



2021/2250(INI)

9.3.2022

AMENDMENTS

1 - 293

Draft report
Nacho Sánchez Amor
(PE703.249v01-00)

Report on the 2021 Commission Report on Turkey
(2021/2250(INI))

Amendment 1
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— **having regard to Regulation (EU) 2021/1529 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 September 2021 establishing the Instrument for Pre-Accession assistance (IPA III);**

Or. en

Amendment 2
Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— having regard to the Negotiating Framework for Turkey of 3 October 2005,

— having regard to the Negotiating Framework for Turkey of 3 October 2005, **and to the fact that, as it is the case for all candidate countries, Turkey's accession to the EU depends on full compliance with the Copenhagen criteria, and to the need to normalize its relations with all EU Member States, including the Republic of Cyprus,**

Or. en

Amendment 3
Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— having regard to the Negotiating

— having regard to the Negotiating Framework for Turkey of 3 October

Framework for Turkey of 3 October 2005,

2005, and to the fact that, as it is the case for all candidate countries, Turkey's accession to the EU depends on full compliance with the Copenhagen criteria, and to the need to normalize its relations with all EU Member States, including the Republic of Cyprus

Or. en

Amendment 4
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Negotiating Framework for Turkey of 3 October 2005,

Amendment

— having regard to the Negotiating Framework for Turkey of 3 October 2005, *and to the fact that, as is the case for all accession countries, Turkey's accession to the EU depends on full compliance with the Copenhagen criteria, and to the need to normalize its relations with all EU Member States, including the Republic of Cyprus;*

Or. en

Amendment 5
Emmanouil Fragkos, Hermann Tertsch, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Negotiating Framework for Turkey of 3 October 2005,

Amendment

— having regard to the Negotiating Framework for Turkey of 3 October 2005 *and to the fact that, as is the case for all candidate countries, Turkey's relations with the EU depend on full compliance with Copenhagen criteria, and to the need to normalize its relations with all EU Member States, including the Republic of*

Cyprus;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Negotiating Framework for Turkey of 3 October 2005,

Amendment

— having regard to the Negotiating Framework for Turkey of 3 October 2005, **and the Copenhagen criteria to which remains a precondition for the successful outcome of any accession process,**

Or. en

Amendment 7
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— **having regard to the declaration issued by the then European Community and its Member States on 21 September 2005, following the declaration made by Turkey upon signature on 29 July 2005 of the Ankara Protocol, including the provision that the recognition of all Member States is a necessary component of the negotiations, and to the need for Turkey to proceed to the normalization of its relations with all Member States and to fully implement the Additional Protocol to the Ankara Agreement towards all Member States, by removing all obstacles to the free movement of goods, including restrictions on means of transport, without prejudice and discrimination,**

Amendment 8

Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the declaration issued by the European Community and its Member States on 21 September 2005, including the provision that the recognition of all Member States is a necessary component of the negotiations, and to the need for Turkey to proceed to the normalization of its relations with all Member States and to fully implement the Additional Protocol to the Ankara Agreement towards all Member States, by removing all obstacles to the free movement of goods, including restrictions on means of transport, without prejudice and discrimination,*

Or. en

Amendment 9

Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the declaration issued by the European Community and its Member States on 21 September 2005, including the provision that recognition of all Member States is a necessary component of the accession process, and to the need for Turkey to fully implement the Additional Protocol to the Association Agreement in relation to all Member States, by removing all obstacles to the*

*free movement of goods, without
restrictions or discrimination,*

Or. en

Amendment 10

Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Council Conclusions of 2018, 2019 and 2021 to the effect that the accession negotiations effectively have come to a standstill and no further chapters can be considered for opening or closing and no further work towards the modernisation of the EU-Turkey Customs Union is foreseen,*

Or. en

Amendment 11

Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— having regard to the Statement of the Members of the European Council of 25 March 2021 on the Eastern Mediterranean, to the European Council's conclusions of 24 June 2021 and 1 October 2020, and to all previous relevant Council and European Council conclusions,

— *having regard to the Council conclusions of 26 June 2018 and 18 June 2019 on enlargement and stabilisation and association process, to the Council conclusions of 15 July and 14 October 2019 on Turkey's illegal drilling activities in the Eastern Mediterranean, to the European Council conclusions of 12 December 2019, 1-2 and 15-16 October 2020, to the Statement of the EU foreign ministers of 15 May 2020 and the main results of their videoconference of 14 August 2020 on the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, to the outcome of*

the informal meeting of EU Foreign Ministers in Gymnich of 27-28 August 2020, to the Statement of the Members of the European Council of 25 March 2021 on the Eastern Mediterranean, to the European Council's conclusions of 24 June 2021 and 1 October 2020, and to all previous relevant Council and European Council conclusions,

Or. en

Amendment 12
Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Statement of the Members of the European Council of 25 March 2021 on the Eastern Mediterranean, to the European Council's conclusions of 24 June 2021 and 1 October 2020, and to all previous relevant Council and European Council conclusions,

Amendment

— having regard *to the Council conclusions of 26 June 2018 and 18 June 2019 on enlargement and stabilisation and association process, to the Council conclusions of 15 July and 14 October 2019 on Turkey's illegal drilling activities in the Eastern Mediterranean to the European Council conclusions of 12 December 2019, 1-2 and 15-16 October 2020, to the Statement of the EU foreign ministers of 15 May 2020 and the main results of their videoconference of 14 August 2020 on the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, to the outcome of the informal meeting of EU Foreign Ministers in Gymnich of 27-28 August 2020* to the Statement of the Members of the European Council of 25 March 2021 on the Eastern Mediterranean, to the European Council's conclusions of 24 June 2021 and 1 October 2020, and to all previous relevant Council and European Council conclusions,

Or. en

Amendment 13

Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Statement of the Members of the European Council of 25 March 2021 on the Eastern Mediterranean, to the European Council's conclusions of 24 June 2021 and 1 October 2020, and to all previous relevant Council and European Council conclusions,

Amendment

— having regard to *the Council's conclusions on Enlargement and Association and Stabilisation Process of 14 December 2021*, the Statement of the Members of the European Council of 25 March 2021 on the Eastern Mediterranean, to the European Council's conclusions of 24 June 2021 and 1 October 2020, and to all previous relevant Council and European Council conclusions,

Or. en

Amendment 14

Loucas Furlas

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Council Conclusions of 2018, 2019 and 2021 to the effect that the accession negotiations effectively have come to a standstill and no further chapters can be considered for opening or closing and no further work towards the modernisation of the EU-Turkey Customs Union is foreseen,*

Or. en

Amendment 15

Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the restrictive measures' framework established by the EU on 11 November 2019, in result of the illegal drilling activities of Turkey in Eastern Mediterranean, which was renewed on 12 November 2020 and 12 November 2021,*

Or. en

Amendment 16

Emmanouil Fragkos, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard the Resolution of 26 November 2020 on escalating tensions in Varosha, following the illegal and appalling actions by Turkey and the urgent need to encounter them effectively;*

Or. en

Amendment 17

Malik Azmani, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Nathalie Loiseau, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries, Dragoş Tudorache

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *– Having Regard to Turkey's membership in the Council of Europe and in NATO;*

Or. en

Amendment 18

Emmanouil Fragkos, Hermann Tertsch, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 15 April 2015 on the centenary of the Armenian genocide^{1a},*

^{1a} *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0094.*

Or. en

Amendment 19

Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the EU-Turkey Readmission Agreement,*

Or. en

Amendment 20

Emmanouil Fragkos, Hermann Tertsch, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the declaration issued by the European Community and its Member States on 21 September 2005, following the declaration made by Turkey upon signature on 29 July 2005 of the Ankara Protocol, including the provision that the recognition of all Member States*

is a necessary component of the negotiations, and to the need for Turkey to proceed to the normalization of its relations with all Member States and to fully implement the Additional Protocol to the Ankara Agreement towards all Member States, by removing all obstacles to the free movement of goods, including restrictions on means of transport, without prejudice and discrimination,

Or. en

Amendment 21
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the fact that respect for the rule of law, democracy, separation of powers, and human rights, including fundamental rights such as freedom of expression and media, freedom of association and peaceful assembly, the rights of minorities including property rights of all non-Muslim religious minorities, religious freedom, the rights of women and children, the fight against racism and discrimination against vulnerable groups such as the Roma, disabled persons, LGBTI is at the core of any attempt to restore our relations,*

Or. en

Amendment 22
Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the restrictive measures framework established by the EU on 11 November 2019, as a response to Turkey’s illegal drilling activities in the Eastern Mediterranean, which was renewed on 12 November 2020 and 12 November 2021,*

Or. en

Amendment 23

Loucas Furlas

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *– having regard to the restrictive measures framework established by the EU on 11 November 2019, as a response to Turkey’s illegal drilling activities in the Eastern Mediterranean, which was renewed on 12 November 2020 and 12 November 2021,*

Or. en

Amendment 24

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the EU- Turkey Readmission Agreement,*

Or. en

Amendment 25

Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution

Citation 7

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the relevant resolutions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, including the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Selahattin Demirtaş v Turkey (No. 2), **and** the interim resolution of 2 February 2022 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey,

Amendment

— having regard to the relevant resolutions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, including the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Selahattin Demirtaş v Turkey (No. 2), the interim resolution of 2 February 2022 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, **and the interim resolution of 16 September 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Cyprus v. Turkey,**

Or. en

Amendment 26

Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution

Citation 7

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the relevant resolutions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, including the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Selahattin Demirtaş v Turkey (No. 2), **and** the interim resolution of 2 February 2022 on the

Amendment

— having regard to the relevant resolutions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, including the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Selahattin Demirtaş v Turkey (No. 2), the interim resolution of 2 February 2022 on the

execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey,

execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, *and the interim resolution of 16 September 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Cyprus v. Turkey*

Or. en

Amendment 27
Dietmar Köster

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the relevant resolutions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, including the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Selahattin Demirtaş v Turkey (No. 2), and the interim resolution of 2 February 2022 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey,

Amendment

— having regard to the relevant resolutions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, including the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Selahattin Demirtaş v Turkey (No. 2), and the interim resolution of 2 February 2022 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, *and the resolution of 17 October 2007 and the interim resolution of 9 March 2009 and the following 9 decisions on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Ülke v. Turkey;*

Or. en

Amendment 28
Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the relevant resolutions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, including the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Selahattin Demirtaş v Turkey (No. 2), **and** the interim resolution of 2 February 2022 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey,

Amendment

— having regard to the relevant resolutions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, including the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey, the interim resolution of 2 December 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Selahattin Demirtaş v Turkey (No. 2), the interim resolution of 2 February 2022 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Kavala against Turkey **and the interim resolution of 16th September 2021 on the execution of the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in Cyprus v. Turkey,**

Or. en

Amendment 29
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment 30
Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtzos,

Amendment

— **having regard to Article 46 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), which states that the contracting parties undertake to abide by the final judgments of the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) in any case to which they are parties, and, therefore, to the obligation of Turkey to implement all judgements of the ECtHR,**

Or. en

Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the UNESCO statement of 10 July 2020 on Hagia Sophia, Istanbul*

Or. en

Amendment 31
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Citation 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— having regard to the relevant resolutions of the UN Security Council on Cyprus, including resolution 550(1984) of 11 May 1984 on secessionist actions in Cyprus and resolution 789(1992) of 25 November 1992 urging all concerned in the Cyprus question to commit themselves to the confidence-building measures set out in the resolution,

— having regard to the relevant resolutions of the UN Security Council on Cyprus, including resolution **186(1964) which reaffirms the sovereignty of the Republic of Cyprus**, 550(1984) of 11 May 1984 on secessionist actions in Cyprus and resolution 789(1992) of 25 November 1992 urging all concerned in the Cyprus question to commit themselves to the confidence-building measures set out in the resolution, **whilst reaffirming the consideration of resolution 550 (1984) that any attempts to settle any part of Varosha by people other than its inhabitants are inadmissible and calls for the transfer of that area to the administration of the United Nations;**

Or. en

Amendment 32
Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the relevant resolutions of the UN Security Council on Cyprus, including resolution 550(1984) of 11 May 1984 on secessionist actions in Cyprus and resolution 789(1992) of 25 November 1992 urging all concerned in the Cyprus question to commit themselves to the confidence-building measures set out in the resolution,

Amendment

— having regard to the relevant resolutions of the UN Security Council on Cyprus, including resolution 550 (1984) of 11 May 1984 on secessionist actions in Cyprus and resolution 789 (1992) of 25 November 1992 ***which consider attempts to settle any part of Varosha by people other than its inhabitants as inadmissible, and call for the transfer of that area to the administration of the United Nations***, urging all concerned in the Cyprus question to commit themselves to the confidence-building measures set out in the resolution,

Or. en

Amendment 33

Loucas Fourlas, Georgios Kyrtzos, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Maria Spyraiki, Lefteris Christoforou, Theodoros Zagorakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Vangelis Meimarakis, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the relevant resolutions of the UN Security Council on Cyprus, including resolution 550(1984) of 11 May 1984 on secessionist actions in Cyprus and resolution 789(1992) of 25 November 1992 urging all concerned in the Cyprus question to commit themselves to the confidence-building measures set out in the resolution,

Amendment

— having regard to the relevant resolutions of the UN Security Council on Cyprus, including resolution 550(1984) of 11 May 1984 on secessionist actions in Cyprus and resolution 789(1992) of 25 November 1992 ***which consider attempts to settle any part of Varosha by people other than its inhabitants as inadmissible, and call for the transfer of that area to the administration of the United Nations***, urging all concerned in the Cyprus question to commit themselves to the confidence-building measures set out in the resolution,

Or. en

Amendment 34

Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 26 November 2020 on escalating tensions in Varosha following the illegal actions by Turkey and the urgent need for the resumption of talks,^{1a}*

