Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

AMENDMENTS
4937 - 5253

Proposal for a regulation

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the Common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1305/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council
AM_Com_LegReport
Amendment 4937  
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – EU cross-cutting objective: Modernisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fostering knowledge, innovation and digitalisation in agriculture and rural areas and encouraging their uptake</td>
<td>Fostering knowledge and innovation in agriculture and rural areas and encouraging their uptake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. es

Amendment 4938  
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – EU cross-cutting objective: Modernisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fostering knowledge, innovation and digitalisation in agriculture and rural areas and encouraging their uptake</td>
<td>Enhancing competitiveness by modernising the sector through fostering knowledge, innovation and digitalisation in agriculture and rural areas and encouraging their uptake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en

Amendment 4939  
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – Result indicators – R.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R.1 Enhancing performance through knowledge and innovation: Share of</td>
<td>R.1 Enhancing performance through knowledge and innovation: Share of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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farmers receiving support for advice, training, knowledge exchange, or participation in operational groups to enhance economic, environmental, climate and resource efficiency performance

beneficiaries receiving support for advice, training, knowledge exchange, or participation in operational groups to enhance economic, environmental, climate and resource efficiency performance.

Justification

Other beneficiaries should be taken into account

Amendment 4940
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.1

Text proposed by the Commission

R.1 Enhancing performance through knowledge and innovation: Share of farmers receiving support for advice, training, knowledge exchange, or participation in operational groups to enhance economic, environmental, climate and resource efficiency performance.

Amendment

R.1 Enhancing performance through knowledge and innovation: Share of farmers receiving support for advice, training, knowledge exchange, or participation in operational groups to enhance economic, environmental, climate and resource sustainability performance.

Amendment 4941
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.1

Text proposed by the Commission

R.1 Enhancing performance through knowledge and innovation: Share of farmers receiving support for advice, training, knowledge exchange, or participation in operational groups to

Amendment

R.1 Enhancing performance through knowledge and innovation:

Share of farmers receiving support for advice, training, knowledge exchange, or participation in operational groups to
enhance economic, environmental, climate and resource efficiency performance. enhance economic, environmental, climate and the sustainable use of resources.

Amendment 4942
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.2

Text proposed by the Commission
R.2 Linking advice and knowledge systems: number of advisors integrated within AKIS (compared to total number of farmers)

Amendment
deleted

Or. de

Amendment 4943
Martín Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
R.2a Knowledge and advice on the sustainable use of pesticides: Number of independent advisors advising on IPM, low input systems and techniques alternative to chemical inputs

Amendment

Or. en
Annex I – Output indicators – O.2

_text proposed by the Commission_  

**O.2 Number of advisors setting up or participating in EIP operational groups**  
deleted

_or en_

Amendment 4945  
_Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch_  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – Result indicators – R.3

_text proposed by the Commission_  

**R.3 Digitising agriculture**: Share of farmers benefiting from support to precision farming technology through CAP

_text proposed by the Commission_  

**R.3 Reducing input dependency**: Share of farmers benefiting from support to _appropriate_ precision farming technology through CAP _that leads to reduced input dependency and resource use_

_or en_

Amendment 4946  
_Maria Noichl_

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – Result indicators – R.3

_text proposed by the Commission_  

**R.3 Digitising** agriculture: Share of farmers benefiting from support _to precision farming technology_ through CAP

_text proposed by the Commission_  

**R.3 Modernising** agriculture: Share of farmers benefiting from support _for the ecological shift and climate adaptation_ through CAP

_or de_
Amendment 4947
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.3

Text proposed by the Commission

R.3  **Digitising** agriculture: Share of farmers benefitting from support to precision farming technology through CAP

Amendment

R.3  **Modernising** agriculture: Share of farmers benefitting from support with the environmental and climate transition through CAP

Or. es

Amendment 4948
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU cross-cutting objective (new) – Women’s rights (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

EU cross-cutting objective: Women's Rights

Indicator: R.3a Establish separate data for women across every possible indicator (result and output indicators)

Result indicators: Analyse the access women have to farm ownership and support

Broad type of intervention: CAP support

Output indicators: O.2a Evolution of farm ownership

Amendment

Or. es

Amendment 4949
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Support viable farm income and resilience across the Union to enhance food security

Amendment

Support viable farm income and resilience across the Union to enhance business development

Or. en

Amendment 4950
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Support viable farm income and resilience across the Union to enhance food security

Amendment

Support viable family farm income and resilience across the Union to enhance food security

Or. en

Amendment 4951
Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

I.5a Global food security and Policy coherence for development: income of small-scale food producers in LDCs; SDG FAO indicators

Amendment


Or. en
Justification

The FAO has already developed a series of indicators to assess the achievement of the sustainable development goals

Amendment 4952
Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.6 a (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R.6a   Policy coherence for development: food price abnormalities in sectors where CAP support is deployed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Justification

Policy coherence with development cooperation objectives is laid down in article 208 TFEU and applies to all EU policy

Amendment 4953
Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.6 b (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R.6b   Policy coherence for development: Number of early warnings issued by the EU market observatories per sector where CAP support is deployed; Number of complaints filed by developing country partners to Hearing Officers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en
Justification

Both are part of the monitoring mechanism for policy coherence with development objectives, as defined article 208 TFEU. The mechanism proposed in other amendments (i) expands the role of the existing market observatories to detect impacts of the CAP on food security in sensitive sectors in least developed countries (LDCs), and (ii) uses the existing liaison function of hearing officers in the European Commission.

Amendment 4954
Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.6 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.6c Maintaining and increasing the number of farmers: Number of CAP beneficiaries

Amendment

Or. en

Justification

It is a policy failure to accept the loss of hundreds of farming structures per day. This leaves huge gaps in the socio-economic fabric of rural areas, driving rural depopulation and causing other problems downstream. It is NOT an inevitability: rather, the CAP should be empowering farmers to make their small business work, enabling cooperation and helping them find their markets, which can be local and regional.

Amendment 4955
Bronis Ropé, Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.6 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.6d Maintaining and increasing the number of small farmers: Number of CAP beneficiaries per size categories; number of small farmers according to

Amendment
Eurostat definitions

Justification

It is a policy failure to accept the loss of hundreds of farming structures per day. This leaves huge gaps in the socio-economic fabric of rural areas, driving rural depopulation and causing other problems downstream. It is NOT an inevitability: rather, the CAP should be empowering farmers to make their small business work, enabling cooperation and helping them find their markets, which can be local and regional.

Amendment 4956
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O.3 Number of CAP support beneficiaries</td>
<td>O.3 Number of CAP support beneficiaries, and number of ultimate beneficial owners of agricultural holdings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Justification

This intends to reduce concentration (reduced numbers of beneficiaries leading to loss of farms)

Amendment 4957
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.5 a (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O.5a Number of beneficiaries for basic income support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment 4958
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.7a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

O.7a  Number of beneficiaries receiving funding through eco-schemes

Or. en

Amendment 4959
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.7b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

O.7b  Number of beneficiaries receiving an additional bonus through eco-schemes

Or. en

Amendment 4960
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.7c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

O.7c  Number of beneficiaries receiving funding through boost-schemes

Or. en
Amendment 4961
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

I.6a Relocalise, diversify and balance production sectors: share of the regional agricultural area used for each production sector

Or. en

Justification

In order to achieve shorter food chain and to encourage regional markets, we need to balance out productions sectors within each region, to cut distances goods are traveling

Amendment 4962
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.9

Text proposed by the Commission

R.9 Farm modernisation: Share of farmers receiving investment support to restructure and modernise, including to improve resource efficiency

Or. en

Justification

Number of farms would be more useful

Amendment 4963
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.9a  Diversification and building of regional markets: share of the regional agricultural area used for each crop or production sector

Amendment

Or. en

Justification

In order to achieve shorter food chain and to encourage regional markets, we need to balance out production sectors within each region, to cut distances goods are traveling.

Amendment 4964
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.9 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.9b  Development of organic agriculture: share of farmers receiving payments to convert to or maintain organic farming practices

Amendment

Or. en

Justification

Development of share of organic agriculture in the EU is needed to match EU supply to EU demand and prevent relying on imports.

Amendment 4965
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
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Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission
Enhance market orientation and increase competitiveness, including greater focus on research, technology and digitalisation

Amendment
Enhance market orientation and increase competitiveness, including greater focus on research, innovation, technology and digitalisation

Or. en

Amendment 4966
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – I.6

Text proposed by the Commission
I.6 Increasing farm productivity:
Total factor productivity

Amendment
deleted

Or. es

Amendment 4967
Jan Huijema, Fredrick Federley
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
I.6a Incentivising farmers to provide public goods and services: Value added for farmers through eco-schemes

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 4968
Norbert Erdős
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.8

Text proposed by the Commission

R.8 Targeting farms in sectors in difficulties: Share of farmers benefitting from coupled support for improving competitiveness, sustainability or quality

Amendment

R.8a Rewards for the production of public goods and services: Share of farmers benefitting from funding through eco-schemes

Amendment 4969
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.8a Rewards for the production of public goods and services: Share of farmers benefitting from funding through eco-schemes

Amendment

R.8b Independence from basic income support for sustainability: Share of farm income dependent on basic income support

Amendment 4970
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley
Amendment 4971
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – I.7

Text proposed by the Commission

I.7 Harness Agri-food trade: Agri-food trade imports and exports

Amendment

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 4972
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.9

Text proposed by the Commission

R.9 Farm modernisation: Share of farmers receiving investment support to restructure and modernise, including to improve resource efficiency

Amendment

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 4973
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU specific objectives – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

enhance market orientation and increase competitiveness, including greater focus on research, technology and digitalisation

Amendment

enhance market orientation on a local, state and European level, and increase social, environmental, territorial and economic competitiveness
Amendment 4974
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.10

Text proposed by the Commission

R.10 Better supply chain organisation: Share of farmers participating in supported Producer Groups, Producer Organisations, local markets, short supply chain circuits and quality schemes

Amendment

R.10 Better supply chain organisation: Share of farmers and SMEs participating in supported Producer Groups, Producer Organisations, local markets, short supply chain circuits and quality schemes

Or. en

Justification

SMEs should be taken into account

Amendment 4975
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation, as well as sustainable energy

Amendment

Contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation, as well as sustainable energy to ensure food security for the future

