



2020/2269(INI)

15.7.2021

AMENDMENTS

1 - 335

Draft report

Isabel Carvalhais, Daniel Buda
(PE680.989v02-00)

Investigation of alleged contraventions and maladministration in the application of Union law in relation to the protection of animals during transport within and outside the Union
(2020/2269(INI))

Amendment 1
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Citation 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 17 December 2020 in Case C-336/19,*

Or. en

Amendment 2
Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— having regard to Article 13 of the TFEU,

— having regard to Article 13 of the TFEU, *which stipulates that, in formulating and implementing the Union's policies, the Union and the Member States shall, since animals are sentient beings, pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals,*

Or. fr

Amendment 3
Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution
Citation 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the European Food Safety Authority scientific opinion "General approach to fish welfare and to the concept of sentience in fish", adopted*

on 29 January 2009^{1a},

^{1a} The EFSA Journal (2009) 954, 1-27

Or. en

Amendment 4

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Citation 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Aquatic
Animal Health Code, OIE, 2015,*

Or. en

Amendment 5

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Citation 10 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the European
Commission’s study “Welfare of farmed
fish: Common practices during transport
and at slaughter”, September 2017,*

Or. en

Amendment 6

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Citation 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 12 December 2012 on the protection of animals during transport,*

Or. en

Amendment 7

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Citation 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the resolution of 12 December 2012 on the protection of animals during transport,*

Or. fr

Amendment 8

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Citation 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to Declaration No 49/2011 of 30 November 2011 on the establishment of a maximum 8-hour journey limit for animals transported in the European Union for the purpose of being slaughtered,*

Or. fr

Amendment 9

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Citation 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Council conclusions of 28 June 2021 on animal welfare during maritime long distances transport to third countries^{1a},*

1a

<https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-10235-2021-INIT/en/pdf>

Or. en

Amendment 10
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Citation 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Commission communication of 20 May 2020 entitled ‘A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system’ (COM(2020)0381),*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 11
Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Citation 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the EU trade strategy ‘Trade4All’ of 14 October 2015 and the Union’s trade values contained therein,*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 12

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Citation 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the EU trade strategy ‘Trade4All’ of 14 October 2015 and the Union’s trade values contained therein,* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 13

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Citation 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Patterns of livestock transport in the EU and to third countries, as published by the European Parliamentary Research Service (EPRS) in July 2021,*

Or. en

Amendment 14

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Citation 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the OIE Aquatic Animal Health Strategy 2021-2025^{3a},*

3a

<https://www.oie.int/app/uploads/2021/05/en-oie-aahs.pdf>

Or. en

Amendment 15

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg, Francisco Guerreiro, Pär Holmgren

Motion for a resolution

Citation 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the European Commission's Green Paper entitled 'Towards fair and efficient pricing in transport: policy options for internalising the external costs of transport in the European Union'(COM(1995) 691),*

Or. en

Amendment 16

Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Citation 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the significant progress on animal welfare made by all of the sectors involved in this report in recent decades,*

Or. it

Amendment 17

Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Citation 24 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the fact that the EU regulations and standards on animal welfare are the highest in the world, thanks to the efforts of our breeders, transporters and civil society,*

Or. it

Amendment 18

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg, Francisco Guerreiro, Pär Holmgren

Motion for a resolution
Citation 24 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the European Commission's 2019 Handbook on the external costs of transport,*

Or. en

Amendment 19

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas the Committee of Inquiry started work on 23 September 2020, setting out the methodology for its work consisting of public hearings, consultations of experts, requests for data, evidence and research;

E. whereas the Committee of Inquiry started work on 23 September 2020, setting out the methodology for its work consisting of public hearings, consultations of experts, requests for data, evidence and research, *which have not yet been*

concluded at the time of writing this report;

Or. it

Amendment 20

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the Committee of Inquiry started work on 23 September 2020, setting out the methodology for its work consisting of public hearings, consultations of experts, requests for data, evidence and research;

Amendment

E. whereas the Committee of Inquiry started work on 23 September 2020, setting out the methodology for its work consisting of public hearings, ***missions***, consultations of experts, requests for data, evidence and research;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas ***Article 13 of the TFEU states that ‘in formulating and implementing the Union’s agriculture, fisheries, transport, internal market, research and technological development and space policies, the Union and the Member States shall, since animals are sentient beings, pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals, while respecting the legislative or administrative provisions and customs of the Member States relating in particular to religious rites, cultural traditions and regional heritage’;***

Amendment

F. whereas the Union and the Member States ***firmly believe that*** animals are sentient beings ***with special needs that should be taken into account in accordance with Article 13 of the TFEU;***

Amendment 22
Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas Article 13 of the TFEU states that ‘in formulating and implementing the Union’s agriculture, fisheries, transport, internal market, research and technological development and space policies, the Union and the Member States shall, since animals are sentient beings, pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals, ***while respecting the legislative or administrative provisions and customs of the Member States relating in particular to religious rites, cultural traditions and regional heritage***’;

Amendment

F. whereas Article 13 of the TFEU states that ‘in formulating and implementing the Union’s agriculture, fisheries, transport, internal market, research and technological development and space policies, the Union and the Member States shall, since animals are sentient beings, pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals;

Amendment 23
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas Article 13 of the TFEU states that ‘in formulating and implementing the Union’s agriculture, fisheries, transport, internal market, research and technological development and space policies, the Union and the Member States shall, since animals are sentient beings, pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals, ***while respecting the legislative or administrative***

Amendment

F. whereas Article 13 of the TFEU states that ‘in formulating and implementing the Union’s agriculture, fisheries, transport, internal market, research and technological development and space policies, the Union and the Member States shall, since animals are sentient beings, pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals;

provisions and customs of the Member States relating in particular to religious rites, cultural traditions and regional heritage’;

Or. en

Amendment 24

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 clearly states that ‘no person shall transport animals or cause animals to be transported in a way likely to cause injury or undue suffering to them’;

Amendment

G. whereas Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 clearly states that ‘no person shall transport animals or cause animals to be transported in a way likely to cause injury or undue suffering to them’ *and recital 5 that ‘for reasons of animal welfare the transport of animals over long journeys [...] should be limited as far as possible’;*

Or. en

Amendment 25

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution

Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. whereas Article 10 of Regulation 1/2005 provides that transport undertakings which have a record of serious infringements of Community legislation and/or national legislation on the protection of animals in the three years preceding the date of the application

should not be authorised to transport live animals;

Or. en

Amendment 26

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas the judgement of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 19 October 2017 (C-383/16) ruled that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 fully applies from departure in the EU until the place of destination, even if the destination is in a third country;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas, therefore, the Commission and the Member States should commit to defending the strict application of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, not only within the Union but also outside it;

Or. fr

Amendment 28

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. *whereas Member States are not enforcing Regulation 1/2005 stringently and strictly enough within the EU and not enforcing it at all outside the EU;*

Or. en

Amendment 29
Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Recital H b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hb. *whereas it is particularly problematic to transport unweaned animals;*

Or. en

Amendment 30
Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Recital H c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hc. *whereas there are often shortcomings in providing the animals with sufficient food and water and in observing the 24-hour rest period when there is a stop at a verified control post;*

Or. en

Amendment 31

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution

Recital H d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hd. whereas it frequently occurs that insufficient water is provided during transportation;

Or. en

Amendment 32

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution

Recital H e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

He. whereas journey logs are often incomplete and provide an estimated journey time which is unrealistically short;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution

Recital H f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hf. whereas transport vehicles are often overcrowded;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Recital H g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hg. *whereas the internal height of transport vehicles often fails to meet minimum standards;*

Or. en

Amendment 35
Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Recital H h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hh. *whereas high temperatures and inadequate ventilation inside the vehicle are a major problem;*

Or. en

Amendment 36
Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Recital H i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hi. *whereas there is also a phenomenon of ‘assembly centre hopping’, with animals being shifted from one assembly centre to the next in order to disguise the duration of transportation;*

Or. en

Amendment 37

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution

Recital H j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

**Hj. whereas transport vehicles often
have insufficient litter;**

Or. en

Amendment 38

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution

Recital H k (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

**Hk. whereas the partitions in transport
vehicles are often inadequate;**

Or. en

Amendment 39

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

**I. whereas a number of reasons exist
for the movement of live animals,
including marketing, fattening, slaughter,
rearing and breeding;** **deleted**

Or. fr

Amendment 40

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas a number of reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Amendment

I. whereas a number of reasons exist ***are used to justify*** for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding; ***whereas the Farm-to-Fork strategy recognises the call for shorter supply chains and aims to enhance the resilience of local and regional supply chains, by reducing dependence on long-haul transportation; whereas a focus on small farms and regional networks of slaughterhouses is needed to achieve the Farm to Fork's goals of a resilient, sustainable food system, affording decent living standards to farmers;***

Or. en

Amendment 41

Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas a number of reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing ***and*** breeding;

