



2022/2051(INL)

30.9.2022

AMENDMENTS

1 - 128

Draft opinion

Hilde Vautmans

(PE735.826v01-00)

Proposals of the European Parliament for the amendment of the Treaties
(2022/2051(INL))

Amendment 1

Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an ***unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and*** resulted in ***concrete*** proposals that need to be ***honoured even if they necessitated Treaty changes***;

Amendment

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an ***artificial project to bypass democratic institutions and has*** resulted in ***pre-written*** proposals that need to be ***reacted with indifference; notes with regret that the process was fundamentally undemocratic, lacked transparency, legitimacy and is not binding in any legal sense***;

Or. en

Amendment 2

Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as ***an unprecedented*** platform for ***discussion*** between citizens ***and*** politicians and resulted in ***concrete*** proposals that ***need to be honoured even if they necessitated Treaty changes***;

Amendment

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as ***a*** platform for ***guided discussions*** between citizens, ***representatives of governments, NGOs and (former)*** politicians and resulted in proposals that ***could be implemented without treaty change***;

Or. en

Amendment 3

Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

Amendment

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as ***an unprecedented*** platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in ***concrete proposals*** that need to ***be honoured even if they necessitated Treaty changes***;

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as ***a*** platform for discussion between citizens and politicians, ***however still engaging selective organizations and representing mostly the point of view of federalists***, and resulted in ***their own desired outcomes*** that need ***full support in the Council before they can be implemented***;

Or. en

Amendment 4 **Tom Vandenkendelaere**

Draft opinion **Recital A**

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals ***that need to be honoured*** even if they necessitated Treaty changes;

Amendment

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals ***which should seriously be taken into account by European decision-makers*** even if they necessitated Treaty changes;

Or. en

Amendment 5 **Francisco José Millán Mon**

Draft opinion **Recital A**

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals that need to be ***honoured*** even if they necessitated Treaty changes;

Amendment

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals that need to be ***analysed and their viability studied***, even if they necessitated Treaty changes;

Amendment 6

Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals that ***need to be honoured even if they necessitated*** Treaty changes;

Amendment

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between ***selected*** citizens and politicians ***from a selected spectrum of opinion*** and resulted in concrete proposals that ***are difficult to take into account, as they necessitate*** Treaty changes;

Or. sk

Amendment 7

Peter van Dalen

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals that need to be ***honoured*** even if they necessitated Treaty changes;

Amendment

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals that need to be ***considered*** even if they necessitated Treaty changes;

Or. en

Amendment 8

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals that need to be honoured even if they necessitated Treaty changes;

Amendment

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe ***represented a concrete, successful exercise of participative democracy and*** served as an unprecedented platform for ***bottom-up*** discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals that need to be honoured even if they necessitated Treaty changes; ***whereas this unprecedented exercise should be made permanent through an ad hoc platform, aimed at facilitating continued dialogue between European citizens and their elected representatives, while also including the citizens of the countries that are official and potential candidates for EU membership;***

Or. en

Amendment 9

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals that need to be honoured even if they necessitated Treaty changes;

Amendment

A. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe served as an unprecedented platform for discussion between citizens and politicians and resulted in concrete proposals that need to be honoured even if they ***might*** necessitated Treaty changes; ***whereas it is important to carefully consider each possible Treaty change and to compare all its potential advantages against a different, more efficient use of current Treaty features including a better implementation at policy or budgetary level;***

Or. en

Amendment 10
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

A a. whereas the Russian unprovoked, unjustified and illegal aggression on Ukraine that started on 24th February has increased the geopolitical volatility and security instability in Europe to unprecedented levels not experienced since the end of World War II paving the need for the EU to prioritise increasing the effectiveness of its foreign and security policy and increasing its ability to act to protect our values and interests;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

A a. whereas foreign policy, security and defence remain core competences of the Member States, with unanimity in decision-making being an important tool for finding common positions without forcing any EU country to act against its national interests;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion

Recital B

Draft opinion

B. *whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several **important** recommendations in the field of foreign affairs, which are all long-standing calls of the European Parliament;*

Amendment

B. *notes that many recommendations of "citizens'" panels are long-standing calls of the European Parliament;*

Or. en

Amendment 13

Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion

Recital B

Draft opinion

B. *whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several **important** recommendations in the field of foreign affairs, **which are all long-standing calls of the European Parliament;***

Amendment

B. *whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several recommendations in the field of foreign affairs;*

Or. en

Amendment 14

Peter van Dalen

Draft opinion

Recital B

Draft opinion

B. *whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several **important** recommendations in the field of foreign affairs, which are all long-standing calls of the European Parliament;*

Amendment

B. *whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several **specific** recommendations in the field of foreign affairs, which are all long-standing calls of the European Parliament;*

