



2020/2006(INL)

8.6.2020

AMENDMENTS

1 - 135

Draft opinion
Martin Häusling
(PE650.488v01-00)

An EU legal framework to halt and reverse EU-driven global deforestation
(2020/2006(INL))

Amendment 1
Petri Sarvamaa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem degradation or conversion, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem degradation or conversion, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities;*

Amendment

1. *Calls for no supply chains of products imported into the Union to involve illegal felling or deforestation, or human rights violations, and for them to protect the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities;*

Or. fr

Amendment 3
Christine Schneider, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for ***binding*** Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem ***degradation or conversion, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities***;

Amendment

1. Calls for Union law to be adopted that, ***while respecting socio-ecological structures***, ensures that all supply chains of products imported ***illegally*** into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem conversion;

Or. de

Amendment 4

Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Martin Hlaváček, Fredrick Federley, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Asger Christensen, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Hilde Vautmans, Elsi Katainen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that ***all*** supply chains of products imported into the Union ***and also of those products and services supplied within the Union*** do not ***involve*** deforestation ***and*** ecosystem degradation ***or conversion, or*** human rights violations, ***and that protects*** indigenous peoples and local communities;

Amendment

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that ***the*** supply chains of products imported into the Union do not ***lead to*** deforestation, ecosystem degradation, human rights violations ***or the violation of rights of*** indigenous peoples and local communities;

Or. en

Amendment 5

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products **and services** supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem **degradation or** conversion, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities;

Amendment

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products supplied within the Union do not involve **illegal** deforestation and ecosystem conversion, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities;

Or. en

Amendment 6

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem degradation or conversion, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities;

Amendment

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem degradation or conversion, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities **who depend on forests for their livelihoods, including women and environmental and human rights defenders**;

Or. en

Amendment 7

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem degradation or conversion, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities;

Amendment

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem degradation or conversion, ***including loss of biodiversity due to destruction and fragmentation of natural habitats***, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities;

Or. en

Amendment 8

Daniel Buda

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem degradation or conversion, or human rights violations, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities;

Amendment

1. Calls for binding Union law to be adopted that ensures that all supply chains of products imported into the Union and also of those products and services supplied within the Union do not involve deforestation and ecosystem degradation or conversion, or human rights violations, ***or endanger human and animal health***, and that protects indigenous peoples and local communities;

Or. ro

Amendment 9

Balázs Hidvéghi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Stresses that the role of sustainable forest management in decreasing deforestation and forest degradation is key, and that promoting the benefits of sustainable forest management in third countries can contribute to that goal;

Or. en

Amendment 10

Attila Ara-Kovács, Sylwia Spurek, Ivo Hristov, Miroslav Číž, Juozas Olekas, Marc Tarabella, Monika Beňová, Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Isabel Carvalhais

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Notes that sustainable forest management is essential not only from environmental but also from the social and economic points of view, as the sector employs at least 500 000 people directly^{1a} and 2,6 million indirectly^{1b} in the Union, and respectively 13 million and 41 million people worldwide^{1c};

^{1a} Eurostat database on forestry
<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/forestry/data/database>

^{1b} European Parliament fact sheet of May 2019 on the European Union and forests

^{1c} <http://www.fao.org/rural-employment/agricultural-sub-sectors/forestry/en>

Or. en

Amendment 11

Christine Schneider, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Notes that climate change, insect damage and forest fires are natural events that are currently putting great pressure on the development of forests globally; points out that forests not only provide a unique space for recovery, but also bind carbon dioxide (CO₂), protect the soil, filter our drinking water and are a sustainable source of wood, a valuable raw material both for further processing and for energy generation; stresses that forests provide the basis for jobs and incomes, especially in rural areas, and that they are a vital habitat for many animal and plant species worldwide, thus contributing significantly to the protection of biodiversity;

Or. de

Amendment 12

Gilles Lebreton, Joëlle Mélin, Maxette Pirbakas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Notes that the free-trade business model pursued by the Commission, which results in the import into the Union of large quantities of agricultural products derived from deforestation, such as protein plants and meat, is directly responsible for a certain amount of deforestation at global level; calls for this model to be abandoned;

Or. fr

Amendment 13

Carmen Avram

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. *Considers that the Union should encourage the use of locally-sourced timber, harvested wood products or forest biomass in order to minimise the carbon footprint created by transport of imports from third countries and to stimulate sustainable local production and jobs;*

Or. en

Amendment 14

Elsi Katainen, Irène Tolleret, Petri Sarvamaa, Fredrick Federley, Ulrike Müller, Jérémy Decerle, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. *Calls for the Union to take a leading international role in promoting sustainable forest use and bio-based production; acknowledges that enhanced wood availability is necessary for attracting forest-based investments in the Union and green economic recovery as well as for achieving the targets of the European Green Deal and EU Bioeconomy Strategy, which also ensures halting deforestation;*

Or. en

Amendment 15

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Fredrick Federley, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Notes that economic recovery, global sustainable development and a more competitive Union forest sector require the Union to take a strong international role in promoting sustainable forest use and bio-based production;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Christine Schneider

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Calls for closer cooperation between governments, undertakings, producers and civil society to adopt policies and establish framework conditions to support private sector projects;