Amendment

I. whereas a number of reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter ***proximity and capacity***, rearing, breeding ***and regional specialisation***;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas a number of reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing **and** breeding;

Amendment

I. whereas a number of reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing, breeding **and for competitions and exhibitions**;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas a number of reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Amendment

I. whereas a number of reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding, **as well as competitions and exhibitions**;

Or. ro

Amendment 44
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas a number of reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Amendment

I. whereas a number of **justifiable** reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Or. it

Amendment 45

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas a number of reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Amendment

I. whereas a number of **economic** reasons exist for the movement of live animals, including marketing, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas ***a number of reasons exist for the movement of*** live animals, ***including marketing***, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Amendment

I. whereas ***currently*** live animals ***are transported for profit***, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas ***a number of reasons exist for the movement of*** live animals, ***including marketing***, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Amendment

I. whereas ***currently*** live animals ***are transported for profit***, fattening, slaughter, rearing and breeding;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas the Farm to Fork Strategy recognises the importance of a more healthy nutrition and promoting a more plant-based diet, reducing EU average intakes of red and processed meat, both to reduce the risk of life threatening diseases as well as the environmental impact of the food system;

Or. en

Amendment 49

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas the transport of semen, embryos, meat and other animal products is technically easier and ethically more rational than the transport of live animals for the purpose of being slaughtered and breeding;

Or. en

Amendment 50

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna

Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution
Recital I b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ib. whereas according to EFSA scientific opinion from 2011 transport of animals is considered a major risk for the spread of infectious animal diseases in the EU^{1a};

1a

<https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.1966>

Or. en

Amendment 51
Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Recital I b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ib. whereas animals should be fattened and slaughtered as close as possible to the places where they are bred;

Or. en

Amendment 52
Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas every year millions of animals are transported over long distances within and between Member

deleted

States and to third countries;

Or. fr

Amendment 53

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas every year millions of animals are transported over long distances within and between Member States and to third countries;

Amendment

J. whereas every year millions of animals are transported over long distances within and between Member States and to third countries, *exposing them to numerous problems for hours, days and sometimes weeks on end, which is a very stressful experience for animals and as a consequence severely harms their welfare, with the live export trade being known to involve risks of exceedingly rough handling and extremely cruel methods of slaughter;*

Or. en

Amendment 54

Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas every year millions of animals are transported over long distances within and between Member States and to third countries;

Amendment

J. whereas every year millions of animals are transported over long distances within and between Member States and to third countries *that can be substituted conveniently by the transport of meat, animal products and genetic material;*

Or. en

Amendment 55

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Pascal Durand, Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner, Martin Hojsik, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas every year millions of animals are transported over long distances within and between Member States and to third countries;

Amendment

J. whereas every year millions of animals are transported over ***both short and*** long distances within and between Member States and to third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas every year millions of animals are transported over long distances within and between Member States and to third countries;

Amendment

J. whereas every year millions of animals are transported over long distances within and between Member States and ***from and*** to third countries;

Or. it

Amendment 57

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas in 2019 about 1.4 billion bovines, swine, poultry, ovine, caprine and equines were traded across the Member States and 4.3 million bovines, 3.3 million ovine and caprine, 36.9

million pigs, 1 300 million poultry and 55 692 equines were traded between the Member States, with poultry being the most traded group of animals, representing at least 57 % of the total number of traded live animals for all Member States^{1a};

^{1a} 'Patterns of livestock transport in the EU and to third countries', European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members' Research Service, July 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 58
Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas number of countries or regions in the EU and globally have banned transport of live animals on long distances to third countries and overseas and others are considering the measure due to proving impossibility for the transport to be held in line with the provisions of the Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 as well as due to impossibility to assess the real conditions during long distance journeys to third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas, according to the 2008 World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) definition, animal welfare means that an animal is healthy, has enough space, is well nourished, feels safe, is free to express normal patterns of behaviour and does not suffer from feelings such as fear, pain and distress; whereas this is not the case in the vast majority of live animal transports, in particular over long distances;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas the disappearance of local slaughterhouses prevents farmers from selling meat directly within their territory and this is one of the reasons for longer journey times; whereas this is a challenge that the Union and the Member States must tackle;

Or. it

Amendment 61

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas every year millions of live animals are transported within Member States and to third countries over long

distances for breeding, rearing, further fattening and slaughter;

Or. fr

Amendment 62
Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution
Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas limitations on the activity and profitability turns normally on impediments for research and innovation, adoption of best practices and investments in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Recital J b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jb. whereas the main players in intra-EU trade in live animals depend on the animal species, with France dominating the trade in bovines within the EU, and Italy, the Netherlands and Spain being the main buyers; Romania being the top trader of ovines within the EU; Denmark and the Netherlands being the main countries to transport pigs; Germany being the biggest trader in poultry, closely followed by the Netherlands; and the trade in equines being dominated by the Netherlands, France and Belgium^{1b};

^{1b} *'Patterns of livestock transport in the*

EU and to third countries', European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members' Research Service, July 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 64

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux, Harald Vilimsky, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Recital J b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jb. whereas slaughtering animals as close as possible to where they are reared allows farmers to promote their own agricultural products and is likely to ensure greater animal welfare;

Or. it

Amendment 65

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital J b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jb. whereas EU citizens are increasingly keen to see compliance with animal welfare standards, especially in live animal transports;

Or. fr

Amendment 66

Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Recital J b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jb. whereas there are extensive studies proving that animal welfare has an impact on meat quality;

Or. en

Amendment 67

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Recital J c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jc. whereas, on 21 September 2017, the Commission received over one million signatures in support of the #StopTheTrucks campaign, in which EU citizens call for an end to long-distance transport;

Or. fr

Amendment 68

Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Recital J c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jc. whereas the large number of infringements identified by Directorate-General SANTE in 2017 in several Member States would require the initiation of the relevant Treaty infringement proceedings;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital J c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jc. whereas 2.8 million sheep and cattle were transported by sea to Croatia, France, Ireland, Portugal, Romania, Slovenia and Spain in 2018^{1c};

^{1c} 'Patterns of livestock transport in the EU and to third countries', European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members' Research Service, July 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 70

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital J d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jd. whereas around 700 thousand cattle and sheep are exported annually from the EU to Turkey, a high number of cattle (70 000) is also transported to Lebanon, mainly departing from France and Spain, and France further exports cattle to Algeria, Tunisia and Morocco^{1d};

^{1d} 'Patterns of livestock transport in the EU and to third countries', European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members' Research Service, July 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 71

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital J d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jd. whereas slaughtering animals closer to their breeding place can improve animal welfare by limiting journey times;

Or. fr

Amendment 72

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital J e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Je. whereas in 2018 about 239 thousand breeding cattle, 78 thousand cattle for slaughter, 416 thousand pigs, 2.4 million sheep and 14 thousand goats were exported from the EU to third countries for breeding or slaughter, and in 2019 about 230 million bovines, pigs, poultry and ovine were exported from EU to third countries, with poultry being the most traded farmed species accounting for 98% of the exports^{1e};

^{1e} 'Patterns of livestock transport in the EU and to third countries', European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members' Research Service, July 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 73

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital J e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Je. whereas the disappearance of local slaughterhouses, which is a factor in longer journey times, is an issue that the Union and the Member States must address;

Or. fr

Amendment 74

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Recital J f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jf. whereas ‘regional’ specialisation, which involves piglets or calves bred in one country being transported for fattening in another country, increases long-distance transport and therefore animal suffering;

Or. fr

Amendment 75

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital J f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jf. whereas over 600 thousand pigs are sent each year from the EU to Russia as well as to, Ukraine and Moldova, and pigs often undergo long distance

transports from Germany to Russia, Ukraine, Moldova, and Serbia^{1f};

^{1f} 'Patterns of livestock transport in the EU and to third countries', European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members' Research Service, July 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 76

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital J g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jg. whereas, during transport, all too often:

- animals lack food and water;***
- animals are not given the correct rest breaks;***
- transport vehicles are overloaded;***
- transport vehicles are too hot and insufficiently ventilated;***
- unfit or unweaned animals are transported;***
- transport vehicles do not provide sufficient headroom;***

Or. fr

Amendment 77

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital J g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jg. whereas the main EU trade partners depend on the animal species, with Ukraine being a major trade partner in 2019, when it imported 84.6 million terrestrial farmed animals, most of them poultry, and Belarus, Ghana, Egypt, Morocco and Albania being other important poultry destinations of EU exports in 2019, mainly coming from Poland with about 61.9 million animals, followed by Hungary with 35.6 million animals, the Netherlands with 29.8 million animals and France with roughly 25.4 million animals^{1g};

^{1g} 'Patterns of livestock transport in the EU and to third countries', European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members' Research Service, July 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 78

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital J h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jh. whereas transporting meat and genetic material is technically easier and more animal welfare friendly than transporting live animals;

Or. fr

Amendment 79

Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

K. *whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion and provides around 60 000 direct jobs and 300 000 indirect jobs, especially in rural areas¹⁴ ;*

deleted

¹⁴ *At a Glance – ‘EU trade and transport of live animals’, European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members’ Research Service, 7 February 2020.*

Or. en

Amendment 80

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

K. *whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion **and provides around 60 000 direct jobs and 300 000 indirect jobs, especially in rural areas¹⁴ ;***

K. *whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion¹⁴; **whereas the overall trend characterising the meat processing industry in the EU is an increasing concentration in large companies and mass production, and a dramatic increase in live animals export, whereas the EU is now estimated to be responsible for over 80% of the global trade in live animals; whereas this concentration has been characterised by***

animal welfare, food safety and, on occasion, worker safety concerns;

¹⁴ At a Glance – ‘EU trade and transport of live animals’, European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members’ Research Service, 7 February 2020.