Or. en

Amendment 15
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several important recommendations in the field of foreign affairs, which are all long-standing calls of the European Parliament;

Amendment

B. whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several important recommendations in the field of foreign affairs **and EU external action**, which are all long-standing calls of the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several important recommendations in the field of foreign affairs, **which are all** long-standing calls of the European Parliament;

Amendment

B. whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several important recommendations in the field of foreign affairs **thus corroborating long** long-standing calls of the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several important recommendations in the field of

Amendment

B. whereas the citizens' panel 4 "EU in the world/ Migration" made several important recommendations in the field of

foreign affairs, which are **all** long-standing calls of the European Parliament;

foreign affairs, **many of** which are long-standing calls of the European Parliament;

Or. es

Amendment 18
Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion
Recital B a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

B a. whereas, as stated in the Conclusion of the Conference on the Future of Europe, a stronger EU in security and defence will contribute to European and global peace and it will support European values, such as the rule of law, democracy, human rights and gender equality and this can be achieved by promoting a common strategic culture;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

Amendment

C. whereas honouring those recommendations would make the Union a stronger and more visible global player, would lead to more efficient decision-making and would improve the European Parliament's scrutiny rights in the field of foreign policy being the only democratically elected institution of the Union;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 20

Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion

Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas honouring those recommendations would make the Union a ***stronger and more visible global player, would lead to more efficient decision-making and would improve the European Parliament's scrutiny rights in the field of foreign policy being the only democratically elected institution of the Union;***

Amendment

C. whereas honouring those recommendations would make the Union a ***super State;***

Or. en

Amendment 21

Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion

Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas honouring ***those recommendations*** would make the Union a stronger and more ***visible global player, would lead to more efficient decision-making*** and would improve the ***European Parliament's scrutiny rights in the field of foreign policy being the only democratically elected institution of the Union;***

Amendment

C. whereas honouring ***the principles of conferral, subsidiarity and proportionality*** would make the Union a stronger and more ***trusted entity*** and would improve the ***legitimacy of all EU-institutions in the eyes of citizens of Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 22

Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion

Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas honouring those recommendations would make the Union a stronger and more visible global player, would lead to more efficient decision-making **and would improve** the European Parliament's scrutiny rights in the field of foreign policy **being** the only democratically elected institution of the Union;

Amendment

C. whereas **it is necessary to consider and assess whether** honouring those recommendations would **genuinely** make the Union a stronger and more visible global player, **and whether it** would lead to more efficient decision-making, **with a view to enhancing** the European Parliament's scrutiny rights in the field of foreign policy, **as** the only democratically elected institution of the Union;

Or. sk

Amendment 23
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas honouring those recommendations would make the Union a stronger **and more** visible global player, would lead to more efficient decision-making and would improve the European Parliament's scrutiny rights in the field of foreign policy being the only democratically elected institution of the Union;

Amendment

C. whereas honouring those recommendations would make the Union a stronger, **more reliable, influential and** visible global player, would lead to more efficient decision-making and would improve the European Parliament's scrutiny rights in the field of foreign policy being the only democratically elected institution of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas **honouring** those recommendations **would** make the Union a

Amendment

C. whereas **acting on** those recommendations **could** make the Union a

stronger and more visible global player, would lead to more efficient decision-making and would improve the European Parliament's scrutiny rights in the field of foreign policy being the only democratically elected institution of the Union;

stronger and more visible global player, would lead to more efficient decision-making and would improve the European Parliament's scrutiny rights in the field of foreign policy being the only democratically elected institution of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Recital C a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

C a. whereas there are also options that could improve the Union's role in foreign affairs without Treaty changes; whereas in July 2022, the Czech Presidency sent a letter to Member States with a list of specific policy areas which could be switched to QMV via specific passerelles; whereas in this respect the Presidency listed 11 concrete areas of foreign affairs (CFSP), and also security and defence (CSDP) related to the Articles 24, 27, 28, 29, 37, 39, 41, 42, and 44 TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 26

Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion

Recital C a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

C a. whereas the use of a veto by one EU Member State to open accession negotiations with a candidate country, due to unresolved bilateral and regional

disputes linked to historical events, self-identification and cultural or linguistic rights, can block candidate countries' accession process and the use of veto by Member States for their own benefit is contrary to the spirit of the EU Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital C b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

C b. whereas the potential for fast, efficient and effective foreign policy, security and defence action as foreseen by the Lisbon Treaty has only been used and exploited in a very limited way during the past decade due to a lack of political will by Member States; whereas, due to the changing European security environment, it is high time to use all instruments as foreseen by the TEU, in particular as regards CSDP; reminds of Lisbon Treaty features such as a military start-up fund of Article 41(3b), the possibility to form a small group with more ambitious security and defence objectives of Article 44, or to define a truly European capabilities and armaments policy of Article 42(3) which exist since December 2009;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion
Recital C b (new)

