amendment 27
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi López, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ab. whereas in an increasingly unstable multipolar world, where nationalist, xenophobic and anti-democratic forces are on the rise, it is vital for the European Union to become an influential player on the world stage and to keep its leading role as a global “soft power” committed to the disarmament of conventional and nuclear weapons, investing in conflict prevention, crisis management and mediation before military options are considered;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ab. whereas arms exports and transfers have an undeniable impact on human rights and human security, on socio-economic development and on democracy; whereas arms exports also contribute to circumstances that force people to flee from their countries; whereas these are strong reasons for establishing a transparent, effective, commonly implemented and strictly defined arms control system;

Or. en
Amendment 29
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ab.
whereas arms exports are essential when it comes to strengthening the industrial and technological base of the European defence industry, which is primarily committed to ensuring the protection and security of the EU Member States while contributing to CFSP implementation;

Or. fr

Amendment 30
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ab.
whereas divergence in Member States arms export behaviour is sometimes weakening the EU ability to achieve its foreign policy objectives as well as undermining its credibility as an actor speaking with one voice in the international arena;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ab. whereas both the global and regional security environment has dramatically changed, especially with regard to the Union's southern and eastern neighbourhood;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Recital A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ac. whereas there is an international arms embargo in place against the Iranian-backed Houthi forces and, according to the 21st EU Annual Report on Arms Exports, EU Member States have continued to authorise transfers of arms to Saudi Arabia and UAE since the escalation of the conflict in Yemen, in violation of Council Common Position 2008/944/CFSP of 8 December 2008 on arms export control;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Čiž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Isabel Santos, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Recital A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ac. whereas arms policy is not a tool
of economic policy but a matter of security and foreign policy, which must be closely linked to a stronger commitment to international disarmament and the defence of human rights; armaments are not a normal commercial product that can be exported on economic grounds;

Amendment 34
Fabio Massimo Castaldo
Motion for a resolution
Recital A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ac. whereas the recent spat over arms exports to Saudi Arabia clearly shows how national arms export decisions are often driven by different political, economic and industrial concerns and how such disunity makes it harder for the EU to influence the behaviour of third countries;

Amendment 35
Fabio Massimo Castaldo
Motion for a resolution
Recital A d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ad. whereas the absence of a truly coordinated arms export policy affects negatively the reliability and consistency needed for Member States to engage in joint capability development, ultimately hampering initiatives to improve European defence capabilities;
Amendment 36
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Recital A d (new)

Amendment

Ad. whereas military transparency measures such as reporting on arms export contributes to cross-border trust-building;

Amendment 37
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Recital A e (new)

Amendment

Ae. whereas EU final goal should be to go beyond the common position and put in place a common and enforceable EU arms export regime that includes a strong sanctionatory mechanism in case of non-compliance and a supervisory arms control body;

Amendment 38
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
The 20th and 21st annual reports

Amendment

The 20th and 21st EU annual reports on arms exports

Or. en

Amendment 39

Raphaël Glucksmann, Javi López, Tonino Picula, Attila Ara-Kovács, Nikos Androulakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph -1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-1. Underlines that maintaining a defence industry serves as part of the self-defence of the Union and is a component of its strategic autonomy; notes that it is only possible if Member States give priority to European products in their equipment programs; stresses that a viable European market would reduce dependency on arms exports to third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 40

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports; deplores the late publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018;

Or. fr
Amendment 41
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ţeţănuţă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports; *deplores the late* publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports, *albeit with delay*; believes that the publication of both reports represent a step forward towards a common EU position in the field of arms export, in the framework of an ever more challenging international context marked by increasing volumes of export and decreasing levels of transparency; considers both reports as valuable complements to the UN reports on global and regional arms export transparency;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports; *deplores the late* publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st *EU* annual reports on arms exports according to Article 8(2) of Council Common Position 2008/944/CFSP which is compiled by the Council Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM) and published in the EU Official Journal; deplores the late publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018;

Or. en
Amendment 43
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports; deplores the late publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018;

Amendment

1. Notes that the common position provides for a transparency procedure involving the publication of annual European Union reports on arms exports; welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports; deplores the late publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018;

Or. fr

Amendment 44
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports; deplores the late publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018;

Or. en

Amendment

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports; deplores the late publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018; welcomes Member States efforts to comply with Article 346.1 (b) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) on the production of or trade in arms;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports; deplores the late publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the publication of the 20th and 21st annual reports; regrets the late publication of information about exports during 2017 and 2018;

Amendment 46
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

1a. Calls for an EU-wide ban on the export, sale, update and maintenance of any form of security equipment to Saudi Arabia, UAE and Egypt which can be or is used for internal repression, including internet surveillance technology; expresses its concern at the ever-increasing use of certain cybersurveillance dual-use technologies against activists and journalists; welcomes, in this regard, the EU institutions’ ongoing efforts to update the dual-use export control regulation;

Amendment 47
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)

1b. Recalls its resolution on the
situation in Yemen of 4 October 2018; urges all EU Member States in this context to refrain from selling arms and any military equipment to Saudi Arabia, the UAE, and any member of the international coalition, as well as to the Yemeni Government and other parties to the conflict;

Amendment 48
Hannah Neumann on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; deplores the fact that two of the main exporting countries – Germany and the UK – did not make full submissions;

Amendment

2. Notes that 19 Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and 19 to the 21st; underlines that full submission means to submit both the number and value of licences granted and the value of actual exports broken down by Military List category; equally deplores that for the 20th report, Belgium, Cyprus, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Malta and the United Kingdom, and for the 21st report Belgium, Cyprus, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Latvia, Malta and the United Kingdom did not report actual exports while, for both reports, France and Italy only submitted aggregated actual exports; states that for the 20th annual report 27 Member States, with the exception of Greece, made at least partial submissions, and for the 21st annual report all 28 Member States submitted data, while around a third of the countries were incomplete in their submissions; deeply deplores that, with the exception of Spain, the EU’s main exporting countries which are also the countries with the biggest administrative capacities, did not manage to make full submissions; urges all
2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; **deplores** the fact that two of the main exporting countries – Germany and the UK – did not make full submissions;

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; **according to the Common Position all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and urges all Member States to comply fully with their obligations, as set out in the Common Position; criticises the fact that a number of Member States did not make full submissions to the annual reports; reiterates its request that all Member States which have not made full submissions provide additional information regarding their past exports with a view to the next annual report;**

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; **notes the fact that, amongst others, Germany and the UK did not make full submissions as regards actual exports, a non-mandatory**
requirement; welcomes however the additional information provided by the governments of Germany and the UK in the “reports by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany on its Policy on exports of Conventional Military Equipment” 2017 and 2018, and the “UK Strategic Export Controls annual reports” 2017 and 2018;

Amendment 51
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; deplores the fact that two of the main exporting countries – Germany and the UK – did not make full submissions;

Amendment

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; deplores the fact that two of the main exporting countries – Germany and the UK – did not make full submissions; whereas, according to the Bonn International Centre for Conversion (BICC), Germany in 2018 issued 3,742 licences for the export of military equipment to 61 states which can be classified as problematic with regard to at least one of the eight criteria of the Common Position;

Amendment 52
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment
2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; *deplores the fact that two of the main exporting countries – Germany and the UK – did not make full submissions*;

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st, *thereby showing an increased implementation of common rules at a time of decreasing global transparency in the arms trade, especially among several major arms exporting countries; calls on Member States to provide submissions for future annual reports with a view to further strengthening the EU’s efforts to improve the transparency of the global arms trade*;

Or. en

**Amendment 53**

Arnaud Danjean

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 2**

**Motion for a resolution**

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; *deplores the fact that two of the main exporting countries – Germany and the UK – did not make full submissions*;

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st;

Or. fr

**Amendment 54**

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 2**

**Motion for a resolution**

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; *deplores the fact that two of the main exporting countries – Germany and the UK – did not make full submissions*;

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st;
submissions;

Amendment 55
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; _deplorates_ the fact that two of the main exporting countries – Germany and the UK – did not make full submissions;

Amendment

2. Notes that ten Member States made full submissions to the 20th annual report, and eleven to the 21st; _notes_ the fact that two of the main exporting countries – Germany and the UK – did not make full submissions;

Amendment 56
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2a. _Welcomes the German government’s decision to extend for the third time after the killing of Saudi dissident Jamal Khashoggi in 2018, the arms embargo on Saudi Arabia, which will now last until December 31, 2020;_

Amendment

Or. en
Motion for a resolution

2b. Reiterates its calls on the EU Member States to follow up on their 21 August 2013 conclusions on Egypt announcing the suspension of export licences for any equipment which might be used for internal repression in line with Common Position 2008/944/CFSP, and condemns the persistent non-compliance of EU Member States with these commitments; calls on them therefore to halt exports to Egypt of arms, surveillance technology and other security equipment that can facilitate attacks on human rights defenders and civil society activists, including on social media, as well as any other kind of internal repression; calls on the VP/HR to report on the current state of military and security cooperation by Member States with Egypt; calls for the EU to implement in full its export controls vis-à-vis Egypt with regard to goods that could be used for repression, torture or capital punishment;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 58
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. **Deplores** the fact that Member States *use very* different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report **considerably less usable** as a comparative data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments; urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data;

Amendment

3. **Notes** the fact that the Member States *have different national legislation*, which renders the annual report **difficult to use** as a comparative data set;
on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report;

Amendment 59
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. **Deplores the fact that** Member States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report considerably less usable as a comparative data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments; urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report;

Amendment

3. **Calls on** Member States to use harmonised and EU-level standardised data on the value of licences and other arms transfers, with a view to make the annual report considerably more usable as a comparative data set and as a tool to increase its transparency and accountability;

Amendment 60
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. **Deplores the fact** that Member States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report considerably less usable as a comparative

Amendment

3. **Is concerned** that Member States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report considerably less usable as a comparative
data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments; urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report;

Amendment 61
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Deplores the fact that Member States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report considerably less usable as a comparative data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments; urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report;

Amendment

3. Acknowledges that Member States may be forced to use different information in order to generate data on the value of licences; points out that Article 8 of the common position requires the national authorities to fully comply with their transparency obligations and provide detailed information to the EEAS and to other Member States each year regarding licences issued and consignments delivered;

Amendment 62
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Deplores the fact that Member

Amendment

3. Deplores the fact that Member
States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report considerably less usable as a comparative data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments; urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report; 