Or. de

Amendment 17

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Christine Schneider

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Calls for the framework conditions for sustainable forest development to be improved by supporting sound governance and institutions and the development of effective control and sanction mechanisms, including the fight

against corruption and illegal logging;

Or. de

Amendment 18

Christine Schneider, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Petri Sarvamaa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Stresses the need for long-term measures to adapt forests to changing climatic conditions; welcomes the fact that in many countries an increase in the number of resilient native tree species in healthy mixed forests is already recommended and practiced;

Or. de

Amendment 19

Christine Schneider, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Calls for mutual support in the event of adverse events through research and exchanges in order to find measures adapted to the geographical conditions that can protect against large-scale fires or prevent pest infestations;

Or. de

Amendment 20

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Elsi Katainen, Fredrick Federley, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Reminds that forests and woodlands cover over 40 % of the Union's territory and that, despite the global situation, the area covered by forests in Europe is growing;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Elsi Katainen, Petri Sarvamaa, Fredrick Federley, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Martin Hlaváček

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Underlines that forest policy is a national competence and that, on the Union level, the Standing Forestry Committee steers the preparation of forest-related views regarding policy initiatives;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Gilles Lebreton, Joëlle Mélin, Maxette Pirbakas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Notes that **voluntary measures** alone will not stop deforestation;

2. Notes that **unilateral commitments** alone will not stop deforestation **and that compliance with Union rules, together with safeguard clauses in the event of non-compliance, is therefore a necessary precondition for all the Union's trade**

negotiations;

Or. fr

Amendment 23

Daniel Buda

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation;

Amendment

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation; ***draws attention to the fact that national policies on forests and forest management currently reflect a framework that is fragmented, disjointed and at present insufficiently coordinated as regards encouraging sustainability; stresses the need for stricter application of Regulation (EU) No 995/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1a};***

^{1a} Regulation (EU) No 995/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 October 2010 laying down the obligations of operators who place timber and timber products on the market (OJ L 295, 12.11.2010, p. 23).

Or. ro

Amendment 24

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation;

Amendment

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation, ***therefore calls on the Commission to regulate***

Union imports of high-risk agricultural commodities, such as palm oil, soya or beef, and thus promote global climate and biodiversity objectives, and sustainable food production and consumption;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Christine Schneider

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation;

Amendment

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation; ***Calls on the EU to act against deforestation and damage to forests and the overexploitation of forest resources and to implement the provisions aimed at promoting forest protection and sustainable forestry in the framework of the Paris Climate Agreement and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs);***

Or. de

Amendment 26

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Ulrike Müller, Jérémy Decerle, Martin Hlaváček

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation;

Amendment

2. Notes that ***although*** voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation, ***binding legislation should be combined with non-legislative initiatives, such as cooperation with third countries, in order to fight against global deforestation;***

Amendment 27
Clara Aguilera

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation;

Amendment

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation; ***nevertheless considers that measures of a voluntary nature can go a long way towards galvanising public opinion against the consumption of goods and services that are a cause of deforestation;***

Or. es

Amendment 28
Petri Sarvamaa, Christine Schneider, Elsi Katainen, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that voluntary measures ***alone will not stop*** deforestation;

Amendment

2. Notes that voluntary measures ***are a useful instrument in the efforts to stop global*** deforestation; ***highlights that afforestation can partly compensate for problems caused by deforestation by supporting biodiversity and the achievement of climate goals;***

Or. en

Amendment 29
Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Fredrick Federley, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Asger Christensen, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Hilde Vautmans, Elsi Katainen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation;

Amendment

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop ***the Union contribution to global*** deforestation ***caused by demand for imports of deforestation-risk goods into the Union***;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Petri Sarvamaa, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation;

Amendment

2. Notes that voluntary measures alone will not stop deforestation ***outside Europe***;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Christine Schneider

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls therefore for the development of international alliances and the securing of international forest financing in the framework of global forest protection agreements, in close cooperation with European governments and international actors;

Or. de

Amendment 32

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Fredrick Federley, Martin Hlaváček, Hilde Vautmans, Jérémy Decerle

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Supports the setting up of “green alliances” with third countries in order to help them put in place sustainable forest value chains and bio-economy activities prioritising the support to small producers, as well as sound policies aiming at avoiding deforestation, such as integrated land planning, land tenure transparency, and prevention of illegal conversion of forest areas into agricultural land;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Fredrick Federley, Jérémy Decerle, Hilde Vautmans, Martin Hlaváček

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Supports regular information exchanges with third countries to promote the consumption and trade of wood and wood derived products coming from forest managed in a sustainable way;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Jérémy Decerle

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. *Notes that the global forest area has been reduced by 129 million hectares in the period 1990-2015 mainly due to the agricultural production, a third of which is exported, and highlights that soy, palm oil and cocoa represent 80 % of the Union imports coming from deforested areas;*

Or. en

Amendment 35

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Martin Hlaváček, Jérémy Decerle

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2d. *Regrets that the Union embodied deforestation reaches 10 % of the global deforestation consumption as a result of its dependency on imports of products such as palm oil, meat, soy, cocoa, maize, timber and rubber;*

Or. en

Amendment 36

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Underlines that palm oil is an important driver of deforestation on an alarming scale for commercial agriculture in countries of Southeast Asia, while soya cultivation for animal nutrition contributes to deforestation in South America;*