C b. whereas the reckless Russian aggression and the invasion of Ukraine represents the start of a new era for the European Union and for the world order and underlines the need of a full reassessment of security and defence policies through a paradigm shift;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion
Recital C c (new)

C c. whereas there is an increasing challenge posed by cyber, hybrid and other asymmetric threats, including disinformation campaigns, and by the malicious use of ever-more sophisticated emerging and disruptive technologies; whereas foreign interference, information manipulation and disinformation are an abuse of the fundamental freedoms of expression and information and threaten these freedoms, values, democratic procedures, political processes, the security of states and citizens, and the capacity to cope with exceptional situations; whereas Russia has been engaging in disinformation of an unparalleled malice and magnitude across both traditional media outlets and social media platforms, in order to deceive its citizens at home and the international community on the eve of and during its war of aggression against Ukraine, which Russia started on 24 February 2022, proving that even information can be weaponised;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital C c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

C c. whereas passerelles could be used immediately to switch from unanimity to QMV in specific policy areas; whereas the current threat to European security requires immediate adaptation of some working methods;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. ***Calls*** for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) ***instead*** of unanimity, ***and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;***

1. ***Notes*** for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV); ***underlines, however, that foreign and security policy remains a core competence of Member States and the rule of unanimity serves as an important tool for finding compromises and build solidarity between them in most important areas, thus strengthening the Union and its unity and gives the EU stronger mandate for its global actions; recalls that even in a much bigger and more heterogeneous organisations such as NATO, decisions are taken on the basis of consensus;***

Or. en

Amendment 32
Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for *the main citizens' recommendations* in the field of foreign and security policy, *notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change*;

Amendment

1. Calls for *unanimity to be retained* in the field of foreign and security policy;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for the *main* citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, *notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change*;

Amendment

1. Calls for the citizens' *legitimate* recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy to be incorporated;

Or. sk

Amendment 34
Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, ***through*** the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) ***instead of unanimity***, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;

Amendment

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, ***which could lead to*** the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) ***in specific areas***, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;

Or. es

Amendment 35

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;

Amendment

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity ***in particular in specific policy areas such as human rights***, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change ***or alternatively via the use of passerelle clauses***;

Or. en

Amendment 36

Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;

Amendment

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, ***in order to become a more credible and efficient global player***, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;

Amendment

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a ***comprehensive*** Treaty change ***aimed at empowering the Union to become a decisive global player that puts its core principles and values at the centre of its action***;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;

Amendment

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, ***not*** to be incorporated in a Treaty change; ***calls the European institutions to stop bypassing the citizens of the Member States by seeking to emancipate themselves from their main means of expression: the vote;***

Or. en

Amendment 39

Hilde Vautmans, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Javier Nart, Nathalie Loiseau, Petras Auštrevičius, Ramona Strugariu, Dragoș Tudorache, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;

Amendment

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change; ***underlines that switching to QMV will ensure that the EU - instead of going with the lowest common denominator - acts quicker and more deliberate and will also shield the EU from third-country pressure and divide-and-rule tactics; stresses that this will help the EU develop into a credible geopolitical power, increase the effectiveness of its external action and hence will also strengthen the influence of Member States in an unstable, rapidly changing and increasingly multipolar***

world; recalls that Article 31(2) TEU, which is designed to ensure that no Member State could be imposed a decision on issues vital to its national interests if QMV were to be used in CFSP, would remain applicable;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change;

Amendment

1. Calls for the main citizens' recommendations in the field of foreign and security policy, notably the need to switch to more efficient decision-making, through the use of qualified majority voting (QMV) instead of unanimity, and the need to improve the Union's methods to impose sanctions, to be incorporated in a Treaty change; *proposes that qualified majority voting (QMV) be enacted for a test phase for a limited period and lapse automatically in order to assess its usefulness and impact on Member States' sovereignty;*

Or. en

Amendment 41
Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. *Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity*

Amendment

2. *Notes that the idea of qualified majority voting is a violation of the fundamental principles of the functioning of the EU and contradicts the substance*

to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

of the TEU; recalls that the Member States are and must remain sovereign and equal partners in the EU;

Or. sk

Amendment 42

Jaak Madison, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls *for a switch* to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, *notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;*

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls to *maintain* QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP;

Or. en

Amendment 43

Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European

Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; ***calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;***

Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; ***underlines that using these clauses requires a decision of the European Council and the consent of all national parliaments;***

Or. en

Amendment 44
Tom Vandenkendelaere

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; ***calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;***

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; ***deplores that these clauses have never been used contrary to justified expectations;***

Or. en

Amendment 45
Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European

Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in *all* decisions in the field of CFSP, notably *those concerning* sanctions and human rights, *as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will*;

Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in decisions in the field of CFSP, notably *as regards* sanctions and human rights;

Or. es

Amendment 46

Hilde Vautmans, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Javier Nart, Petras Auštrevičius, Ramona Strugariu, Dragoș Tudorache

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions *and* human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions, human rights *and in areas relevant to the accession process such as when deciding on the start of the negotiation process as well as the opening and closing of individual negotiations clusters and chapters*, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in ***all decisions in the field of CFSP***, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in ***priority areas in a year***, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Peter van Dalen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions ***in the field of CFSP, notably those*** concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will; ***calls on the Member States to demonstrate the political will to move further in the EU integration process, overcoming the cross-veto practice and opening up for the activation of the passerelle clauses in the short term;***

Or. en

Amendment 50
Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, ***in order to improve the Union's capacity to take speedy and effective decisions,*** as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political

will;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will;

Amendment

2. Recalls that Article 48(7) and Article 31(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) contain passerelle clauses that can make switching from unanimity to qualified majority voting possible in the area of common foreign and security policy (CFSP) without military implications; calls for a switch to QMV in all decisions in the field of CFSP, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights, as the passerelle clauses have never been used due to the lack of political will; ***demand the utilisation of passerelle clauses without further delay;***

Or. en

Amendment 52
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Is of the opinion that, in case it will be proven impossible to thoroughly revise the Treaties due to vetoes from some Member States, most notably in what concerns the adoption of QMV in foreign policy, the Union should consider the possibility to look into other forms of reinforced cooperation among those Member States that demonstrate the will

to move further in the integration process through stricter and binding coordination in their external action;

Or. en

Amendment 53
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Urges the Member States, within the Czech Presidency's efforts, to reach unanimity on using the passerelle clause for introducing QMV on specific foreign affairs and CSDP actions; reminds of the possibilities listed in the Presidency's questionnaire; believes that the current security situation in Europe requires immediate action and adaptation of the decision-making procedures in CFSP as provided rightfully by the current Treaty;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Calls the Council to act by a qualified majority where a decision provides for the interruption or reduction, in part or completely, of economic and financial relations with one or more third countries; calls for an amendment of Article 29 TEU in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 b. Urges the Member States to agree immediately on using the passerelle on introducing QMV in particular on sanctions and human rights;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications; **deleted**

Or. sk

Amendment 57
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of **deleted**

Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. *Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications;* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 59

Jaak Madison, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. *Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications;* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 60

Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. ***Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications;*** ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 61

Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. ***Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications;***

3. ***Calls for strengthening Article 42 TEU in order to preserve the unanimity rule in the Council, which is the only way to respect national sovereignty, especially for decisions with military implications;***

Or. en

Amendment 62

Tom Vandenkendelaere

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. ***Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications;***

3. ***Calls on Member States to reform the decision-making process, in particular Article 31 TEU, extending qualified majority to all decisions of EU foreign and security policy, notably those concerning sanctions and human rights; calls on Member States to also include decisions on defence matters or with***

military implications *in this reform, based on reinforced qualified majority voting as described in Article 42 TEU*;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Nathalie Loiseau

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications;

Amendment

3. Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications, *with the exception of decisions creating military missions or operations with an executive mandate under the CSDP, for which unanimity must still be required*;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications;

Amendment

3. Calls for *the viability to be studied of* an amendment of Article 42 TEU that would allow switching to reinforced QMV, requiring 72% of Council members representing at least 65% of population, for decisions with military implications;

Or. es

Amendment 65
Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. *Stresses the need to enhance the EU's capacity to act by reforming decision-making, including through the introduction of qualified majority voting in areas relevant to the accession process and an effective functioning of the enlarged union as a whole; stresses the need to abolish, in particular, the requirement for unanimity when deciding on the start of the negotiation process as well as the opening and closing of individual negotiating clusters and chapters; calls on the Member States to muster the political will to re-energise the enlargement process and deliver on their promises through concrete positive steps in the accession processes of countries that seek to join the Union and deserve to be part of the European family;*

Or. en

Amendment 66
Hilde Vautmans, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Javier Nart, Petras Auštrevičius, Dragoș Tudorache, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. *Underlines the need to reduce the EU's institutional complexity, in particular the EU's external representation; proposes in this regard to merge the function of President of the European Council and President of the European Commission and create a single Presidency of the EU to enhance the visibility, efficiency and consistency of EU*

external action; calls to make the relevant treaty provisions more explicit in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 67

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. *Stresses the need to introduce a role for the Parliament when it comes to the decision of sending military and civilian security missions abroad; believes it would be appropriate to have a plenary debate before the relevant Council decision and to adopt a plenary resolution that would politically authorize that operation including its objectives, means and duration;*