States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report considerably less usable as a comparative data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments; urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report; *stresses the importance to report actual arms exports including the value of actual exports under global and general licences;*

Or. en

**Amendment 63**
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 3**

3. *Deplores the fact* that Member States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, *which renders the annual report considerably less usable as a comparative data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments;* urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report; 

3. *Acknowledges* that Member States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, *complicating the ability to use comparative data effectively;* urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report; 

Or. en

**Amendment 64**
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Deplores the fact that Member States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report considerably less usable as a comparative data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments; urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report;

Amendment

3. Stresses that research, development, production, distribution and arms export policies must remain the discretion of the Member States as it is a strictly national prerogative; calls on the Commission, the High Representative for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and the European External Action Service to consider arms policy from the point of view of exports in particular and acknowledge that defence, in all its aspects, is exclusively the remit of the Member States;

Or. fr

Amendment 65
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Deplores the fact that Member States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report considerably less usable as a comparative data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments; urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report;

Amendment

3. Deplores the fact that Member States use very different information in order to generate data on the value of licences, which renders the annual report considerably less consistent and usable as a comparative data set and serves to diminish its transparency and accountability before citizens and parliaments; urges France, in particular, to refrain from submitting data on the value of licences at pre-contract stage and broad values for global licences, which undermines the comparability of the report;

Or. en
Amendment 66
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

3a. Calls on Member States to increase their coordination and to define common best practices to collect and process information and data to produce more harmonized annual reports in order to improve transparency and usability of such reports;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability;
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Or. en

Amendment 68
Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability;

Amendment

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of on-going severe alarmed conflicts and violations of international law and human rights remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability and promotion of international law respect for human rights; notes that in some cases, the arms exported to certain countries, for example to Saudi Arabia, UAE and members of the Saudi-led coalition, have been used in conflicts such as that in Yemen where 22 million people found themselves in need of humanitarian aid and protection; recalls that such exports clearly violate the Common Position;

Amendment 69
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability;

Amendment

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports;
Amendment 70
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability;

Amendment

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports;

Amendment 71
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability;

Amendment

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, an extreme volatility of the political landscape and a great permeability of national borders, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability;
Amendment 72
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Aušreliūnas, Bart Groothuis, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability;

Amendment

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; notes that these regions face significant and continuing security challenges and that every export has to be assessed on a case by case basis, in the context of the wider CFSP and EU specific actions aimed at regional peace and stability;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability;

Amendment

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; welcomes these efforts because they are in line with the overall objectives of the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) set out in Article 21 and of the regional priorities set in the EU Global Strategy (2016);

Or. en
Amendment 74
Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that this regional focus undermines the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and specific EU action aimed at regional peace and stability;

Amendment

4. Notes that the countries of the Middle East and North Africa, a region of several armed conflicts, remain the foremost regional destination for exports according to the last two annual reports; is concerned that a number of EU Member States have been supplying arms to Islamic fundamentalists in Syria and are continuing to do so;

Or. it

Amendment 75
Diana Riba i Giner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

4a. Notes with concern that, since the start of the Yemeni War, Member States such as France and Spain are the third and fourth largest exporters to Saudi Arabia;

Amendment

4a. Notes with concern that, since the start of the Yemeni War, Member States such as France and Spain are the third and fourth largest exporters to Saudi Arabia;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5
Motion for a resolution

5. Recalls that the EU has been implementing a number of arms embargoes;  

5. Recalls that the EU has decided a number of arms embargoes on countries such as Belarus, Central African Republic, China, Iran, Libya, Myanmar, North Korea, Russian Federation, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Syria, Venezuela, Yemen and Zimbabwe; is deeply worried about the non-respect of the arms embargo against Libya and calls on the EEAS to create and implement more robust procedures for monitoring the compliance of all Member States with EU arms embargos and make the findings public;

25 https://www.sanctionsmap.eu/#/main?checked=

Amendment 77
Traian Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Recalls that the EU has been implementing a number of arms embargoes;  

5. Recalls that the EU has been implementing a number of arms embargoes; through the promotion of the Common Position and its principles, not only in its immediate neighbourhood, but also on a global level through the Arms Trade Treaty (ATT) framework, the EU could contribute to its overarching foreign policy goals of preventing conflict and promoting respect for human rights and International humanitarian law;

25
Amendment 78
Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution
5. Recalls that the EU has been implementing a number of arms embargoes;

Amendment
5. Recalls that the EU has been implementing a number of arms embargoes, notes that whereas these embargoes could deprive a country of military resources, in some cases they are essential for regional peace and stability and notes that such embargoes ensure that the EU does not contribute to humanitarian crises, human rights abuses and atrocities;

25 https://www.sanctionsmap.eu/#/main?checked=

Amendment 79
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušreliūnas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution
5. Recalls that the EU has been implementing a number of arms embargoes;

Amendment
5. Recalls that the EU has been implementing a number of arms embargoes in line with the objectives of the CFSP, notes that the EU undertakes missions in the framework of the CFSP,
including a mission enforcing an arms embargo in Libya, with the aim of strengthening regional peace stability;

---

Amendment 80
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Recalls that the EU has been implementing a number of arms embargoes⁵; 

---

Or. en

Amendment 81
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Recalls that the EU has been implementing a number of arms embargoes⁵; welcomes the decisions of the governments of Belgium, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Greece, Italy, and the Netherlands adopting restrictions on their arms exports to countries involved in the Yemen war;

---

Or. en
embargoes;  

25  
https://www.sanctionsmap.eu/#/main?checked=

Amendment 82  
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Andrea Cozzolino, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Juozas Olekas, Nikos Androulakis

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 5 a (new)

5a. Welcomes the renewed extension of the moratorium on arms exports towards Saudi Arabia by Germany until the end of 2020 as well as the decisions by several Member States to enforce full restrictions when it comes to arms exports towards Saudi Arabia; reiterates its call of 13 September 2017 regarding the urgent need to impose an arms embargo on Saudi Arabia;

Or. en

Amendment 83  
Hannah Neumann  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 5 a (new)

5a. Recalls that between the 25th February 2016 and the 14th February 2019 Parliament has, via plenary resolutions, called at least ten times on the
HR/VP to launch a process leading to an EU arms embargo against Saudi Arabia, the UAE or other members of the Saudi-led coalition in Yemen; reiterates this call once again;

Amendment 84
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

5a. Calls on the Member States, with regard to export controls, to pay greater attention to goods which may be used for both civilian and military purposes;

Amendment 85
David Lega

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

5a. Notes there are no sanction mechanisms in place, should a Member State engage in exports that are clearly not compatible with the eight criteria;

Amendment 86
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Andrea Cozzolino, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
5b. Condemns the increasing arms race in the world and calls on the world major military powers, largest producers and exporters of weapons to foster dialogue, multilateralism and disarmament of conventional and nuclear weapons;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
5b. Underlines that arms exporters that fuel conflicts like the one in Yemen, risk complicity in war crimes;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 c (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
5c. Recalls the EU ambition to be a global actor for peace; therefore calls for the EU to play an active role in the areas
of non-proliferation of arms and global disarmament, thus striving for the maintenance of international peace and security and respect for international humanitarian and human rights law;

Amendment 89
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

5c. Reiterates recent calls of the European Parliament to stop the exports of surveillance technology and other equipment that can facilitate internal repression, to several countries including Egypt, Bahrain, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates and Vietnam;

Amendment 90
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes the Council’s intention to increase convergence and transparency – the main objectives of its last review of the Common Position;

Amendment 91
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

6. Welcomes the Council’s intention to increase convergence and transparency – the main objectives of its last review of the Common Position;

Amendment

6. Welcomes the Council’s intention to increase convergence and transparency – the main objectives of its last review of the Common Position; and the Council conclusions on the review of the Common Position that “the strengthening of a European defence technological and industrial base should be accompanied by closer cooperation and convergence in the field of export control of military technology and equipment”

1a Conclusion 11 of the Council Conclusions on the review of Council Common Position 2008/944/CFSP of 8 December 2008 on the control of arms exports, as adopted by the Council (“General affairs”), Council of the European Union, 12195/19, COARM 154, Brussels, 16 September 2019

Or. en

Amendment 92
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6a (new)

Motion for a resolution

6a. Calls for the eight criteria to be extended and applied also to the transfer of military, security and police personnel, to arms-exports-related services, know-how and training, security technology and to private military and security services; calls further for an upgrade of criterion 8 by making denial of export licences

Amendment

Or. en
automatic if they are incompatible with development;

Amendment 93
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

6a. Welcomes Member States’ renewed commitment to the legally binding Common Position as amended by Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and stresses the importance of thoroughly assessing export licence applications for military technology and equipment according to the criteria stipulated therein;

Amendment 94
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Aušrevičius, Bart Groothuis, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

6a. Welcomes the update of the EU common position of 2008 to take into account relevant developments, such as the adoption of the Arms trade treaty, to which all Member States are party;
Amendment 95
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis, Petras Auštrevičius, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. Supports Council’s commitment to strengthening the control of export of military technology and equipment; notes the willingness of Member States to reinforce cooperation and promote convergence in this field, within the framework of the CFSP; in this regard, calls on Member States to develop, implement and uphold common standards of management of transfers of military technology and equipment;

Or. en

Amendment 96
David Lega

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to ensure that EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments in an area which directly affects their security and their countries’ adherence to values and norms;

7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to provide EU citizens with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Özlem Demirel
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to ensure that EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments in an area which directly affects their security and their countries’ adherence to values and norms;

Amendment
7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to ensure that EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments, as full transparency and information are still not available to citizens, in an area which directly affects their security and their countries’ adherence to values and norms;

Or. en

Amendment 98
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Aušrevičius, Bart Groothuis, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to ensure that EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments in an area which directly affects their security and their countries’ adherence to values and norms;

Amendment
7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to ensure that EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to ensure that EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments in an area which directly affects their security and their countries’ adherence to values and norms;

Amendment

7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to ensure that EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to ensure that national oversight bodies, parliaments, and EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments in an area which directly affects their security and their countries’ adherence to values and norms;

Amendment

7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 have the potential to ensure that national oversight bodies, parliaments, and EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments in an area which directly affects their security and their countries’ adherence to values and norms;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution
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7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 *have the potential to ensure that EU citizens are furnished with better information about the strategic choices made by their governments in an area which directly affects their security and their countries’ adherence to values and norms*;