Amendment 37

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Martin Hlaváček, Jérémy Decerle, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2e. Calls for the establishment of an objective of Union zero deforestation consumption in the framework of the Green Deal by 2030, at the latest;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Demands that all products that drive deforestation globally should be prohibited from entering the Union internal market;

Or. en

Amendment 39

Christine Schneider, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Petri Sarvamaa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Stresses that afforestation projects constitute effective measures against climate change and help mitigate the

*consequences of forest dieback and
climate-damaging developments;*

Or. de

Amendment 40

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Jérémy Decerle, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2f. Welcomes the proposal of the Commission in its communication of 20 May 2020 entitled "A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally friendly food system" to put in place a deforestation observatory, but considers it necessary that this initiative be accompanied by submitting, every 5 years, a report to the European Parliament and the Council on global deforestation in order to take appropriate measures in terms of reinforcing cooperation with third countries and safeguarding trade restrictions at the Union level;

Or. en

Amendment 41

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Jérémy Decerle, Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Hilde Vautmans

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2g. Considers it essential to put in place a deforestation-free certification system that would increase the supply chain transparency in the Union and allow the promotion of products not

issued from deforestation;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Elsi Katainen, Jérémy Decerle, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 h (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2h. Asks the Commission to put in place an information campaign on responsible agri-food consumption in the Union by highlighting the environmental consequences of the embodied deforestation;

Or. en

Amendment 43

Elsi Katainen, Petri Sarvamaa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Calls therefore for a due diligence obligation to be placed on operators, including financiers, that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities or derivatives on the Union market;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 44

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls therefore for *a* due diligence obligation *to be placed* on operators, including financiers, that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities or derivatives on the Union market;

Amendment

3. Calls therefore for **Union legislation placing a mandatory** due diligence obligation on **all** operators, including financiers, that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities or derivatives on the Union market, **ensuring the protection of indigenous peoples and local communities rights, in particular customary community tenure rights; stresses that such due diligence obligations should also be applied to the financial sector in order to ensure that no investments in forest-risk commodities are linked to deforestation and human rights violations;**

Or. en

Amendment 45 Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls therefore for a due diligence obligation to be placed on operators, including financiers, that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities or derivatives on the Union market;

Amendment

3. Calls therefore for a due diligence obligation **with legally binding sustainability criteria** to be placed on operators, including financiers, that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities or derivatives on the Union market, **so as to guarantee sustainable and deforestation-free supply chains that respect natural ecosystems in line with international standards and obligations;**

Or. en

Amendment 46 Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Fredrick Federley, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Asger

Christensen, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Hilde Vautmans

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls therefore for a due diligence obligation *to be placed on operators, including financiers*, that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities *or* derivatives on the Union market;

Amendment

3. Calls therefore for a due diligence obligation *for economic actors* that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities, *or their* derivatives, *from third countries* on the Union market; *stresses, that many of the affected European businesses particularly in the food supply chain are SMEs and therefore calls for an SME-friendly implementation that limits administrative burden to an unavoidable minimum;*

Or. en

Amendment 47
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls therefore for a due diligence obligation to be placed on operators, including financiers, that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities or derivatives on the Union market;

Amendment

3. Calls therefore for a due diligence obligation to be placed on operators, including financiers, that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities or derivatives on the Union market; *considers in this context that an early warning system for businesses should be established to warn businesses whenever they import from regions where deforestation might be taking place;*

Or. fr

Amendment 48
Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls *therefore* for a due diligence obligation to be placed on operators, including financiers, that place forest and ecosystem-risk commodities or derivatives on the Union market;

Amendment

3. Calls for a due diligence obligation to be placed on operators, including financiers, that place forest and ecosystem-risk *fossil* commodities or derivatives on the Union market;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Stresses that such a Union legal framework should be based on a robust enforcement regime and include effective, proportionate and dissuasive penalties for non-compliance;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Attila Ara-Kovács, Sylwia Spurek, Ivo Hristov, Miroslav Číž, Juozas Olekas, Marc Tarabella, Monika Beňová, Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Isabel Carvalhais

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Believes that establishing a platform for multi-stakeholder and Member State dialogue on deforestation, forest degradation and on sustainably increasing world's forest cover is an important element which can complement

such a legal framework based on due diligence, and could help all stakeholders to drive actions to address these issues; calls for international actors to actively use that platform;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Christine Schneider, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Calls for our knowledge of sustainable forest management in multifunctional forests to be improved and for our experiences to be shared and, to this end, for cooperation to be strengthened worldwide;

Or. de

Amendment 52

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Considers that **improving** traceability and transparency **can help** to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls for due diligence obligations to be **part of** public procurement rules;

4. Considers that traceability and transparency **is essential** to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed, **or financial services used, so that consumers know these are free from deforestation, ecosystem conversion and degradation and human rights abuses**; calls for due diligence obligations **on prospective suppliers** to be **integrated into** public procurement rules;

Amendment 53
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls *for due diligence obligations* to be *part of* public procurement rules;

Amendment

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls *in this context for a ban on the purchase of imported products derived from deforestation* to be *included in* public procurement rules;

Or. fr

Amendment 54
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Amendment

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; *however, underlines that the introduction of labelling and certification systems for deforestation-free products is not sufficient in the context of the climate and environmental emergency*; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Attila Ara-Kovács, Sylwia Spurek, Ivo Hristov, Miroslav Číž, Juozas Olekas, Monika Beňová, Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Isabel Carvalhais

