



**2022/0195(COD)**

10.2.2023

# **AMENDMENTS 665 - 1075**

**Draft opinion**  
**Anne Sander**  
(PE740.652v01-00)

Nature restoration

Proposal for a regulation  
(COM(2022)0304 – C9-0208/2022 – 2022/0195(COD))



## Amendment 665

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 7 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall make an inventory of barriers to ***longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters and identify the barriers that need to be removed to contribute to the achievement of the restoration targets set out in Article 4 of this Regulation and of the objective of restoring at least 25 000 km of rivers into free-flowing rivers in the Union by 2030, without prejudice to Directive 2000/60/EC, in particular Articles 4(3), 4(5) and 4(7) thereof, and Regulation 1315/2013, in particular Article 15 thereof.***

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall make an inventory of barriers to the achievement of ***good ecological potential and good surface water chemical status as defined in Directive 2000/60/EC;***

Or. en

*Justification*

*The Directive 2000/60/EC already gives an appropriate aim for the improvement of surface waters.*

## Amendment 666

Bert-Jan Ruissen

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 7 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States ***shall*** make an inventory of barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters and identify the barriers that need to be removed to contribute to the achievement of the restoration targets set out in Article 4 of this Regulation ***and of the objective of restoring at least 25 000 km of rivers into***

*Amendment*

1. Member States ***may*** make an inventory of barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters and identify the barriers that need to be removed to contribute to the achievement of the restoration targets set out in Article 4 of this Regulation, without prejudice to Directive 2000/60/EC, in particular

*free-flowing rivers in the Union by 2030*, without prejudice to Directive 2000/60/EC, in particular Articles 4(3), 4(5) and 4(7) thereof, and Regulation 1315/2013, in particular Article 15 thereof.

Articles 4(3), 4(5) and 4(7) thereof, and Regulation 1315/2013, in particular Article 15 thereof.

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*Measures affecting quantitative water management fall under Article 192(2)(b) TFEU*

#### **Amendment 667** **Krzysztof Jurgiel**

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Article 7 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall make an inventory of barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters and identify the barriers that need to be removed to contribute to the achievement of the restoration targets set out in Article 4 of this Regulation and of the objective of restoring at least 25 000 km of rivers into free-flowing rivers in the Union by 2030, without prejudice to Directive 2000/60/EC, in particular Articles 4(3), 4(5) and 4(7) thereof, and Regulation 1315/2013, in particular Article 15 thereof.

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall make an inventory of barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters and, ***taking into account the socio-economic functions of surface waters***, identify the barriers that need to be removed to contribute to the achievement of the restoration targets set out in Article 4 of this Regulation and of the objective of restoring at least 25 000 km of rivers into free-flowing rivers in the Union by 2030, without prejudice to Directive 2000/60/EC, in particular Articles 4(3), 4(5) and 4(7) thereof, and Regulation 1315/2013, in particular Article 15 thereof.

Or. pl

#### **Amendment 668** **Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Article 7 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall make an inventory of barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters and identify the barriers that need to be removed to contribute to the achievement of the restoration targets set out in Article 4 of this Regulation and of the objective of restoring at least 25 000 km of rivers into free-flowing rivers in the Union by 2030, without prejudice to Directive 2000/60/EC, in particular Articles 4(3), 4(5) and 4(7) thereof, and Regulation 1315/2013, in particular Article 15 thereof.

1. Member States shall make an inventory of barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters and identify the barriers **already removed and those** that need to be removed to contribute to the achievement of the restoration targets set out in Article 4 of this Regulation and of the objective of restoring at least 25 000 km of rivers into free-flowing rivers in the Union by 2030, without prejudice to Directive 2000/60/EC, in particular Articles 4(3), 4(5) and 4(7) thereof, and Regulation 1315/2013, in particular Article 15 thereof.

Or. en

## Amendment 669 Jérémy Decerle

### Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 2

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters **identified** under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply or other uses.

#### *Amendment*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters **based on the inventory** under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply, **flood protection** or other uses. ***If it turns out that the removal of some barriers identified under paragraph 1 is not possible due to socio-economic, legal or technical factors that could not be anticipated, Member States shall provide justification during the reporting in accordance with Article 18(2), and implement mitigation measures on the barriers that cannot be removed.***

Or. en

## Amendment 670

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 7 – paragraph 2

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall **primarily** address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply or other uses.

##### *Amendment*

2. Member States shall remove **or when relevant apply alternative methods with the same effect** the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation **or of importance for fulfilling the national energy and climate plans and securing the energy system of a Member State**, inland navigation, **food production**, water supply or other uses.

Or. en

## Amendment 671

Petri Sarvamaa, Paolo De Castro, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 7 – paragraph 2

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers **to** longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply or other uses.

##### *Amendment*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers **or use other methods that have the same impact on** longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation,

inland navigation, water supply or other uses.

Or. en

## **Amendment 672**

**Colm Markey**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 7 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply or other uses.

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply, ***flood protection*** or other uses.

Or. en

## **Amendment 673**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 7 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the ***plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f)***. When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers,

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the ***Directive 2000/60/EC***. When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed

which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply or other uses.

for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply or other uses.

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The Directive 2000/60/EC already gives an appropriate aim for the improvement of surface waters.*

#### **Amendment 674** **Bert-Jan Ruissen**

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Article 7 – paragraph 2**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States **shall** remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall **primarily** address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply or other uses.

##### *Amendment*

2. Member States **may** remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, water supply, **flood protection** or other uses.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 675** **Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Article 7 – paragraph 2**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal

##### *Amendment*

2. Member States shall remove the barriers to longitudinal and lateral connectivity of surface waters identified under paragraph 1 of this Article, in accordance with the plan for their removal



referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation, **water supply** or other uses.

referred to in Article 12(2), point (f). When removing barriers, Member States shall primarily address obsolete barriers, which are those that are no longer needed for renewable energy generation, inland navigation or other uses.

Or. en

**Amendment 676**  
**Colm Markey**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States **shall complement** the removal of the barriers referred to in paragraph 2 by the measures necessary to improve the natural functions of the related floodplains.

*Amendment*

3. Member States **may support** the removal of the barriers referred to in paragraph 2 by the measures necessary to improve the natural functions of the related floodplains.

Or. en

**Amendment 677**  
**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States **shall complement** the removal of the barriers referred to in paragraph 2 by the measures necessary to improve the natural functions of the related floodplains.

*Amendment*

3. Member States **may support** the removal of the barriers referred to in paragraph 2 by the measures necessary to improve the natural functions of the related floodplains.

Or. en

**Amendment 678**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States **shall** complement the removal of the barriers referred to in paragraph 2 by the measures **necessary** to improve the natural functions of the related floodplains.

*Amendment*

3. Member States **may** complement the removal of the barriers referred to in paragraph 2 by the measures to improve the natural functions of the related floodplains.

Or. en

**Amendment 679**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 8 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall **reverse** the decline of pollinator populations by 2030 **and achieve thereafter an increasing trend of** pollinator populations, **measured every three years after 2030, until satisfactory levels are achieved, as set out** in accordance with **Article 11(3)**.

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall **put measures in place to halt** the decline of pollinator populations by 2030, **in order to maintain the role played by pollination in the ecosystem. These measures must be sustainable and put in place taking into account their impact on agricultural production and the changes brought about by climate change on** pollinator populations. **The levels shall be raised regularly** in accordance with **the national restoration plan**.

Or. en

**Amendment 680**  
**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 8 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall **reverse** the decline of pollinator populations by 2030 and achieve thereafter an increasing trend of pollinator populations, measured every three years after 2030, until satisfactory levels are achieved, as set out in accordance with Article 11(3).

1. Member States shall **put in place measures aiming at reversing** the decline of pollinator populations by 2030 and achieve thereafter an increasing trend of pollinator populations, measured every three years after 2030, until satisfactory levels are achieved, as set out in accordance with Article 11(3).

Or. en

**Amendment 681**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 8 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall **reverse the decline of pollinator populations by 2030 and achieve thereafter an increasing trend of pollinator populations, measured every three years after 2030, until satisfactory levels are achieved, as set out in accordance with Article 11(3).**

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall **put in place appropriate support measures for promoting pollinators in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1) and (3), taking into account impacts on agricultural production and factors such as climate change.**

Or. en

**Amendment 682**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 8 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall **reverse** the decline of pollinator populations by 2030 and achieve thereafter an increasing trend of pollinator populations, measured every three years after 2030, until satisfactory levels are achieved, as set out in accordance with Article 11(3).

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall **adopt long-term measures to reduce** the decline in pollinator populations by 2035. **The measures put in place shall take into account their effect on agricultural production, while the levels of such measures shall be flexible, in line with the national restoration plan.**

**Amendment 683**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 8 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. The Commission shall adopt **implementing** acts to establish **a method** for monitoring pollinator populations. Those **implementing** acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article **21(2)**.

*Amendment*

2. The Commission shall adopt **delegated** acts to establish **science-based methods** for monitoring pollinator populations **and for identifying current baselines and appropriate targets** . Those **delegated** acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article **20**.

Or. en

**Amendment 684**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 8 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. The Commission **shall** adopt **implementing acts to establish a method** for monitoring pollinator populations. **Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21(2)**.

*Amendment*

2. The Commission **is empowered to** adopt **delegated acts in accordance with Article 20 to develop practical and cost-effective methods** for monitoring pollinator populations.

Or. en

**Amendment 685**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

## Article 8 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The method referred to in the paragraph 2 shall provide a standardised approach for collecting annual data on the abundance and diversity of pollinator species and for assessing pollinator population trends.

*Amendment*

3. The method referred to in the paragraph 2 shall provide ***guidance to Member States for the purpose of defining satisfactory levels pursuant to article 11.3 and*** a standardised approach for collecting annual data on the abundance and diversity of pollinator species and for assessing pollinator population trends ***and the effectiveness of restoration measures adopted by Member States in accordance with paragraph 1.***

Or. en

### Amendment 686

**Maria Noichl**

#### Proposal for a regulation

##### Article 8 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The method referred to in the paragraph 2 shall provide a standardised approach for collecting annual data on the abundance and diversity of pollinator species and for assessing pollinator population trends.

*Amendment*

3. The method referred to in the paragraph 2 shall provide a standardised approach for collecting annual data on the abundance and diversity of pollinator species and for assessing pollinator population trends ***as well as the definition on satisfactory levels for each Member State.***

Or. en

### Amendment 687

**Daniel Buda**

#### Proposal for a regulation

##### Article 8 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The method referred to in ***the***

*Amendment*

3. The method referred to in

paragraph 2 shall provide a standardised approach for collecting annual data on the abundance and diversity of pollinator species and for assessing *pollinator population* trends.

paragraph 2 shall provide a standardised approach for collecting annual data on the abundance and diversity of pollinator species and for assessing *area* trends *in respect of food resources*.

Or. ro

**Amendment 688**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 8 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The method referred to in the paragraph 2 shall provide a standardised approach for collecting annual data on the abundance *and* diversity of pollinator species *and for assessing pollinator population trends*.

*Amendment*

3. The method referred to in the paragraph 2 shall provide a standardised approach for collecting annual data on the abundance, diversity, *evolution, food resources and habitats* of pollinator species.

Or. en

**Amendment 689**  
**Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place *the* restoration measures *necessary* to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall, *with regard to taking into account social and economic considerations*, put in place restoration measures *appropriate and reasonable* to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3), *while taking into account climate change and ensuring economically viable agricultural production*.

Or. en

## *Justification*

*We believe that instead of the proposed new indicators, they should be based on existing indicators, such as those from the CAP. Factors such as grassland butterflies, but also the farmland bird index, are dangerous and inappropriate, because they can hardly, if at all, be influenced by the farmer. Factors such as climate change play a role in the development of the values of these indicators. We believe that grassland butterflies can also be classified under Article 8 (pollinator populations), as these butterflies also belong to the pollinator group. Such redundancies should be avoided. Simplification is key for farmers throughout the European Union. We therefore propose to delete this indicator. Requirements for carbon storage or sink are also already included in the LULUCF Regulation, where climate aspects of land use, land use change and forestry requirements are regulated.*

### **Amendment 690**

**Martin Hlaváček, Irène Tolleret, Jérémy Decerle**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place **the** restoration measures **necessary** to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall, **with regard to taking into account social and economic considerations**, put in place restoration measures **appropriate and reasonable** to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3), **while taking into account climate change and ensuring economically viable agricultural production**.

Or. en

### **Amendment 691**

**Irène Tolleret**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in **coherence with the**

subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

***objectives and provisions of the Common Agriculture Policy, and, in particular, the Regulation EU 2021/2115 on Strategic Plans, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).***

Or. en

## **Amendment 692**

**Daniel Buda**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place ***the*** restoration measures ***necessary to enhance*** biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place ***sufficient, proportional and appropriate*** restoration measures, ***taking into account, in particular, the economic and food needs of populations, to restore*** biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

Or. ro

## **Amendment 693**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place ***the*** restoration measures ***necessary to enhance*** biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, ***in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).***

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall ***aim to*** put in place restoration measures ***at Union level, taking into account the socio-economic functions of the area, as well as the economic performance and productivity output of the agricultural land use and food needs of the population, and shall*** enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems.



**Amendment 694**

**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures ***necessary to enhance*** biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place the ***necessary*** restoration measures, ***taking into account the socioeconomic and food needs of the rural areas, to improve*** biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

Or. es

**Amendment 695**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place ***the restoration*** measures necessary to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place ***appropriate support*** measures necessary to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3), ***taking into account social and economic requirements and impacts on agricultural production.***

Or. en

**Amendment 696**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall, ***taking into account social and economic requirements***, put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

Or. en

**Amendment 697**  
**Tom Vandenkendelaere**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3), ***taking into account the specificity of urbanised areas***.

