



2025/2145(DEC)

27.11.2025

AMENDMENTS

1 - 41

Draft opinion
Evin Incir
(PE779.393v01)

Discharge 2024: General budget of the EU - Commission
(2025/2145(DEC))

Amendment 1

Roberto Vannacci, António Tânger Corrêa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that the current audit year is marked by continued geopolitical instability and global challenges; recalls that since Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine, the EU has provided economic, humanitarian and military support to Ukraine worth over €177 billion; **highlights the importance of the €50 billion Ukraine Facility adopted in 2024;** underlines the need to **accelerate both military and reconstruction aid** to Ukraine;

Amendment

1. Notes that the current audit year is marked by continued geopolitical instability and global challenges; recalls that since Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine, the EU has provided economic, humanitarian and military support to Ukraine worth over €177 billion; underlines the need to **implement strong oversight and rigorous audit of all assistance provided** to Ukraine, **taking into account the recent cases of corruption and misappropriation in the country, which make strict and robust control over disbursed funds all the more necessary;**

Or. en

Amendment 2

Sebastian Tynkkynen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that the current audit year is marked by continued geopolitical instability and global challenges; recalls that since Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine, the EU has provided economic, humanitarian and military support to Ukraine worth over €177 billion; highlights the importance of the €50 billion Ukraine Facility adopted in 2024; underlines the need to accelerate both military and reconstruction aid to Ukraine;

Amendment

1. Notes that the current audit year is marked by continued geopolitical instability and global challenges; recalls that since Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine, the EU has provided economic, humanitarian and military support to Ukraine worth over €177 billion; highlights the importance of the €50 billion Ukraine Facility adopted in 2024; **emphasises, however, that the measures taken are totally inadequate given the seriousness of the situation, and** underlines the need to **significantly accelerate and intensify** both

military and reconstruction aid to Ukraine;

Or. fi

Amendment 3
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that the current audit year is marked by continued geopolitical instability and global challenges; recalls that since Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine, the EU has provided economic, humanitarian and military support to Ukraine worth over €177 billion; highlights the importance of the €50 billion Ukraine Facility adopted in 2024; underlines the need to accelerate both military and reconstruction aid to Ukraine;

Amendment

1. Notes that the current audit year is marked by continued geopolitical instability and global challenges; recalls that since Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine, the EU has provided economic, humanitarian and military support to Ukraine worth over €177 billion; highlights the importance of the €50 billion Ukraine Facility adopted in 2024; underlines the need to accelerate both military and reconstruction aid to Ukraine; ***Calls on the Commission to ensure the transparent, timely and efficient implementation of the Ukraine Facility, supported by strengthened monitoring, auditing and reporting mechanisms to guarantee proper use of funds; underlines the need to improve procurement efficiency,***

Or. en

Amendment 4
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Underlines with grave concern that the Collectif pour l'Inclusion et contre l'Islamophobie en Belgique (CIIB) was awarded approximately EUR 206 000

in European subsidies for the “MAGIC” project by the Directorate-General for Justice and Consumers, despite the Belgian state security service linking the organisation to the Muslim Brotherhood, an organisation considered by several security services to aim at the Islamisation of Western societies through subversive networks^{1a};

1a

<https://www.brusselstimes.com/belgium/1665732/fwb-urged-to-investigate-group-accused-of-links-to-muslim-brotherhood>

Or. en

Amendment 5
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 b. Underlines with severe concern the subjective and inconsistent manner in which funds are allocated by the European Commission to candidate and partner countries, highlighting the evident double standards applied in the distribution of EU financial support;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 c. Expresses deep concern at the

persistent lack of transparency in the Commission's management of external financial instruments, including insufficient public reporting and unclear criteria for the selection of beneficiaries;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 d. Criticises the Commission for inadequate due-diligence and vetting of NGOs and other implementing partners in third countries, which has led to funding being allocated to entities with questionable governance, political affiliations or links to extremist networks;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 e. Regrets the Commission's inconsistent application of conditionality and political criteria in funding decisions affecting candidate and partner countries, and warns that such double standards undermine the EU's credibility as a geopolitical actor;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 f. Criticises the Commission for failing to respond swiftly and decisively to credible allegations of misuse, diversion or fraud involving EU funds in partner countries, and calls for immediate suspension mechanisms and independent investigations;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 g. Expresses grave concern that EU funds have been allocated to organisations in partner countries that have documented links to extremist groups, and calls on the Commission to introduce mandatory security checks and intelligence cooperation before approving grants;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 h (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 h. Welcomes the launch of the Growth Plan for Moldova as a significant initiative to foster economic development and strengthen Moldova's resilience; Stresses the need for the Commission to adopt a more realistic approach when imposing conditionalities for financing, ensuring that the specific circumstances and needs of each country are properly considered, especially in the areas of energy and security, where tailored solutions are essential to address the unique challenges faced by each nation;