Or. en

Amendment 4976
Maria Lídia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU specific objectives – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission
contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation, as well as sustainable energy

Amendment
contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation

Or. es

Amendment 4977
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
I.9a Improving resilience with enhanced agro-biodiversity:
Agrobiodiversity Index, measuring varietal diversity within and among crop species

Amendment

Or. en

Justification
Despite the FAO’s warnings on alarming decrease of agro-biodiversity in farming systems, & EU accession to the CBD and the FAO’s International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA), it is absent from the CAP draft. Preservation of landraces and local and traditional animal breeds was identified the 4th major priorities of the CAP by all participants in the public consultation. These international commitments, as well as input from the public need addressing

Amendment 4978
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.10
I.10 Contribute to climate change mitigation: Reducing GHG emissions from agriculture per unit of agricultural output or amount of food produced

Amendment 4979
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.10

I.10 Contribute to climate change mitigation: Reduced GHG emissions from agriculture per unit of agricultural output or amount of food produced

Amendment 4980
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.11

I.11 Enhancing carbon sequestration: Increased soil organic carbon

Amendment 4981
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.12

Text proposed by the Commission

**I.12 Increase sustainable energy in agriculture: Production of renewable energy from agriculture and forestry**

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Agricultural land should be used in principle for food, not for fuel

Amendment 4982
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – I.12

Text proposed by the Commission

**I.12 Increase sustainable energy in agriculture: Production of renewable energy from agriculture and forestry**

Amendment

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 4983
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.12

Text proposed by the Commission

I.12 Increase sustainable energy in agriculture: Production of renewable energy from agriculture and forestry

Amendment

I.12 Increase sustainable energy in agroforestry: Production of renewable energy from agroforestry
Amendment 4984
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.12

Text proposed by the Commission
R.12 Adaptation to climate change: Share of agricultural land under commitments to improve climate adaptation

Amendment
R.12 Adaptation to climate change: Share of agricultural land under commitments to adopt nature based solutions to improve climate adaptation

Justification

Amendment 4985
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – R.12 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
R.12a Improving resilience with enhanced agro-biodiversity: share of agricultural land under ‘eco-schemes’ using practices and choices beneficial for agro-biodiversity

Amendment

Justification

Despite the FAO’s warnings on alarming decrease of agro-biodiversity in farming systems, & EU accession to the CBD and the FAO’s International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA), it is absent from the CAP draft. Preservation of landraces and local and traditional animal breeds was identified the 4th major priorities of the CAP by all participants in the public consultation. These international commitments, as well as input
from the public need addressing

Amendment 4986
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.13

Text proposed by the Commission

I.13 Reducing soil erosion: Percentage of land in moderate and severe soil erosion on agricultural land

Amendment

I.13 Reducing soil erosion and increase resilience against flooding: Percentage of land in moderate and severe soil erosion on agricultural land

Or. en

Amendment 4987
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

I.13a Building topsoils and increasing water and nutrient retention capacity: Percentage of humus in topsoils

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 4988
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.13
**Text proposed by the Commission**

R.13 Reducing emissions in the livestock sector: Share of livestock units under support to reduce GHG emissions and/or ammonia, including manure management

**Amendment**

R.13 Reducing emissions in the livestock sector: Share of livestock units under support to reduce GHG emissions and/or ammonia, including manure management, or share of holdings under commitments to decrease their livestock density from 2020 level

Or. en

**Amendment 4989**

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Result indicators – R.13 a (new)

**Text proposed by the Commission**

R.13a reducing the GHG emissions of crops: share of farms having reduced their synthetic nitrogenous fertiliser use by at least 50%

**Amendment**

R.13a Carbon storage in soils and biomass: Share of farms having reduced their synthetic nitrogenous fertiliser use by at least 50%

Or. de

**Amendment 4990**

Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Result indicators – R.14

**Text proposed by the Commission**

R.14 Carbon storage in soils and biomass: Share of agricultural land under commitments to reducing emissions, maintaining and/or enhancing carbon storage (permanent grassland, agricultural land in peatland, forest, etc.)

**Amendment**

R.14 Carbon storage in soils and biomass: Share of land under commitments to reducing emissions, maintaining and/or enhancing carbon storage (permanent grassland, agricultural land in peatland, forest, etc.)
Amendment 4991
Norbert Erdős

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.14

Text proposed by the Commission
R.14 Carbon storage in soils and biomass: Share of agricultural land under commitments to reducing emissions, maintaining and/or enhancing carbon storage (permanent grassland, agricultural land in peatland, forest, etc.)

Amendment
R.14 Carbon storage in soils and biomass: Share of agricultural land under commitments to reducing emissions, maintaining and/or enhancing carbon storage (permanent grassland, agricultural land in peatland, forest, etc.) and land under cultivation of agricultural practices having practically equivalent effect

Amendment 4992
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.14

Text proposed by the Commission
R.14 Carbon storage in soils and biomass: Share of agricultural land under commitments to reducing emissions, maintaining and/or enhancing carbon storage (permanent grassland, agricultural land in peatland, forest, etc.)

Amendment
R.14 Carbon storage in soils and biomass: Share of agricultural land under commitments to reducing emissions, maintaining and/or enhancing carbon storage (permanent grassland, agricultural land in peatland, forest, etc.), increase in cropland used to grow legumes (in monoculture or polyculture)
Amendment 4993
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.15

Text proposed by the Commission

R.15  Green energy from agriculture and forestry: Investments in renewable energy production capacity, including bio-based (MW)

Amendment

R.15  Limiting biofuel linked indirect land-use change and global deforestation: Investments in renewable energy production capacity, including bio-based (MW)

Justification

Agrofuel production often has more negative ecological footprint in terms of GHG emissions than conventional fuels. Therefore, it should not be encouraged. Wood energy, in addition to being inefficient, drives important land-use change. We need to measure these investments to limit their growth.

Amendment 4994
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.15

Text proposed by the Commission

R.15  Green energy from agriculture and forestry: Investments in renewable energy production capacity, including bio-based (MW)

Amendment

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 4995
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.16

Text proposed by the Commission

R.16 Enhance energy efficiency: Energy savings in agriculture

Amendment

R.16 Enhance energy efficiency: absolute Energy savings in agriculture

Or. en

Amendment 4996
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.17

Text proposed by the Commission

R.17 Afforested land: Area supported for afforestation and creation of woodland, including agroforestry

Amendment

deleted

R.17 Afforested land: Area supported for creation and restoration of natural permanent woodland, including agroforestry

Or. es

Amendment 4997
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.17

Text proposed by the Commission

R.17 Afforested land: Area supported for afforestation and creation of woodland, including agroforestry

Amendment

R.17 Afforested land: Area supported for creation and restoration of natural permanent woodland, including agroforestry

Or. en

Amendment 4998
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

O.13a Number of units other than hectares covered by environment/climate commitments going beyond mandatory requirements

Or. en

Amendment 4999
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

O.13a Number of hectares under measures supporting high nature value farming

Or. en

Amendment 5000
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Foster sustainable development and efficient management of natural resources such as water, soil and air

Foster sustainable development and efficient management of natural resources including water, soil and air

Or. en
Amendment 5001
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.18

Text proposed by the Commission

R.18 Improving soils: Share of agricultural land under management commitments beneficial for soil management

Amendment

R.18 Improving soils and increasing their resilience against extreme weather conditions: Share of agricultural land under management commitments beneficial for soil management, as outlined in the FAO Voluntary Guidelines for Soil Sustainable Management Practices

Or. en

Amendment 5002
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.18

Text proposed by the Commission

R.18 Improving soils: Share of agricultural land under management commitments beneficial for soil management

Amendment

R.18 Improving soils: Share of agricultural land under management commitments to improve soil quality

Or. de

Amendment 5003
Martín Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.18 a (new)
Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.18a Soil health: Abundance and diversity of soil biota

Justification

We need to bring soils back to life to kick-start ecological processes to rebuild topsoils and humus. This would increase water and nutrients retention

Amendment 5004
Martin Häuseling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.19

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.19 Improving air quality: Share of agricultural land under commitments to reduce ammonia emission

Justification

Member states are already measuring this

Amendment 5005
Martin Häuseling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.20
R.20 Protecting water quality: Share of agricultural land under management commitments for water quality

Amendment

R.20 Protecting water quality: Share of agricultural land under management commitments for water quality, in accordance with Nitrates Action Programmes and notified through the Programmes of Measures in the River Basin Management Plans

Or. en

Justification

Member states are already measuring this

Amendment 5006
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.20

Text proposed by the Commission

R.20 Protecting water quality: Share of agricultural land under management commitments for water quality

Amendment

R.20 Protecting water quality: Share of set-aside land (such as buffer strips) for improving water quality

Or. en

Amendment 5007
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.21

Text proposed by the Commission

R.21 Sustainable nutrient management: Share of agricultural land under commitments related to improved nutrient management

Amendment

R.21 Sustainable nutrient management: Share of agricultural land under commitments related to sustainable nutrient management
Amendment 5008
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.22

Text proposed by the Commission

R.22  Sustainable water use: Share of irrigated land under commitments to improve water balance

Amendment

R.22  Sustainable water use: Share of irrigated land under commitments to improve water balance, including measures to reduce soil erosion, water metering and to increase soil water retention capacity, in accordance with the Programmes of Measures in the River Basin Management Plans

Or. en

Justification

Member states are already measuring this

Amendment 5009
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.22

Text proposed by the Commission

R.22  Sustainable water use: Share of irrigated land under commitments to improve water balance

Amendment

R.22  Reducing water use: Share of irrigated land under commitments to reduce water abstraction

Or. en

Amendment 5010
Maria Noichl
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.22 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.23

Text proposed by the Commission

R.23 Environment-/climate-related performance through investment: Share of farmers with support in investments related to care for the environment or climate

Amendment

R.23 Environment-/climate-related performance through investment: Share of farmers with support in non-productive investments related to care for the environment or climate

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.17

Text proposed by the Commission

O.17 Number of projects supporting genetic res

Amendment

O.17 Number of farmers receiving coupled income for productions involving
the conservation and sustainable use of genetic resources, including rare animal breeds

