



2022/0195(COD)

10.2.2023

AMENDMENTS

338 - 664

Draft opinion

Anne Sander

(PE740.652v01-00)

Nature restoration

Proposal for a regulation

(COM(2022)0304 – C9-0208/2022 – 2022/0195(COD))

Amendment 338

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 70

Text proposed by the Commission

(70) To ensure the achievement of the targets and obligations set out in this Regulation, it is of utmost importance that adequate private and public investments are made in restoration, Member States should integrate expenditure for biodiversity objectives, including in relation to opportunity and transition costs resulting from the implementation of the national restoration plans, in their national budgets and reflect how Union funding is used. Regarding the Union funding, expenditure under the Union budget and Union financing programmes, such as the Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE)⁹⁶, the European Maritime Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF)⁹⁷, the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)⁹⁸, the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF), the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Cohesion Fund⁹⁹ and the Just Transition Fund¹⁰⁰, as well as the Union framework programme for research and innovation, Horizon Europe¹⁰¹, contributes to biodiversity objectives with the ambition to dedicate 7,5 % in 2024, and 10 % in 2026 and in 2027 of annual spending under the 2021-2027 Multiannual Financial Framework¹⁰² to biodiversity objectives. The Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF)¹⁰³ is a further source of funding for the protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems. With reference to the LIFE Programme, special attention should be given to the appropriate use of the Strategic Nature Projects (SNaPs) as a specific tool that could support the implementation of this Regulation, by way of mainstreaming available financial resources in an effective

Amendment

(70) ***The achievement of the objectives and obligations set out in this Regulation requires significant human and financial resources. Not only are additional human resources required, but also additional financial resources, which are necessary to compensate for use restrictions or additional costs incurred in connection with implementation on areas used for agriculture, forestry and fisheries. Otherwise, there is a risk of potential competitive disadvantages in the globalised market.*** To ensure the achievement of the targets and obligations set out in this Regulation, it is ***therefore*** of utmost importance that adequate private and public investments are made in restoration, Member States should integrate expenditure for biodiversity objectives, including in relation to opportunity and transition costs resulting from the implementation of the national restoration plans, in their national budgets and reflect how Union funding is used. Regarding the Union funding, expenditure under the Union budget and Union financing programmes, such as the Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE)⁹⁶, the European Maritime Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF)⁹⁷, the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)⁹⁸, the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF), the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Cohesion Fund⁹⁹ and the Just Transition Fund¹⁰⁰, as well as the Union framework programme for research and innovation, Horizon Europe¹⁰¹, contributes to biodiversity objectives with the ambition to dedicate 7,5 % in 2024, and 10 % in 2026 and in 2027 of annual spending under

and efficient way.

the 2021-2027 Multiannual Financial Framework¹⁰² to biodiversity objectives. ***The implementation of the corresponding restoration measures on agricultural land entails a loss of yields, higher requirements and standards, increased effort and costs for farmers. At the same time, requirements for farmers under the Green Deal will continue to increase in terms of biodiversity protection, environmental protection, climate protection and animal welfare. In addition to the common agricultural policy (CAP) funds through the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD), further funds must therefore be earmarked. After all, in addition to strengthened environmental, climate protection and animal welfare objectives and the increasing need to finance adaptation measures to climate change, the CAP must continue to ensure the supply of high-quality and healthy food to the population at reasonable prices. It must also strengthen rural areas and contribute sufficiently to securing the income of family farms.*** The Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF)¹⁰³ is a further source of funding for the protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems. With reference to the LIFE Programme, special attention should be given to the appropriate use of the Strategic Nature Projects (SNaPs) as a specific tool that could support the implementation of this Regulation, by way of mainstreaming available financial resources in an effective and efficient way.

⁹⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/783 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2021 establishing a Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE), and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1293/2013 (OJ L 172, 17.5.2021, p. 53).

⁹⁷ Regulation (EU) 2021/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of

⁹⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/783 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2021 establishing a Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE), and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1293/2013 (OJ L 172, 17.5.2021, p. 53).

⁹⁷ Regulation (EU) 2021/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of

7 July 2021 establishing the European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/1004 (OJ L 247, 13.7.2021, p. 1).

⁹⁸ Regulation (EU) 2020/2220 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 December 2020 laying down certain transitional provisions for support from the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and from the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) in the years 2021 and 2022 and amending Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013, (EU) No 1306/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 as regards resources and application in the years 2021 and 2022 and Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 as regards resources and the distribution of such support in respect of the years 2021 and 2022 (OJ L 437, 28.12.2020, p. 1).

⁹⁹ Regulation (EU) 2021/1058 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 on the European Regional Development Fund and on the Cohesion Fund (OJ L 231, 30.6.2021, p. 60).

¹⁰⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/1056 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 establishing the Just Transition Fund (OJ L 231 30.06.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰¹ Regulation (EU) 2021/695 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing Horizon Europe – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, laying down its rules for participation and dissemination, and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1290/2013 and (EU) No 1291/2013(OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰² Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2093 of 17 December 2020 laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2021 to 2027 (OJ L 433I, 22.12.2020, p. 11).

¹⁰³ Regulation (EU) 2021/241 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 February 2021 establishing the

7 July 2021 establishing the European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/1004 (OJ L 247, 13.7.2021, p. 1).

⁹⁸ Regulation (EU) 2020/2220 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 December 2020 laying down certain transitional provisions for support from the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and from the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) in the years 2021 and 2022 and amending Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013, (EU) No 1306/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 as regards resources and application in the years 2021 and 2022 and Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 as regards resources and the distribution of such support in respect of the years 2021 and 2022 (OJ L 437, 28.12.2020, p. 1).

⁹⁹ Regulation (EU) 2021/1058 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 on the European Regional Development Fund and on the Cohesion Fund (OJ L 231, 30.6.2021, p. 60).

¹⁰⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/1056 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 establishing the Just Transition Fund (OJ L 231, 30.6.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰¹ Regulation (EU) 2021/695 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing Horizon Europe – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, laying down its rules for participation and dissemination, and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1290/2013 and (EU) No 1291/2013 (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰² Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2093 of 17 December 2020 laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2021 to 2027 (OJ L 433I, 22.12.2020, p. 11).

¹⁰³ Regulation (EU) 2021/241 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 February 2021 establishing the

Justification

Additional resources should be earmarked for the implementation of the Regulation. The significant environmental and animal welfare requirements for land users must be taken into account.

Amendment 339

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 70

Text proposed by the Commission

(70) To ensure the achievement of the targets and obligations set out in this Regulation, it is of utmost importance that adequate private and public investments are made in restoration, Member States should integrate expenditure for biodiversity objectives, including in relation to opportunity and transition costs resulting from the implementation of the national restoration plans, in their national budgets and reflect how Union funding is used. Regarding the Union funding, expenditure under the Union budget and Union financing programmes, such as the Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE)⁹⁶, the European Maritime Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF)⁹⁷, the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)⁹⁸, the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF), the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Cohesion Fund⁹⁹ and the Just Transition Fund¹⁰⁰, as well as the Union framework programme for research and innovation, Horizon Europe¹⁰¹, contributes to biodiversity objectives with the ambition to dedicate 7,5 % in 2024, and 10 % in 2026 and in 2027 of annual spending under the 2021-2027

Amendment

(70) To ensure the achievement of the targets and obligations set out in this Regulation, it is of utmost importance that adequate private and public investments are made in restoration, Member States should integrate expenditure for biodiversity objectives, including in relation to opportunity and transition costs resulting from the implementation of the national restoration plans, in their national budgets and reflect how Union funding is used. Regarding the Union funding, expenditure under the Union budget and Union financing programmes, such as the Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE)⁹⁶, the European Maritime Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF)⁹⁷, the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)⁹⁸, the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF), the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Cohesion Fund⁹⁹ and the Just Transition Fund¹⁰⁰, as well as the Union framework programme for research and innovation, Horizon Europe¹⁰¹, contributes to biodiversity objectives with the ambition to dedicate 7,5 % in 2024, and 10 % in 2026 and in 2027 of annual spending under the 2021-2027

Multiannual Financial Framework¹⁰² to biodiversity objectives. The Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF)¹⁰³ is a further source of funding for the protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems. With reference to the LIFE Programme, special attention should be given to the appropriate use of the Strategic Nature Projects (SNaPs) as a specific tool that could support the implementation of this Regulation, by way of mainstreaming available financial resources in an effective and efficient way.

Multiannual Financial Framework¹⁰² to biodiversity objectives. ***For farmers, the demand for more extensive farming of agricultural land with higher requirements and standards implies an increased effort, lower yields and higher costs, leading to possible competitive disadvantages in the global market. The resources of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) through the EAGF and the EAFRD must therefore be deposited with corresponding additional appropriations. The CAP must continue to ensure the sufficient supply of high-quality and nutritious food to the population at reasonable prices, while strengthening rural areas and generating a decent income for all farmers, including small farms.*** The Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF)¹⁰³ is a further source of funding for the protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems. With reference to the LIFE Programme, special attention should be given to the appropriate use of the Strategic Nature Projects (SNaPs) as a specific tool that could support the implementation of this Regulation, by way of mainstreaming available financial resources in an effective and efficient way.

¹⁰⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/1056 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 establishing the Just Transition Fund (OJ L 231 30.06.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰¹ Regulation (EU) 2021/695 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing Horizon Europe – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, laying down its rules for participation and dissemination, and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1290/2013 and (EU) No 1291/2013 (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰² Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2093 of 17 December 2020 laying down the multiannual financial framework

¹⁰⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/1056 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 establishing the Just Transition Fund (OJ L 231 30.06.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰¹ Regulation (EU) 2021/695 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing Horizon Europe – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, laying down its rules for participation and dissemination, and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1290/2013 and (EU) No 1291/2013 (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰² Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2093 of 17 December 2020 laying down the multiannual financial framework

for the years 2021 to 2027 (OJ L 4331 , 22.12.2020, p. 11).

¹⁰³ Regulation (EU) 2021/241 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 February 2021 establishing the Recovery and Resilience Facility (OJ L 57, 18.2.2021, p. 17).

⁹⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/783 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2021 establishing a Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE), and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1293/2013 (OJ L 172, 17.5.2021, p. 53).

⁹⁷ Regulation (EU) 2021/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2021 establishing the European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/1004 (OJ L 247, 13.7.2021, p. 1).

⁹⁸ Regulation (EU) 2020/2220 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 December 2020 laying down certain transitional provisions for support from the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and from the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) in the years 2021 and 2022 and amending Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013, (EU) No 1306/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 as regards resources and application in the years 2021 and 2022 and Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 as regards resources and the distribution of such support in respect of the years 2021 and 2022 (OJ L 437, 28.12.2020, p. 1).

⁹⁹ Regulation (EU) 2021/1058 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 on the European Regional Development Fund and on the Cohesion Fund (OJ L 231, 30.6.2021, p. 60).

for the years 2021 to 2027 (OJ L 4331 , 22.12.2020, p. 11).

¹⁰³ Regulation (EU) 2021/241 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 February 2021 establishing the Recovery and Resilience Facility (OJ L 57, 18.2.2021, p. 17).

⁹⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/783 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2021 establishing a Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE), and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1293/2013 (OJ L 172, 17.5.2021, p. 53).

⁹⁷ Regulation (EU) 2021/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2021 establishing the European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/1004 (OJ L 247, 13.7.2021, p. 1).

⁹⁸ Regulation (EU) 2020/2220 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 December 2020 laying down certain transitional provisions for support from the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and from the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) in the years 2021 and 2022 and amending Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013, (EU) No 1306/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 as regards resources and application in the years 2021 and 2022 and Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 as regards resources and the distribution of such support in respect of the years 2021 and 2022 (OJ L 437, 28.12.2020, p. 1).

⁹⁹ Regulation (EU) 2021/1058 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 on the European Regional Development Fund and on the Cohesion Fund (OJ L 231, 30.6.2021, p. 60).

Or. en

Amendment 340
Álvaro Amaro

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 70

Text proposed by the Commission

(70) To ensure the achievement of the targets and obligations set out in this Regulation, it is of utmost importance that adequate private and public investments are made in restoration, Member States should integrate expenditure for biodiversity objectives, including in relation to opportunity and transition costs resulting from the implementation of the national restoration plans, in their national budgets and reflect how Union funding is used. Regarding the Union funding, expenditure under the Union budget and Union financing programmes, such as the Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE)⁹⁶, the European Maritime Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF)⁹⁷, the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)⁹⁸, the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF), the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Cohesion Fund⁹⁹ and the Just Transition Fund¹⁰⁰, as well as the Union framework programme for research and innovation, Horizon Europe¹⁰¹, contributes to biodiversity objectives with the ambition to dedicate 7,5 % in 2024, and 10 % in 2026 and in 2027 of annual spending under the 2021-2027 Multiannual Financial Framework¹⁰² to biodiversity objectives. The Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF)¹⁰³ is a further source of funding for the protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems. With reference to the LIFE Programme, special attention should be given to the appropriate use of the Strategic Nature Projects (SNaPs) as a specific tool that could support the implementation of this Regulation, by way of mainstreaming available financial resources in an effective and efficient way.

Amendment

(70) To ensure the achievement of the targets and obligations set out in this Regulation, it is of utmost importance that adequate private and public investments are made in restoration. Member States should integrate expenditure for biodiversity objectives, including in relation to opportunity and transition costs resulting from the implementation of the national restoration plans, in their national budgets and reflect how Union funding is used. Regarding the Union funding, expenditure under the Union budget and Union financing programmes, such as the Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE)⁹⁶, the European Maritime Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF)⁹⁷, the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)⁹⁸, the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF), the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Cohesion Fund⁹⁹ and the Just Transition Fund¹⁰⁰, as well as the Union framework programme for research and innovation, Horizon Europe¹⁰¹, contributes to biodiversity objectives with the ambition to dedicate 7,5 % in 2024, and 10 % in 2026 and in 2027 of annual spending under the 2021-2027 Multiannual Financial Framework¹⁰² to biodiversity objectives. The Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF)¹⁰³ is a further source of funding for the protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems. With reference to the LIFE Programme, special attention should be given to the appropriate use of the Strategic Nature Projects (SNaPs) as a specific tool that could support the implementation of this Regulation, by way of mainstreaming available financial resources in an effective and efficient way. ***The European Union should not only make existing funds available and ensure that they are***

complementary but also allocate robust additional funding to this Regulation, given its challenging nature, urgency and complexity. As they are home to the majority of the EU's biodiversity, the outermost regions should receive bonuses for meeting protection and restoration targets.

⁹⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/783 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2021 establishing a Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE), and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1293/2013 (OJ L 172, 17.5.2021, p. 53).

⁹⁷ Regulation (EU) 2021/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2021 establishing the European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/1004 (OJ L 247, 13.7.2021, p. 1).

⁹⁸ Regulation (EU) 2020/2220 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 December 2020 laying down certain transitional provisions for support from the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and from the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) in the years 2021 and 2022 and amending Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013, (EU) No 1306/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 as regards resources and application in the years 2021 and 2022 and Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 as regards resources and the distribution of such support in respect of the years 2021 and 2022 (OJ L 437, 28.12.2020, p. 1).

⁹⁹ Regulation (EU) 2021/1058 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 on the European Regional Development Fund and on the Cohesion Fund (OJ L 231, 30.6.2021, p. 60).

¹⁰⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/1056 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 establishing the Just Transition Fund (OJ L **231 30.06.2021**, p. 1).

⁹⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/783 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2021 establishing a Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE) and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1293/2013 (OJ L 172, 17.5.2021, p. 53).

⁹⁷ Regulation (EU) 2021/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2021 establishing the European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/1004 (OJ L 247, 13.7.2021, p. 1).

⁹⁸ Regulation (EU) 2020/2220 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 December 2020 laying down certain transitional provisions for support from the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and from the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) in the years 2021 and 2022 and amending Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013, (EU) No 1306/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 as regards resources and application in the years 2021 and 2022 and Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 as regards resources and the distribution of such support in respect of the years 2021 and 2022 (OJ L 437, 28.12.2020, p. 1).

⁹⁹ Regulation (EU) 2021/1058 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 on the European Regional Development Fund and on the Cohesion Fund (OJ L 231, 30.6.2021, p. 60).

¹⁰⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/1056 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 establishing the Just Transition Fund (OJ L **231 30.6.2021**, p. 1).