Or. en

**Amendment 12
Cristian Terheş**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 i (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 i. Encourages further mobilisation of the European Peace Facility to address the pressing security challenges arising from Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and the ongoing hybrid threats faced by Moldova; emphasizes the need to provide comprehensive support to bolster resilience, enhance defense capabilities, and counteract destabilizing actions in both contexts;

Or. en

**Amendment 13
Cristian Terheş**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 j (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 j. Urges the Commission to set up ex ante mechanisms clearly identifying NGOs operating on Union territory and abroad that have acknowledged ties to terrorist or extremists networks and push forward an agenda that is undermining Union values;

Or. en

**Amendment 14
Cristian Terheş**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 k (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 k. Underlines that significant conceptual weaknesses persist in the Commission's approach to monitoring Aid for Trade (AfT), preventing a comprehensive and accurate picture of EU AfT from being established; notes that the Commission's monitoring of financial inputs remains incomplete, as annual progress reports quantify commitments solely by region, income group, category, sector or type of financing flow, without providing further breakdowns — particularly for least developed countries (LDCs). Stresses that the relevance and comparability of the Commission's reporting are further undermined by the fact that AfT flows are recorded on the basis of commitments, which are multiannual but registered entirely in the year they are signed, making annual comparisons unreliable; highlights that commitments do not necessarily translate into payments ^{3a};

^{3a}

https://www.eca.europa.eu/ECAPublications/SR-2025-17/SR-2025-17_EN.pdf

Amendment 15
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

11. Underlines with concern that in June 2025 the Commission announced that it had allocated €52 million to UNRWA as part of a €202 million package (of which €150 million went to the Palestinian Authority) for different services including education; deplores the problematic and hateful material in Palestinian school textbooks and study cards which has still not been removed; underlines that education and pupils' access to peaceful and unbiased textbooks is essential, especially in the context of the rising implication of teenagers in terrorist attacks; stresses that financial support from the Union for the Palestinian Authority in the area of education shall be provided on the condition that textbook content is aligned with UNESCO standards, as decided upon by Union education ministers in Paris on 17 March 2015, that all anti-Semitic references are deleted, and examples that incite hatred and violence are removed, as repeatedly requested in the resolutions accompanying the discharge decisions in respect of the implementation of the general budget of the European Union for the financial years 2016, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023 ^{1a};

^{1a} https://north-africa-middle-east-gulf.ec.europa.eu/news/eu-provides-eu30-million-unrwa-sustain-vital-services-palestine-refugees-2025-07-29_en

Amendment 16**Roberto Vannacci, António Tânger Corrêa****Draft opinion****Paragraph 2***Draft opinion*

2. Underlines the *essential* role of the EU's external policies under heading 6 (Neighbourhood and the World) in upholding and promoting EU values, democracy, equality, security and stability amid Russia's ongoing war of aggression against Ukraine and other global challenges; *emphasises the need for reinforced rule of law conditionality in pre-accession assistance*; highlights the importance of the €6 billion Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans, adopted in 2024; calls for *the access and funding of the UN Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East to be reinstated in full, with* strong oversight and accountability to help the humanitarian situation in Gaza;

Amendment

2. Underlines the role *and cost* of the EU's external policies under heading 6 (Neighbourhood and the World) in upholding and promoting EU values, democracy, equality, security and stability amid Russia's ongoing war of aggression against Ukraine and other global challenges; highlights the importance of the €6 billion Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans, adopted in 2024; calls for strong oversight and accountability *in access and funding* to help the humanitarian situation in Gaza;

Amendment 17**Alice Teodorescu Măwe, Céline Imart, Sander Smit, Niclas Herbst, Lukas Mandl, Miriam Lexmann, Henrik Dahl, Antonio López-Istúriz White, Hildegard Bentele, Monika Hohlmeier****Draft opinion****Paragraph 2***Draft opinion*