7. Points out that Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/1560 and the relevant conclusions of 16 September 2019 *reflect a growing awareness among Member States of the need for even greater national and EU-wide transparency and convergence in the area of arms export and the need to strengthen public oversight in this sensitive national security domain*;

Amendment 102
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Auštreivicius, Nicolae Ştefănuţă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

*Motion for a resolution*  

7a. Calls on EU Member States exporting arms to regions with ongoing conflicts to adhere to the greatest possible extent to CFSP principles, objectives and trade regimes;

Amendment

*Or. en*

Amendment 103
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Auštreivicius, Nicolae Ştefănuţă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 b (new)

*Motion for a resolution*  

7b. Supports Council’s reaffirmation that military equipment and technology should be traded in a responsible and accountable way; welcomes Council’s renewed commitment to further promoting cooperation and convergence in Member States’ policies to prevent the export of military technology and equipment that might be used for internal
repression or international aggression, or contribute to regional instability;

Or. en

Amendment 104
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

8. Notes with concern the growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;
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Or. fr

Amendment 105
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

8. Notes with concern the growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 106
Traian Băsescu

PE650.639v01-00 52/153 AM\1204254EN.docx
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes with concern the growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;

Amendment

8. Notes with concern the growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area; While the European Defence Fund (EDF) as part of the EU’s industrial policy and a funding tool for joint defence R&D projects does not deal with arms transfers, yet future EDF-funded programmes will inevitably produce new and innovative military technologies and equipment that, given the strong export orientation of European defence companies, will also be exported to third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes with concern the growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;

Amendment

8. Notes the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;

Or. fr

Amendment 108
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes with concern the growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;

Amendment

8. Notes that divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States can slow down the convergence of EU rules in this area;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes with concern the growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;

Amendment

8. Notes with concern that there is no clear information of alleged growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and on possible attempts to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes with concern the growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;

Amendment

8. Notes with concern that there is no clear information of alleged growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and on possible attempts to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;
8. Notes with concern the growing divergences between the arms export policies and practices of the Member States and the failure to introduce new instruments to promote convergence towards the strict application of EU rules in this area;

8. Notes the sale of arms is tied closely with issues related to national security; and recognises that the sale of arms remains in the sovereign control of the Member States for the purposes of national security;

Amendment 111
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Notes with satisfaction that the Council acknowledges the importance of a consistent export control policy regarding defence-related materials and dual-use goods; believes that the EU should establish clear criteria and guidelines regarding the export of such goods;

Amendment 112
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Supports the Council’s decision to introduce a clear reporting deadline for national submissions; welcomes the steps taken towards the online approach and encourages the further development of the latter;
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Amendment 113
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports the Council’s decision to introduce a clear reporting deadline for national submissions; welcomes the steps taken towards the online approach and encourages the further development of the latter;

Amendment

9. Notes and fully supports Council’s reaffirmed commitment to transparency in the international arms trade; welcomes in this regard the introduction of concrete measures intended to facilitate the correct, coherent and timely reporting of Member States’ arms exports, such as the inclusion of clear reporting deadlines to the EU Annual Report and further guidelines on the substance and process of reporting;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports the Council’s decision to introduce a clear reporting deadline for national submissions; welcomes the steps taken towards the online approach and encourages the further development of the latter;

Amendment

9. Supports the Council’s decision to introduce a clear reporting deadline for national submissions; encourages the Member States to submit their data preferably in May after the reporting year in order to allow a timely public debate; welcomes the steps taken towards the online approach and encourages the further development of the latter;

Or. en
Amendment 115
Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

9. Supports the Council’s decision to introduce a clear reporting deadline for national submissions; welcomes the steps taken towards the online approach and encourages the further development of the latter;

Amendment

9. Supports the Council’s decision to introduce a clear reporting deadline for national submissions, as well as clear standards for the report’s format; welcomes the steps taken towards the online approach and encourages the further development of the latter;

Or. en

Amendment 116
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

9. Supports the Council’s decision to introduce a clear reporting deadline for national submissions; welcomes the steps taken towards the online approach and encourages the further development of the latter;

Amendment

9. Acknowledges the Council’s decision to introduce a clear reporting deadline for national submissions; welcomes the steps taken towards the online approach and encourages the further development of the latter;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

9a. Welcomes Council’s support for
clear guidelines on information-sharing and exchange between Member States on their arms export policies; welcomes the steps taken towards the digital approach with the COARM Online System and encourages its further expansion;

Amendment 118
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
9a. Calls on the Member States to follow the example of Germany, Finland and Denmark that after the killing of the journalist Jamal Khashoggi adopted restrictions on their arms exports to Saudi Arabia;

Amendment 119
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
9a. Calls for the timely and complete transmission and publication of data, for which a strict deadline is set, to end no later than March following the year in which the export took place;

Amendment 120
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

9b.  Deeply regrets the continued blatant violations of the arms embargo in Libya, even after the commitments made in this regard by concerned countries during the International Conference on Libya in Berlin, held on 19 January 2020; Calls on all the Member States to halt all transfers of weapons, surveillance and intelligence equipment and material to all the parties involved in the Libyan conflict notably to Saudi Arabia, UAE and Egypt;

Or. en

Amendment 121
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

9b.  Demands, that all Member States which have not provided complete information to provide additional information on their past exports for the next annual report;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 c (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9c. Suggests, further, that the COARM annual report should be completed by a summary containing, inter alia, comparative trends with previous years and aggregated figures;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Notes that since the adoption of the legally binding Common Position in 2008, an increasing number of weapon systems produced in Europe are made up of components from multiple countries and involve bi- or multilateral cooperation for technological, industrial and political reasons;

Or. fr

Amendment 124
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ştefănuţă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Notes that since the adoption of the legally binding Common Position in 2008, an increasing number of weapon systems produced in Europe are made up of components from multiple countries and involve bi- or multilateral cooperation for technological, industrial and political reasons;

10. Welcomes that since the adoption of the legally binding Common Position in 2008, an increasing number of weapon systems produced in Europe are made up of components from multiple countries and involve bi- or multilateral cooperation; underlines the positive role of
reasons;
technological, industrial and political
cooperation in promoting confidence
building among Member States and third
countries;

Amendment 125
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

10. Notes that since the adoption of the
legally binding Common Position in 2008,
an increasing number of weapon systems
produced in Europe are made up of
components from multiple countries and
involve bi- or multilateral cooperation for
technological, industrial and political
reasons;

Or. en

Amendment 126
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

10. Notes that since the adoption of the
legally binding Common Position in 2008,
an increasing number of weapon systems
produced in Europe are made up of
components from multiple countries and
involve bi- or multilateral cooperation for
technological, industrial and political
reasons;

10. Welcomes that since the adoption of the
legally binding Common Position in 2008,
an increasing number of weapon systems
produced in Europe are made up of
components from multiple EU member
states and involve bi- or multilateral
cooperation for technological, industrial
and political reasons;

Or. fr
Amendment 127
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Stresses that the ambition to increase the competitiveness of the European defence sector must not undermine the application of the Common Position’s eight criteria as they take precedence over any economic, commercial or industrial interests of Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santos, Juozas Olekas, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10b. Emphasises that arms exports must not be carried out for economic reasons but for foreign and security policy objectives. Respect for human rights, good governance and the prohibition on supplying arms to conflict regions are essential in the case of granting export licences. Arms exports have to be assessed against the sustainable development of a country;
Amendment 129
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ştefănuţă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Notes an increase in the transfer of knowledge and technology, which allows third countries to undertake licensed production of European military technology;

Amendment

11. Notes an increase in the transfer of knowledge and technology, which allows third countries to undertake licensed production of European military technology; believes that this process can foster the harmonisation of public oversight and transparency standards in defence production and accelerate the creation of internationally recognised and respected rules on arms production and export;

Amendment 130
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Notes an increase in the transfer of knowledge and technology, which allows third countries to undertake licensed production of European military technology;

Amendment

11. Notes an increase in the transfer of knowledge and technology, which allows third countries to undertake licensed production of European military technology; highlights that this must not limit the EU’s capacity to control weapons, arms and military equipment production;
Amendment 131
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Notes an increase in the transfer of knowledge and technology, which allows third countries to undertake licensed production of European military technology;

Amendment

11. Notes the lack of information of an alleged increase in the transfer of knowledge and technology, which allows third countries to undertake licensed production of European military technology;

Or. en

Amendment 132
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

11a. Notes that a growing number of components in weapon systems are of civilian origin or dual-use character which poses the question of which rules apply in inter-communal transfers and how to establish a coherent export control system between all Member States;

Amendment

11a. Notes that most Member States
deleted

Amendment 133
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Bart Groothuis, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Notes that most Member States deleted
have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to achieve the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;

Amendment 134
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Notes that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to achieve the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;
Amendment 135
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Notes that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to achieve the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;

Amendment

12. Notes that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components;

Or. en

Amendment 136
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Notes that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on

Amendment

12. Notes that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; reminds that only a Member State can consider that the transfer of a component is sensitive and impose export limitations;
intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to achieve the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;

**Amendment 137**
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Aušrevičius, Bart Groothuis, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 12**

12. Notes that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to achieve the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;

12. Notes that Member States have not outlined a common policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; notes that some of them continue to consider intra-EU transfers of arms and defence-related products as exports operations; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; calls the Commission to assess the effects of Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers and the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;

**Amendment 138**
Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Özlem Demirel

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 12**
12. **Notes** that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to achieve the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;

12. **Condemns** that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to achieve the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;

**Amendment 139**
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

**Motion for a resolution**

12. Notes that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to achieve the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;

**Amendment**

12. Notes that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic because of a lack of information in the context of the alleged divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to facilitate the transfer in the European armaments market;
Amendment 140
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Notes that most Member States have not outlined a policy regulating the transfer of weapons components to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers has proven ill-equipped to achieve the stated goal of setting high common standards for exports to third countries;

Amendment

12. Notes that most Member States have not developed an export policy for weapons components to be transferred to another Member State which would ensure that any export to third countries from the Member State of assembly is consistent with the export policy of the Member State providing the components; regards this as particularly problematic in the context of the increasing divergences between licensing practices across the EU; observes that Directive 2009/43/EC on intra-Community transfers, in its current form, is not designed to achieve highest common standards for exports to third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 141
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Čiž, Robert Hajšel, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

12a. Welcomes the EU activities aiming at supporting the universalisation of the Arms Trade Treaty(ATT), in particular the assistance to third countries in improving and implementing effective arms control systems in line with the
Common Position; calls the major arms exporting countries, such as the USA, China and Russia, to sign and ratify the Treaty;