Or. en

**Amendment 698**  
**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to ***enhance*** biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place the ***effective and area-based*** restoration measures necessary to ***to achieve good condition of*** biodiversity in agricultural

subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

Or. en

#### **Amendment 699**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States **shall** put in place the restoration measures necessary to **enhance** biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States **should** put in place the restoration measures necessary to **monitor** biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3), **in accordance with the following paragraphs.**

Or. de

##### *Justification*

*Clarification in the interests of legal certainty that the list of additional restoration measures set out is exhaustive and only introduces a target provision.*

#### **Amendment 700**

**Elsi Katainen, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity **in agricultural ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures under Article 4(1), (2) and (3).**

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity **while taking into account the effects on economically viable food production and food security.**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Restoration measures cannot lead for decreasing land in the food production nor decreasing the viability of agriculture production.*

**Amendment 701**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level of each of the following indicators in agricultural ecosystems, as further specified in Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:**

**deleted**

**(a) grassland butterfly index;**

**(b) stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils;**

**(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.**

Or. ro

**Amendment 702**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level of each of the following indicators in agricultural ecosystems, as further specified in Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until**

**deleted**

**31 December 2030, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:**

- (a) grassland butterfly index;**
- (b) stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils;**
- (c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.**

Or. en

**Amendment 703  
Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 9 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level of each of the following indicators in agricultural ecosystems, as further specified in Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:**

*deleted*

- (a) grassland butterfly index;**
- (b) stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils;**
- (c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Measures affecting land use fall under Article 192(2)(b) TFEU*

**Amendment 704  
Krzysztof Jurgiel**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall **achieve an increasing trend** at national level of each of the following indicators in agricultural ecosystems, as further specified in Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every **three** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall **put in place the restoration measures necessary to attain a stable or** increasing trend at national level of each of the following indicators in agricultural ecosystems, as further specified in Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every **five years thereafter for the share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features and the common farmland bird index, and every ten** years thereafter **for the stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils and peatlands for rewetting**, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

Or. pl

**Amendment 705**  
**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall **achieve an increasing trend** at national level **of each of the following** indicators in agricultural ecosystems, **as further specified in Annex IV, measured in** the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every **three** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall **monitor** at national level **the biodiversity** indicators in agricultural ecosystems **that are relevant for the application of this Regulation, set out in Annexes I and III to Regulation (EU) 2115/2021<sup>1a</sup> and defined by each Member State in its national restoration plan, during** the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every **five** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

---

*<sup>1a</sup> Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy, OJ L 435, 6.12.2021.*

Or. es

**Amendment 706**  
**Martin Hlaváček**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall achieve ***an increasing trend*** at national level of ***each of the following*** indicators in agricultural ecosystems, ***as further specified*** in Annex IV, measured in the ***period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:***

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall achieve ***the monitoring*** at national level of ***the biodiversity*** indicators in agricultural ecosystems, ***set out*** in Annex 1 to ***Regulation 2021/2115, defined by the Member States*** in the ***National Restoration Plan***.

Or. en

**Amendment 707**  
**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level of ***each of the following*** indicators in agricultural ecosystems, ***as further specified in*** Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every ***three*** years

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall ***aim to*** achieve an increasing trend at national level of ***the*** indicators in agricultural ecosystems, ***for the relevant Common Agricultural Policy Indicators from the Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 Annex III, defined by Member States in the National Restoration Plan,***

thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every **five** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*Indicators for good agricultural and environmental actions are set under the Common agricultural policy. Due that additional indicators and obligations should not be set in this regulation.*

### **Amendment 708** **Ivan David**

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall **achieve** an increasing trend at national level of each of the following indicators in agricultural ecosystems, as further specified in Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every **three** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

##### *Amendment*

2. Member States shall **strive for** an increasing trend at national level of each of the following indicators in agricultural ecosystems, as further specified in Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every **five** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*We believe that instead of the proposed new indicators, they should be based on existing indicators, such as those from the CAP. Factors such as grassland butterflies, but also the farmland bird index, are dangerous and inappropriate, because they can hardly, if at all, be influenced by the farmer. Factors such as climate change play a role in the development of the values of these indicators. We believe that grassland butterflies can also be classified under Article 8 (pollinator populations), as these butterflies also belong to the pollinator group. Such redundancies should be avoided. Simplification is key for farmers throughout the European Union. We therefore propose to delete this indicator. Requirements for carbon storage or sink are also already included in the LULUCF Regulation, where climate aspects of land use, land use change and forestry requirements are regulated.*



**Amendment 709**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level of each of the following indicators in agricultural ecosystems, as further specified in Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December **2030**, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level of each of the following indicators in agricultural ecosystems, as further specified in Annex IV, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December **2035**, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels, identified in accordance with Article 11(3), are reached:

Or. en

**Amendment 710**

**Krzysztof Jurgiel**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) **grassland butterfly index;**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

Or. pl

**Amendment 711**

**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) **grassland butterfly index;**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

Or. es

**Amendment 712**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi, Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) grassland butterfly index; deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 713**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) grassland butterfly index; deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 714**

**Martin Hlaváček, Elsi Katainen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) grassland butterfly index; deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 715**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(a a) length of rivers and streams in agricultural landscapes accompanied by woody riparian vegetation;***

Or. en

*Justification*

*Establishment of woody riparian (relating to /situated on the banks of a river) vegetation is a cost-effective measure for enhancing freshwater and biodiversity ecosystem services.*

**Amendment 716**

**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(b) stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils;*** ***deleted***

Or. es

**Amendment 717**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi, Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(b) stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils;*** ***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 718**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 719**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 720**

**Martin Hlaváček, Elsi Katainen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 721**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) stock of organic carbon in cropland mineral soils;** **(b) quality and quantity of organic carbon in mineral soils of arable land,**

*permanent grassland and permanent cropland;*

Or. en

*Justification*

*The carbon in soil indicator should include elements of both quality and quantity, and the use of the three categories 'arable land', 'permanent grassland' and 'permanent cropland' is more precise and better defined than 'cropland'.*

**Amendment 722**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b a) soil biodiversity;**

Or. en

**Amendment 723**

**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.**

*deleted*

Or. es

**Amendment 724**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 725**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 726**

**Martin Hlaváček, Elsi Katainen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 727**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.* *deleted*

Or. en

## Amendment 728

Ivan David

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) *share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.*

*deleted*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*We believe that instead of the proposed new indicators, they should be based on existing indicators, such as those from the CAP. Factors such as grassland butterflies, but also the farmland bird index, are dangerous and inappropriate, because they can hardly, if at all, be influenced by the farmer. Factors such as climate change play a role in the development of the values of these indicators. We believe that grassland butterflies can also be classified under Article 8 (pollinator populations), as these butterflies also belong to the pollinator group. Such redundancies should be avoided. Simplification is key for farmers throughout the European Union. We therefore propose to delete this indicator. Requirements for carbon storage or sink are also already included in the LULUCF Regulation, where climate aspects of land use, land use change and forestry requirements are regulated.*

## Amendment 729

Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) *share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.*

(c) *share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features, **including hedgerows, dry-stone or earth wall features, planted areas, permanent grazing, individual monumental trees, trees in line or in group, ditches, terraces, ponds, field margins or contiguous organic farmland.***

Or. en

**Amendment 730**

**Maria Noichl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.

*Amendment*

(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features, ***with the view to achieve the 2030 objective of covering at least 10 % of the Union's agricultural area with high-diversity landscape features.***

Or. en

**Amendment 731**

**Dan-Ştefan Motreanu**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.

*Amendment*

(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features, ***including hedgerows, dry-stone wall features, individual monumental trees, ditches, terraces, ponds or field margins.***

Or. en

**Amendment 732**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features.

*Amendment*

(c) share of agricultural land with high-diversity landscape features ***according to the CAP Strategic plan.***



**Amendment 733**

**Luke Ming Flanagan**

on behalf of the The Left Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) share of agricultural land with **high-diversity** landscape features.

(c) share of agricultural land with landscape features.

Or. en

*Justification*

*The phrase “hi diversity” does not have a definition. What is fully described in CAP is the term “landscape features”, and member states have defined in detail what they mean in the context of GAEC 8 and these features exclude the use of fertilizer and plant protection products. Leaving the term “Hi Diversity” in the text will only cause confusion.*

**Amendment 734**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Daniela Rondinelli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2 a. Member States shall put in place restoration measures to ensure that at least 7% of the arable land at national level is devoted to high-diversity landscape features or non productive areas or features, including land lying fallow.***

Or. en

**Amendment 735**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

## Article 9 – paragraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2 a.** *Member States shall put in place and maintain high-diversity landscape features on at least 10% of their agricultural area by 2030 and ensure connectivity among habitats according to Article 10a.*

Or. en

### **Amendment 736**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Proposal for a regulation**

## **Article 9 – paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2 b.** *The indicator on the quality and quantity of stock of organic carbon in mineral soils of arable land, permanent grassland and permanent cropland in Annex IV shall be supplemented by indicator(s) measuring inter alia carbon sequestration, inclusion of all carbon reservoirs, changes in their condition and stability and disaggregated flows. A maximum optimal limit for organic carbon in mineral soils of arable land, permanent grassland and permanent cropland shall also be identified. The Commission shall adopt implementing acts to establish suitable quality parameters for this indicator and appropriate monitoring methods in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21(2).*

Or. en

### *Justification*

*The indicator in Annex IV should be supplemented with other aspects of the carbon quality and quantity (see for example, here:*

*<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969720378724>). According to an EEA report ( <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/soil-monitoring-in-europe>), upper limit values for Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) also need to be considered, as excess SOC content introduces the risk of nitrate loss or greenhouse gas emissions, especially during winter.*

**Amendment 737**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2 c. Member States shall ensure that the areas that are subject to restoration measures in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 2a show continuous improvement until the sufficient quality of those habitats is reached. Member States shall ensure that areas in which good condition of biodiversity has been reached and in which high-diversity landscape features have been put in place, do not deteriorate, through the adoption of appropriate and effective measures which correspond to the ecological requirements of those areas and habitats***

Or. en

**Amendment 738**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 2 d (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2 d. The Commission shall adopt implementing acts to establish an indicator and monitoring method for soil biodiversity in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21(2).***

**Amendment 739**  
**Krzysztof Jurgiel**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

3. *Member States shall put in place restoration measures to ensure that the common farmland bird index at national level based on the species specified in Annex V, indexed on ... [OP please insert the date = the first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] = 100, reaches the following levels:*
- (a) 110 by 2030, 120 by 2040 and 130 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;*
- (b) 105 by 2030, 110 by 2040 and 115 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex IV with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.*

*deleted*

Or. pl

**Amendment 740**  
**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

3. Member States shall put in place restoration measures to **ensure that** the common farmland bird index at national level based on the species specified in Annex V, **indexed on ... [OP please insert the date = the first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] = 100,**

3. Member States shall put in place restoration measures to **achieve a positive trend in** the common farmland bird index at national level based on the species specified in Annex V

*reaches the following levels:*

Or. en

*Justification*

*To give flexibility to Member State, increasing trend should be ensured instead of index. Member States cannot be responsible for the loss of birds when the loss is happening during the migration journey, more specific outside of the EU.*

**Amendment 741**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall put in place **restoration** measures to ensure that the common farmland **bird index** at national level based on the species specified in Annex V, **indexed on ... [OP please insert the date = the first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] = 100, reaches the following levels:**

*Amendment*

3. Member States shall put in place **appropriate support** measures **with the aim** to ensure that the **abundance of** common farmland **birds increases** at national level based on the species specified in Annex V

Or. en

**Amendment 742**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall put in place restoration measures to ensure that the common farmland bird index at national level based on the species specified in Annex V, indexed on ... [OP please insert the date = the first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] = 100,

*Amendment*

3. Member States shall **aim to** put in place restoration measures **at Union level** to ensure that the common farmland bird index at national level based on the species specified in Annex V, indexed on ... [OP please insert the date = the first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] =

reaches the following levels:

100, reaches the following levels:

Or. en

#### **Amendment 743**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) 110 by 2030, 120 by 2040 and 130 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;** *deleted*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 744**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) 110 by 2030, 120 by 2040 and 130 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;** *deleted*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*Different developments in nature are not possible to measure in a linear way as many factors influence the state of nature.*

#### **Amendment 745**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) 110 by 2030, 120 by 2040 and 130 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 746**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) 110 by 2030, 120 by 2040 and 130 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 747**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi, Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) 110 by 2030, 120 by 2040 and 130 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;**

**(a) 110 by 2035, 120 by 2045 and 130 by 2055, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;**

Or. en

**Amendment 748**

**Martin Hlaváček, Irène Tolleret, Jérémy Decerle**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) 110 by **2030**, 120 by **2040** and 130 by **2050**, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;

*Amendment*

(a) 110 by **2035**, 120 by **2045** and 130 by **2055**, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;

Or. en

**Amendment 749**  
**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) 110 by **2030**, 120 by **2040** and 130 by **2050**, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;

*Amendment*

(a) 110 by **2035**, 120 by **2045** and 130 by **2055**, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;

Or. es

**Amendment 750**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) 110 by **2030**, 120 by **2040** and 130 by **2050**, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;

*Amendment*

(a) 110 by **2035**, 120 by **2045** and 130 by **2055**, for Member States listed in Annex V with historically more depleted populations of farmland birds;

Or. ro

**Amendment 751**



**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) 105 by 2030, 110 by 2040 and 115 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex IV with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 752  
Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) 105 by 2030, 110 by 2040 and 115 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex IV with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 753  
Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) 105 by 2030, 110 by 2040 and 115 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex IV with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.** *deleted*

Or. en

*Justification*

*Different developments in nature are not possible to measure in a linear way as many factors influence the state of nature.*

**Amendment 754**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) 105 by 2030, 110 by 2040 and 115 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex IV with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 755**

**Martin Hlaváček, Irène Tolleret, Jérémy Decerle**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) 105 by 2030, 110 by 2040 and 115 by 2050, for Member States listed in Annex IV with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.**

**(b) 105 by 2035, 110 by 2045 and 115 by 2055, for Member States listed in Annex IV with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.**

Or. en

**Amendment 756**

**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) 105 by 2030, 110 by 2040 and 115 by 2050, for Member States listed in**

**(b) 105 by 2035, 110 by 2045 and 115 by 2055, for Member States listed in**

Annex *IV* with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.

Annex *V* with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.

Or. es

#### **Amendment 757**

**Ivan David**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) 105 by **2030**, 110 by **2040** and 115 by **2050**, for Member States listed in **Annex IV** with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.

*Amendment*

(b) 105 by **2035**, 110 by **2045** and 115 by **2055**, for Member States listed in **Annex IV** with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*We believe that instead of the proposed new indicators, they should be based on existing indicators, such as those from the CAP. Factors such as grassland butterflies, but also the farmland bird index, are dangerous and inappropriate, because they can hardly, if at all, be influenced by the farmer. Factors such as climate change play a role in the development of the values of these indicators. We believe that grassland butterflies can also be classified under Article 8 (pollinator populations), as these butterflies also belong to the pollinator group. Such redundancies should be avoided. Simplification is key for farmers throughout the European Union. We therefore propose to delete this indicator. Requirements for carbon storage or sink are also already included in the LULUCF Regulation, where climate aspects of land use, land use change and forestry requirements are regulated.*

#### **Amendment 758**

**Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) 105 by **2030**, 110 by **2040** and 115 by **2050**, for Member States listed in Annex **IV** with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.

*Amendment*

(b) 105 by **2035**, 110 by **2045** and 115 by **2055**, for Member States listed in Annex **V** with historically less depleted populations of farmland birds.