¹⁰¹ Regulation (EU) 2021/695 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing Horizon Europe – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, laying down its rules for participation and dissemination, and repealing Regulations (EU) **No 1290/2013 and (EU) No 1291/2013**(OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰² Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2093 of 17 December 2020 laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2021 to 2027 (OJ L 433I , 22.12.2020, p. 11).

¹⁰³ Regulation (EU) 2021/241 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 February 2021 establishing the Recovery and Resilience Facility (OJ L 57, 18.2.2021, p. 17).

¹⁰¹ Regulation (EU) 2021/695 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing Horizon Europe – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, laying down its rules for participation and dissemination, and repealing Regulations (EU) **No 1290/2013 and (EU) No 1291/2013** (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 1).

¹⁰² Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2093 of 17 December 2020 laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2021 to 2027 (OJ L 433I , 22.12.2020, p. 11).

¹⁰³ Regulation (EU) 2021/241 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 February 2021 establishing the Recovery and Resilience Facility (OJ L 57, 18.2.2021, p. 17).

Or. pt

Amendment 341

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 70

Text proposed by the Commission

(70) To ensure the achievement of the targets and obligations set out in this Regulation, it is of utmost importance that adequate private and public investments are made in restoration, Member States should integrate expenditure for biodiversity objectives, including in relation to opportunity and transition costs resulting from the implementation of the national restoration plans, in their national budgets and reflect how Union funding is used. Regarding the Union funding, expenditure under the Union budget and Union financing programmes, such as the Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE)⁹⁶, the European Maritime Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund

Amendment

(70) To ensure the achievement of the targets and obligations set out in this Regulation, it is of utmost importance that adequate private and public investments are made in restoration, Member States should integrate expenditure for biodiversity objectives, including in relation to opportunity and transition costs resulting from the implementation of the national restoration plans, in their national budgets and reflect how Union funding is used. Regarding the Union funding, expenditure under the Union budget and Union financing programmes, such as the Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE), the European Maritime Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund

(EMFAF)⁹⁷, the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)⁹⁸, the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF), the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Cohesion Fund⁹⁹ and the Just Transition Fund¹⁰⁰, as well as the Union framework programme for research and innovation, Horizon Europe¹⁰¹, contributes to biodiversity objectives ***with the ambition to dedicate 7,5 % in 2024, and 10 % in 2026 and in 2027 of annual spending under the 2021-2027 Multiannual Financial Framework¹⁰² to biodiversity objectives.*** The Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF)¹⁰³ is a further source of funding for the protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems. With reference to the LIFE Programme, special attention should be given to the appropriate use of the Strategic Nature Projects (SNaPs) as a specific tool that could support the implementation of this Regulation, by way of mainstreaming available financial resources in an effective and efficient way.

(EMFAF) the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD), the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF), the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Cohesion Fund and the Just Transition Fund, as well as the Union framework programme for research and innovation, Horizon Europe, contributes to biodiversity objectives. The Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is a further source of funding for the protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems. With reference to the LIFE Programme, special attention should be given to the appropriate use of the Strategic Nature Projects (SNaPs) as a specific tool that could support the implementation of this Regulation, by way of mainstreaming available financial resources in an effective and efficient way.

¹⁰⁰ ***Regulation (EU) 2021/1056 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 establishing the Just Transition Fund (OJ L 231 30.06.2021, p. 1).***

¹⁰¹ ***Regulation (EU) 2021/695 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing Horizon Europe – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, laying down its rules for participation and dissemination, and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1290/2013 and (EU) No 1291/2013 (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 1).***

¹⁰² ***Council Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2093 of 17 December 2020 laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2021 to 2027 (OJ L 433I, 22.12.2020, p. 11).***

¹⁰³ ***Regulation (EU) 2021/241 of the European Parliament and of the Council***

of 12 February 2021 establishing the Recovery and Resilience Facility (OJ L 57, 18.2.2021, p. 17).

⁹⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/783 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2021 establishing a Programme for the Environment and Climate Action (LIFE), and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1293/2013 (OJ L 172, 17.5.2021, p. 53).

⁹⁷ Regulation (EU) 2021/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2021 establishing the European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/1004 (OJ L 247, 13.7.2021, p. 1).

⁹⁸ Regulation (EU) 2020/2220 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 December 2020 laying down certain transitional provisions for support from the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and from the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) in the years 2021 and 2022 and amending Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013, (EU) No 1306/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 as regards resources and application in the years 2021 and 2022 and Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 as regards resources and the distribution of such support in respect of the years 2021 and 2022 (OJ L 437, 28.12.2020, p. 1).

⁹⁹ Regulation (EU) 2021/1058 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2021 on the European Regional Development Fund and on the Cohesion Fund (OJ L 231, 30.6.2021, p. 60).

Or. ro

Amendment 342
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 70 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(70 a) In view of facilitating the implementation, the achievement of the objectives and obligations of this Regulation and notwithstanding the current expenditure under the Union budget and Union financing programmes, in view of the revision of the multiannual financial framework and the preparation of a multiannual financial framework for the next programming period, the Commission should assess new budgetary options and the establishment of a permanent dedicated nature restoration fund.

Or. en

**Amendment 343
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu**

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 70 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(70 a) The achievement of the objectives and obligations set out in this Regulation requires significant human and financial resources. Therefore, a dedicated fund will be allotted for nature restoration to increase funding efficiency, facilitate long-term monitoring capacity and reduce administrative burden for determining the spending allocation of various funds on restoration targets.

Or. en

**Amendment 344
Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 72**

Text proposed by the Commission

(72) Member States should promote a fair and cross-society approach in the preparation and implementation of their national restoration plans, by including processes for participation of the public and by considering the needs of local communities and stakeholders.

Amendment

(72) ***The successful implementation of the Regulation requires the involvement of owners and land users, as well as the public, in the restoration measures.***

Member States should ***therefore*** promote a fair, ***open, participatory, effective, transparent*** and cross-society approach in the preparation, ***review*** and implementation of their national restoration plans, by ***involving owners and land users and*** including processes for participation of the public and by considering the needs of local communities, ***regions*** and stakeholders.

Or. de

Justification

It is imperative that owners and land users, who constitute a significant proportion of the indirect target groups of the Regulation, be included.

Amendment 345

Álvaro Amaro

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 72

Text proposed by the Commission

(72) Member States should promote a fair and ***cross-society*** approach in the preparation and implementation of their national restoration plans, by including processes for participation of the public and by considering the needs of local communities and stakeholders.

Amendment

(72) Member States should promote a fair and ***cross-cutting*** approach ***that involves regional and local authorities and society*** in the preparation and implementation of their national restoration plans, by including processes for participation of the public and by considering the needs of local communities and stakeholders.

Or. pt

Amendment 346

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 72

Text proposed by the Commission

(72) Member States should promote a fair and cross-society approach in the preparation **and** implementation of their national restoration plans, by including processes for participation of the public and by considering the needs of local communities and stakeholders.

Amendment

(72) Member States should promote a fair, **transparent** and cross-society approach in the preparation, implementation **and review** of their national restoration plans, by including processes for participation of the public and by considering the needs of local communities and stakeholders.

Or. en

Amendment 347

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 73

Text proposed by the Commission

(73) Pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁶, CAP Strategic Plans are meant to contribute to the achievement of, and be consistent with, the long-term national targets set out in, or deriving from, the legislative acts listed in Annex XIII to that Regulation. ***This Regulation on nature restoration should be taken into account when, in accordance with Article 159 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, the Commission reviews, by 31 December 2025, the list set out in Annex XIII to that Regulation.***

Amendment

(73) Pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁶, CAP Strategic Plans are meant to contribute to the achievement of, and be consistent with, the long-term national targets set out in, or deriving from, the legislative acts listed in Annex XIII to that Regulation.

¹⁰⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council (EU) of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic

¹⁰⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council (EU) of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic

Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013.

Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 348

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 73

Text proposed by the Commission

(73) Pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁶, CAP Strategic Plans are meant to contribute to the achievement of, and be consistent with, the long-term national targets set out in, or deriving from, the legislative acts listed in Annex XIII to that Regulation. This Regulation on nature restoration should be ***taken into account*** when, in accordance with Article 159 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, the Commission reviews, by 31 December 2025, the list set out in Annex XIII ***to that Regulation***.

¹⁰⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council (EU) of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013.

Amendment

(73) Pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁶, CAP Strategic Plans are meant to contribute to the achievement of, and be consistent with, the long-term national targets set out in, or deriving from, the legislative acts listed in Annex XIII to that Regulation. This Regulation on nature restoration should be ***added in Annex XIII of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115*** when, in accordance with Article 159 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, the Commission reviews, by 31 December 2025, the list set out in Annex XIII.

¹⁰⁶ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council (EU) of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 349
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 74

Text proposed by the Commission

(74) In line with the commitment in the 8th Environment Action Programme to 2030¹⁰⁷, Member States should **phase out** environmentally harmful subsidies at national level, making the best use of market-based instruments and green budgeting tools, including those required to ensure a socially fair transition, and supporting businesses and other stakeholders in developing standardised natural capital accounting practices.

¹⁰⁷ [Reference to be added when the 8th EAP has been published].

Amendment

(74) In line with the commitment in the 8th Environment Action Programme to 2030¹⁰⁷, Member States should **work towards phasing out** environmentally harmful subsidies at national level, making the best use of market-based instruments and green budgeting tools, including those required to ensure a socially fair transition, and supporting businesses and other stakeholders in developing standardised natural capital accounting practices.

¹⁰⁷ [Reference to be added when the 8th EAP has been published].

Or. en

Amendment 350
Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 75

Text proposed by the Commission

(75) In order to ensure the necessary adaptation of this Regulation, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission in respect of amending Annexes I to VII to adapt the group of habitats, to update the information on the common farmland bird index, as well as to adapt the list of biodiversity indicators for agricultural ecosystems, the list of biodiversity indicators for forest ecosystems and the list of marine species

Amendment

deleted

to the latest scientific evidence and the examples of restoration measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁵². In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

Or. en

Amendment 351

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 75

Text proposed by the Commission

(75) In order to ensure the necessary adaptation of this Regulation, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission in respect of amending Annexes I to VII to adapt the group of habitats, to update the information on the common farmland bird index, as well as to adapt the list of biodiversity indicators for agricultural ecosystems, the list of biodiversity indicators for forest ecosystems and the list of marine species to the latest scientific evidence and the examples of restoration measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be

Amendment

(75) In order to ensure the necessary adaptation of this Regulation, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission in respect of amending Annexes I to VII to adapt the group of habitats, to update the information on the common farmland bird index, as well as to adapt the list of biodiversity indicators for agricultural ecosystems, the list of biodiversity indicators for forest ecosystems and the list of marine species to the latest scientific evidence and the examples of restoration measures. ***In addition, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission to specify the method for monitoring pollinators, to***

conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁵². In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

specify the methods for monitoring the indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV and Article 9 and the indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI and Article 10, and to develop a framework for setting the satisfactory levels of pollinators, the satisfactory levels of indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV and Article 9 and the satisfactory levels of indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI and Article 10. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁵². In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

Or. en

Amendment 352

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 75

Text proposed by the Commission

(75) In order to ensure the necessary adaptation of this Regulation, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission ***in respect of amending Annexes I to VII to adapt the group of habitats, to update the information on the common farmland bird index, as well as to adapt the list of biodiversity indicators for agricultural ecosystems, the list of***

Amendment

(75) In order to ensure the necessary adaptation of this Regulation, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down

biodiversity indicators for forest ecosystems and the list of marine species to the latest scientific evidence and the examples of restoration measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁵². In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁵². In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

Or. ro

Amendment 353

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 76

Text proposed by the Commission

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission ***in order to specify the method for monitoring pollinators, to specify the methods for monitoring the indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV to this Regulation and the indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation, to develop a framework for setting the satisfactory levels of pollinators, of indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV to this Regulation and of indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation,*** to set out a uniform format for

Amendment

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to set out a uniform format for the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and the Council .

the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and the Council¹⁰⁸.

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

Or. ro

Amendment 354
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 76

Text proposed by the Commission

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers ***should*** be conferred on the Commission ***in order to specify the method for monitoring pollinators, to specify the methods for monitoring the indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV to this Regulation and the indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation, to develop a framework for setting the satisfactory levels of pollinators, of indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV to this Regulation and of indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation,*** to set out a uniform format for the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information

Amendment

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers ***may only*** be conferred on the Commission to set out a uniform format for the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and the Council¹⁰⁸.

electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and the Council¹⁰⁸.

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

Or. en

Amendment 355

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 76

Text proposed by the Commission

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission in order to specify the method for monitoring pollinators, to specify the methods for monitoring the indicators for agricultural ecosystems ***listed in Annex IV to this Regulation and the indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation***, to develop a framework for setting the satisfactory levels of pollinators, of indicators for agricultural ecosystems ***listed in Annex IV to this Regulation and of indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation***, to set out a uniform format for the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the

Amendment

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission in order to specify the method for monitoring pollinators, to specify the methods for monitoring the indicators for agricultural ecosystems to develop a framework for setting the satisfactory levels of pollinators, of indicators for agricultural ecosystems to set out a uniform format for the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and the Council¹⁰⁸.

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

Or. en

Amendment 356

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 76

Text proposed by the Commission

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission in order to specify the method for monitoring pollinators, to specify the methods for monitoring the indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV to this Regulation ***and the indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation***, to develop a framework for setting the satisfactory levels of pollinators, of indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV ***to this Regulation and of indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI*** to this Regulation, to set out a uniform format for the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and the Council¹⁰⁸.

Amendment

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission in order to specify the method for monitoring pollinators, to specify the methods for monitoring the indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV to this Regulation to develop a framework for setting the satisfactory levels of pollinators, of indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV to this Regulation, to set out a uniform format for the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and the Council¹⁰⁸.

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

Or. en

Amendment 357
Clara Aguilera

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 76

Text proposed by the Commission

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission in order to specify the method for monitoring pollinators, ***to specify the methods for monitoring the indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in Annex IV to this Regulation*** and the indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation, to develop a framework for setting the satisfactory levels of pollinators, of indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in ***Annex IV to this Regulation*** and of indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation, to set out a uniform format for the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and the Council¹⁰⁸.

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules

Amendment

(76) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission in order to specify the method for monitoring pollinators and the indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation, to develop a framework for setting the satisfactory levels of pollinators, of indicators for agricultural ecosystems listed in ***Annexes I and III to Regulation 2115/2021 on strategic plans under the common agricultural policy (CAP)*** and of indicators for forest ecosystems listed in Annex VI to this Regulation, to set out a uniform format for the national restoration plans, to set out the format, structure and detailed arrangements for reporting data and information electronically to the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and the Council¹⁰⁸.

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules

and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

Or. es

Amendment 358

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 77

Text proposed by the Commission

(77) The Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation. Pursuant to paragraph 22 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, that evaluation should be based on the criteria of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence and EU value added and should provide the basis for impact assessments of possible further measures. ***In addition, the Commission should assess the need to establish additional restoration targets, based on common methods for assessing the condition of ecosystems not covered by Articles 4 and 5, taking into account the most recent scientific evidence.***

Amendment

(77) The Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation. Pursuant to paragraph 22 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, that evaluation should be based on the criteria of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence and EU value added and should provide the basis for impact assessments of possible further measures.

Or. en

Amendment 359

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 77

Text proposed by the Commission

(77) The Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation. Pursuant to paragraph 22 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, that

Amendment

(77) The Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation. Pursuant to paragraph 22 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, that

evaluation should be based on the criteria of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence and EU value added and should provide the basis for impact assessments of possible further measures. In addition, the Commission should assess the ***need to establish*** additional restoration targets, based on common methods for assessing the condition of ecosystems not covered by Articles 4 and 5, taking into account the most recent scientific evidence.

evaluation should be based on the criteria of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, ***proportionality***, coherence and EU value added and should provide the basis for impact assessments of possible further measures. In addition, the Commission should assess the ***advisability of establishing*** additional restoration targets ***tailored to local conditions and*** based on ***regional studies regarding*** common methods for assessing the condition of ecosystems not covered by Articles 4 and 5, taking into account the most recent scientific evidence ***and the current economic and political context***.