Or. en

Amendment 68

Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Underlines *the need to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice, as requested by the citizens of the Union in the Conference on the Future of Europe; points out that this can be done through a Treaty change that would name the Vice-President/High*

4. Underlines the Member States *have national interests that at times diverge and that the Union cannot legitimately speak with one voice unless a position has been reached by unanimity;*

Representative a foreign minister of the Union, would make him or her the main external representative of the Union in international fora and would allow him or her to be present ex-officio in negotiating formats initiated or led by the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Jaak Madison, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines *the need to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice, as requested by the citizens of the Union in the Conference on the Future of Europe; points out that this can be done through a Treaty change that would name the Vice-President/High Representative a foreign minister of the Union, would make him or her the main external representative of the Union in international fora and would allow him or her to be present ex-officio in negotiating formats initiated or led by the Member States;*

Amendment

4. Underlines *that Member States remain free to determine their own foreign policy and that an increased role for the European Union and its bodies in this regard would severely undermine the sovereignty of Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 70

Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. ***Underlines the need*** to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy ***and*** to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice, ***as requested by the citizens of the Union in the Conference on the Future of Europe***; points out that ***his*** can be done through a ***Treaty change that would name the Vice-President/High Representative a foreign minister of the Union, would make him or her the main external representative of the Union in international fora and would allow him or her to be present ex-officio in negotiating formats initiated or led by the Member States***;

4. ***Notes the suggestions*** to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy ***in assisting to find compromises between the Member States in order*** to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice; points out that ***this*** can be done through a ***greater willingness to reach the common perception of threats by deeper solidarity, respect for all Member States regardless of their size or political affiliation and cannot be imposed by the largest and the most powerful Member States; in this regard reminds about mistakes and detrimental policies in the fields of energy, migration and naive and weak policy of mitigation towards Russia despite numerous warnings from Poland and the Baltic States***;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to ***strengthen*** the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice, ***as requested by the citizens of the Union in the Conference on the Future of Europe***; points out that ***his*** can be done through a ***Treaty change that would name the Vice-President/High Representative a foreign minister of the Union, would make him or her the main external representative of the Union in international fora and would allow him or her to be present ex-officio in negotiating formats initiated or led by the Member***

Amendment

4. Underlines the need to ***reform*** the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice ***where there is consensus among all Member States on a given issue***;

States;

Or. sk

Amendment 72

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice, as requested by the citizens of the Union in the Conference on the Future of Europe; *points out that his can be done through a Treaty change that would name the Vice-President/High Representative a foreign minister of the Union, would make him or her the main external representative of the Union in international fora and would allow him or her to be present ex-officio in negotiating formats initiated or led by the Member States;*

Amendment

4. Underlines the need to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice, as requested by the citizens of the Union in the Conference on the Future of Europe; *calls on the VP/HR and the EEAS to make proposals on how to strengthen coherence and consistency between national foreign policies by Member States and agreed positions at the EU level;*

Or. en

Amendment 73

Peter van Dalen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks

Amendment

4. Underlines the need to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks

with one voice, *as requested by the citizens of the Union in the Conference on the Future of Europe; points out that his can be done through a Treaty change that would name the Vice-President/High Representative a foreign minister of the Union, would make* him or her the main external representative of the Union in international fora and *would allow* him or her to be present ex-officio in negotiating formats initiated or led by the Member States;

with one voice, *by making* him or her the main external representative of the Union in international fora and *allowing* him or her to be present ex-officio in negotiating formats initiated or led by the Member States, *whilst respecting the competences of national foreign ministers;*

Or. en

Amendment 74
Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice, as requested by the citizens of the Union in the Conference on the Future of Europe; points out that his can be done through a Treaty change that would name the Vice-President/High Representative a foreign minister of the Union, would make him or her the main external representative of the Union in international fora *and would allow him or her to be present ex-officio in negotiating formats initiated or led by the Member States;*

Amendment

4. Underlines the need to strengthen the role of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and to ensure that the Union speaks with one voice, as requested by the citizens of the Union in the Conference on the Future of Europe; points out that his can be done through a Treaty change that would name the Vice-President/High Representative a foreign minister of the Union, would make him or her the main external representative of the Union in international fora;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Stresses the necessity to strengthen the Union's External Action with own and permanent EU instruments and resources in this dimension in order for the Union to be a fully-fledged and credible global player; calls for an autonomous European Diplomacy with EU diplomats trained in a European Diplomatic Academy, on the basis of this Parliament's Pilot Project in this regard, which is determined by a common diplomatic culture from an EU perspective; calls for the strengthening of the EU's International Cultural Relations by developing a EU instrument that can embody a cultural face of the Union worldwide;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Highlights the necessity to clearly define the competences of the Vice-President of the Commission/High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy, the President of the European Commission and the President of the European Council as the current regulatory framework provided by the Treaties and the inter-institutional agreements on the external representation of the EU abroad is unclear concerning the competences of each institutional figure; considers that this lack of clarity can lead to some duplication in the EU's external action or mislead EU's