¹⁴ At a Glance – ‘EU trade and transport of live animals’, European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members’ Research Service, 7 February 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 81 **Peter Lundgren**

Motion for a resolution **Recital K**

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion **and provides around 60 000 direct jobs and 300 000 indirect jobs, especially in rural areas¹⁴** ;

¹⁴ At a Glance – ‘EU trade and transport of live animals’, European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members’ Research Service, 7 February 2020.

Amendment

K. whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion

Or. en

Amendment 82 **Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová**

Motion for a resolution **Recital K**

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion ***and provides around 60 000 direct jobs and 300 000 indirect jobs, especially in rural areas***¹⁴ ;

¹⁴ At a Glance – ‘EU trade and transport of live animals’, European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members’ Research Service, 7 February 2020.

Amendment

K. whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion ***and provides around 60 000 direct jobs and 300 000 indirect jobs, especially in rural areas***¹⁴ ;

¹⁴ At a Glance – ‘EU trade and transport of live animals’, European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members’ Research Service, 7 February 2020.

Amendment

K. whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion¹⁴ ;

¹⁴ At a Glance – ‘EU trade and transport of live animals’, European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members’ Research Service, 7 February 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 84

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Pascal Durand, Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner, Martin Hojsik, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion and provides around 60 000 direct jobs and 300 000 indirect jobs, especially in rural *areas*¹⁴ ;

¹⁴ At a Glance – ‘EU trade and transport of live animals’, European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members’ Research Service, 7 February 2020.

Amendment

K. whereas the value of the intra-EU trade in live animals was EUR 8.6 billion in 2018, with bovine animals, pigs and poultry having the highest values; whereas the export of live animals represents around EUR 1.6 billion and provides around 60 000 direct jobs and 300 000 indirect jobs, especially in rural *and regional economies*¹⁴ ;

¹⁴ At a Glance – ‘EU trade and transport of live animals’, European Parliament, Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research, Members’ Research Service, 7 February 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 85

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the competence for animal welfare in the European Commission is attributed to the Commissioner for Health and Food Safety, but this subject is delegated to a Unit that is part of the largely unrelated Directorate G (Crisis preparedness in food, animals and plants);

Or. en

Amendment 86

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has highlighted the limits and weaknesses of globalised and intricate food supply chains, and has shown that over-specialisation and export-based agriculture system leaves farmers and countries more vulnerable to trade restrictions;

Or. en

Amendment 87

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the Commission must ensure that all Member States correctly apply EU legislation on animal transport, not only to ensure animal welfare but also to avoid unfair competition;

Or. fr

Amendment 88

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Veronika Vrecionová, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas live animal transport within the EU and from the EU to third countries causes great animal suffering and the live export trade is known to involve risks of exceedingly rough handling and extremely cruel methods of slaughter;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas fitness for transportation is a major factor in ensuring animal welfare during transport, as welfare risks during transport are greater for animals which are injured, pregnant, sick or unweaned;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux, Harald Vilimsky, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas imports of animals into the EU often lead to unfair practices against our breeders as a result of insufficient animal welfare rules;

Or. it

Amendment 91
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. NEW L whereas the judgement of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 17 December 2020 in Case C-336/19 clearly ruled that regulations regarding ritual slaughter can be imposed;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the European livestock farms employ around 4 million people (salaried and non-salaried), 80% of whom reside in the more recent EU Member States^{3a};

^{3a} <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/04af47b0-0c38-11eb-bc07-01aa75ed71a1/language-en>

Or. en

Amendment 93
Billy Kelleher
on behalf of the Renew Group
Hilde Vautmans, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas geographic location should not act as a barrier to the free movement of goods, people and services as guaranteed under the EU Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas it is possible to transport meat and genetic material instead of live animals as countries are already trading meat/carcasses and embryos;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution
Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas according to the European Commission's commissioned research and data, the external costs of road transport in the EU amount to around 720 billion euros annually, including environmental pollution costs (GHG emissions, local air pollution,

noise, energy production, habitat damage), congestion costs and road accident costs, of which around 170bn of those external costs are attributed to road freight^{1a};

^{1a} CE Delft (2019), 'Handbook on the External Costs of Transport. Version 2019'. All figures in this section exclude UK.

Or. en

Amendment 96

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***Kb.** whereas livestock farming is the main beneficiary of second pillar aid to farms in disadvantaged areas, which make up 50% of the European UAA, and of Agro-Environmental Measures, which compensate for the additional costs linked to unfavourable location or the obligations of having to respect specific legislation^{4a};*

^{4a} <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/04af47b0-0c38-11eb-bc07-01aa75ed71a1/language-en>

Or. en

Amendment 97

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas climate change is triggering profound changes in the entire world's life and notably striking animals, which are to be more exposed to even more extreme temperatures and changes in the weather during transport than before^{1h};

^{1h} Special report for the Sixth assessment cycle, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), October 2018

Or. en

Amendment 98

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas strict checks on animal transport from third countries can reduce unfair competition at the expense of EU producers and encourage third countries to improve their animal transport standards;

Or. fr

Amendment 99

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas live animal transport within the EU and from the EU to third countries causes great animal suffering

and the live export trade is known to involve risks of exceedingly rough handling and extremely cruel methods of slaughter;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas EU rules and standards on animal welfare are the highest in the world, thanks to the significant progress made and the efforts of our breeders, transporters and civil society;

Or. it

Amendment 101
Sirpa Pietikäinen, Maria Noichl, Pascal Durand, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution
Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas it is possible to transport meat and genetic material instead of live animals as countries are already trading meat/carcasses and embryos;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. *whereas fitness for transport is defined differently in the various Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 103

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Recital K c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kc. *whereas EU fish intra-trade plays an essential role in the whole EU fishery trade and it accounted in 2014 for 86% of total trade within and outside the EU, volumes sold within the EU reaching at 5,74 million tonnes with a value of EUR 20,6 billion, the highest registered since 2006^{5a}.*

5a

https://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2016/february/tradoc_154321.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 104

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Recital K c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kc. *whereas it is possible to transport meat and genetic material instead of live animals as countries are already trading meat/carcasses and embryos;*

Amendment 105
Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution
Recital K c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kc. whereas animal transport, especially over long distances, is a factor in greenhouse gas emissions;

Or. fr

Amendment 106
Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Recital K c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kc. whereas there is often confusion among those responsible about what needs to be done if animals are declared unfit for transport;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Recital K c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kc. whereas it is important to support our livestock sectors, preventing loss of market share;

Or. it

Amendment 108

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital K d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kd. whereas animal transport over long distances and under poor hygiene conditions increases the risk of transmitting and spreading disease;

Or. fr

Amendment 109

Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Recital K d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kd. whereas those responsible for the transports are often uncertain how far the pregnancy has progressed;

Or. en

Amendment 110

Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution

Recital K e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ke. whereas EU citizens are increasingly concerned about compliance with animal welfare standards, especially in live animal transports;

Amendment 111

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Recital K e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ke. whereas complying with animal welfare principles improves the quality of meat products;

Amendment 112

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and ***can have a potential impact on*** their health and welfare;

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and ***impacts*** their health and welfare, ***and insists that the solution is to move within an appropriate time frame, and no later than 2028, to replace the export of terrestrial farmed animals with the export of meat, carcasses and genetic materials to non-EU countries;***

Amendment 113

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and ***can have a potential impact on*** their health and welfare;

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and ***impacts*** their health and welfare, ***and insists that the solution is to move within an appropriate time frame, and no later than 2028, to a trade solely in carcasses, meat and genetic material, instead of live animals;***

Or. en

Amendment 114

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals ***and*** can have a potential impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment

1. Emphasises that ***the scientific literature on animal transport is scarce and that the*** transport is stressful for animals, ***especially when not properly conducted, and it*** can have a potential impact ***not only*** on their health and welfare, ***but on consumer's health as well;***

Or. en

Amendment 115

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and ***can have a potential*** impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment

1. Emphasises that transport, ***in trucks, on vessels, in trains and in planes,*** is stressful for animals and ***has a negative*** impact on their health and welfare, ***and is concerned that the trade in live animals between Member States has increased the past decades;***

Or. en

Amendment 116

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and ***can have a potential*** impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and ***has a negative*** impact on their health and welfare, ***and that EU should therefore implement principles of reducing, refining and replacing live transport as much as possible;***

Or. en

Amendment 117

Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport ***is*** stressful for animals and can have a potential impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment

1. Emphasises that, ***if it does not comply with the conditions laid down in the regulations and the provisions adopted by the Member States,*** transport ***can be*** stressful for animals and can have a potential impact on their health and welfare;

Or. it

Amendment 118

Emma Wiesner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and can **have a potential** impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment

1. Emphasises that, **among others, long distance transport, poorly planned transport or transport reliant on outdated technology and equipment** is stressful for animals and can impact on their health and welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Hilde Vautmans, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and can have a potential impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment

1. Emphasises that **poorly planned transport, especially when reliant on outdated technology and equipment**, is stressful for animals and can have a potential impact on their health and welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 120

Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and can have a potential impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment

1. Emphasises that transport is **often** stressful for animals and can have a potential impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment 121
Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport *is* stressful for animals and can have a potential impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment

1. Emphasises that transport *may be* stressful for animals and can have a potential impact on their health and welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and *can have a potential* impact on their health and welfare;

Amendment

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and *has a negative* impact on their health and welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and *can have a potential* impact on their health and

Amendment

1. Emphasises that transport is stressful for animals and *has an* impact on their health and welfare;

welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 124
Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Notes that it is particularly difficult to enforce Regulation 1/2005 where a transport passes through a number of Member States and various Member States have approved the journey log and have issued the transporter with the authorisation and the registration certificate for the vehicle and the certificate of competence for the driver; where Member States identify violations of the provisions of Regulation 1/2005, they must notify such violations in accordance with Article 26 to all other Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 125
Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Takes note of the fact that, albeit factors such as extreme temperatures, lack of food, water and rest, loading and unloading^{6a} are catalysed by the length of the journey, it is not the journey duration per se that causes welfare issues for live animals during transport; further notes that, provided conditions are optimal,

healthy and fit farm animals could possibly be exposed to long transport durations without necessarily compromising their welfare^{5a};

5a

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221974187_Road_transport_of_farm_animals_Effect_of_journey_duration_on_animal_welfare

^{6a} Results from scientific projects (Hartung et al., 2006; Marahrens et al., 2003) show that the main source of stress is the loading and unloading procedure and not the duration of transportation

Or. en

Amendment 126

Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Emphasises, that the transport regulation was adopted more than 15 years ago and that it does not set the conditions in line with the most recent scientific research and knowledge on the physiology and needs of the animals;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1b. Emphasises that live animal transport is the result of the specialization of farmers in one or more stages of the animal's life, of the management of environmental issues and of the various production systems across the EU, which arise from different geographical, climatic, environmental and traditional factors and that it supports the economic strength and social vibrancy of territories across the EU, which contain main production areas being located in depopulating areas or in areas with natural constraints across the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 128

Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1b. Is concerned that the current provisions of the Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 are not aligned with the Farm to Fork Strategy to promote a local and short supply chains and stresses that the systematic regulatory changes of live animal transports are needed to shift the trade from live transports to transports of meat, carcasses and genetic material;

Or. en

Amendment 129

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1c. Emphasises that due to the complex relationships among the various needs and requirements of farmed fish and their behavioural and physiological consequences, as for all animals it is impossible to find one single measurement or welfare indicator that will cover all possible husbandry systems, farmed species and situations;

Or. en

Amendment 130
Michal Wiezik, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1c. Stresses that due to the impossibility to enforce and to implement in practical reality the Court of Justice's C-424/13 ruling, some Member States or regions have already moved to restrict and ban transports of live animals on long distances to certain countries or regions;

Or. en

Amendment 131
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Recalls that in the Council conclusions of 16 December 2019 on animal welfare, all Member States recognised the challenges that long-distance transport implies for animal welfare, the shortcomings and inconsistencies in enforcing the rules and

2. Recalls that in the Council conclusions of 16 December 2019 on animal welfare, all Member States recognised the challenges that long-distance transport implies for animal welfare, the shortcomings and inconsistencies in enforcing the rules and

the need for better rule enforcement, as well as the need to review and update *the current legislation*;

the need for better rule enforcement, as well as the need to review and update *in case an assessment of the situation after a better rule shows the need for a revision*;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recalls that in the Council conclusions of 16 December 2019 on animal welfare, all Member States recognised the challenges that long-distance transport implies for animal welfare, the shortcomings and inconsistencies in enforcing the rules and the need for better rule enforcement, as well as the need to review and update the current legislation;

Amendment

2. Recalls that in the Council conclusions of 16 December 2019 on animal welfare, all Member States recognised the challenges that long-distance transport implies for animal welfare, the shortcomings and inconsistencies in enforcing the rules and the need for better rule enforcement, as well as the need to review and update the current legislation, *in the light of the latest scientific knowledge*;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recalls that in the Council conclusions of 16 December 2019 on animal welfare, all Member States recognised the *challenges* that long-distance transport implies for animal welfare, the shortcomings and inconsistencies in enforcing the rules and the need for better rule enforcement, as

Amendment

2. Recalls that in the Council conclusions of 16 December 2019 on animal welfare, all Member States recognised the *problems* that long-distance transport implies for animal welfare, the shortcomings and inconsistencies in enforcing the rules and the need for better rule enforcement, as well as the need to

well as the need to review and update the current legislation;

review and update the current legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 134
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recalls that in the Council conclusions of 16 December 2019 on animal welfare, all Member States recognised the challenges that long-distance transport implies for animal welfare, the shortcomings and inconsistencies in enforcing the rules and the need for better rule enforcement, as well as the need to *review and* update the current legislation;

Amendment

2. Recalls that in the Council conclusions of 16 December 2019 on animal welfare, all Member States recognised the challenges that long-distance transport implies for animal welfare, the shortcomings and inconsistencies in enforcing the rules and the need for better rule enforcement, as well as the need to update the current legislation;

Or. it

Amendment 135
Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

2a. Recalls, in this respect, that Article 1(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 allows Member States to adopt stricter national measures aimed at improving the welfare of animals during transport taking place entirely within their territory or during sea transport departing from their territory;

Amendment

Or. fr

Amendment 136

Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in the interpretation of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States;

Amendment

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in the interpretation of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States, ***while the 2020 reports on welfare of animals exported by road and sea emphasized that the limitations, such as inability of Member States to ascertain the conditions of transport outside the EU or during the sea travel and routinely unreported approvals of incomplete and incorrect travel documentation, prevent national authorities and the Commission to get an overview of the general situation during these transports and to target their controls more effectively and based on risk;***

Or. en

Amendment 137

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in

Amendment

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, ***reported about a gap between the requirements of the legislation and available scientific***

the interpretation of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States;

evidence; additionally, the Commission identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in the interpretation of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States; recalls that the report underlines the fact that implausible transport plans are approved;

Or. en

Amendment 138

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in the interpretation of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States;

Amendment

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, ***reported about a gap between the requirements of the legislation and available scientific evidence; additionally, the Commission identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in the interpretation of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States, recalls that the report underlines the fact that implausible transport plans are approved;***

Or. en

Amendment 139

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation

Amendment

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation

(EC) No 1/2005, identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in the interpretation of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States;

(EC) No 1/2005, *reported about a gap between the requirements of the legislation and available scientific evidence and the fact that implausible transport plans are approved, and that the Commission* identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in the interpretation of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 140
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in the *interpretation* of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States;

Amendment

3. Recalls that the Commission, in its 2011 report on the impact of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, identified the enforcement of the regulation as a major challenge, partly because of differences in the *transposition* of the requirements and partly because of the lack of controls by the Member States;

Or. it

Amendment 141
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

3a. *Regrets that the Commission did not adequately follow-up to Parliaments' resolutions of 12 December 2012 on the protection of animals during transport and 14 February 2019 on the*

Amendment

implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 on the protection of animals during transport within and outside the EU, which, amongst other things, contained clear calls for shorter transport times for animals and better checks on compliance with EU rules to protect animals during transport;

Or. en

Amendment 142

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3b. *Regrets that some Member States were not willing to cooperate to investigate alleged contraventions and maladministration in the application of Union law in relation to the protection of animals during transport within and outside the Union, which unnecessarily complicated the work of the Committee of Inquiry;*

Or. en

Amendment 143

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3c. *Emphasises the Federation of Veterinarians of Europe's call that animals should be reared as close as possible to premises on which they are born and slaughtered as close as possible to the point of production^{li};*

li 'The welfare of animals during transportation', Federation of Veterinarians of Europe, 15 November 2008.