Amendment 142
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

12a. Notes that this problem is exacerbated where Member States have adopted a so called ‘de minimis’ rule where the Member State providing components below a certain threshold does refrain from assessing the decision to export the assembled weapon system to a third country;

Amendment 143
Klemen Grošelj, Nathalie Loiseau, Bart Groothuis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

13. Observes a trend whereby the diverging export policies of the Member States are increasingly considered as obstacles to cooperation projects, which is giving rise to a multitude of bilateral and specific agreements on weapon systems that allow for exports to third countries based on the least restrictive standards, rather than a joint, EU-wide approach;
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Amendment 144
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Observes a trend whereby the diverging export policies of the Member States are increasingly considered as obstacles to cooperation projects, which is giving rise to a multitude of bilateral and specific agreements on weapon systems that allow for exports to third countries based on the least restrictive standards, rather than a joint, EU-wide approach;

Amendment

13. Observes that the arms policies of each Member State may hamper cooperation projects;

Amendment 145
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Observes a trend whereby the diverging export policies of the Member States are increasingly considered as obstacles to cooperation projects, which is giving rise to a multitude of bilateral and specific agreements on weapon systems that allow for exports to third countries based on the least restrictive standards, rather than a joint, EU-wide approach;

Amendment

13. Observes the lack of clear information on alleged diverging export policies of the Member States;

Amendment 146
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

13. Observes a trend whereby the diverging export policies of the Member States are increasingly considered as obstacles to cooperation projects, which is giving rise to a multitude of bilateral and specific agreements on weapon systems that allow for exports to third countries based on the least restrictive standards, rather than a joint, EU-wide approach;

Amendment

13. Observes a trend whereby the diverging export policies of the Member States are increasingly considered as obstacles to cooperation projects, which is giving rise to a multitude of bilateral and specific agreements on weapon systems that allow for exports to third countries based on the least restrictive standards, rather than a joint, EU-wide approach; recalls that the purpose of the Council Common Position on arms exports was and is to prevent such divergences and to establish a coherent common arms export policy;

Amendment 147
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

13. Observes a trend whereby the diverging export policies of the Member States are increasingly considered as obstacles to cooperation projects, which is giving rise to a multitude of bilateral and specific agreements on weapon systems that allow for exports to third countries based on the least restrictive standards, rather than a joint, EU-wide approach;

Amendment

13. Observes a trend whereby the diverging export policies of the Member States are increasingly considered as obstacles to cooperation projects, however, notes that the varying range in technological sophistication across Europe’s industrial base is giving rise to a multitude of bilateral and specific agreements on weapon systems, which in turn is encouraging members to institute modernisation reforms that keep arms production fit for purpose;

Or. en
Amendment 148  
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

13. Observes a trend whereby the diverging export policies of the Member States are increasingly considered as obstacles to cooperation projects, which is giving rise to a multitude of bilateral and specific agreements on weapon systems that allow for exports to third countries based on the least restrictive standards, rather than a joint, EU-wide approach;

13. Affirms its preference for bilateral or multilateral cooperation between EU Member States for the programming of research, development and production in the arms sector; regrets that the cooperation projects proposed by the Commission exclude bilateral agreements on principle;

Or. fr

Amendment 149  
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Auštreivičius, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

13a. Notes that despite a correlation between divergences in export policies and difficulties of intra-UE cooperation, the sooner is the consequence of the weakness of the European defence spending, the persistent fragmentation of the internal market for defence-related products and the existence of industrial duplications; calls therefore the European Commission, the Council and Member States to fully implement the European Action Plan for Defence; calls in particular for a swift adoption of the European Defence Fund and for an adequate level of funding enabling the reduction of market fragmentation and
industrial consolidation while ensuring the EU and its Member States will dispose of military capabilities they need; calls the Commission to ensure the correct implementation of directive 2009/81/EC and Directive 2009/43/EC;

Amendment 150
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštreivičius, Bart Groothuis, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13b. Notes that bilateral cooperation on industrial defence-related projects between Member States lead to export control agreements which can provide a baseline for the EU as a whole;

Amendment 151
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;
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Amendment 152
Klemen Grošelj, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 153
Traian Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

Amendment

facilitate the move towards a further convergence of member states’ arms export policies through information sharing among member states, a shared interpretation of the common criteria, increased availability of qualitative information that could strengthen national export control practices; and the inclusion of additional grounds for the refusal of licences that would decrease the space for national interpretation; and that
the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

Amendment 154
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, **generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field**;

Amendment

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries *may* have a negative impact on human rights and international law;

Or. fr

Amendment 155
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly

Amendment

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly
between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Petras Aušrevičius, Bart Groothuis, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

Amendment

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may pave the way towards convergence at EU level under certain conditions and that the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries may generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

Amendment

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may pave the way towards convergence at EU level under certain conditions and that the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries may generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;
multilateral agreements **may further reduce convergence at EU level** and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries **have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;**

multilateral agreements **serve as the foundation for international practices in arms transfers** and that the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries **can only be overcome via furthering the best practices exhibited in the bilateral and multilateral agreements between Member States;**

---

**Amendment 158**
Nicolae Ștefânățuță

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 14**

**Motion for a resolution**

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

**Amendment**

14. Stresses that bilateral and multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence and accountability in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law and regional peace and stability, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;

---

**Amendment 159**
Željana Zovko

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 14**

**Motion for a resolution**

14. Stresses that bilateral and

14. Stresses that bilateral and
multilateral agreements may further reduce convergence at EU level and that the failure to regulate exports uniformly between the Member States and the lack of convergence in export decisions to third countries have a negative impact on human rights and international law, generate market distortions, and hinder industrial strategic planning, economies of scale and a level playing field;
The Europeanisation of cooperation on arms production

The strengthening of cooperation at EU level on defence capability development, including through wide convergence

Or. en

Amendment 162
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 4

Amendment

The Europeanisation of cooperation on arms production

Stepping up European cooperation on arms production

Or. fr

Amendment 163
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Amendment

14a. Stresses that the development of suitable equipment is an important means of underpinning the industrial and technological foundations of the European defence sector, which should be used as an instrument for the implementation of enhanced CFSP; recalls that, under the EU Global Strategy, ‘a sustainable, innovative and competitive European defence industry is essential for Europe’s strategic autonomy and for a credible CSDP’;

Or. fr

Amendment 164
15. Notes that EU funds such as the Preparatory Action on Defence Research (PADR), the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), initiatives such as the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Peace Facility (EPF), legislation such as the intra-Community transfers and defence procurement directives of 2009, and the creation of administrative capacities such as the Commission Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space (DG DEFIS) are heralding an increasing Europeanisation of arms production and capability development;

15. Notes *that more restrictive export policies that continue to push for further European convergence, shall not result in the lowering of national standards*; and that EU funds such as the Preparatory Action on Defence Research (PADR), the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), initiatives such as the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Peace Facility (EPF), legislation such as the intra-Community transfers and defence procurement directives of 2009, and the creation of administrative capacities such as the Commission Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space (DG DEFIS) are heralding an increasing *EU collaborative approach for an increasing Europeanisation of arms production and capability development; the existence of different national approaches should be taken into consideration when efforts are made to construct a consolidated European defence industrial base that should be spread around the EU to ensure additional cohesion within the Union*;

**Or. en**

**Amendment 165**

Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ștefănuță

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15**

**Motion for a resolution**

15. *Notes* that EU funds such as the

15. **Welcomes** that EU funds such as
Preparatory Action on Defence Research (PADR), the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), initiatives such as the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Peace Facility (EPF), legislation such as the intra-Community transfers and defence procurement directives of 2009, and the creation of administrative capacities such as the Commission Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space (DG DEFIS) are heralding an increasing Europeanisation of arms production and capability development;

Amendment 166
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

15. Notes that EU funds such as the Preparatory Action on Defence Research (PADR), the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), initiatives such as the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Peace Facility (EPF), legislation such as the intra-Community transfers and defence procurement directives of 2009, and the creation of administrative capacities such as the Commission Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space (DG DEFIS) are heralding an increasing Europeanisation of arms production and capability development as well as strengthening cooperation among Member States and enhancing common standards in the field of arms exports;

Or. en
“European capabilities and armaments policy” as foreseen in Article 42.3 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU);

Amendment 167
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

15. Notes that EU funds such as the Preparatory Action on Defence Research (PADR), the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), initiatives such as the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Peace Facility (EPF), legislation such as the intra-Community transfers and defence procurement directives of 2009, and the creation of administrative capacities such as the Commission Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space (DG DEFIS) are heralding an increasing Europeanisation of arms production and capability development;

Amendment

15. Notes that EU initiatives such as the Preparatory Action on Defence Research (PADR), the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), initiatives such as the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Peace Facility (EPF), legislation such as the intra-Community transfers and defence procurement directives of 2009, and the creation of administrative capacities such as the Commission Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space (DG DEFIS) are helping to enhance cooperation for the purposes of arms production and capability development at European level;

Amendment 168
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

15. Notes that EU funds such as the Preparatory Action on Defence Research

Amendment

15. Notes and deplores the fact that EU funds such as the Preparatory Action...
on Defence Research (PADR), the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), initiatives such as the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Peace Facility (EPF), legislation such as the intra-Community transfers and defence procurement directives of 2009, and the creation of administrative capacities such as the Commission Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space (DG DEFIS) are heralding an increasing Europeanisation of arms production and capability development;

Amendment 169
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Auštreivičius, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Amendment

15. Notes that EU funds such as the Preparatory Action on Defence Research (PADR), the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), initiatives such as the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Peace Facility (EPF), legislation such as the intra-Community transfers and defence procurement directives of 2009, and the creation of administrative capacities such as the Commission Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space (DG DEFIS) are aiming at increasing Europeanisation of arms production and capability development;

15. Notes that EU funds such as the Preparatory Action on Defence Research (PADR), the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), initiatives such as the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Peace Facility (EPF), legislation such as the intra-Community transfers and defence procurement directives of 2009, and the creation of administrative capacities such as the Commission Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space (DG DEFIS) are heralding an increasing Europeanisation of arms production and capability development;
Amendment 170
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

15a. Believes that the strengthening of the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base should be accompanied by closer cooperation and convergence in the control of exports of military technology and equipment, with the aim of improving the effectiveness of the CFSP and the CSDP in particular;

Amendment

Amendment 171
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

15a. Calls on the Member States to overcome the current lack of efficiency in defence spending due to duplication, fragmentation and lack of interoperability, and to aim for the EU to become a security provider also by better controlling arms exports;