**Amendment 759**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**3 a. If the obligations of this paragraph cannot be reached due to action or inaction for which the Member State concerned is not responsible, Member States shall provide an explanation, as part of reporting in accordance with Article 18(2), point (a)**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Member States cannot be responsible for the loss of birds when the loss is happening during the migration journey, more specific outside of the EU.*

**Amendment 760**

**Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**3 a. Member States shall include the indicators referred to in para 2. in the review of their National restoration plans from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every [5] years thereafter.**

Or. en

**Amendment 761**

**Krzysztof Jurgiel**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**4. For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:** *deleted*

**(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;**

**(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;**

**(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.**

**Member States may put in place restoration measures, including rewetting, in areas of peat extraction sites and count those areas as contributing to achieving the respective targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).**

**In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, up to a maximum of 20%, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).**

Or. pl

**Amendment 762**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**4. For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place** *deleted*

*restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:*

*(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

*(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;*

*(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.*

*Member States may put in place restoration measures, including rewetting, in areas of peat extraction sites and count those areas as contributing to achieving the respective targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).*

*In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, up to a maximum of 20%, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*Legally binding measures affecting land use fall under Article 192(2)(b) TFEU*

**Amendment 763**  
**Krzysztof Jurgiel**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:*

*deleted*

*(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which*

*at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

*(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;*

*(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.*

Or. pl

**Amendment 764**  
**Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:*

*deleted*

*(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

*(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;*

*(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.*

Or. en

**Amendment 765**  
**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:*

*deleted*

*(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

*(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;*

*(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 766**

**Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Elsi Katainen**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:*

*deleted*

*(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

*(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;*

*(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 767**

**Luke Ming Flanagan, Chris MacManus**

on behalf of the The Left Group

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. *Those* measures shall be in place

For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures; ***In order for these measures to***



on at least:

*achieve the desired outcomes they shall be stress tested and evaluated for their applicability and suitability in advance, through EIP pilot projects and impact assessments so as negative outcomes can be avoided; After demonstrating their suitability in advance these measures shall be in place on at least:*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*Restoration and change of use of organic soils in agricultural may help achieve significant biodiversity benefits if implemented correctly, however it can have a negative effect on biodiversity is not managed correctly through the destruction of existing habitats of ground nesting birds and small mammals; In addition the increased emissions of CH4 from rewetted peatland may negate partially or totally the reduction of CO2;*

#### **Amendment 768**

**Anja Hazekamp**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

For organic soils *in agricultural use* constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:

##### *Amendment*

For *non-urban* organic soils constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place *rewetting and if necessary additional* restoration measures, *and shall ensure appropriate monitoring of the effectiveness of the measures in accordance with Article 17*. Those measures shall be in place on at least:

Or. en

#### **Amendment 769**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

For organic soils **in agricultural** use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:

For organic soils **under any non-residential land** use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place **rewetting, and where necessary additional** restoration measures, **and monitor their success under Article 17**. Those measures shall be in place on at least:

Or. en

*Justification*

*The scope of this target should be expanded to all non-residential land use on drained peatland. The focus on drained peatland under agricultural use prioritises the GHG emission hotspots, but largely neglects other land use types such as drained peatlands used for forestry, which urgently need to be rewetted as well. Extending the scope of this measure is key to meet the ambition required by the Paris agreement. Additionally, drained peatlands on forested land are extremely fire prone.*

**Amendment 770**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:

For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures, **while ensuring synergies with agricultural production**. Those measures shall be in place on at least:

Or. en

*Justification*

*Restoration measures cannot lead for decreasing land in the food production. Member States should have biggest possible flexibility to laid down restoration measures.*

**Amendment 771**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

For organic soils ***in agricultural use*** constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. ***Those measures shall be in place on at least:***

For organic soils constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures.

Or. en

**Amendment 772**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. ***Those measures shall be in place on at least:***

For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures, ***which shall apply to approximately one third of their surface area by 2050.***

Or. ro

**Amendment 773**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

For organic soils ***in agricultural use*** constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. Those measures shall be in place on at least:

For organic soils constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures, ***including rewetting.*** Those measures shall be in place on at least:

Or. en

**Amendment 774**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall put in place restoration measures. *Those measures shall be in place on at least:*

For organic soils in agricultural use constituting drained peatlands, Member States shall *aim to* put in place restoration measures *at Union level*.

Or. en

**Amendment 775**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

*deleted*

Or. ro

**Amendment 776**  
**Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 777**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 778**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;** **deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*The restoration and management of peatlands storing carbon needs time and cooperation with land managers and landowners. In this given timetable many countries cannot achieve the restoration of used peatlands in a feasible way.*

**Amendment 779**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;** **deleted**

Or. en

### *Justification*

*The restoration and management of peatlands stores carbon needs time and cooperation with land managers and landowners. In this given timetable many countries cannot achieve the restoration of peatlands in a cooperative way.*

#### **Amendment 780**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, *of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 781**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, *of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 782**

**Luke Ming Flanagan, Chris MacManus**  
on behalf of the The Left Group

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be *rewetted;*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a quarter shall be

*Paludiculture systems;*

Or. en

*Justification*

*Paludiculture, or farming on rewetted peat, is a system of agriculture for the profitable production of wetland crops. Paludiculture offers a potential solution for maintaining the profitable use of lowland peatland whilst significantly reducing the greenhouse gas emissions associated with their current agricultural use.*

**Amendment 783**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a **quarter** shall be rewetted;

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, of which at least a **sixth** shall be rewetted;

Or. de

*Justification*

*More time is needed in order to achieve what are ambitious targets.*

**Amendment 784**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) 30 % of such areas **by 2030, of which at least a quarter** shall be rewetted;

(a) 30 % of such areas shall be rewetted **by 2030** ;

Or. en

**Amendment 785**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, *of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

(a) 20 % of such areas by 2030;

Or. en

**Amendment 786**  
**Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, *of which at least a quarter shall be rewetted;*

(a) 30 % of such areas by 2030, which shall be rewetted *by 2030;*

Or. en

**Amendment 787**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, *of which at least half shall be rewetted;*

*deleted*

Or. ro

**Amendment 788**  
**Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*



*(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 789**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 790**

**Colm Markey**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 791**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;* *deleted*

*Justification*

*The restoration and management of peatlands stores carbon needs time and cooperation with land managers and landowners. In this given timetable many countries cannot achieve the restoration of peatlands in a cooperative way.*

**Amendment 792****Annie Schreijer-Pierik****Proposal for a regulation****Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b***Text proposed by the Commission**Amendment*

**(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be rewetted;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 793****Luke Ming Flanagan, Chris MacManus**  
on behalf of the The Left Group**Proposal for a regulation****Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b***Text proposed by the Commission**Amendment*

**(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be *rewetted*;** **(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least half shall be *Paludiculture systems*;**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Paludiculture, or farming on rewetted peat, is a system of agriculture for the profitable production of wetland crops. Paludiculture offers a potential solution for maintaining the profitable use of lowland peatland whilst significantly reducing the greenhouse gas emissions associated with their current agricultural use.*

**Amendment 794****Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(b) **50 %** of such areas by 2040, *of which at least half shall be rewetted;*

(b) **30 %** of such areas by 2040

Or. en

**Amendment 795**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(b) **50 %** of such areas by 2040, *of which at least half shall be rewetted;*

(b) **50 %** of such areas by 2040

Or. en

**Amendment 796**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(b) **50 %** of such areas by 2040, *of which at least half shall be rewetted;*

(b) **50 %** of such areas by 2040;

Or. en

**Amendment 797**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least **half** shall be rewetted;

(b) 50 % of such areas by 2040, of which at least **a quarter** shall be rewetted;

Or. de

*Justification*

*More time is needed in order to achieve what are ambitious targets.*

**Amendment 798**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(b) 50 % of such areas **by 2040, of which at least half** shall be rewetted;

(b) 50 % of such areas shall be rewetted **by 2040**;

Or. en

**Amendment 799**

**Anja Hazekamp**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(b) 50 % of such areas **by 2040, of which at least half** shall be rewetted;

(b) 50 % of such areas, which shall be rewetted **by 2040**;

Or. en

**Amendment 800**

**Colm Markey**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(b a) Targets beyond 2030 will be established during the review of National Restoration Plans required under Article 15 and will take account of Member States national circumstances.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*Committing to 2040 and 2050 targets, which will likely be delivered on private lands, at this point is considered premature. There are clear MS targets and agreements to 2030 under the EU Green Deal etc. However, to agree to such considerable targets 25 years in advance may be perceived to reduce private landowners land value already. Further to this, the extent of rewetting or supporting actions for restoration may yet to be developed at MS level, e.g. appropriate paludiculture activities and markets. MS should have the flexibility to consider appropriate targets during the revision of the NRPs as already required under Article 15 or in line with proposed step-wise approach which as been considered in WPE.*

#### **Amendment 801**

**Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.***                      ***deleted***

Or. ro

#### **Amendment 802**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.***                      ***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 803**

**Colm Markey**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 804**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 805**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted.** **deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*The restoration and management of peatlands stores carbon needs time and cooperation with*

*land managers and landowners. In this given timetable many countries cannot achieve the restoration of peatlands in a cooperative way.*

**Amendment 806**

**Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be rewetted. **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 807**

**Luke Ming Flanagan, Chris MacManus**

on behalf of the The Left Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be **rewetted**.

(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, of which at least half shall be **Paludiculture systems**;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Paludiculture, or farming on rewetted peat, is a system of agriculture for the profitable production of wetland crops. Paludiculture offers a potential solution for maintaining the profitable use of lowland peatland whilst significantly reducing the greenhouse gas emissions associated with their current agricultural use.*

**Amendment 808**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, ***of which at least half shall be rewetted.***

(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050.

Or. en

**Amendment 809**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050, ***of which at least half shall be rewetted.***

(c) 70 % of such areas by 2050.

Or. en

**Amendment 810**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) **70** % of such areas by 2050, ***of which at least half shall be rewetted.***

(c) **40** % of such areas by 2050.

Or. en

**Amendment 811**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) 70 % of such areas ***by 2050, of***

(c) 70 % of such areas shall be



*which at least half* shall be rewetted.

rewetted *by 2050*.

Or. en

## **Amendment 812**

**Anja Hazekamp**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) 70 % of such areas *by 2050*, of which *at least half* shall be rewetted.

(c) 70 % of such areas, which shall be rewetted *by 2045*.

Or. en

## **Amendment 813**

**Anja Hazekamp**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(c a) 100 % of such areas, which shall be rewetted by 2050.*

Or. en

## **Amendment 814**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Member States shall ensure that the peatlands subject to rewetting and restoration measures in accordance with paragraph 1 do not deteriorate, through the adoption of appropriate and effective*

*measures, which correspond to the ecological requirements of those peatlands and ensure their ecosystem services such as long-term carbon capture and storage, flood prevention and heatwave mitigation*

Or. en

**Amendment 815**

**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Member States shall take measure to prevent cover-up and shrub encroachment, forestation and depletion of extensively managed agricultural land, in particular extensive grassland in border yield and mountain areas.*

Or. en

**Amendment 816**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Member States may put in place restoration measures, including rewetting, in areas of peat extraction sites and count those areas as contributing to achieving the respective targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 817**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Member States may put in place restoration measures, including rewetting, in areas of peat extraction sites and count those areas as contributing *to achieving the respective targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).*

*Amendment*

Member States may put in place restoration measures, including rewetting **and actions like removing wood and transplanting vegetation to turn the land and vegetation back in the natural situation where feasible for peat accumulation**, in areas of **used** peat extraction sites and count those areas as contributing.

Or. en

**Amendment 818**  
**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Member States **may** put in place restoration measures, **including rewetting**, in areas of peat extraction sites and count those areas as contributing to achieving the respective targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

*Amendment*

Member States **shall rewet and, in addition**, put in place **other effective** restoration measures in areas of **current** peat extraction sites and count those areas as contributing to achieving the respective targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

Or. en

**Amendment 819**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Member States shall ensure that measures to reclaim areas adjacent to agricultural ecosystems do not hamper the productive functions of those agricultural ecosystems, in particular by encroachment on agricultural land.***

Or. en

**Amendment 820**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Member States shall put in place measures to prevent the deterioration of productive or protected areas which are threatened by natural disasters such as floods, storms or fires, in accordance with the conditions laid down in Article 12, even if that means that restoration measures can therefore not be put in place.***

Or. en

**Amendment 821**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as***

***deleted***

*contributing, up to a maximum of 20%, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).*

Or. en

**Amendment 822**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, *up to a maximum of 20%, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).*

*Amendment*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute *used* drained peatlands under land uses other than *former* agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing.

Or. en

**Amendment 823**  
**Martin Hlaváček**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, up to a maximum of **20%**, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

*Amendment*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, up to a maximum of **30%**, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

Or. en

## **Amendment 824**

**Riho Terras**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, up to a maximum of **20%**, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

##### *Amendment*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, up to a maximum of **50%**, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

Or. en

##### *Justification*

*Rewetting of agricultural areas entails high costs and income foregone for farmers. Nordic and Baltic countries bear a disproportionate burden, as the proportion peat soils is significantly higher than the EU average and agricultural area is limited. Thus more flexibility is needed to fulfil this target in other areas than land under agricultural use and peat extraction sites.*

## **Amendment 825**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, up to a maximum of **20%**, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

##### *Amendment*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, up to a maximum of **40%**, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

*Justification*

*More time is needed in order to achieve what are ambitious targets.*

**Amendment 826****Colm Markey****Proposal for a regulation****Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, **up to a maximum of 20%**, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

*Amendment*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures to rewet organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

Or. en

*Justification*

*Allowing MS the flexibility to include other land uses towards the rewetting and restoration targets increases the opportunity to meet the overarching ambition of restoring peatlands and increasing carbon stores. Limiting those areas which can be included limits the opportunities for MS, particularly those with significant peatland areas in Europe.*

**Amendment 827****Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner****Proposal for a regulation****Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures **to rewet** organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as contributing, **up to a**

*Amendment*

In addition, Member States may put in place restoration measures **including rewetting** organic soils that constitute drained peatlands under land uses other than agricultural use and peat extraction and count those rewetted areas as

**maximum of 20%**, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

contributing, to the achievement of the targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c).