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Amendment

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed ***but only in combination with common and widely recognised labelling and certification systems, and*** calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Carmen Avram

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Amendment

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules; ***further takes the view that such a verification system would improve compliance by limiting and combating financial fraud while hampering cartel practices and dismantling illegal logging logistical operations and movement;***

Or. en

Amendment 57
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Amendment

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules; ***calls for the introduction of traceability certificates for agricultural and forestry products imported into the Union reflecting the impact on the environment arising from their cultivation, processing and transport;***

Or. ro

Amendment 58

Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Fredrick Federley, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Asger Christensen, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Hilde Vautmans, Elsi Katainen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ***ensure that only*** sustainably sourced goods ***are consumed***; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Amendment

4. Considers that improving traceability and transparency can help to ***increase the consumption of*** sustainably sourced goods; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Clara Aguilera

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that improving traceability **and transparency** can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Amendment

4. Considers that improving traceability, **transparency, education and promotion** can help to ensure that only sustainably sourced goods are consumed; calls for due diligence obligations to be part of public procurement rules;

Or. es

Amendment 60

Christine Schneider, Norbert Lins

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Takes the view that mandatory labelling of sustainable wood extraction is important for consumer guidance, since consumer choices can influence wood extraction;

Or. de

Amendment 61

Attila Ara-Kovács, Sylwia Spurek, Ivo Hristov, Miroslav Číž, Juozas Olekas, Marc Tarabella, Monika Beňová, Carmen Avram, Isabel Carvalhais, Maria Noichl

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Encourages forest planting and re-planting activities and projects, especially planting of native and wildlife friendly species, as efficient afforestation and protection and restoration of forests help to increase carbon sequestration, reduce the risk and extent of forest fires, complement organic farming and agroforestry and promote biodiversity;

stresses the important role of riverside forests in stabilising riverbanks, thereby reducing flood risk, maintaining water temperatures which protect biodiversity, and improving water quality by filtering run-offs from adjacent agricultural land; notes that newly planted forests cannot replace primary forests which are essential in biodiversity and environmental terms;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Christine Schneider, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Calls for the development of concepts for a sustainable future for forests worldwide that reconcile both economic and environmental interests, given that forests are an important resource for many countries and that the latter are not willing to forego this resource voluntarily;

Or. de

Amendment 63

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Stresses the importance of promoting sustainable diets, by raising consumer awareness of the impacts of consumption patterns and providing information on diets that are better for

*human health and have a lower
environmental footprint;*

Or. en

Amendment 64
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Recognises that reduction in the Union production and consumption of meat and dairy would greatly contribute to meet the sustainable development goals, by combating climate change and halting deforestation and biodiversity loss;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Fredrick Federley, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Asger Christensen, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Hilde Vautmans

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; ***calls for the reopening of FTAs which do not contain such provisions, for example EU-Mercosur FTA;***

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Clara Aguilera

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; ***calls for the reopening of FTAs which do not contain such provisions, for example EU-Mercosur FTA;***

Amendment

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights;

Or. es

Amendment 67
Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Elsi Katainen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; ***calls for the reopening of FTAs which do not contain such provisions, for example EU-Mercosur FTA;***

Amendment

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs), ***such as Mercosur,*** so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; ***considers that the respect of the Paris Agreement should be a binding condition in all trade agreements with third countries;***

Or. en

Amendment 68
Gilles Lebreton, Joëlle Mélin, Maxette Pirbakas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls for the reopening of FTAs which do not contain such provisions, for example EU-Mercosur **FTA**;

Amendment

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls for the reopening of FTAs which do not contain such provisions, for example **the EU-Mercosur, Vietnam, Mexico and Canada FTAs**;

Or. fr

Amendment 69 Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in **free** trade agreements (**FTAs**) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls for the reopening of **FTAs** which do not contain such provisions, for example EU-Mercosur **FTA**;

Amendment

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in **all** trade agreements so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls for the reopening of **trade agreements** which do not contain such provisions, for example EU-Mercosur **free trade agreement**;

Or. en

Amendment 70 Attila Ara-Kovács, Sylwia Spurek, Ivo Hristov, Juozas Olekas, Marc Tarabella, Monika Beňová, Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Isabel Carvalhais

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (*FTAs*) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls *for the reopening of FTAs which do not contain such provisions, for example EU-Mercosur FTA*;

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements *with respect to the application of food safety, environmental and animal welfare standards* so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls *on the Commission to continue to closely monitor and enforce ongoing free trade agreements against those standards*;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Jérémy Decerle, Irène Tolleret

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls for the reopening of FTAs *which do not contain* such provisions, *for example* EU-Mercosur FTA;

Amendment

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls for the reopening of FTAs *negotiations when* such provisions *are not already contained therein, including the* EU-Mercosur FTA; *considers that the respect of the Paris Agreement should be a binding condition in all trade agreements with third countries*;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls for the reopening of FTAs which do not contain such provisions, for example EU-Mercosur FTA;

Amendment

5. Calls for binding and enforceable environmental and social provisions to be included in free trade agreements (FTAs) so as to protect forests, natural ecosystems and human rights, particularly community tenure rights; calls for the reopening of FTAs which do not contain such provisions, for example EU-Mercosur FTA; ***stresses that the Commission should systematically take the impact of trade agreements on forests into account in its impact studies;***