2. Underlines the essential role of the EU's external policies under heading 6 (Neighbourhood and the World) in upholding and promoting EU values,

Amendment

2. Underlines the essential role of the EU's external policies under heading 6 (Neighbourhood and the World) in upholding and promoting EU values,

democracy, equality, security and stability amid Russia's ongoing war of aggression against Ukraine and other global challenges; emphasises the need for reinforced rule of law conditionality in pre-accession assistance; highlights the importance of the €6 billion Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans, adopted in 2024; calls for ***the access and funding of the UN Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East to be reinstated in full, with strong oversight and accountability to help the*** humanitarian situation in Gaza;

democracy, equality, security and stability amid Russia's ongoing war of aggression against Ukraine and other global challenges; emphasises the need for reinforced rule of law conditionality in pre-accession assistance; highlights the importance of the €6 billion Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans, adopted in 2024; calls for humanitarian ***aid to alleviate the*** situation in Gaza ***and affirms that aid cannot be given to UNRWA as the organisation has proven connections to Hamas; calls upon the EU to only give aid to organisations that are proven reliable and trustworthy;***

Or. en

Amendment 18 Sebastian Tynkkynen

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Underlines the essential role of the EU's external policies under heading 6 (Neighbourhood and the World) in upholding and promoting EU values, democracy, equality, security and stability amid Russia's ongoing war of aggression against Ukraine and other global challenges; emphasises the need for reinforced rule of law conditionality in pre-accession assistance; highlights the importance of the €6 billion Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans, adopted in 2024; calls for ***the access and funding of the UN Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East to be reinstated in full, with strong oversight and accountability to help the*** humanitarian situation in Gaza;

Amendment

2. Underlines the essential role of the EU's external policies under heading 6 (Neighbourhood and the World) in upholding and promoting EU values, democracy, equality, security and stability amid Russia's ongoing war of aggression against Ukraine and other global challenges; ***underlines the principle that EU candidate countries must, as a rule, demonstrate their readiness to become eligible for EU membership on their own, and that progress must not be based on or dependent on financial support from the EU;*** emphasises the need for reinforced rule of law conditionality in pre-accession assistance; highlights the importance of the €6 billion Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans, adopted in 2024; calls for ***stronger measures to ensure that EU funds allocated to help the humanitarian situation in Gaza are not***

channelled, directly or indirectly, towards the promotion of extremist aims;

Or. fi

Amendment 19
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Underlines the essential role of the EU's external policies under heading 6 (Neighbourhood and the World) in upholding and promoting EU values, democracy, equality, security and stability amid Russia's ongoing war of aggression against Ukraine and other global challenges; emphasises the need for reinforced rule of law conditionality in pre-accession assistance; highlights the importance of the €6 billion Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans, adopted in 2024; calls for the access and funding of the UN Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East to be reinstated in full, with strong oversight and accountability to help the humanitarian situation in Gaza;

Amendment

2. Underlines the essential role of the EU's external policies under heading 6 (Neighbourhood and the World) in upholding and promoting EU values, democracy, equality, security and stability amid Russia's ongoing war of aggression against Ukraine and other global challenges; emphasises the need for reinforced rule of law conditionality in pre-accession assistance; highlights the importance of the €6 billion Reform and Growth Facility for the Western Balkans, adopted in 2024; calls for the access and funding of the UN Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East to be reinstated in full, with strong oversight and accountability to help the humanitarian situation in Gaza; ***Supports humanitarian aid to civilians in Gaza and the region, while insisting on strict oversight, transparency and compliance mechanisms for all EU funding to UNRWA and other implementing partners; calls for a comprehensive Commission report on improvements in monitoring and risk-mitigation measures.***

Or. en

Amendment 20
Cristian Terhes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. *Notes with concern that EU funding granted to non-governmental organisations continues to suffer from a lack of transparency, as highlighted by the European Court of Auditors^{1a}; stresses that, despite repeated calls from both the ECA and the European Parliament, information regarding EU-funded NGOs involved in internal policy areas still remains inaccurate and incomplete; regrets that the Commission has not properly disclosed EU-funded advocacy and lobbying activities, and that no active mechanisms are in place to verify whether funded NGOs comply with EU values, thereby exposing the Union to potential risks;*

1a

<https://www.eca.europa.eu/en/news/news-sr-2025-11>

Or. en

Amendment 21
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. *Calls for closer examination of China's and Russia's influence in the Western Balkans and Eastern Europe, noting the importance of understanding how these external actors shape developments on the ground; calls on the Commission to integrate these risks into its financial programming, fraud risk assessments and project-level due-*

diligence.