Or. en

*Justification*

*Rewetting is one alternative restoration action, not only option. Member States should have flexibility to choose the best possible solution for the local needs.*

**Amendment 828**

**Luke Ming Flanagan, Chris MacManus**  
on behalf of the The Left Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Where applicable, in advance of imposing measures contained in this article on private property, Member States shall firstly use state owned land constituting of organic soils to contribute to achieving the respective targets referred to in the first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c)***

Or. en

**Amendment 829**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***The non-fulfilment of the obligation set out in paragraph 2, 3 and 4 is justified if caused by force majeure, including natural disasters; unavoidable habitat transformations which are directly and indirectly caused by climate change.***



*Justification*

*Risk of other natural disasters, out of human control will be increased as a result of climate change. This is why it is important to understand possible other risks as well.*

**Amendment 830**  
**Krzysztof Jurgiel**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Failure to comply with the obligations set out in paragraphs 1 to 4 shall be deemed justified if caused by: force majeure, including natural disasters or unavoidable habitat transformations.***

Or. pl

**Amendment 831**  
**Maria Noichl**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Member states shall put in place obligatory training for farmers with drained peatlands on the advantages of rewetting peatlands.***

Or. en

**Amendment 832**  
**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

1. **Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity of forest ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3).**

*deleted*

Or. en

### **Amendment 833**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place **the** restoration measures **necessary to enhance** biodiversity **of** forest ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

1. Member States shall put in place **effective and area-based** restoration measures **to achieve good condition of** biodiversity **in** forest ecosystems **to enhance their natural processes, ecological integrity and resilience and develop old-growth native/primary forests and mature stands**, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3), **as well as in addition to measures taken under Article 10(2).**

Or. en

### **Amendment 834**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity of forest ecosystems,

1. Member States shall put in place **at Union level, taking into account the multifunctionality of forests, including**

in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

*socio-economic functions and environmental and climatic conditions*, the restoration measures necessary to enhance *the resilience of the* biodiversity of forest ecosystems *in the long term*, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

Or. en

## Amendment 835

Daniel Buda

### Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity of forest ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place, *in the long term*, the restoration measures necessary to enhance biodiversity of forest ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3). *These measures shall take into account the multiple functions of forests, in particular socio-economic and environmental functions.*

Or. ro

## Amendment 836

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

### Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures *necessary* to enhance biodiversity *of* forest ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures, *taking into account social and economic requirements*, to enhance *resilience, vitality and* biodiversity *in degraded* forest ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are

subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

Or. en

### Amendment 837

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont

#### Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 1

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures necessary to **enhance** biodiversity of forest ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall put in place the restoration measures ***under paragraph 2 that are*** necessary to ***monitor the resilience and*** biodiversity of forest ecosystems, in addition to the areas that are subject to restoration measures pursuant to Article 4(1), (2) and (3).

Or. de

### Amendment 838

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner

#### Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level ***of each of the following indicators in forest ecosystems, as further set out in Annex VI***, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every ***three*** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels identified in accordance with Article 11(3) are reached:

##### *Amendment*

2. Member States shall ***select the indicators, on which data is attainable at the national level, which best describe forest biodiversity trends that are necessary for resilient, vital and healthy forest and*** achieve an increasing trend at national level measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every ***five*** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels identified in accordance with Article 11(3) are reached.

***Member States should select at least six appropriate indicators from the list***

*developed by the Forest Europe, State of Europe's Forests 2020, criterion 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 839**

**Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall achieve an **increasing** trend at national level **of each of the following indicators in forest ecosystems, as further** set out in Annex VI, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every **three** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels identified in accordance with Article 11(3) are reached:

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall achieve an **improving** trend at national level, **based on zonal specificities, in the criteria established in the document on the state of Europe's forests 2030[1] and** set out in Annex VI, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every **eight** years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels identified in accordance with Article 11(3) are reached: **[1] Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on a New EU Forest Strategy for 2030 (SWD(2021) 652 final).**

Or. ro

#### **Amendment 840**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level **of each of the following indicators** in forest

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level in forest **adaptation to climate change**, as further

*ecosystems, as further set out in Annex VI, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels identified in accordance with Article 11(3) are reached:*

set out in Annex VI;

Or. en

*Justification*

*There are different efficient measures for more biodiversity and climate protection in forests which should be used in sustainable production-integrated manner.*

**Amendment 841**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall achieve an increasing trend at national level of each of the *following* indicators *in forest ecosystems, as further set out in Annex VI*, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels identified in accordance with Article 11(3) are reached:

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall *select indicators that describe forest biodiversity trends on national level, and on which data is available*, achieve an increasing trend at national level of each of the indicators measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels identified in accordance with Article 11(3) are reached:

Or. en

**Amendment 842**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall achieve an

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall *aim to* achieve

increasing trend **at national** level of each of the following indicators in forest ecosystems, as further set out in Annex VI, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels identified in accordance with Article 11(3) are reached:

an increasing trend **towards improvement at Union** level of each of the following indicators in forest ecosystems, as further set out in Annex VI, measured in the period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation until 31 December 2030, and every three years thereafter, until the satisfactory levels identified in accordance with Article 11(3) are reached:

Or. en

### **Amendment 843**

**Clara Aguilera**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) standing deadwood;**

**deleted**

Or. es

*Justification*

*This indicator cannot be applied in areas with a medium/high fire risk.*

### **Amendment 844**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) standing deadwood;**

**deleted**

Or. en

### **Amendment 845**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) *standing deadwood;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 846**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) *standing deadwood;* *deleted*

Or. en

*Justification*

*There are different efficient measures for more biodiversity and climate protection in forests which should be used in sustainable production-integrated manner.*

**Amendment 847**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) *standing deadwood;* (a) *support for the socio-economic and productive functions of forests to ensure the prosperity of rural areas;*

Or. ro

**Amendment 848**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group



**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a a) area of strictly protected old-growth native/primary forests and mature stands;**

Or. en

**Amendment 849**  
**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) lying deadwood;** **deleted**

Or. es

*Justification*

*This indicator cannot be applied in areas with a medium/high fire risk.*

**Amendment 850**  
**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) lying deadwood;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 851**  
**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) lying deadwood;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 852**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) lying deadwood;** **deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*There are different efficient measures for more biodiversity and climate protection in forests which should be used in sustainable production-integrated manner.*

**Amendment 853**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) lying deadwood;** **(b) conservation and appropriate enhancement of biological diversity in forest ecosystems;**

Or. ro

**Amendment 854**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) share of forests with uneven-aged structure;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 855**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) share of forests with uneven-aged structure;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 856**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) share of forests with uneven-aged structure;** **deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*There are different efficient measures for more biodiversity and climate protection in forests which should be used in sustainable production-integrated manner.*

**Amendment 857**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) *share of forests with uneven-aged structure;*

(c) *consolidation of forest ecosystem health and vitality;*

Or. ro

**Amendment 858**  
**Jérémy Decerle**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) *share of forests with uneven-aged structure;*

(c) *diversity of tree species ;*

Or. en

*Justification*

*the means indicator "share of forests with uneven-aged structure" should be replaced by an outcome indicator on the local richness of forest species, in order to leave Member States the choice of measures and management methods to be implemented in order to obtain results in terms of sustainable forest management. It is proposed to rely on an indicator related to the diversification of forest species rather than on an indicator taking into account only the age of the trees. The improvement of the conservation status and the level of biodiversity of forests can indeed be well measured by the diversity of forest species. Moreover, the indicator "local richness of tree species" already exists and corresponds to indicator 4.1 "Diversity of tree species" of Forest Europe.*

**Amendment 859**  
**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point d**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(d) *forest connectivity;*

*deleted*

**Amendment 860**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point d**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(d) forest connectivity;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 861**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point d**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(d) forest connectivity;**

**deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*There are different efficient measures for more biodiversity and climate protection in forests which should be used in sustainable production-integrated manner.*

**Amendment 862**

**Jérémy Decerle**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point d**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(d) forest connectivity;**

**(d) forest fragmentation ;**

Or. en

*Justification*

*The preference given to this indicator relating to "connectivity forest" is not relevant as the Member States already use an indicator relating to the fragmentation of forest landscapes "Fragmentation of forest massifs" (indicator 4.7 "Forest fragmentation" of Forest Europe) and a new indicator that could challenge existing methodologies.*

**Amendment 863**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point e**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(e) common forest bird index; deleted**

Or. ro

**Amendment 864**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point e**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(e) common forest bird index; deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*There are different efficient measures for more biodiversity and climate protection in forests which should be used in sustainable production-integrated manner.*

**Amendment 865**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point e**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(e) *common forest bird index;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 866**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point e**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(e) *common forest bird index;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 867**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point e**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(e) common forest *bird* index; (e) common forest *species* index;

Or. en

**Amendment 868**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli,  
Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(f) *stock of organic carbon.* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 869**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(f) stock of organic carbon. deleted**

Or. ro

**Amendment 870**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(f) stock of organic carbon. deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*There are different efficient measures for more biodiversity and climate protection in forests which should be used in sustainable production-integrated manner.*

**Amendment 871**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(f) stock of organic carbon. deleted**

Or. en



**Amendment 872**  
**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(f) stock of organic carbon.

*Amendment*

(f) **quality and quantity of** stock of organic carbon.

Or. en

**Amendment 873**  
**Luke Ming Flanagan**  
on behalf of the The Left Group

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f – point 1 (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**1) Requirements (a) and (b) shall not apply in forests and agroforests with medium to high fire risk;**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Europe forests are increasingly susceptible to fire and pest attack, they often more intensive silvicultural management rather than abandonment and the accumulation of dead trees and underbrush*

**Amendment 874**  
**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(fa) tree species composition.**

Or. de

*Justification*

*Because of the changes in potential natural vegetation as a result of climate change, alternative pathways for building up resilient forests also need to be found. For example, there should be a sufficient share of native and of resilient tree species for the future.*

**Amendment 875**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(f a) average peak temperature**

Or. en

*Justification*

*There is a need to show forest stands' contribution to ecosystem functionality and one way to do this is by measuring a forests ability to maintain a microclimate (lowering the temperature relative to temperatures outside the forest). This can be measured by tracking the mean peak temperatures in the forest and check the evolution of the difference with the peak temperatures outside of the forest.*

**Amendment 876**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(f b) tree genetic diversity**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Low genetic diversity will increase extinction risk (Spielman et al. 2004). There is also abundant evidence for the substantial role of genetic diversity in ecosystem resilience, and for maintaining species diversity (Clark, 2010; Morikawa and Palumbi, 2019; Prieto et al., 2015; Reusch et al., 2005).*

**Amendment 877**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point f c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(f c) Species composition measured by number of species types from the European forest types tree species matrix<sup>1a</sup>**

---

<sup>1a</sup> [https://ies-ows.jrc.ec.europa.eu/efdac/download/Atlas/pdf/European\\_Forest\\_Types\\_tree\\_species\\_matrix.pdf](https://ies-ows.jrc.ec.europa.eu/efdac/download/Atlas/pdf/European_Forest_Types_tree_species_matrix.pdf)

Or. en

*Justification*

*There is abundant literature on the better resilience of mixed stands compared with single-species stands (Morin et al., 2014; del Rio et al., 2017; Jactel et al., 2017; Jactel et al., 2018; van der Plas et al., 2018; Sousa-Silva et al., 2018; Jourdan et al., 2019). The predominance of monocultures, intensive harvesting and changes in forestry pathways increase the impact of storms and biotic agents (Nageleisen et al., 2010; Lousteau et al. 2010)*

**Amendment 878**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2 a. Member States shall ensure that the areas subject to restoration measures in accordance with this article show continuous improvement, through the adoption of appropriate and effective measures which correspond to the ecological requirements of forest areas**

*and habitats.*

Or. en

**Amendment 879**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2 b. The indicator on stock of organic carbon in Annex VI shall be supplemented by indicator(s) on the quality and quantity of stock of organic carbon which shall measure, inter alia carbon sequestration, inclusion of all carbon reservoirs, changes in their condition and stability as well as disaggregated flows. A maximum optimal limit for organic carbon in soil shall also be identified. The Commission shall adopt implementing acts to establish quality parameters of this indicator and appropriate monitoring methods in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21(2).***

Or. en

*Justification*

*The indicator in Annex IV should be supplemented with other aspects of the carbon quality and quantity (see for example, here: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969720378724>). According to an EEA report ( <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/soil-monitoring-in-europe>), upper limit values for Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) also need to be considered, as excess SOC content introduces the risk of nitrate loss or greenhouse gas emissions, especially during winter.*

**Amendment 880**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2 c.** *Member States shall, in order to support and accelerate effectiveness of restoration measures, ensure that by 2030, all forest management follows the closer to nature principles as set out in the Commission guidelines.*

Or. en

**Amendment 881**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 d (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2 d.** *Member States shall ensure that (from entry into force), monoculture forest plantations no longer receive any public funding and that the planting of monoculture plantations is not allowed on public land.*

Or. en

**Amendment 882**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 10 – paragraph 2 e (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2 e.** *Given their negative impacts on biodiversity, Member states shall ensure that clear cutting practices are banned.*

Or. en

**Amendment 883**  
**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Article 10 a**

**Connectivity**

***1. Member States shall cooperate with the other Member States in order to ensure coherent and consistent approaches to the conservation and protection of ecosystems and migration corridors spanning national borders.***

***2. Where ecosystems or migration corridors extend beyond the territory of the Union Community, the Member State or Member States concerned shall endeavour to establish appropriate coordination with the relevant non-Member States, with the aim of achieving the objectives of this Regulation throughout the ecosystems and migration corridors concerned. Member States shall ensure the application of this Regulation within their territory.***

***3. The inventory in Article 7(1) shall include information on the improvements needed in connectivity to restore migration corridors in order to enable species to be able to migrate between their different habitats, and about when and how the complementary measures needed to restore migration corridors will be implemented***

***4. When implementing measures relating to connectivity of habitats and ecological and migration corridors, including in relation to Articles 4(3), 5(3), 5(5), 5(10) and 11(10)). Member States shall ensure that the ecological corridors are the most appropriate, from an ecological perspective, for the species, flora and***

*fauna concerned.*

*5. Member States shall work towards the ultimate goal of creating a Trans European Network for Nature, connecting habitats and migration corridors throughout the European Union.*

Or. en

**Amendment 884**  
**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 10 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Article 10 b*

*Preservation of the effects of restoration measures*

*1. Member States shall ensure the continuous, long-term and sustained effects of the restoration measures referred to in Articles 1 and 4 to 10 through effective means, including, when applicable, by the designation of protected areas, by the implementation of other effective area-based conservation measures, or by promoting private land conservation measures, taking into account the ecological requirements of the restored areas.*

*2. When restoration measures applied to forests lead to the development of old-growth features and mature stands, Member States shall strictly protect them, in addition to the original old-growth and primary forests.*

Or. en

**Amendment 885**

Ivan David

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence, ***practice and local conditions, the efficient allocation of costs and the prioritisation of restoration measures. At the same time, appropriate stakeholder involvement at each stage of the process is necessary.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*We believe it is possible to leave the paragraph without a reference year because the "favourable reference area" is defined in Article 3 and does not need a reference year. The implementation of this proposal will also become a major challenge for the Member States concerned in terms of costs. The current multiannual financial framework was discussed before the publication of this proposal and therefore it cannot reasonably be assumed that its implementation will be achieved from existing resources alone. We insist that the EC is required to quantify the costs of implementing the recovery measures and other provisions of this regulation and to communicate from which funds they will be covered, or how they will be taken into account in the next MFF period. We point out that restoration measures can only be implemented with the consent and financial compensation of the respective landowners on whose land the measures are implemented.*

**Amendment 886**

**Martin Hlaváček, Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Jérémy Decerle**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research



needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence, ***practice and local conditions, the efficient allocation of costs and the prioritisation of restoration measures. At the same time, appropriate stakeholder involvement at each stage of the process is necessary.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 887**

**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence, ***practices and local conditions, cost-effective allocation and prioritization of restoration measures, while involving relevant stakeholders, such as landowners and land managers at every state of the process.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 888**

**Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets **and obligations** set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

1. Member States shall **gradually** prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence, **the complexity of the information, the socio-economic impact of measures and their cost-effectiveness.**

Or. ro

**Amendment 889**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify **the** restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify **existing and new** restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence **as to what extent restoration is feasible and realistic and compatibility with socio-economic activities.**

Or. en

**Amendment 890**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research

needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to **meet** the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary **with the aim of contributing** to the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence, **compatibility with socio-economic activities, feasibility and cost-effectiveness**.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 891**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence, **as well as the needs of local communities and stakeholders**.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 892**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are **necessary** to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10,

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are **aim** to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10,

taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

taking into account the latest scientific evidence, *local conditions, cost-effectiveness and socio economic impact*

Or. en

### **Amendment 893**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Paolo De Castro, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

##### *Amendment*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence *and socio-economic impacts of the restoration measures.*

Or. en

### **Amendment 894**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

##### *Amendment*

1. *With the involvement of owners and land users,* Member States shall prepare national restoration plans and carry out the preparatory monitoring and research needed to identify the restoration measures that are necessary to meet the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, taking into account the latest scientific evidence.