^{1a} *Texts adopted, P9_TA(2020)0332.*

Or. en

Amendment 35

Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution

Citation 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the report of the UN Commission of Inquiry on Syria of 15 September 2020,*

Or. en

Amendment 36

Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 15 April 2015 on the centenary of the Armenian Genocide,^{1a}*

Or. en

Amendment 37

Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution

Citation 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to Article 46 of the European Convention on Human Rights, which states that the contracting parties undertake to abide by the final judgments of the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) in any case to which they are parties, and therefore to the obligation of Turkey to implement all judgments of the European courts, including the ECtHR,*

Or. en

Amendment 38

Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution

Citation 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 11 March 2021 on the Syrian conflict - 10 years after the uprising,*

Or. en

Amendment 39

Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Citation 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 15 April 2015 on the centenary of the Armenian Genocide,*

Or. en

Amendment 40
Sergey Lagodinsky
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to law No. 7262 on the Prevention of the Financing of the Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction, weaponizing counter-terrorism measures to target civil society,*

Or. en

Amendment 41
Marc Angel, Malin Björk, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Terry Reintke, Pierre Karleskind, Maria Walsh, Frédérique Ries, Andreas Schieder, Dietmar Köster, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the report of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe on 'Restrictions on NGO activities in Council of Europe Member States';^{1a}*

^{1a} *PACE report on Restrictions on NGO activities in Council of Europe Member States, accessible at <https://assembly.coe.int/nw/xml/XRef/Xref-XML2HTML-en.asp?fileid=28906> (=en*

Amendment 42
Peter van Dalen

Motion for a resolution
Citation 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the opinion of the Turkey Tribunal, issued on 24 September 2021, stating that acts of torture and forced disappearances conducted in Turkey could, if brought before an appropriate body and subject to the proof of the specific knowledge and intent of the accused, amount to crimes against humanity; having regard to the case against Turkey that former Belgian minister and professor of Law Johan Vande Lanotte on behalf of the Belgian law firm Van Steenbrugge Advocaten has brought to the International Criminal Court following the findings of the Turkey Tribunal;*

Or. en

Amendment 43
Sergey Lagodinsky
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the report of the Committee on Legal Affairs and Human Rights of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe on ‘Restrictions on NGO activities in Council of Europe Member States,*

Or. en

Amendment 44

Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtzos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Fourlas, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Recital -A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-A. whereas Turkey has been linked to the EU by an association agreement since 1964 and whereas a customs union was established in 1995; whereas the European Council granted the status of candidate country to Turkey in December 1999 and whereas accession negotiations were opened in 2005; whereas as a candidate country and as an important partner of the EU, Turkey is expected to respect and uphold the Copenhagen criteria and to uphold the highest standards of democracy, respect of human rights and the rule of law, including compliance with the international conventions acceded to by the EU; whereas being a candidate country entails the need to pursue and maintain good neighbourly relations with the EU and its Member States indiscriminately;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner *in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic*

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner, *whereas economic integration with the EU remained high and Turkey*

partner;

positioned as the EU's sixth largest trading partner in 2020, while the EU remains by far Turkey's largest trading partner and its largest source of foreign direct investment (FDI); whereas Turkey is currently undergoing an economic and financial crisis which exacerbates the economic impact of the pandemic; whereas Turkey hosts the largest refugee population in the world, with almost 4 million registered refugees from Syria, Iraq and Afghanistan, and whereas the EU-funding to these communities has proven its value for assisting Turkey in swiftly responding to the humanitarian and development needs of refugees and their host communities;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Jaak Madison, Harald Vilimsky, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, *besides being* a candidate for EU accession, *is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;*

Amendment

A. whereas *the repeated and unacceptable provocations by the Erdogan regime should not be rewarded by continuing endless and pointless accession negotiations; whereas, therefore, Turkey should no longer be considered* a candidate for EU accession, *since it is not a European country, does not share our values, and uses mass migration as a weapon of hybrid warfare against Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 47

Malik Azmani, Urmas Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate **for** EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate **to** EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade, **security and counter-terrorism, green transition** and migration, as well as a strategic partner; ***Whereas Turkey is the EU's sixth trading partner while the EU is Turkey's largest trading partner; Whereas Turkey is a key member in NATO and plays an instrumental geostrategic role in the security architecture of the Black Sea and, in particular, in the security of Ukraine, which is facing Russian aggression;***

Or. en

Amendment 48

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, ***besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;***

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey, ***as candidate for EU accession is expected to respect and uphold the Copenhagen criteria, to uphold the highest standards of democracy, respect of human rights and the rule of law, to comply with the international law and international conventions acceded to by the EU, to pursue and maintain good neighbourly relations with the EU and all its Member States indiscriminately and to peacefully settle all disputes having resource, if necessary, to the International Court of Justice;***

Or. en

Amendment 49
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner ***and as such, Turkey is expected to respect democratic values, rule of law and human rights; whereas recently, work on the security dimension of the EU is progressing, receiving structure and substance, Turkey would represent an extremely valuable partner as a NATO member and, in this aspect, a military ally to most EU states;***

Or. en

Amendment 50
Francisco José Millán Mon

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner ***and a NATO ally that also occupies a key location for Europe;***

Or. es

Amendment 51
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a *NATO ally and a* strategic partner;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtzos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in *relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner*;

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in *essential areas of joint interest, such as migration, public health, climate, counter – terrorism and regional issues*;

Or. en

Amendment 53

Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, *is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner*;

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey, besides being a candidate for EU accession, *continues to posture itself aggressively against the EU and Member States, including by instrumentalising migration to blackmail the EU*;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, Klemen Grošelj, Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, ***besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;***

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey ***is a major neighbour and partner of the EU and is candidate to accession ;***

Or. en

Amendment 55

Milan Uhrík

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, ***besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;***

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey ***does not fulfil the conditions for remaining a candidate for EU accession, but remains a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;***

Or. sk

Amendment 56

Peter van Dalen

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Turkey, ***besides being a candidate for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic***

Amendment

A. whereas Turkey is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;

partner;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Emmanouil Fragkos, Hermann Tertsch, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas *Turkey, besides* being a candidate *for EU accession, is a key partner in relation to the economy, trade and migration, as well as a strategic partner;*

Amendment

A. whereas being a candidate *country presumes the commitment to progressively align in all aspects with the values, interests, standards policies and the EU acquis;*

Or. en

Amendment 58

Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

A a. whereas economic integration with the EU remained high and Turkey positioned as the EU's sixth largest trading partner in 2020, while the EU remains by far Turkey's largest trading partner and its largest source of foreign direct investment(FDI); whereas Turkey is currently undergoing economic and financial difficulties which exacerbates the economic impact of the pandemic;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 59

Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A b. whereas Turkey hosts the largest refugee population in the world, with almost 4 million registered refugees from Syria, Iraq and Afghanistan, and whereas the EU-funding to these communities has proven its value for assisting Turkey in swiftly responding to the humanitarian and development needs of refugees and their host communities;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest, ***subject to the established conditionalities set out in previous European Council conclusions, notably good neighbourly relations and respect for human rights and international law;***

Or. en

Amendment 61
Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtzos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz

White

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, ***in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean***, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Amendment

B. whereas, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest, ***provided that the de-escalation of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, is sustained and that Turkey engages constructively and subject to the established conditionalities set out in previous European Council conclusions***;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Malik Azmani, Urmas Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Nathalie Loiseau, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries, Dragoș Tudorache

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Amendment

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest ***on the condition of improvements in EU-Turkey relations and the respect for democratic values and the rule of law by the Turkish government***;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly *in relation to the situation* in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council *offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing* readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Amendment

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly *following its provocative actions* in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council *expressed* readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest, *provided a sustainable de-escalation, a constructive engagement in a dialogue based on international law and other conditionalities established in previous European Council conclusions*;

Or. en

Amendment 64

Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council *offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing* readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Amendment

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council *expressed* readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest; *provided that the de-escalation is sustained and that Turkey engages constructively, and subject to the established conditionalities set out in previous European Council conclusions*;

Or. en

Amendment 65

Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Amendment

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest, ***notwithstanding the insufficient engagement of Turkey with this positive agenda;***

Or. en

Amendment 66

Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Amendment

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations, ***provided that this continued to be met with constructive efforts,*** by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Or. es

Amendment 67
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Amendment

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions between the EU and Turkey, **as well as between Turkey and some EU Member States**, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Or. en

Amendment 68
Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions **between the EU and** Turkey, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Amendment

B. whereas, in the aftermath of recent tensions **arising from the growing aggressiveness of Turkey towards the EU**, particularly in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Or. it

Amendment 69
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, ***in the aftermath of recent*** tensions between the EU and Turkey, ***particularly*** in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Amendment

B. whereas, ***the*** tensions between the EU and Turkey, in relation to the situation in the Eastern Mediterranean ***have de-escalated but not ceased***, the European Council offered to nurture a more positive dynamic in EU-Turkey relations by expressing readiness to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner in a number of areas of common interest;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

B a. whereas Turkey has been repeatedly asked to refrain from all actions which violate the sovereignty and sovereign rights of all EU Member States, as well as from provocations which impair the prospects for a constructive dialogue and pose additional obstacles in its relations with the EU;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 71
Malik Azmani, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Nathalie Loiseau, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries, Dragoș Tudorache

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still **considerable** and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU **and to respect and uphold the Copenhagen criteria and to align itself with EU policies and objectives**; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still **vast** and is even growing in fundamental areas such as **respect for international law**, the rule of law and human rights; **Whereas the links between Turkish civil society and pro-democratic forces and the EU remain strong, as the EU is deeply committed to provide support to Turkish citizens and organisations that promote European norms and values**;

Or. en

Amendment 72

Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law **and human rights**;

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a **bounden** willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law, **human rights, and secularism of the state, while without any prospect of accession, the application letter submitted by Turkey in 1997 should be declared null and void; whereas there is therefore no sense in continuing the**

accession negotiations with Turkey, which should instead be terminated immediately;

Or. it

Amendment 73
Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to **progressively** approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still **considerable** and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to **adopt a progressive approach and a firm commitment to meeting all obligations while gradually adopting** in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still **practically insuperable** and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights, **driving a major wedge between the two sides and thus hampering constructive parliamentary dialogue.**

Or. es

Amendment 74
Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtsois, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas

an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights *as well as good neighbourly relations and regional cooperation*;

Or. en

Amendment 75

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law *and* human rights;

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law, human rights, *individual liberties, civil rights and freedom of expression*;

Or. en

Amendment 76

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively *approach* in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU;

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively *align* in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas

whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law **and** human rights;

an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law, **the respect of** human rights **and good neighbourly relations**;

Or. en

Amendment 77

Charlie Weimers, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes **being a European country with** a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively **approach** in all aspects **the** values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively **align with the EU acquis** in all aspects - values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's

from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Bert-Jan Ruissen, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is **still considerable** and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively approach in all aspects the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is **almost unbridgeable** and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively **approach in all aspects** the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment

C. whereas being a candidate country presumes a willingness to progressively **adopt** the values, interests, standards and policies of the EU; whereas an analysis of the EU's reports in recent years reveal that Turkey's distance from the EU's values and its normative framework is still considerable and is even growing in fundamental areas such as the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment 81

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C a. Whereas Turkey does not intend to follow the EU sanctions imposed to Russia following its illegal invasion to Ukraine;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the ***ongoing provocations against the Republic of Cyprus and the rule of law and fundamental rights; whereas if this report is to assess Turkey's progress or lack of progress in terms of human rights and rule of law, as the core of the accession process, it is important to describe the concrete mechanisms of erosion of freedoms that, taken together, lead to this general backsliding with regard to European standards; whereas***

this approach means going beyond a long list of citizens and groups who are suffering as a result of these decisions to identifying the operators and bodies of the public authorities responsible of this worrying situation in their particular area of action; whereas generic criticism must be replaced by targeted criticism;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights; *whereas if this report is to assess Turkey's progress or lack of progress in terms of human rights and rule of law, as the core of the accession process, it is important to describe the concrete mechanisms of erosion of freedoms that, taken together, lead to this general backsliding with regard to European standards; whereas this approach means going beyond a long list of citizens and groups who are suffering as a result of these decisions to identifying the operators and bodies of the public authorities responsible of this worrying situation in their particular area of action; whereas generic criticism must be replaced by targeted criticism;*

Or. en

Amendment 84
Loucas Furlas

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights; ***whereas if this report is to assess Turkey's progress or lack of progress in terms of human rights and rule of law, as the core of the accession process, it is important to describe the concrete mechanisms of erosion of freedoms that, taken together, lead to this general backsliding with regard to European standards; whereas this approach means going beyond a long list of citizens and groups who are suffering as a result of these decisions to identifying the operators and bodies of the public authorities responsible of this worrying situation in their particular area of action; whereas generic criticism must be replaced by targeted criticism;***

Or. en

Amendment 85
Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, ***EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts***; whereas this report reflects this situation by ***welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights***;

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, ***the Council reiterated that Turkey continues to move further away from the European Union, and that Turkey's accession negotiations have effectively come to a standstill and no further chapters can be considered for opening or closing, while according to the Commission, the underlying facts leading to this assessment still hold; nonetheless, dialogue and cooperation with Turkey increased in 2021***; whereas this report reflects this situation by pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights ***and good neighbourly relations and regional cooperation***;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental ***rights***;

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards ***respect for democratic rights, the rule of law and fundamental freedoms, together with the continued centralisation of powers in the hands of the Presidency without any solid and effective separation of powers between the executive, legislature and***

Amendment 87
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts, ***such as the threat to expel Western ambassadors over their calls in relation to extremely serious matters concerning violations of human rights***; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment 88
Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have ***on average remained stable***, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have ***been strongly coloured by the often provocative conduct of Turkey***, and enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and

relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Or. it

Amendment 89
Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights, ***that violate the Copenhagen criteria***;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Emmanouil Fragkos, Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past ***year***, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, ***as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts***; whereas this report ***reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential*** in the