Or. ro

Amendment 360
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 77

Text proposed by the Commission

(77) The Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation. Pursuant to paragraph 22 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, that evaluation should be based on the criteria of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence and EU value added and should provide the basis for impact assessments of ***possible*** further ***measures***. In addition, the Commission should assess the need to establish ***additional*** restoration targets, based on ***common methods for assessing the condition of ecosystems not covered by Articles 4 and 5, taking into account*** the most recent scientific evidence.

Amendment

(77) The Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation. Pursuant to paragraph 22 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, that evaluation should be based on the criteria of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence and EU value added and should provide the basis for impact assessments of ***options for*** further ***action***. In addition, the Commission should assess the ***possible*** need to establish ***amended*** restoration targets, based on the most recent scientific evidence ***as to what extent restoration is feasible and realistic for the target in question, and based on an integrated balance between economic, social and ecological interests, while avoiding overregulation and administrative burdens***.

Or. en

Amendment 361

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 77

Text proposed by the Commission

(77) The Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation. Pursuant to paragraph 22 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, that evaluation should be based on the criteria of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence and EU value added and should provide the basis for impact assessments of possible further measures. In addition, the Commission should assess the need to establish additional restoration targets, based on common methods for assessing the condition of ecosystems not covered by Articles 4 and 5, taking into account the most recent scientific evidence.

Amendment

(77) The Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation. Pursuant to paragraph 22 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, that evaluation should be based on the criteria of efficiency, effectiveness, relevance, coherence and EU value added and should provide the basis for impact assessments of possible further measures. In addition, the Commission should assess the need to establish additional restoration targets, based on common methods for assessing the condition of ecosystems not covered by Articles 4 and 5, taking into account the most recent scientific evidence. ***This evaluation should be made available to the public.***

Or. en

Amendment 362

Colm Markey

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 78 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(78 a) The financing of restoration measures should prioritise and support schemes directed at family farms over schemes financed by large scale and external corporate investment.

Or. en

Amendment 363
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. *This Regulation lays down rules to contribute to:*

Amendment

1. *The aim of this Directive shall be to contribute towards:*

Or. en

Amendment 364
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the *continuous, long-term and sustained* recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas *through the restoration of ecosystems*;

Amendment

(a) the *long-term* recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas *to favourable conditions as specified by Council Directive 92/43/EEC^{1a} and Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1b}. Whilst taking into account socio-economic factors, regional and local characteristics, and the latest scientific evidence stating to what extent recovery is feasible and realistic*;

^{1a} *Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild flora and fauna, (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).*

^{1b} *Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).*

Or. en

Amendment 365

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union’s land and sea areas through the **restoration** of ecosystems;

Amendment

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union’s land and sea areas through the **rehabilitation** of ecosystems **as specified by Council Directive 92/43/EC and Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council**;

Or. ro

Amendment 366

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union’s land and sea areas through the restoration of ecosystems;

Amendment

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union’s land and sea areas through the restoration of ecosystems, **in order to enhance biodiversity and improve ecosystem functions and services, ecological integrity and connectivity**;

Or. en

Justification

Wording reflects wording in Target 2 on restoration of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

Amendment 367

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) *the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of* biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of *ecosystems*;

Amendment

(a) biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of *natural habitat types towards a favourable conservation status as specified in Directive 92/43/EEC, in a manner that does not threaten food production*;

Or. en

Amendment 368

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse *and* resilient *nature* across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of ecosystems;

Amendment

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse, resilient *and productive ecosystems* across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of *degraded* ecosystems;

Or. en

Justification

COP-15 decision and the global biodiversity framework sets a restoration target that is focused on degraded ecosystems. Eventhough ecosystems have changed due to human impact, they are not necessary degraded and thus, they are not in the need of restoration. The legislation shall have a clear focus that is narrower than what the Commission has proposed.

Amendment 369

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of ecosystems;

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of *degraded* ecosystems;

Or. en

Amendment 370

Martin Hlaváček, Irène Tolleret, Jérémy Decerle

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of ecosystems;

Amendment

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of *damaged* ecosystems;

Or. en

Amendment 371

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of ecosystems;

Amendment

(a) the continuous, long-term and sustained recovery of biodiverse and resilient nature across the Union's land and sea areas through the restoration of *damaged* ecosystems;

Or. en

Justification

Taking into account the current reality, we believe that attention should be focused first and foremost on repairing areas that are damaged or degraded, so as not to neglect or jeopardise other aspects falling under the three pillars of sustainability. We believe Member States should be obliged to prepare national strategic plans for nature restoration, but we do not

agree with mandatory measures and mandatory targets at Member State level.

Amendment 372

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning climate change mitigation **and** climate change adaptation;

Amendment

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation **and EU food security**;

Or. ro

Amendment 373

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner, Irène Tolleret

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation;

Amendment

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning **sustainable development, including** climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation, **biodiversity protection and food and energy security**;

Or. en

Amendment 374

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning climate change

Amendment

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning climate change

mitigation and climate change adaptation;

mitigation and climate change adaptation,
***food security and sustainable
development;***

Or. en

Justification

Taking into account the current reality, we believe that attention should be focused first and foremost on repairing areas that are damaged or degraded, so as not to neglect or jeopardise other aspects falling under the three pillars of sustainability. We believe Member States should be obliged to prepare national strategic plans for nature restoration, but we do not agree with mandatory measures and mandatory targets at Member State level.

Amendment 375

Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation;

Amendment

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation,
***food security and sustainable
development;***

Or. en

Amendment 376

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning ***climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation;***

Amendment

(b) achieving the Union’s overarching objectives concerning ***sustainable development and food security;***

Or. en

Amendment 377
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) ensuring long-term, affordable food supply, productivity and security in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 378
Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) meeting the Union's international commitments.

(c) meeting the Union's international commitments, ***taking particular account of the current economic and geopolitical situation.***

Or. ro

Amendment 379
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) meeting the Union's international commitments.

(c) meeting the Union's ***legal obligations in*** international commitments.

Or. en

Amendment 380
Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by **2030, at least 20 % of the Union’s land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.**

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective, ***proportional*** and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by ***2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration. The measures set out in this Regulation strike a balance between, on the one hand, the protection, conservation and development of ecosystems and, on the other, ensuring productivity in the agriculture and aquaculture sectors, together with food security.***

Or. ro

Amendment 381
Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union’s land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union’s land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration, ***whereby the specificity of urbanised areas will be taken into account when setting targets per Member State.***

Or. en

Amendment 382
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States **shall put in place, without delay**, effective and area-based restoration measures which together **shall** cover, by 2030, **at least 20 %** of the Union's land and sea areas **and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration**.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States **aim to prepare, create, communicate and maintain** effective and **feasible** area-based restoration measures which together **should** cover, by 2030, **20 %** of the Union's land and sea areas.

Or. en

Amendment 383
Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least **20 %** of the Union's land and sea **areas** and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least **30 %** of the Union's land and **at least 30 % of the Union's** sea and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Or. en

Justification

It is important to increase the ambition in light of the ecosystem collapse that we face, whilst also ensuring that the target refers to land and sea areas separately in order to make sure efforts are fairly distributed.

Amendment 384
Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner, Irène Tolleret

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall ***put in place, without delay, effective and area-based*** restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union’s land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall ***prepare national restoration plans, with the aim to achieve*** effective restoration measures which together ***in the EU*** shall ***aim to*** cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union’s land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ***degraded*** ecosystems in need of restoration.

Or. en

Justification

Member State should have flexibility to implement legislation for their needs.

Amendment 385
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, ***without delay, effective and area-based*** restoration measures ***which together shall*** cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the ***Union’s land and sea*** areas ***and, by 2050, all ecosystems*** in need of restoration.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place ***plans for*** restoration measures ***with the aim to jointly*** cover, by ***2035***, at least ***10*** % of the ***Natura 2000*** areas in need of restoration.

Or. en

Amendment 386
Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least **20 %** of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least **30 %** of the Union's land and ***at least 30 % of the Union's*** sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Or. en

Amendment 387

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration ***measures*** which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration ***national plans*** which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Or. en

Justification

Taking into account the current reality, we believe that attention should be focused first and foremost on repairing areas that are damaged or degraded, so as not to neglect or jeopardise other aspects falling under the three pillars of sustainability. We believe Member States should be obliged to prepare national strategic plans for nature restoration, but we do not agree with mandatory measures and mandatory targets at Member State level.

Amendment 388

Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration **measures** which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration **national plans** which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Or. en

Amendment 389

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least **20** % of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least **30** % of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Or. en

Amendment 390

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures **which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems** in need of

Amendment

(2) This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures **for those ecosystems in the EU that are** in need of restoration.

restoration.

Or. de

Justification

The general objective of the Regulation is to restore ecosystems in the Union. Target percentages should be regulated on a case-by-case basis in the subsequent articles. The citing of percentages only serves to elicit differing interpretations in the Member States (and even within individual Member States). This does not contribute to legal clarity.

Amendment 391

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, **by 2030, at least 20 %** of the Union's land and sea areas and, **by 2050**, all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover **up to 20 %** of the Union's land and sea areas and all ecosystems in need of restoration.

Or. en

Amendment 392

Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems **in need of restoration**.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, at least 20 % of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all **degraded** ecosystems.

Amendment 393

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, **at least 20 %** of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ecosystems ***in need of restoration***.

Amendment

2. This Regulation establishes a framework within which Member States shall put in place, without delay, effective and area-based restoration measures which together shall cover, by 2030, **towards 20 %** of the Union's land and sea areas and, by 2050, all ***degraded*** ecosystems.

Or. en

Amendment 394

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Member States shall ensure that the Union achieves its targets set out in Article 1(2), by contributing to them in a manner proportional to their total land and sea area, in line with their obligations set forth by this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 395

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Member States shall contribute to the Union's nature restoration targets set out in Article 1(2), in a manner proportional to their total land and sea area, in line with their obligations set forth by this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 396

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Member States shall contribute to the objectives set out in Article 1(2) proportionally to their respective land and sea areas.

Or. en

Justification

Efforts to restore the EU's ecosystem must be fairly distributed.

Amendment 397

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 1 a

Measures taken under this Regulation shall take account of economic, social and cultural requirements and regional and local characteristics in accordance with Article 2(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment 398

Anne Sander

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) in waters, the seabed and subsoil on the seaward side of the baseline from which the extent of the territorial waters is measured extending to the outmost reach of the area where a Member State exercises sovereign rights, in accordance with the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

Amendment

(b) in waters, the seabed and subsoil on the seaward side of the baseline from which the extent of the territorial waters is measured extending to the outmost reach of the area where a Member State exercises sovereign rights, in accordance with the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, ***with the exception of the extended continental shelf.***

Or. fr

Amendment 399

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) ‘ecosystem’ means ***a dynamic complex of plant, animal, and microorganism communities and their non-living environment, interacting as a functional unit, and includes*** habitat types, habitats of species ***and species populations;***

Amendment

(1) ‘ecosystem’ means habitat types ***in the meaning of Article 1(c) of Directive 92/43/EEC and*** habitats of species;

Or. en

Amendment 400

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) ‘degraded ecosystems’ means an ecosystem that due to the loss of their natural conditions, have lost their production capacity, ability to produce important ecosystem services or ability to capture and store carbon dioxide;

Or. en

Amendment 401

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) ‘degraded ecosystem’ means an ecosystem that is not able to provide ecosystem services and host species and habitats characteristic to, it as defined in Article 6 point 2 on Directive 92/43/EEC;

Or. en

Amendment 402

Martin Hlaváček, Irène Tolleret, Jérémy Decerle

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) „degraded ecosystem“ means an ecosystem where a persistent reduction in the capacity to provide ecosystem services occurred;

Or. en

Amendment 403

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) „degraded ecosystem“ means an ecosystem where a persistent reduction in the capacity to provide ecosystem services occurred;

Or. en

Justification

We believe that in an effort to remediate degraded ecological systems, it is necessary to set a definition of how degraded systems are defined. The achievements of Member States to date and their efforts towards achieving habitat improvement must be taken into account.

Amendment 404

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of an ecosystem towards or to good condition, ***of a habitat type to the highest level of condition attainable and to its favourable reference area, of a habitat of a species to a sufficient quality and quantity, or of species populations to satisfactory levels, as a means of conserving or enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem resilience;***

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of an ecosystem towards or to good condition, ***in which the habitat is able to provide ecosystem services again;***

Or. en

Justification

We believe that in an effort to remediate degraded ecological systems, it is necessary to set a definition of how degraded systems are defined. The achievements of Member States to date and their efforts towards achieving habitat improvement must be taken into account.

Amendment 405
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of *an ecosystem towards or to good condition, of a habitat type to the highest level of condition attainable and to its favourable reference area, of a habitat of a species to a sufficient quality and quantity, or of species populations to satisfactory levels, as a means of conserving or enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem resilience;*

Amendment

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of a habitat type *towards favourable conservation status;*

Or. en

Amendment 406
Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of an ecosystem towards or to *good condition, of a habitat type to the highest level of condition attainable and to its favourable reference area, of a habitat of a species to a sufficient quality and quantity, or of species populations to satisfactory levels, as a means of conserving or enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem resilience;*

Amendment

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of an ecosystem towards or to *a state in which the ecosystem sufficiently produces important ecosystem services or sufficiently hosts biological diversity;*

Or. en

Amendment 407
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of ***an ecosystem towards or to good condition, of a habitat type to the highest level of condition attainable and to its favourable reference area***, of a habitat of a species to a sufficient quality and quantity, or of species populations to satisfactory levels, as a means of conserving or enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem resilience;

Amendment

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of a habitat ***towards*** the highest level of condition attainable, of a habitat of a species to a sufficient quality and quantity, or of species populations to satisfactory levels, as a means of conserving or enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem resilience ***whilst taking into account the most recent scientific evidence as to what extent restoration is feasible and realistic for the habitat in question***;

Or. en

Justification

A full "recovery" of a habitat to the exact way it was in the past is not realistic nor feasible. Restoration should therefore be based on science and what is scientifically possible and realistic considering the current situation of the habitat in question.

Amendment 408
Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of an ecosystem towards or to good condition, ***of a habitat type to the highest level of condition attainable and to its favourable reference area, of a habitat of a species to a sufficient quality and quantity, or of species populations to satisfactory levels, as a means of conserving or enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem resilience***;

Amendment

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of an ecosystem towards or to good condition, ***in which the habitat is able to provide ecosystem services again***;

Amendment 409

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner, Irène Tolleret

Proposal for a regulation**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of **an** ecosystem towards or to good condition, of a habitat type to the **highest level of condition attainable** and to its favourable reference area, of a habitat of a species to a sufficient quality and quantity, or of species populations to satisfactory levels, as a means of conserving or enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem resilience;

Amendment

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of **a degraded** ecosystem towards or to good condition, of a habitat type to the **favourable conservation status as defined in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC** and to its favourable reference area, of a habitat of a species to a sufficient quality and quantity, or of species populations to satisfactory levels, as a means of conserving or enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem resilience;

Or. en

Justification

The Directive 92/43/EEC refers to favourable conservation status and definition on restoration should be in line with definitions in that directive

Amendment 410

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of **an** ecosystem **towards or to good condition, of a habitat type to the highest level of condition attainable and to its favourable reference area, of a habitat of a species to a sufficient quality and quantity, or of species populations to**

Amendment

(3) ‘restoration’ means the process of actively or passively assisting the recovery of **a degraded, damaged, or destroyed** ecosystem, **that focuses on establishing the ecological processes necessary to make terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems sustainable, resilient, and healthy under current and future conditions while**

satisfactory levels, as a means of conserving or enhancing biodiversity and ecosystem resilience;

improving human wellness;

Or. en

Amendment 411

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3 a) ‘passive restoration’ means restoring an ecosystem by removing sources of disturbance, therefore allowing natural processes to occur undisturbed from pressure, stressors and threats to the area’s overall ecological structure and functioning including by, inter alia, the prohibition of industrial, extractive and destructive activities, and allowing the long-term natural recovery of the ecosystem towards or to good condition;

Or. en

Amendment 412

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3 a) ‘active restoration’ means restoring an ecosystem by implementing measures which aim at accelerating or modifying the trajectory of natural processes and accelerating the recovery of an ecosystem towards or to good condition;

Or. en

Amendment 413
Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3 b) ‘passive restoration’ means restoring an ecosystem by removing sources of disturbance, therefore allowing natural processes to occur undisturbed from human pressures in an ecosystem and allowing the long-term natural recovery of the ecosystem towards or to good condition;

Or. en

Amendment 414
Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3 b) ‘area-based restoration measure’ means a restoration measure taken to comply with Articles 4, 5, 7(3), 9(1), 9(2a), 9a and 10(1) and implemented in a geographically defined area;

Or. en

Amendment 415
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) ‘good condition’ means ***a state***

(4) ‘good condition’ means ***favourable***

where the key characteristics of an ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect the high level of ecological integrity, stability and resilience necessary to ensure its long-term maintenance;

conservation status as defined in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC;

Or. en

Amendment 416

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner, Irène Tolleret

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where the key characteristics of an ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect ***the high level of ecological integrity, stability and resilience necessary to ensure its long-term maintenance;***

Amendment

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where the key characteristics of an ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect ***towards achieving the status of favourable as defined in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC and contribute sustainable development goals, without excluding active land use nor management activities oriented at climate change mitigation or extreme events prevention;***

Or. en

Justification

Only passive restoration actions are not sufficient to enhancing the biodiversity. Strive toward good condition should be achieved together with a land use use and production, which allows the consideration of various aspects.