**Justification**

The proposal of the Commission is not linked to any provision in the Regulation. Therefore, amendments to the Regulation allow to be more specific in this kind of output indicator. This output indicator is linked to Article 30 of the Regulation and amendments proposed for it (coupled income made possible for any sector or activity if it involves conservation and sustainable use of genetic resources)

**Amendment 5013**

Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Annex I – Output indicators – O.17 a (new)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O.17a Number of interventions supporting actions to conserve genetic resources for food and agriculture or actions to enhance genetic diversity in cultivated plants, in the fruits and vegetables sector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Justification**

The proposal of the Commission for Output Indicator O.17 is not linked to any provision in the Regulation. Therefore, amendments to the Regulation allow to be more specific in this kind of output indicator. This output indicator is linked to Article 43 of the Regulation and amendments proposed for it (interventions in the fruits and vegetables sector)

**Amendment 5014**

Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
### Proposal for a regulation
An Ex I – Output indicators – O.17 b (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>O.17b</strong> Number of plant breeding programs focused on high genetic diversity in the fruits and vegetables sector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Justification**

The proposal of the Commission for Output Indicator O.17 is not linked to any provision in the Regulation. Therefore, amendments to the Regulation allow to be more specific in this kind of output indicator. This output indicator is linked to Article 42 of the Regulation and amendments proposed for it (interventions in the fruits and vegetables sector)

**Amendment 5015**

Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### Proposal for a regulation
An Ex I – Output indicators – O.17 c (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>O.17c</strong> Number of organic plant breeding programmes in the fruits and vegetables sector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Justification**

This output indicator is linked to Article 42 of the Regulation and amendments proposed for it (interventions in the fruits and vegetables sector)

**Amendment 5016**

Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.17 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

O.17d Number of plant breeding programmes focused on low input agriculture in the fruits and vegetables sector

Amendment

Or. en

Justification

This output indicator is linked to Article 42 of the Regulation and amendments proposed for it (interventions in the fruits and vegetables sector)

Amendment 5017
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.17 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

O.17e Number of interventions supporting actions to enhance genetic diversity among beehives

Amendment

Or. en

Justification

The proposal of the Commission for Output Indicator O.17 is not linked to any provision in the Regulation. Therefore, amendments to the Regulation allow to be more specific in this kind of output indicator.
This output indicator is linked to Article 49 of the Regulation and amendments proposed for it (interventions in the apiculture sector)

Amendment 5018
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.17 f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

\[O.17f\text{ Number of interventions supporting actions to enhance the genetic diversity of vineyards}\]

Or. en

Justification

The proposal of the Commission for Output Indicator O.17 is not linked to any provision in the Regulation. Therefore, amendments to the Regulation allow to be more specific in this kind of output indicator.

This output indicator is linked to Article 52 of the Regulation and amendments proposed for it (interventions in the wine sector)

Amendment 5019
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.17 g (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

\[O.17g\text{ Number of interventions supporting actions to enhance the diversity of hops}\]

Or. en

Justification

The proposal of the Commission for Output Indicator O.17 is not linked to any provision in the Regulation. Therefore, amendments to the Regulation allow to be more specific in this kind of output indicator.

This output indicator is linked to Article 55 of the Regulation and amendments proposed for it (interventions in the hops sector)

Amendment 5020
Martín Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.17 h (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

O.17h Number of interventions supporting actions to enhance the genetic diversity of olive trees

 Amendment

Justification

The proposal of the Commission for Output Indicator O.17 is not linked to any provision in the Regulation. Therefore, amendments to the Regulation allow to be more specific in this kind of output indicator.

This output indicator is linked to Article 56 of the Regulation and amendments proposed for it (interventions in the olive oil and table olive sector)

Amendment 5021
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.22 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.22a Improve the status of water bodies: share of agricultural land under river basin management plans (Water Framework Directive)

 Amendment

Amendment 5022
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.24 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.24a Closing nutrient cycles: sale/use of concentrated feed mix

Or. en

Justification

Closing nutrient cycles by producing more legumes for feed and food locally, we would reduce soya imports therefore limiting deforestation.

Amendment 5023
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.24 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.24b Respecting the ecological carrying capacity of the river basin: livestock density compared to area of fodder and grazing (permanent or temporary grassland on arable land)

Or. en

Justification

We need to rebalance animal and plant production, the livestock density must match the fodder area in each river basin

Amendment 5024
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.24 c (new)
**Text proposed by the Commission**

R.24c Protection of soils through crop rotation: Share of arable land where crop rotations including a leguminous component is applied

**Amendment**

- Contribute to the protection of biodiversity,
- enhance ecosystem services and preserve habitats and landscapes **and support High Nature Value farming systems**

**Amendment 5025**
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 6

**Text proposed by the Commission**

Contribute to the protection of biodiversity, enhance ecosystem services and preserve habitats and landscapes

**Amendment**

Contribute to the protection of biodiversity, enhance ecosystem services and preserve habitats and landscapes **and support High Nature Value farming systems**

**Amendment 5026**
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 6

**Text proposed by the Commission**

Contribute to the protection of biodiversity, enhance ecosystem services and preserve habitats and landscapes

**Amendment**

Contribute to the protection of biodiversity, **improve animal health and welfare**, enhance ecosystem services and preserve habitats and landscapes
Amendment 5027
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.20

Text proposed by the Commission
Enhanced provision of ecosystem services: share of UAA covered with landscape features

Amendment
Enhanced provision of ecosystem services: share of agriculture land covered with landscape features and semi-natural vegetation

Or. en

Amendment 5028
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.20

Text proposed by the Commission
I.20 Enhance provision of ecosystem services: Share of UAA covered with landscape features

Amendment
I.20 Enhance provision of environmental services: Share of UAA covered with landscape features and ecological infrastructure

Or. en

Justification
This would include trees, hedges, ponds, wild flower strips, etc.

Amendment 5029
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.20 a (new)
Amendment 5030
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.20 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

I.20a Reversing the loss of pollinators:
Pollinators Index, including bees and butterflies

Justification

Ongoing technical work on creating an index for pollinators needs to be accelerated and prioritized. Populations of wild pollinators have declined rapidly in recent decades and have begun to show signs of impacting agricultural output. It is crucial to prioritise the setting up of new indicators in this area, which must be ready by 2021

Amendment 5031
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.20 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

I.20a High nature value (HNV) farming: percentage of utilised agricultural area farmed to generate High Nature Value (HNV)
Amendment 5032
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.20 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

I.20a  Improving population trends of insect pollinators

Amendment 5033
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.20 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

I.20b  Increasing Grassland Butterfly Indicator

Amendment 5034
Momchil Nekov

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.20 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

I.20a  Protection of pollinators: Providing for the safe existence of pollinators
Amendment 5035  
Momchil Nekov

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – I.20 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Impact indicator
I.20a Protection of pollinators:
Providing for the safe existence of pollinators

Result indicators
Stable or growing number of bee colonies and protected variety of meliferous species

Broad type of intervention
Payments for ecological service “pollination”

Output indicators

Amendment

--

Amendment 5036  
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – Result indicators – R.25

Text proposed by the Commission

R.25 Supporting sustainable forest management: Share of forest land under management commitments to support forest protection and management.

Amendment

R.25 Supporting sustainable forest management: Share of forest land under management commitments to support forest protection and sustainable management.

--

Or. en

Or. de
Amendment 5037
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.26

Text proposed by the Commission
R.26 Protecting forest ecosystems: Share of forest land under management commitments for supporting landscape, biodiversity and ecosystem services

Amendment
R.26 Protecting forest ecosystems: Share of forest land under management commitments for supporting landscape, biodiversity and ecosystem services, in accordance with the requirements of the EU biodiversity strategy

Or. en

Amendment 5038
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.27

Text proposed by the Commission
Preserving habitats and species: Share of agricultural land under management commitments supporting biodiversity conservation or restoration

Amendment
Preserving habitats and species: Share of agricultural land under management commitments supporting biodiversity conservation or restoration, including HNV farmland

Or. en

Amendment 5039
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.27
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R.27 Preserving habitats and species:
Share of agricultural land under management commitments supporting biodiversity conservation or restoration,

**Amendment**

in accordance with the requirements of the EU biodiversity strategy

---

**Amendment 5040**

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.27 a (new)

---

**Text proposed by the Commission**

R.27a Supporting pollinators populations. Share of agricultural area under pollinator-friendly management

**Amendment**

---

**Amendment 5041**

Martín Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.27 a (new)

---

**Text proposed by the Commission**

R.27a Preserving habitats and species: favourable conservation status of farmland species and habitats protected under Directives 92/43/EEC, 2009/147/EC and 2000/60/EC

**Amendment**

---
Justification

The birds and habitats directives plus the water framework directive require protection of key habitats and species. Monitoring is already carried out. Agricultural and peri-agricultural sites are in the worst condition, usually due to insufficient funding.

Amendment 5042
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.27 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

R.27b  Reversing pollinator decline: Pollinator Index, including bees and butterflies

Or. en

Amendment 5043
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.27 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

R.27c  Reversing pollinator decline: Areas under productive and non-productive pollinator-friendly land use

Or. en

Amendment 5044
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.27 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.27d Boosting on farm biodiversity; share of land in agricultural areas devoted to non-productive features (GAEC 9) which is pesticide free

Or. en

Amendment 5045
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.27 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.27e Reducing pesticides dependency: volumes of pesticides sold and used (pesticides statistics)

Or. en

Justification

The directive on the sustainable use of pesticides and the pesticides statistics regulation already contains provisions that can be used to measure this

Amendment 5046
Martín Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.27 f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.27f Reduction of pesticide use: share of agricultural land under Integrated Pest
Management (IPM)

Amendment 5047
Martín Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.28

Text proposed by the Commission
R.28 Supporting Natura 2000: area in Natura 2000 sites under commitments for protection, maintenance and restoration

Amendment
R.28 Supporting Natura 2000: area in Natura 2000 sites in good condition under commitments for protection, maintenance and restoration, in accordance with the Prioritised Action Frameworks

Justification
The majority of agricultural Natura 2000 areas are bad in condition due to insufficient payments to incentivise their protection

Amendment 5048
Martin Háusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.29

Text proposed by the Commission
R.29 Preserving landscape features: Share of agriculture land under commitments for managing landscape features, including hedgerows

Amendment
R.29 Preserving landscape features and ecological infrastructure: Share of agriculture land under commitments for managing landscape features and green infrastructure, including hedgerows and trees
Amendment 5049
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.29