Amendment

D. whereas over the past ***years***, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, ***due to the fact that EU disregards the aggression against Greece and Cyprus***; whereas this report ***is critical towards the lack of EU initiative for legitimacy*** in the ***eastern Mediterranean***, while signalling ***major internal*** problems,

relationship, while signalling *remaining* problems, *in particular* as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 91

Milan Uhrík

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the *issue of migration, the* rule of law and fundamental rights;

Or. sk

Amendment 92

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani, Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, *EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as* enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues *have coexisted with* regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, *despite* enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues, *EU-Turkey relations have suffered* regular conflicts; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the

pointing to further potential in the relationship, while **signalling** remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

relationship, while **signaling** remaining **significant** problems, in particular as regards **violations of international law**, the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 93
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular **conflicts**; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment

D. whereas over the past year, EU-Turkey relations have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular **tensions**; whereas this report reflects this situation by welcoming positive developments and pointing to further potential in the relationship, while signalling remaining problems, in particular as regards the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Marc Angel, Malin Björk, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Terry Reintke, Pierre Karleskind, Maria Walsh, Frédérique Ries, Andreas Schieder, Dietmar Köster, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

D a. whereas bans on Pride events have constituted significant curtailment to the freedom of association and expression for LGBTI persons, justified by the ‘need to protect participants against terror threats’, ‘protection of public morals’, ‘social sensitivities’, the risk of these events ‘inciting hatred and enmity’ or to

Amendment

*'prevent crimes being committed';
whereas these reasons constitute
deliberate attempts at undermining
international human rights obligations
and reflect the need for progress on
protection of LGBTI people, namely in
the fields of freedom of peaceful
assembly, association and expression;*

Or. en

Amendment 95
Peter van Dalen

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas the freedom of religion or belief is deteriorating in Turkey, as the current regime is replacing laicism with the desire to establish Turkey as a Sunni Islamic power; whereas the government is implementing a ban on foreign religious workers and failing to protect the sites of religious minorities; whereas such and other acts by the government are contributing to a climate of social hostilities towards religious minorities, especially Christians and Jews;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Emmanouil Fragkos, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas, the European Council, has highlighted that, in case of renewed unilateral actions or provocations in breach of international law by Turkey, the

EU will use all the instruments and the options at its disposal, including in accordance with Article 29 TEU and Article 215 TFEU, in order to defend its interests and those of its Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 97

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas Turkey's interference in European democracies through efforts towards the Turkish diaspora is clearly documented, in particular via the Presidency for Turks Abroad and Related Communities (YTB); whereas the Turkish Authorities actively called the Turkish diaspora to support "political parties that were not enemy of Turkey".

Or. en

Amendment 98

Charlie Weimers, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas Turkey, along with Qatar, remain the main supporters, including financial, of the Muslim Brotherhood; whereas the Erdogan's Justice and Development Party (AKP) has allowed numerous Islamist organisations to operate freely in the country, specifically in the areas of education, social and economic aid;

Amendment 99

Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. Whereas Sedef Kabas, a Turkish journalist jailed for reciting a proverb, is accused of targeting President Erdogan with a proverb that she quoted on live television on an opposition-linked TV channel; whereas she denies the charge and, if convicted, this charge carries a prison sentence of between one and four years;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Sergey Lagodinsky

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas, despite the adoption on 2 March 2021 of an Action Plan on Human Rights by Turkey, there is significant room for improvement concerning the situation of fundamental rights; whereas there is dire need for progress on protection of LGBTI people;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas Turkey's withdrawal from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention) is undermining progress made on women's protection against violence;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas the Constitutional Court has in the past banned six pro-Kurdish political parties;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution
Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D b. whereas the ECtHR has repeatedly found that closing down political parties violates the right to association under Article 11 of the European Convention on Human Rights; whereas in its conclusions of 24 June 2021 the European Council states that the targeting of political parties represents a major setback for human rights and runs counter to Turkey's

obligations to respect democracy and the rule of law and that dialogue on this issue remains an integral part of EU-Turkey relations;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D b. Whereas an arrest warrant was issued by a Turkish court for Olympic swimmer Derya Büyükuncu and seven others for a comment he made to an Erdogan's tweet. Whereas according to various Turkish media, Büyükuncu is accused of having "insulted Erdogan": insulting the president in Turkey is subject to criminal charges under the controversial Article 299 of the Turkish Penal Code (TCK), a crime for which one risks up to 4 years of imprisonment;

Or. en

Amendment 105

Charlie Weimers, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution

Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D b. whereas Turkey has allowed the EU-listed terror organisation Hamas to operate in the country for many years and Hamas operatives have received red carpet treatment from Ankara; whereas reportedly in recent months, Turkey has stated that it may reduce the Hamas presence in the country, however, no

evidence to such effect have been noted;

Or. en

Amendment 106
Charlie Weimers, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Recital D c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D c. whereas religious freedom conditions remain worrisome in Turkey as the Turkish authorities continue to implement restrictive and intrusive policies on minority religious practice; whereas 2021 marked an increase in incidents of vandalism and societal violence against religious minorities; whereas religious minorities in Turkey have expressed concerns that governmental rhetoric and policies contribute to an increasingly hostile environment and implicitly encourages acts of societal aggression and violence;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession

process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

process; ***notes that in spite of Turkey's repeated declarations on the objective of EU accession, the country lacks credible commitment in carrying out the necessary reforms; stresses that, over the past two years, we have witnessed a constant backsliding in regard to Turkey's commitments in relation to the accession process;*** considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018; ***notes that, in recent months, some positive developments have appeared and the government of Turkey adopted a more cooperative attitude, but much more still needs to be done and in a more convincing manner; encourages both sides to review their current relationship throughout a comprehensive high-level dialogue and to explore a new special partnership for the future;***

Or. en

Amendment 108

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law ***and*** fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to ***have good neighbourly relations with the EU Member States and to*** carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law, fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with

effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018; ***recalls that the accession process is and will remain a merit-based process fully dependent on the objective progress achieved by each country;***

Or. en

Amendment 109

Sergey Lagodinsky

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage ***any*** resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage ***the*** resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018; ***firmly insists, therefore, that a structured and comprehensive high-level dialogue aimed at discussing and re-framing the current state of EU-Turkey relations urgently take place, while maintaining the formal freezing of the accession negotiations with Turkey;***

Or. en

Amendment 110

Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtzos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz

White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in **this field**, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; **notes that, in addition to this, unilateral actions in breach of international law in the Eastern Mediterranean and the Aegean Sea as well as strong and provocative statements against the EU and its Member States have deteriorated EU-Turkey relations;** considers that without clear progress in **these fields**, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Or. en

Amendment 111

Jaak Madison, Harald Vilimsky, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, **despite it being a candidate country**, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights **that continue to negatively affect the accession**

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights, **including freedom of expression and freedom of religion;**

process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that *inter alia* without clear progress *also* in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018; *notes that it does not find arguments at this stage to modify its conditional position concerning the formal suspension of the accession negotiations with Turkey;*

Or. en

Amendment 113

Malik Azmani, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between **the EU and Turkey**, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament **cannot envisage any resumption** of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent **and growing** distance between **Turkey's government and EU values and standards**, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear **and significant** progress in this field, Parliament **firmly insists therefore that, in line with the Negotiating Framework from October 2005, the Commission should recommend formal suspension** of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018; **notes that it does not find arguments at this stage to modify its conditional**

*position concerning the formal
suspension of the accession negotiations
with Turkey;*

Or. en

Amendment 115

Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the ***persistent*** distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights ***that continue to negatively affect the accession process***; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament ***cannot*** envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the ***growing*** distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights; considers that, without ***immediate***, clear ***and visible*** progress in this field, Parliament ***must not*** envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018; ***urges the Council and the Commission to end irrevocably all negotiations on Turkey's accession to the EU;***

Or. it

Amendment 116

Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its ***concern*** about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards,

Amendment

1. Reiterates its ***condemnation*** about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards,

and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights *that continue to negatively affect the accession process*; considers that *without clear progress in this field*, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights; considers that Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of *European* values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Or. es

Amendment 118
Milan Uhrík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. **Reiterates its concern about the *persistent*** distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that ***continue to negatively affect*** the accession process; considers that ***without clear progress*** in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Amendment

1. **Acknowledges the natural cultural and political** distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that ***make*** the accession process ***impossible***; considers that, in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;

Or. sk

Amendment 119

Arba Kokalari, Tomas Tobé, Jessica Polfjärd, Jörgen Warborn

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that ***without clear progress in this field***, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, ***which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018***;

Amendment

1. Reiterates its concern about the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations ***and insists on the termination of accession negotiations*** with Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 120

Peter van Dalen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Reiterates its concern about ***the persistent distance between the EU and Turkey, despite it being a candidate country, in terms of values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; considers that without clear progress in this field, Parliament cannot envisage any resumption of accession negotiations with Turkey, which have effectively been at a standstill since 2018;***

Amendment

1. Reiterates its ***utmost*** concern about ***president Erdogan's continuous efforts to distance Turkey from the EU, his aversion of contemporary values and standards, and the continuing lack of political will to carry out the necessary reforms to address, in particular, the serious concerns about the rule of law and fundamental rights that continue to negatively affect the accession process; is therefore of the opinion that the road towards accession of Turkey to the EU is a dead end street and any efforts in that regard should be abandoned;***

Or. en

Amendment 121

Jaak Madison, Harald Vilimsky, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Insists that all accession negotiations with Turkey are immediately and irrevocably terminated, considering that for geographic, cultural and historical reasons Turkey could never be part of the EU; further calls for all funding to Turkey to be stopped and for the EU-Turkey Customs Union agreement, which entered into force on 31 December 1995, to be suspended;

Or. en

Amendment 122

Anna Bonfrisco, Susanna Ceccardi, Marco Zanni

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Asks the General Secretariat of the Council and the Commission Legal Service to give an opinion on closing the accession negotiations with Turkey, with the aim of reversing the 1999 Council decision to declare Turkey's eligible to join the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Calls on the Commission to prepare an Enlargement exit strategy for Turkey, to be endorsed by the Council, and to start preparations for a comprehensive privileged partnership instead, based on conditionality;

Or. en

Amendment 124
Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of

issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic; ***whereas if this report is to assess Turkey’s progress or lack of progress in terms of human rights and rule of law, as the core of the accession process, it is important to describe the concrete mechanisms of erosion of freedoms that, taken together, lead to this general backsliding with regard to European standards; whereas this approach means going beyond a long list of citizens and groups who are suffering as a result of these decisions to identifying the operators and bodies of the public authorities responsible of this worrying situation in their particular area of action; whereas generic criticism must be replaced by targeted criticism; Notes that, while accession talks remain at a standstill, Turkey updated its National Action Plan for the EU Accession (NAP) to cover the years 2021-2023; further notes progress made by Turkey in closer alignment with the EU acquis in areas such as competition legislation, the national qualifications system as well as in further aligning with the European Research Area (ERA) and a related better performance of Turkey in Horizon 2020;***

Or. en

Amendment 125
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of

Amendment

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of

issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic; **welcomes the recent high-level dialogues between EU-Turkey on climate change on 16 September 2021, Turkey formulating its own Green Deal and putting in place ambitious domestic climate policies as well as Turkey ratifying the Paris Agreement on 6 October 2021; welcomes the high-level dialogue on migration and security on 12 October 2021 focused on strengthening cooperation on managing migration, combating human trafficking and organised crime, and preventing terrorist attacks; welcomes the high-level dialogue on public health of 1 December 2021 discussing enhanced cooperation on cross-border health threats, including in the short-term in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic; commends, in this context, the mutual recognition of COVID-19 certificates in August 2021;**

Or. en

Amendment 126 **Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 2**

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Amendment

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic; **it underlines that for this to occur Turkey must engage constructively with the EU, subject to the**

conditionalities established by previous European Council Conclusions and refrain from any provocations and activities threatening the sovereign rights of EU member-states;

Or. en

Amendment 127
Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Amendment

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic ***that demonstrates a genuine attachment to its reiterated commitment to accession to the Union, this being a prerequisite for the viability of the whole accession process;***

Or. es

Amendment 128
Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, ***however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a***

Amendment

2. Notes ***that*** EU-Turkey relations have ***continued to be thorny because of Turkey's posturing; calls on Turkey to cease its negative posturing and move towards*** a more positive dynamic;

number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Or. en

Amendment 129

Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, *however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts;* expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Amendment

2. Notes *that good neighbourly relations form an essential part of moving towards the EU; notes that bilateral relations remained challenging with neighbouring EU Member States, particularly Greece and Cyprus; notes that tensions in the Aegean Sea and the Eastern Mediterranean were not conducive to good neighbourly relations and undermined regional stability and security;* expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Or. en

Amendment 130

Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in

Amendment

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in

overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with *regular* conflicts; *expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;*

overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with *alternating* conflicts;

Or. it

Amendment 131
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, *as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts*; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Amendment

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year, *notably with the easing of tensions in the Eastern Mediterranean*, in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic; *welcomes the High Level Dialogues on migration, security and climate as a positive development in this direction;*

Or. en

Amendment 132
Malik Azmani, Urmas Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have

Amendment

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have

on average remained stable, **as** enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues **have** coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

on average remained stable, **with** enhanced cooperation and dialogue **through the high-level dialogues** on a number of issues, **while having** coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more **sustained and genuine** positive dynamic;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides, Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, **however, that there has been a slight improvement** over the past year **in overall** EU-Turkey relations, **which have on average** remained **stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts**; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Amendment

2. Notes, **that** over the past year EU-Turkey relations **have not improved; notes that Turkey relations with several Member States, in particular with Greece and Cyprus have remained challenging with a rise in tensions in the Aegean Sea and the Eastern Mediterranean, undermining regional stability and security**; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Or. en