Amendment 417

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) ‘good condition’ means ***a state where the key characteristics of an ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect the high level of ecological integrity, stability and resilience necessary to ensure its long-term maintenance;***

Amendment

(4) ‘good condition’ means ***favourable conservation status of a natural habitat as defined in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC;***

Or. en

Amendment 418

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where ***the*** key characteristics of an ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect ***the high level of ecological integrity,*** stability and resilience ***necessary to ensure*** its long-term maintenance;

Amendment

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where ***a selection of prioritized*** key characteristics of an ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect ***necessary*** stability and resilience ***to secure*** its long-term maintenance ***and productivity;***

Or. en

Amendment 419

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where the key characteristics of an

Amendment

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where the key characteristics of an

ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect *the high level of ecological integrity*, stability and resilience necessary to ensure its long-term maintenance;

ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect stability and resilience necessary to ensure its long-term maintenance *and productivity*;

Or. en

Amendment 420
Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where the key characteristics of an ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect *the high level of ecological integrity*, stability and resilience necessary to ensure its long-term maintenance;

Amendment

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where the key characteristics of an ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect stability and resilience necessary to ensure its long-term maintenance *and production capacity*;

Or. en

Justification

We believe that in an effort to remediate degraded ecological systems, it is necessary to set a definition of how degraded systems are defined. The achievements of Member States to date and their efforts towards achieving habitat improvement must be taken into account.

Amendment 421
Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where the key characteristics of an

Amendment

(4) ‘good condition’ means a state where the key characteristics of an

ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect **the high level of ecological integrity**, stability and resilience necessary to ensure its long-term maintenance;

ecosystem, namely its physical, chemical, compositional, structural and functional state, and its landscape and seascape characteristics, reflect stability and resilience necessary to ensure its long-term maintenance **and production capacity**;

Or. en

Amendment 422
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) ‘favourable reference area’ means the total area of a habitat type in a given biogeographical region or marine region at national level that is considered the minimum necessary to ensure the long-term viability of the habitat type and its species, **and all its significant ecological variations in its natural range**, and which is composed of the area of the habitat type and, if that area is not sufficient, the area necessary for the **re-establishment** of the habitat type;

Amendment

(5) ‘favourable reference area’ means the total area of a habitat type in a given biogeographical region or marine region at national level that is considered the minimum necessary to ensure the long-term viability of the habitat type and its species and which is composed of the area of the habitat type and, if that area is not sufficient, the area necessary for the **restoration** of the habitat type, **while taking account the effects of climate change on the habitat type that have been already observed, provided that recovery is possible and the measures put in place do not affect the productive capacity of that habitat type, in particular for agriculture and forestry**;

Or. en

Amendment 423
Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Paolo De Castro

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) ‘favourable reference area’ means the total area of a habitat type in a given biogeographical region or marine region at national level that is considered the minimum necessary to ensure the long-term viability of the habitat type and its species, and all its significant ecological variations in its natural range, and which is composed of the area of the habitat type and, if that area is not sufficient, the area necessary for the re-establishment of the habitat type;

(5) ‘favourable reference area’ means the total area of a habitat type in a given biogeographical region or marine region at national level that is considered the minimum necessary to ensure the long-term viability of the habitat type and its species, and all its significant ecological variations in its natural range, and which is composed of the area of the habitat type and, if that area is not sufficient, the area necessary for the re-establishment of the habitat type ***that is possible to restore when social and economic sustainability, current land use and national socioeconomic cost-benefit analysis are taken into consideration;***

Or. en

Amendment 424

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) ‘favourable reference area’ means the total area of a habitat type ***in a given biogeographical region or marine region*** at national level that is considered the minimum necessary to ensure the ***long-term viability*** of the habitat type and its species, ***and all its significant ecological variations in its natural range, and which is composed of the area of the habitat type and, if that area is not sufficient, the area necessary for the re-establishment of the habitat type;***

Amendment

(5) ‘favourable reference area’ means the total area of a habitat type at national level that is considered the minimum necessary to ensure the ***good condition*** of the habitat type and its species, ***while duly taking into account the socio economic effects as laid out in the national restoration plan;***

Or. en

Justification

All the aspects of sustainability needs to be taken into account, also social and economic sides for achieving long term actions.

Amendment 425
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) ‘favourable reference area’ means the total area of a habitat type in a given biogeographical region or marine region at ***national*** level that is considered the minimum necessary to ensure the long-term ***viability*** of the habitat type and its species, ***and all its significant ecological variations in its natural range***, and which is composed of the area of the habitat type ***and, if that area is not sufficient, the area necessary for the re-establishment of the habitat type***;

Amendment

(5) ‘favourable reference area’ means the total area of a habitat type in a given biogeographical region or marine region at ***cross-border*** level that is considered the minimum necessary to ensure the long-term ***maintainance*** of the habitat type and its ***typical*** species, and which is composed of the area of the habitat type;

Or. en

Amendment 426
Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) ‘sufficient quality of habitat’ means the quality of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range;

Amendment

(6) ‘sufficient quality of habitat’ means the quality of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range. ***The sufficient quality of habitat must be realistically achievable. If the Member State has already set targets on the basis of the Habitats and Birds directive, these areas shall be understood as favourable reference areas***;

Or. en

Justification

We believe that in an effort to remediate degraded ecological systems, it is necessary to set a definition of how degraded systems are defined. The achievements of Member States to date and their efforts towards achieving habitat improvement must be taken into account.

Amendment 427

Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) ‘sufficient quality of habitat’ means the quality of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range;

Amendment

(6) ‘sufficient quality of habitat’ means the quality of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range; ***The sufficient quality of habitat must be realistically achievable. If the Member State has already set targets on the basis of the Habitats and Birds directive, these areas shall be understood as favourable reference areas;***

Or. en

Amendment 428

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) ‘sufficient quality of habitat’ means the quality of a habitat of a species which allows ***the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so*** that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range;

Amendment

(6) ‘sufficient quality of habitat’ means the quality of a habitat of a species which allows that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its ***natural*** habitat in its natural range, ***taking account of present and future climate realities;***

Amendment 429

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range;

Amendment

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range; ***If the Member State has already set targets on the basis of the Habitats and Birds directive, these areas shall be understood as favourable reference areas;***

Or. en

Justification

We believe that in an effort to remediate degraded ecological systems, it is necessary to set a definition of how degraded systems are defined. The achievements of Member States to date and their efforts towards achieving habitat improvement must be taken into account.

Amendment 430

Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range;

Amendment

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range; ***If the Member State has already set targets on the basis of the Habitats and***

Birds directive, these areas shall be understood as favourable reference areas;

Or. en

Amendment 431

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range;

Amendment

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which allows the ecological requirements, ***including genetic diversity***, of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range;

Or. en

Amendment 432

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which ***allows the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle so that it*** is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range;

Amendment

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which is ***necessary for reaching or maintaining favourable conservation status of species in line with Directive 92/43/EEC Article 1 point (i) and listed in Annex II***;

Or. en

Justification

The definition of sufficient quality of habitat should be aligned with the habitats directive in order to clarify the regulation, annex II defines all the species included for habitat directive.

Amendment 433

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which allows ***the ecological requirements of a species to be met at any stage of its biological cycle*** so that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its habitat in its natural range;

Amendment

(7) ‘sufficient quantity of habitat’ means the quantity of a habitat of a species which allows that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its ***natural*** habitat in its natural range, ***taking account of present and future climate realities***;

Or. en

Amendment 434

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7 a) 'rewetting' means all deliberate actions that aim to bring the water table of a drained peatland, i.e., the position relative to the surface, back to that of the original, peatforming peatland;

Or. en

Justification

An exact definition seems to be missing in the Regulation. This definition is to be found in "Global guidelines for peatland

rewetting and restoration", Ramsar Convention, 2021.

Amendment 435

Jérémy Decerle

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) ‘pollinator’ means a wild **animal** which transports pollen from the anther of a plant to the stigma of a plant, enabling fertilisation and the production of seeds;

Amendment

(8) ‘pollinator’ means a wild **insect** which transports pollen from the anther of a plant to the stigma of a plant, enabling fertilisation and the production of seeds;

Or. en

Amendment 436

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) ‘pollinator’ means **a wild** animal which transports pollen from the anther of a plant to the stigma of a plant, enabling fertilisation and the production of seeds;

Amendment

(8) ‘pollinator’ means **an** animal which transports pollen from the anther of a plant to the stigma of a plant, enabling fertilisation and the production of seeds;

Or. en

Amendment 437

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) ‘decline of pollinator populations’ means a decrease in abundance or diversity, or both, of pollinators;

Amendment

(9) ‘decline of pollinator populations’ means a **significant** decrease in abundance or diversity, or both, of pollinators;

Or. ro

Amendment 438

Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10) ‘local administrative unit’ or ‘LAU’ means a low-level administrative division of a Member State below that of a province, region or state, established in accordance with Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁹ ;

deleted

¹⁰⁹ Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 May 2003 on the establishment of a common classification of territorial units for statistics (NUTS) (OJ L 154, 21.6.2003, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 439

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10) ‘local administrative unit’ or ‘LAU’ means a low-level administrative division of a Member State below that of a province, region or state, established in accordance with Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁹;

(10) ‘urban centres’ and ‘urban clusters’ means territorial units, classified using the grid-based typology established in accordance with Article 4b.2 of Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003;

¹⁰⁹ Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 May 2003 on the establishment of a common classification of territorial units

for statistics (NUTS) (OJ L 154, 21.6.2003, p. 1).

Or. en

Justification

Definitions needs to be clarified as implementation would be to heavy for certain regions, cities, towns and suburbs. For Member States where borders between cities and forests or agricultural land, proposed definitions would be impossible to implement.

Amendment 440

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11) ‘cities’ means LAUs where at least 50 % of the population lives in one or more urban centres, measured using the degree of urbanisation established in accordance with Article 4b.3, point (a), of Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 441

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11) ‘cities’ means LAUs where at least 50 % of the population lives in one or more urban centres, measured using the degree of urbanisation established in accordance with Article 4b.3, point (a), of Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 442

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12) ‘towns and suburbs’ means LAUs where less than 50 % of the population lives in an urban centre, but at least 50 % of the population lives in an urban cluster, measured using the degree of urbanisation established in accordance with Article 4b.3, point (a) of Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 443

Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12) ‘towns and suburbs’ means LAUs where less than 50 % of the population lives in an urban centre, but at least 50 % of the population lives in an urban cluster, measured using the degree of urbanisation established in accordance with Article 4b.3, point (a) of Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 444

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12 a (new)

(12 a) “Rewetting” means all deliberate actions that aim to bring the water table of a drained peatland (i.e., the position relative to the surface) back to that of the original, peatforming peatland. When this goal has been reached, the peatland is ‘rewetted’;

Or. en

Justification

Definition from the Ramsar Convention’s “Global guidelines for peatland rewetting and restoration”
(https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/library/rtr11_peatland_rewetting_restoration_e.pdf)

Amendment 445

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13) ‘urban green space’ means all green urban areas; ***broad-leaved forests; coniferous forests; mixed forests; natural grasslands; moors and heathlands; transitional woodland-shrubs and sparsely vegetated areas - as found within cities or towns and suburbs calculated on the basis of data provided by the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service as established by Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹⁰.***

(13) ‘urban green space’ means all green urban areas ***including trees, bushes, shrubs, permanent herbaceous vegetation, lichens and mosses, found with urban centres and urban clusters;***

¹¹⁰ ***Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing the Union Space Programme and the European Union Agency for the Space Programme and repealing Regulations (EU) No 912/2010, (EU) No 1285/2013 and (EU)***

Justification

Definitions needs to be clarified as implementation would be too heavy for certain regions, cities, towns and suburbs. For Member States where borders between cities and forests or agricultural land, proposed definitions would be impossible to implement.

Amendment 446
Anne Sander

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) 'urban green space' means all green urban areas; broad-leaved forests; coniferous forests; mixed forests; natural grasslands; moors and heathlands; transitional woodland-shrubs and sparsely vegetated areas - **as** found within cities or towns and suburbs calculated on the basis of data provided by the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service as established by Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹⁰;

¹¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing the Union Space Programme and the European Union Agency for the Space Programme and repealing Regulations (EU) No 912/2010, (EU) No 1285/2013 and (EU) No 377/2014 and Decision No 541/2014/EU (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 69).

Amendment

(13) 'urban green space' means all green urban areas - **trees, bushes, shrubs, permanent herbaceous vegetation**; broad-leaved forests; coniferous forests; mixed forests; natural grasslands; moors and heathlands; transitional woodland-shrubs and sparsely vegetated areas - found **in built-up areas** within cities or towns and suburbs calculated on the basis of data provided by the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service as established by Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹⁰;

¹¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing the Union Space Programme and the European Union Agency for the Space Programme and repealing Regulations (EU) No 912/2010, (EU) No 1285/2013 and (EU) No 377/2014 and Decision No 541/2014/EU (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 69).

Amendment 447

Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) ‘urban green space’ means all green urban areas; broad-leaved forests; coniferous forests; mixed forests; natural grasslands; moors and heathlands; transitional woodland-shrubs and sparsely vegetated areas - as found within cities or towns and suburbs calculated on the basis of data provided by the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service as established by Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹⁰;

¹¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing the Union Space Programme and the European Union Agency for the Space Programme and repealing Regulations (EU) No 912/2010, (EU) No 1285/2013 and (EU) No 377/2014 and Decision No 541/2014/EU (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 69).

Amendment

(13) ‘urban green space’ means all green urban areas; broad-leaved forests; coniferous forests; mixed forests; natural grasslands; moors and heathlands; transitional woodland-shrubs and sparsely vegetated areas, ***with the exclusion of areas used for agricultural purposes***, - as found within cities or towns and suburbs calculated on the basis of data provided by the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service as established by Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹⁰;

¹¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing the Union Space Programme and the European Union Agency for the Space Programme and repealing Regulations (EU) No 912/2010, (EU) No 1285/2013 and (EU) No 377/2014 and Decision No 541/2014/EU (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 69).

Or. en

Amendment 448

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) ‘urban green space’ means all green urban areas; broad-leaved forests; coniferous forests; mixed forests; natural grasslands; moors and heathlands; transitional woodland-shrubs and sparsely

Amendment

(13) ‘urban green space’ means all green urban areas; broad-leaved forests; coniferous forests; mixed forests; natural grasslands; moors and heathlands; transitional woodland-shrubs and sparsely

vegetated areas - as found within cities or towns and suburbs calculated on the basis of data provided by the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service as established by Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹⁰;

vegetated areas - ***excluding areas used for agricultural purposes***, as found within cities or towns and suburbs calculated on the basis of data provided by the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service as established by Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹⁰;

¹¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing the Union Space Programme and the European Union Agency for the Space Programme and repealing Regulations (EU) No 912/2010, (EU) No 1285/2013 and (EU) No 377/2014 and Decision No 541/2014/EU (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 69).

¹¹⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/696 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing the Union Space Programme and the European Union Agency for the Space Programme and repealing Regulations (EU) No 912/2010, (EU) No 1285/2013 and (EU) No 377/2014 and Decision No 541/2014/EU (OJ L 170, 12.5.2021, p. 69).