Amendment

Amendment 172
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

15a. Notes that several Member States have expressed their intention to jointly develop main weapon systems such as battle tanks, fighter jets and armed drones;

Or. en

Amendment 173
Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

16. Underlines the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation establishes a specific type of control function for the Commission with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries;

Or. it

Amendment 174
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16
16. Underlines the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation establishes a specific type of control function for the Commission with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries;
Amendment 176
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

16. Underlines the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation establishes a specific type of control function for the Commission with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries;

Amendment

16. Underlines the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission, without infringing upon the primacy of Member State decisions, to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation establishes a specific type of control function for the Commission with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries, while simultaneously respecting the independence and self-decision of the Member States;

Amendment 177
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

16. Underlines the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the
security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation establishes a specific type of control function for the Commission with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries;

security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation establishes a specific type of evaluation function for the Commission with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries, without prejudice to the competence of the EU Member State export control authorities to grant the necessary authorisation;

Amendment 178
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Petras Auštreivičius, Nicolae Ştefănuţă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

16. Underlines the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation establishes a specific type of control function for the Commission with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries;

16. Welcomes the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation is a clear commitment to a greater level of control and equips the Commission with additional powers of civilian oversight with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries, thereby further enhancing transparency and minimising the risk of a misuse of EU funds;

Or. en
Amendment 179
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Amendment

16. Underlines the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation establishes a specific type of control function for the Commission with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries; suggests that the export of these EDF-co-funded technologies should also be closely monitored vis à vis the Common Position;

Or. en

Amendment 180
Traian Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Amendment

16. Underlines the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the
Amendment 181
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

16. Underlines the fact that the interinstitutional agreement on the establishment of the EDF authorises the Commission to assess whether the transfer of ownership or the granting of an exclusive licence of EDF-co-funded military technology contravenes the security and defence interests of the EU and its Member States or the objectives of the fund as set out in Article 3 of the proposed regulation; notes that this new legislation establishes a specific type of control function for the Commission with regard to a specific category of exports of military technology to third countries;
17. Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work on creating an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries;

17. Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) work towards creating an EU-level instrument that will allow the EU to provide military equipment to strengthen capacities of third countries; notes that current plans foresee that supplies will be subjected to relevant national and Union arms export control laws and rules, in particular as regards export licences for which exporting Member States retain exclusive authority;

Amendment 183
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work on creating an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries;

17. Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work on creating an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries; notes that current plans foresee that supplies will be subjected to relevant national and Union arms export control laws and rules, in particular as regards export licences for which exporting Member States retain exclusive authority;

Or. fr

Amendment 184
Bart Groothuis, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštreivičius, Nathalie Loiseau, Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work on creating an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries;

17. Notes that the international commitments given by European partners extend to the supply of information regarding their national control schemes and arms transfers; notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work towards a number of objectives, including the creation of an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries;

Or. en
States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work on creating an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries; Believes that for any future steps towards an EU-level system for arms transfers, in particular to a common denominator, the Schmidt-Debré harmonisation agreement with the 'de Dinimis' rule can already be handled as a framework;

Amendment 185
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Raphaël Glucksmann, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santoros, Sven Mikser, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Amendment

17. Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work on creating an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries; asks for a detailed list of equipment transferred to third countries under the Facility to be published;

Amendment 186
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Petras Aušrevičius, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Amendment

17. Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work on agreeing on creating an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries;
EU-level **system** for arms transfers to third countries; EU-level **framing principles** for arms transfers to third countries;

**Amendment 187**  
**Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

17. **Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work on creating an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries;**

**Amendment**

17. **Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will respect the right of Member States to determine whom it will sell arms to;**

**Amendment 188**  
**Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

17. **Notes that under the EPF, Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) will work on creating an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries;**

**Amendment**

17. **Deplores moves by the Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) under the EPF to create an EU-level system for arms transfers to third countries;**

**Amendment 189**  
**Hannah Neumann**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17 a (new)**
Motion for a resolution

17a. Stresses that in the context of EPF a new EU-level instrument would potentially deliver joint risk assessment prior to any decision to transfer arms and ammunitions to third countries in the context of the military capacity-building pillar of EPF, assess individual measures against the eight criteria of the Common Position, and establish safeguards and possible sanctions with a view on the end-user; is concerned about the potential effects of inadequate safeguards in this context;

Or. en

Amendment 190
Andrea Cozzolino, Maria Arena, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

17a. Calls on the Commission to keep Parliament properly informed about the use of EU funds for all research and development projects associated with the construction of drones; urges the VP/HR to ban the development, production and use of fully autonomous weapons, which enable strikes to be carried out without human intervention;

Or. en

Amendment 191
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

17a. Points out that the research and development of weapons, arms and defence equipment is important for securing means for the Member States to defend themselves and for defence industries to achieve technological advancement;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 192
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Aušrevičius, Bart Groothuis, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5

Motion for a resolution

Implementing the Common Position in the light of Europeanised arms production

Amendment

Implementing the Common Position in the light of enhanced coordination on Europeanisation of arms production at EU level

Or. en

Amendment 193
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5

Motion for a resolution

Implementing the Common Position in the light of Europeanised arms production

Amendment

Implementing the Common Position in the light of enhanced European cooperation in the field of arms production

Or. fr

Amendment 194
Traian Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5

Implementing the Common Position in the light of *Europeanised* arms production

Amendment

Implementing the Common Position in the light of *consolidating the EU cooperation on* arms production

Amendment 195
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

deleted

Amendment

18. Notes that the growing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further will require in the long run a progressive convergence of national arms

Amendment 196
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštreivičius, Bart Groothuis, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and

Or. en
the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further; export policies and decision-making; recalls the importance of the appropriation by Member States of such a process; regrets the current divergences between national arms export policies and decision-making; calls the Council to continue its efforts to favour the convergence of arms export policies and decision-making;

Amendment 197
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;
18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further; thus potentially undermining human rights and international law, creating additional market distortions, and related obstacles for strategic planning of relevant companies and armed forces;

Or. en

Amendment 199
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

18. Calls for the continued development of cooperative projects between European countries on a bilateral or multilateral basis, independently of any supranational entity;

Or. fr

Amendment 200
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further.;
Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

cohesion and convergence of European arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to develop this further;

Amendment 201
Traian Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

Amendment

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of strengthening the EU cooperation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

Amendment 202
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

Amendment

18. Notes that the harmonization of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming necessary in view of the definition of a “European capabilities and armaments policy” as foreseen in Article 42.3 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU);

Amendment 203
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

18. Notes that the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making is becoming increasingly untenable in the context of the prevailing Europeanisation of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

Amendment

18. Notes the lack of convergence of national arms export policies and decision-making in the context of enhanced European cooperation in the field of arms production and the stated ambitions and plans to increase this further;

Or. fr

Amendment 204
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

18a. Rejects EU-funds for European arms production for political, ethical and legal (Article 41.2 TEU) reasons; notes that its objective of increasing the “competitiveness” of the European defence industry has a negative impact on the intention to pursue a restrictive arms export policy; is further concerned that the planned large-scale projects like the “Future Combat Air System” and the “Main Ground Combat System” will only be possible through large exports; is concerned, that this could lead to a further erosion of the eight criteria and to a convergence of national export practices to the lowest common denominator;

Or. en
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

*Motion for a resolution*  
18a. Suggests that exports of products financed under the EDIDP and/or the European Defence Fund (EDF) should be listed separately in the data submitted to COARM, in order to ensure a close monitoring of those products which have been financed from the European budget and to ensure the criteria of the Common Position are strictly applied at least to the products financed under EDIDP and EDF;

*Amendment*

Or. en

Amendment 206
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Petras Auštreivičius, Nicolae Ştefănuţă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

*Motion for a resolution*  
18a. Welcomes the strengthening of EU defence cooperation in the framework of the CSDP, resulting in increased convergence of common rules and standards of transparency of arms exports and technology and fostering confidence-building among Member States and third country partners;

*Amendment*

Or. en

Amendment 207
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19
19. Believes that the Europeanisation of arms production needs to go hand in hand with increased transparency; believes that there is still room for improvement in this area, particularly as regards the quality and uniformity of the data submitted by Member States; calls on the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM):

a) to add the following additional categories in a revised reporting template in order to implement the recent September 2019 Council conclusions: the exact type of weapon and the quantity exported, denomination of the munitions, the lot size and the specific end-user, revoked licences, and the value and duration of contracts regarding post-delivery services such as training and maintenance;

b) to disaggregate data with regard to denial information so as to facilitate the scrutiny of specific shipments or Member States;

c) to clarify definitions such as licensed value and actual exports so as to facilitate the comparability of data between Member States;

Amendment 208
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – introductory part

19. Believes that the Europeanisation of arms production needs to go hand in hand with increased transparency; believes that there is still room for improvement in

19. Notes that enhanced European cooperation regarding arms production is being accompanied by increased transparency; believes that there is still
this area, particularly as regards the quality and uniformity of the data submitted by Member States; **calls on the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM):**

room for improvement in this area, particularly as regards the quality and uniformity of the data submitted by Member States; **welcomes the efforts of the COARM group, in particular the guide to implementation of the Common Position by the Member States in terms of cooperation, coordination and convergence; underlines the efforts of Member States to support the work of COARM in promoting exchanges of best practice; recommends to the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM):**

Amendment 209
Michael Gahler

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 19 – introductory part**

19. Believes that the **Europeanisation of arms production** needs to go hand in hand with increased transparency; believes that there is still room for improvement in this area, particularly as regards the quality and uniformity of the data submitted by Member States; calls on the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM):

Amendment

19. Believes that the **definition of a “European capabilities and armaments policy” as foreseen in Article 42.3 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) needs to be in line with the Common Position 2008/944/CFSP:**

Amendment 210
Traian Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
**Paragraph 19 – introductory part**

19. Believes that the **Europeanisation of arms production** needs to go hand in hand with increased transparency; believes that there is still room for improvement in this area, particularly as regards the quality and uniformity of the data submitted by Member States; calls on the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM):

Motion for a resolution

Amendment
19. Believes that the Europeanisation of arms production needs to go hand in hand with increased transparency; believes that there is still room for improvement in this area, particularly as regards the quality and uniformity of the data submitted by Member States; calls on the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM):

Amendment 211
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Bart Groothuis, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