Or. fr

Amendment 73

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Notes that the Mercosur-EU FTA is set to perpetuate the dependency of the Mercosur economies on the very activities that lead to deforestation; calls for the suspension of the EU-Mercosur agreement process until binding, enforceable and sanctionable provisions to address climate change, deforestation and ecosystem conversion, biodiversity loss and the protection of the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities have been comprehensively included;

Or. en

Amendment 74

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Recalls that the trade deal with Mercosur countries is in conflict with the Union commitments for zero deforestation and human rights with regards to meat and soya imports; urges the Commission to meet its climate and zero deforestation targets and consequently decrease soya imports;

Or. en

Amendment 75

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. Notes the governmental responsibilities in combating deforestation and ecosystem conversion, which cannot be adequately addressed by external stakeholders alone; therefore, stresses the importance of inclusive partnership with third countries to strengthen sustainable land management, sustainable agriculture, and good governance, particularly regarding land and forest tenure rights;

Or. en

Amendment 76

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5c. Welcomes the "do no harm" principle as highlighted in the Commission's Communication on the European Green Deal; in that context, calls on the Commission to analyse the extent to which existing trade agreements directly or indirectly contribute to deforestation, forest degradation, and the conversion and degradation of other natural ecosystems, and to propose measures to address such detrimental impacts where identified, in particular by including binding, enforceable and sanctionable provisions for the protection of forests, natural ecosystems and human rights in existing trade agreements which do not yet contain such provisions;

Or. en

Amendment 77

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Elsi Katainen, Jérémy Decerle, Hilde Vautmans

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Asks the Commission to integrate deforestation in the assessment of the environmental impact of trade agreements, and to take into consideration the results of ex ante evaluations in trade negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Ivo Hristov, Attila Ara-Kovács

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Notes the continuing import into the Union of palm oil and its use in a range of industries, including the food industry; expresses its concern that palm oil is being used in some products as a milk substitute, which is liable to mislead consumers; notes with concern that the demand for products such as palm oil puts pressure on forest areas in sensitive regions and on the communities that live there;*

Or. en

Amendment 79

Daniel Buda

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Calls on the Commission to pinpoint incentive mechanisms for farmers to enhance the supply of sustainable agricultural and forestry products, and simultaneously to maintain and bolster ecosystems;*

Or. ro

Amendment 80

Balázs Hidvéghi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. *Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by **implementing the Union protein plan, and***

6. *Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by **building upon the Commission's report on the***

for Union livestock production to match available Union land resources;

development of plant proteins in the European Union in order to elaborate a Union action plan on proteins based on a wide approach and building coherence between the different Union policies;

Or. en

Amendment 81

Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Martin Hlaváček, Fredrick Federley, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Asger Christensen, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Hilde Vautmans

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for the Union to **cut** dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan, **and for Union livestock production to match available Union land resources;**

Amendment

6. Calls for the Union to **reduce its** dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Gilles Lebreton, Joëlle Mélin, Maxette Pirbakas

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan, **and for Union livestock production to match available Union land resources;**

Amendment

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan;

Or. fr

Amendment 83
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan, ***and for Union livestock production to match available Union land resources***;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan;

Or. fr

Amendment 84
Clara Aguilera

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan, ***and for Union livestock production to match available Union land resources***;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan;

Or. es

Amendment 85
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and

Amendment

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and

ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan, and **for** Union livestock production **to match** available Union land resources;

ecosystem-risk commodities by ***promoting locally-sourced plant protein, pasture-based grazing, legal and sustainably sourced feed, namely by*** implementing the Union protein plan, and ***enacting policy that ensures that*** Union livestock production ***matches*** available Union land resources; ***notes in this regard, that soya and palm oil products originating in deforested land that are used for animal feed in the Union are significant drivers of largescale ecosystem conversion; stresses also the need to focus on shorter supply chains of protein crops and meat and dairy products, to the advantage of food sovereignty both in the Union and its partner countries;***

Or. en

Amendment 86
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan, and for Union livestock production to match available Union land resources;

Amendment

6. Calls for the Union to cut dependency on imports of forest and ecosystem-risk commodities by implementing the Union protein plan, and for Union livestock production to match available Union land resources; ***recommends that Member States assess their domestic production potentials and plan measures aimed at comprehensively satisfying the EU's consumption requirements and helping to reduce dependence on imports;***

Or. ro

Amendment 87
Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Notes further that livestock farm income and profitability can increase by reducing density and intensity of production to levels that can be sustained by pasture-based grazing or home-grown fodder crops, reducing production costs by minimising external inputs and optimising productivity of grass or forage based grazing systems through e.g. mob or rotational grazing, even if production volumes may be lower;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Maria Noichl

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Emphasises that the EU's intensive farming model is dependent on the import of large volumes of protein feed; stresses that the cultivation of protein feed overseas is one of the main drivers of deforestation and the destruction of tropical forests; calls for sustainability criteria to be introduced for feed imports, in an effort to ensure that in third countries protein plants are cultivated in a sustainable manner which is not damaging to the environment or social structures;