Or. en

Amendment 449

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13 a) ‘urban centres’ and ‘urban clusters’ means territorial units classified in cities and towns and suburbs using the grid-based typology established in accordance with Article 4b.2 of Regulation (EV) No 1059/2003;

Or. en

Amendment 450

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

(15 a) "whole site approach" means a method for applying an ecosystem-based approach to the management of human activities which accounts for the ecosystem as a whole, to be implemented by conserving and restoring a range of species and habitats across a larger area than the evidenced extent of the individual feature of interest, including associated species or habitats that are important to the 'feature' of interest, to enhance ecological recovery processes to its full extent. This approach is particularly relevant for the restoration of open and dynamic systems, like marine ecosystems, which are influenced by currents, seasons, water temperature and other oceanographic processes;

Or. en

Amendment 451

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) "force majeure": result in the deterioration of areas covered by those habitat types, as well as unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change, or as a result of a plan or project of overriding public interest, for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined on a case by case basis, or of a plan or project authorized in accordance with Article 6(4) of Directive 92/43/EEC;

Or. en

Amendment 452

Colm Markey

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) ‘Rewetting peatland’ means a deliberate or passive action that raises the water table of a drained peatland, ranging from partial rewetting by reducing drainage to full rewetting bringing the water table back to that of the peat-forming peatland; The level of rewetting implemented will be decided by the Member State, taking into account national circumstances and site specific research;

Or. en

Justification

Passive rewetting should also be accepted for the definition of rewetting under NRL. This allows for accounting of rewetting where it occurs as a co-benefit of other national actions under for example agri-environmental schemes or climate action. It also further strengthens the point on MS competency made in previous amendments.

Amendment 453

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

15 a. ‘rewetting’ means all deliberate actions that aim to bring the water table of a drained peatland, i.e its level relative to the surface, back to that of the original, peatforming peatland’.

Or. de

Justification

Not every bog is influenced by groundwater. Measures are not always necessary or feasible (e.g. due to infrastructure, neighbours' concerns or climate change).

Amendment 454

Luke Ming Flanagan, Chris MacManus
on behalf of the The Left Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) Agroforestry systems are “land use systems where trees are grown in combination with agriculture on the same land” (Regulation 2022/2472 Article 2.9) and are further clarified in national CAP strategic plans;

Or. en

Amendment 455

Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) 'urbanised area' means a country or region with a high degree of urbanisation, population density and hardening rate resulting in higher than average pressure on agricultural land and open space;

Or. en

Amendment 456

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) 'high population density' implies that the population is high relative to the

size of the country and means at least [X] inhabitants per km²;

Or. en

Amendment 457
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) 'high population density' means at least [X] inhabitants per km² on average;

Or. en

Amendment 458
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 b) 'Restoration of peatland' means a deliberate action, that aims to lift the water table of a drained peatland ranging from partly rewetting by reducing drainage to full rewetting bringing the water table back to that of the peat-forming peatland, and taking other necessary actions like removing wood and transplanting vegetation to turn the land and vegetation back to the natural situation for peat accumulation, whilst taking into account national circumstances;

Or. en

Amendment 459
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to **improve to good condition** areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in **good** condition. **Such measures** shall be in place **on at least 30 % of the area of each group** of habitat types listed in Annex I that **is** not in **good** condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, **on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.**

Amendment

1. Member States shall **take measures with the aim to** put in place **at Union level** the restoration measures that are necessary to **restore, provided that current and future climatic conditions therefore permit, to favourable conservation status** areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in **favourable** condition, **following an assessment throughout the Union of habitat types and species protected under Directive 92/43/EEC, Directive 2009/147/EC and of the status of Natura 2000 sites through a harmonised methodology ensuring comparability of the data collected between Member States. This assessment shall be carried out in accordance with the conditions laid down in Article 11(2). Once the assessment of the above-mentioned areas has been carried out, the restoration measures can be put in place in the areas** of habitat types listed in Annex I that **are** not in **favourable** condition, **limiting it to Natura 2000 sites**, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030.

Or. en

Amendment 460

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place **the** restoration measures that are necessary to improve **to** good condition **areas of** habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place **appropriate and reasonable** restoration measures **inside the Natura 2000 network and other protected areas** that are necessary to improve **towards** good

be in place on at least 30 % of the area *of each group* of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.

condition habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the *total* area of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition *and that is situated inside the Natura 2000 network*, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050. *The restoration target set for a habitat group by 2030 can be achieved by a restoring respective share within another habitats group, when justified by the Member State and quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12.*

Or. en

Justification

Habitats differ between Member States and flexibility should be given for local level to adjust restoration actions for effective implementation, primary inside existing Natura 2000 areas and other protected areas. During the implementation social and economical assessment needs to be taken into account.

Amendment 461

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, *and is designed as a Natura site or other equivalent protected area according to national legislation*, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050. *When*

justified by a Member State, the restoration target set for a habitat group by 2030 can be achieved by restoring respective share within another habitats group.

Or. en

Amendment 462
Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place *the* restoration measures *that are necessary* to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. *Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the area of each group* of habitat types listed in Annex I *that is* not in good condition, as quantified in the national *restoration* plan referred to in Article 12, *by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.*

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place *appropriate and reasonable* restoration measures *inside the Natura 2000 network* to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition (*following the development of a revised Union-wide methodology for the assessment of habitat types and species protected under Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC*). *The measures listed must be in place by 2035 on at least 30% of the total area of Annex I habitat types and will be implemented mainly within Natura 2000 areas that are* not in good condition (as quantified in the national *recovery* plan referred to in Article 12).

Or. en

Amendment 463
Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place *the* restoration measures *that are necessary*

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place *appropriate and reasonable* restoration

to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. **Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the area of each group** of habitat types listed in Annex I **that is** not in good condition, as quantified in the national **restoration** plan referred to in Article 12, **by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.**

measures **inside the Natura 2000 network** to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition (**following the development of a revised Union-wide methodology for the assessment of habitat types and species protected under Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC**). **The measures listed must be in place by 2035 on at least 30% of the total area of Annex I habitat types and will be implemented mainly within Natura 2000 areas that are** not in good condition (as quantified in the national **recovery** plan referred to in Article 12).

Or. en

Justification

We strongly believe that the different implementation and methodologies used in different Member States may lead to different results and may not be easily comparable. The proposal should therefore provide for a revision and harmonisation of the methodology which will lead to more transparent reporting and comparable monitoring between Member States. Only once comparable data exists across the EU can a realistic and valid target be achieved. Until then, specific targets set without a reliable database should be avoided. Given the growing importance of food self-sufficiency, it is essential that measures to maintain food security can justify exceptions to achieve the targets (especially in less sensitive areas outside Natura 2000 sites). We believe that it is important to focus first on the protection of Annex II species within Natura 2000 sites and that the no-deterioration clause for non-Natura 2000 sites will have potentially huge implications for agriculture.

Amendment 464 **Bert-Jan Ruissen**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place **the** restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition **areas of** habitat types listed in Annex I which are not **in good condition**. Such measures shall be in place on at least **30 %** of the area **of each group** of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified

Amendment

1. **Following the development of a revised Union-wide methodology for the assessment of the state of the habitat types and species protected under Directive 92/43/EEC**, Member States shall put in place **in designated Natura 2000 sites reasonable** restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition

in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by **2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.**

habitat types listed in Annex I which are not **at favourable conservation status**. Such measures shall be in place on at least **10 %** of the area **inside Natura 2000** of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by **2035**.

Or. en

Justification

Amendments tabled by the author on Article 4, must be considered tabled on Article 5 as well if discussed as part of the AGRI opinion.

Amendment 465 **Anja Hazekamp**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least **30 %** of the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least **60 %** by 2040, **and on at least 90 %** by 2050.

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to **significantly and permanently** improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least **70 %** of the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least **100 %** by 2040, **in order to ensure that benefits from the restoration measures materialise** by 2050.

Or. en

Amendment 466 **Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on **at least 30 % of** the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, **on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.**

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be **put** in place on the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030.

Or. en

Justification

As the current bird and habitats directive already requires Member States to meet a favourable status for habitats, this additional timeline is not required.

Amendment 467

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are **not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I that is not in good condition,** as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, **by 2030,** on at least **60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.**

Amendment

1. Member States **should** put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are **in the relevant biogeographical region in the Member State and which are not in good condition. The aim is to put in place necessary measures on at least 50% of the area** (as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12) **by 2040 and on at least 80% by 2050 until it is in good condition.**

Or. de

Justification

The percentages given in the proposal for a regulation are unrealistic in terms of

implementation. The restoration measures should therefore be formulated with target horizons of 2040 and 2050 with adjusted percentages.

Amendment 468

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the ***area of each group of habitat types*** listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the ***overall areas*** listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.

Or. en

Amendment 469

Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the ***area of each group of habitat types*** listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex I which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the ***overall areas*** listed in Annex I that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.

Amendment 470

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be mandatory only in the areas protected by Directives 92/43/EEC and 2009/147/EC (Natura 2000 sites).

Or. de

Justification

In order to respect the principle of proportionality and the feasibility of the measures, restoration measures should focus on realistically achievable objectives, which can then be implemented consistently and effectively (Natura 2000 sites and better interconnection of sites). Harmonisation with the obligations under the Natura 2000 Directives is also necessary. It is important to carry out the tasks relating to the Natura 2000 site objectives.

Amendment 471

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) The recovery measures referred to in paragraph (1) shall be implemented in such a way as not to affect the productivity of the area concerned, especially its farms and forests.

Or. ro

Amendment 472

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 473
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 474

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. *Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.*

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Restoration measures should be concentrated on Natura 2000 areas, as here can be achieved the best improvement. This is to be achieved by establishing the Natura 2000 network of protected areas throughout Europe.

Amendment 475

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place *the* restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to

2. Member States shall put in place *appropriate and reasonable* restoration measures *inside the Natura 2000 network* that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types, *taking into account social and economical assessment in the*

reach the total favourable reference area of *each group* of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.

national restoration plan and provided that when possible competing interests of different species are taken into account. Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050. *The restoration target set for a habitat group by 2030 can be achieved by restoring a respective share within another habitats group, when justified by the Member State and quantified in its national restoration plan referred to in Article 12.*

Or. en

Amendment 476
Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States *shall* put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on *areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface* needed to reach the total favourable reference area of *each group of habitat types* listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, *by 2030*, at least *60 %* of that surface by 2040, and *100 %* of that surface by 2050.

Amendment

2. Member States *may* put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types, *specifically tailored to local conditions, including farming, forestry and economic activities that are essential for the productivity and dynamism of rural areas.* Such measures shall be in place on *all* the additional *surface area* needed to reach the total favourable reference area of *the habitat groups* listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, *that is to say* at least *50 %* of that surface by 2040, and *90 %* of that surface by 2050. *The restoration targets for each group of habitat types referred to in this paragraph may be met by the rehabilitation thereof*

within another habitat group if duly justified by the Member State.

Or. ro

Amendment 477

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types. ***Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.***

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types.

Or. de

Justification

The provision in paragraph 2 is not harmonised with the Natura 2000 Directives. There should be no percentage targets here.

Amendment 478

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those

habitat types. *Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.*

habitat types.

Or. en

Amendment 479
Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall ***put in place*** the restoration measures ***that are*** necessary to ***re-establish*** the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas ***not covered by those*** habitat types. Such measures shall be ***in place*** on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional ***overall surface*** needed to ***reach the total*** favourable reference ***area of each group of*** habitat ***types*** listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national ***restoration*** plan referred to in Article 12, ***by 2030***, at least 60 % of that ***surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.***

Amendment

2. Member States shall ***implement*** the restoration measures necessary to ***restore*** the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas ***where these*** habitat types ***do not occur, provided that their restoration is possible under current climatic conditions and that suitable and sufficient areas for their restoration are available, and after making available data on the habitat types referred to in Article 2(1).*** Such measures shall be ***implemented*** on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional ***total area*** needed to ***achieve the overall*** favourable reference ***status of the*** habitat ***type groups*** listed in Annex I as quantified in the national ***recovery*** plan referred to in Article 12. ***In addition, at least 60 % of that area by 2035, at least 60 % by 2045 and 90 % by 2055.***

Or. en

Amendment 480
Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall **put in place** the restoration measures **that are** necessary to **re-establish** the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas **not covered by those** habitat types. Such measures shall be **in place** on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional **overall surface** needed to **reach the total** favourable reference **area of each group of** habitat **types** listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national **restoration** plan referred to in Article 12, **by 2030**, at least 60 % of that **surface by 2040**, and 100 % of that **surface by 2050**.

Amendment

2. Member States shall **implement** the restoration measures necessary to **restore** the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas **where these** habitat types **do not occur**, **provided that their restoration is possible under current climatic conditions and that suitable and sufficient areas for their restoration are available, and after making available data on the habitat types referred to in Article 2(1)**. Such measures shall be **implemented** on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional **total area** needed to **achieve the overall** favourable reference **status of the** habitat **type groups** listed in Annex I as quantified in the national **recovery** plan referred to in Article 12. **In addition**, at least 60 % of that **area by 2035, at least 60 % by 2045 and 90 % by 2055**.

Or. en

Justification

We strongly believe that the different implementation and methodologies used in different Member States may lead to different results and may not be easily comparable. The proposal should therefore provide for a revision and harmonisation of the methodology which will lead to more transparent reporting and comparable monitoring between Member States. Only once comparable data exists across the EU can a realistic and valid target be achieved. Until then, specific targets set without a reliable database should be avoided. Given the growing importance of food self-sufficiency, it is essential that measures to maintain food security can justify exceptions to achieve the targets (especially in less sensitive areas outside Natura 2000 sites). We believe that it is important to focus first on the protection of Annex II species within Natura 2000 sites and that the no-deterioration clause for non-Natura 2000 sites will have potentially huge implications for agriculture.

Amendment 481

Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on areas **representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.**

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on areas **necessary to ensure the fulfilment of the goals laid down in paragraph 1 of this Article.**

Or. en

Justification

As the definition of 'restoration' already includes requirements to contribute to the favourable reference area of habitat types, this paragraph can be simplified.

Amendment 482

Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex I in areas not covered by those habitat types, **with the exclusion of areas used for agricultural purposes.** Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex I, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.

Or. en

Amendment 483
Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in *Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC* and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, including by re-establishing them, **and to enhance connectivity**, until sufficient quality and quantity **of those habitats** is achieved.

Amendment

3. **the Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC**. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in **Annex IV of Directive 92/43/EEC and inside Natura 2000 areas, and put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annex II** Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, including by re-establishing them until sufficient quality and quantity **to reach favourable condition or show a strong positive trend for 30 % of the species** is achieved, **and in line with long-term projection of changing natural ranges. These measures act within the framework of objectives adopted by member states based on the Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC**.

Or. en

Amendment 484
Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial,

Amendment

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, IV and V to **Directive 92/43/EEC and inside Natura**

coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, including by re-establishing them, **and to enhance connectivity**, until sufficient quality and quantity **of those habitats** is achieved.

2000 areas, and put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annex II Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, including by re-establishing them, until sufficient quality and quantity **to reach favourable condition or show a strong positive trend for 30 % of the species** is achieved, **and in line with long-term projection of changing natural ranges. These measures act within the framework of objectives adopted by member states based on the Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC.**

Or. en

Justification

We strongly believe that the different implementation and methodologies used in different Member States may lead to different results and may not be easily comparable. The proposal should therefore provide for a revision and harmonisation of the methodology which will lead to more transparent reporting and comparable monitoring between Member States. Only once comparable data exists across the EU can a realistic and valid target be achieved. Until then, specific targets set without a reliable database should be avoided. Given the growing importance of food self-sufficiency, it is essential that measures to maintain food security can justify exceptions to achieve the targets (especially in less sensitive areas outside Natura 2000 sites). We believe that it is important to focus first on the protection of Annex II species within Natura 2000 sites and that the no-deterioration clause for non-Natura 2000 sites will have potentially huge implications for agriculture.

Amendment 485

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, **IV and V** to Directive 92/43/EEC **and of the terrestrial,**

Amendment

3. Member States shall, **within Natura 2000 sites and other strictly protected areas**, put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II

coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, ***including by re-establishing them***, and to enhance connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

to Directive 92/43/EEC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, and to enhance connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

Or. en

Justification

Natura areas should be primarily use for restoration. Annex II list all the habitats of directive, so there is no need for IV and V. Birds directive includes all the species, so for Member States it would be hard to monitor all.