19. Believes that the Europeanisation of arms production needs to go hand in hand with increased transparency; believes that there is still room for improvement in this area, particularly as regards the quality and uniformity of the data submitted by Member States; calls on the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM):

Amendment

19. Believes that enhancing of cooperation at EU level on arms production needs to go hand in hand with increased transparency and coherence within the Euro-Atlantic area; believes that there is still room for improvement in this area, particularly as regards the quality and uniformity of the data submitted by Member States; calls on the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM):

Or. en

Amendment 212
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

19. Believes that the Europeanisation of arms production needs to go hand in hand with increased transparency;

Amendment

19. Believes that the Europeanisation of arms production needs to go hand in hand with a clear goal of modernisation
believes that there is still room for improvement in this area, particularly as regards the quality and uniformity of the data submitted by Member States; calls on the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM): towards a qualitative advantage in the arms production of Member States; believes that there is still room for improvement in this area, calls on the Working Party on Conventional Arms Exports (COARM):

Amendment 213
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point a

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

a) to add the following additional categories in a revised reporting template in order to implement the recent September 2019 Council conclusions: the exact type of weapon and the quantity exported, denomination of the munitions, the lot size and the specific end-user, revoked licences, and the value and duration of contracts regarding post-delivery services such as training and maintenance;

Amendment 214
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Bart Groothuis, Petras Auštreivičius, Christophe Grudler, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point a

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

a) to add additional categories in a revised reporting template, in line with internationally recognized standards, in order to implement the recent September 2019 Council conclusions;
denomination of the munitions, the lot size and the specific end-user, revoked licences, and the value and duration of contracts regarding post-delivery services such as training and maintenance;

Amendment 215
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point a

a) to add the following additional categories in a revised reporting template in order to implement the recent September 2019 Council conclusions: the exact type of weapon and the quantity exported, denomination of the munitions, the lot size and the specific end-user, revoked licences, and the value and duration of contracts regarding post-delivery services such as training and maintenance;

recognises, however, that Member States may not always be able to give full submissions due to the commercial sensitivity and security risks surrounding such information;

Amendment 216
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point a

a) to add the following additional categories in a revised reporting template in order to implement the recent September 2019 Council conclusions: the exact type of weapon and the quantity exported, denomination of the munitions, the lot size and the specific end-user, revoked licences, and the value and duration of contracts regarding post-delivery services such as training and maintenance;
a) to add the following additional categories in a revised reporting template in order to implement the recent September 2019 Council conclusions: the exact type of weapon and the quantity exported, denomination of the munitions, the lot size and the specific end-user, revoked licences, and the value and duration of contracts regarding post-delivery services such as training and maintenance;

Or. en

Amendment 217
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point b

b) to disaggregate data with regard to denial information so as to facilitate the scrutiny of specific shipments or Member States;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 218
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point b

b) to disaggregate data with regard to denial information so as to facilitate the scrutiny of specific shipments or Member States;

deleted
Amendment 219
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point b

b) to disaggregate data with regard to denial information so as to facilitate the scrutiny of specific shipments or Member States;

Amendment
b) to disaggregate data with regard to denial information so as to facilitate the scrutiny of specific shipments or Member States within the limits of commercial sensitivity, national security and with every effort taken to avoid inadvertently aiding industrial espionage;

Amendment 220
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Nicolae Ştefănuţă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point b

b) to disaggregate data with regard to denial information so as to facilitate the scrutiny of specific shipments or Member States;

Amendment
b) to collect and present data in a way that would enable, if required, the necessary scrutiny of specific shipments or Member States;

Amendment 221
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point c

Motion for a resolution

Amendment
c) to clarify definitions such as licensed value and actual exports so as to facilitate the comparability of data between Member States;

Amendment 222
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point c

AMENDMENT

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

c) to clarify definitions such as licensed value and actual exports so as to facilitate the comparability of data between Member States; Licences for production in third countries should no longer be granted if this would allow the eight criteria of the Common Position or other EU arms export directives to be circumvented;

Amendment 223
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point c

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

c) to clarify definitions such as licensed value and actual exports so as to facilitate the comparability of data between Member States; within the limits of commercial sensitivity, national security and with every effort taken to avoid inadvertently aiding industrial espionage;
Amendment 224
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Bart Groothuis, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point c

Motion for a resolution

\[c\) \quad \text{to } \textit{clarify} \text{ definitions such as licensed value and actual exports so as to facilitate the comparability of data between Member States;} \]

Amendment

\[c\) \quad \text{to } \textit{develop EU and internationally recognized} \text{ definitions such as licensed value and actual exports so as to facilitate the comparability of data between Member States and at international level;} \]

Amendment 225
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavridis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – point c a (new)

Motion for a resolution

\[c \ a) \quad \text{to extend the post-shipment controls to all arms exports, including large military equipment; Member States are to submit a regular post-shipment report;} \]

Amendment

Or. en
20. Welcomes the decision to transform the annual report into an interactive and searchable online database and expects it to be up and running before publication of the export data for 2019; calls on the EEAS to inform Parliament about the precise date on which this database will go online; urges COARM to chose a solution that enables data to be exported in a structured format;
20. Welcomes the decision to transform the annual report into an interactive and searchable online database and expects it to be up and running before publication of the export data for 2019; calls on the EEAS to inform Parliament about the precise date on which this database will go online; urges COARM to choose a solution that enables data to be exported in a structured format;

Amendment 229
Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

20. Welcomes the decision to transform the annual report into an interactive and searchable online database and expects it to be up and running before publication of the export data for 2019; calls on the EEAS to inform Parliament about the precise date on which this database will go online; urges COARM to choose a solution that enables data to be exported in a structured format;

Amendment 230
David Lega

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

20. Welcomes the decision to transform the annual report into an interactive, reliable and searchable online database and expects it to be up and running before publication of the export data for 2019; calls on the EEAS to inform Parliament about the precise date on which this database will go online; urges COARM to choose a solution that enables data to be exported in a structured format;
the annual report into an interactive and searchable online database and expects it to be up and running before publication of the export data for 2019; calls on the EEAS to inform Parliament about the precise date on which this database will go online; urges COARM to choose a solution that enables data to be exported in a structured format;

Amendment 231
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Petras Aušrevičius, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

20. Welcomes the decision to transform the annual report into an interactive and searchable online database and expects it to be up and running before publication of the export data for 2019; calls on the EEAS to inform Parliament about the precise date on which this database will go online; urges COARM to apply a solution that enables data to be exported in a structured format;

Amendment 232
Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

20a. Calls on the EEAS to ask Member States for a list of arms buyers, to monitor the use of military equipment sold by them and to provide information on where and
against whom the weapons have been used; this information will be included in the annual report on arms exports;

Amendment 233
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – introductory part

21. Calls on COARM to work towards amending Article 7 of the Common Position, by calling for an improved exchange of ‘relevant information, including information on denial notifications and arms export policies’ and other ‘measures to further increase convergence’; stresses the need, to this end:

Amendment

21. Calls on COARM to persevere with its efforts to introduce a notification and exchange hub for Member States to share policy information regarding exports to third countries and their decisions to reject applications for the necessary licences; recalls that the decision on whether or not to issue export authorisation remains the sole responsibility of each Member State in accordance with the criteria set out in the common position; Recommends that COARM improve exchanges of ‘relevant information, including information on denial notifications and arms export policies’ and other ‘measures to further increase convergence’; points out that information exchange must be compatible with the national laws and administrative procedures in each country; proposes to this end:

Amendment 234
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – introductory part
21. Calls on COARM to work towards amending Article 7 of the Common Position, by calling for an improved exchange of ‘relevant information, including information on denial notifications and arms export policies’ and other ‘measures to further increase convergence’; stresses the need, to this end:

Amendment

21. Recognizes COARM’s potential to add value in coordinating and consolidating areas of Member States’ industrial bases so as to ensure that technologically sophisticated and rapidly deployable equipment are more easily produced in multinational efforts that incorporate willing engagement from small and medium enterprises operating in both the military and civilian technology spaces; stresses the need, to this end:

Amendment 235
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

21. Calls on COARM to work towards amending Article 7 of the Common Position, by calling for an improved exchange of ‘relevant information, including information on denial notifications and arms export policies’ and other ‘measures to further increase convergence’; stresses the need, to this end:

Amendment

21. Calls on Member States to use COARM to implement better Article 346.1 (b) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) on the production of or trade in arms:

Amendment 236
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

21. Calls on COARM to work towards amending Article 7 of the Common Position, by calling for an improved exchange of ‘relevant information, including information on denial notifications and arms export policies’ and other ‘measures to further increase convergence’; stresses the need, to this end:
21. Calls on COARM to work towards amending Article 7 of the Common Position, by calling for an improved exchange of ‘relevant information, including information on denial notifications and arms export policies’ and other ‘measures to further increase convergence’; stresses the need, to this end:

Amendment 237
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Petras Auštreivičius, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – introductory part

21. Calls on COARM to work towards amending Article 7 of the Common Position, by calling for an improved exchange of ‘relevant information, including information on denial notifications and arms export policies’ and other ‘measures to further increase convergence’; stresses the need, to this end:

Amendment 238
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – introductory part

21. Calls on COARM to work towards amending Article 7 of the Common Position, by calling for an improved exchange of ‘relevant information,
including information on denial
notifications and arms export policies’ and
other ‘measures to further increase
convergence’; **stresses the need, to this**
end:

**Amendment 239**
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point a

*Motion for a resolution*  
*Amendment*

a) **to systematically discuss individual**  
deleted
licence requests within COARM, where
the receiving destination or recipient has
been identified as a risk by one or several
Member States or the EEAS; calls on
Member States to provide a justification if
they decide to grant exports to such
destinations;

**Amendment 240**
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point a

*Motion for a resolution*  
*Amendment*

a) **to systematically discuss individual**  
deleted
licence requests within COARM, where
the receiving destination or recipient has
been identified as a risk by one or several
Member States or the EEAS; calls on
Member States to provide a justification if
they decide to grant exports to such
destinations;
Amendment 241
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point a

  Motion for a resolution  Amendment
  a) to systematically discuss individual deleted
     licence requests within COARM, where
     the receiving destination or recipient has
     been identified as a risk by one or several
     Member States or the EEAS; calls on
     Member States to provide a justification if
     they decide to grant exports to such
     destinations;

Or. en

Amendment 242
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point a

  Motion for a resolution  Amendment
  a) to systematically discuss individual deleted
     licence requests within COARM, where
     the receiving destination or recipient has
     been identified as a risk by one or several
     Member States or the EEAS; calls on
     Member States to provide a justification if
     they decide to grant exports to such
     destinations;