Or. de

Amendment 89
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Stresses that the livestock farming in the Union which is based on imported feed is among the key drivers of land-use change and thus directly responsible for deforestation and forest degradation in third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Martin Hlaváček, Jérémy Decerle, Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Strongly supports the promotion of nitrogen fixing crops under the new CAP strategic plans through eco-schemes, rural development agro-environmental measures, new sectorial interventions and coupled support, in order to increase the protein self-sufficiency of the Union, and, at the same time, contribute to reach the objectives of the biodiversity and the farm to fork strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 91
Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Encourages the Member States to boost the production of nitrogen fixing crops in the context of the COVID-19 recovery plan which will be put in place in the next multiannual financial framework;

Or. en

Amendment 92

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Notes that the European Parliament has adopted, since December 2015, 40 objections to the import of genetically modified food and feed, of which 11 were to genetically modified soy imports; reminds that one of the reasons for objecting to those imports was the deforestation associated with cultivation in countries such as Brazil and Argentina, where the soy is almost exclusively genetically modified for use with pesticides; notes that a recent peer-reviewed scientific study, by pan-EU researchers, found that the Union has the largest carbon footprint in the world due to soy imports from Brazil, 13,8 % larger than China, the largest soy importer, due to a larger share of emissions from embodied deforestation,^{1a}

notes further that, according to the Commission, soy has historically been the Union's number one contributor to global deforestation and related emissions, accounting for nearly half of the deforestation embodied in all Union imports. ^{1b}

^{1a} Ref:Escobar, N., Tizado, E.J., zu Ermgassen, E.K.,Löfgren, P., Börner, J., & Godar, J. (2020).Spatially-explicit footprints of agricultural commodities: Mapping carbon emissions embodied in Brazil's soy exports. Global Environmental Change, 62, 102067 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959378019308623>)

^{1b} Ref: Technical Report - 2013 - 063 of the Commission, 'The impact of EU consumption on deforestation: Comprehensive analysis of the impact of EU consumption on deforestation', study funded by the European Commission, DG ENV, and undertaken by VITO, IIASA, HIVA and IUCN NL, <http://ec.europa.eu/environment/forests/pdf/1.%20Report%20analysis%20of%20impact.pdf>, pp. 23-24

Or. en

Amendment 93

Irène Tolleret, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Martin Hlaváček

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6c. Supports the elimination by 2030 of the use of raw materials contributing to the deforestation in the production of biofuels;

Or. en

Amendment 94

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. ***Calls for a coherent legislative framework that brings together and develops existing systems, such as the Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade (FLEGT) Action Plan and the Union timber regulation, guaranteeing participation of affected rights holders;***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 95

Petri Sarvamaa, Christine Schneider, Elsi Katainen, Fredrick Federley, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Calls for a coherent legislative framework ***that brings together and develops*** existing systems, such as the Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade (FLEGT) Action Plan and the Union timber regulation, ***guaranteeing participation of affected rights holders;***

7. Calls for ***maintaining*** a coherent legislative framework ***based on*** existing systems, such as the Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade (FLEGT) Action Plan and the Union timber regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 96

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Calls for a coherent legislative framework that brings together and develops existing systems, such as the Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and

7. Calls for a coherent legislative framework, ***including the recently published Farm to Fork and biodiversity strategies of the European Green Deal,***

Trade (FLEGT) Action Plan and the Union timber regulation, guaranteeing participation of affected rights holders;

that brings together and develops existing systems, such as the Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade (FLEGT) Action Plan and the Union timber regulation, guaranteeing participation of affected rights holders, *inter alia of indigenous peoples and local communities, particularly women and environmental and human rights defenders*;

Or. en

Amendment 97

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Christine Schneider

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls for a coherent legislative framework that brings together and develops existing systems, such as the Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade (FLEGT) Action Plan and the Union timber regulation, guaranteeing participation of affected rights holders;

Amendment

7. Calls for a coherent legislative framework that brings together and develops existing systems, such as the Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade (FLEGT) Action Plan and the Union timber regulation, guaranteeing participation of affected rights holders; *calls for the improvement of legislation concerning forests and export regulations for wood and wood products*;

Or. de

Amendment 98

Attila Ara-Kovács, Sylwia Spurek, Ivo Hristov, Miroslav Číž, Juozas Olekas, Marc Tarabella, Monika Beňová, Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Isabel Carvalhais

Draft opinion Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls for a more holistic approach in which the Union provides direct

support to local authorities for greening, afforestation and sustainable forest management practices; believes, in particular, that poverty-induced use of forest wood as heating fuel must be addressed, including by financing clean alternatives;

Or. en

Amendment 99

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls for consistency and coherence between the actions of the Union at home and abroad; calls for robust enforcement of the Timber Regulation and the Nature Directives across the Union, including via timely infringement proceedings;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7b. Recalls in that context that the European Environment Agency's report on 'The European Environment - state and outlook 2020' also warned that the Union is set to miss most of its own environmental targets and objectives for 2020, highlighting in particular that

Europe's forests are still subject to many human-induced pressures, such as over-intensive forest management based on high extraction rates, pollution, climate change and invasive alien species;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7c. Stresses that the Union has the competences, responsibility and funds available to protect European forests as part of the world's forests; calls, therefore, on the Commission and the Member States to ensure that ambitious measures aimed at protecting and restoring forests and other natural ecosystems are applied equally to European and the world's forests, and reflect this ambition consistently within the new Union's forest and biodiversity strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7d. Calls for sustainable consumption of wood including a cascade model, and challenges the notion that burning wood

for fuel can make sense in terms of climate protection and carbon sinking and resource use in a circular economy;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Petri Sarvamaa, Christine Schneider, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Notes the unsustainable level of consumption in the EU, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which both causes and intensifies land-use change;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 104