Or. en

Amendment 144

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. **Considers** that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not fully take into account the different transport needs of animals, according to species, age, size and physical condition, **or** specific feeding and watering requirements;

Amendment

4. **Takes note** that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not fully take into account the different transport needs of **all the** animals **transported**, according to **their** species, age, size and physical condition, **including** specific feeding and watering, **temperatures and handling** requirements, **and the pre-and post-loading requirements of fish**;

Or. en

Amendment 145

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not fully take into account the different transport needs of animals, according to species, age, size and physical condition, or specific feeding and watering requirements;

Amendment

4. Considers that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not fully take into account the different transport needs of animals, according to species, age, size and physical condition, or specific feeding and watering requirements; ***underlines that for terrestrial farm animals, transport is prescribed in more details in the***

Regulation, but not for fish;

Or. en

Amendment 146
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. **Considers** that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not fully take into account the different transport needs of animals, according to species, age, size and physical condition, **or** specific feeding and watering requirements;

Amendment

4. **Takes note** that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not fully take into account the different transport needs of **all the** animals **transported**, according to **their** species, age, size and physical condition, **including** specific feeding and watering, **temperatures and handling** requirements, **and the pre- and post-loading requirements of fish;**

Or. en

Amendment 147
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 **does not fully take** into account the different transport needs of animals, according to species, age, size and physical condition, or specific feeding and watering requirements;

Amendment

4. Considers that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 **should be updated, taking** into account the **research and testing activities carried out on transport based on the** different transport needs of animals, according to species, age, size and physical condition, or specific feeding and watering requirements;

Or. it

Amendment 148
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 **does** not fully **take into account** the different transport needs of animals, according to species, age, size and physical condition, or specific feeding and watering requirements;

Amendment

4. Considers that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 **is** not fully **complied with by the Member States as regards** the different transport needs of animals, according to species, age, size and physical condition, or specific **physiological and behavioural** feeding and watering requirements;

Or. ro

Amendment 149
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. **Considers** that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not **fully** take into account the different **transport** needs of animals, according to species, age, size and physical condition, or specific feeding and watering requirements;

Amendment

4. **Stresses** that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not take into account the different needs of **all** animals **transported**, according to **their** species, age, size and physical condition, **temperatures, humidity and handling requirements** or specific feeding, **ruminantion** and watering requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Stresses that provisions for transport of Equidae and companion animals are vague and severely lacking, which leads to frequent breaches of the regulation and serious animal welfare problems; underlines that registered horses are exempt from a variety of provisions, further hindering their welfare; moreover, many technical requirements set out in the regulation are incompatible with the needs of Equidae;

Or. en

Amendment 151
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Stresses that provisions for transport of Equidae and companion animals are vague and severely lacking, which leads to frequent breaches of the regulation and serious animal welfare problems; underlines that registered horses are exempt from a variety of provisions, further hindering their welfare; moreover, many technical requirements set out in the regulation are incompatible with the needs of Equidae;

Or. en

Amendment 152
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Recalls the need to revise the

transport regulation to ensure that the drivers do not need to choose between following the rules and the welfare of the animals;

Or. en

Amendment 153
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. Recalls that despite the Commission's commitment referenced in the Transport Regulation, there have been no relevant EFSA opinions published nor specific provisions for cats and dogs introduced since the regulation entered into force;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. Recalls that despite the Commission's commitment referenced in the Transport Regulation, there have been no relevant EFSA opinions published nor specific provisions for cats and dogs introduced since the regulation entered into force;

Or. en

Amendment 155
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. Recalls the need to revise the transport regulation, setting out a means of reducing transport times of livestock, and encouraging a greater emphasis towards a more local approach to slaughterhouses;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Michal Wiezik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4c. Regrets the fact that provisions are not made for the welfare of fish in spite of them being in the scope of the regulation, being transported in large numbers, having immune systems especially vulnerable to stress, in spite of the Commission repeatedly identifying the shortfalls of the regulation with regards to fish, and as divergent and non-comprehensive guidelines are increasingly developed across Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4c. Stresses that provisions for transport of Equidae and companion animals are vague and severely lacking, which leads to frequent breaches of the regulation and serious animal welfare problems; underlines that registered horses are exempt from a variety of provisions, further hindering their welfare, moreover, many technical requirements set out in the regulation are incompatible with the needs of Equidae;

Or. en

Amendment 158

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4d. Recalls that despite the Commission's commitment referenced in the Transport Regulation, there have been no relevant EFSA opinions published nor specific provisions for cats and dogs introduced since the regulation entered into force;

Or. en

Amendment 159

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from ***unclear*** requirements and the lack of

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from ***legislative provisions unfit to ensure***

clear definitions in the current regulation, leaving room for uneven application of the rules **and for increased risks for animals**, and for unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

the welfare of the animals transported, lack of political will by Member States to address breaches of the law, misleading requirements and the lack of clear definitions in the current regulation, leaving room for interpretation and uneven application of the rules and for unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not, but especially for increased risks for animals and serious harm to their wellbeing;

Or. en

Amendment 160

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from ***unclear*** requirements and ***the*** lack of clear definitions ***in the current regulation, leaving*** room for uneven application of the rules ***and for*** increased risks for animals, and ***for*** unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Amendment

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from ***legislative provisions unfit to ensure the welfare of the animals transported, lack of political will by Member States to address breaches of the law, misleading requirements and lack of clear definitions which leave*** room for ***interpretation. All of this, often is at the source of systematic violations***, uneven application of the rules, increased risks for animals, and unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies ***and Member States*** which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Or. en

Amendment 161

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from **unclear** requirements and **the** lack of clear definitions **in the current regulation, leaving** room for uneven application of the rules **and for** increased risks for animals, and **for** unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Amendment

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from **legislative provisions unfit to ensure the welfare of the animals transported, lack of political will by Member States to address breaches of the law, misleading** requirements and lack of clear definitions **which leave** room for **interpretation. All of this, often is at the source of systematic violations,** uneven application of the rules, increased risks for animals, and unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies **and Member States** which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Or. en

Amendment 162

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from unclear requirements and the lack of clear definitions in the current regulation, leaving room for **uneven** application of the rules **and for** increased risks for animals, and for **unfair competition among** operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Amendment

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from unclear requirements and the lack of clear definitions in the current regulation, leaving room for **interpretation and unharmonised** application of the rules, **creating** increased risks for animals, and **undermining the level playing field** for operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Or. en

Amendment 163
Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from unclear requirements and the lack of clear definitions in the current regulation, leaving room for uneven application of the rules and for increased risks for animals, and for unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Amendment

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from unclear requirements and the lack of clear definitions in the current regulation, leaving room for uneven application of the rules and for increased risks for animals, and for unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not, ***which in turn can lead to a ‘race to the bottom’ regarding animal welfare standards during transport;***

Or. en

Amendment 164
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate ***from unclear requirements and the lack of clear definitions in the current regulation, leaving room for*** uneven application of the rules and for increased risks for animals, and for unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Amendment

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from uneven application of the rules and increased risks for animals and unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Or. ro

Amendment 165
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Concludes that **many** of the problems in animal transport originate from unclear requirements **and the lack of clear definitions in the current regulation**, leaving room for **uneven** application of the rules and for increased risks for animals, and for unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Amendment

5. Concludes that **some** of the problems in animal transport originate from unclear requirements, leaving room for **different** application of the rules and for increased risks for animals, and for unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Or. it

Amendment 166
Billy Kelleher
on behalf of the Renew Group
Pascal Durand, Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner, Martin Hojsik, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from unclear requirements and the lack of clear definitions in the current regulation, leaving room for uneven application of the rules and for increased risks for animals, and for unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Amendment

5. Concludes that many of the problems in animal transport originate from unclear requirements and the lack of clear definitions in the current regulation, leaving room for uneven application of the rules and for increased risks for animals, and for unfair competition among operators in the sector, leaving companies **and Member States** which abide by the rules facing unfair competition from those which do not;

Or. en

Amendment 167
Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Advocates, therefore, that undertakings, whether they are responsible for breeding, fattening, transportation or imports or exports, must be expected to do more and assume greater responsibilities;

Or. en

Amendment 168
Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Welcomes the Commission fitness check of the EU legislation on the welfare of farmed animals proposed within the Farm to Fork Strategy, especially of the Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;

Or. en

Amendment 169
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. *Notes that where Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 is implemented appropriately by each Member State, it has a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport;*

Or. ro

Amendment 170

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. *Emphasises the need for comprehensive and uniform inspection of compliance with transport conditions to prevent animal dumping within the Union;*

Or. fr

Amendment 171

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. *Notes that certain Member States adhere to, and in many cases, surpass the requirements deemed necessary under Regulation 01/2005;*

Or. en

Amendment 172

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. Emphasises the need for a harmonised EU sanctions system in order to ensure that sanctions are effective, proportionate and dissuasive, and that they take account of repeat offences, even where committed in different Member States;