Or. en

Amendment 22
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 b. Notes that, during the 2021–2023 period covered by the ECA Special report 11/2025 "transparency of EU funding granted to NGOs – Despite progress, the overview is still not reliable ", the Commission reported committing €4.8 billion to NGOs under internal policies; further notes that, for the European Social Fund Plus (ESF+) and the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) — the two shared-management funds within the scope of the audit — Member State authorities reported an additional €2.6 billion in grants to NGOs; highlights that, in total, EU funding commitments for these policies amounted to €7.4 billion yet transparency, monitoring and accountability mechanisms remain inadequate and fail to provide a clear picture of how these funds are used^{1a};

1a

<https://www.eca.europa.eu/en/publications?ref=SR-2025-11>

Or. en

Amendment 23
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 b. Calls on the Commission to ensure that increased EU financial support to the Republic of Moldova is accompanied by robust risk-management, strict conditionality and regular reporting, particularly in the fields of resilience, energy security, public administration reform and strategic communication.

Or. en

**Amendment 24
Michael Gahler**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 c. Stresses that EU financial assistance to Georgia must strictly follow NDICI-Global Europe conditionality; calls on the Commission to reassess budgetary support programmes in light of recent democratic backsliding, ensuring that EU funds are disbursed only where they demonstrably contribute to rule-of-law reforms and EU value alignment; calls for increased support to independent civil society where state-level conditions are not met.

Or. en

**Amendment 25
Michael Gahler**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 d (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 d. Calls on the Commission to reinforce financial due-diligence and procurement safeguards under Global Gateway to prevent EU-funded infrastructure projects from creating or deepening strategic dependencies on China; stresses the need for transparent procurement, risk mitigation and consistent application of EU standards.

Or. en

**Amendment 26
Michael Gahler**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 e (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 e. Encourages the Commission to expand cooperation with like-minded partners in the implementation of Global Gateway projects in order to reduce vulnerabilities and ensure high levels of transparency, sustainability and financial accountability.

Or. en

**Amendment 27
Michael Gahler**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 f (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 f. Calls on the Commission to ensure that external funding dedicated to addressing the root causes of irregular migration and improving border management delivers measurable results

and adheres to strict performance indicators; calls for stronger oversight of EU-funded anti-smuggling, return cooperation and capacity-building programmes, ensuring efficient use of resources and full respect for human rights.

Or. en

Amendment 28
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 g. Calls on the Commission to ensure rigorous financial oversight in the implementation of EU defence-related instruments, including EDIRPA and EDIP, by improving procurement transparency, strengthening audit trails and facilitating reporting to Parliament on budget execution and performance.

Or. en

Amendment 29
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 h (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 h. Calls on the Commission to increase rapid-response funding for human rights defenders, independent journalists, women's rights NGOs and democratic activists in partner countries, ensuring flexible, low-bureaucracy access to support where government-level

conditionality cannot be met.

Or. en

Amendment 30
Sebastian Tynkkynen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Notes the **4.9%** material error rate under heading 6 in 2024, mainly linked to ineligible costs and procurement issues; welcomes the Commission's effective controls over the clearance of pre-financing paid to international organisations; calls for the prompt implementation of the ECA's recommendations, including the elimination of ambiguity in contract conditions and beneficiary guidelines, as well as ensuring compliance with contractual limits on indirect costs;

Amendment

3. Notes ***with concern that*** the material error rate under heading 6 in 2024 ***was as high as 4.9%***, mainly linked to ineligible costs and procurement issues; welcomes the Commission's effective controls over the clearance of pre-financing paid to international organisations; calls for the prompt implementation of the ECA's recommendations, including the elimination of ambiguity in contract conditions and beneficiary guidelines, as well as ensuring compliance with contractual limits on indirect costs;

Or. fi

Amendment 31
Roberto Vannacci, António Tânger Corrêa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Notes the 4.9% material error rate under heading 6 in 2024, mainly linked to ineligible costs and procurement issues; welcomes the Commission's effective controls over the clearance of pre-financing paid to international organisations; calls for the prompt implementation of the ECA's recommendations, including the elimination of ambiguity in contract