Text proposed by the Commission

R.29 Preserving landscape features: Share of agriculture land under commitments for managing landscape features, including hedgerows

Amendment

R.29 Preserving landscape features: Share of agriculture land under commitments for managing landscape features, including hedgerows and other semi-natural vegetation

Or. en

Amendment 5050
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.29 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.29a Supporting HNV farming: extent of HNV farmland receiving support payments for maintenance of HNV farming practices

Amendment

R.29a Supporting HNV farming: extent of HNV farmland receiving support payments for maintenance of HNV farming practices

Or. en

Amendment 5051
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.29 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.29a Sustainable pesticide use: Share of
agricultural land subject to Integrated Pest Management practices

Amendment 5052
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result Indicators – R.29 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.29a Supporting pollinators populations: Share of agricultural area under pollinator-friendly management

Or. en

Amendment 5053
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.29 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.29a Fostering high nature value farming: percentage of farmland under management commitments to generate high nature value

Or. en

Amendment 5054
Norbert Erdős

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 7
Amendment 5055
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.30

Text proposed by the Commission
Attract young farmers and facilitate business development in rural areas

Amendment
Attract young farmers, *new farmers* and facilitate business development in rural areas

Or. en

Amendment 5056
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.30

Text proposed by the Commission
R.30 Generational renewal: Number of young farmers setting up a farm with support from the CAP

Amendment
R.30 Generational renewal: Number of young farmers, *as established in Article 4 (1) (e)* setting up a farm with support from the CAP

Or. en

*Justification*

*New business start-ups included in line with the specific objective, not only generational renewal*
Amendment 5057
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.30

Text proposed by the Commission

R.30  Generational renewal: Number of young farmers setting up a farm with support from the CAP

Amendment

R.30  Generational renewal: Number of young farmers, new farmers or women setting up a farm with support from the CAP

Or. de

Amendment 5058
Marijana Petir

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.30 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.30a  Generational renewal: The number of young farmers who continued to conduct their business five years upon establishment with CAP support

Amendment

R.30a  Generational renewal: The number of young farmers who continued to conduct their business five years upon establishment with CAP support

Or. hr

Amendment 5059
Marijana Petir

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.30 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.30b  Streamlining business
| Amendment 5060 |
| Marijana Petir |
| Proposal for a regulation |
| Annex I – Result indicators – R.30 c (new) |
| **Text proposed by the Commission** | **Amendment** |
| R.30c Streamlining business development in rural areas: The number of new farmers who receive CAP support |
| Or. hr |

| Amendment 5061 |
| Marijana Petir |
| Proposal for a regulation |
| Annex I – Result indicators – R.30 d (new) |
| **Text proposed by the Commission** | **Amendment** |
| R.30d Women in Agriculture. Increasing the proportion of women who receive support under the CAP |
| Or. hr |
Annex I – Result indicators – R.30 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.30e Young women in rural areas. Increasing the proportion of young women* who receive support for the establishment of agricultural holdings or businesses under the CAP.

* The age limit must be the same as that indicated in the definition of a young farmer.

Amendment 5063
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.21

Text proposed by the Commission

I.21 Attracting young farmers: Evolution of number of new farmers

1.21 Attracting young farmers and facilitate business development: Evolution of number of young and new farmers and SMEs

Amendment 5064
Norbert Erdős

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result Indicators – R 30 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R 30a New farmers. Number of new farmers in the agricultural sector through
**CAP support**

**Amendment 5065**
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – EU specific objectives – point 8

*Text proposed by the Commission*
Promote employment, growth, social inclusion and local development in rural areas, including *bio-economy and sustainable forestry*

*Amendment*
Promote employment, growth, social inclusion and local development in rural areas, including sustainable *agroforestry*

**Amendment 5066**
Marijana Petir

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – Result indicators – R.31 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*R.31a The increase in women employment in rural areas. The proportion of jobs for women in projects receiving CAP funding.*

*Amendment*

**Amendment 5067**
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – Result indicators – R.32
Text proposed by the Commission

R.32  Developing the rural bioeconomy: Number of bio-economy businesses developed with support

Amendment

Or. es

Amendment 5068
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.30 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

O.30a Objectives of development cooperation: Number of hectares which have a positive impact on SDG goals

Or. en

Amendment 5069
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Output indicators – O.31

Text proposed by the Commission

O.31 Number of ha under environmental practices (synthesis indicator on physical area covered by conditionality, ELS, AECM, forestry measures, organic farming)

Or. en

Amendment 5070
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.33

Text proposed by the Commission

R.33 Digitising the rural economy: Rural population covered by a supported Smart Villages strategy

Amendment

R.33 Digitising the rural economy: Share of rural population covered by a supported Smart Villages strategy

Or. en

Amendment 5071
Norbert Erdős

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Improve the response of EU agriculture to societal demands on food and health, including safe, nutritious and sustainable food, as well as animal welfare

Amendment

Improve the response of EU agriculture to societal demands on food quality and security, environmental sustainability and animal welfare

Or. en

Amendment 5072
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Improve the response of EU agriculture to societal demands on food and health, including safe, nutritious and sustainable food, as well as animal welfare

Amendment

Improve the response of EU agriculture to societal demands on food and health, including safe, nutritious and sustainable food

Or. en
### Amendment 5073

**Marijana Petir**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Text proposed by the Commission</strong></th>
<th><strong>Amendment</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promote employment, growth, social inclusion and local development in rural areas, including bio-economy and sustainable forestry;</td>
<td>Promote employment, growth, social inclusion, <em>greater participation of women in the rural economy</em>, and local development in rural areas, including bio-economy and sustainable forestry;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Or. hr*

### Amendment 5074

**Matt Carthy**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Annex I – Impact indicators – I.26 a (new)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Text proposed by the Commission</strong></th>
<th><strong>Amendment</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I.26a  Sustainable use of veterinary products in livestock: sales/use in food producing animals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Or. en*

### Amendment 5075

**Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Annex I – Impact indicators – I.26 a (new)**

---
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Justification

Veterinary products can also pose risks to bee health and environment. This is the reason why the same rules and precautions used for pesticides should be taken into account for these products.

Amendment 5076
Matt Carthy

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.36 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

I.36a Sustainable veterinary product use: Share of livestock units concerned by supported actions to limit the use of veterinary products (prevention/reduction) in order to reduce risks and impacts of these products

Amendment 5077
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.36 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.36a Reduced use of veterinary products in livestock: Sales and use of veterinary products in food producing animals
products: Reduced sales of antibiotics, share of livestock units covered by supported actions to limit the use of veterinary products

**Amendment 5078**  
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  

Proposition for a regulation  
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.27 Sustainable use of pesticides: Reduce risks and impacts of pesticides**</td>
<td>I.27 Reduce use of pesticides: Reduce use and dependency on pesticides**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 5079**  
Maria Lidia Senra Rodriguez  

Proposition for a regulation  
Annex I – Result indicators – I.27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.27 Sustainable use of pesticides: Reduce risks and impacts of pesticides</td>
<td>I.27 Reduction in use of pesticides: Reduce risks and impacts of pesticides</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 5080**  
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  

Proposition for a regulation  
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.27 a (new)
Biocides products can also pose risks to the environment. This is the reason why the same rules and precautions used for pesticides should be taken into account for these products.

Amendment 5081
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.37

Text proposed by the Commission

R.37 Sustainable pesticide use: Share of agricultural land concerned by supported specific actions which lead to a sustainable use of pesticides in order to reduce risks and impacts of pesticides

Amendment

R.37 Reduce pesticide use: Share of agricultural land concerned by supported specific actions which reduce use and dependency on pesticides

Amendment 5082
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.37

Text proposed by the Commission

R.37 Sustainable pesticide use: Share of agricultural land concerned by supported specific actions which lead to a sustainable use of pesticides in order to reduce risks and impacts of pesticides

Amendment

deleted
Amendment 5083
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.37a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.37a Increasing the number of ecological farms: Number of farms which have received support to transition to ecological farming

Amendment 5084
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.37 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.37a Phasing out use of biocides: share of agricultural land concerned by supported specific actions leading to biocide dependency reduction

Justification

Biocides used in agriculture impact negatively on bees and should therefore be reduced

Amendment 5085
Martin Haüsling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.37 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.37b Reduction of the use of agrochemicals: share of agricultural land where no pesticides or biocides are used

Or. en

Amendment 5086
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Result indicators – R.37 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

R.37a Number of farms that have benefitted from support with the transition to organic agriculture

Or. es

Amendment 5087
Tilly Metz, Sirpa Pietikäinen, Anja Hazekamp, Jytte Guteland, John Flack, Petras Auštrevičius, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Impact indicators – I.28 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

I.28a Decrease of non-compliance rate, per animal welfare SMR

Or. en

Justification

The ECA Special report No 31/2018 revealed that overall compliance with EU animal welfare legislation remains unsatisfactory. Through advisory services, conditionality systems
and eco-schemes the CAP can play a crucial role in achieving greater compliance. Progress should be monitored, within the performance framework.

**Amendment 5088**
Tilly Metz, Sirpa Pietikäinen, Anja Hazekamp, Jytte Guteland, John Flack, Petras Aušrevičius, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.28 b (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I.28b</strong> Evolution of stocking density rate, per species</td>
<td>Or. en</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Justification*

High stocking densities are linked to poor animal welfare, poor animal health and risks to human health. Through conditionality, coupled support, eco-schemes and rural development funding, the Strategic Plans can contribute to an overall decrease in average stocking density.

**Amendment 5089**
Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Impact indicators – I.28 a (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I.28a</strong> Make nutritiously valuable products such as fruit and vegetable easily available for UE citizens. Increase fruit and vegetable intake with reference to national dietary guidelines.</td>
<td>Or. en</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 5090**
Maria Noichl
Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Result indicators – R.38 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

R.38a Objectives of development cooperation: To which extent the SDG goals are fulfilled

Amendment

Or. en

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – EU Specific objectives – point 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Improve animal welfare in line with Treaty provisions, best available scientific knowledge and societal demands

Amendment

Or. en

Justification

Animal welfare deserves its own specific objective. Not only is it included in societal demands on food and agriculture, it is also embodied by one of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union’s provisions having general application (Article 13 TFEU).