Or. fr

Amendment 173

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main farm species during transport and on risks to their *well-being*;

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main farm species during transport and on risks to their *wellbeing; and the risk of spreading Antimicrobial Resistance(AMR) and other zoonotic diseases; highlights the lack of political leadership in the previous Commissions to properly enforce the Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 and update the Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 to correspond to the most recent scientific advice and opinions by EFSA;*

Or. en

Amendment 174

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main farm species **during transport** and on risks to their well-being;

Amendment

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has **again** mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main **terrestrial** farm species **transported** and on risks to their well-being, **and highlights the urgent need to also assess the most recent scientific information on the welfare and needs of fish, companion animals, equines, laboratory animals and zoo animals transported**;

Or. en

Amendment 175

Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main farm species during transport and on risks to their well-being;

Amendment

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main farm species during transport and on risks to their well-being; **stresses that animal welfare legislation should be based on science and the latest technology**;

Or. ro

Amendment 176

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main farm species during transport and on risks to their *well-being*;

Amendment

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main *terrestrial* farm species during transport and on risks to their *wellbeing, and highlights the urgent need to assess the most recent scientific information on the welfare of fish and companion animals during transport*;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main farm species during transport and on risks to their *well-being*;

Amendment

6. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has mandated EFSA to assess the most recent scientific information available on the welfare of the main *terrestrial* farm species during transport and on risks to their *wellbeing, and highlights the urgent need to assess the most recent scientific information on the welfare of fish during transport*;

Or. en

Amendment 178

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Emphasises that the Food and

Agriculture Organization of the UN considers the intensive livestock industry responsible for almost a fifth of greenhouse gas emissions and that a significant reduction of the amount of animals reared, transported and killed in the EU is therefore necessary to adequately mitigate climate change^{1j};

^{1j} 'Tackling Climate Change through livestock', Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2013.

Or. en

Amendment 179

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Notes that less economically valuable animals suffer from worse transport conditions, whereas more economically valuable animals, such as breeding animals in the equine sector, benefit from better transport conditions;

Or. fr

Amendment 180

Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Emphasises that EFSA has recognised that the animal welfare scientific publications are scarce^{1a} and that may be a limitation to comply with

the mandate of the EC to update the legislation to the latest scientific evidence;

1a

<https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/news/animal-transport-help-us-prepare-our-assessment>

Or. en

Amendment 181

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Regrets the lack of cooperation by several Member States to fill in the questionnaire sent by ANIT Committee, which lead to missing data regarding possible infringements happening on the ground;

Or. en

Amendment 182

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux, Harald Vilimsky

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Stresses the need to guarantee equal treatment of animals regardless of their commercial value and to ensure the best transport conditions at all times;

Or. it

Amendment 183

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Pascal Durand, Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner, Martin Hojsik, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Welcomes the fact that a number of national competent authorities are carrying out scientific research on the impact of transport of live animals;

Or. en

Amendment 184

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. Notes that the transportation of animals is one of the biggest problems in the intensive livestock industry, and considers it necessary that the amount of animals reared and killed in the EU for food production is significantly reduced;

Or. en

Amendment 185

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6c. Points out that the suffering of animals causes great concern and

indignation in society, which, amongst other things, resulted in the Commission receiving 1 million signatures on 21 September 2017 for the #StopTheTrucks campaign in which European citizens called for an end to long distance transport;

Or. en

Amendment 186

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6d. Underlines that animal transport is one of the main causes of the rapid spread of animal diseases, including animal diseases which can be transmitted to humans;

Or. en

Amendment 187

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6e. Highlights that a meat and carcasses only trade is technically easier than the transportation of live animals, but that it is more ethical and sustainable to stimulate the production and consumption of plant-based food;

Or. en

Amendment 188

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6f. Notes that a decrease in the consumption of animal products will reduce the number of transport operations involving live animals and stresses that this will not only reduce the amount of animals currently suffering during transport, but also make a positive contribution to the environment and public health and is a key factor in combating climate change as well as avoiding water shortages^{1k};

^{1k} *'Tackling Climate Change through livestock', Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2013.*

Or. en

Amendment 189

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6g. Recommends that more relevance is given to animal welfare by making this responsibility explicit in the name of the relevant Directorate-General and the job title of the competent EU Commissioner by adapting the Commissioner's responsibility into "Health, Food Safety and Animal Welfare";

Or. en

Amendment 190

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6h. Encourages the Commission to develop a strategy that ensures there is no longer a need to transport live animals, including the stimulation of the consumption of plant-based food, considering the negative effects of intensive livestock farming on animal welfare, food safety, public health, the environment and the climate;

Or. en

Amendment 191

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6i. Points out that farmers should preferably breed their own animals, fatten them and have them slaughtered at the nearest slaughterhouse, and limit the number of transports to a maximum of two per animal life, in order to avoid lengthy and frequent transport of live animals;

Or. en

Amendment 192

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Considers it unacceptable that fifteen years after the implementation of Regulation 1/2005 animals are still transported under horrible conditions, violating the provisions of the Regulation, and causing immense and unnecessary suffering;

Or. en

Amendment 193
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports and information from citizens, NGOs and audit reports on animal welfare problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport;

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports and information from citizens, NGOs and audit reports ***on animal*** welfare problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport ***from loading until final destination;***

Or. en

Amendment 194
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports and information from citizens, NGOs and audit reports on animal welfare

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports and information from citizens, NGOs and audit reports on animal welfare

problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport;

problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport *from loading and until its final destination*;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports and information from citizens, NGOs and audit reports on animal welfare problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport;

Amendment

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports and information from citizens, NGOs and audit reports on animal welfare problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport; *both within EU and in third countries*;

Or. en

Amendment 196
Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports and information from citizens, NGOs and audit reports on animal welfare problems during transport and non-

Amendment

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports and information from citizens, NGOs and audit reports on animal welfare problems during transport and non-

compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport;

compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport *from loading until final destination*;

Or. en

Amendment 197

Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports *and information from citizens, NGOs* and audit reports on animal welfare problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning *long journeys and* transport to third countries, compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport;

Amendment

7. Calls attention to the numerous reports and audit reports on animal welfare problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning transport *from and* to third countries, *in some cases* compromising the European Union's obligation to ensure the protection of animal welfare during transport;

Or. it

Amendment 198

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls attention to the *numerous* reports and information from citizens, NGOs and *audit reports* on animal welfare

Amendment

7. Calls attention to the *recurring audits*, reports and information from citizens, NGOs and *public authorities* on

problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, ***compromising*** the European ***Union's obligation*** to ensure ***the*** protection of animal welfare ***during transport***,

animal welfare problems during transport and non-compliance with the regulation, in particular concerning long journeys and transport to third countries, ***during which*** the European ***Union is repeatedly failing*** to ensure protection of animal welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 199

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Is concerned with the information provided by DG SANTE in its official Audit Report regarding the failure of the Member States audited in implementing Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, as well as the high risk of animal suffering during the transport of EU animals to third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 200

Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Takes good note of the information provided by NGOs regarding the complaints lodged with the Commission on the alleged failure to comply with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005; ***takes note of their conclusions of systematic breaches of the regulation;***

8. Takes good note of the information provided by NGOs regarding the complaints lodged with the Commission on the alleged failure to comply with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;

Amendment 201
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. ***Takes good note of*** the information provided by NGOs regarding the complaints lodged with the Commission on the alleged failure to comply with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005; takes note of their conclusions of systematic breaches of the regulation;

Amendment

8. ***Is concerned with*** the information provided by NGOs ***over the past decades*** regarding the ***numerous*** complaints lodged with the Commission on the alleged failure to comply with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005; takes note of their conclusions of systematic breaches of the Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 202
Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. ***Takes good note of*** the information provided by NGOs regarding the complaints lodged with the Commission on the alleged failure to comply with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005; ***takes note of*** their conclusions of systematic breaches of the regulation;

Amendment

8. ***Recalls*** the information provided by NGOs regarding the complaints lodged with the Commission on the alleged failure to comply with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005; ***is worried about*** their conclusions of systematic breaches of the regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 203
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Takes good note of the information **provided by NGOs** regarding the complaints lodged with the Commission on the alleged failure to comply with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005; takes note of their conclusions of **systematic** breaches of the regulation;

Amendment

8. Takes good note of the information regarding the complaints lodged with the Commission on the alleged failure to comply with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005; takes note of their conclusions of **some** breaches of the regulation;

Or. it

Amendment 204
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

8a. Takes note of the information provided by DG SANTE in its official Audit Report regarding the failure of the Member States audited in implementing Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 as well as the high risk of animal suffering during the transport of EU animals to third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 205
Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

8a. Takes note of the information provided by DG SANTE in its official Audit Report regarding the failure of the Member States audited in implementing Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 as well as the high risk of animal suffering during the

transport of EU animals to third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 206