Or. en

Amendment 243
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point a
Motion for a resolution

a) to systematically discuss individual licence requests within COARM, where the receiving destination or recipient has been identified as a risk by one or several Member States or the EEAS; calls on Member States to provide a justification if they decide to grant exports to such destinations;

Amendment

a) to utilize COARM in a manner based upon the successes of the NATO Industrial Advisory Group and be complementary to the existing commitments of Member States who are part of the Transatlantic Defence Technological and Industrial Cooperation (TADIC);

Or. en

Amendment 244
Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point a

Motion for a resolution

a) to systematically discuss individual licence requests within COARM, where the receiving destination or recipient has been identified as a risk by one or several Member States or the EEAS; calls on Member States to provide a justification if they decide to grant exports to such destinations;

Amendment

a) to systematically discuss individual licence requests within COARM, where the receiving destination or recipient has been identified as a risk by one or several Member States or the EEAS; calls on Member States to provide a realistic justification if they decide to grant exports to such destinations;

Or. en

Amendment 245
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point b

Motion for a resolution

b) to follow the example of the UK in this regard by publishing risk assessments in the annual reports;

Amendment

deleted
Amendment 246
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point b

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

b) to follow the example of the UK in this regard by publishing risk assessments in the annual reports;

deleted

Amendment 247
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point b

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

b) to follow the example of the UK in this regard by publishing risk assessments in the annual reports;

deleted

Amendment 248
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point b

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

b) to follow the example of the UK in this regard by publishing risk assessments

b) to follow the example of the UK in this regard by publishing risk assessments in the annual reports; and to publish all
in the annual reports; final arms export licences on the Internet; the validity of export licences should be limited to two years in order to be able to react to changing political situations;

Or. en

Amendment 249
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point c

Motion for a resolution Amendment

c) to undertake a joint assessment of country situations or potential export recipients in the light of the principles and criteria of the Common Position within the framework of the CFSP and in consultation with external stakeholders, including Parliament;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 250
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point c

Motion for a resolution Amendment

c) to undertake a joint assessment of country situations or potential export recipients in the light of the principles and criteria of the Common Position within the framework of the CFSP and in consultation with external stakeholders, including Parliament;

deleted

Or. en
Amendment 251
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point c

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

c) to undertake a joint assessment of
country situations or potential export
recipients in the light of the principles and
criteria of the Common Position within
the framework of the CFSP and in
consultation with external stakeholders,
including Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 252
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point c

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

c) to undertake a joint assessment of
country situations or potential export
recipients in the light of the principles and
criteria of the Common Position within
the framework of the CFSP and in consultation
with external stakeholders, including Parliament;
c) to undertake a joint assessment of
country situations or potential export
recipients in light of the principles and
criteria of the Common Position within
the framework of the CFSP and in consultation
with external stakeholders, including Parliament within the limits of commercial
sensitivity, national security and with
every effort taken to avoid inadvertently
aiding industrial espionage;

Or. en

Amendment 253
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas
Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel,
Raphaël Glucksmann, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi
López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides
c) to undertake a joint assessment of country situations or potential export recipients in the light of the principles and criteria of the Common Position within the framework of the CFSP and in consultation with external stakeholders, including Parliament; to regularly update list of third countries complying with the criteria as led down in the Common Position;

Amendment 254
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point c

c) to undertake a joint assessment of country situations or potential export recipients in the light of the principles and criteria of the Common Position within the framework of the CFSP and in consultation with external stakeholders, including Parliament;

c) to share assessments of country situations or potential export recipients in the light of the principles and criteria of the Common Position within the framework of the CFSP and in consultation with external stakeholders, including Parliament;

Amendment 255
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point c

c) to undertake a joint assessment of country situations or potential export recipients in the light of the principles and criteria of the Common Position within the framework of the CFSP and in consultation with external stakeholders, including Parliament;

c) to share assessments of country situations or potential export recipients in the light of the principles and criteria of the Common Position within the framework of the CFSP and in consultation with external stakeholders, including Parliament;
country situations or potential export recipients in the light of the principles and criteria of the Common Position within the framework of the CFSP and in consultation with external stakeholders, including Parliament; situations or potential export recipients in the light of the principles and criteria of the Common Position within the framework of the CFSP and in consultation with external stakeholders, including Parliament;

Amendment 256
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 – point c a (new)

Amendment
ca) to hold biannual joint meetings between COARM and COHOM to discuss implementation of the human rights criteria of the Common Position;

Amendment 257
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Amendment
21a. Calls for an assessment of Member States ‘compliance with the eight criteria of the Common Position by an independent group of experts from member states/ Council, Commission and civil society on a parity basis; calls for the publication in the COARM report, by name and on a case-by-case basis, of the States which, according to the group of experts, have violated the Common Position; calls for the introduction of sanctions against those States which,
according to the group of experts, have violated the Common Position;

Amendment 258
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

22. Believes that the EU institutions should organise peer reviews with a view to encouraging authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways to improve harmonisation;

Amendment 259
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

22. Believes that the EU institutions should organise peer reviews with a view to encouraging authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways to improve harmonisation;

Or. fr

22. Believes that the EU institutions should continue to share experiences and best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria;

Or. fr
Amendment 260
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Believes that the EU institutions should organise peer reviews with a view to encouraging authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways to improve harmonisation;

Amendment

22. Believes that the EU institutions should organise peer reviews with a view to encouraging authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways to improve harmonisation and consistency;

Or. en

Amendment 261
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Nathalie Loiseau, Bart Groothuis, Petras Auštreivicius, Christophe Grudler, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Believes that the EU institutions should organise peer reviews with a view to encouraging authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways to improve harmonisation;

Amendment

22. Believes that EU institutions should encourage authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying national peculiarities as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways and means to improve harmonisation and convergence among Member States;

Or. en
Amendment 262
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

22. Believes that the EU institutions should organise peer reviews with a view to encouraging authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways to improve harmonisation;

22. Believes that the EU institutions should organise peer reviews with a view to encouraging national authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways to improve harmonisation;

Or. en

Amendment 263
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

22. Believes that the EU institutions should organise peer reviews with a view to encouraging authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways to improve harmonisation;

22. Believes that the EU institutions should propose peer reviews with a view to encouraging authorities to share best practices on the collection and processing of data, fostering a better understanding of different national approaches, identifying differences as regards the interpretation of the eight criteria, and discussing ways to improve harmonisation;

Or. en

Amendment 264
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

23. **Strongly believes that a much higher level of convergence as regards the strict application of the criteria will strengthen human rights, international law and the CFSP, and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy;**

Amendment

23. **Strongly believes that arms exports remain the core responsibility of Member States, notes that convergence can benefit multinational development and procurement initiatives that would ensure a qualitative edge in military hardware throughout Europe which will strengthen human rights, international law and the CFSP, and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy;**

Amendment 266
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Čič, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides
23. Strongly believes that a much higher level of convergence as regards the strict application of the criteria will strengthen human rights, international law and the CFSP, and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy;

Amendment
23. Strongly believes that a more consistent implementation of the EU Common Position is essential for the EU credibility as a value-based global actor and that much higher level of convergence as regards the strict application of the criteria will strengthen human rights, international law and the CFSP, and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 267
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Bart Groothuis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

23. **Strongly** believes that a much higher level of convergence as regards the **strict** application of the criteria will **strengthen** human rights, international law **and the CFSP**, and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy;

Amendment
23. Believes that a much higher level of convergence as regards the **full** application of the criteria will **more effectively ensure the respect for** human rights and international law **by all parties involved in the arms trade** and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy, **in accordance with the goals and ambitions of the CFSP**;

Or. en

Amendment 268
Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

23. **Strongly** believes that a much
higher level of convergence as regards the strict application of the criteria will strengthen human rights, international law and the CFSP, and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy;

higher level of convergence as regards the strict application of the criteria will strengthen human rights, international law and the CFSP, **will contribute to the promotion of peace and stability** and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy;

Amendment 269
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

23. Strongly believes that a **much higher level of convergence as regards the strict application** of the criteria will strengthen human rights, international law and the CFSP, and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy;

23. Strongly believes that a higher level of convergence as regards **assessment** of the criteria will strengthen human rights, international law and the CFSP, and bolster the EU’s strategic security interests and strategic autonomy;

Amendment 270
Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 a (new)

23a. **Notes with great concern that some Member States continues to export military equipment to Turkey despite the illegal actions of the latter; Recalls Turkeys violations of Greek airspace and territorial waters, the casus belli threat declared by the Grand National Assembly of Turkey in 1995 against Greece which has not yet been withdrawn and it is**
repeated occasionally by Turkish Officials, and its illegal drilling actions inside the Exclusive Economic Zone/continental self of the Republic of Cyprus which constitute clear violations of criteria 4 and 5 of the Common Position; Calls on all Member States to halt all transfers and sales of military technology and equipment, weapons, surveillance and intelligence equipment and material to Turkey until it complies with the International law and the criteria set out in the Common Position;

Or. en

Amendment 271
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

24. Stresses that effective end-use controls are crucial for a responsible export policy and for lowering the risk of diversion in particular; calls on the Council, the Member States, the EEAS and the Commission to set up a large-scale training and capacity-building programme for national and EU officials on arms export controls; stresses the need to use EU funding to ensure that sufficient staff resources are available at national and EU levels and at delegations and embassies in importing countries for the purposes of implementing viable end-use controls; calls on the EEAS and COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;

Or. fr
Amendment 272
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Stresses that effective end-use controls are crucial for a responsible export policy and for lowering the risk of diversion in particular; calls on the Council, the Member States, the EEAS and the Commission to set up a large-scale training and capacity-building programme for national and EU officials on arms export controls; stresses the need to use EU funding to ensure that sufficient staff resources are available at national and EU levels and at delegations and embassies in importing countries for the purposes of implementing viable end-use controls; calls on the EEAS and COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;

Amendment

24. Stresses that effective end-use controls are crucial for a responsible export policy and for lowering the risk of diversion in particular; strongly welcomes the EU funded iTrace project in this respect and demands its continuation and broadening; calls on the Council, the Member States, and the EEAS to set up a large-scale training and capacity-building programme for national and EU officials on arms export controls with a strong focus on building mutual understanding of the eight criteria, joint risk assessments, setting up of safeguards, and pre- and post-licensing verification; stresses the need to use EU funding to ensure that sufficient staff resources are available at national and EU levels and at delegations and embassies in importing countries for the purposes of implementing viable end-use controls; calls on the EEAS and COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;