*counterparts and/or interlocutors
worldwide in their relations with the
Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 77
Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. *Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of threats defined in the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. sk

Amendment 78
Peter van Dalen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of *threats* defined in *the mutual defence clause stipulated in* Article 42(7) TEU to include hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries;

Amendment

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of *tasks* defined in Article **43(1)** TEU to include *combating* hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 79
Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of threats defined in the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include **hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third** countries;

Amendment

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of threats defined in the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include **potential threats from countries presented as like-minded partners**;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers it necessary to **broaden the scope of threats defined in** the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries;

Amendment

5. Considers it necessary to **urgently develop a solidarity policy strategy and operational implementation measures as regards** the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU **and** to include hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries **in that strategy**;

Or. en

Amendment 81

Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of threats defined in the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include hybrid **threats, disinformation campaigns and economic**

Amendment

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of threats defined in the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include hybrid **wars**;

coercion by third countries;

Or. es

Amendment 82

Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of threats defined in the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries;

Amendment

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of threats defined in the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns, **energy blackmail** and economic coercion by third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of threats defined in the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include hybrid threats, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries;

Amendment

5. Considers it necessary to broaden the scope of threats defined in the mutual defence clause stipulated in Article 42(7) TEU to include hybrid threats, **cyberthreats**, disinformation campaigns and economic coercion by third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. *Calls on the Member States to provide new competences and legal basis to countering disinformation and foreign malign propaganda; calls for the EU to lead the debate on the legal implications of foreign interference, to promote common international definitions and attribution rules and to develop an international framework for responses to interference; highlights the need for global, multilateral cooperation between like-minded countries to exchange best practices and identify common responses to global, but also shared domestic, challenges, including collective sanctions, the protection of human rights and democratic standards;*

Or. en

Amendment 85
Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. *Requests the strengthening of the role of Union delegations in the implementation of foreign policy by amending the wording of Article 221 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 86
Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. ***Requests the strengthening of the role of Union delegations in the implementation of foreign policy by amending the wording of Article 221 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);***

deleted

Or. sk

Amendment 87

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Requests the strengthening of the role of Union delegations in the implementation of foreign policy ***by amending the wording of Article 221 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);***

6. Requests the strengthening of the role of Union delegations in the implementation of foreign policy;

Or. en

Amendment 88

Jaak Madison, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Requests ***the strengthening of*** the role of Union delegations in the implementation of foreign policy by amending the wording of Article 221 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);

6. Requests ***that*** the role of Union delegations in the implementation of foreign policy by amending the wording of Article 221 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) ***not be strengthened as this would create unnecessary duplication of actions in countries where Member States already have diplomatic representation;***

Amendment 89
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests the strengthening of the role of Union delegations in the implementation of foreign policy by amending the wording of Article 221 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);

Amendment

6. Requests the strengthening of the role of Union delegations in the implementation of foreign policy by amending the wording of Article 221 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU); ***calls for the strengthening of the EEAS' capacities, resources and personnel, including by updating the "Council Decision of 26 July 2010", so it can better fulfil EU's objectives and interests worldwide;***

Amendment 90
David McAllister

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Stresses the urgent need to establish efficient decision-making formats of cooperation, such as a European Security Council, comprised of the Member States' Foreign Affairs Ministers that could be responsible for responding swiftly in emergency situations, in order to develop an integrated approach to conflict and crisis;

Amendment 91
Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the wording of Article 36 TEU concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 92
Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the wording of Article 36 TEU concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on the main aspects

7. recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy;

and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Anna Fotyga

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the wording of Article 36 TEU concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; ***calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken;*** recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; ***suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU;*** calls

Amendment

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the wording of Article 36 TEU concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Dragoş Tudorache

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the wording of Article 36 TEU ***concerning the consultation of*** the European ***Parliament*** on the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

Amendment

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's ***decision-making and*** scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the wording of Article 36 TEU, ***mandating*** the European ***Parliament's approval*** on the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy ***and its consultation on all significant foreign policy decisions***; calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken; ***calls for amending Article 218(2) by including the European Parliament alongside the Council as jointly responsible to "authorise the opening of negotiations, adopt negotiating directives, authorise the signing of agreements and conclude them"***; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the wording of Article 36 TEU concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; ***calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken***; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

Amendment

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the wording of Article 36 TEU concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

Or. es

Amendment 96
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the ***wording*** of Article 36 TEU concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on the main aspects