Amendment 134

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Klemen Grošelj, Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, **however, that there has been** a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, **which**

Amendment

2. Notes **that despite** a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, enhanced

have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; *expresses its hope* that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; *urges Turkish authorities to act so* that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Or. en

Amendment 135
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular *conflicts*; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Amendment

2. Notes, however, that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular *tensions*; expresses its hope that this pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Or. en

Amendment 136
Witold Jan Waszczykowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. *Notes, however,* that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this

Amendment

2. *Welcomes* that there has been a slight improvement over the past year in overall EU-Turkey relations, which have on average remained stable, as enhanced cooperation and dialogue on a number of issues have coexisted with regular conflicts; expresses its hope that this

pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

pattern of ‘conflictual cooperation’ can be overcome and replaced with a more positive dynamic;

Or. en

Amendment 137

Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Notes that, while accession talks remain at a standstill, Turkey updated its National Action Plan for the EU Accession (NAP) to cover the years 2021-2023; further notes progress made by Turkey in closer alignment with the EU acquis in areas such as competition legislation, the national qualifications system as well as in further aligning with the European Research Area (ERA) and a related better performance of Turkey in Horizon 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 138

Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the

recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions; ***believes that, if conditions allow, dialogue with Turkish authorities and counterparts at all levels should be further reinforced as a means to contribute to the rebuilding of trust and diminish the possibilities of future confrontations, in line with the European Council's position to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner; calls in this view on the Council to unblock the suspended high level political dialogue, the high level sectoral dialogues on economy, energy and transport, as well as the EU-Turkey Association Council while bearing in mind that further progress in any field should also be closely linked to improvements in the situation of fundamental freedoms and rule of law;***

Or. en

Amendment 139

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction ***that*** Turkey is a country of ***strategic*** relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, ***a*** partner ***that is key*** for the stability of the wider region, ***and an ally*** with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, ***in this view***, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction ***for the development of a mutually beneficial cooperative relationship with*** Turkey, ***as it*** is a country of ***significant*** relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, ***an important*** partner for the stability of the wider region, with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; ***deplores the fact that Turkey remains a source of instability;*** welcomes, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the

specific facts and decisions;

Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions; ***Recalls the European Council's position to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner, under the condition that the latter would stop its provocations against EU and its Member States and the there is tangible improvement in the area of fundamental freedoms and rule of law; it is of the position that these conditions have not been yet met;***

Or. en

Amendment 140
Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. ***Reiterates its firm conviction*** that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, ***and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;***

Amendment

3. ***Notes*** that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region;

Or. en

Amendment 141
Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of **strategic** relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions; ***believes that, if conditions allow, dialogue with Turkish authorities and counterparts at all levels should be further reinforced as a means to contribute to the rebuilding of trust and diminish the possibilities of future confrontations, inline with the European Council's position to engage with Turkey in a phased, proportionate and reversible manner;***

Or. en

Amendment 142

Milan Uhrík

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; ***welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish***

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations;

authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. sk

Amendment 143
Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; *welcomes*, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; *notes*, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions *and recalls that one of the fundamental principles guiding all EU action is to uphold the national sovereignty of the Member States and defend their external borders, thus protecting common European interests, values and objectives;*

Or. es

Amendment 144
Jaak Madison, Harald Vilimsky, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its *firm* conviction that

Amendment

3. Reiterates its conviction that

Turkey **is** a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, **and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;**

Turkey **could potentially be** a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, **and could potentially serve as** a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region; **emphasises, however, that any potential cooperation with Turkey should not result in restarting accession negotiations;**

Or. en

Amendment 145

Malik Azmani, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an **inescapable and vital** ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations, **including within NATO; is deeply concerned about Turkey's current destabilising role in the wider region through its involvement in several conflicts;** welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 146
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey *is* a country of *strategic* relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that *is* key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU *wishes* to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that *a democratic and peaceful* Turkey *endorsing a mutual approach of respect towards the EU and all member states can prove* a country of *significant* relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that *can be* key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU *will be able* to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 147
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and *an* ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and *a NATO* ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the

authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions, *something that has lacked so far*;

Or. en

Amendment 148

Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; *welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions*;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; *urges the Turkish authorities to demonstrate tangible commitment to becoming a more democratic country and thus to the values of Europe, and to put an end to all provocations against EU Member States*;

Or. it

Amendment 149

Emmanouil Fragkos, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. *Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best*

Amendment

3. *Is deeply concerned that, over the years, the lack of progress in Turkey's convergence has now transformed into a full withdrawal, marked by a stark regression in three main areas: backsliding on the rule of law and*

possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

fundamental rights, adopting regressive institutional reforms and pursuing a war-mongering and confrontational foreign policy, having illegally troops in three neighbouring countries, as well as Libya; is further concerned by the fact that this regression has increasingly been accompanied by an explicit anti-Greece narrative; calls, in this context, on Turkey to completely reassess its foreign policy;

Or. en

Amendment 150 **Assita Kanko**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, **but urges** the Turkish authorities **to put their words into action and** demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, **although** the Turkish authorities **do not** demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions; **urges therefore both sides to review the appropriateness of the current framework;**

Or. en

Amendment 151 **Peter van Dalen**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its **firm** conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, **a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally** with which the EU wishes to pursue **the best possible** relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, with which the EU wishes to pursue **stable** relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 152

Sergey Lagodinsky

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the **best possible** relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the **restoration of relations based on dialogue, respect and mutual trust**; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 153

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is **a country of strategic relevance** in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that **is key for** the stability of the wider region, and **an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view**, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is **an important neighbour** country in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that **should be actively involved in** the stability of the wider region, and **a NATO ally; acknowledges** the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 154

Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtzos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates **its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations**; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the

Amendment

3. Reiterates **the European Union's strategic interest in a stable and secure environment in the Eastern Mediterranean and in the development of a cooperative and mutually beneficial relationship with Turkey**; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their

Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 155

Francisco José Millán Mon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and **an** ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and **a NATO** ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; welcomes, in this view, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. es

Amendment 156

Lukas Mandl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; **welcomes**, in this view, the

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; **notes**, in this view, the recent

recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 157

Bert-Jan Ruissen, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; *welcomes, in this view*, the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its firm conviction that Turkey is a country of strategic relevance in political, economic and foreign policy terms, a partner that is key for the stability of the wider region, and an ally with which the EU wishes to pursue the best possible relations; *takes note of* the recent statements expressed at the highest level by the Turkish authorities about the recommitment of the Turkish Government to the EU path, but urges the Turkish authorities to put their words into action and demonstrate this commitment with specific facts and decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 158

Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly

Amendment

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly

rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty; *notes that while the current situation has been developing over a number of years, it has turned into a currency crisis in recent months, which exacerbates existing pandemic-related impacts in the economy; is concerned by the lack of confidence on purportedly independent bodies such as the central bank and the Turkish Statistical Institute (TÜİK); notes in this regard that the operational independence of both institutions is a key criteria for EU accession; further highlights that the poor performance of Turkey with regard to the respect to the rule of law has also a severe impact on the reputational image of the country and that the lack of legal certainty has the potential to seriously affect the ability of the country to attract foreign investments; Notes that in October 2021 the Financial Action Task Force added Turkey to the list of jurisdictions deemed incapable of tackling money laundering terrorist financing, and proliferation financing; expresses its hope that Turkey can rapidly display the necessary progress on improving the implementation of relevant AML/CFT measures;*

Or. en

Amendment 159
Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship

Amendment

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty; *notes that while the current*

and poverty;

situation has been developing over a number of years, it has turned into a currency crisis in recent months, which exacerbates existing pandemic-related impacts in the economy; is concerned by the lack of confidence on purportedly independent bodies such as the central bank and the Turkish Statistical Institute (TÜİK); notes in this regard that the operational independence of both institutions is a key criteria for EU accession; further highlights that the poor performance of Turkey with regard to the respect to the rule of law has also a severe impact on the reputational image of the country and that the lack of legal certainty has the potential to seriously affect the ability of the country to attract foreign investments;

Or. en

Amendment 160
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

Amendment

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty; ***notes that while the current situation has been developing over a number of years, it turned into a currency crisis in December 2021, exacerbating existing pandemic-related impacts in the economy; is concerned by the lack of confidence in purportedly independent bodies such as the Central Bank and the Turkish Statistical Institute (TÜİK); notes in this regard that the operational independence of both institutions is a key criterion for EU accession; further highlights that the poor performance of***

Turkey with regard to the respect to the rule of law has also a severe impact on the reputational image of the country and that the lack of legal certainty has the potential to seriously affect the ability of the country to attract foreign investments;

Or. en

Amendment 161

Malik Azmani, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Nathalie Loiseau, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

Amendment

4. Expresses ***its deep*** concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty; ***expresses concern over the direct interference by the Turkish president in Turkish monetary policy; Calls for financial institutions such as the ECB or the IMF to provide technical support, if desired by the Turkish authorities;***

Or. en

Amendment 162

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship

Amendment

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship

and poverty;

and poverty; *is worried about the lack of independence of the Turkish Central Bank, after the firing of both previous chairmen who envisaged a monetary policy that differed from the presidential viewpoint;*

Or. en

Amendment 163
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

Amendment

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty; *believes that a stronger and more honest relationship with the EU would help with alleviating some of the hardships and assist with the improvement of living standards for the Turkish population;*

Or. en

Amendment 164
Vangelis Meimarakis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Maria Spyraiki, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Georgios Kyrtzos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Furlas, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and

Amendment

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and

increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

increasing number of people into hardship and poverty *as reflected in the OECD 2021 Report on Turkey*;

Or. en

Amendment 165
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

Amendment

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through *the devaluation of the currency*, soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

Or. en

Amendment 166
Milan Uhrík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

Amendment

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey *and in EU Member States*, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

Or. sk

Amendment 167
Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Ramona Strugariu, Petras

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Expresses concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

Amendment

4. Expresses **deep** concern over the current economic situation in Turkey, which through soaring inflation and a constantly rising cost of living is pushing a high and increasing number of people into hardship and poverty;

Or. en

Amendment 168

Sergey Lagodinsky

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Expresses its will to strengthen and deepen mutual knowledge and understanding between Turkish and EU Member States' societies, promoting cultural growth, socio-cultural exchanges and combating all manifestations of social, religious, ethnic or cultural prejudice; expresses its full commitment to continue supporting Turkey's independent civil society in whatever circumstances and framework of relations that the future may bring; believes that the accession process would still be the most powerful tool to exercise normative pressure on and constructive dialogue with the Turkish government and the best framework to sustain the democratic and pro-European aspirations of Turkish society; stresses that a purely transactional relationship will hardly contribute to the advancement of a sustainable, mutually beneficial and even partnership;

Amendment 169
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Notes that in October 2021 the Financial Action Task Force added Turkey to the list of jurisdictions deemed incapable of tackling money laundering terrorist financing, and proliferation financing; expresses its hope that Turkey can rapidly display the necessary progress on improving the implementation of relevant AML/CFT measures;

Or. en

Amendment 170
Sergey Lagodinsky
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework - **particularly through the application of terrorism charges and by restriction of the freedom of expression** - and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that

and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 171
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Amendment

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework - ***particularly through the application of terrorism charges and by restriction of freedom of expression-*** and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 172
Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Amendment

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework ***particularly in relation with terrorism and freedom of expression*** and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 173

Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and

Amendment

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework ***particularly in relation with terrorism and freedom of expression*** and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that

persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 174
Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, **including** backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework **and** a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities **either-directly or through a chilling effect**; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Amendment

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, **especially its** backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework, a lack of independence of the judiciary **and the progressive Islamisation of political life**, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic state policy developed to suppress any critical activities **directed against the increasingly authoritarian presidential system**; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Or. es

Amendment 175
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic **state** policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Amendment

5. Deplores the continued deterioration of the human rights situation in Turkey, including backsliding on fundamental freedoms, democracy and the rule of law; considers that the current repressive form of rule, whose main pillars are abuse of the legal framework and a lack of independence of the judiciary, is a deliberate, relentless and systematic **government** policy developed to suppress any critical activities either-directly or through a chilling effect; is appalled by the fact that in order to pursue this policy, the Turkish state authorities are ready to blatantly and persistently disregard their international and domestic legal obligations, such as those derived from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 176

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides, Giuliano Pisapia, Evin Incir

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 a. Recalls that the allocation of EU funds is conditional on the respect for the rule of law, democratic values, human rights, European and international law; due to Turkey's backsliding in all these areas, reiterates its position that all the funds allocated under the Instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance (IPA III) and relevant programmes of the NDICI - Global Europe instrument to be directly managed by the EU in order to support Turkey's civil society, human rights defenders and journalists, and to increase opportunities for people-to-people contacts, academic dialogue and media platforms for journalists with the objective

of protecting and promoting democratic values and principles, human rights and the rule of law and that no actions organised by the Turkish state should get financed by the EU budget as long as the situation does not significantly improve;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Thierry Mariani, Anna Bonfrisco, Susanna Ceccardi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey; ***calls on Turkey to launch investigations into the cases of Simoni Diril, who was found dead near her home in the Sirniak region in March 2020, and of her husband Hurmuz, whose children have not received any information about since 11 January 2020; both Christians were abducted at that time, and the Turkish justice system refused to open a proper investigation;***

Or. fr

Amendment 178

Marc Angel, Malin Björk, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Terry Reintke, Pierre Karleskind, Maria Walsh, Frédérique Ries, Andreas Schieder, Dietmar Köster, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey; ***considers that the adoption on March 2021 of an Action Plan on Human Rights shows a willingness to improve the overall situation of fundamental rights in Turkey; notes nevertheless that the commitment of the government will be measured by the extent of its implementation and the reforms undertaken to ensure its application to all citizens without discrimination;***