Or. en

Amendment 273
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Stresses that effective end-use controls are crucial for a responsible export policy and for lowering the risk of

Amendment

24. Stresses that effective end-use controls are crucial for a responsible export policy and for lowering the risk of
diversion in particular; calls on the Council, the Member States, the EEAS and the Commission to set up a large-scale training and capacity-building programme for national and EU officials on arms export controls; stresses the need to use EU funding to ensure that sufficient staff resources are available at national and EU levels and at delegations and embassies in importing countries for the purposes of implementing viable end-use controls; calls on the EEAS and COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report; 

Amendment 274
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

24. Stresses that effective end-use controls are crucial for a responsible export policy and for lowering the risk of diversion in particular; calls on the Council, the Member States, the EEAS and the Commission to set up a large-scale training and capacity-building programme for national and EU officials on arms export controls; stresses the need to use EU funding to ensure that sufficient staff resources are available at national and EU levels and at delegations and embassies in importing countries for the purposes of implementing viable end-use controls; calls on the EEAS and COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;
of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;

Amendment 275
Klemen Grošelj, Nathalie Loiseau, Petras Auštreivičius, Christophe Grudler, Nicolae Ștefănuță

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. Stresses that effective end-use controls are crucial for a responsible export policy and for lowering the risk of diversion in particular; calls on the Council, the Member States, the EEAS and the Commission to set up a large-scale training and capacity-building programme for national and EU officials on arms export controls; stresses the need to use EU funding to ensure that sufficient staff resources are available at national and EU levels and at delegations and embassies in importing countries for the purposes of implementing viable end-use controls; calls on the EEAS and COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;

24. Stresses that effective end-use controls could result in a more responsible export policy and could lower the risk of diversion in particular; calls on the Council, the Member States, the EEAS and the Commission to set up a comprehensive training and capacity-building programme for national and EU officials on arms export controls; stresses the need to use an adequate amount of EU funding to ensure that the necessary staff resources are available at national and EU levels and at delegations and embassies in importing countries for the purposes of implementing viable end-use controls of all aspects of the global arms trade; calls on the EEAS and COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;

Amendment 276
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Čiž, Robert Hajšel, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24
24. Stresses that effective end-use controls are crucial for a responsible export policy and for lowering the risk of diversion in particular; calls on the Council, the Member States, the EEAS and the Commission to set up a large-scale training and capacity-building programme for national and EU officials on arms export controls; stresses the need to use EU funding to ensure that sufficient staff resources are available at national and EU levels and at delegations and embassies in importing countries for the purposes of implementing viable end-use controls; calls on the EEAS and COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;
iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report; COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;

Or. en

Amendment 278
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Stresses that effective end-use controls are crucial for a responsible export policy and for lowering the risk of diversion in particular; calls on the Council, the Member States, the EEAS and the Commission to set up a large-scale training and capacity-building programme for national and EU officials on arms export controls; stresses the need to use EU funding to ensure that sufficient staff resources are available at national and EU levels and at delegations and embassies in importing countries for the purposes of implementing viable end-use controls; calls on the EEAS and COARM to report on iTrace any identified diversion of EU-origin goods as part of the annual report;

Or. en

Amendment 279
Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

24a. Insists that the reduction of arms exports must be a pillar of European foreign policy in the interests of
progressing towards a culture of peace and that progress in convergence between Member States must aim at the non-use of arms exports as a foreign policy tool while strengthening the protection of human rights as the defining axis of European external action;

Amendment 280
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

Amendment 281
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

deleted
Amendment 282
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Amendment

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

Motion for a resolution

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria; regrets the lack of provisions on sanctions to be imposed on Member States that fail to check compliance with the eight criteria in advance of granting licences;

Amendment 283
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Amendment

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria; within the limits of commercial sensitivity, national security and with every effort taken to avoid inadvertently aiding industrial espionage;

Motion for a resolution

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;
Amendment 284
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Raphaël Glucksmann, Andrea Cozzolino, Isabel Santos, Juozas Olekas, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

Amendment
25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria; calls on steps to be taken for the setting-up of an EU mechanism of sanctions for Member States infringing the Common Position;

Amendment 285
Traian Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

Amendment
25. Believes that the increasing EU cooperation for arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by promoting the quality of policy monitoring and evaluation, through developing guidelines, investing in capacity building, and ex post review and control mechanisms based on strict compliance
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

Amendment

25. Believes that the definition of a “European capabilities and armaments policy” as foreseen in Article 42.3 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) should be complemented by national oversight mechanisms in line with Article 346.1 b of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) on the production of or trade in arms;

Amendment 287
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

25. Believes that the increasing Europeanisation of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

Amendment

25. Believes that enhanced European cooperation in the field of arms production, together with the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports are conducive to the thorough and coordinated evaluation of arms exports;
Amendment 288
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

25. Believes that the increasing *Europeanisation* of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

Amendment

25. Believes that the increasing *coherence and convergence* of European arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

Or. en

Amendment 289
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Petras Auštreivičius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

25. Believes that the increasing *Europeanisation* of arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on strict compliance with the eight criteria;

Amendment

25. Believes that the increasing *coherence and convergence* of European arms production, the recent Council conclusions on convergence in arms exports and the establishment of the EPF should be complemented by a mechanism for EU-level monitoring and control based on compliance with the eight criteria;

Or. en

Amendment 290
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Andrea Cozzolino, Isabel Santos, Juozas Olekas, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25a. Believes that “pooling and sharing” and more cooperation in arms policy and procurement policy are only possible if strict export controls, mutual information and regular parliamentary scrutiny, as well as strong sanction mechanisms in the event of non-compliance with common rules, are binding. Further demands that the European Parliament - alongside the national parliaments - be able to guarantee parliamentary control over the EU’s common security and defence policy and its budget;

Or. en

Amendment 291
Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

25a. Welcomes the adoption of Council’s Decision to launch Operation EUNAVFOR MED IRINI aiming in the strict implementation of the UN arms embargo through the use of aerial, satellite and maritime assets by carrying out inspections of vessels on the high seas off the coast of Libya suspected to be carrying arms or related material to and from Libya in accordance with United Nations Security Council Resolutions 2292 (2016), 2357(2017), 2420(2018) and 2473 (2019);

Or. en

Amendment 292
Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25a. Believes that it is time to launch a process leading to a mechanism which sanctions those Member States which do not comply with the Common Position; believes the EU final goal should be to go beyond the Common Position and put in place a common and enforceable EU arms export regime that includes a common monitoring system, a strong sanctions mechanism and a supervisory arms control body;

Or. en

Amendment 293

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25a. Promotes the transformation of the eight criteria of the Common Position into Community law;

Or. en

Amendment 294

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25b. Strongly condemns the signature
of the two Memorandums of Understanding between Turkey and Libya on the delimitation of maritime zones and on comprehensive security and military cooperation which are interconnected and in clear violation of International Law and the UN Security Council Resolution imposing the arms embargo in Libya; Calls therefore all Member States to halt all transfers and sales of weapons, military technology and equipment, surveillance and intelligence equipment and material to Turkey due to his active involvement in the Libyan conflict, namely the transfer of arms and fighters to Libya;

Or. en

Amendment 295
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25b. Believes that the increasing control over the trade of dual-use goods by the Commission should be balanced by a greater participation and overview from the European Parliament and the civil society in order to restore accountability; calls again on the EU to establish clear criteria and guidelines for assessing whether to export dual-use goods and encourage information exchange between Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 296
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 b (new)

Amendment

25b. Calls on COARM to examine the issue of transparency in arms exports alongside the issue of transparency in dual-use export licensing and to consider pursuit of common approaches to transparency across both instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 297
Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Lars Patrick Berg, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Amendment

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Or. fr

Amendment 298
Joachim Schuster, Robert Biedroń, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Giuliano Pisapia, Andreas Schieder, Maria Arena, Dietmar Köster, Monika Beňová, Miroslav Číž, Robert Hajšel, Raphaël Glucksmann, Andrea Cozzolino, Demetris Papadakis, Jytte Guteland, Attila Ara-Kovács, Isabel Santos, Sven Mikser, Juozas Olekas, Javi López, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Amendment

26. Considers that regular consultations with the European Parliament, national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on national parliaments to exchange best reporting and oversight practices in order to enhance the scrutinising role of all national parliaments in the decisions on arms control exports; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Or. en

Amendment 299
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Amendment

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are conducive to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to continue dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities;
by financial means;

Amendment 300
Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler, Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Bart Groothuis, Bernard Guetta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Amendment

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to continue its dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities;

Amendment 301
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Bart Groothuis, Petras Auštrevičius, Nicolae Ţefănuţă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil

Amendment

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are needed to enhance meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and industries, as well as consultations with Parliament and arms export control
society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;
control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the exports of defence technology and equipment and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Or. en

Amendment 304
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are one of the ways to reach transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities with advice, and possibly by financial means;

Or. en

Amendment 305
Assita Kanko, Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26
26. Considers that regular consultations with national parliaments, arms export control authorities, industry associations and civil society are central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM to enhance dialogue with civil society and consultations with Parliament and arms export control authorities; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Amendment 306
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

26a. Stresses the need, in the spirit of the 16 September 2019 Council conclusions, for meaningful parliamentary oversight; stresses the need to respond to the annual report of COARM annually by a European Parliament report in order to ensure a minimum of parliamentary scrutiny;

Amendment 307
Özlem Demirel, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Mick Wallace, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)
Amendment 308
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26a (new)

26a. Calls for the establishment of a Subcommittee on Arms Exports and Conversion to monitor compliance with the Common Position and address issues relating to the conversion of defence-related industries to the production of civilian goods;

Or. en

Amendment 309
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26b (new)

26b. Encourages Member States to help third countries in the creation, improvement and application of arms checking systems in compliance with the Common Position;

Or. en

26b. Reiterates the detrimental effect that the uncontrolled export of cyber-surveillance technologies by EU companies can have on the security of the EU's digital infrastructure and on human rights; expresses its concern about the ever-increasing use of certain cyber-surveillance dual-use technologies against
politicians, activists and journalists; strongly condemns the increasing number of human rights defenders facing digital threats, including compromised data through confiscation of equipment, remote surveillance and data leakages; stresses, in this regard, the importance of a rapid, effective and comprehensive update of the EU’s Dual-Use Regulation;

Or. en