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

ANNEX 1a
Output objectives shared by the national
strategic plans

Climate change: Reducing GHG emissions from agricultural activity in the Member State by 50%.

- Farm renewal: The number of active agricultural facilities must be greater than the number of abandoned facilities in the Member State.

- Environment, food: Triple the total area of farmland dedicated to organic farming in the Member State compared with 2017, or at least 30% of the Member State's total usable agricultural land.

- Biodiversity, health: Reduce chemical pesticide usage in the Member State by 50% compared with 2017.

- Water: 100% of surface water and groundwater should comply with the Directive on nitrates in the Member State, without any exception.

- Animal welfare, health: Put an end to cage rearing in the whole European Union.

Amendment 5093
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – Note subtitle (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

GAECs may also be removed from the system of conditionality under the condition that those GAECs will be effectuated through equivalent measures in eco-schemes pursuant to Article 28

Or. en
Amendment 5094
Paolo De Castro

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area  
deleted

Amendment 5095
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area  
Maintenance of permanent grassland, the total share of which may not fall by more than 3 % in comparison to a reference year before the year 2019 to be set by the Member States

Amendment 5096
Karine Gloanec Maurin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area  
Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a regional or sub regional ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area, which is consistent with
environmental sustainability

Amendment 5097
Beata Gosiewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area.</td>
<td>Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area at a level defined by Member States.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5098
Franc Bogovič

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area.</td>
<td>Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area at a level defined by Member States.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5099
Othmar Karas

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards
The importance of permanent grassland should continue to be given consideration, but an obligation on individual businesses to maintain grassland as a GAEC standard prevents the possibility of individualised configurations. The important factor is the ratio of permanent grassland at national level.

Amendment 5100
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission
Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area

Amendment
Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a collective ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area

Maximum variation coefficient of 5% at national or regional level

Or. fr

Amendment 5101
Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission
Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in

Amendment
Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in
relation to agricultural area. The farmer may still convert individual areas, provided that they provide a corresponding replacement or exchange.

Justification

Moving away from the rigid 5-year rule given consideration of regional specifics and to avoid disruption to grassland due to bureaucratic regulations.

Amendment 5102
Norbert Erdős

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission
Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area

Amendment
Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area
Maximum variation coefficient of 5 per cent at national level, regional level or farm level

Amendment 5103
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission
Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area

Amendment
As an option for Member States, maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to agricultural area Maintenance of permanent grassland based on a ratio of permanent grassland in relation to
agricultural area

Justification

The rules concerning permanent grassland are problematic in some Member States. Similar objectives could be better supported through Pillar II. The one-size-fits-all principle is not effective, and it may have even negative impacts on climate and environment in Member States where permanent grasslands are rare due to agronomic conditions.

Amendment 5104
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 1 – Main objective of the standard

Text proposed by the Commission
General safeguard against conversion to other agricultural uses to preserve carbon stock

Amendment
General safeguard against ploughing and reseeding or conversion to other agricultural uses to preserve carbon stock

Justification

Ploughing releases carbon from the soil profile. Any results-based measure to sink carbon must not use areas that in principle can be “refreshed” (i.e. ploughed and re-seeded) and still be considered “permanent” pasture; as any climatic benefits (Carbon sinking) or biodiversity benefits (accumulation of biodiversity in the sward) are lost. For the same reason it is not useful to count refreshed grassland as “permanent” for the maintenance of the grassland/arable ratio.

Amendment 5105
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appropriate protection</strong> of wetland and peatland</td>
<td><strong>Maintain the area</strong> of wetland and peatland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protection</strong> of carbon-rich soils</td>
<td><strong>Retention of the area</strong> of carbon rich soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or. en</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 5106**
Matt Carthy

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appropriate protection</strong> of wetland and peatland</td>
<td><strong>Maintain the area</strong> of wetland and peatland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protection</strong> of carbon-rich soils</td>
<td><strong>Retention of the area</strong> of carbon rich soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or. en</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 5107**
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appropriate protection of wetland and peatland</strong></td>
<td><strong>Maintain the area of wetland and peatland</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protection</strong> of the area of carbon-rich soils</td>
<td><strong>Retention</strong> of the area of carbon-rich soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or. en</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 5108**
Maria Noichl
Proposal for a regulation  
Annex III – GAEC 2 – Requirements and standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Appropriate** protection of wetland and peatland | Protection of wetland and peatland, including at least  
- a ban on arable farming on organic soils and in flood zones (by no later than the end of the funding period)  
- a ban on disruption to and conversion of grassland  
- a ban on new drainage measures (cut-off legislation) |

Amendment 5109  
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex III – GAEC 2 – Requirements and standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate protection of wetland and peatland</td>
<td>Appropriate protection of wetlands and peatlands in those ecologically sensitive areas determined by the Member State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5110  
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex III – GAEC 2 – Requirements and standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate protection of wetland and peatland</td>
<td>Appropriate protection of wetland and peatland in areas that are environmentally sensitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment 5111
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 2 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission
Appropriate protection of wetland and peatland

Amendment
As an option for Member States, appropriate measures of wetland and peatland

Justification
Wetlands and peatlands are particularly common in certain Member States and in certain regions of the Member States concerned. The proposed requirement would put farmers in an unequal position both in the EU as well as within each country. Therefore, this requirement should be voluntary for Member States and, instead, Member States could support climate-wise measures concerning wetlands and peatlands under Pillar II measures

Amendment 5112
Beata Gosiewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 2 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission
Appropriate protection of wetland and peatland

Amendment
Minimum protection of wetland and peatland

Or. en

Amendment 5113
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
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Annex III – GAEC 2 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

**Appropriate** protection of wetland and peatland

Amendment

**Effective** protection of wetland and peatland

Justification

This is needed for keeping carbon in the ground; otherwise, its release contributes to greenhouse gas emissions and global warming. The EU’s wetlands and peat lands are vital to stick to the EU’s climate obligations

Amendment 5114

Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – GAEC 3 – Main objective of the standard

Text proposed by the Commission

Maintenance of soil organic matter

Amendment

Maintenance of soil organic matter, reducing air pollution

Amendment 5115

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – GAEC 3 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Ban on burning arable stubble, except for plant health reasons

Amendment

Ban on burning arable stubble, except for plant health reasons or to combat the spreading of grass seed limiting the use of plant protection products
Amendment 5116
Paolo De Castro

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 1 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment


Article 11(3)(e) and Article 11(3)(h) as regards mandatory requirements to control diffuse sources of pollution by phosphates

deleted

Amendment 5117
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 1 – Requirements and standards – indent 2

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment

Article 11(3)(e) and Article 11(3)(h) as regards mandatory requirements to control diffuse sources of pollution by phosphates

Article 11(3)(e), Article 11(3)(h), 11 (3) (j), and 11 (3) (k) as regards mandatory requirements to control diffuse sources of pollution by phosphates and on pollution through priority substances

Justification

This amendment aims at covering all appropriate paragraphs, as for instance the indirect pollution of groundwater Article 11 (3) (k) and the direct discharge of pollutants into groundwater Article 11 (3) (j)
Amendment 5118  
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex III – SMR 1 – Requirements and standards – indent 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment
Articles 4 and 11 as regards use of meters for water abstraction within the limits of the river basin

Or. en

Justification
This was a GAEC in the past regulations and is already checked in many member states. With hotter and drier summers expected, it is needed to ensure there is enough water for everybody, also to ensure aquifers are not depleted, which makes the water table fall in many regions. River basin management plans are already in place across the EU, so it is tailored to local/regional need

Amendment 5119  
Martín Häusling, Maria Heubuch  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex III – SMR 1 – Main objective of the standard (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment
Reducing water pollution and eutrophication, increasing water quality and achieving good status of water bodies and groundwater

Or. en

Amendment 5120  
Paolo De Castro
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 2 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 4 and 5

Amendment

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 5121
Beata Gosiewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 4 and 5

Amendment

Article 5

Or. pl

Amendment 5122
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Establishment of buffer strips along water

Amendment

Establishment of buffer strips along water
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Protection of river courses, *water supplies and aquatic species or ecosystems* against pollution, *toxicity* and run-off

Justification

*Buffer strips are effective for reducing the contamination of water courses, but can only be used for the promotion of biodiversity if pesticide use is banned.*

**Amendment 5123**

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – GAEC 4 – Requirements and standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establishment of buffer strips <em>along water courses</em></td>
<td>Establishment of buffer strips <em>with a minimum width of 3 m where no fertilisers or plant protection products may be used, as well as buffer strips with a minimum width of 6 m for regionally important water courses with a ban on fertilisers and plant protection products for the first 3 m</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 5124**

Norbert Erdős

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – GAEC 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for Nutrients</em></td>
<td>deleted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sustainable management of nutrients</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment 5125
Beata Gosiewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 5

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for deleted 
Nutrients

---

Amendment 5126
Paolo De Castro

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 5

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for deleted 
Nutrients

---

Amendment 5127
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 5

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for deleted 
Nutrients
Amendment 5128
Othmar Karas

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 5

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment
Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for  deleted
Nutrients
Sustainable management of nutrients

Or. de

Justification
This instrument, as an obligation for all farms, seems excessive and is not in line with the goal of simplification. Such an instrument could instead be offered by the MS as part of the new AKIS in its CAP Strategic Plan. It is in principle possible and necessary to reach the target for the use of farm sustainability tools within the EAFRD.

Amendment 5129
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 5

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment
Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for  deleted
Nutrients
Sustainable management of nutrients

Or. fr

Amendment 5130
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for Nutrients

Sustainable management of nutrients

Amendment

Use of Farm Sustainability Tools for input reduction, and tools to monitor soil biota and humification

Sustainable management of inputs including nutrients and agrochemicals, long term fertility, carbon sinking, water retention

Or. en

Justification

The farm sustainability tool should include all agricultural inputs, not just nutrients, as well as allowing farmers to manage and boost life and topsoil building in their soils

Amendment 5131
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 5 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for Nutrients

Amendment

Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for Nutrients for farming systems with more than 40kgs of N/HA

Or. en

Amendment 5132
Elsi Katainen

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 5 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Use of Farm Sustainability Tool for

Amendment

As an option for Member States, use of
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The Farm Sustainability Tool for Nutrients should be voluntary for Member States. This tool poses a risk of increasing administrative burden and additional costs to the administration and farmers. For example, conducting soil fertility analyses cause significant costs for which farmers receive no compensation. It would be important that Member States may compensate the additional costs for farmers under II pillar measures. Therefore, instead of conditionality these objectives should be fulfilled either via Pillar II or as an option for Member States via Farm advisory services (Article 13). In any case, instead of the FaST tool Member States should be allowed to integrate the necessary operations into the software they already have. This means that the FaST should not be mandatory for Member States.