Amendment 486

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in ***Annexes II, IV and V*** to Directive 92/43/EEC ***and of*** the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality ***and quantity*** of those habitats, including by ***re-establishing them, and to enhance connectivity***, until sufficient quality ***and quantity*** of those habitats is achieved.

Amendment

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in ***Annex IV*** to Directive 92/43/EEC ***in Natura 2000 areas, for*** the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of ***the species listed in Annex II to Directive 92/43/EEC and for those of*** wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality of those habitats, including by ***efforts to re-establish them*** until sufficient quality of those habitats is achieved.

Or. ro

Amendment 487

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, **IV and V** to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, including by **re-establishing them, and to enhance** connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

Amendment

3. Member States shall **take measures with the aim to** put in place **at Union level** the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II **and IV** to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, including by **enhancing** connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats **across the Union** is achieved.

Or. en

Amendment 488

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Paolo De Castro

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, including by re-establishing them, and to enhance connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

Amendment

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats **within the Natura 2000 network**, including by re-establishing them, and to enhance connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

Or. en

Amendment 489

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, **including by re-establishing them**, and to enhance connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

Amendment

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats and to enhance connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

Or. en

Justification

Restoration measures should be concentrated on Natura 2000 areas, as here can be achieved the best improvement. This is to be achieved by establishing the Natura 2000 network of protected areas throughout Europe.

Amendment 490
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, IV **and V** to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality **and** quantity of those habitats, **including by re-establishing them, and to enhance connectivity**, until **sufficient quality and quantity** of those **habitats** is achieved.

Amendment

3. Member States shall put in place **in designated Natura 2000 sites** the restoration measures for the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species listed in Annexes II, IV to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of wild birds covered by Directive 2009/147/EC that are necessary to improve the quality **and/or** quantity of those habitats, until **favourable conservation status** of those **habitat types** is achieved.

Amendment 491

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be *considered as not being in good condition*.

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article ***and the social and economic consequences of the establishment of such areas, in particular on production activities***. Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be ***the subject of an analysis on the basis of data collected by Member States in accordance with the methodology set out in Article 11(2). Cost effectiveness must also be taken into account when prioritising and allocating restoration measures***.

Amendment 492

Luke Ming Flanagan, Chris MacManus
on behalf of the The Left Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be ***considered as not being in good condition***.

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article; areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition ***a comprehensive initial ecological assessment shall be carried to establish high resolutions baselines (using IACS/LPIS) and to ensure the suitability of proposed measures***.

Or. en

Amendment 493
Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the ***best*** available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality ***and quantity*** of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. Areas where

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the ***most recent available qualitative and quantitative body of*** knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in

the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be ***considered as not being in good condition***.

paragraph 3 of this Article ***and the impact on production activities***. Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be ***closely analysed on the basis of data collected from the Member States in line with Article 11(2)***.

Or. ro

Amendment 494
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. Areas ***where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition*** shall be considered as ***not being in good condition***.

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. ***In identifying the most suitable areas within the Natura 2000 sites, the socio-economic functions of these areas and of the activities in these areas shall be duly taken into account. Areas with predominant food production functions shall be considered least suitable for the purposes of this Article.***

Or. en

Amendment 495
Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner, Irène Tolleret

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be ***considered as not being in good condition***.

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be ***first evaluated so that the Member State concerned reports the missing data before considering the restoration measures to be implemented***.

Or. en

Amendment 496
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge, ***practices*** and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality

habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. Areas *where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be considered as not being in good condition.*

and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. *In identifying the most suitable areas, socio-economic functions, spatial planning for current and future public interests, population density, and economic performance and productivity output of these areas are to be taken into account.*

Or. en

Amendment 497

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. *Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be considered as not being in good condition.*

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article.

Or. de

Justification

A situation that has not actually been determined cannot be assumed to exist to the detriment of the Member States. Rather, there is then an obligation on the part of the Member States to first ascertain what the hitherto unknown situation is.

Amendment 498

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. ***Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be considered as not being in good condition.***

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 499

Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in

paragraph 3 of this Article. ***Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be considered as not being in good condition.***

paragraph 3 of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 500
Krzysztof Jurgiel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. ***Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be considered as not being in good condition.***

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article.

Or. pl

Justification

It should be possible to successively fill gaps in knowledge on habitats of unknown status.

Amendment 501
Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. ***Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be considered as not being in good condition.***

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge, ***, taking also into account socio-economic impact, professional practice*** and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. ***Consideration of costs and cost-effectiveness is necessary in prioritising and allocating restoration measures.***

Or. en

Amendment 502

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. ***Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I are in unknown condition shall be considered as***

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article shall be based on the best available knowledge, ***taking also into account socio-economic impact, professional practice*** and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance including their typical species, as referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article. ***Consideration***

not being in good condition.

of costs and cost-effectiveness is necessary in prioritising and allocating restoration measures.

Or. en

Justification

We strongly believe that the different implementation and methodologies used in different Member States may lead to different results and may not be easily comparable. The proposal should therefore provide for a revision and harmonisation of the methodology which will lead to more transparent reporting and comparable monitoring between Member States. Only once comparable data exists across the EU can a realistic and valid target be achieved. Until then, specific targets set without a reliable database should be avoided. Given the growing importance of food self-sufficiency, it is essential that measures to maintain food security can justify exceptions to achieve the targets (especially in less sensitive areas outside Natura 2000 sites). We believe that it is important to focus first on the protection of Annex II species within Natura 2000 sites and that the no-deterioration clause for non-Natura 2000 sites will have potentially huge implications for agriculture.

Amendment 503

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner, Irène Tolleret

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. When putting in place the restoration measures referred to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, Member States shall to the maximum extent possible address areas which are not needed or used for renewable energy generation, agriculture production, forestry or infrastructure development, taking into account cost-effectiveness while ensuring flexibility in current and future spatial planning.

Or. en

Justification

Ensuring the food security and energy sovereignty, economic activity and continued development of infrastructure and energy production is needed. Areas needed for these activities should be not be used in the first hand.

Amendment 504
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The restoration measures referred to in **paragraphs 1 and 2** shall consider the need for improved connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Amendment

5. The restoration measures referred to in **paragraph 1** shall consider the need for improved connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types. ***In case habitats extend and species cross borders of different Member States, the determination of the most suitable areas and measures for restoration shall be done and decided in a cross-border manner by the Member States concerned.***

Or. en

Amendment 505
Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 **and 2** shall ***consider the need for improved connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take*** into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Amendment

5. ***When implementing*** the restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 , 2, **and 3, Member States shall ensure effective** connectivity ***taking*** into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types, ***in accordance with Article 10a. Where appropriate, the restoration measures shall also allow for natural succession of habitats into more complex functional ecosystems through, inter alia, rewilding.***

Amendment 506

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall consider the need for improved connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Amendment

5. The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall consider the need for improved connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and ***existing protected areas and*** take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Or. en

Amendment 507

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The restoration measures referred to in ***paragraphs 1 and 2*** shall consider the need for improved connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Amendment

5. The restoration measures referred to in ***paragraph 1*** shall ***where relevant*** consider the need for improved connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that ***typically*** occur in those habitat types.

Or. en

Amendment 508

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall consider the need for **improved** connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Amendment

5. The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall consider the need for connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Or. en

Justification

We strongly believe that the different implementation and methodologies used in different Member States may lead to different results and may not be easily comparable. The proposal should therefore provide for a revision and harmonisation of the methodology which will lead to more transparent reporting and comparable monitoring between Member States. Only once comparable data exists across the EU can a realistic and valid target be achieved. Until then, specific targets set without a reliable database should be avoided. Given the growing importance of food self-sufficiency, it is essential that measures to maintain food security can justify exceptions to achieve the targets (especially in less sensitive areas outside Natura 2000 sites). We believe that it is important to focus first on the protection of Annex II species within Natura 2000 sites and that the no-deterioration clause for non-Natura 2000 sites will have potentially huge implications for agriculture.

Amendment 509

Martin Hlaváček, Elsi Katainen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall consider the need for **improved** connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Amendment

5. The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall consider the need for connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Or. en

Amendment 510

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall ***consider the need for*** improved connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Amendment

5. The restoration measures referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall ***take account of*** improved connectivity between the habitat types listed in Annex I and take into account the ecological requirements of the species referred to in paragraph 3 that occur in those habitat types.

Or. de

Justification

The sentence and the reference to 'need' are too vague. It should be a directory provision.

Amendment 511

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Member States shall ensure that the ***areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the*** quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, ***until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached. Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do not deteriorate.***

Amendment

6. Member States shall ***aim to*** ensure that the ***total national area in*** good condition ***and the total amount of area with sufficient*** quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 1, 2 and 3, ***does not decrease over time.***

Or. en

Justification

Member States and landowners need additional flexibility and what comes to deterioration, it can never be guaranteed that a single area does not deteriorate.

Amendment 512

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, ***and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached.*** Member States shall ensure that ***areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do*** not deteriorate.

Amendment

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached. ***For Natura 2000 sites referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 where good status has been achieved,*** Member States shall ensure that ***their status does*** not deteriorate.

Or. en

Justification

We strongly believe that the different implementation and methodologies used in different Member States may lead to different results and may not be easily comparable. The proposal should therefore provide for a revision and harmonisation of the methodology which will lead to more transparent reporting and comparable monitoring between Member States. Only once comparable data exists across the EU can a realistic and valid target be achieved. Until then, specific targets set without a reliable database should be avoided. Given the growing importance of food self-sufficiency, it is essential that measures to maintain food security can justify exceptions to achieve the targets (especially in less sensitive areas outside Natura 2000 sites). We believe that it is important to focus first on the protection of Annex II species within Natura 2000 sites and that the no-deterioration clause for non-Natura 2000 sites will have potentially huge implications for agriculture.

Amendment 513

Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, **and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached.** Member States shall ensure that **areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do** not deteriorate.

Amendment

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached. **For Natura 2000 sites referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 where good status has been achieved,** Member States shall ensure that **their status does** not deteriorate.

Or. en

Amendment 514
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Member States shall **ensure** that the **areas that are subject to** restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 **show a continuous** improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until **good condition** is reached, and **a continuous** improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until **the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached.** **Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do not deteriorate.**

Amendment

6. Member States shall **aim** that the restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 3 **at national level contribute to an overall net** improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until **favourable conservation status of the habitat type** is reached, and **an overall net** improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until **favourable conservation status** of the species **is** reached.

Amendment 515**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez****Proposal for a regulation****Article 4 – paragraph 6***Text proposed by the Commission*

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached. Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, ***do not deteriorate***.

Amendment

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached. Member States shall ensure that ***there is no net deterioration of*** areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached.

Or. en

Amendment 516**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont****Proposal for a regulation****Article 4 – paragraph 6***Text proposed by the Commission*

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the ***quality of the*** habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, ***until the sufficient quality of those habitats is***

Amendment

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3. ***Member States shall take effective and proportionate measures to***

reached. Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition ***has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality*** of the habitats of the species has been reached, do not deteriorate.

ensure that areas in which good condition of the habitats of the species has been reached do not deteriorate.

Or. de

Justification

The term 'sufficient quality' is a new, uncertain legal term without a corresponding definition (as opposed to 'good condition').

Amendment 517

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached. Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do not deteriorate.

Amendment

6. Member States shall ensure that the ***Natura 2000*** areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached. Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do not deteriorate.

Or. ro

Amendment 518

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached. Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do not deteriorate.

Amendment

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until ***a sustained*** good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached ***and sustained***. Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do not deteriorate.

Or. en

Amendment 519
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show ***a continuous*** improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until ***good condition*** is reached, ***and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3, until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached***. Member States shall ensure that areas in which ***good condition*** has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do not deteriorate.

Amendment

6. Member States shall ***take measures with the aim to*** ensure ***at Union level*** that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 3 show ***an*** improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex I until ***favourable conservation status*** is reached ***at Union level***. Member States shall ***take measures with the aim to*** ensure that areas in which ***favourable conservation status*** has been reached, and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, do not deteriorate ***significantly at Union level***.

Or. en

Amendment 520
Krzysztof Jurgiel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Member States shall ensure that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur do not deteriorate. **deleted**

Or. pl

Justification

Dotychczas, zgodnie z ideą zawartą w dyrektywie siedliskowej w obszarach Natura 2000, chronione były przedmioty ochrony, a nie wszystkie siedliska i gatunki występujące na tych obszarach. Proponowane rozporządzenie zakłada jednak, że p.cz. miałyby zagwarantować nie pogorszenie stanu wszystkich typów siedlisk wymienionych w załączniku I, bez względu na ich położenie – wewnątrz czy poza obszarami Natura 2000. Klauzula „niepogarszania” może mieć zatem istotny negatywny wpływ na inne sektory, takie jak rolnictwo, leśnictwo czy rozwój energetyki, na obszarach objętych rozporządzeniem.

Amendment 521
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Member States shall ensure that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur do not deteriorate. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 522
Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Member States shall ensure that **7. Member States shall ensure that**

areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur **do not deteriorate**.

there is no net deterioration of areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur ***and which are necessary for achieving and maintaining the favourable conservation status of the habitats and species of the Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC*** .

Or. en

Amendment 523
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States shall **ensure** that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur do not deteriorate.

Amendment

7. Member States shall **take measures with the aim to prevent** that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur do not deteriorate **significantly at Union level**.

Or. en

Amendment 524
Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States shall ensure that **areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur do not deteriorate**.

Amendment

7. Member States shall **aim to** ensure that **obligations arising from Article 6 point 2 of Directive 92/43/EEC are fulfilled inside the Natura 2000 network**.

Or. en

Justification

Directive 92/43/EEC set the obligation for the deterioration. There is no need to create an additional layer of definitions.

Amendment 525

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi, Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States shall ensure that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur do not deteriorate.

Amendment

7. Member States shall ensure that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur ***inside Natura 2000*** do not deteriorate.

Or. en

Amendment 526

Martin Hlaváček, Elsi Katainen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States shall ensure that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur do not deteriorate.

Amendment

7. Member States shall ensure that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex I occur ***inside Natura 2000*** do not deteriorate.

Or. en

Amendment 527

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7a. Where, owing to the differing requirements of the habitat types listed in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Annex I and the species listed in paragraph 3, the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7 cannot be met, consideration shall be given as to which habitat types or species are to be prioritised in terms of restoration

measures. This shall justify non-compliance with the obligations relating to the other habitat types or species.

Or. de

Justification

A mechanism for weighing up conflicts of different needs between different habitat types and species and mutually exclusive restoration measures is required.

Amendment 528

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7 a. Member States shall ensure the continuous, long-term and sustained effects of restoration measures adopted under paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7 through effective means which take into account the ecological requirements of each area under restoration, including, where appropriate, by the designation and effective management of protected areas or other effective area-based conservation measures.

Or. en

Amendment 529

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7 a. Member States shall ensure the continuous, long-term and sustained effects of restoration measures adopted under this Article.

Amendment 530

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 7 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7b. The principle of proportionality shall justify non-compliance where, owing to regional specificities, such as a high density of settlements and infrastructure, the obligations referred to in paragraphs 6 and 7 and Article 9(4) cannot be complied with by reasonable means.

Or. de

Justification

It must be possible for regional specificities to be taken into account for reasons of proportionality where restoration measures cannot be carried out with proportionate measures.

Amendment 531

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Outside Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7 is justified if it is caused by:

deleted

(a) force majeure;

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change; or

(c) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined

on a case by case basis.

Or. de

Justification

Consequential amendment on the basis of previous amendments.

Amendment 532

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Outside Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7 is justified if it is caused by: *deleted*

(a) force majeure;

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change; or

(c) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined on a case by case basis.

Or. en

Justification

While concentrate for the Natura network, there is no need for setting obligations outside that network.