Amendment

3. Notes the 4.9% material error rate under heading 6 in 2024, mainly linked to ineligible costs and procurement issues; welcomes the Commission's effective controls over the clearance of pre-financing paid to international organisations; calls for the prompt ***and strict*** implementation of the ECA's recommendations, including the elimination of ambiguity in contract

conditions and beneficiary guidelines, as well as ensuring compliance with contractual limits on indirect costs;

conditions and beneficiary guidelines, as well as ensuring compliance with contractual limits on indirect costs;

Or. en

Amendment 32

Hilde Vautmans, Dan Barna, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Notes the 4.9% material error rate under heading 6 in 2024, mainly linked to ineligible costs and procurement issues; welcomes the Commission's effective controls over the clearance of pre-financing paid to international organisations; calls for the prompt implementation of the ECA's recommendations, including the elimination of ambiguity in contract conditions and beneficiary guidelines, as well as ensuring compliance with contractual limits on indirect costs;

Amendment

3. Notes the 4.9% material error rate under heading 6 in 2024, mainly linked to ineligible costs and procurement issues; welcomes the Commission's effective controls over the clearance of pre-financing paid to international organisations; calls for the prompt implementation of the ECA's recommendations, including the elimination of ambiguity in contract conditions and beneficiary guidelines, as well as ensuring compliance with contractual limits on indirect costs; ***reiterates that Global Gateway should contribute to global stability and security, while strengthening Europe's resilience; stresses, further, that to align political commitment and geopolitical ambitions, a renewed commitment to timely delivery, stronger local ownership in the identification of projects as well as well-defined private sector engagement is needed; calls on the Commission to accelerate the realization of projects and to streamline the amount of flagship projects with the aim of maximising the impact of the initiative;***

Or. en

Amendment 33

Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Underlines with concern that ECA found that the Commission and other bodies do not proactively verify whether recipients comply with EU values, and that certain advocacy activities were funded in a manner that lacked full transparency; calls on the Commission to apply a consistent classification of NGOs, to improve the quality and accuracy of EU spending data, and to actively ensure that all recipients comply with EU values;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Encourages the Commission to increase transparency by publishing accessible dashboards tracking high-value external action contracts, performance indicators, and the status of ECA recommendations.

Or. en

Amendment 35
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. Regrets the persistent lack of transparency in the design and implementation of the Global Gateway, noting that the Commission has failed to provide clear criteria for project selection, measurable targets, or publicly accessible reporting, thereby preventing Parliament from exercising effective oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. Calls on the Commission to deepen cooperation with OLAF and EPPO in external financing and to systematically inform Parliament on cases where EU external funds may have been misused.

Or. en

Amendment 37
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 c. Calls for a robust conditionality within the IPA framework and firmly placing democratic fundamentals at the centre of the EU's approach; stresses that the principle of "more for more and less for less" must be consistently applied to ensure credibility and effectiveness.

Or. en

Amendment 38
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 c. Expresses concern that the Commission continues to prioritise announcements and political branding over concrete delivery under the Global Gateway, noting that many flagship projects remain in early conceptual stages despite repeated public claims of progress;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 d. Criticises the Commission for the fragmented and uncoordinated governance of the Global Gateway, marked by unclear division of responsibilities between DG INTPA, DG NEAR, the EEAS and the EIB, leading to duplication of work, slow implementation and inconsistent communication with partner countries; warns that the Global Gateway has yet to provide a coherent geopolitical counterweight to competing global initiatives, due to weak strategic prioritisation, insufficient focus on partner-country needs, and limited involvement of EU delegations in project identification and follow-up;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 d. Calls on the Commission to ensure that IPA III and NDICI-Global Europe funding strictly follow merit-based conditionality, linking disbursements to tangible progress on rule of law, judiciary reforms, media freedom and alignment with EU foreign and security policy; stresses that backsliding must trigger immediate reprogramming towards civil society and local pro-reform stakeholders.

Or. en

Amendment 41
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 e. Calls on the Commission to ensure that programming and disbursement of NDICI-Global Europe funds strictly adhere to the Regulation's requirements, including respect for human rights, democratic processes and civic space; welcomes the Commission's decision to pause the adoption of the draft implementing decision concerning Tanzania and calls for continued assessment before any disbursements.

Or. en