Amendment 5133
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 5 – Main objective of the standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable management of nutrients</td>
<td>Sustainable management of nutrients as appropriate to the size and intensity of the farm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5134
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch, Florent Marcellesi, Tilly Metz
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – Climate and environment – GAEC 5 a (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements and standards:</td>
<td>Requirements and standards:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum livestock densities within the carrying capacity of the river basin, linked to area of fodder or pasture grazing for</td>
<td>Maximum livestock densities within the carrying capacity of the river basin, linked to area of fodder or pasture grazing for</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ruminants

Main objective of the standard
Closed loop nutrient cycling, minimising excess nutrient losses and water pollution

Justification

It is important to balance animal and plant production. There is a precedent in the CAP, as livestock densities were specified for a decade from 2003 onwards

Amendment 5135
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – Main issue – Title 3

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment

Soil (protection and quality) Healthy, living Soil (protection and quality, building topsoils)

Amendment 5136
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – Main issue – Title 3

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment

Soil (protection and quality) Healthy and living soil: protection, quality, topsoil building (humification)
Amendment 5137
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Tillage management reducing the risk of soil degradation, including slope consideration
Minimum land management reflecting site specific conditions to limit erosion

Amendment

Tillage management reducing the loss of soil organic matter and the risk of soil degradation, including slope consideration
Minimum land management reflecting site specific conditions to limit erosion and to preserve carbon stock

Or. en

Amendment 5138
Matt Carthy

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Tillage management reducing the risk of soil degradation, including slope consideration
Minimum land management reflecting site specific conditions to limit erosion

Amendment

Tillage management reducing loss of soil organic matter, the risk of soil degradation, including slope consideration
Minimum land management reflecting site specific conditions to limit erosion and preserve carbon stock

Or. en

Amendment 5139
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 6 – Requirements and standards
Text proposed by the Commission

Tillage management reducing the risk of soil degradation, including slope consideration

Amendment

Reductions to the risk of soil degradation through appropriate tillage management, wherein the farmer must be able to prove that they took suitable measures wherever relevant soil erosion related to soil management appeared

Amendment 5140

Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch, Florent Marcellesi, Tilly Metz
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 6 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Tillage management reducing the risk of soil degradation, including slope consideration

Amendment

Appropriate tillage and soil management reducing the risk of soil degradation, including slope consideration

Amendment 5141

Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 6 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Tillage management reducing the risk of soil degradation, including slope consideration

Amendment

Tillage management reducing the risk of soil degradation in most sensitive parcels including slope consideration

Justification

If this requirement is very extensive and unconditional, it may cause significant complications
to agricultural activities of farmers operating in such areas. In order to be better able to target the measures to the most sensitive parcels, the words "in most sensitive parcels" should be included in this requirement.

Amendment 5142
Othmar Karas
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s) deleted
Protection of soils in winter

Justification

This standard’s aim can be achieved in a more targeted fashion by being included in national Strategic Plans; It is in principle possible and necessary to reach the target for winter soil protection within the EAFRD.

Amendment 5143
Matt Carthy
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s), with the exception of grazing in situ forage crops and supplementary feeding supporting year round grazing systems
Protection of soils in winter, whilst allowing for traditional practices

Or. en
**Amendment 5144**  
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex III – GAEC 7

**Text proposed by the Commission**  
No bare soil in most sensitive period(s)  
Protection of soils in winter

**Amendment**  
No bare soil in most sensitive period(s)  
*with the exception of grazing in situ forage crops and supplementary feeding supporting year round grazing systems*  
Protection of soils in winter *whilst allowing for traditional practices*

**Or. en**

**Amendment 5145**  
Norbert Erdős

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex I – GAEC 7

**Text proposed by the Commission**  
No bare soil in most sensitive period(s)  
Protection of soils *in winter*

**Amendment**  
No bare soil in most sensitive period(s),  
*except for harvest preparation periods.*  
Protection of soils in *sensitive period(s)*

**Or. en**

**Amendment 5146**  
Martin Häusling  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex III – GAEC 7

**Text proposed by the Commission**  
No bare soil *in most sensitive periods*

**Amendment**  
No bare soil
Protection of soils in winterPhysical protection of soils against erosion, maintaining soil biota

Justification

Given the increased risk of flash flooding and extreme weather events, no bare soil should be foreseen except for tillage operations; the roots bind the soil. In addition, the worst thing for a soil is to have no vegetation, as this starves the beneficial soil biota that build topsoil: for example, the microbial communities that create topsoil (by humification) need inputs of organic matter and root exudates from living plants.

Amendment 5147
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s) Obligation to sow winter crops/greenery or cover crops on parcels which were harvested before 31.08 or— where this is not possible for clear reasons — to ensure a minimum coverage of 30 % (e.g. using a mulch layer)

Or. de

Amendment 5148
Bas Belder

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s) Appropriate protection of soil in most sensitive period(s)

Or. en
Amendment 5149
Michel Dantin
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s)

Minimum soil cover

Or. fr

Amendment 5150
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s)

As an option for Member States, avoiding bare soil in most sensitive parcels in most sensitive period(s)

Or. en

Justification

“No bare soil in most sensitive period(s)” wording could mean a total ban on bare soil. Such requirement would be extremely strict. Therefore, the wording should be changed. In any case, this requirement would limit the agricultural practices in some Member States more than in others, which is why the requirement should be voluntary for Member States. Similar objectives could be better achieved through Pillar II measures

Amendment 5151
Ulrike Müller
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7 – Requirements and standards
Text proposed by the Commission

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s),
except for harvest preparation periods

Amendment

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s),
where appropriate

Or. de

Justification

Bare soil should also be allowed in other weather-dependent exceptional cases e.g. during water shortages and ground frost

Amendment 5152
Franc Bogovič

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Avoiding bare soil in period(s) that are most sensitive.

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 5153
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s),
except for harvest preparation periods

Amendment

No bare soil in most sensitive period(s),
where appropriate

Or. de

Justification

Bare soil should also be allowed in other weather-dependent exceptional cases e.g. during water shortages and ground frost
Amendment 5154
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 7 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment
No bare soil in most sensitive period(s)  Minimum land management reflecting site specific conditions to limit erosion
Or. en

Amendment 5155
Paolo De Castro

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment
Crop rotation  deleted
Or. it

Amendment 5156
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment
GAEC 8  deleted
Or. en
Amendment 5157
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

GAEC 8
deleted
Crop rotation
Preserve the soil potential

Or. en

Amendment 5158
Mara Bizzotto, Angelo Ciocca

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

GAEC 8
deleted
Crop rotation
Preserve the soil potential

Or. en

Amendment 5159
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch, Florent Marcellesi, Tilly Metz
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Crop rotation
Minimum four years crop rotation including a leguminous component on all arable land
Preserve the soil potential Preserve the soil potential (avoiding monocultures, decreasing susceptibility to

PE629.667v01-00 94/139 AM\1171892EN.docx
pest attack), increase soil biota and nitrogen fixing for soil fertility, breaking pests’ reproductive cycles

Or. en

Justification

This provides the full win-win-win agronomic benefits of crop rotation with legumes. In addition, the legumes would provide food and animal feed

Amendment 5160
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Crop rotation

Cultivation of at least four different crops while complying with maximum ratios of specific crop types to be set by the member State or else not exceeding the maximum ratio for the primary crops within a crop sequence and per plot (calculated based on fallow periods).

Or. de

Amendment 5161
Beata Gosiewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Crop rotation

Appropriate practices beneficial for conservation of soil potential

Or. en
**Amendment 5162**  
Michel Dantin

**Proposal for a regulation**  
Annex III – GAEC 8 – Requirements and standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crop rotation</td>
<td>Crop <em>diversification for farms of over 10 hectares, except for permanent crops and crops grown underwater</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. fr

**Amendment 5163**  
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

**Proposal for a regulation**  
Annex III – GAEC 8 – Requirements and standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crop rotation</td>
<td>Crop rotation <em>or other practices with an equivalent objective, such as crop diversification</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en

**Justification**

*Member States should choose crop rotation or other practices with an equivalent objective, such as crop diversification. Crop rotation is typically concerned with several years, which makes it difficult to control as the locations of agricultural parcels vary each year. In addition, some of the parcels have been leased and the person farming them may change, which further complicates the controls. This requirement would increase administrative burden and the bureaucracy faced by farmers. Due to the heavy administrative burden, the current requirement concerning crop diversification in the greening payment would be simpler to implement. In both cases, Member States must be able to take into account regional conditions.*
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Crop rotation

Crop rotation *where applicable or alternative practice used*

Or. en

Amendment 5165
Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Crop *rotation*

Crop *diversification*

Or. fr

Amendment 5166
Norbert Erdős

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – GAEC 8 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Crop rotation

Crop rotation *or crop diversification for farms of over 10 hectares, except for permanent crops and crops grown underwater*

Or. en

Amendment 5167
Norbert Lins
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment

**Crop rotation**  **Crop diversification**

Amendment 5168
Karine Gloanec Maurin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 8 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment

**Crop rotation**  **Diversification, including a leguminous component if pedoclimatic conditions allow for this**

Amendment 5169
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 3

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment


Or. fr  Or. en
Amendment 5170
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 4

Text proposed by the Commission
Article 6(1) and (2)

Amendment
Article 2(3), Article 6(1) and (2)

Amendment 5171
Paolo De Castro

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission
- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas

Amendment
deleted

Or. it

Amendment 5172
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission
Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas

Amendment
Minimum 7 % share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features and/or areas intended to protect biodiversity where no plant protection products may
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas</td>
<td>- Minimum percentage of arable land dedicated to ecological focus areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5173
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas</td>
<td>- Five per cent of agricultural area devoted: to facilities for landscape elements, to non-productive features or areas and/or to protein crops and fallow land and/or to other beneficial areas for achieving one of the objectives set out in Article 6(1)(f).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5174
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas</td>
<td>- Five per cent of agricultural area devoted: to facilities for landscape elements, to non-productive features or areas and/or to protein crops and fallow land and/or to other beneficial areas for achieving one of the objectives set out in Article 6(1)(f).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5175
Paolo De Castro
### Proposal for a regulation
### Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Minimum share of <em>agricultural</em> area devoted to non-productive features or areas</td>
<td>- Minimum share of <em>arable</em> area devoted to non-productive features or areas <em>for farms with an arable area of more than 15 ha</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. it

### Amendment 5176

**Beata Gosiewska**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas</td>
<td>- Minimum share of agricultural area <em>to be defined by Member States</em> devoted to <em>productive and</em> non-productive features or areas <em>serving biodiversity</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en

### Amendment 5177

**Bas Belder**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas</td>
<td>- Minimum share of agricultural area <em>or retention of landscape features</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en
Amendment 5178
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission
- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas

Amendment
- Minimum share of ecological focus area in most appropriate areas

Or. en

Justification

The words “in most appropriate areas” could be added so that the application of exceptions currently included in the ecological focus area under the greening payment, such as a forest derogation, could be continued. It would be better to use the present term “ecological focus areas” here.