Or. de

## *Justification*

*Owners and land users should be involved as they are a major group with a legitimate interest in the content and design of the restoration plans and are essential for implementation of those plans.*

### **Amendment 895** **Bert-Jan Ruissen**

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Article 11 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member states shall quantify the area that needs to be restored to **reach** the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 and 5 taking into account the condition of the habitat types referred to in Articles 4(1), **4(2)**, 5(1) and **5(2)** and the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in Article 4(3) and Article 5(3) that are present on their territory. The quantification shall be based, amongst others, on the following information:

##### *Amendment*

2. Member states shall quantify the area that needs to be restored to **contribute to** the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 and 5 taking into account the condition of the habitat types referred to in Articles 4(1), 5(1) and and the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in Article 4(3) and Article 5(3) that are present on their territory. The quantification shall be based **on a comprehensive socio-economic impact assessment and**, amongst others, on the following information:

Or. en

### **Amendment 896** **Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Article 11 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member states shall quantify the area that needs to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 and 5 taking into account the condition of the habitat types referred to in Articles 4(1), 4(2), 5(1) and 5(2) and the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in Article 4(3) and Article 5(3)

##### *Amendment*

2. Member states shall quantify the area that needs to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 and 5 taking into account the condition of the habitat types referred to in Articles 4(1), 4(2), 5(1) and 5(2) and the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in Article 4(3) and Article 5(3)

that are present on their territory. The quantification shall be based, amongst others, on the following information:

that are present on their territory. The quantification shall be based **on the socio economic impact assessment**, amongst others, on the following information:

Or. en

**Amendment 897**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member states shall quantify the area that needs to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 and 5 taking into account the condition of the habitat types referred to in Articles 4(1), 4(2), 5(1) and 5(2) and the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in Article 4(3) and Article 5(3) that are present on their territory. The quantification shall be based, amongst others, on the following information:

*Amendment*

2. Member states shall quantify the area that needs to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 and 5 taking into account the **specificities, variety and** condition of the habitat types referred to in Articles 4(1), 4(2), 5(1) and 5(2) and the quality and quantity of the habitats of the species referred to in Article 4(3) and Article 5(3) that are present on their territory. The quantification shall be based, amongst others, on the following information:

Or. ro

**Amendment 898**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point i**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) the total habitat area and a map of its current distribution;

*Amendment*

(i) the total habitat area, **including across Member State borders**, and a map of its current distribution;

Or. en

**Amendment 899**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point i**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) the total habitat area and a map of its current distribution;

*Amendment*

(i) the total habitat area and a map of its current distribution ***inside the Natura 2000 network***;

Or. en

**Amendment 900**

**Martin Hlaváček, Ulrike Müller**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point i**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) the total habitat area ***and a map of its current distribution***;

*Amendment*

(i) the total habitat area, ***including outside of the Member State territory***;

Or. en

**Amendment 901**

**Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point i**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) the total habitat area ***and a map of its current distribution***;

*Amendment*

(i) the total habitat area, ***including outside of the Member State territory***;

Or. en

*Justification*

*We believe it is possible to leave the paragraph without a reference year because the "favourable reference area" is defined in Article 3 and does not need a reference year. The implementation of this proposal will also become a major challenge for the Member States*

*concerned in terms of costs. The current multiannual financial framework was discussed before the publication of this proposal and therefore it cannot reasonably be assumed that its implementation will be achieved from existing resources alone. We insist that the EC is required to quantify the costs of implementing the recovery measures and other provisions of this regulation and to communicate from which funds they will be covered, or how they will be taken into account in the next MFF period. We point out that restoration measures can only be implemented with the consent and financial compensation of the respective landowners on whose land the measures are implemented.*

**Amendment 902**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point i**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(i) the total habitat area ***and a map of its current distribution;***

(i) the total habitat area ***at Union-wide level;***

Or. en

**Amendment 903**  
**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point ii**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) ***the habitat area not in good condition;***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 904**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point ii**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) the habitat area ***not*** in good

(ii) the habitat area ***assessed as not being*** in good condition ***on the basis of the***



condition;

*methodology laid down in this Article;*

Or. ro

**Amendment 905**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point ii**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) the habitat area not in good condition;

(ii) the habitat area not in good condition *within Natura 2000 sites*;

Or. en

**Amendment 906**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point ii**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) the habitat area not in good condition;

(ii) the habitat area *evaluated as* not in good condition;

Or. en

**Amendment 907**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point ii**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) the habitat area not in good condition;

(ii) the habitat area *evaluated as* not in good condition;

Or. en

## Amendment 908

Daniel Buda

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account the ***documented losses over at least the last 70 years*** and the projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;

*Amendment*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account the ***latest scientific data, the specifics of each territory***, and the projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change; ***reference must also be made to the agricultural and forestry production capacity of the territories concerned, so that the measures to be implemented do not disproportionately affect their level or yield***;

Or. ro

## Amendment 909

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii) the favourable reference area ***taking into account the documented losses over at least the last 70 years and the projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change***;

*Amendment*

(iii) the favourable reference area;

Or. en

## Amendment 910

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iii) the favourable reference area ***taking into account the documented losses over at least the last 70 years and the projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;***

(iii) the favourable reference area;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 911**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account the ***documented losses over at least the last 70 years and the projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;***

##### *Amendment*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account the ***relevant best available scientific knowledge and based on the collected data on nature restoration efforts since the entry into force of directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC;***

Or. en

##### *Justification*

*Reference years should be correlate for the time when data has been collected and should be coherent with the Habitats and Bird Directives. Additional latest scientific data is necessary as well.*

#### **Amendment 912**

**Ivan David**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account ***the documented losses over at least the last 70 years*** and the ***projected changes to*** environmental conditions due to climate change;

##### *Amendment*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account and the ***expected changes in*** environmental conditions due to climate change;

### *Justification*

*We believe it is possible to leave the paragraph without a reference year because the "favourable reference area" is defined in Article 3 and does not need a reference year. The implementation of this proposal will also become a major challenge for the Member States concerned in terms of costs. The current multiannual financial framework was discussed before the publication of this proposal and therefore it cannot reasonably be assumed that its implementation will be achieved from existing resources alone. We insist that the EC is required to quantify the costs of implementing the recovery measures and other provisions of this regulation and to communicate from which funds they will be covered, or how they will be taken into account in the next MFF period. We point out that restoration measures can only be implemented with the consent and financial compensation of the respective landowners on whose land the measures are implemented.*

#### **Amendment 913**

**Martin Hlaváček, Ulrike Müller**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account ***the documented losses over at least the last 70 years*** and the ***projected*** changes ***to*** environmental conditions due to climate change;

##### *Amendment*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account and the ***expected*** changes ***in*** environmental conditions due to climate change;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 914**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii) the favourable reference area ***taking into account the documented losses over at least the last 70 years*** and the projected changes to environmental conditions ***due to*** climate change;

##### *Amendment*

(iii) the favourable reference area and the projected changes ***to its natural range due*** to environmental conditions ***and*** climate change;

**Amendment 915**  
**Krzysztof Jurgiel**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account the documented losses ***over at least the last 70 years*** and the projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;

*Amendment*

(iii) the favourable reference area taking into account the documented losses and the projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;

Or. pl

**Amendment 916**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iv**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iv) ***the areas most suitable for the re-establishment of habitat types in view of ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 917**  
**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iv**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(iv) the areas most suitable for the re-establishment of habitat types in view of ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;* *deleted*

Or. en

*Justification*

*The re-establishment of habitat types would lead to creation of artificial nature, therefore is improving of existing nature in Natura 2000 more appropriate.*

**Amendment 918**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iv**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(iv) the areas most suitable for the re-establishment of habitat types in view of ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 919**  
**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iv**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iv) the areas most suitable for the re-establishment of habitat types in view of ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;

(iv) the areas most suitable for the re-establishment of habitat types in view of ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change *and the mutually contradictory preconditions of different habitat types and species;*

Or. de

## Amendment 920

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iv

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iv) the areas most suitable for the re-establishment of habitat types in view of ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;

*Amendment*

(iv) the areas most suitable for the re-establishment of habitat types in view of ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change ***and possibly competing interests of different habitats and species;***

Or. en

## Amendment 921

Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iv

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iv) the areas most suitable for the re-establishment of habitat types in view of ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;

*Amendment*

(iv) the areas, ***with the exclusion of areas used for agricultural purposes,*** most suitable for the re-establishment of habitat types in view of ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change;

Or. en

## Amendment 922

Bert-Jan Ruissen

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation

*Amendment*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation

status, *taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those habitats, and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species populations to thrive*, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions *due to* climate change.

status, as well as ongoing and projected changes to *their natural range due to* environmental conditions *and* climate change.

Or. en

### Amendment 923

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

#### Proposal for a regulation

##### Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b

###### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those habitats, and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species populations to thrive, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change.

###### *Amendment*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those habitats, and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species populations to thrive, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change *and the mutually contradictory preconditions of different habitat types and species*.

Or. de

### Amendment 924

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

#### Proposal for a regulation

##### Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b

###### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, *taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those*

###### *Amendment*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species



*habitats*, and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species populations to thrive, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change.

populations to thrive, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 925**

**Martin Hlaváček, Ulrike Müller**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those habitats, ***and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species populations to thrive***, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change.

##### *Amendment*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those habitats, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change, ***and the actual area available, taking into consideration the competing needs of the habitats and species as well as current land use.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 926**

**Ivan David**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those habitats, ***and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species populations to thrive***, as well as ongoing

##### *Amendment*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those habitats, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change, ***and the actual area***

and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change.

*available, taking into consideration the competing needs of the habitats and species as well as current land use.*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*We believe it is possible to leave the paragraph without a reference year because the "favourable reference area" is defined in Article 3 and does not need a reference year. The implementation of this proposal will also become a major challenge for the Member States concerned in terms of costs. The current multiannual financial framework was discussed before the publication of this proposal and therefore it cannot reasonably be assumed that its implementation will be achieved from existing resources alone. We insist that the EC is required to quantify the costs of implementing the recovery measures and other provisions of this regulation and to communicate from which funds they will be covered, or how they will be taken into account in the next MFF period. We point out that restoration measures can only be implemented with the consent and financial compensation of the respective landowners on whose land the measures are implemented.*

#### **Amendment 927**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those habitats, *and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species populations to thrive, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions* due to climate change.

##### *Amendment*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable for re-establishment of those habitats *bearing in mind* changes due to climate change *and the roles that the land plays in terms of food supply and productivity*.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 928**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Salvatore De Meo, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Herbert Dorfmann, Franc Bogovič, Daniel Buda, Michaela Šojdrová, Petri Sarvamaa, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Álvaro Amaro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable **for re-establishment** of those habitats, and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species populations to thrive, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change.

*Amendment*

(b) the sufficient quality and quantity of the habitats of the species required for achieving their favourable conservation status, taking into account the areas most suitable of those habitats, and the connectivity needed between habitats in order for the species populations to thrive, as well as ongoing and projected changes to environmental conditions due to climate change.

Or. en

*Justification*

*The re-establishment of habitat types would lead to creation of artificial nature, therefore is improving of existing nature in Natura 2000 more appropriate.*

**Amendment 929**  
**Tom Vandenkendelaere**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(b a) the quantification takes into account socioeconomic impacts, the potential impact on agricultural production and the specific context of urbanised areas;***

Or. en

**Amendment 930**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(b a) feasibility of restoration and cost-effectiveness and conflicting socio-economic interests;*

Or. en

**Amendment 931**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 2 – point b b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(b b) population densities and scarcity of space in the Member State;*

Or. en

**Amendment 932**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).*

*deleted*

Or. ro

**Amendment 933**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

3. *Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).* **deleted**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 934**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

3. *Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).* **deleted**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 935**

**Martin Hlaváček, Ulrike Müller, Irène Tolleret, Jérémy Decerle**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process **and assessment**, based on the latest scientific evidence **and**, if available, the

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process, based on the latest scientific evidence **the progress of climate change**,

framework referred to in Article 17(9).

***practice and local conditions, the economic viability of agriculture and taking into account food security issues in the European Union, as well as the participation of all relevant stakeholders, and if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9) considering the complexity of the financing provided to achieve these driving values.***

Or. en

## **Amendment 936**

**Ivan David**

### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 3**

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process ***and assessment***, based on the latest scientific evidence ***and***, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).

#### *Amendment*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process based on the latest scientific evidence ***the progress of climate change, practice and local conditions, the economic viability of agriculture and taking into account food security issues in the European Union, as well as the participation of all relevant stakeholders, and if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9) considering the complexity of the financing provided to achieve these driving values.***

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*We believe it is possible to leave the paragraph without a reference year because the "favourable reference area" is defined in Article 3 and does not need a reference year. The implementation of this proposal will also become a major challenge for the Member States concerned in terms of costs. The current multiannual financial framework was discussed before the publication of this proposal and therefore it cannot reasonably be assumed that its implementation will be achieved from existing resources alone. We insist that the EC is required to quantify the costs of implementing the recovery measures and other provisions of this regulation and to communicate from which funds they will be covered, or how they will*

*be taken into account in the next MFF period. We point out that restoration measures can only be implemented with the consent and financial compensation of the respective landowners on whose land the measures are implemented.*

#### **Amendment 937**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 3**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).

##### *Amendment*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and ***comprehensive*** assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9). ***When identifying the indicators referred to in Article 10(2) (a) and (b), Member States shall also consider phytosanitary safety criteria aimed at preventing the spread of harmful pathogens for the forest ecosystem species.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 938**

**Maria Noichl**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 3**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall set, ***by 2030 at the latest***, satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).