Or. en

Amendment 179

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6**

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle ***together with the need to respect international law and good neighbourly relations*** to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 180

Charlie Weimers, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, ***which is at the core of the accession process***, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 181
Lukas Mandl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, ***which is at the core of the accession process***, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 182
Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of

fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main **obstacle** to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains **one of** the main **obstacles** to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Or. es

Amendment 183
Loucas Furlas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main **obstacle** to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains **one of** the main **obstacles** to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 184
Bert-Jan Ruissen, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main **obstacle** to further progress on any positive agenda

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains **one of** the main **obstacles** to further progress on any

that could be offered to Turkey;

positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 185

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Urmaz Paet, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani, Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main **obstacle** to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains **one of** the main **obstacles** to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 186

Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains the main **obstacle** to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains **one of** the main **obstacles** to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 187
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains **the main** obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Amendment

6. Insists that the crucial area of fundamental rights and freedoms, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations with the EU and stresses that for Parliament, it remains **a severe** obstacle to further progress on any positive agenda that could be offered to Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 188
Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

6 a. Deplores the fact that the Venice Commission recommendations on the status of religious communities in Turkey have yet to be implemented; reiterates its call on Turkey to respect the importance of the Ecumenical Patriarchate for Orthodox Christians all over the world, to recognise its legal personality and the public use of the ecclesiastical title of the Ecumenical Patriarch; stresses the need to eliminate restrictions on the training, appointment and succession of clergy, to allow the reopening of the Halki Seminary and lift all obstacles for its proper functioning;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 189

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Stresses that financial assistance of the Instrument for Pre-accession assistance (IPAIII) is based on a conditionality linked to the ‘fundamentals first’ approach; Recalls that IPA III support can be suspended in the event of the degradation of democracy, human rights or the rule of law and modulated when indicators show significant regression or persistent lack of progress;

Or. en

Amendment 190

Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Stresses that no inducement that the EU could offer Turkey, nor the repeated calls that the Council, the Commission and the European Parliament might make for Turkey to comply with its obligations can ever replace the much-needed political will to build a mature democracy and, in turn, become a member of the EU;

Or. es

Amendment 191

Jaak Madison, Harald Vilimsky, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. *Expresses grave concern regarding violations of the right to freedom of religion in Turkey; notes with concern that Christians in Turkey remain under extreme pressure as a result of religious nationalism, as reported by Open Doors; is particularly concerned about reports of Christian foreigners in Turkey being targeted;*

Or. en

Amendment 192
Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. *Is appalled by the Turkish government's attempts at securing the extrajudicial rendition of citizens living abroad; condemns the intelligence-led abduction and forcible rendition of Turkish-Kyrgyz educator Orhan Inandi from Kyrgyzstan;*

Or. en

Amendment 193
Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides, Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 b. *Strongly condemns the decision by the Turkish authorities to convert Moni tis Choras/Chora Church and Hagia Sophia, a World Heritage Monument into mosque, in violation of the UNESCO*

Convention concerning the protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, to which Turkey is signatory member; Urges the Turkish government to reverse its decisions and protect the multicultural character of the country; deplores the lack of protection of Panagia Soumela Monastery which has been put forward for inclusion to the UNESCO World Heritage Monuments list and believes these actions undermine the trust between the Turkish government and the religious communities in the country;

Or. en

Amendment 194

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides, Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 c. Regrets that the Treasury has appealed favourable decisions for the restitution of properties according to the Law on Foundations and most of the appeals against rejected claims are still pending either before local courts or at ECHR; notes with concern the hate speech and crimes against minorities, as well as the acts of vandalisms and the destruction of minority worship places and cemeteries; urges the Turkish authorities to effectively prosecute the offenders and to properly protect all religious minorities; regrets that a new electoral regulation for non-Muslim foundations, following its annulment in 2013, is still to be published, which has created serious problems to proper administration of these foundations, as no elections can take place; notes that despite a ruling from an administrative court to overrule the decision to annul the electoral regulation, the Ankara Regional Court of Appeals ruled that it is up to the

Council of State to decide;

Or. en

Amendment 195

Nikos Androulakis, Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 d. Reiterates the need for Turkey to fully implement all recommendations of the Council of Europe Resolution 1625(2008) with particular emphasis on the restitution of property rights of the Greek Orthodox population and its foundations on the islands of Imvros and Tenedos; inheritance rights and adopt all necessary positive discrimination measures for assisting the repatriation of all minority families who wish to return to the islands; underlines the need to preserve the bicultural character of the islands;

Or. en

Amendment 196

Sergey Lagodinsky

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention); **particularly condemns this decision against the background of the high**

number of femicides in the country; reiterates its call on Turkey's Government to reverse this regrettable decision; calls on Turkey, in the meantime, to prevent and combat violence against all women and girls, support survivors, and to hold abusers to account by fully implementing Turkey's own Law no. 6284 and all measures identified in the European Court of Human Rights Opuz group of cases under enhanced supervision by the Council of Europe Committee of Ministers;

Or. en

Amendment 197
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention); ***a decision that is particularly alarming against the background of continuing high number of femicides in the country and that represents a major setback to efforts to promote women's rights in the country; reiterates its call on Turkey's Government to reverse this incomprehensible decision and, in the meantime, to prevent and combat violence against all women and girls, support survivors, and hold abusers to account by fully implementing Turkey's own Law no. 6284 and all measures identified in the relevant ECtHR jurisprudence;***

Or. en

Amendment 198
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention); ***a decision that is particularly alarming against the background of continuing high number of femicides in the country and that represents a major set back to efforts to promote women's rights in the country; reiterates its call on Turkey's Government to reverse this incomprehensible and unacceptable decision;***

Or. en

Amendment 199
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. ***Reiterates its strong condemnation of*** Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. ***Calls on Turkey to address the increasing prevalence of domestic violence and discrimination against women, despite*** Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention); ***fears that this decision was aimed to take electoral advantage ahead of the 2023 elections by attempting to create a division between secularist and the conservative circles within the MI opposition camp;***

Amendment 200

Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention); ***reminds that this is in strong violation of fundamental European values and will be a part of the evaluation for Turkey's accession process;***

Or. en

Amendment 201

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention); ***calls on Turkey to reverse this decision and to implement a zero-tolerance policy on violence against women;***

Or. en

Amendment 202

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Urmas Paet, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani, Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention) ***and expresses deep concern about the persistence of violences against women in Turkey;***

Or. en

Amendment 203
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention) ***and calls on the government of Turkey to reverse this decision;***

Or. en

Amendment 204
Witold Jan Waszczykowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. **Reiterates its strong condemnation of** Turkey's withdrawal, **by presidential decree**, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. **Calls on Turkey to address the increasing prevalence of domestic violence and discrimination against women, despite** Turkey's withdrawal from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Or. en

Amendment 205

Malik Azmani, Urmas Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Nathalie Loiseau, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. Reiterates its strong condemnation **and regret** of Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Or. en

Amendment 206

Milan Uhrík

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. **Reiterates its strong condemnation of** Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment

7. **Acknowledges** Turkey's withdrawal, by presidential decree, from the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention);

Amendment 207**Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 8***Motion for a resolution*

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution;

Amendment

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly ***and demonstration*** in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution; ***is deeply worried by the routine use and continued extension of bans on protests and demonstration by provincial governors, and particularly by the ban by Governor Mehmet Emin Bilmez that has been in place for over five years in Van Province; deplores the targeting of journalists at public protests by Turkish police forces including through the April 2021 directive by Turkey's Security General Directorate (EGM) signed by its head, former governor Mehmet Aktaş, instructing Turkish police forces to prevent press recordings of protests and demonstrations that was subsequently suspended by the Council of State citing a restriction of fundamental rights; regrets the recurrent use of excessive force to suppress peaceful demonstrations amidst an overall general impunity of law enforcement officials; deplores the ongoing trial against the Cumartesi Anneleri (Saturday Mothers) at the Istanbul 21st Criminal Court of First Instance presided by judge Naim Atan in relation to the violent dispersal of their 700th vigil on 25 August 2018; reiterates its call on the authorities to drop charges against the students of Boğaziçi University prosecuted for exercising their right to peaceful assembly, and highlights the relevance of ensuring academic freedom and the autonomy of universities; is concerned in this regard by the recent decision to remove three elected deans in***

Boğaziçi University, whose current rector, Naci İnci, was appointed by Presidential decree in August 2021

Or. en

Amendment 208

Loucas Furlas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution;

Amendment

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution; ***is deeply worried by the routine use and continued extension of bans on protests and demonstration by provincial governors, and particularly by the ban by Governor Mehmet Emin Bilmez that has been in place for over five years in Van Province; deplores the targeting of journalists at public protests by Turkish police forces including through the April 2021 directive by Turkey's Security General Directorate (EGM) signed by its head, former governor Mehmet Aktaş, instructing Turkish police forces to prevent press recordings of protests and demonstrations that was subsequently suspended by the Council of State citing a restriction of fundamental rights; regrets the recurrent use of excessive force to suppress peaceful demonstrations amidst an overall general impunity of law enforcement officials; deplores the ongoing trial against the Cumartesi Anneleri (Saturday Mothers) at the Istanbul 21st Criminal Court of First Instance presided by judge Naim Atan in relation to the violent dispersal of their 700th vigil on 25 August 2018; reiterates its call on the authorities to drop charges against the students of Boğaziçi University prosecuted for exercising their right to peaceful assembly, and highlights the relevance of ensuring academic***

freedom and the autonomy of universities; is concerned in this regard by the recent decision to remove three elected deans in Boğaziçi University, whose current rector, Naci İnci, was appointed by Presidential decree in August 2021;

Or. en

Amendment 209
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution;

Amendment

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution; *is deeply worried by the routine use and continued extension of bans on protests and demonstration by provincial governors, and particularly by the Governor's ban that has been in place for over five years in Van Province; deplores the targeting of journalists at public protests by Turkish police forces including through the April 2021 directive by Turkey's Security General Directorate (EGM), instructing Turkish police forces to prevent press recordings of protests and demonstrations that was subsequently suspended by the Council of State citing a restriction of fundamental rights; regrets the recurrent use of excessive force to suppress peaceful demonstrations amidst an overall general impunity of law enforcement officials; deplores the ongoing trial against the Cumartesi Anneleri (Saturday Mothers) at the Istanbul 21st Criminal Court of First Instance in relation to the violent dispersal of their 700th vigil on 25 August 2018; reiterates its call on the authorities to drop charges against the students of Boğaziçi University prosecuted for exercising their right to peaceful assembly, and highlights the relevance of*

ensuring academic freedom and the autonomy of universities; is concerned in this regard by the recent decision to remove three elected deans in Boğaziçi University, whose current rector was appointed by Presidential decree in August 2021;

Or. en

Amendment 210
Sergey Lagodinsky
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution;

Amendment

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution *and constitutes a positive obligation, derived from its membership of the European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR); condemns the systematic and selective violation of this freedom extending to any critical activity such as peaceful Kurdish civil and political engagement, peaceful protest by women rights activists, including by Cumartesi Anneleri (Saturday Mothers), protests by the students of Boğaziçi University for academic freedom as well as LGBTI activists; denounces protracted bans on peaceful protests, the excessive use of force against demonstrators and journalists covering protests as well as trials against activists;*

Or. en

Amendment 211
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution;

Amendment

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution; ***regrets the serious backsliding in this area in light of recurrent bans, excessive use of force against peaceful demonstrators, administrative fines and prosecutions against demonstrators on charges of terrorism-related activities;***

Or. en

Amendment 212

Marc Angel, Malin Björk, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Terry Reintke, Pierre Karleskind, Maria Walsh, Frédérique Ries, Andreas Schieder, Dietmar Köster

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution;

Amendment

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution ***and a positive obligation derived from its membership of the European Convention of Human Rights;***

Or. en

Amendment 213

Malik Azmani, Urmas Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Nathalie Loiseau, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Dragoș Tudorache

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution;

Amendment

8. Notes the importance of upholding the freedom of assembly in Turkey, which is enshrined in its constitution ***but***

increasingly under pressure, in particular for certain opposition parties;

Or. en

Amendment 214

David Lega

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Stresses the importance of the freedom of religion; deplores that the lack of legal personality for non-Muslim communities remains a serious issue; urges Turkey to give the right to the Orthodox Patriarchate of Istanbul the right to use the title “Ecumenical” and reopen the Halki (Heybeliada) Greek Orthodox Seminary, which remains closed since 1971;

Or. en

Amendment 215

David Lega

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 b. Expresses concern over the ineffective investigations on hate speech and hate crimes against minorities; stresses the need to remove all discriminatory elements against all religions and faith groups from school textbooks;

Or. en

Amendment 216
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey ***and the increase in the number of acquittals in cases against journalists observed in the last period of 2021; welcomes the recent rulings of the Council of State halting the execution of some articles of the Press Card Regulations and the police circular that had banned audio-visual recordings at public demonstrations; notes however that the practice of investigating and prosecuting people on charges such as insulting the President or on broadly-worded and vague terrorism charges continues; is appalled by the gross abuse of Article 299 of Turkish Penal Code on insulting the President which can carry a jail sentence of between one and four years; finds completely disproportionate that since 2014, the first year of President Erdoğan's term in office, more than 160,000 investigations have been launched, more than 35,500 cases were filed and there were more than 12,800 convictions over insulting the president; calls on Turkey's authorities to apply the rulings by the European Court on Human Rights and to follow the Venice Commission recommendations in order to bring Turkish law on this matter in line with the Convention; is particularly worried by the case of journalist Sedef Kabaş, who after being publicly targeted by senior government officials was arrested during a midnight raid on January 22nd, by the order of Istanbul 10th Criminal Court of Peace judge, and is kept in pre-trial detention at***

the Bakırköy Women's Prison in İstanbul for having allegedly insulted the President on a live broadcast on TELE1 TV on 14 January 2022; is appalled by the fact that the İstanbul 36th Criminal Court of First Instance of the indictment has recently accepted the indictment prepared by the İstanbul Chief Public Prosecutor's Office for which Ms Kabaş will face up to 12 years and 10 months in prison on the offenses charged; finds this case a clear example of the abusive use of Article 299 with an aim of having a chilling effect on any journalist or citizen who could express criticism towards the President or government; expresses concerns about the Presidential circular on "Press and Broadcasting Activities" published on 28 January 2022 as it could imply unlawful restrictions to fundamental rights and freedoms;