Amendment 5179
Matt Carthy

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission
- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas

Amendment
- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive biodiverse features, water features, pond and streams or areas

Or. en

Amendment 5180
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 1
AMendment 5181
Martín Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission
- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to non-productive features or areas

Amendment
- Minimum share of agricultural area devoted to biodiverse non-productive features or areas including ponds and streams

Or. en

Justification
To reduce pesticide dependency and boost pollination, it is important to build up functional biodiversity

AMendment 5182
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Main objective of the standard

Text proposed by the Commission
Maintenance of non-productive features and area to improve on-farm biodiversity

Amendment
Maintenance of features and area to improve on-farm biodiversity

Or. en
Amendment 5183
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Main objective of the standard

Text proposed by the Commission
Maintenance of non-productive features and area to improve on-farm biodiversity

Amendment
Maintenance of non-productive features and area to improve on-farm biodiversity, including functional biodiversity and beneficial species

Or. en

Justification

Boosting functional biodiversity like pollinators and predators of pests help to ensure long-term fertility and productivity based on natural processes

Amendment 5184
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 4

Text proposed by the Commission
- As an option, measures for avoiding invasive plant species

Amendment
deleted

Or. en

Amendment 5185
Matt Carthy

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 4
Text proposed by the Commission

- As an option, measures for avoiding deleted
  invasive plant species

Amendment 5186
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

- Measures for preserving and enhancing agro-biodiversity

Or. en

Justification

By boosting beneficial species (pollinators, predators of pests), landscape features, buffer strips, etc. which are in sensu strictu “non-productive” actually boost overall yield of the whole system: +11% in wheat, +26% in peas and +32% in carrots (Wäckers, van Rijn, 2012); table 2, pg. 13. EIP-AGRI Focus Group: Benefits of landscape features for arable crop production, 2016

Amendment 5187
Momchil Nekov

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

- Preserving stable population of pollinators (wild and domesticated)

Or. en
Amendment 5188
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 9 – Requirements and standards – indent 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment
- Creation of flower strips

Justification

Flower strips have great importance for biodiversity and should be adopted based on CAP goals, particularly protecting biodiversity in this instance

Amendment 5189
Paolo De Castro

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment
Ban on converting or ploughing deleted
permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

Or. it

Amendment 5190
Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment
Ban on converting or ploughing deleted
permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites
Amendment 5191
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment

Ban on converting or ploughing deleted
permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

Justification

As stressed by Members of Parliament many times the ploughing ban threatens the future of many family farms and their ‘house plots’ within multiple Natura 2000 sites and the European Commission thus far has not offered sufficient flexibility nor any solution to solve the issue, e.g. in the Netherlands. With this proposed renewal of a ploughing ban for all permanent grassland, Member States, Parliament and Commission are to make the same error the institutions made in article 45 of Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013

Amendment 5192
Karine Gloanec Maurin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission Amendment

Ban on converting or ploughing Ban on converting or ploughing
permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites permanent grasslands or pastures in
Natura 2000 sites recognised as sensitive
areas. However, shallow tillage is
authorised in these areas to enable over-
seeding, for example
Amendment 5193
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission
Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

Amendment
Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland recognised as environmentally sensitive areas in the Objectives documents in Natura 2000 sites

However, shallow tillage is authorised in these areas to enable over-seeding, for example

Or. fr

Amendment 5194
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission
Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

Amendment
Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites unless it can be shown that this is necessary for that area’s protection objectives or else does not contradict those objectives

Or. de

Amendment 5195
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards
**Text proposed by the Commission**

**Amendment**

**Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites**

Ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites is an action requiring consent from the managing authority of the Member State

Or. en

---

**Amendment 5196**

Beata Gosiewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards

**Text proposed by the Commission**

Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

**Amendment**

Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland designated as environmentally-sensitive permanent grasslands in Natura 2000 sites

Or. en

---

**Amendment 5197**

Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards

**Text proposed by the Commission**

Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

**Amendment**

Ban to convert or ploughing the permanent grassland declared as environmentally sensitive in the Red Natura 2000 sites

Or. en

---

**Amendment 5198**

Bas Belder
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Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

Amendment

Appropriate protection of permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

Or. en

Amendment 5199
Franc Bogovič

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

Amendment

Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites designated as environmentally-sensitive permanent grasslands in Natura 2000 sites.

Or. en

Amendment 5200
Othmar Karas

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

Amendment

Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in the environmentally sensitive areas in Natura 2000 sites

Or. de
**Justification**

*Continuation of the existing greening clause*

**Amendment 5201**
Norbert Erdős

**Proposal for a regulation**
*Annex I – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards*

*Text proposed by the Commission*  
Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

*Amendment*  
Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland *in the environmentally sensitive areas* in Natura 2000 sites

Or. en

**Amendment 5202**
Matt Carthy

**Proposal for a regulation**
*Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards*

*Text proposed by the Commission*  
Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

*Amendment*  
Ploughing permanent grassland *is an action requiring consent from the managing authority* in Natura 2000 sites

Or. en

**Amendment 5203**
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

**Proposal for a regulation**
*Annex III – GAEC 10 – Requirements and standards*

*Text proposed by the Commission*  
Ban on converting or ploughing permanent grassland

*Amendment*  
As an option for Member States, ban on
grassland in Natura 2000 sites converting or ploughing permanent grassland in Natura 2000 sites

Justification

The rules concerning permanent grassland are problematic in some Member States. Similar objectives could be better supported through Pillar II. The one-size-fits-all principle is not effective, and it may have even negative impacts on climate and environment in Member States where permanent grasslands are rare due to agronomic conditions.

Amendment 5204
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – GAEC 10 – Main objective of the standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protection of habitats and species</td>
<td>Protection of habitats and species, carbon sinking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5205
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Council Directive 96/22/EC of 29 April 1996 concerning the prohibition on the use in stockfarming of certain substances having a hormonal or thyrostatic action and beta-agonists, and repealing Directives 81/602/EEC, 88/146/EEC and 88/299/EEC (OJ L 125, 23.5.1996, p. 3): Article 3(a), (b), (d) and (e), and Articles 4, 5 and 7</td>
<td>deleted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment 5206
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III — Main issue (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Main issue
Soil protection
Requirements and standard
SMR -3
A proposed ban on oxo-degradable plastics (could not be used in any agricultural application)

Amendment 5207
Karine Gloanec Maurin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3(a), (b), (d) and (e), and Articles 4, 5 and 7

deleted
Amendment 5208
Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 3, 4 and 5

Amendment

Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3 %.

Justification

Amendment 5209
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 3, 4 and 5

Amendment

Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3 %.

Justification

Amendment 5210
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 7 – Additional note (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 3, 4 and 5

Amendment

Articles 3, 4 and 5

This SMR is an option for Member States where animal-related coupled support and animal-related rural development support are applied

Or. en

Justification

It should be remembered that non-compliances with the rules of identification and registration of animals also cause reductions in coupled payments and animal-related rural development support. This kind of double sanctions are difficult to understand among farmers and could be avoided by deleting SMRs 7-9 from conditionality, at least in Member States where animal-related coupled support and animal-related rural development support, such as animal welfare payment, are applied.

Amendment 5211
Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 4 and 7

Amendment

deleted

Or. de
Justification

Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3 %.

Amendment 5212
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 4 and 7

Amendment

Or. de

Justification

Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3 %.

Amendment 5213
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 8 – Additional note (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 4 and 7

Amendment

Or. de
Articles 4 and 7

This SMR is an option for Member States where animal-related coupled support and animal-related rural development support are applied

Justification

It should be remembered that non-compliances with the rules of identification and registration of animals also cause reductions in coupled payments and animal-related rural development support. This kind of double sanctions are difficult to understand among farmers and could be avoided by deleting SMRs 7-9 from conditionality, at least in Member States where animal-related coupled support and animal-related rural development support, such as animal welfare payment, are applied.

Amendment 5214
Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 3, 4 and 5

Amendment

deleted

Justification

Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3%.
Amendment 5215
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 9

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment

Deleted

Or. de

Justification

Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3 %.

Amendment 5216
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 9 – Additional note (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment

This SMR is an option for Member States where animal-related coupled support and animal-related rural development support are applied

Or. en
Justification

It should be remembered that non-compliances with the rules of identification and registration of animals also cause reductions in coupled payments and animal-related rural development support. This kind of double sanctions are difficult to understand among farmers and could be avoided by deleting SMRs 7-9 from conditionality, at least in Member States where animal-related coupled support and animal-related rural development support, such as animal welfare payment, are applied.

Amendment 5217
Karine Gloanec Maurin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Articles 7, 11, 12, 13 and 15

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 5218
Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 10

Text proposed by the Commission


deleted
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Articles 7, 11, 12, 13 and 15

Or. de

Justification

Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3%.

Amendment 5219
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Articles 7, 11, 12, 13 and 15

Or. de

Justification

Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3%.