Amendment 533

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Outside Natura 2000 sites, the non-

8. *If compatible with the gradual*

fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7 *is* justified if it is caused by:

achievement of the restoration targets set out in this Regulation, outside Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7 ***can be*** justified if ***Member States provide compelling evidence that it is*** it is caused by:

Or. en

Amendment 534

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Outside Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7 *is* justified if it is caused by:

Amendment

8. Outside Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7 ***can be*** justified if ***Member States provide scientific and verifiable evidence that*** it is caused by:

Or. en

Amendment 535

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) force majeure;

Amendment

(a) force majeure, ***including the risk of major disruptions to EU food supply chains or food security***;

Or. ro

Amendment 536

Irène Tolleret

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) force majeure;

Amendment

(a) force majeure, ***including natural disasters, such as forest fires and floods, and severe food supply disruptions;***

Or. en

Amendment 537

Petri Sarvamaa, Paolo De Castro, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) force majeure;

Amendment

(a) force majeure; ***including natural disasters;***

Or. en

Amendment 538

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) force majeure;

Amendment

(a) force majeure, ***including natural disasters;***

Or. en

Justification

We strongly believe that the different implementation and methodologies used in different Member States may lead to different results and may not be easily comparable. The proposal should therefore provide for a revision and harmonisation of the methodology which will lead to more transparent reporting and comparable monitoring between Member States. Only once comparable data exists across the EU can a realistic and valid target be achieved. Until then, specific targets set without a reliable database should be avoided. Given the growing importance of food self-sufficiency, it is essential that measures to maintain food security can

justify exceptions to achieve the targets (especially in less sensitive areas outside Natura 2000 sites). We believe that it is important to focus first on the protection of Annex II species within Natura 2000 sites and that the no-deterioration clause for non-Natura 2000 sites will have potentially huge implications for agriculture.

Amendment 539

Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) force majeure;

Amendment

(a) force majeure, ***including natural disasters***;

Or. en

Amendment 540

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change; or

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change, ***based on scientific evidence and provided that the Member State has taken adequate mitigation measures***; or

Or. en

Amendment 541

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate

change; or

change, *provided that the Member State concerned has taken the mitigation measures incumbent upon it*, or

Or. de

Justification

Potential mitigation measures must have been taken in advance.

Amendment 542

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change; *or*

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by *natural disasters or* climate change;

Or. ro

Amendment 543

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) *unavoidable* habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change; or

(b) habitat transformations *or other relevant circumstances* which are directly caused by climate change; or

Or. en

Justification

We strongly believe that the different implementation and methodologies used in different Member States may lead to different results and may not be easily comparable. The proposal should therefore provide for a revision and harmonisation of the methodology which will lead to more transparent reporting and comparable monitoring between Member States. Only once comparable data exists across the EU can a realistic and valid target be achieved. Until then, specific targets set without a reliable database should be avoided. Given the growing

importance of food self-sufficiency, it is essential that measures to maintain food security can justify exceptions to achieve the targets (especially in less sensitive areas outside Natura 2000 sites). We believe that it is important to focus first on the protection of Annex II species within Natura 2000 sites and that the no-deterioration clause for non-Natura 2000 sites will have potentially huge implications for agriculture.

Amendment 544
Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **unavoidable** habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change; or

(b) habitat transformations **or other relevant circumstances** which are directly caused by climate change; or

Or. en

Amendment 545
Petri Sarvamaa, Paolo De Castro, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change; or

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly **or indirectly** caused by climate change; or

Or. en

Amendment 546
Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **unavoidable** habitat transformations which are **directly** caused

(b) habitat transformations **or other relevant circumstances** which are caused

by climate change; or

by climate change; or

Or. en

Amendment 547

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are ***directly*** caused by climate change; ***or***

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are caused by climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 548

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined on a case by case basis.

(c) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available ***and compensatory measures have been adopted, ideally in the vicinity and guided by the polluter pays principle***, to be determined on a case by case basis.

Or. en

Amendment 549

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined on a case by case basis.

Amendment

(c) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined on a case by case basis, ***including measures to maintain food security and production of food and renewable resources.***

Or. en

Amendment 550

Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a project of overriding public interest ***for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available***, to be ***determined on a case by case basis.***

Amendment

(c) a project of overriding public interest, to be ***defined in the national restoration plan.***

Or. en

Amendment 551

Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a project of ***overriding*** public interest ***for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available***, to be ***determined on a case by case basis.***

Amendment

(c) a project of public interest, to be ***defined in the national restoration plan.***

Or. en

Justification

We strongly believe that the different implementation and methodologies used in different Member States may lead to different results and may not be easily comparable. The proposal

should therefore provide for a revision and harmonisation of the methodology which will lead to more transparent reporting and comparable monitoring between Member States. Only once comparable data exists across the EU can a realistic and valid target be achieved. Until then, specific targets set without a reliable database should be avoided. Given the growing importance of food self-sufficiency, it is essential that measures to maintain food security can justify exceptions to achieve the targets (especially in less sensitive areas outside Natura 2000 sites). We believe that it is important to focus first on the protection of Annex II species within Natura 2000 sites and that the no-deterioration clause for non-Natura 2000 sites will have potentially huge implications for agriculture.

Amendment 552

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) *a project of* overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined *on a case by case basis*.

Amendment

(c) overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined *by the Member State*;

Or. en

Amendment 553

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) a Member State or region with very high population density in combination with scarcity of available space or a substantive expected net population growth;

Or. en

Amendment 554

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) measures to maintain food security, including food production and affordability, and measures to maintain production of renewable resources;

Or. en

Amendment 555
Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) projects to ensure food security, in particular food production and accessibility, at least at local level;

Or. ro

Amendment 556
Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Paolo De Castro, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) necessary measures to ensure food security and food production;

Or. en

Amendment 557
Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) *disproportional impact on the agricultural sector in urbanised areas;*

Or. en

Amendment 558
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 8 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c b) *essential socio-economic functions of the area, as well as measures aimed at ensuring food supply, security and productivity.*

Or. en

Amendment 559
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. *For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7, is justified if it is caused by:*

deleted

(a) force majeure;

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change: or

(c) a plan or project authorised in accordance with Article 6(4) of the Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment 560

Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7, **is** justified if it is caused by:

Amendment

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7, **can be** justified if **Member States provide scientific and verifiable evidence that** it is caused by:

Or. en

Amendment 561

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7, **is** justified if it is caused by:

Amendment

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs **1, 2, 3, 6** and 7, is justified if it is caused by:

Or. en

Amendment 562

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in **paragraphs 6 and 7**, is justified if it is

Amendment

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in

caused by:

paragraph 6, is justified if it is caused by:

Or. en

Amendment 563

Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) force majeure;

(a) force majeure, ***including the imminent risk of major disruptions to EU food supply chains or EU food security;***

Or. ro

Amendment 564

Irène Tolleret

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) force majeure;

(a) force majeure, ***including natural disasters, such as forest fires and floods, and severe food supply disruptions.***

Or. en

Amendment 565

Petri Sarvamaa, Paolo De Castro, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) force majeure;

(a) force majeure; ***including natural disasters;***

Or. en

Amendment 566
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) force majeure;

Amendment

(a) force majeure, ***including natural disasters***;

Or. en

Amendment 567
Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) force majeure;

Amendment

(a) force majeure, ***including natural disasters***;

Or. en

Amendment 568
Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change: or

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change, ***based on scientific evidence and provided that the Member State has taken adequate mitigation measures***: or

Or. en

Amendment 569
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **unavoidable** habitat transformations **which are directly** caused by climate change: or

Amendment

(b) habitat transformations **or other circumstances** caused by climate change, **third countries, natural disasters, storms and abiotic factors, or such transformations necessary to prevent major damage from these causes:** or

Or. en

Amendment 570

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are **directly** caused by climate change: or

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are caused by **events such as** climate change or **nature disaster, storms, wildfires, pests, bugs or other abiotic factors out of human control;**

Or. en

Amendment 571
Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change: **or**

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by **natural disasters or** climate change;

Amendment 572

Petri Sarvamaa, Paolo De Castro, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change: or

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly **or indirectly** caused by climate change: or

Or. en

Amendment 573

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **unavoidable** habitat transformations which are **directly** caused by climate change: or

Amendment

(b) habitat transformations **or other relevant circumstances** which are caused by climate change; or

Or. en

Amendment 574

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **unavoidable** habitat transformations which are **directly** caused by climate change: or

Amendment

(b) habitat transformations **or other circumstances** which are caused by climate change: or

Or. en

Amendment 575
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are **directly** caused by climate change: **or**

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are caused by climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 576
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a plan **or** project authorised in accordance with **Article 6(4)** of the Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment

(c) a plan, project **or multiple projects** authorised in accordance with **Articles 6(3) and 6(4)** of the Directive 92/43/EEC;

Or. en

Amendment 577
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a plan or **project** authorised in accordance with **Article 6(4)** of the Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment

(c) a plan or **projects** authorised in accordance with **Article 6(3) and (4)** of the Directive 92/43/EEC.

Or. en

Amendment 578

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) measures to maintain food security, including food production and affordability, and measures to maintain production of renewable resources;

Or. en

Amendment 579

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) essential socio-economic functions of the area, as well as measures aimed at ensuring food supply, security and productivity;

Or. en

Amendment 580

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) incompatibility with essential socio-economic functions of the area or of activities in the area, such as food supply;

Or. en

Amendment 581

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Paolo De Castro, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) necessary measures to ensure food security and food production;

Or. en

Amendment 582

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner, Irène Tolleret

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) measures to ensure food security;

Or. en

Amendment 583

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c b) pilot project(s) with derogations from the provisions of Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC.

Or. en

Amendment 584

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c b) action or inaction for which the Member State concerned is not responsible;

Or. en

Amendment 585

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 – point c c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c c) renewable energy production and energy storage in line with the national energy and climate plans, that are importance for securing the energy systems of a Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 586
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9 a. measures needed to achieve the objectives of conflicting environmental legislation.

Or. en

Amendment 587
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. Member States shall ensure that there is:

deleted

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition for habitat types listed in Annex I until at least 90 % is in good condition and until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached;

(b) an increasing trend towards the sufficient quality and quantity of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species referred to in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the species covered by Directive 2009/147/EC.

Or. en

Amendment 588

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. Member States shall *ensure that* there is:

10. Member States shall *aim that inside the Natura 2000 network* there is:

Or. en

Amendment 589

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition ***for habitat types listed in Annex I until at least 90 % is in good condition and until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached;***

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition ***based on the national restoration plan;***

Or. en

Amendment 590
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 10 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) an increase of habitat area in ***good condition*** for habitat types listed in Annex I ***until at least 90 % is in good condition and until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached;***

Amendment

(a) an increase of habitat area in ***favourable conservation status*** for habitat types listed in Annex I;

Or. en

Amendment 591
Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 10 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition for habitat types listed in Annex I until ***at least 90 % is in good condition and until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached;***

Amendment

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition for habitat types listed in Annex I until ***sufficient level*** is in good condition

Or. en

Amendment 592

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition for habitat types listed in Annex I until at least 90 % is in good condition and until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached;

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. de

Amendment 593

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition for habitat types listed in Annex I ***until at least 90 % is in good condition and*** until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached;

Amendment

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition for habitat types listed in Annex I until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached;

Or. en

Amendment 594

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition for habitat types listed in Annex I

Amendment

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition for habitat types listed in Annex I

until at least 90 % is in good condition
and until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached;

and until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached;

Or. en

Justification

Different developments in nature are not possible to measure in a linear way as many factors influence the state of nature.

Amendment 595

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) an increasing trend towards the sufficient quality and quantity of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats ***of the species referred to in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the species covered by Directive 2009/147/EC.***

Amendment

(b) an increasing trend towards the sufficient quality and quantity of the ***relevant*** terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats ***based on the national restoration plan.***

Or. en

Amendment 596

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) an increasing trend ***towards the sufficient quality and quantity*** of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species referred to in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the species covered by Directive 2009/147/EC.

Amendment

(b) an increasing trend of the terrestrial, coastal and freshwater habitats of the species referred to in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the species covered by Directive 2009/147/EC.

Or. en

Amendment 597

Maria Noichl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) an increased connectivity between existing and future protected areas and habitat types listed in Annex I;

Or. en

Amendment 598

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10 a. In the measures to be taken under this Article, Member States shall take into account economic, social and cultural requirements and regional and local particularities, in accordance with Article 2(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC.

Or. en

Amendment 599

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 10 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10 b. Member States shall ensure that the measures referred to in this Article respect food production and do not entail expropriation of land used for food production.

Amendment 600

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4 a

Restoration of productive and social functions of terrestrial, coastal and freshwater ecosystems

1. Member states shall, in accordance with the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, restore areas that, due to the loss of their natural conditions, have lost their production capacity, ability to produce important ecosystem services or ability to capture and store carbon dioxide.

2. Member states shall, in accordance with the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, restore areas that, due to the loss of their natural conditions, risk significantly worsening the effects of natural disasters such as floods, storms, fires and natural pests.

Or. en

Amendment 601

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place ***the*** restoration measures that are necessary to improve ***to*** good condition ***areas of*** habitat types listed in Annex ***II*** which are

1. Member States shall put in place ***appropriate and reasonable*** restoration measures ***inside the Natura 2000 network and other protected areas*** that are

not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the area **of each group** of habitat types listed in Annex **II** that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.

necessary to improve **towards** good condition habitat types listed in Annex **I** which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the **total** area of habitat types listed in Annex **I** that is not in good condition **and that situated inside the Natura 2000 network**, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050. **The restoration target set for a habitat group by 2030 can be achieved by a restoring respective share within another habitats group, when justified by the Member State and quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12.**

Or. en

Justification

Habitats differ between Member States and flexibility should be given for local level to adjust restoration actions for effective implementation, primary inside existing Natura 2000 areas and other protected areas. During the implementation social and economical assessment needs to be taken into account

Amendment 602 **Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 5 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to **good condition** areas of habitat types listed in Annex II which are not in good condition. **Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex II that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.**

Amendment

1. Member States **aim to** put in place **at Union level** the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to **favourable conservation status** areas of habitat types listed in Annex II which are not in good condition.

Amendment 603**Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen****Proposal for a regulation****Article 5 – paragraph 1***Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex II which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least **30 % of** the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex II that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, **by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.**

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex II which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be **put** in place on at least the area of each group of habitat types listed in Annex II that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12.

Or. en

Justification

As the current bird and habitats directive already requires Member States to meet a favourable status for habitats, this additional timeline is not required.

Amendment 604**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi****Proposal for a regulation****Article 5 – paragraph 1***Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition **areas** of habitat types listed in Annex II which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least **30 % of the area** of each **group** of habitat types listed in Annex II that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition of habitat types listed in Annex II which are **evaluated** not in good condition **based on the best available knowledge**. Such measures shall be in place on at least **20 %** of each **areas** of habitat types listed in Annex II that is not in good condition, as

referred to in Article 12, by 2030, **on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.**

quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030.

Or. en

Amendment 605

Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex II which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the **area of each group of habitat types** listed in Annex II that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.

Amendment

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to improve to good condition areas of habitat types listed in Annex II which are not in good condition. Such measures shall be in place on at least 30 % of the **overall areas** listed in Annex II that is not in good condition, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, on at least 60 % by 2040, and on at least 90 % by 2050.

Or. en

Amendment 606

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall put in place **the** restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex II in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of **each group of** habitat types, as quantified

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place **appropriate and reasonable** restoration measures **inside the Natura 2000 network** that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex II in areas not covered by those habitat types, **taking into account the social and economical assessment in the national restoration plan and provided that when possible**

in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.

competing interests of different species are taken into account. Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of habitat types ***listed in Annex II***, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050. ***The restoration target set for a habitat group by 2030 can be achieved by restoring a respective share within another habitats group, when justified by the Member State and quantified in its national restoration plan referred to in Article 12.***

Or. en

Amendment 607
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to ***re-establish*** the habitat types listed in Annex II in areas not covered by those habitat types. ***Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least 30 % of the additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.***

Amendment

2. Member States shall ***aim to*** put in place ***at Union level*** the restoration measures that are necessary to ***reach favourable conservation status of*** the habitat types listed in Annex II in areas not covered by those habitat types.

Or. en

Amendment 608
Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex II in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place **on** areas **representing at least 30 %** of the **additional overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.**

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex II in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place **in** areas **necessary to ensure fulfilment of the goals laid down in paragraph 1 of this Article.**

Or. en

Justification

As the definition of 'restoration' already includes requirements to contribute to the favourable reference area of habitat types, this paragraph can be simplified.