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Takes note of the information provided by DG SANTE in its official Audit Report regarding the failure of the Member States audited in implementing Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 as well as the high risk of animal suffering during the transport of EU animals to third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 207

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; *notes* that no infringements proceedings have been

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; *regrets the lack of follow-up investigations resulting*

opened by the Commission against any Member State;

from the information provided by NGOs; regrets that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State, ***even when proof of repeated breaches have been reported by NGOs and citizens; recalls the Commission's closure of 13 reported cases, with no action undertaken, despite FVO support for the case content;***

Or. en

Amendment 208

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of ***systematic*** non-compliance; ***notes*** that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Amendment

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of ***repeated*** non-compliance; ***regrets*** that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State, ***despite repeated violations of Regulation 1/2005 by some Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 209

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. ***Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking*** Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

9. ***Calls on*** Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 210

Emma Wiesner, Pascal Durand, Martin Hojsik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Amendment

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State, ***which should be made in the case of non-compliance;***

Or. en

Amendment 211

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Amendment

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that ***unfortunately*** no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 212

Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Amendment

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State ***which has to change immediately***;

Or. en

Amendment 213

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Amendment

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that **unfortunately** no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 214

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Pascal Durand, Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner, Martin Hojsik, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; **notes** that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Amendment

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure immediate and full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; **regrets** that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 215

Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9**

Motion for a resolution

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure ***immediate and*** full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Amendment

9. Acknowledges the letters sent by the Commission, in the framework of the Farm to Fork strategy, asking Member States to ensure full compliance with EU requirements, including on animal welfare during transport, and expressing its determination to take legal action in the event of systematic non-compliance; notes that no infringements proceedings have been opened by the Commission against any Member State;

Or. it

Amendment 216

Sylvia Limmer, Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Aurélia Beigneux, Julie Lechanteux

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

9a. Acknowledges that neither the Regulation 1/2005 nor further actions taken so far solved the frequent and unacceptable problems and infringements to Regulation and in consequence the principle to transport live animals to be slaughtered has to be questioned; considers that the Enforcement of Regulation 1/2005 is the core of the problem and that it appears unsolvable to ensure animal welfare while maintaining long distance transport of live animals;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 217
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Welcomes the provisions of the new common agricultural policy, which contribute significantly to farm animal welfare through the cross-compliance mechanism and by encouraging livestock farmers to apply higher standards through the financial support granted under rural development policy;

Or. ro

Amendment 218
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to the lack of headroom, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, transport during extreme temperatures **and** journey **duration**;

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to the lack of headroom **and space**, animals **transported while** being unfit for transport, overcrowding, **animals' dehydration due to inappropriate watering systems or lack of water supply**, transport during extreme temperatures, **failure to comply with journey and resting times, disrespecting the rules concerning breaks for watering and feeding of animals, insufficient litter and fodder, poor ventilation, not respecting the CJEU ruling C-424/13, non-realistic journey logs, non-feasible contingency plan and lack of communication on findings from official controls between competent authorities from the Member States**; stresses that **these violations are greatly facilitated by weak enforcement measures by**

authorities, lack of quantitative and qualitative controls and that sanctions are too weak and not dissuasive;

Or. en

Amendment 219

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to the lack of headroom, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, transport during extreme temperatures *and* journey duration;

Amendment

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations *during road transports* are linked to the lack of headroom *and space*, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, *animals' dehydration due to inappropriate watering devices or lack of water supply*, transport during extreme temperatures, journey duration, *disregard for the CJEU ruling C-424/13, non-realistic journey logs and non-feasible contingency plan; additionally notes that the communication on findings from official controls between competent authorities from different Member States remains poor; stresses that these violations are greatly facilitated by weak enforcement measures by authorities and by too little controls (quantitative and qualitative); stresses that sanctions are too weak and not dissuasive;*

Or. en

Amendment 220

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to the lack of headroom, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, transport during extreme temperatures *and* journey duration;

Amendment

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations ***during road transports*** are linked to the lack of headroom ***and space***, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, ***animals' dehydration due to inappropriate watering devices or lack of water supply***, transport during extreme temperatures, journey duration, ***disregard for the CJEU ruling C-424/13, non-realistic journey logs and non-feasible contingency plan***; ***additionally notes that the communication on findings from official controls between competent authorities from different Member States remains poor; stresses that these violations are greatly facilitated by weak enforcement measures by authorities and by too little controls (quantitative and qualitative); stresses that sanctions are too weak and not dissuasive***;

Or. en

Amendment 221

Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to the lack of headroom, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, transport during extreme temperatures and journey duration;

Amendment

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations ***during road transports*** are linked to the lack of headroom ***and space***, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, ***animals' dehydration due to inappropriate watering devices or lack of water supply*** transport during extreme temperatures and journey duration, ***disregard for the CJEU ruling C-424/13, non-realistic journey logs and non-feasible contingency plan***; ***additionally notes that the communication on findings from official controls between***

competent authorities from different Member States remains poor; stresses that these violations are greatly facilitated by weak enforcement measures by authorities and by too little controls (quantitative and qualitative); stresses that sanctions are too weak, not dissuasive and not harmonised;

Or. en

Amendment 222

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes that the most *frequently documented* violations are linked to *the lack of headroom*, animals being unfit for transport, *overcrowding*, transport *during* extreme temperatures *and journey duration*;

Amendment

10. Notes that the most *common* violations *of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005* are linked to:

- *overcrowding*;
- animals being unfit for transport;
- transport *of unweaned or pregnant animals*;
- *insufficient headroom*;
- *insufficient rest breaks*;
- *insufficient feeding, watering and ventilation*;
- extreme temperatures;

Or. fr

Amendment 223

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to the lack of headroom, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, transport during extreme temperatures and journey duration;

Amendment

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to the lack of headroom, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, ***lack of water due to inappropriate watering devices or lack of water supply***, transport during extreme temperatures and ***considerably prolonged*** journey duration;

Or. en

Amendment 224
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to the lack of headroom, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, ***transport during extreme temperatures*** and journey duration;

Amendment

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to the lack of headroom, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, ***high temperatures and inadequate ventilation, feeding and hydration inside the vehicle,*** and journey duration;

Or. ro

Amendment 225
Rosanna Conte

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to ***the***

Amendment

10. Notes that the most frequently documented violations are linked to ***failure***

lack of headroom, animals being unfit for transport, overcrowding, transport during extreme temperatures and journey duration;

to comply with the Regulation; notes the need to set up a European IT system to improve the collection and monitoring of transport data;

Or. it

Amendment 226

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Notes that the most frequently documented violations on transport by sea are lack of knowledge by the competent authorities when inspecting livestock, lack of trained personnel handling the animals on the vessel, lack of legislated temperature and humidity requirements during the sea transport and lack of contingency plans for transporters as well as for competent authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 227

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Notes that the most frequently documented violations on transport by sea are lack of knowledge by the competent authorities when inspecting livestock, lack of trained personnel handling the animals on the vessel, lack of legislated temperature and humidity requirements during the sea transport and lack of contingency plans for transporters as well

as for competent authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 228

Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Notes that the most frequently documented violations on transport by sea are lack of knowledge by the competent authorities when inspecting livestock, lack of trained personnel handling the animals on the vessel, lack of legislated temperature and humidity requirements during the sea transport and lack of contingency plans for transporters as well as for competent authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 229

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Notes that the most frequently documented violations on transport by sea are a lack of knowledge by the competent authorities when inspecting animals, lack of trained personnel handling the animals on the vessel, lack of legislated temperature and humidity requirements during sea transport and lack of contingency plans for transporters and competent authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. *Notes that the technical means needed to clearly establish the stage of gestation of pregnant females are not always used;*

Or. fr

Amendment 231

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10b. *Notes that there is no systematic and evidenced-based communication system between competent authorities from third countries and Member States on fulfilment of legislated animal welfare requirements which would have been needed;*

Or. en

Amendment 232

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10b. *Notes that there is no systematic and evidenced-based communication system between competent authorities*

*from third countries and member states
on fulfilment of legislated animal welfare
requirements would have been needed;*

Or. en

Amendment 233
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*10b. Notes that there is no systematic
and evidenced-based communication
system between competent authorities
from third countries and member states
on fulfilment of legislated animal welfare
requirements would have been needed;*

Or. en

Amendment 234
Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*10b. Notes the need to improve the
transport of species that are not
adequately covered by Regulation (EC)
No 1/2005, such as poultry and rabbits, as
well as fish and shellfish;*

Or. fr

Amendment 235
Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10c. Notes the need to improve:

- **the checking of journey logs;**
- **the application and proportionality of sanctions;**
- **the training of drivers and companies;**
- **the certification process for means of transport by road and livestock vessels, so that means of transport that cannot offer good transport conditions are refused certification;**

Or. fr

Amendment 236

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10d. Points out that animal welfare during transport could be better ensured by recording and checking data in real time via GPS, video surveillance and other technologies;

Or. fr

Amendment 237

Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Points out that **the space allowances as defined in the regulation create room for different interpretations**