##### *Amendment*

3. Member States shall set satisfactory levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9). ***Member States shall set the satisfactory levels within two years of the adoption of that framework.***

**Amendment 939**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for **each of** the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).

*Amendment*

3. Member States shall set, **in collaboration with relevant stakeholders**, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and **local needs and**, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).

**Amendment 940**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for **each of** the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).

*Amendment*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, satisfactory levels for the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2) **that are identified as relevant in the national context**, through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).

**Amendment 941**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**



**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, **satisfactory** levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).

*Amendment*

3. Member States shall set, by 2030 at the latest, levels for each of the indicators referred to in Articles 8(1), 9(2) and 10(2), through an open and effective process and assessment, based on the latest scientific evidence and, if available, the framework referred to in Article 17(9).

Or. de

**Amendment 942**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. **Member States shall identify and map the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity.**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 943**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. **Member States shall identify and map the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, due to intensification or other**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

*management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 944**

**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 4**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States shall identify and map ***the agricultural and forest*** areas in need of restoration, in particular ***the*** areas ***that***, due to ***intensification or other management factors***, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity.

##### *Amendment*

4. Member States shall ***develop a methodology to*** identify and map areas in need of restoration, in particular ***those*** areas ***which***, due to ***infrastructure measures and settlement activity*** are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity. ***The type of restoration measures recommended in these areas, and how restrictions of use and property disadvantages are compensated, shall be determined in agreement with the landowner of the area concerned. The identification and mapping exercises should include an informed process for any landowner and land manager whose land is being identified. To this end, the competent authority designated by the Member State shall seek contractual arrangements with landowners or other beneficial owners in order to safeguard the implementation, restriction or omission of the management and use of land under private law.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 945**

**Martin Hlaváček, Ulrike Müller**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States shall ***identify and map the agricultural and forest*** areas in need of restoration, in particular ***the*** areas that, ***due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced*** connectivity and landscape diversity.

*Amendment*

4. Member States shall ***develop their methodology for identifying and mapping*** areas in need of restoration. In particular ***those*** areas that ***need to enhance*** connectivity and landscape diversity ***as a result of infrastructure measures. The type/scope of measures leading to the recommended restoration in these areas and the method of compensating for restrictions on use will be determined in agreement with the owner of the area concerned. Affected landowners should be adequately informed and should be an integral part of the mapping and identification of these lands. To this end, the competent authority, to be designated by the Member State, will seek contractual arrangements with landowners or other beneficial owners to ensure the implementation, restriction or omission of private law management and use of the land.***

Or. en

**Amendment 946**  
**Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States shall ***identify and map the agricultural and forest*** areas in need of restoration, in particular ***the*** areas that, ***due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced*** connectivity and landscape diversity.

*Amendment*

4. Member States shall ***develop their methodology for identifying and mapping*** areas in need of restoration. In particular ***those*** areas that ***need to enhance*** connectivity and landscape diversity ***as a result of infrastructure measures. The type/scope of measures leading to the recommended restoration in these areas and the method of compensating for restrictions on use will be determined in agreement with the owner of the area concerned. Affected landowners should be***

*adequately informed and should be an integral part of the mapping and identification of these lands. To this end, the competent authority, to be designated by the Member State, will seek contractual arrangements with landowners or other beneficial owners to ensure the implementation, restriction or omission of private law management and use of the land.*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*We believe it is possible to leave the paragraph without a reference year because the "favourable reference area" is defined in Article 3 and does not need a reference year. The implementation of this proposal will also become a major challenge for the Member States concerned in terms of costs. The current multiannual financial framework was discussed before the publication of this proposal and therefore it cannot reasonably be assumed that its implementation will be achieved from existing resources alone. We insist that the EC is required to quantify the costs of implementing the recovery measures and other provisions of this regulation and to communicate from which funds they will be covered, or how they will be taken into account in the next MFF period. We point out that restoration measures can only be implemented with the consent and financial compensation of the respective landowners on whose land the measures are implemented.*

#### **Amendment 947**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 4**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States shall identify and map the ***agricultural and forest*** areas in need of restoration, ***in particular the areas that, due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity.***

##### *Amendment*

4. Member States shall identify and map the ***degraded*** areas in need of restoration

Or. en

#### **Amendment 948**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 11 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States shall identify and map the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, ***due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity.***

*Amendment*

4. Member States shall identify and map the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that ***require increased connectivity, after consulting with farmers and foresters and identifying the most effective measures to safeguard their productivity, and hence not to undermine food security in the area;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 949  
Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 11 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States shall identify and map the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity.

*Amendment*

4. Member States shall identify and map the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity, ***whilst informing and consulting relevant stakeholders, like farmers and foresters, throughout the entire process.***

Or. en

**Amendment 950  
Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 11 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

4. Member States shall identify and map the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity.

4. Member States shall identify and map the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity, ***taking those areas into account when adopting restoration measures under Articles 9(1) and 10(1).*** .

Or. en

**Amendment 951**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

4. Member States shall identify ***and map*** the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, ***due to intensification or other management factors***, are in need of ***enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity***.

4. Member States shall identify the agricultural and forest areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that are in need of ***landscape diversity and enhanced connectivity***.

Or. de

*Justification*

*Mapping of all areas should be rejected. Instead of investing in tangible measures, significant levels of resources would initially be invested in a survey (mapping). There is no recognisable added value in that. Mapping is already being carried out in Member States, in particular in Nature 2000 areas but also elsewhere to some extent (at protected sites covered by nature conservation legislation). It ought to be compulsory to make use of existing data. Furthermore, identifying management factors goes beyond what is proportionate.*

**Amendment 952**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States shall identify and map the **agricultural and forest** areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity.

*Amendment*

4. Member States shall identify and map the areas in need of restoration, in particular the areas that, due to intensification or other management factors, are in need of enhanced connectivity and landscape diversity.

Or. en

**Amendment 953**

**Ulrike Müller, Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

5. Member States shall identify synergies with climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation **and** disaster prevention and prioritise restoration measures accordingly. Member States shall also take into account:

*Amendment*

5. Member States shall identify synergies **and trade-offs** with climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation, disaster prevention **and other socio-economic ecosystem services, notably food production and other productive functions contributing to the circular bio-economy**, and prioritise restoration measures accordingly. Member States shall also take into account:

Or. en

**Amendment 954**

**Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

5. Member States shall **identify** synergies **with climate change mitigation**, climate change adaptation and disaster prevention and prioritise **restoration**

*Amendment*

5. Member States shall **promote policy coherence and cooperation for sustainable development and enhance positive synergies and address trade-offs**

measures accordingly. Member States shall also take into account:

**with other policy areas, including** climate change adaptation and disaster prevention, and prioritise **recovery** measures accordingly. Member States shall also take into account:

Or. en

### *Justification*

*We believe it is possible to leave the paragraph without a reference year because the "favourable reference area" is defined in Article 3 and does not need a reference year. The implementation of this proposal will also become a major challenge for the Member States concerned in terms of costs. The current multiannual financial framework was discussed before the publication of this proposal and therefore it cannot reasonably be assumed that its implementation will be achieved from existing resources alone. We insist that the EC is required to quantify the costs of implementing the recovery measures and other provisions of this regulation and to communicate from which funds they will be covered, or how they will be taken into account in the next MFF period. We point out that restoration measures can only be implemented with the consent and financial compensation of the respective landowners on whose land the measures are implemented.*

### **Amendment 955**

**Martin Hlaváček, Ulrike Müller**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

5. Member States shall **identify** synergies **with climate change mitigation**, climate change adaptation and disaster prevention and prioritise **restoration** measures accordingly. Member States shall also take into account:

##### *Amendment*

5. Member States shall **promote policy coherence and cooperation for sustainable development and enhance positive synergies and address trade-offs with other policy areas, including** climate change adaptation and disaster prevention, and prioritise **recovery** measures accordingly. Member States shall also take into account:

Or. en

### **Amendment 956**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**



## Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

5. Member States shall identify synergies with climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation **and** disaster prevention and prioritise restoration measures accordingly. Member States shall **also** take into account:

*Amendment*

5. Member States shall identify synergies **and potential conflicts** with climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation, **food security**, disaster prevention, **and renewable energy production and security**, and prioritise restoration measures accordingly. Member States shall take into account:

Or. en

### Amendment 957 Bert-Jan Ruissen

## Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

5. Member States shall identify synergies **with** climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation and disaster prevention and prioritise restoration measures accordingly. Member States shall also take into account:

*Amendment*

5. Member States shall identify synergies **and potential conflicts with food production**, climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation and disaster prevention and prioritise restoration measures accordingly. Member States shall also take into account:

Or. en

### Amendment 958 Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

## Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

5. Member States shall **identify** synergies with climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation and **disaster prevention and prioritise** restoration measures accordingly. Member States shall

*Amendment*

5. Member States shall **foster policy coherence and enhance positive** synergies with climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation and **other policy areas with** restoration measures accordingly.

also take into account:

Member States shall also take into account:

Or. en

#### **Amendment 959**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) the Union binding target for 2030 set out in Article 3 of Directive 2018/2001/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.** **deleted**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 960**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 6**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

6. Member States shall coordinate the development of national restoration plans with the designation of the renewables go-to areas. During the preparation of the nature restoration plans, Member States shall ensure synergies with the already designated renewables go-to areas and ensure that the functioning of the renewables go-to areas, ***including the permitting procedures applicable in the renewables go-to areas foreseen by Directive (EU) 2018/2001 remain unchanged.***

6. Member States shall coordinate the development of national restoration plans with ***existing and future energy plan including renewable energy*** the designation of the renewables go-to areas. During the preparation of the nature restoration plans, Member States shall ensure synergies with the already designated renewables go-to areas and ensure that the functioning of the renewables go-to areas, ***as defined in Directive xxx (need to adjust with RED regulation under negotiation)***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 961**

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 6**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

6. Member States shall coordinate the development of national restoration plans with the designation of the renewables go-to areas. During the preparation of the nature restoration plans, Member States shall ensure synergies with the already designated renewables go-to areas and ensure that the functioning of the renewables go-to areas, including the permitting procedures applicable in the renewables go-to areas foreseen by Directive (EU) 2018/2001 remain unchanged.

*Amendment*

6. Member States shall coordinate the development of national restoration plans with the designation of the renewables go-to areas. ***Where possible, Member States combine these go-to areas with Natura 2000 sites.*** During the preparation of the nature restoration plans, Member States shall ensure synergies with the already designated renewables go-to areas and ensure that the functioning of the renewables go-to areas, including the permitting procedures applicable in the renewables go-to areas foreseen by Directive (EU) 2018/2001 remain unchanged.

Or. en

**Amendment 962**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 6**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

6. Member States shall coordinate the development of national restoration plans with the designation of the renewables go-to areas. During the preparation of the nature restoration plans, Member States shall ***ensure*** synergies with the already designated renewables go-to areas ***and ensure that the functioning of the renewables go-to areas, including the permitting procedures applicable in the renewables go-to areas foreseen by Directive (EU) 2018/2001 remain unchanged.***

*Amendment*

6. Member States shall coordinate the development of national restoration plans with the designation of the renewables go-to areas, ***ensuring that both processes are mutually supportive and do not undermine the achievement of their respective objectives.*** During the preparation of the nature restoration plans, Member States shall, ***on a case-by-case basis, where relevant and in line with the ecological requirements of each restoration area promote*** synergies with the already designated renewables go-to areas.

**Amendment 963**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

7. When preparing their national restoration plans, Member States shall **take** the following **into account**:

*Amendment*

7. When preparing their national restoration plans, Member States shall **promote greater regulatory coordination and coherence with** the following:

Or. en

**Amendment 964**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the conservation measures established for Natura 2000 sites in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC;

*Amendment*

(a) the conservation measures established for Natura 2000 sites in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC; **and adaptations to measures in light of the development of a revised Union-wide methodology for the assessment of the state of habitat types and species concerned; adaptations in light of scientific and technical progress;**

Or. en

**Amendment 965**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Jérémy Decerle, Emma Wiesner, Irène Tolleret**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point a a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a a) *the socio economic impact assessment, taking into account the whole society from rural to urban areas;***

Or. en

**Amendment 966  
Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point a a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a a) *the results of a comprehensive socio-economic impact assessment***

Or. en

**Amendment 967  
Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point f**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(f) national biodiversity strategies and action plans developed in accordance with Article 6 of the Convention on Biological Diversity;

(f) national biodiversity strategies and action plans developed in accordance with Article 6 of the Convention on Biological Diversity ***as well as measures undertaken in the context of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework ;***

Or. en

**Amendment 968  
Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation  
Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point f a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(fa) measures adopted at national or regional level as part of an agri-food strategy;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 969**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point f b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(fb) measures adopted at national or regional level in the field of forestry;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 970**  
**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point g a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(g a) the 2030 EU biodiversity strategy target to legally protect a minimum of 30% of the EU's land area and 30% of the EU's sea area and to strictly protect at least a third of the EU's protected areas, including all remaining EU primary and old-growth forests.***

Or. en

**Amendment 971**  
**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point g a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(ga) measures adopted under the CAP strategic plans, as part of eco-schemes and agri-environment commitments, to halt and reverse biodiversity loss, enhance ecosystem services and preserve habitats and landscapes;***

Or. es

**Amendment 972**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point g a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(g a) conservation, diversification and environmental measures adopted under the Common Agricultural policy, in line with the CAP National Strategic Plans and respecting local needs and farmers' actual circumstances;***

Or. en

**Amendment 973**

**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point g a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(g a) prior and informed consent principles as laid out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights;***

Or. en

**Amendment 974**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point g a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(g a) measures taken as part of a food strategy to ensure food supply, productivity and security;***

Or. en

**Amendment 975**  
**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point g b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(g b) Synergies with national energy, climate, forest and bioeconomy plans;***

Or. en

**Amendment 976**  
**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 7 – point g c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(g c) implemented or planned projects funded through Horizon Europe 2021-2027 to enhance the biodiversity;***

Or. en



**Amendment 977**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

8. **Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, make use of the different examples of restoration measures listed in Annex VII, depending on specific national and local conditions, and the latest scientific evidence.** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 978**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

8. Member States **shall**, when preparing the national restoration plans, **make use of** the different examples of restoration measures listed in Annex VII, depending on specific national and local **conditions**, and the latest scientific evidence.

8. Member States **may**, when preparing the national restoration plans, **consider optionally** the different examples of restoration measures listed in Annex VII, depending on specific national and local **condition, best practices**, and the latest scientific evidence.

Or. en

**Amendment 979**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

8. Member States **shall**, when

8. Member States **may**, when

preparing the national restoration plans, make use of the different examples of restoration measures listed in Annex VII, depending on specific national and local conditions, and the latest scientific evidence.

preparing the national restoration plans, make use of the different examples of restoration measures listed in Annex VII, depending on specific national and local conditions, and the latest scientific evidence.