Amendment 609

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex II in areas not covered by those habitat types. Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least **30 %** of the **additional** overall surface needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types, as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12, **by 2030, at least 60 % of that surface by 2040, and 100 % of that surface by 2050.**

Amendment

2. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures that are necessary to re-establish the habitat types listed in Annex II in **estimated** areas not covered by those habitat types, **based on the best available knowledge.** Such measures shall be in place on areas representing at least **20 %** of the overall surface **evaluated as** needed to reach the total favourable reference area of each group of habitat types, **based on the best available knowledge,** as quantified in the national restoration plan referred to in Article 12.

Amendment 610

Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation**Article 5 – paragraph 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the marine habitats of species listed in Annex III and in Annexes II, **IV and V** to Directive 92/43/EEC and for the marine habitats of wild birds covered under Directive 2009/147/EC, that are necessary in order to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, **including by re-establishing them**, and to enhance connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

Amendment

3. Member States shall, **within Natura 2000 sites and other strictly protected areas**, put in place the restoration measures for the marine habitats of species listed in Annex III and in Annexes II Directive 92/43/EEC and for the marine habitats of wild birds covered under Directive 2009/147/EC, that are necessary in order to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, and to enhance connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

Or. en

Amendment 611

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation**Article 5 – paragraph 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures for the marine habitats of species listed in Annex III and in Annexes II, **IV and V** to Directive 92/43/EEC and for the marine habitats of wild birds covered under Directive 2009/147/EC, that are necessary in order to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, including by **re-establishing them, and to enhance** connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats is achieved.

Amendment

3. Member States shall **aim to** put in place **at Union level** the restoration measures for the marine habitats of species listed in Annex III and in Annexes II **and IV** to Directive 92/43/EEC and for the marine habitats of wild birds covered under Directive 2009/147/EC, that are necessary in order to improve the quality and quantity of those habitats, including by **enhancing** connectivity, until sufficient quality and quantity of those habitats **across the Union** is achieved.

Amendment 612
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex II, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance, including their typical species, referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3. ***Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex II are in unknown condition shall be considered as not being in good condition.***

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be based on the best available knowledge, ***practices*** and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex II, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance, including their typical species, referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3. ***In identifying the most suitable areas, socio-economic functions, spatial planning for current and future public interests, population density, and economic performance and productivity output of these areas are to be taken into account.***

Amendment 613
Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat

types listed in Annex II, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance, including their typical species, referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3. Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex II are in unknown condition shall be ***considered as not being in good condition.***

types listed in Annex II, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance, including their typical species, referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3. Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex II are in unknown condition shall be ***first evaluated so that the Member State concerned reports the missing data before considering the restoration measures to be implemented***

Or. en

Amendment 614

Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex II, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance, including their typical species, referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3. ***Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex II are in unknown condition shall be considered as not being in good condition.***

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex II, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance, including their typical species, referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3.

Or. en

Amendment 615

Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex II, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance, including their typical species, referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3. ***Areas where the habitat types listed in Annex II are in unknown condition shall be considered as not being in good condition.***

Amendment

4. The determination of the most suitable areas for restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be based on the best available knowledge and the latest scientific evidence of the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex II, measured by the structure and functions which are necessary for their long-term maintenance, including their typical species, referred to in Article 1(e) of Directive 92/43/EEC, and of the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3.

Or. en

Amendment 616
Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. When putting in place the restoration measures referred to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, Member States shall to the maximum extent possible address areas which are not needed or used for renewable energy generation, agriculture production or fishery, taking into account cost-effectiveness.

Or. en

Amendment 617
Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Member States shall ensure that the **areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex II until good condition is reached, and a continuous improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached. Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition has been reached and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached do not deteriorate.**

Amendment

6. Member States shall **aim to** ensure that the **the total area in** good condition **and the total amount of area with sufficient** quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 1, 2 and 3 **does not decrease over time.**

Or. en

Amendment 618
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex II until **good condition** is reached, **and a continuous** improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached. Member States shall ensure that areas in which **good condition** has been reached and in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached do not deteriorate.

Amendment

6. Member States shall **take measures with the aim to** ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 show a continuous improvement in the condition of the habitat types listed in Annex II until **favourable conservation status** is reached **at Union level, and an** improvement of the quality of the habitats of the species referred to in paragraph 3 until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached **at Union level**. Member States shall **take measures with the aim to** ensure that areas in which **favourable conservation status** has been reached and in which the sufficient quality of the

habitats of the species has been reached do not deteriorate ***significantly at Union level.***

Or. en

Amendment 619
Krzysztof Jurgiel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Member States shall ensure that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex II occur do not deteriorate. ***deleted***

Or. pl

Justification

Dotychczas, zgodnie z ideą zawartą w dyrektywie siedliskowej w obszarach Natura 2000, chronione były przedmioty ochrony, a nie wszystkie siedliska i gatunki występujące na tych obszarach. Proponowane rozporządzenie zakłada jednak, że p.cz. miałyby zagwarantować nie pogorszenie stanu wszystkich typów siedlisk wymienionych w załączniku I, bez względu na ich położenie – wewnątrz czy poza obszarami Natura 2000. Klauzula „niepogarszania” może mieć zatem istotny negatywny wpływ na inne sektory, takie jak rolnictwo, leśnictwo czy rozwój energetyki, na obszarach objętych rozporządzeniem.

Amendment 620
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Member States shall ensure that areas where the habitat types listed in Annex II occur do not deteriorate. ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 621

Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States shall ensure that *areas where the habitat types listed in Annex II occur do not deteriorate.*

Amendment

7. Member States shall *aim to* ensure that *obligations arising from Article 6 point 2 of Directive 92/43/EEC are fulfilled inside the Natura 2000 network.*

Or. en

Amendment 622

Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8**

Text proposed by the Commission

8. *Outside Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 6 and 7 is justified if caused by:*

(a) force majeure;

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change; or

(c) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined on a case by case basis.

deleted

Amendment

Or. en

Justification

While concentrate for the Natura network, there is no need for setting obligations outside that network

Amendment 623

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Outside Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in **paragraphs 6 and 7** is justified if caused by:

Amendment

8. Outside Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in **paragraph 6** is justified if caused by:

Or. en

Amendment 624

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **unavoidable** habitat transformations which are **directly** caused by climate change; or

Amendment

(b) habitat transformations **or other relevant circumstances** which are caused by climate change; or

Or. en

Amendment 625

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change; **or**

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly caused by climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 626

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are **directly** caused by climate change; **or**

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are caused by climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 627

Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined on a case by case basis.

Amendment

(c) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined on a case by case basis; **or**

Or. en

Amendment 628

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) **a project of** overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined **on a case by case basis**.

Amendment

(c) overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined **by the Member State**;

Or. en

Amendment 629

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) Member State or region with a very high population density in combination with scarcity of available space or a substantive expected net population growth.

Or. en

Amendment 630

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) action or inaction for which the Member State concerned is not responsible.

Or. en

Amendment 631

Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligation set out in paragraphs 6 and 7, is justified if caused by:

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligation set out in paragraphs **1, 2, 3, 6** and 7, is justified if caused by:

Or. en

Amendment 632

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligation set out in **paragraphs 6 and 7**, is justified if caused by:

Amendment

9. For Natura 2000 sites, the non-fulfilment of the obligation set out in **paragraph 6** is justified if caused by:

Or. en

Amendment 633
Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are **directly** caused by climate change: or

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are caused by **events such as** climate change or **nature disasters, storms, wildfires, pests, bugs or other abiotic factors out of human control**;

Or. en

Amendment 634
Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **unavoidable** habitat transformations which are **directly** caused by climate change: or

Amendment

(b) habitat transformations **or other relevant circumstances** which are caused by climate change; or

Or. en

Amendment 635
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are *directly* caused by climate change: *or*

Amendment

(b) unavoidable habitat transformations which are caused by climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 636
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a plan *or* project authorised in accordance with *Article* 6(4) of the Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment

(c) a plan, project *or multiple projects* authorised in accordance with *Articles* 6(3) *and* 6(4) of the Directive 92/43/EEC;

Or. en

Amendment 637
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) pilot project(s) with derogations from the provisions of Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC;

Or. en

Amendment 638
Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) measures to ensure food security;

Or. en

Amendment 639
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c b) essential socio-economic functions of the area, as well as measures aimed at ensuring food supply, security and productivity;

Or. en

Amendment 640
Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c b) action or inaction for which the Member State concerned is not responsible;

Or. en

Amendment 641
Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9 – point c c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c c) renewable energy production and energy storage in line with the national energy and climate plans, that are importance for securing the energy systems of a Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 642

Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. Member States shall ***ensure that*** there is:

10. Member States shall ***aim that inside the Natura 2000 network*** there is:

Or. en

Amendment 643

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. Member States shall ensure that there is:

10. Member States shall ***aim to*** ensure that there is:

Or. en

Amendment 644

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) an increase of habitat area in **good condition** for habitat types listed in Annex II **until at least 90 % is in good condition and until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached**;

(a) an increase of habitat area in **favourable conservation status** for habitat types listed in Annex II;

Or. en

Amendment 645

Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition **for habitat types listed in Annex II until at least 90 % is in good condition and until the favourable reference area for each habitat type in each biogeographic region of their territory is reached**;

Amendment

(a) an increase of habitat area in good condition **based on the national restoration plan**;

Or. en

Amendment 646

Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a positive trend towards the sufficient quality and quantity of the marine habitats **of the species listed in Annex III and in Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and of the species covered by Directive 2009/147/EC**.

Amendment

(b) a positive trend towards the sufficient quality and quantity of the **relevant** marine habitats **based on the national restoration plan**;

Or. en

Amendment 647

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that there is no net loss of urban green space, and of urban tree canopy cover by 2030, compared to 2021, in all ***cities and in towns and suburbs***.

Amendment

1. Member States shall ***in close co-operation*** ensure that there is no net loss of urban green space ***at national level***, and of urban tree canopy cover by 2030, compared to 2025, in all ***urban centres and urban clusters***.

Or. en

Amendment 648

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that there is no net loss of urban green space, ***and of urban tree canopy cover by 2030***, compared to 2021, ***in all cities and in towns and suburbs***.

Amendment

1. ***Duly cooperating with regional and local authorities***, Member States shall ***aim to*** ensure ***at national level*** that there is no net loss of urban green space ***in urban centres and urban clusters by 2035***, compared to 2021. ***After 2035 positive trend should be attained, where relevant, duly taking into account local conditions***.

Or. en

Amendment 649

Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ***ensure that there is no net loss of*** urban green space,

Amendment

1. Member States shall ***endeavour, in their town planning, to encourage*** urban

and of urban tree canopy cover by 2030, compared to 2021, ***in all cities and in towns and suburbs.***

green space, and of urban tree canopy cover by 2030, compared to 2021.

Or. en

Justification

Measures affecting town planning fall under Article 192(2)(b)

Amendment 650
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ***ensure that there is no net loss of*** urban green space, ***and of*** urban tree canopy cover by 2030, compared to 2021, ***in all cities and in towns and suburbs.***

Amendment

1. Member States shall ***take appropriate measures to enlarge*** urban green space ***and*** urban tree canopy cover ***in cities and towns*** by 2030 compared to 2021.

Or. en

Justification

The urban tree canopy on the territorial scale of a city, town or suburb, does not take into account the specific situation of cities, towns or suburbs. The total green space in relation to the built environment of urban area can differ greatly per city, town or suburb. Planning of green space (especially when viewed in connection with other urban planning needs) should be viewed on a regional level. The focus on tree canopies is too limited. What matters is a variety in the urban green space and the location.

Amendment 651
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. Member States shall ensure that there is an optimal area of urban green and blue space in cities and towns when spatially planning these areas to ensure

adequate climate adaptation, optimal biodiversity circumstances, renewable energy sources, sustainability, adequate housing (also for special needs groups and refugees), room for sustainable transportation, while keeping in mind that the quality of living space should remain of a high standard.

Or. en

Amendment 652
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 b. Member States shall aim to ensure that by 2040 there is sufficient urban green space, adequate quality of green and adequate internal connections of the urban green spaces and connections with the peri-urban green space to maintain and improve urban biodiversity.

Or. en

Amendment 653
Bert-Jan Ruissen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States shall ensure that there is an increase in the total national area of urban green space in cities and in towns and suburbs of at least 3 % of the total area of cities and of towns and suburbs in 2021, by 2040, and at least 5 % by 2050. In addition Member States shall ensure:

deleted

(a) a minimum of 10 % urban tree canopy cover in all cities and in towns and suburbs by 2050; and

(b) a net gain of urban green space that is integrated into existing and new buildings and infrastructure developments, including through renovations and renewals, in all cities and in towns and suburbs.

Or. en

Justification

Measures affecting town planning fall under Article 192(2)(b) TFEU

Amendment 654

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall ensure that there is an increase in the total national area of urban green space in cities and in towns and suburbs *of at least 3 % of the total area of cities and of towns and suburbs in 2021, by 2040, and at least 5 % by 2050*. In addition Member States shall ensure:

Amendment

2. Member States shall *aim to* ensure that there is an increase in the total national area of urban green space in cities and in towns and suburbs by 2040. In addition Member States shall *aim to* ensure:

Or. en

Amendment 655

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall ensure that there is an increase in the total national area of urban green space in *cities and in*

Amendment

2. Member States shall ensure that there is an increase in the total national area of urban green space in *urban centres*

towns and suburbs of at least 3 % of the total area of ***cities and of towns and suburbs in 2021***, by 2040, and at least 5 % by 2050. In addition Member States shall ***ensure***:

and urban clusters of at least 3 % of the total area of ***the urban centres and urban clusters in 2025***, by 2040, and at least 5 % by 2050, ***where urban green space falls below 50%***. In addition Member States shall ***aim to***:

Or. en

Amendment 656

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall ensure that there is an ***increase*** in the total national area of urban green space in ***cities and in towns and suburbs of at least 3 % of the total area of cities and of towns and suburbs in 2021, by 2040, and at least 5 % by 2050. In addition Member States shall ensure***:

Amendment

2. Member States shall ensure that there is an ***increasing trend*** in the total national area of urban green space in ***urban centres and urban clusters when relevant and defined in the national restoration plan***.

Or. en

Amendment 657

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) a minimum of 10 % urban tree canopy cover in all cities and in towns and suburbs by 2050; and

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 658

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) a minimum of 10 % urban tree canopy cover in all *cities and in towns and suburbs* by 2050; and

Amendment

(a) a minimum of 10 % urban tree canopy cover in all *urban centres and urban clusters* by 2050; and

Or. en

Amendment 659
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) *a minimum of 10 %* urban tree canopy cover in all cities and in towns and suburbs by 2050; and

Amendment

(a) *an increase of* urban tree canopy cover in all cities and in towns and suburbs by 2050; and

Or. en

Amendment 660
Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a net gain of urban green space that is integrated into existing and new buildings and infrastructure developments, including through renovations and renewals, in all *cities and in towns and suburbs*.

Amendment

(b) *Member State shall achieve* a net gain of urban green space that is integrated into existing *official* and new *official* buildings and infrastructure developments, including through renovations and renewals, in all *urban centres and urban clusters*.

Or. en

Amendment 661

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a net gain of urban green space that is integrated into existing and new buildings and infrastructure developments, including through renovations **and** renewals, in all cities and in towns and suburbs.

Amendment

(b) a net gain of urban green space that is integrated into existing and new buildings and infrastructure developments, including through renovations, renewals, **restauration and de-sealing** in all cities and in towns and suburbs.

Or. en

Amendment 662

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a net gain of urban green space that is integrated into existing and new buildings and infrastructure developments, including through renovations and renewals, in all **cities and in towns and suburbs**.

Amendment

(b) a net gain of urban green space that is integrated into existing and new buildings and infrastructure developments, including through renovations and renewals, in all **urban centres and urban clusters**;

Or. en

Amendment 663

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

() If the targets set out in paragraphs 2 and 3 are in contradiction with legally binding national land use plans, national

land use plans shall be prioritized.

Or. en

Justification

It is unclear if the Article 6 falls under the scope of detailed land use regulation. In addition to this, Member States have legally binding land use plans which are being implemented even up until 2040. These plans often concern projects of significant public interests which have to be carried out.

Amendment 664

Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. The non-fulfilment of the obligations set out in paragraphs 1 to 3 is justified if caused by

a) a project of overriding public interest for which no less damaging alternative solutions are available, to be determined on a case by case basis;

b) large-scale force majeure, including natural disasters.

Or. en