Amendment 5220
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

prevention, control and eradication of
certain transmissible spongiform
Articles 7, 11, 12, 13 and 15

Amendment 5221
Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 11

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

Regulation (EU) 2016/429 of the
European Parliament and of the Council
of 9 March 2016 on transmissible animal
Article 18(1), limited to foot-and-mouth
disease, swine vesicular disease and blue
tongue

Justification
Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is
already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3 %.

Amendment 5222
Elsi Katainen, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 11

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

Regulation (EU) 2016/429 of the
European Parliament and of the Council
of 9 March 2016 on transmissible animal
diseases (OJ L 84, 31.3.2016, p.1)
Article 18(1), limited to foot-and-mouth disease, swine vesicular disease and blue tongue

Justification

The requirement would be insignificant and it would only increase unnecessary administrative burden to both farmers and administration. The requirement should not be included in conditionality for three reasons: 1) Adding this requirement to conditionality would make agricultural policy seem unstable. 2) Swine vesicular disease will no longer be included in the amendment to the Commission delegated Regulation on the matter and combating blue tongue disease will become voluntary for Member States, 3) The symptoms of foot-and-mouth disease cannot be identified in advance, making it impossible to control the compliance with the requirement.

Amendment 5223
Beata Gosiewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 11 – part 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment
deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 5224
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 11

Text proposed by the Commission
Article 18(1), limited to foot-and-mouth

deleted
disease, swine vesicular disease and blue tongue.

Justification

Deletion of the GAEC for animal identification and animal health proposed; monitoring is already covered in this instance by a monitoring rate of 3%.

Amendment 5225
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 18(1), limited to foot-and-mouth disease, swine vesicular disease and blue tongue.

deleted

Amendment


Amendment 5227
Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – Main issue (new) – SMR 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Main issue
Antibiotic use
SMR 11a
Regulation (EU) 2014/0255 (COD) on the manufacture, placing on the market and use of medicated feed

Amendment 5228
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – Main issue (new) – SMR 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Main issue
Antimicrobial resistance
Requirements and standards
SMR 11 a
Amendment 5229
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 11 a (new) – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 5230
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 12 – Requirements and standards

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


**Justification**

The second paragraph of Article 55 of Reg.1107/2009 is on integrated pest management; this can reduce pesticide usage by 30-60% without any drop in yields (Jacquet et al, 2011; Lechenet et al, 2017). Article 67 of Reg.1107/2009 is on keeping records of pesticide use, which all farmers with contract with supermarkets already have to do as part of their quality assurance - member states could simply check these in order the control the requirement.

Amendment 5231
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Justification**

In line with the principles of Integrated Pest Management, the conditionality should apply on the whole article 55 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Amendment 5232
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Article 5(2) and Article 8(1) to (5)
Article 12 with regard to restrictions on the use of pesticides in protected areas defined on the basis of the Water Framework Directive and Natura 2000 legislation.
Article 13(1) and (3) on handling and storage of pesticides and disposal of remnants

Article 14, uptake of the eight principles of Integrated Pest Management

Justification

This amendment takes up the idea of an Integrated Pest Management

Amendment 5233
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 5(2) and Article 8(1) to (5)
Article 12 with regard to restrictions on the use of pesticides in protected areas defined on the basis of the Water Framework Directive and Natura 2000 legislation.
Article 13(1) and (3) on handling and storage of pesticides and disposal of remnants

Amendment

Article 5
Article 8(1) to (5)

Article 9(1) on aerial spraying

Article 11 to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water

Article 12 with regard to restrictions on the use of pesticides in protected areas defined on the basis of the Water Framework Directive and Natura 2000 legislation.
Article 13(1) and (3) on handling and storage of pesticides and disposal of remnants.
Article 14, uptake of the eight principles of Integrated Pest Management

Or. en

Justification

Integrated pest management can reduce pesticide usage by 30-60% without any drop in yields

Amendment 5234
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch, Tilly Metz, Florent Marcellesi
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Articles 3 to 6

Or. en

Amendment 5235
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch, Tilly Metz, Florent Marcellesi
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 15 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Article 3

Or. en
Amendment 5236
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch, Tilly Metz, Florent Marcellesi
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 15 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3

Or. en

Amendment 5237
Martin Häusling, Maria Heubuch, Tilly Metz, Florent Marcellesi
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 15 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Articles 3 and 4

Or. en

Amendment 5238
Karine Gloanec Maurin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Articles 3 to 6

Amendment 5239
Karine Gloanec Maurin
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 16 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3

Amendment 5240
Karine Gloanec Maurin
Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 16 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Amendment 5241
Tilly Metz, Sirpa Pietikäinen, Anja Hazekamp, Jytte Guteland, John Flack, Petras Auštreivičius, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Council Regulation (EC) No 1099/2009 of 24 September 2009 on the protection of animals at the time of killing (when animals are slaughtered in the farm):
Articles 3 to 9

Justification

The EU has some of the world’s highest regulatory animal welfare standards, but weaknesses in implementation persist (see ECA Special report No 31/2018). Conditionality of direct payments can help farmers to improve the application of these EU rules on animal welfare

Amendment 5242
Tilly Metz, Sirpa Pietikäinen, Anja Hazekamp, Jytte Guteland, John Flack, Petras Auštreivičius, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 16 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3

Justification

The EU has some of the world’s highest regulatory animal welfare standards, but weaknesses in implementation persist (see ECA Special report No 31/2018). Conditionality of direct payments can help farmers to improve the application of these EU rules on animal welfare
Amendment 5243
Tilly Metz, Sirpa Pietikäinen, Anja Hazekamp, Jytte Guteland, John Flack, Petras Aušrevičius, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – SMR 16 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Or. en

Justification
The EU has some of the world’s highest regulatory animal welfare standards, but weaknesses in implementation persist (see ECA Special report No 31/2018). Conditionality of direct payments can help farmers to improve the application of these EU rules on animal welfare

Amendment 5244
Marijana Petir

Proposal for a regulation
Annex IV – Calendar year 2022

Text proposed by the Commission

Croatia: 367 711 409
Croatia: 382 600 000

Or. hr

Justification
In 2022, Croatia shall exercise its right to the amount according to the Accession Agreement, including additional envelope for national reserve for demined land in Croatia

Amendment 5245
Momchil Nekov
Proposal for a regulation  
Annex VI – Bulgaria – Calendar year

Text proposed by the Commission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021: 2 509 615</td>
<td>2021: 5 019 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022: 2 509 615</td>
<td>2022: 5 019 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023: 2 509 615</td>
<td>2023: 5 019 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024: 2 509 615</td>
<td>2024: 5 019 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025: 2 509 615</td>
<td>2025: 5 019 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026: 2 509 615</td>
<td>2026: 5 019 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027 and the subsequent years: 2 509 615</td>
<td>2027 and the subsequent years: 5 019 230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5246
Michel Dantin, Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation  
Annex IXa a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

ANNEX IXa a

MAXIMUM AMOUNTS OF SUPPORT FOR CERTAIN TYPES OF INTERVENTIONS FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Subject matter</th>
<th>Maximum amount in EUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Article 65</td>
<td>Aid for environmental, climate and other management</td>
<td>600  per ha per year for annual crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900  per ha per year for specialised perennial crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article 66</td>
<td>Aid for areas facing natural or other specific constraints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250 maximum per ha per year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>450 maximum per ha per year in mountain areas as defined in Article 32(2) of Regulation (EU) 1305/2013.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article 67</th>
<th>Aid for Natura 2000 and the Water Framework Directive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500 maximum per ha per year during the initial period not exceeding five years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 maximum per ha per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 minimum per ha per year for Water Framework Directive payments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article 69</th>
<th>Aid for setting up young farmers, new farmers and rural business start-ups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 000 per beneficiary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article 71</th>
<th>Quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 000 per holding per year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting up producer groups and organisations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 % as a percentage of marketed production during the first five years following recognition. The aid shall be degressive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 000 maximum amount per year in all cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article 72</th>
<th>Advisory services, farm management and farm relief services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 500 per advice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 000 per three years for the training of advisors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5247
Paolo De Castro
Proposal for a regulation
Annex X – Italy – Calendar year
Text proposed by the Commission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>71 203 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>71 203 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>71 203 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>71 203 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>71 203 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>71 203 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027 and subsequent years</td>
<td>71 203 710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendment 5248

Martin Häusling on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Annex XI a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

ANNEX XIa

EU LEGISLATION CONCERNING ANIMAL WELFARE TO WHOSE OBJECTIVES MEMBER STATES’ CAP STRATEGIC PLANS SHOULD CONTRIBUTE PURSUANT TO ARTICLES 96, 97 AND 103:

standards for the protection of calves;


- [Regulation XXXX of the European Parliament and of the Council on veterinary medicinal products];


Justification

The FAO has already developed a series of indicators to assess the achievement of the sustainable development goals

**Amendment 5249**  
Momchil Nekov  
Proposal for a regulation  
Annex XII – Core set of indicators – O.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O.3 Number of CAP support beneficiaries</td>
<td>O.3 Number of CAP support beneficiaries and jobs created and/or maintained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 5250**  
Martin Häusling  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
Proposal for a regulation  
Annex XII – Core set of indicators – O.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O.3 Number of CAP support beneficiaries</td>
<td>O.3 Number of CAP support beneficiaries, and number of ultimate beneficial owners of agricultural holdings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Justification

This intends to reduce concentration (reduced numbers of beneficiaries leading to loss of farms)

Amendment 5251
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex XII – Objectives – Title 5

Text proposed by the Commission
Foster sustainable development and efficient management of natural resources such as water, soil and air;

Amendment
Foster environmental conservation and preservation of natural resources such as water, soil and air; reaching the good state foreseen in the relevant legislative instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 5252
Momchil Nekov

Proposal for a regulation
Annex XII – Core set of indicators – R.27

Text proposed by the Commission
R.27 Preserving habitats and species: Share of agricultural land under management commitments supporting biodiversity conservation or restoration; agricultural land covered by bee families

Amendment
R.27 Preserving habitats and species: Share of agricultural land under management commitments supporting biodiversity conservation or restoration; share of agricultural and non-agricultural land covered by bee families

Or. en

Amendment 5253
Momchil Nekov
Proposal for a regulation  
Annex XII – Core set of indicators – R.31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R.31  Growth and jobs in rural areas: New jobs in supported projects</td>
<td>R.31  Growth and jobs in rural areas: New jobs in supported projects <em>their nature and length of employment</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en