Amendment

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, notably by strengthening the ***implementation*** of Article 36 TEU concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on

and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require *the European Parliament's authorisation to open negotiations and adopt negotiating directives, as well as* the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field, *notably by strengthening the wording of Article 36 TEU* concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of

Amendment

7. Stresses the importance of reinforcing the Parliament's scrutiny rights in the foreign policy field concerning the consultation of the European Parliament on the main aspects and strategic choices in the field of CFSP and common security and defence policy; calls for changing Article 218 TFEU to require the European Parliament's consent for all international agreements and before decisions on the provisional application of international agreements are taken; recalls the proven value of parliamentary diplomacy; suggests therefore introducing provisions for involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with the Council in the implementation of international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more

international agreements in Article 218(9) TFEU; calls for more involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

involvement of the European Parliament in the Team Europe approach;

Or. sk

Amendment 98
Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. *Calls for inclusion of the principle of “strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;* ***deleted***

Or. es

Amendment 99
Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. *Calls for inclusion of the principle of “strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 100
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. *Calls for inclusion of the principle of “strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;*

Amendment

8. *Reminds that the EU will become an effective diplomatic and security actor based on strong action and that the EU will increase its strategic sovereignty only via concrete measures, policies, budgets and commitments;*

Or. en

Amendment 101

Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. *Calls for inclusion of the principle of “strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;*

Amendment

8. *Underlines that the Russian war of aggression against Ukraine has clearly exposed the lack of substance of the concept of “strategic autonomy”, as European security is impossible to maintain without a strong transatlantic bond; underlines that the lack of political will to act decisively, including in the face of responsibility to prevent genocide through appropriate and necessary means, even in the immediate neighborhood of the EU, should be considered as a real challenge and obstacle to gain the status of a global player;*

Or. en

Amendment 102

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls for ***inclusion*** of the ***principle*** of “strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

Amendment

8. Calls for ***a precise, all-encompassing definition*** of the ***concept*** of “strategic autonomy” ***that would include all the domains it can touch upon, and for the inclusion of this principle*** into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Jaak Madison, Bernhard Zimniok, Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls for ***inclusion of*** the principle of “strategic autonomy” ***into*** the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

Amendment

8. Calls for the principle of “strategic autonomy” ***not to be included in*** the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU, ***since the term is vague and has not been adequately defined***;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls for inclusion of the principle of “strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

Amendment

8. Calls for inclusion of the principle of “strategic autonomy” ***of the Member States*** into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

Amendment 105

Hilde Vautmans, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Javier Nart, Petras Auštrevičius, Ramona Strugariu, Dragoș Tudorache, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls for inclusion of the principle of “strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

Amendment

8. Calls for inclusion of the principle of “strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 *or Article 22(2)* TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Dragoș Tudorache

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls for inclusion of the principle of “strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

Amendment

8. Calls for inclusion of the principle of “*open* strategic autonomy” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 107

David McAllister

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls for inclusion of the principle

Amendment

8. Calls for inclusion of the principle

of “strategic *autonomy*” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

of “strategic *sovereignty*” into the list of objectives to be achieved through the Union’s foreign and security policy enshrined in Article 21 TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. *Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. sk

Amendment 109
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. *Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures;*

Amendment

9. *Recalls that Article 42(3) TEU lays the foundations for a European capabilities and armaments policy which should, inter alia, include joint procurement; stresses that due to the threats to European security, there is an urgent need to set up a holistic European capabilities policy without any further delay; urges the Member States to pool parts of their increasing national defence budgets at EU level and to urgently establish another off-budget financial*

facility that addresses the entire life-cycle of military capabilities at EU level from collaborative R&D and joint procurement to joint maintenance, training and security of supply; reminds in this respect paragraph 1(m) of its recommendation of 8 June 2022 on the EU's Foreign, Security and Defence Policy after the Russian war of aggression against Ukraine;

Or. en

Amendment 110

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling *the joint procurement of defence equipment and* other security-related spending from the budget of the Union as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures;

Amendment

9. *Welcomes the Commission proposal for a regulation allowing the joint purchase of defence equipment (Edirpa);* calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU *consolidating this possibility and* enabling other security-related spending from the budget of the Union as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures; *calls for the extension of the possibilities for financing common military expenditure from the EU budget in order to allow a proper parliamentary budgetary control;*

Or. en

Amendment 111

David Lega

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. ***Calls for the*** introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union ***as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures;***

9. ***Stresses that any*** introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union ***should be drawn up in close collaboration with the Member States, and be designed so that the Member States' right to transparency and influence is ensured in matters of national defence;***

Or. en

Amendment 112

Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. ***Calls for the introduction of*** provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures;

Amendment

9. ***Notes the*** provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures; ***underlines the need of close cooperation and coordination with NATO in order to avoid duplication of structures and duties;***