Or. de

*Justification*

*There should be no mandatory or definitive use of the restoration measures listed in Annex VII. That is a sovereign matter that must be left to Member States otherwise the leeway they have would be seriously constrained.*

**Amendment 980**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

8. Member States **shall**, when preparing the national restoration plans, make use of the different examples of restoration measures listed in Annex VII, depending on specific national and local conditions, and the latest scientific evidence.

*Amendment*

8. Member States **may**, when preparing the national restoration plans, make use of the different examples of restoration measures listed in Annex VII, depending on specific national and local conditions, and the latest scientific evidence.

Or. en

**Amendment 981**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

8. Member States **shall**, when preparing the national restoration plans, make use of the different examples of restoration measures listed in Annex VII, depending on specific national and local

*Amendment*

8. Member States **may**, when preparing the national restoration plans, make use of the different examples of restoration measures listed in Annex VII, depending on specific national and local

conditions, and the latest scientific evidence.

conditions, and the latest scientific evidence.

Or. en

## **Amendment 982**

**Daniel Buda**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 9**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at optimising the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

##### *Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at optimising the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities, ***as well as at ensuring productivity and food security at regional and national level.***

Or. ro

## **Amendment 983**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 9**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at optimising the ecological, ***economic and social*** functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions ***and*** communities.

##### *Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, ***primarily*** aim at optimising the ecological functions of ecosystems, ***identifying synergies with their social and economic functions***, as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions, communities ***and indigenous peoples.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 984**

**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 9**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at **optimising** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

*Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at **balancing** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems **while fully respecting ownership rights**, as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and **local** communities.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 985**

**Ulrike Müller, Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 9**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at optimising the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

*Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at optimising the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems, **while fully respecting ownership rights**, as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 986**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 9**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at optimising the ecological, economic and social functions **of ecosystems** as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at optimising the ecological, economic and social functions **in the areas, in full respect of ownership rights**, as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

Or. en

**Amendment 987**

**Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 9**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at **optimising** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of **the relevant** regions and communities.

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at **adjusting** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems **while respecting ownership rights**, as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of regions and **local** communities.

Or. en

*Justification*

*We believe it is possible to leave the paragraph without a reference year because the "favourable reference area" is defined in Article 3 and does not need a reference year. The implementation of this proposal will also become a major challenge for the Member States concerned in terms of costs. The current multiannual financial framework was discussed before the publication of this proposal and therefore it cannot reasonably be assumed that its implementation will be achieved from existing resources alone. We insist that the EC is required to quantify the costs of implementing the recovery measures and other provisions of this regulation and to communicate from which funds they will be covered, or how they will be taken into account in the next MFF period. We point out that restoration measures can only be implemented with the consent and financial compensation of the respective landowners on whose land the measures are implemented.*

**Amendment 988**  
**Martin Hlaváček, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 9**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at **optimising** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of **the relevant** regions and communities.

*Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at **adjusting** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems **while respecting ownership rights**, as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of regions and **local** communities.

Or. en

**Amendment 989**  
**Anne Sander**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 9**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at optimising the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

*Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at optimising the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable **social and economic** development of the relevant regions and communities.

Or. fr

**Amendment 990**  
**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 9**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at **optimising** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, aim at **balancing** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 991**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 9**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, **aim at optimising** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

##### *Amendment*

9. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, **take into consideration** the ecological, economic and social functions of ecosystems as well as their contribution to the sustainable development of the relevant regions and communities.

Or. de

##### *Justification*

*This additional optimisation objective departs from the Regulation's objective; it can, at most, be taken into consideration.*

#### **Amendment 992**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 10**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

10. Member States shall, where possible, foster synergies with the national restoration plans of other Member States, in particular for ecosystems that span across borders.

##### *Amendment*

10. Member States shall, where possible, foster synergies with the national restoration plans of other Member States, in particular for ecosystems that span across borders, **ensuring a joint approach**

*or at least similar management approaches in case of cross-border ecosystems and avoiding conflicting measures.*

Or. en

**Amendment 993**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 10 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***10 a. Statistical transfers between Member States***

***1. Member States may agree on and may make arrangements for the statistical transfer of a specified percentage or amount of restoration measures from one Member State to another Member State. The transferred quantity of measure(s) shall be: (a) deducted from the share of the Member State making the transfer for the purposes of this Regulation; and (b) added to the share of the Member State accepting the transfer for the purposes of this Regulation.***

***2. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article XXX to supplement this Regulation by setting the conditions for the transfers as referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article.***

***3. The arrangements referred to in paragraph 1 may have a duration of one or more calendar years. Such arrangements shall be notified to the Commission not later than 12 months after the end of each year in which they have effect. The information sent to the Commission shall include the percentages involved. The parties involved and the information on the particular transfer shall be disclosed to the public.***



**Amendment 994**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public *is* given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

*Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, ***transparent***, inclusive and effective and that the public ***and relevant stakeholders are fully informed, and have access to all relevant information, including to early drafts of the restoration plans and are*** given early and effective opportunities to participate in ***stages of*** its elaboration. ***Local and regional authorities shall be properly involved in the preparation of the plan.*** Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

**Amendment 995**

**Ivan David**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

*Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive, ***with the need for cooperation and active involvement of landowners in accordance with the principle of prior and informed consent, and that the public has*** early and effective ***opportunities to participate in its development*** and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its

elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

Or. en

### *Justification*

*We believe it is possible to leave the paragraph without a reference year because the "favourable reference area" is defined in Article 3 and does not need a reference year. The implementation of this proposal will also become a major challenge for the Member States concerned in terms of costs. The current multiannual financial framework was discussed before the publication of this proposal and therefore it cannot reasonably be assumed that its implementation will be achieved from existing resources alone. We insist that the EC is required to quantify the costs of implementing the recovery measures and other provisions of this regulation and to communicate from which funds they will be covered, or how they will be taken into account in the next MFF period. We point out that restoration measures can only be implemented with the consent and financial compensation of the respective landowners on whose land the measures are implemented.*

### **Amendment 996**

**Martin Hlaváček, Ulrike Müller**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

### **Article 11 – paragraph 11**

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

#### *Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive, ***with the need for cooperation and active involvement of landowners in accordance with the principle of prior and informed consent, and that the public has early and effective opportunities to participate in its development*** and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC

Or. en

### **Amendment 997**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public *is* given early and effective opportunities to participate in *its elaboration*. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

*Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public, *as well as farmers and foresters, are* given early and effective opportunities to participate in *the preparation of the plan*. *Member States shall involve stakeholders, like farmers and foresters, at an early stage in developing and shaping the restoration plan*. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

Or. en

**Amendment 998**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

*Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public *directly concerned* is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. *Member States shall duly involve the stakeholders for whom the plans could have socio-economic effects*. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

Or. en

**Amendment 999**  
**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and **effective** and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

*Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open **and** inclusive and **ensure cooperation and active engagement of landowners, in compliance with the principle of prior and informed consent** and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

Or. en

**Amendment 1000**  
**Jérémy Decerle**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public **is** given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

*Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public **and notably farmers, foresters and other relevant actors such as advice and extension services, are** given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

Or. en

*Justification*

*Advice and extension services often have an excellent understanding of the needs and realities on the ground. The benefit of involving these actors is at least twofold. First, this would enable a good level of subsidiarity to make sure the restoration measures fit the reality. Second, it might also facilitate the acceptability of these measures for the people affected by this regulation.*

### **Amendment 1001**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

*Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public, ***especially relevant stakeholders at local and regional level***, is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

Or. en

### **Amendment 1002**

**Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 11 – paragraph 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public ***is*** given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

*Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public, ***and especially farmers and foresters, are*** given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

Or. ro

### **Amendment 1003**

**Maria Noichl**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, inclusive and effective and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

*Amendment*

11. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is open, **transparent**, inclusive and effective and that the public is given early and effective opportunities to participate in its elaboration. Consultations shall comply with the requirements set out in Articles 4 to 10 of Directive 2001/42/EC.

Or. en

**Amendment 1004**

**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***11 a. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan is carried out in due consultation and cooperation with representatives of landowners and land managers. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan complies with the principle of prior and informed consent and that no area is considered for restorations actions without its owner having the opportunity to express its consent or opposition to the projects, nor without the available financial means for adequate compensation.***

Or. en

**Amendment 1005**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***11 a. The restoration plan is carried out in consultation and cooperation with representatives of owners and managers. Member States shall ensure that the preparation of the restoration plan complies with the principle of prior and informed consent and that no area is considered for restoration actions without its owner having the opportunity to express its view on the projects nor without the available financial means for adequate compensation.***

Or. en

**Amendment 1006**

**Maria Noichl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***11 a. Member States shall, when preparing the national restoration plans, seek to address administrative barriers and knowledge gaps that delay or impede the achievement of the targets set in Articles 4-10 and inform the Commission respectively.***

Or. en

**Amendment 1007**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***11 a. Member States shall ensure that***

*the preparation of the restoration plan complies with the principle of prior and informed consent of the owner.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1008**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to **2050, with intermediate deadlines corresponding to the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10.**

*Amendment*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to **2035.**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1009**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to **2050, with intermediate deadlines corresponding to the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10.**

*Amendment*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to **2030.**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Same timeframe as the Biodiversity Strategy.*

#### **Amendment 1010**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**



**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to **2050, with intermediate deadlines corresponding to the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10.**

*Amendment*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to **2030.**

Or. en

**Amendment 1011**

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Herbert Dorfmann, Lena Düpont**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to 2050, **with intermediate deadlines corresponding to the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10.**

*Amendment*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to 2050.

Or. de

*Justification*

*Setting intermediate deadlines would involve too much red tape. Intermediate deadlines would also tie Member State administrations down because the relevant reports would have to be drawn up and submitted. It is unclear what, specifically, interim deadlines are intended to achieve.*

**Amendment 1012**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to 2050, with

*Amendment*

1. The national restoration plan shall cover the period up to 2050, with

intermediate deadlines corresponding to the targets **and obligations** set out in Articles 4 to 10.

intermediate deadlines corresponding to the targets set out in Articles 4 to 10.

Or. ro

### **Amendment 1013**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point a**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the quantification of the areas to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 **to10** based on the preparatory work undertaken in accordance with Article 11 and geographically referenced maps of those areas;

##### *Amendment*

(a) the quantification of the areas to be restored to reach the **area-based** restoration targets set out in Articles 4 **to 10 as well as the overall contribution to the objective in Article 1(2)** based on the preparatory work undertaken in accordance with Article 11 and geographically referenced maps of those areas;

Or. en

### **Amendment 1014**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point a**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the quantification of the areas to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 to10 based on the preparatory work undertaken in accordance with Article 11 and geographically referenced maps of those areas;

##### *Amendment*

(a) the quantification of the areas **already restored and** to be restored **to aim** to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 to10 based on the preparatory work undertaken in accordance with Article 11 and geographically referenced maps of those areas;

Or. en

## Amendment 1015

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point a

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the quantification of the areas to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 to 10 based on the preparatory work undertaken in accordance with Article 11 **and geographically referenced maps of those areas;**

*Amendment*

(a) the quantification of the areas to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 to 10 based on the preparatory work undertaken in accordance with Article 11

Or. en

## Amendment 1016

Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point a

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the quantification of the areas to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 **to 10** based on the preparatory work undertaken in accordance with Article 11 and geographically referenced maps of those areas;

*Amendment*

(a) the quantification of the areas to be restored to reach the restoration targets set out in Articles 4 **and 5** based on the preparatory work undertaken in accordance with Article 11 and geographically referenced maps of those areas;

Or. en

## Amendment 1017

Bert-Jan Ruissen

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point b

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) a description of the restoration measures planned, or put in place, for achieving the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10 **and a specification**

*Amendment*

(b) a description of the restoration measures planned, or put in place, for achieving the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10, within the Natura

***regarding which of those restoration measures are planned, or put in place,***  
within the Natura 2000 network established  
in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC;

2000 network established in accordance  
with Directive 92/43/EEC;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1018**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point b**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) a description of the restoration measures planned, or put in place, for achieving the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10 and a specification regarding which of those restoration measures are planned, or put in place, within the Natura 2000 network established in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC;

##### *Amendment*

(b) a description of the restoration measures planned, or put in place ***before the entry into force of this regulation***, for achieving the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10 and a specification regarding which of those restoration measures are planned, or put in place, within the Natura 2000 network established in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1019**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Paolo De Castro, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Daniel Buda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point b**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) a description of the restoration measures planned, or put in place, for achieving the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10 and a specification regarding which of those restoration measures are planned, or put in place, within the Natura 2000 network established in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC;

##### *Amendment*

(b) a description of the restoration measures planned, or put in place ***before the entry into force of this Regulation***, for achieving the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10 and a specification regarding which of those restoration measures are planned, or put in place, within the Natura 2000 network established in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC;

**Amendment 1020**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) a description of the restoration measures planned, or put in place, for achieving the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10 and a specification regarding which of those restoration measures are planned, or put in place, within the Natura 2000 network established in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC;

*Amendment*

(b) a description of the restoration measures planned or ***aimed to*** put in place, for achieving the targets and obligations set out in Articles 4 to 10 and a specification regarding which of those restoration measures are planned, or ***aimed to*** put in place, within the Natura 2000 network established in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC;

Or. en

**Amendment 1021**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

***(c) an indication of the measures to ensure that the areas covered by the habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate in the areas in which good condition has been reached and that the habitats of the species referred to in Articles 4(3) and 5(3) do not deteriorate in the areas in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, in accordance with Articles 4(6) and 5(6);***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. en

## Amendment 1022

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point c

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(c) an indication of the measures to ensure that the **areas covered by the habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate in the areas in which** good condition **has been reached and that the habitats of the species referred to in Articles 4(3) and 5(3) do not deteriorate in the areas in which the** sufficient quality of the habitats of the species **has been reached, in accordance with Articles 4(6) and 5(6);**

*Amendment*

(c) an indication of the measures **that aim** to ensure that the **total national area in good condition and the total national share with a** sufficient quality of the habitats of the species **referred to 4(3), 4(6), 5(3) and 5(6) do not decrease over time;**

Or. en

## Amendment 1023

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point c

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(c) an indication of the measures to **ensure** that the areas covered by the habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate **in the areas in which** good condition has been reached and that the habitats of the species referred to in Articles 4(3) and 5(3) do not deteriorate **in the areas in which the** sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, in accordance with Articles 4(6) and 5(6);

*Amendment*

(c) an indication of the measures to **be undertaken with the aim to prevent** that the areas covered by the habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate **significantly at Union level when a** good condition **at Union level** has been reached and that the habitats of the species referred to in Articles 4(3) and 5(3) do not deteriorate **significantly at Union level when** sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, in accordance with Articles 4(6) and 5(6);

Or. en

## Amendment 1024

**Maria Noichl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(c) ***an indication*** of the measures to ensure that the areas covered by the habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate in the areas in which good condition has been reached and that the habitats of the species referred to in Articles 4(3) and 5(3) do not deteriorate in the areas in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, in accordance with Articles 4(6) and 5(6);