Or. en

Amendment 217
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey; ***notes however that the practice of investigating and prosecuting people for matters such as insulting the President or allegedly disrespecting Islamic values remains on the rise, driven by broadly-worded and vague anti-terrorism claims; is appalled by the gross abuse of Article 299 of Turkish Penal Code on insulting the President which can carry a jail sentence of between one and four years; finds completely disproportionate that***

since 2014, the first year of President Erdoğan, more than 160,000 investigations were launched, more than 35,500 cases were filed and there were more than 12,800 convictions over insulting the president; calls on Turkey's authorities to apply the rulings by the European Court on Human Rights and to follow the Venice Commission recommendations in order to bring Turkish law on this matter in line with the Convention; is particularly worried by the case of journalist Sedef Kabaş, who after being publicly targeted by senior government officials was arrested during a midnight raid on January 22nd, by the order of Istanbul 10th Criminal Court of Peace judge Furkan Bilgehan Ertem, and is kept in pre-trial detention at the Bakırköy Women's Prison in İstanbul for having allegedly insulted the President on a live broadcast on TELE1 TV on 14 January 2022; finds this case a clear example of the abusive use of Article 299 with an aim of having a chilling effect on any journalist or citizen who could express criticism towards the President or government; expresses concerns about the Presidential circular on "Press and Broadcasting Activities" published on 28 January 2022 as it could imply unlawful restrictions to fundamental rights and freedoms;

Or. en

Amendment 218
Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the

number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

number of journalists in prison in Turkey; notes however that the practice of investigating and prosecuting people for matters such as insulting the President or allegedly disrespecting Islamic values remains on the rise, driven by broadly-worded and vague anti-terrorism claims; is appalled by the gross abuse of Article 299 of Turkish Penal Code on insulting the President which can carry a jail sentence of between one and four years; finds completely disproportionate that since 2014, the first year of President Erdoğan, more than 160,000 investigations were launched, more than 35,500 cases were filed and there were more than 12,800 convictions over insulting the president; calls on Turkey's authorities to apply the rulings by the European Court on Human Rights and to follow the Venice Commission recommendations in order to bring Turkish law on this matter in line with the Convention; is particularly worried by the case of journalist Sedef Kabaş, who after being publicly targeted by senior government officials was arrested during a midnight raid on January 22nd, by the order of Istanbul 10th Criminal Court of Peace judge Furkan Bilgehan Ertem, and is kept in pre-trial detention at the Bakırköy Women's Prison in İstanbul for having allegedly insulted the President on a live broadcast on TELE1 TV on 14 January 2022; finds this case a clear example of the abusive use of Article 299 with an aim of having a chilling effect on any journalist or citizen who could express criticism towards the President or government; expresses concerns about the Presidential circular on "Press and Broadcasting Activities" published on 28 January 2022 as it could imply unlawful restrictions to fundamental rights and freedoms;

Or. en

Amendment 219
Sergey Lagodinsky
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; **welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;**

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; **condemns the large number of investigation and prosecution of persons for allegations of insulting the President or on broadly-worded and vague terrorism charges; is concerned that, of all Council of Europe members, Turkey was the member country with the highest number of judgments on violations of freedom of expression at the European Court of Human Rights in 2021; calls for the immediate release of journalist Sedef Kabaş who was arrested on 22 February 2022 following her citation of a proverb on television that was interpreted as an insult directed at the Turkish President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan; takes note of the worrying presidential circular from 28 February 2022 entitled “Press and Publication Activities” which may be used by Turkish authorities as a tool to strengthen the arm of monitoring agencies such as RTÜK, the Radio and Television Supreme Council and further limit the freedom of expression; urges Turkey to guarantee media freedom as a matter of priority and to immediately and unconditionally release and acquit detained journalists, writers and social media users for exercising their profession and civil rights;**

Or. en

Amendment 220
Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey; ***expresses concern, however, about the ongoing detention and prosecution of people on charges of insulting the president due to comments made on television, in public or on social media; urges Turkey to amend the law on insulting the president in line with the recommendations of the European Court of Human Rights;***

Or. en

Amendment 221
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; ***welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;***

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; ***calls on the Turkish authorities to allow civil society organisations, NGOs, lawyers and legal representatives, and the press to function in accordance with their duties and within their remit and scope and to exercise their profession freely, as this provides for an overall healthier democracy and society;***

Or. en

Amendment 222
Malik Azmani, Urmas Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans,

Nathalie Loiseau, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries, Dragoş Tudorache

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; **welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;**

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; **condemns the high number of imprisoned journalists, media employees as well as social media users; notes with deep concern the increasing restrictions and censorship imposed on social media platforms;**

Or. en

Amendment 223

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Urmas Paet, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Klemen Grošelj, Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey **while regretting that Turkey still ranks among the countries where freedom of the press is the most attacked;**

Or. en

Amendment 224
Dietmar Köster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey; ***expresses at the same time serious concern about the continuation of arbitrary arrest of journalists;***

Or. en

Amendment 225
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey ***and demands that the Turkish government releases all remaining journalists and political prisoners;***

Or. en

Amendment 226
Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; ***condemns the systematic use of terrorist offences to silence journalists, media and opposition;*** welcomes the further decrease

in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 227

David Lega

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the *disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing* freedom of expression; *welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison* in Turkey;

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the *continued backsliding of* freedom of expression; *expresses concern about the continuing of criminal cases against* journalists, *human rights defenders, lawyers, writers and academics* in Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 228

David McAllister

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; *welcomes* the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Amendment

9. Reiterates its serious concern about the disproportionate and arbitrary measures curtailing freedom of expression; *notes* the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 229

Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. *Notes with great concern that the Turkish authorities have opened more than 160,000 investigations into alleged insults since Erdoğan became president in 2014, and more than 3,600 people have been convicted under the controversial law, including the recent situation involving the Olympic swimmer Derya Büyükuncu. Deplores that even the most minor criticism of Erdoğan or his government is perceived as an insult;*

Or. en

Amendment 230

Demetris Papadakis, Costas Mavrides, Nikos Androulakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. *Reiterates relevant ECtHR decisions and calls on the Turkish Government to immediately stop the violation of the human rights of the Cypriot citizens and stop depriving them of the enjoyment and exercise of their property, religious and other human rights stemming from the constitutional order of the Republic of Cyprus and the *acquis communautaire*, as well as the fundamental principles and values of the EU;*

Or. en

Amendment 231

Emmanouil Fragkos, Hermann Tertsch, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. *Believes that this fundamental area, which is at the core of the accession process, cannot be disconnected and isolated from overall relations and that it remains along with the full respect of international law and the fundamental principle of good neighbourly relations and regional cooperation, the main obstacles to progress in bilateral relations;*

Or. en

Amendment 232

Peter van Dalen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. *Expresses its strong concern about the deteriorating situation of the freedom of religion or belief in Turkey; calls on the Turkish government to fully respect the right of non-Muslim religious minorities in the country and take action against social hostilities towards these groups;*

Or. en

Amendment 233

David Lega

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. *Stresses that torture remain a major issue in detention facilities in Turkey; urges Turkey to effectively investigate allegations of torture and ill-treatment in police custody and in prison,*

as well as allegations of enforced disappearances;

Or. en

Amendment 234
Emmanouil Fragkos, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 b. *Notes that EU funding to Turkey must be subject to rules on conditionality, including for respecting the principles of the United Nations Charter, international law, including the UN Security Council Resolutions on Cyprus, and European values and principles; therefore, the EU funding to Turkey must be suspended,*

Or. en

Amendment 235
Emmanouil Fragkos, Charlie Weimers, Hermann Tertsch, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 c. *Strongly condemns the Turkish decision to convert the emblematic Hagia Sophia and many other churches, to mosques and calls on the Turkish authorities to urgently reverse such decisions;*

Or. en

Amendment 236
Emmanouil Fragkos, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 d. Is concerned about the ever more frequent use of a hyper-nationalist and war-mongering narrative among the ruling elite that increasingly gives rise to antagonistic approaches towards the EU Member States; is concerned about the increasing clout of authoritarianism and Islamic extremism in political life;

Or. en

Amendment 237
Emmanouil Fragkos, Hermann Tertsch, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 e. Calls on the Turkish government to respect and fully implement the legal obligations deriving from the Conventions to which it is a contracting party, and to halt the destruction of the cultural heritage in the occupied areas of Cyprus; calls on Turkey to ratify the 2005 UNESCO Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions; calls on Turkey to cooperate with the relevant international organisations, especially the Council of Europe, in preventing and combating illicit trafficking and the deliberate destruction of cultural heritage;

Or. en

Amendment 238
Emmanouil Fragkos, Hermann Tertsch, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 f. *Strongly condemns the removal of 47 democratically elected mayors from office on the basis of questionable evidence and, in particular, their arbitrary replacement by unelected trustees appointed by the central government; strongly believes these unlawful decisions constitute a direct attack on the most basic principles of democracy, depriving millions of voters of their democratically elected representation;*

Or. en

Amendment 239

Emmanouil Fragkos, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 g. *Is alarmed by the fact that Turkey's foreign policy increasingly collides with the priorities and goals of EU's common foreign and security policy; notes with deep concern that the rate of Turkey's alignment with the common foreign policy of the EU is constantly deteriorating to historic low rates;*

Or. en

Amendment 240

Emmanouil Fragkos, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 h. *Is deeply concerned by the*

ongoing Turkey's unprecedented illegal and aggressive behaviour in the Eastern Mediterranean and the Aegean Sea, its warmongering rhetoric and the related risk of a military escalation by a country that is still considered to be an EU candidate, against EU Member States; strongly condemns Turkey's violations of Greek national airspace, including over flights of inhabited areas, and territorial sea, a conduct which not only constitutes a serious, ongoing violation of international law, but also creates the risk of an armed incident; strongly condemns Turkey's illegal activities in Greek and Cypriot waters, which violate the sovereignty, the sovereign rights of EU Member States and international law; expresses its full solidarity with Greece and the Republic of Cyprus; expresses its grave concern for the illegal Turkish fishing activities within Greek territorial waters in the Aegean Sea and the unregulated and unreported fishing activities of Turkish fishing fleet in Greek, Cypriot territorial waters and international waters in the Aegean Sea and the Eastern Mediterranean; urges Turkey to fully respect international law and the law of the sea, to engage in the peaceful settlement of disputes and to refrain from any unilateral and illegal action or threat; notes that the Council's Conclusions of 15 July 2019 in light of Turkey's continued and new illegal drilling activities, not to hold the Association Council and further meetings of the EU-Turkey high-level dialogues for the time being, along with the Council's Decision 2019/1894, of 11 November 2019, to impose restrictive measures in view of Turkey's unauthorized drilling activities in the Eastern Mediterranean, are the first instances where such reactions were deemed necessary in view of the conduct of a candidate country;

Or. en

Amendment 241
Emmanouil Fragkos, Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 i. Is deeply concerned by the ongoing dispute in the Eastern Mediterranean and the related risk of a military escalation; strongly condemns Turkey's illegal activities in Greek and Cypriot waters, which violate both the sovereign rights of EU Member States and international law; expresses its full solidarity with Greece and the Republic of Cyprus; urges Turkey to engage in the peaceful settlement of disputes and to refrain from any unilateral and illegal action or threat; recognises the right of the Republic of Cyprus to enter into bilateral agreements concerning its exclusive economic zone, explore and exploit its natural resources and calls on Turkey to respect relevant international law (UNCLOS); urges Turkey to engage in the peaceful settlement of disputes, and to refrain from any threat or action which might have negative effects on good neighbourly relations; urges Turkey to show restraint and to respect Cyprus' sovereignty over its territorial sea and Cyprus' sovereign rights in its exclusive economic zone;

Or. en

Amendment 242
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of

journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern;

journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern; *calls the Turkish Radio and Television Supreme Council (RTÜK), chaired by Ebubekir Şahin, to discontinue the excessive imposition of fines and broadcasting bans restricting the legitimate freedom of expression of Turkish journalists and broadcasters; calls the Press Advertising Agency (BIK), headed by Director General Rıdvan Duran, to ensure that bans on public advertising do not serve to suppress independent media reporting, as in the case of the daily newspaper Evrensel, whose case represents a negative record in Turkey's press history, as it has not received any official advertising for 900 days and even if the BIK were to grant permission for advertisements immediately now, the daily newspaper will still not receive any advertising for 103 days due to the penalties imposed by the BIK in the meantime; calls on Fahrettin Altun, Director of Communications at the Turkish Presidency, to ensure the timely processing of press card applications and to discontinue the use of criminal complaints and belligerent rhetoric targeting journalists; calls on the Turkish Grand National Assembly to follow-up on the Turkish Constitutional Court's judgement of January 2022 requesting to reformulate Article 9 of the Internet Law to protect the freedoms of expression and the press; is particularly alarmed by the case of the Turkish Cypriot journalist Ali Kashmir, who was recently prohibited from entering Turkey and faces court charges for having expressed criticism against Ankara;*

Or. en

Amendment 243
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern;