Or. en

Amendment 113

Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union ***as***

Amendment

9. Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union;

well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Thierry Mariani, Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union *as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures;*

Amendment

9. Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 115

Michael Gahler

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union as well as the establishment of joint military units *and* command structures;

Amendment

9. Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union as well as the establishment of joint *and permanently stationed* military units *including* command structures;

Or. en

Amendment 116

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures;

Amendment

9. Calls for the introduction of provisions in Articles 42 and 46 TEU enabling the joint procurement of defence equipment and other security-related spending from the budget of the Union ***favouring European products whenever possible*** as well as the establishment of joint military units and command structures; ***calls on the Member States to put aside nationalistic attitudes and to demonstrate proper commitment towards the reinforcement of the EU's Defence Technological and Industrial Base through meaningful participation into the EU framework for joint development of defence capabilities;***

Or. en

Amendment 117
Peter van Dalen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. Recalls that, if a Convention were to be convened for the purpose of Treaty change, the Member States are morally obliged to use this opportunity to change Protocol (no. 6) point a. to the Treaties, making Brussels the only and official seat of the European Parliament; is of the position that continuing the monthly commute to Strasbourg at the expense of taxpayers money would be disrespectful to European citizens, especially in times of increasing concerns regarding the cost of living and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 118

Hilde Vautmans, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Javier Nart, Nathalie Loiseau, Petras Auštrevičius, Ramona Strugariu, Dragoș Tudorache, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. Calls for the establishment of new formats of cooperation and discussion, such as a European Security Council and for the formalisation of an EU Council of Defence Ministers; believes that such new formats are particularly relevant in an increasingly unstable world and following Russia's illegal war in Ukraine which has affected Europe's security significantly; calls furthermore for the establishment of a fully-fledged Security and Defence Committee in Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Michael Gahler

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. Calls for the revision of Article 346 TFEU in order to limit possibilities for the EUMS to deviate from the provisions of the procurement directive (2009/81/EC) and avoid further fragmentation of the internal market, as well as to introduce the requirement of justification for such deviations to be assessed by the Commission and communicated to the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 120
Anna Fotyga, Jacek Saryusz-Wolski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. In the process of amending the Treaties calls for the inclusion of wording stipulating constant structured cooperation in the area of security with NATO, which is and should remain the main guarantor of security and defence for the whole transatlantic area;

Or. en

Amendment 121
Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. Calls for the transformation of The Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) into a common EU policy with an opt-out option, thus allowing the European Parliament to exercise genuine budgetary control;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Nacho Sánchez Amor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. Calls to move forward towards an own and permanent seat for the Union in

every multilateral fora, including in the UNSC, which would strengthen EU's actorness, coherence and credibility in the world;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Alessandra Moretti

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to convene a specific ad-hoc working group to reflect on possible Treaty changes, with a view to convening a convention composed of representatives of the national parliaments, the Heads of State or Government of the Member States, the European Parliament and the Commission.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 124
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to convene a specific ad-hoc working group to reflect on possible Treaty changes, with a view to convening a convention composed of representatives of the national parliaments, the Heads of State or Government of the Member States, the European Parliament and the Commission.

deleted

Amendment 125

Milan Uhrík

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls on the Council to convene a specific ad-hoc working group to reflect on possible Treaty changes, with a view to convening a convention composed of representatives of the national parliaments, the Heads of State or Government of the Member States, the European Parliament and the Commission.

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 126

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls on the Council to convene a specific ad-hoc working group to reflect on possible Treaty changes, with a view to convening a convention composed of representatives of the national parliaments, the Heads of State or Government of the Member States, the European Parliament and the Commission.

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to convene a convention composed of representatives of the national parliaments, the Heads of State or Government of the Member States, the European Parliament and the Commission to propose possible Treaty changes.

Amendment 127

Charlie Weimers, Michiel Hoogeveen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls on the Council to convene a specific ad-hoc working group to reflect on ***possible Treaty changes, with a view to convening a convention*** composed of representatives of the national parliaments, the Heads of State or Government of the Member States, the European Parliament and the Commission.

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to convene a specific ad-hoc working group to reflect on ***how to strengthen the principles of conferral, subsidiarity and proportionality*** composed of representatives of the national parliaments, the Heads of State or Government of the Member States, the European Parliament and the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 128
Peter van Dalen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls on the Council to convene a specific ad-hoc working group to reflect on possible Treaty changes, ***with a view to convening*** a convention composed of representatives of the national parliaments, the Heads of State or Government of the Member States, the European Parliament and the Commission.

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to convene a specific ad-hoc working group to reflect on possible Treaty changes, ***before deciding whether to convene*** a convention composed of representatives of the national parliaments, the Heads of State or Government of the Member States, the European Parliament and the Commission.

Or. en