*Amendment*

(c) ***a description*** of the measures to ensure that the areas covered by the habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate in the areas in which good condition has been reached and that the habitats of the species referred to in Articles 4(3) and 5(3) do not deteriorate in the areas in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, in accordance with Articles 4(6) and 5(6);

Or. en

**Amendment 1025**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(c) an indication of the measures to ***ensure that*** the areas covered by the habitat types listed in Annexes I and II ***do not deteriorate*** in the areas in which good condition has been reached and that the habitats of the species referred to in Articles 4(3) and 5(3) ***do not deteriorate*** in the areas in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, in accordance with Articles 4(6) and 5(6);

*Amendment*

(c) an indication of the measures to ***achieve no net deterioration of*** the areas covered by the habitat types listed in Annexes I and II in the areas in which good condition has been reached and that the habitats of the species referred to in Articles 4(3) and 5(3) in the areas in which the sufficient quality of the habitats of the species has been reached, in accordance with Articles 4(6) and 5(6);

Or. en

**Amendment 1026**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point d**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(d) *an indication of the measures to ensure that the areas covered by habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate, in accordance with Article 4(7) and Article 5(7);*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 1027**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point d**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(d) an indication of the measures to *ensure* that the areas covered by habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate, in accordance with Article 4(7) and Article 5(7);

(d) an indication of the measures to *be undertaken with the aim to prevent* that the areas covered by habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate *significantly at Union level*, in accordance with Article 4(7) and Article 5(7);

Or. en

**Amendment 1028**  
**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point d**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(d) an indication of the measures *to ensure that the areas covered by habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate, in accordance with* Article 4(7) and Article 5(7);

(d) an indication of the measures *taken and planned for the implementation of* Article 4(7) and Article 5(7);

Or. en



## Amendment 1029

Maria Noichl

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point d

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(d) **an indication** of the measures to ensure that the areas covered by habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate, in accordance with Article 4(7) and Article 5(7);

*Amendment*

(d) **a description** of the measures to ensure that the areas covered by habitat types listed in Annexes I and II do not deteriorate, in accordance with Article 4(7) and Article 5(7);

Or. en

## Amendment 1030

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point e

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(e) the inventory of barriers and the barriers identified for removal in accordance with Article 7(1), the plan for their removal in accordance with Article 7(2) and an estimate of the length of free-flowing rivers to be achieved by the removal of those barriers by 2030 and by 2050, and any other measures to re-establish the natural functions of floodplains in accordance with Article 7(3);

*Amendment*

(e) the inventory of barriers, **including those already removed**, and the barriers identified for removal in accordance with Article 7(1), the plan for their removal in accordance with Article 7(2) and an estimate of the length of free-flowing rivers **already achieved and those** to be achieved by the removal of those barriers by 2030 and by 2050, and any other measures **already undertaken and those to be undertaken** to re-establish the natural functions of floodplains in accordance with Article 7(3);

Or. en

## Amendment 1031

Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen

### Proposal for a regulation

## Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point e

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(e) the inventory of barriers and the barriers identified for removal in accordance with Article 7(1), the plan for their removal in accordance with Article 7(2) and an estimate of the length of free-flowing rivers to be achieved by the removal of those barriers by 2030 and by 2050, and any other measures to re-establish the natural functions of floodplains in accordance with Article 7(3);

*Amendment*

(e) the inventory of barriers and the barriers identified for removal in accordance with Article 7(1), the plan for their removal ***or when relevant apply alternative methods with the same effect*** in accordance with Article 7(2) and an estimate of the length of free-flowing rivers to be achieved by the removal of those barriers by 2030 and by 2050, and any other measures to re-establish the natural functions of floodplains in accordance with Article 7(3);

Or. en

## Amendment 1032

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) an indication of the provisions for ensuring the continuous, long-term and sustained effects of the restoration measures referred to in Articles 4 to 10;

*Amendment*

(i) an indication of the provisions for ensuring the continuous, long-term and sustained effects of the restoration measures referred to in Articles 4 to 10, ***whereby balancing economic, social and environmental aspects***;

Or. en

## Amendment 1033

**Maria Noichl**

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) ***an indication*** of the provisions for ensuring the continuous, long-term and

*Amendment*

(i) ***a description*** of the provisions for ensuring the continuous, long-term and

sustained effects of the restoration measures referred to in Articles 4 to 10;

sustained effects of the restoration measures referred to in Articles 4 to 10;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1034**

**Ulrike Müller, Elsi Katainen, Asger Christensen, Jérémy Decerle**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point j**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits of those measures;

##### *Amendment*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for ***or trade-offs with*** climate change mitigation ***and relevant ecosystem services, notably food production and other ecosystem services contributing to a circular bio-economy***, associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits ***or trade-offs*** of those measures;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1035**

**Petri Sarvamaa, Paolo De Castro, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point j**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits of those measures;

##### *Amendment*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits of those measures; ***including an assessment of the proposed measures' socio-economic impacts especially regarding the bio-based industrial value chains and impacts on bioeconomy***

Or. en

**Amendment 1036**  
**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point j**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits of those measures;

*Amendment*

(j) the estimated co-benefits **and adverse effects** for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits **and adverse effects** of those measures;

Or. en

**Amendment 1037**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point j**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits of those measures;

*Amendment*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation **and food production** associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits of those measures;

Or. en

**Amendment 1038**  
**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point j**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits of those

*Amendment*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation **and food production** associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-

measures;

economic benefits of those measures;

Or. en

### **Amendment 1039**

**Clara Aguilera**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point j**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits of those measures;

*Amendment*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic benefits **and costs** of those measures;

Or. es

### **Amendment 1040**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point j**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic **benefits** of those measures;

*Amendment*

(j) the estimated co-benefits for climate change mitigation associated with the restoration measures over time, as well as wider socio-economic **impacts** of those measures;

Or. en

### **Amendment 1041**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point k**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(k) a dedicated section setting out how the national restoration plan considers:** *deleted*

**(i) the relevance of climate change scenarios for the planning of the type and location of restoration measures;**

**(ii) the potential of restoration measures to minimise climate change impacts on nature, to prevent natural disasters and to support adaptation;**

**(iii) synergies with national adaptation strategies or plans and national disaster risk assessment reports;**

**(iv) an overview of the interplay between the measures included in the national restoration plan and the national energy and climate plan;**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Additional administrative burden would be too heavy for Member State.*

*Synergies with the other relevant programs are listed already in the Article 11*

**Amendment 1042**

**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point k – point ii a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(iia) the cost-benefit ratio of each proposed measure that enables those measures that incur disproportionate costs to be ruled out;**

Or. es

**Amendment 1043**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point k – point iii a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(iii a) synergies with national strategies or plans to ensure productivity, resilience and food security;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 1044**

**Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Gilles Lebreton, Rosanna Conte, Elena Lizzi**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point k – point iii a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(iii a) synergies, objectives and results of other National Plans foreseen by other policies, such as the CAP National Plans;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1045**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point k – point iv a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(iv a) the compatibility of restoration measures with socio-economic activities, such as food supply and productivity.***

Or. en

**Amendment 1046**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point k – point iv a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(iv a) the compatibility of restoration measures with socio-economic activities, such as food supply;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1047**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point k – point iv a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(iii a) cooperation with stakeholders, and especially farmers and foresters;*

Or. ro

**Amendment 1048**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point k – point iv b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(iv b) land and tenure rights in relation to restoration measures*

Or. en

**Amendment 1049**

**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Petri Sarvamaa, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point l**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(l) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include *the*

(l) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include *a* description



description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

of the support to stakeholders, ***such as landowners and land managers*** affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, ***compensation for possible property disadvantages and yield losses of the landowners concerned***, and the means of intended financing, public or private ***funding***, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments ***including through a dedicated EU fund for restoration***;

Or. en

**Amendment 1050**  
**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

*Amendment*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments. ***The financing of the measures must be described clearly in the plan, indicating the means of financing and the agreement with the corresponding fund manager***;

Or. es

*Justification*

*When measures are included in the national restoration plans and a fund is assigned for their financing, a prior agreement with the manager of that fund is required to ensure that the plan is effective.*

**Amendment 1051**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

*Amendment*

(1) the estimated financing needs ***and identified funding outside the Common Agricultural Policy, including where applicable market-based solutions***, for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

Or. en

*Justification*

*The primary goal of the CAP is to ensure food security in the EU. With growing needs to finance other ecosystem services, such as within the scope of this legislation or e.g. carbon farming, there is a strong tendency to point to the CAP for financing, which would overburden it and eventually lead to a lack of resources for the implementation of these important programmes to strengthen much needed ecosystem services. Therefore, it is very important to ensure adequate funding from sources other than the CAP.*

**Amendment 1052**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-)

*Amendment*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include ***the estimated staff capacity for the implementation, monitoring and management of restoration measures***, the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other

financing with Union funding instruments;

new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

Or. en

### **Amendment 1053**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

*Amendment*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, ***compensation for possible property disadvantages and yield losses of the farmers***, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

Or. en

### **Amendment 1054**

**Colm Markey**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

*Amendment*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments,

*including through a dedicated EU fund for restoration;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1055**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

##### *Amendment*

(1) the estimated financing **and human resources** needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the **financial and other** support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1056**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

##### *Amendment*

(1) the estimated financing needs for the implementation of the restoration measures, which shall include the description **of the compensation envisaged and** of the support to stakeholders affected by restoration measures or other new obligations arising from this Regulation, and the means of intended financing, public or private, including (co-) financing with Union funding instruments;

**Amendment 1057**

**Clara Aguilera**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point m**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(m) an indication of the subsidies which negatively affect the achievement of the targets and the fulfilment of the obligations set out in this Regulation;*** ***deleted***

Or. es

**Amendment 1058**

**Bert-Jan Ruissen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point m**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(m) an indication of the subsidies which negatively affect the achievement of the targets and the fulfilment of the obligations set out in this Regulation;*** ***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 1059**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point m**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(m) an indication of the subsidies which negatively affect the achievement of the targets and the fulfilment of the obligations set out in this Regulation;*** ***deleted***

**Amendment 1060**

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point m**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(m) *an indication* of the subsidies which negatively affect the achievement of the targets and the fulfilment of the obligations set out in this Regulation;

*Amendment*

(m) *a description* of the subsidies which **may** negatively affect the achievement of the targets and the fulfilment of the obligations set out in this Regulation, **as well as a list and timeline of measures planned or put in place to phase out, redirect or reform these subsidies, in accordance with the Union wide methodology on phasing out environmentally harmful subsidies under the 8th EAP** ;

Or. en

**Amendment 1061**

**Maria Noichl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point m**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(m) *an indication* of the subsidies which negatively affect the achievement of the targets and the fulfilment of the obligations set out in this Regulation;

*Amendment*

(m) *a description* of the subsidies which negatively affect the achievement of the targets and the fulfilment of the obligations set out in this Regulation;

Or. en

**Amendment 1062**

**Paolo De Castro, Carmen Avram, Camilla Laureti, Juozas Olekas, Daniela Rondinelli**

**Proposal for a regulation**

## Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point n

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including information on public participation **and** of how the needs of local communities and stakeholders have been considered;

*Amendment*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including information on public participation, of how the needs of local communities and stakeholders have been considered **and of how local communities and stakeholders have been provided with the necessary knowledge, advice and services to support the implementation and management of restoration measures;**

Or. en

### Amendment 1063

**Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### Proposal for a regulation

## Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point n

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including information on public participation and of how the needs of local communities and stakeholders have been considered;

*Amendment*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including **the identification of governance bodies involved and their role in the preparation and establishment of the plan, and** information on public participation and of how the needs of local communities and stakeholders have been considered;

Or. en

### Amendment 1064

**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

#### Proposal for a regulation

## Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point n

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including information on public participation and **of** how the needs of local communities and stakeholders have been considered;

*Amendment*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including information on public participation and **on** how the needs of local communities and stakeholders - **including farmers and foresters - and property rights** have been considered;

Or. en

**Amendment 1065**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Emma Wiesner**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point n**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including information on public participation and of how the needs of local communities and stakeholders have been considered;

*Amendment*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including information on public participation and of how the needs of local communities and stakeholders have been considered **and how property rights have been respected**;

Or. en

**Amendment 1066**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point n**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including information on public participation and of how the needs of local communities and stakeholders have been considered;

*Amendment*

(n) a summary of the process for preparing and establishing the national restoration plan, including information on public participation and of how the needs of local communities and stakeholders, **and in particular farmers and foresters**, have been considered;



**Amendment 1067**

**Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Asger Christensen**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point o**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(o) a dedicated section indicating how observations from the Commission on the draft national restoration plan referred to in Article 14(4) have been taken into account in accordance with Article 14(5). If the Member State concerned does not address an observation from the Commission or a substantial part thereof, that Member State shall provide its reasons.***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 1068**

**Maria Noichl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point o**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(o) a dedicated section indicating how observations from the Commission on the draft national restoration plan referred to in Article 14(4) have been taken into account in accordance with Article 14(5). If the Member State concerned does not address an observation from the Commission or a substantial part thereof, that Member State shall provide its reasons.**

**(o) a dedicated section indicating how observations *and recommendations* from the Commission on the draft national restoration plan referred to in Article 14(4) have been taken into account in accordance with Article 14(5). If the Member State concerned does not address an observation *or recommendation* from the Commission or a substantial part thereof, that Member State shall provide its reasons.**

Or. en

**Amendment 1069**

**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point o a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(iva) a dedicated section highlighting how the measures taken do not adversely affect agricultural and forestry production, in such a way as to create a risk of food production being delocalised or obstacles to the resilience of the agri-food chain or even food security vulnerabilities in the area.*

Or. ro

**Amendment 1070**

**Simone Schmiedtbauer, Franc Bogovič, Petri Sarvamaa, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Álvaro Amaro, Herbert Dorfmann, Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point o a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(o a) An explanation on how to ensure that the implementation of the restoration plans do not lead to a decrease of agricultural and forestry production within the Union or a shift to third countries and to ensure self-sufficiency with regional, high-quality food and biogenic raw materials.*

Or. en

**Amendment 1071**

**Tom Vandenkendelaere**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point o a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(o a) an analysis of the impact of the implementation of the restoration plans on agricultural land and agricultural production, in particular the exceptional impact on urbanised areas and the agricultural land under pressure in these areas;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1072**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point o a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(o a) an explanation of how to take into account the need to maintain agricultural and forestry production in the territories concerned in order to avoid, in particular, food production moving elsewhere;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1073**

**Maria Noichl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point o a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(o a) a dedicated description of the contribution of the plan to the Union's overarching objectives in Article 1;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1074**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point o b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(o b) an additional impact assessment on the effects of the measures set out in the national restoration plan will have on European agricultural and forestry production;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1075**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – point o b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(ob) an assessment based on recent data of the short-, medium- and long-term impact of the measures on agricultural and forestry production at regional and national level.***

Or. ro