Amendment

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern; ***calls on the chair of the Turkish Radio and Television Supreme Council (RTÜK) to discontinue the excessive imposition of fines and broadcasting bans restricting the legitimate freedom of expression of Turkish journalists and broadcasters; is concerned by the RTÜK's decision to expand government control over international press outlets by applying broadcasting requirements to Deutsche Welle, Euronews and Voice of America; calls on the chair of the Press Advertising Agency (BIK) to ensure that bans on public advertising do not serve to suppress independent media reporting; calls on the Director of Communications at the Turkish Presidency, to ensure the timely processing of press card applications and to discontinue the use of criminal complaints and belligerent rhetoric targeting journalists; calls on the Turkish Grand National Assembly to follow-up on the Turkish Constitutional Court's judgement of January 2022 requesting to reformulate Article 9 of the Internet Law to protect the freedoms of expression and the press;***

Or. en

Amendment 244

Sergey Lagodinsky

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of

Amendment

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of

journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern;

journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern; ***is concerned that today about 90% of the national media are controlled by the Turkish government by means of regulators such as the High Council for Broadcasting (RTÜK); is concerned about RTÜK's threat to block the international media outlets Deutsche Welle, Euronews and Voice of America if they do not apply for broadcasting licenses which allow the watchdog oversight of the outlet's content; calls on an end to the discriminatory issuing of punitive measures against independent broadcasters by RTÜK;***

Or. en

Amendment 245

Susanna Ceccardi, Anna Bonfrisco, Marco Zanni, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern;

Amendment

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern; ***regrets that tens of thousands of Turkish journalist have been charged and sentenced over the crime of insulting Recep Tayyip Erdogan in the seven years since he became president, including the most recent case of Sedef Kabas, a Turkish journalist jailed for reciting a proverb;***

Or. en

Amendment 246

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Urmas Paet, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani, Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. *Asserts that* the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of journalists and independent media *remains an issue of concern*;

Amendment

10. ***Condemns*** the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of journalists and independent media ***in Turkey; is concerned as well by the targeting of journalists and opponents in the European Union, as was the case of Turkish journalist Erk Acarer in Berlin***;

Or. en

Amendment 247

Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern;

Amendment

10. Asserts that the continued prosecution, censorship and harassment of journalists and independent media remains an issue of concern, ***which needs to be addressed without delay, as it is degrading the democratic fibre of the Turkish society; welcomes the further decrease in the number of journalists in prison in Turkey***;

Or. en

Amendment 248

Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Regrets that there is growing economic leverage on behalf of the government, including non-transparent distribution of public funds (advertising,

public tenders), which allows almost complete control of mass media;

Or. en

Amendment 249

Nathalie Loiseau, Frédérique Ries, Christophe Grudler, Urmas Paet, Ramona Strugariu, Petras Auštrevičius, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani, Hilde Vautmans

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. *Is worried by the increasing number of fake news in Turkish social media environment; is also worried by the spread of state propaganda, in particular from the state-run news agency Anadolu;*

Or. en

Amendment 250

Sergey Lagodinsky
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and ***judicial*** independence in Turkey;

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021 asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and ***the lack of independence of the judiciary*** in Turkey, ***coupled with the chilling effect of the mass dismissals carried out by the Government in the past years which undermine the capacity of the judiciary as a whole to provide an effective remedy for***

human rights violations, both in regard to measures taken under the state of emergency, and in general; notes with regret that in this context, the judicial reforms do not tackle these fundamental shortcomings; is highly concerned by the application of vague and overly broad terrorism measures including by restricting due process guarantees, prolonging the duration of pre-trial detention and allowing for continued dismissals of public officials because of alleged links to terrorist organisations, aiming to pursue politically motivated prosecutions of political opponents and mute human rights defenders, journalists and academic; strongly condemns the dismissals and forced removals of a large number of Turkish judges and prosecutors; recalls that any dismissals and appointments within the judiciary should be subjected to particularly exacting scrutiny, that the executive branch shall be prohibited to interfere with or attempt to exert influence over the judiciary and that the appointment of the judiciary shall respect the principles of independence and impartiality; is appalled by a reported pattern of persecution of lawyers representing individuals accused of terrorism, whereby lawyers were prosecuted for the same crime as that attributed to their client, or a related crime, in a context where this constituted a clear obstacle to the enjoyment of the right to fair trial and access to justice; urges the Turkish government to guarantee the independent work of lawyers and to release anyone illegally detained simply for performing their legal duties;

Or. en

Amendment 251
Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution

PE719.853v01-00

138/166

AM\1250839EN.docx

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey;

Amendment

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; ***which contain steps in the right direction although modest and not addressing the main concerns***; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey; ***notes in this regard the appointment of Irfan Fidan to the Turkish Constitutional Court in January 2021 after serving just twenty days on the Court of Cassation and previously acting as Istanbul Chief Public Prosecutor, where Mr Fidan was involved in the controversial cases brought against Osman Kavala, the Gezi Park protestors, and journalists Can Dündar and Erdem Gül, among others; points out the Council of Judges and Prosecutors (HSK), which is led by the Minister of Justice as its president and Mr Mehmet Akif Ekinci as its acting president, as the main element of concern with regard to the lack of judicial independence; reiterates its call for the shortcomings in the structure and process for the selection of the members of this Council to be addressed with a view to ensuring its independence and putting an end to its arbitrary decisions;***

Or. en

Amendment 252

Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Takes note of the adoption of the

Amendment

11. Takes note of the adoption of the

fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey;

fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021 ***which contain steps in the right direction although modest and not addressing the main concerns***; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey; ***notes in this regard the appointment of Irfan Fidan to the Turkish Constitutional Court in January 2021 after serving just twenty days on the Court of Cassation and previously acting as Istanbul Chief Public Prosecutor, where Mr Fidan was involved in the controversial cases brought against Osman Kavala, the Gezi Park protestors, and journalists Can Dündar and Erdem Gül, among others; points out the Council of Judges and Prosecutors (HSK), which is led by the Minister of Justice as its president and Mr Mehmet Akif Ekinci as its acting president, as the main element of concern with regard to the lack of judicial independence; reiterates its call for the shortcomings in the structure and process for the selection of the members of this Council to be addressed with a view to ensuring its independence and putting an end to its arbitrary decisions;***

Or. en

Amendment 253
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing

Amendment

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021 ***which contain steps in the right direction although modest and not addressing the main concerns***; asserts, however, that the current problems stem

adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey;

not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey; ***notes in this regard the appointment to the Turkish Constitutional Court in January 2021 of a judge who had served just twenty days on the Court of Cassation and who had previously acted as Istanbul Chief Public Prosecutor, being involved in the controversial cases brought against Osman Kavala, the Gezi Park protestors, and journalists Can Dündar and Erdem Gül, among others; points out the Council of Judges and Prosecutors (HSK), as the main element of concern with regard to the lack of judicial independence; reiterates its call for the shortcomings in the structure and process for the selection of the members of this Council to be addressed with a view to ensuring its independence and putting an end to its arbitrary decisions;***

Or. en

Amendment 254
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey;

Amendment

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey; ***stresses that this is an area of highest concern to us, as it represents the keystone of a functioning democratic system that works in the service and for the benefit of the***

population;

Or. en

Amendment 255

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey;

Amendment

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence *and impartiality* in Turkey; *regrets that public statements by executives about ongoing judicial cases, undermine the judicial independence and impartiality even further;*

Or. en

Amendment 256

Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Vangelis Meimarakis, Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Maria Spyraiki, Manolis Kefalogiannis, Stelios Kypouropoulos, Theodoros Zagorakis, Lefteris Christoforou, Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a *failure* to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and

Amendment

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a *lack of political will* to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the

judicial independence in Turkey;

rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 257

Malik Azmani, Urmas Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey;

Amendment

11. Takes note of the adoption of the fourth and fifth judicial packages in Turkey during 2021; asserts, however, that the current problems stem not only from problematic legislation, but are often caused by a failure to implement existing adequate provisions; remains concerned about the ***continuing*** erosion of the rule of law and judicial independence in Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 258

Sergey Lagodinsky

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

notes with deep concern that, despite the formal lifting of the state of emergency in July 2018, its impact on democracy and fundamental rights continues to be strongly felt and is still impacting many individuals, among the more than 152000 civil servants, including teachers, doctors, academics (for peace), lawyers, judges and prosecutors, who were arbitrarily dismissed and permanently banned from working in the public sector or even in

their profession as a whole; stresses that many of these dismissals continue to have devastating effects on those dismissed as well as their families, including a lasting social and professional stigma; has strong doubts about the functioning of the Inquiry Commission on the State of Emergency Measures (CoSEM) as an internal remedy due to its lack of independence and impartiality; notes that the arbitrary passport cancellations, despite some incremental improvements, remain a major breach of the freedom of movement;

Or. en

Amendment 259
Sergey Lagodinsky
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Recalls the unlawful and arbitrary detention of 427 judges and prosecutors in the wake of the attempted coup in July 2016; highlights the ECtHR's judgement "Turan vs. Turkey" from 23 November 2021 confirming the Turkish government's systematic violation of the right to freedom and security as set out on Article 5/1 ECHR; notes with concern the Turkish governments' refusal to abide by the Courts conclusion; Notes that according to 2021 statistics announced by Robert Spano, President of the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), Turkey ranks second after Russia, with 15,250 applications pending at the ECtHR, with two-thirds of them concerning alleged violations in arrests and trials related to the coup attempt of 15 July 2016; hopes that the ECtHR will be able to prioritise and accelerate delivering judgments in the numerous Turkish cases pending before

its court;

Or. en

Amendment 260
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Expresses concern about the high and growing number of applications lodged at the Constitutional Court concerning violations of constitutional rights and the lack of changes implemented when such violations are revealed;

Amendment

12. Expresses concern about the high and growing number of applications lodged at the Constitutional Court concerning violations of constitutional rights and the lack of changes implemented when such violations are revealed; ***notes the recent statements by the President of the Constitutional Court, Zühtü Arslan, recognizing that over 73% of the more than 66.000 applications received in 2021 concerned the right for a fair trial, describing this as a “dire situation”; questions the legality of changes in the procedural rules of the court allowing to defer decisions by a year; notes the adoption of a new human rights action plan by the Turkish authorities in March 2021 as welcome in principle, even though it leaves a number of underlying issues unaddressed; calls on the Turkish government to make the action plan real by inter alia discontinuing the selective interpretation of the Treaty of Lausanne’s provisions on minority rights, including by granting legal personality and education rights to any religious minority whether Muslim, Christian or other; calls on Turkey’s government to step up ongoing efforts related, among others, to the public recognition of the Alevi identity, the legal status of cemevis and their funding, in compliance with relevant ECtHR judgments on compulsory religion and ethics classes and Alevi worship places; urges Turkey’s authorities to increase their efforts to effectively address***

the dire situation of Roma, in particular with regard to housing and education, as they continue to suffer severe levels of poverty, unemployment, discrimination and exclusion; Calls on the full implementation of ECtHR judgments regarding conscientious objection; notes in this regard the action plan submitted by Turkish authorities to the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe and encourages them to develop further measures to ensure, through the necessary legislation, a fair and accessible exercise of the right to conscientious objection to military service;

Or. en

Amendment 261
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Expresses concern about the high and growing number of applications lodged at the Constitutional Court concerning violations of constitutional rights and the lack of changes implemented when such violations are revealed;

Amendment

12. Expresses concern about the high and growing number of applications lodged at the Constitutional Court concerning violations of constitutional rights and the lack of changes implemented when such violations are revealed; ***notes the recent statements by the President of the Constitutional Court, recognizing that over 73% of the more than 66.000 applications received in 2021 concerned the right for a fair trial, describing this as a “dire situation”; questions the changes in the procedural rules of the court allowing to defer decisions by a year;***

Or. en

Amendment 262
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. *Notes the adoption of a new human rights action plan by the Turkish authorities in March 2021 as welcome in principle, even though it leaves a number of underlying issues unaddressed; calls on the Turkish government to make the action plan real by inter alia discontinuing the selective interpretation of the Treaty of Lausanne's provisions on minority rights, including by granting legal personality and education rights to any religious minority whether Muslim, Christian or other; calls on Turkey's government to step up ongoing efforts related, among others, to the public recognition of the Alevi identity, the legal status of cemevis and their funding, in compliance with relevant ECtHR judgments on compulsory religion and ethics classes and Alevi worship places; urges Turkey's authorities to increase their efforts to effectively address the dire situation of Roma, in particular with regard to housing and education, as they continue to suffer severe levels of poverty, unemployment, discrimination and exclusion;*

Or. en

Amendment 263

Demetris Papadakis, Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides, Giuliano Pisapia

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. *Calls on Turkey to cooperate with the EU and the Council of Europe and its relevant bodies on deep and urgent reforms in these areas and in addressing their key recommendations, and fully*

implement all judgements of the European Court of Human Rights in line with Article 46 of the ECHR, as well as the payment of just satisfaction awarded by the ECtHR, an unconditional obligation deriving from Turkey's membership of the Council of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 264
Dietmar Köster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Expresses concern about the growing number of applications regarding conscientious objection at the Constitutional Court since the first application in 2017 that have been pending without any essential communication with the applicants;

Or. en

Amendment 265
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Calls on the full implementation of ECtHR judgments regarding conscientious objection; notes in this regard the action plan submitted by Turkish authorities to the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe and encourages them to develop further measures to ensure, through the necessary legislation, a fair and accessible exercise of the right to conscientious

objection to military service;

Or. en

Amendment 266
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey;