Balázs Hidvéghi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Notes the unsustainable level of consumption in the EU, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which both causes and intensifies land-use change;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 105

Anne Sander

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 8**

Draft opinion

8. *Notes the unsustainable level of consumption in the EU, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which both causes and intensifies land-use change;*

Amendment

8. *Calls on the Member States to implement the revised Renewable Energy Directive, including the sustainability criteria for bioenergy;*

Or. fr

Amendment 106

Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Martin Hlaváček, Fredrick Federley, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Asger Christensen, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Hilde Vautmans, Elsi Katainen

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 8**

Draft opinion

8. *Notes the unsustainable level of consumption in the EU, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which both causes and intensifies land-use change;*

Amendment

8. *Stresses that the sustainability of raw material use in the Union could be considerably improved by implementing measures to boost the circular bio-economy, consequently reducing the dependency on deforestation-risk imports;*

Or. en

Amendment 107

Clara Aguilera

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 8**

Draft opinion

8. Notes the *unsustainable level of consumption in the EU, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which both causes*

Amendment

8. Notes the *need to increase sustainable consumption in the EU;*

and intensifies land-use change;

Or. es

Amendment 108

Gilles Lebreton, Joëlle Mélin, Maxette Pirbakas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes ***the unsustainable*** level of consumption in the EU, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which both causes and intensifies land-use change;

Amendment

8. Notes ***that the*** level of consumption in the EU ***will be sustainable in the long term only if strategic production is localised***, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which both causes and intensifies land-use change;

Or. fr

Amendment 109

Simone Schmiedtbauer

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes the unsustainable level of consumption in the ***EU***, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which ***both*** causes and intensifies land-use change;

Amendment

8. Notes the unsustainable level of consumption ***of fossil raw materials*** in the ***Union***, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria ***as these criteria do not take fossil raw materials into account***, which causes and intensifies land-use change;

Or. en

Amendment 110

Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes the unsustainable level of consumption in the *EU*, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, *which both causes* and intensifies land-use change;

Amendment

8. Notes the unsustainable level of consumption in the *Union*, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, *so that the Union's consumption of woody biomass for energy drives* and intensifies *direct and indirect* land-use change (*ILUC*), *including deforestation*;

Or. en

Amendment 111

Attila Ara-Kovács, Sylwia Spurek, Ivo Hristov, Miroslav Číž, Juozas Olekas, Marc Tarabella, Monika Beňová, Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Isabel Carvalhais

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes the unsustainable level of consumption in the *EU*, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which both causes and intensifies land-use change;

Amendment

8. Notes the unsustainable level of consumption in the *Union*, and that, for example, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) contains insufficient sustainability criteria, which both causes and intensifies land-use change; *stresses that the Union funding mechanism should promote sustainable forest management and land-use*;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Petri Sarvamaa, Christine Schneider, Elsi Katainen, Fredrick Federley, Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Member States to implement the revised Renewable Energy Directive (RED II), including sustainability criteria for bioenergy;

Or. en

Amendment 113
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Stresses that increasing use of wood for biofuels and bioenergy is creating pressure on the European and world's forests given the rising demand for energy coming from renewable sources;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission to review by 2021 the relevant aspects of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/807^{1a} and to revise it without delay on the basis of scientific knowledge and in accordance with the precautionary principle, so as to ensure the phasing out

of all high-ILUC risk biofuels, including soy, and to fulfil the Union's global commitment to stop and reverse global biodiversity loss;

^{1a} Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/807 of 13 March 2019 supplementing Directive (EU) 2018/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the determination of high indirect land-use change-risk feedstock for which a significant expansion of the production area into land with high carbon stock is observed and the certification of low indirect land-use change-risk biofuels, bioliquids and biomass fuels (OJ L 133, 21.5.2019, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 115
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. *Calls on the Commission to critically assess measures for the sustainable production and use of wood fuels in view, inter alia, of the high level of imports of wood pellets into the Union perversely incentivised by the flawed accounting rules under the Renewable Energy Directive and the EU Emission Trading System, and address the risks that these imports pose to forests in third countries;*

Or. en

Amendment 116

Anja Hazekamp

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Urges the Commission and the Member States to take full account of the impact that increased use of land-based biofuels has on land-use change and deforestation; therefore calls on the Commission to fundamentally reform Union bioenergy policies, i.e. by revising the Renewable Energy Directive;

Or. en

**Amendment 117
Anja Hazekamp**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 c (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

8c. Stresses the importance of linking the European Green Deal policies with the incentives for deforestation, through addressing the environmental, social and human rights impacts of deforestation in order to achieve the long-term vision of a climate neutral economy;

Or. en

Amendment 118

Attila Ara-Kovács, Sylwia Spurek, Ivo Hristov, Miroslav Číž, Juozas Olekas, Marc Tarabella, Monika Beňová, Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Isabel Carvalhais, Maria Noichl

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. *Stresses the importance of an observatory system for deforestation, forest degradation and changes in the world's forest cover; encourages the increased use of the Copernicus satellite system to monitor forests;*

Or. en

Amendment 119

Attila Ara-Kovács, Sylwia Spurek, Ivo Hristov, Miroslav Číž, Juozas Olekas, Marc Tarabella, Monika Beňová, Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Isabel Carvalhais

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. *Calls for a stronger role of the Union in helping local authority enforcement of forest protection regulations in force;*

Or. en

Amendment 120

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 9**

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. Asks the Commission to monitor ***and take action on the causes of*** wildfires, forest damage and ecosystem conversion ***and*** related human rights and tenure violations, ***by*** creating early alert mechanisms.