Amendment

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey; ***denounces the intimidation, harassment and violence exerted against human rights defenders, lawyers, journalists, academics, doctors who have provided assistance to the victims of torture, and other activists, especially those in the Kurdish community, and the arbitrary closure of civil society organisations, including prominent human rights non-governmental organisations and media; reiterates its call on Turkey's government to review the Law on Preventing Financing of Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction of December 2020, which grants the Turkish Interior Ministry and the president extensive authority to restrict the activities of non-governmental organisations, business partnerships, independent groups and associations and appears to be aimed at further limiting, restricting and controlling civil society; calls on the EU and its Member States to exert greater pressure on the Turkish Government and to step up their support for human rights defenders and independent civil society in Turkey, including through relevant financial instruments; calls on the Commission to provide, through the Instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance (IPA) III and relevant programmes of the NDICI-Global Europe instrument,***

sufficient funding for civil society, non-state actors and people-to-people contacts in order to prioritise the pro-democracy efforts; calls on the Commission to explore the funding of local governments concerning projects of mutual interest;

Or. en

Amendment 267
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey;

Amendment

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey; ***denounces the intimidation, harassment and violence exerted against human rights defenders, lawyers, journalists, academics, doctors who have provided assistance to the victims of torture, and other activists, especially those in the Kurdish community, and the arbitrary closure of civil society organisations, including prominent human rights non-governmental organisations and media; reiterates its call on Turkey's government to review the Law on Preventing Financing of Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction of December 2020, which grants the Turkish Interior Ministry and the president extensive authority to restrict the activities of non-governmental organisations, business partnerships, independent groups and associations and appears to be aimed at further limiting, restricting and controlling civil society; calls on the EU and its Member States to exert greater pressure on the Turkish Government and to step up their support for human rights defenders and independent civil society in Turkey, including through relevant***

financial instruments; calls on the Commission to provide, through the Instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance (IPA) III and relevant programmes of the NDICI-Global Europe instrument, sufficient funding for civil society, non-state actors and people-to-people contacts in order to prioritise the pro-democracy efforts; calls on the Commission to explore the funding of local governments concerning projects of mutual interest;

Or. en

Amendment 268
Sergey Lagodinsky
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey;

Amendment

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey; ***denounces the legal and administrative harassment and violence against human rights defenders, lawyers, journalists, academics, which particularly the Kurdish community suffers from; denounces the arbitrary closure of renowned civil society organisations and media outlets; calls on Turkey to view critical or dissenting voices, including human rights defenders, academics and journalists, as valuable contributors to social dialogue, rather than destabilising forces; notes with concern the grave impediment on the freedom to associate peacefully through the Law on Preventing Financing of Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction; is concerned by the observations from the Council of Europe's Commissioner for Human Rights and Parliamentary Assembly, who point that human rights organisations***

were the first to be audited pursuant to this law; urges Turkey to review the Law and to repeal the 36 articles of the Law which are not related to the prevention of financing or proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, in particular those articles which unduly target civil society;

Or. en

Amendment 269

Marc Angel, Malin Björk, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Terry Reintke, Pierre Karleskind, Maria Walsh, Frédérique Ries, Andreas Schieder, Dietmar Köster

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Regrets the sustained *pressure on* civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey;

Amendment

13. Regrets the sustained *harassment of* civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey; *notes the grave impediment on their freedom to associate peacefully through the Law on Preventing Financing of Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction; is concerned by the observations from the Council of Europe's Commissioner for Human Rights and Parliamentary Assembly, who point that human rights organisations were the first to be audited pursuant to this law; urges Turkey to review the articles of the Law which are not related to the prevention of financing or proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, in particular those articles which unduly target civil society;*

Or. en

Amendment 270

Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey;

Amendment

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey; ***denounces the intimidation, harassment and violence exerted against human rights defenders, lawyers, journalists, academics, doctors who have provided assistance to the victims of torture, and other activists, especially those in the Kurdish and pro-Kurdish community, and the arbitrary closure of civil society organisations, including prominent human rights non-governmental organisations and media; strongly condemns the use of terrorism and defamation charges to harass human rights defenders, and to violate their right to assembly;***

Or. en

Amendment 271
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey;

Amendment

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society and human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey; ***notes that discontent for the repressive government posture is growing within civil society, and this tendency has been clearly shown by the results of 2019 municipal elections; calls on the EU to continue supporting NGOs, civil society organisations and other relevant stakeholders that aim to reinforce civil rights in the country;***

Or. en

Amendment 272

Malik Azmani, Urmas Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Nathalie Loiseau, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries, Dragoș Tudorache

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Regrets the sustained pressure on civil society **and** human rights defenders and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey;

Amendment

13. Regrets the sustained **legal and administrative** pressure **by the Turkish government** on civil society, human rights defenders, **lawyers, journalists, academics, ethnic and religious minorities and numerous Turkish citizens** and the continuously shrinking space to operate freely in Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 273

Sergey Lagodinsky

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Urges to prioritize the vibrant civil society in Turkey and their pro-democracy efforts which could contribute to generating the political will necessary for strengthening EU-Turkey relations; encourages a new and immediate constructive dialogue mechanism with the Turkish civil society for mutual confidence building and for sustaining the democratic and pro-European aspirations of Turkish society, supporting an exchange which should concentrate in particular on democratisation, human rights, rule of law, good governance, sustainable development, green and digital transition;

Or. en

Amendment 274
Hermann Tertsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Regrets profoundly the continuing parlous situation in 2021 regarding fundamental rights and freedoms in Turkey, especially religious freedom and particularly that of Christian minorities that are increasingly suffering attacks, discrimination and marginalisation and even the conversion of Christian temples into mosques, as in the case of the Hagia Sophia, which is a clear affront to Christianity, in an attempt to oppose other religions inside and outside its borders, using Islam as a political weapon, something which poses a serious threat to the peace and well-being of both Europe and Turkey itself; regrets that the government has continued to reinforce a Sunni Islamic interpretation of religious pluralism, to the detriment of religious tolerance and the security and welfare of religious minorities; calls on it to actively combat common acts of vandalism and hate speech directed at minority religious communities; urges the Turkish Government to adopt a new human rights action plan to thoroughly address freedom of religion or belief;

Or. es

Amendment 275
Bert-Jan Ruissen, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Regrets that religious freedom conditions in Turkey have remained poor

during 2021 and that the government continued to further empower one interpretation of Sunni Islam over religious pluralism, to the detriment of religious tolerance and the safety and well-being of religious minorities; deplores that religious minority communities face a constant battle to retain and pass on their cultural and religious heritage; calls on the government to actively combat the common acts of vandalism and hate speech targeting religious minority communities; calls on the Turkish government to thoroughly address freedom of religion or belief in the new human rights action plan, by halting the selective interpretation of the Treaty of Lausanne's provisions on minority rights, including by granting legal personality and education rights to all religious minority organizations, including Christians, non-Sunni Muslims and Alevi and others by and providing funding and subsidies to religious organizations in a non-discriminatory manner;

Or. en

Amendment 276
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Underlines the importance of continued financial support from the EU to Turkish civil society, human rights defenders and journalists in order to promote democracy and increase people-to-people contacts;

Or. en

Amendment 277
Bert-Jan Ruissen, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 b. *Expresses its concern that the pandemic has fostered an increase in anti-Semitism and anti-Semitic rhetoric in Turkey, including by President Erdogan himself; calls on Turkey to actively combat anti-Semitism in all its forms in its society;*

Or. en

Amendment 278
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; ***believes that he is unlawfully held in prison on unjustified charges, for the purpose of silencing and deterring critical voices in Turkey***; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case; ***deplores the continued efforts to extend Mr Kavala's imprisonment through a series of complex evasive judicial tactics; points out as one of many irregularities the irrational merging of his case, known as the Gezi trial, with that of other defendants that saw their acquittals overturned over the course of 2021 which was signed off by the same judge who had requested it, from the 30th Heavy Penal Court, through a temporary appointment from one court to the other; condemns the repeated decisions by the Istanbul 30th***

Heavy Penal Court and the Istanbul 13th Heavy Penal Court, newly responsible after merging of the cases, to prolong the detention of Mr Kavala in violation of his right to freedom and security, most recently on 21 February 2022, when the court also ruled to split once again both cases, in the last example of an incomprehensible judiciary process; is appalled by the recent final opinion by Istanbul Public Prosecutor requesting aggravated life sentence for Mr Kavala under article 312 of the Turkish Criminal Code (attempting to overthrow the Government by force and violence) even if the ECtHR has already and specifically dismissed this accusation in its rulings; takes note of the recurrent decisions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe urging Mr Kavala's release, which culminated in the historical launching of infringement proceedings through interim-resolutions in December 2021 and February 2022 over Turkey's refusal to abide by the ECtHR's final judgement;

Or. en

Amendment 279

Loucas Fourlas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

Amendment

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; ***believes that he is unlawfully held in prison on unjustified charges, for the purpose of silencing and deterring critical voices in Turkey***; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case; ; ***deplores the continued efforts to extend Mr Kavala's imprisonment***

through a series of complex evasive judicial tactics; points out as one of many irregularities the irrational merging of his case with that of other defendants that saw their acquittals overturned over the course of 2021 which was signed off by the same judge who had requested it, Mahmut Başbuğ from the 30th Heavy Penal Court, through a temporary appointment from one court to the other; condemns the repeated decisions by the Istanbul 30th Heavy Penal Court and the Istanbul 13th Heavy Penal Court, newly responsible after merging of the cases and presided by judge Mesut Özdemir, to prolong the detention of Mr Kavala in violation of his right to freedom and security, most recently on 17 January 2022; takes note of the recurrent decisions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe urging Mr Kavala's release, which culminated in the historical launching of infringement proceedings through interim-resolutions in December 2021 and February 2022 over Turkey's refusal to abide by the ECtHR's final judgement;

Or. en

Amendment 280
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

Amendment

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; ***believes that he is unlawfully held in prison on unjustified charges, for the purpose of silencing and deterring critical voices in Turkey***; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case; ***deplores the continued efforts to***

extend Mr Kavala's imprisonment through a series of complex evasive judicial tactics; points out as one of many irregularities the irrational merging of his case with that of other defendants that saw their acquittals overturned over the course of 2021 which was signed off by the same judge who had requested it, Mahmut Başbuğ from the 30th Heavy Penal Court, through a temporary appointment from one court to the other; condemns the repeated decisions by the Istanbul 30th Heavy Penal Court and the Istanbul 13th Heavy Penal Court, newly responsible after merging of the cases and presided by judge Mesut Özdemir, to prolong the detention of Mr Kavala in violation of his right to freedom and security, most recently on 17 January 2022; takes note of the recurrent decisions by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe urging Mr Kavala's release, which culminated in the historical launching of infringement proceedings through interim-resolutions in December 2021 and February 2022 over Turkey's refusal to abide by the ECtHR's final judgement;

Or. en

Amendment 281

Sergey Lagodinsky

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

Amendment

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of **unjust and unlawful** detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide **in full** by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case; **condemns the attempts to prolong Osman Kavala's**

detention through judicial tactics including the merging and disjoining of case files; notes that the infringement proceedings by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe against Turkey highlight the seriousness of Turkey's violations of its obligations as a member of the CoE and an EU candidate country.

Or. en

Amendment 282

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

Amendment

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case; *welcomes the decision of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe to start an infringement procedure against Turkey due to its refusal to implement the decision of the ECtHR;*

Or. en

Amendment 283

David McAllister

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention;

Amendment

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention;

reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case ***and highlights the Council of Europe's decision to launch infringement proceedings in this regard;***

Or. en

Amendment 284
Gheorghe-Vlad Nistor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

Amendment

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to ***act in conformity with their international obligations and to*** abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

Or. en

Amendment 285
Malik Azmani, Urmas Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Nathalie Loiseau, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Dragoș Tudorache

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

Amendment

14. Continues to urge the Turkish Government to release Osman Kavala after more than four years of ***unlawful and illegitimate*** detention; reiterates its call on the Turkish authorities to abide by the final judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in this case;

Or. en

Amendment 286
Dietmar Köster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Urges the Turkish Government to implement the individual and general measures enshrined in the interim resolution concerning conscientious objection, calling on the Turkish government to constitutionally adopt conscientious objection as an exercise of freedom of thought, conscience and religion in accordance with the recommendations of the Committee of Ministers; urges to make necessary legal amendments to cease the cycle of prosecutions and punishments, as well as all the restrictions that prevents conscientious objectors to vote and to be elected, to work at state and private sectors, to have a bank account, to continue their higher education, to travel and accommodate freely, i.e. continue a living as equal citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 287
Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Condemns the oppression of ethnic and religious minorities, including that the languages of groups such as the Kurdish community remains prohibited as “mother-language” in education and in all areas of public life by the Turkish Constitution; is reminding that this is a

violation of International law which protects the rights of persons to assert their membership in an ethnic or religious minority and to express themselves in the minority's traditional language.

Or. en

Amendment 288
Charlie Weimers, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Strongly condemns the Turkish government's continued restrictive and intrusive policies on minority groups' religious freedom as well as the increase in incidents of vandalism and societal violence against religious minorities in 2021; recalls that freedom of religion or belief is a human right and calls on the Turkish government to respect it at all times;

Or. en

Amendment 289
Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Underlines Turkey's refusal to implement recommendations included in reports by the Council of Europe's Committee for the Prevention of Torture, including the situation of five inmates in the Imrali Island prison who are under absolute isolation and cannot meet even their lawyers and family members for years;

Amendment 290
Giorgos Georgiou, Özlem Demirel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Calls upon the Turkish authorities to demonstrate zero tolerance towards all incidents of physical and verbal abuse or threats against journalists, activists, trade unionists, members of political opposition and members of all minorities;

Or. en

Amendment 291
Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Is deeply worried about the arbitrary restrictions imposed on prisoners' rights to medical treatment and visitation;

Or. en

Amendment 292
Charlie Weimers, Bert-Jan Ruissen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Deplores that Turkey continues to provide financial and other support to the

Muslim Brotherhood;

Or. en

Amendment 293

Evin Incir

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***14 b. Underlines the need to resume the
Kurdish peace process;***

Or. en