9. Asks the Commission to ***use, for example, the Copernicus satellite system to*** monitor wildfires, forest damage and ecosystem conversion ***globally (within and outside the Union), supplemented by other monitoring means to track*** related human rights and tenure violations, ***and to take timely and appropriate action, including addressing their causes; calls for the***

creating *of* early *warning* alert mechanisms *to notify public authorities, companies, including third party schemes, and consumers of commodities originating in areas of ecosystem conversion risk and areas where human rights have been violated;*

Or. en

Amendment 121

Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Martin Hlaváček, Fredrick Federley, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Asger Christensen, Adrián Vázquez Lázara, Hilde Vautmans

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Asks the Commission to monitor *and take action on* the causes of wildfires, forest damage and ecosystem conversion and related human rights and tenure violations, *by creating early alert mechanisms.*

Amendment

9. Asks the Commission to monitor the causes of wildfires, forest damage and ecosystem conversion and related human rights and tenure violations, *and to assist in tackling these issues by intensifying dialogue and data sharing with respective third countries;*

Or. en

Amendment 122

Daniel Buda

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Asks the Commission to monitor and take action on the causes of wildfires, forest damage and ecosystem conversion and related human rights and tenure violations, by creating early alert mechanisms.

Amendment

9. Asks the Commission to monitor and take action on the causes of wildfires, forest damage, *landslides* and ecosystem conversion and related human rights and tenure violations, by creating early alert mechanisms.

Or. ro

Amendment 123
Bronis Ropé

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission to initiate the establishment of a common and free forest monitoring system based on satellite data, allowing Member States and other stakeholders and citizens to record illegal or non-permitted logging, particularly in protected areas, in real time, and to monitor the status of forest cover.

Or. en

Amendment 124
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission to initiate the establishment of a common and free forest monitoring system based on satellite data, allowing Member States and other stakeholders and citizens to record illegal or non-permitted logging, particularly in protected areas, in real time, and to monitor the status of forest cover.

Or. en

Amendment 125
Carmen Avram

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Emphasises the importance of sustainable forest management, including training within the Union and in third countries, with continuous afforestation and reforestation programmes as an essential factor in ensuring biodiversity and the income of forest owners and farmers practicing agroforestry;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Carmen Avram

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. Highlights the role of agroforestry systems, defined as land use systems in which trees are grown in combination with agriculture on the same land unit, and which boost overall productivity, generate more biomass, maintain and restore soils, limit the spread of forest fires and provide valuable ecosystem services;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Christine Schneider

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Calls on the European Union to promote deforestation-free supply chains

and improved added-value taking due account of human, labour, land and usage rights as well as food security and fair incomes;

Or. de

Amendment 128

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Christine Schneider

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. Points out that forests play an important role in global food security and are an important source of income for many small farms; emphasises that forest conservation and reforestation measures improve living conditions in rural areas;

Or. de

Amendment 129

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr, Christine Schneider

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9c. Points out that a sustainable intensification of agricultural use, as well as planned land use and land management, are essential for many small-scale farms;

Or. de

Amendment 130

Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Calls for robust financial support and incentive programmes for measures to afforest deteriorated land and land unsuitable for farming;

Or. ro

Amendment 131
Bronis Ropè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. Calls on the Commission to evaluate and disclose the deforestation footprint of wood industry sectors and organisations, with a view to creating greater transparency and understanding of international supply chains, and stimulating the implementation of sustainable wood use solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 132
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. Calls on the Commission to evaluate and disclose the deforestation footprint of wood industry sectors and organisations, with a view to creating

greater transparency and understanding of international supply chains, and stimulating the implementation of sustainable wood use solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 133
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9c. *Calls on the Union to establish a common timber auction system in order to ensure the sustainable use of wood, as well as to prevent the proliferation of bilateral agreements based on dumping timber prices and to avoid promoting additional logging; notes that this would also allow to track where material comes from and to factor in climate and biodiversity concerns into the price;*

Or. en

Amendment 134
Bronis Ropé

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9c. *Calls on the Union to establish a common timber auction system in order to ensure the sustainable use of wood, as well as to prevent the proliferation of bilateral agreements based on dumping timber prices and to avoid promoting additional logging; notes that this would also allow to track where material comes*

from and to factor in climate and biodiversity concerns into the price;

Or. en

Amendment 135
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9d. Takes the view that a single definition of the concept of 'zero deforestation and ecosystem degradation' supply chain is central to addressing the problem of commodities contributing to deforestation, forest degradation and to the conversion and degradation of other natural ecosystems; calls on the Commission to propose such a legally-binding definition; considers that the Commission should primarily focus on the main drivers of deforestation, including palm oil, soy, beef, maize, rubber, cocoa, paper and fuel wood for energy; emphasises that, in doing so, the Commission should pay particular attention to related human rights violations as well as the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities;

Or. en