11. Points out that **means of transport must comply with the regulation with regard to space allowances and**

by the competent authorities and transporters, in particular when adaptations are needed to take account of meteorological conditions and journey times; stresses that overcrowding is particularly harmful for animals when combined with inadequate ventilation;

ventilation conditions, *which must be sufficient to ensure the welfare of the animals during transport*; stresses that overcrowding is particularly harmful for animals when combined with inadequate ventilation;

Or. it

Amendment 238

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Points out that the space allowances as defined in the regulation create room for different interpretations by the competent authorities and transporters, in particular when adaptations are needed to take account of meteorological conditions and journey times; stresses that overcrowding is *particularly* harmful for animals when combined with inadequate ventilation;

Amendment

11. Points out that the space allowances as defined in the regulation create room for different interpretations by the competent authorities and transporters, in particular when adaptations are needed to take account of meteorological conditions and journey times; stresses that overcrowding is *even more* harmful for animals when combined with inadequate ventilation;

Or. en

Amendment 239

Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Recognises that unclear requirements for headroom *often* lead to situations where animals are not able to stand in their natural position, undermining the objective of protecting animals during transport;

Amendment

12. Recognises that unclear requirements for headroom *may* lead to situations where animals are not able to stand in their natural position, undermining the objective of protecting animals during transport *and insists that more scientific evidence is needed to provide accurate*

recommendations;

Or. en

Amendment 240

Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Recognises that unclear requirements for headroom often lead to situations where animals are not able to stand in their natural position, undermining the objective of protecting animals during transport;

Amendment

12. Recognises that unclear requirements for headroom often lead to situations where animals are not able to stand in their natural position, undermining the objective of protecting animals during transport ***and clearly violating the technical rules set out in Article 6 of the Regulation and Chapter II, paragraph 1.2 of Annex I thereto;***

Or. ro

Amendment 241

Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Recognises that ***unclear requirements for headroom often lead to situations where animals are not able to stand in their natural position, undermining the objective of protecting animals*** during transport;

Amendment

12. Recognises that ***it is in the absolute interest of sellers, transporters and buyers to ensure that all animal welfare requirements are met*** during transport, ***including headroom and correct loading and unloading procedures, to ensure that animals arrive at their destination in the best possible condition;***

Or. it

Amendment 242
Rosanna Conte

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. *Recognises that faults have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the requirements for their separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns;* **deleted**

Or. it

Amendment 243
Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Recognises that **faults** have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the requirements for their separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns;

13. Recognises that **negligent practices** have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the requirements for their separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns **and whether they are pregnant (heifers); recognises that unsuitable loading facilities and improper handling of animals during loading on vessels, leading to animals falling into the water must be stopped immediately and controls as well as sanctions must be established in case of infringements;**

Or. en

Amendment 244
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Recognises that **faults** have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the requirements for their separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns;

Amendment

13. Recognises that **negligent practices** have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the requirements for their separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns **and whether they are pregnant (heifers)**; **recognises that unsuitable loading facilities and improper handling of animals during loading on vessels, leading to animals falling into the water must be stopped immediately and controls as well as sanctions must be established in case of infringements**;

Or. en

Amendment 245

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Recognises that **faults** have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the requirements for their separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns;

Amendment

13. Recognises that **negligent practices** have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the requirements for their separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns **and whether they are pregnant (heifers)**, **and that unsuitable loading facilities and improper handling of animals during loading on vessels, leading to animals falling into the water, must be stopped immediately and controls and sanctions must be established in case of infringements**;

Or. en

Amendment 246

Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Recognises that **faults** have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the **requirements for their** separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns;

Amendment

13. Recognises that **some situations** have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns **but notes that some exceptions are explicitly provided for in the Regulation 1/2005.**

Or. en

Amendment 247
Hilde Vautmans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Recognises that faults have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the requirements for their separation in accordance with age, size and whether they have horns;

Amendment

13. Recognises that faults have been reported in the loading of animals without observing the requirements for their separation in accordance with age, **species**, size and whether they have horns;

Or. en

Amendment 248
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes note of several reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in shorter loading and

Amendment

14. Takes note of several reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress, **maltreatment, abuse, pain** and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results

unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality;

in *better welfare for the animals concerned*, shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds, *reduced instances of disease*, and, ultimately, better meat *safety and* quality;

Or. en

Amendment 249
Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes note of several reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality;

Amendment

14. Takes note of several reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality; *totally refuses to countenance the loading of livestock using cruel methods, such as sticks and electric prods, and all cases in which existing loading and unloading arrangements fail to ensure animal welfare*;

Or. en

Amendment 250
Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Takes note of **several** reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress **and** suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in **shorter loading and unloading times**, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality;

14. Takes note of reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress, **great suffering, injuries and increased mortality when it comes to particularly fragile species such as poultry or rabbits**; stresses that proper animal handling results in reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds, and, ultimately, **a better meat quality, a decrease in Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR), and reduced health risks**;

Or. en

Amendment 251

Emma Wiesner, Pascal Durand, Martin Hojsik

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes note of several reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality;

Amendment

14. Takes note of several reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality; **underlines that the risk for AMR increases when animals are transported in close and stressful spaces**;

Or. en

Amendment 252

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes note of several reports of

Amendment

14. Takes note of several reports of

incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality;

incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in ***better welfare for the animals***, shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds, ***reduced instances of disease***, and, ultimately, better meat quality;

Or. en

Amendment 253
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes note of several reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality;

Amendment

14. Takes note of several reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in ***better welfare for the animals***. shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds, ***reduced instances of disease***, and, ultimately, better meat quality;

Or. en

Amendment 254
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes note of ***several*** reports of incorrect handling during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in shorter loading and unloading times, reduced weight loss,

Amendment

14. Takes note of reports of incorrect handling ***by some operators*** during animal loading and unloading, causing unnecessary stress and suffering; stresses that proper animal handling results in shorter loading and unloading times,

fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality;

reduced weight loss, fewer injuries and wounds and, ultimately, better meat quality;

Or. it

Amendment 255
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Finds that inadequate partitions in road vehicles constitute a common violation of the Animal Transport Regulation and have the potential to cause injuries to animals;

Amendment

15. Finds that inadequate partitions in road vehicles constitute a common violation of the Animal Transport Regulation and have the potential to cause injuries to animals ***emphasises that equidae are particularly impacted as the regulation requirements for partitions are not adapted to their needs in terms of design, size and materials used;***

Or. en

Amendment 256
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Finds that inadequate partitions in road vehicles constitute a common violation of the Animal Transport Regulation and have the potential to cause injuries to animals;

Amendment

15. Finds that inadequate partitions in road vehicles constitute a common violation of the Animal Transport Regulation and have the potential to cause injuries to animals; ***emphasises that Equidae are particularly impacted as the regulation requirements for partitions are not adapted to their needs in terms of design, size and materials used;***

Or. en

Amendment 257

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Finds that *inadequate partitions in road vehicles constitute a common violation of the Animal Transport Regulation and have the potential to cause* injuries to animals;

Amendment

15. Finds that *it is necessary to establish suitable funding and support for transport modernisation, with appropriate technology to load, transport and unload animals in complete safety, including for humans, without causing accidents and* injuries to animals;

Or. it

Amendment 258

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Finds that inadequate partitions in road vehicles constitute a common violation of the Animal Transport Regulation and *have the potential to cause* injuries to animals;

Amendment

15. Finds that inadequate partitions in road vehicles constitute a common violation of the Animal Transport Regulation and *causes* injuries to animals;

Or. en

Amendment 259

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the

driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth **on board**, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), **mixing of weaned and unweaned animals in the same consignment, not respecting the specific needs of unweaned animals**, and animals with wounds or prolapses; **insists that revision of the Regulation includes provision that those responsible for assessing whether animals are fit for transport are liable, should those animals proved to be unfit for transport; stresses that unweaned, pregnant as well as end of career animals are particularly vulnerable animal categories;**

Or. en

Amendment 260

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), **mixing of weaned and unweaned animals in the same consignment, not respecting the specific**

needs of unweaned animals, and animals with wounds or prolapses; insists that revision of the Regulation includes provision that those responsible for assessing whether animals are fit for transport are liable, should those animals proved to be unfit for transport; stresses that unweaned, pregnant as well as end of career animals are particularly vulnerable animal categories;

Or. en

Amendment 261
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses; ***notes that the transporter has no previous information of the animals (in particular concerning pregnant females) and only a limited opportunity to check on them during the short period of the loading phase; considers that the respective responsibilities of the farmer, the driver and the transport company on the fitness of animals for transport are different and that these differences should be reflected in the legislation;***

Or. en

Amendment 262

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), ***mixing of weaned and unweaned animals in the same consignment, not respecting the specific needs of unweaned animals***, and animals with wounds or prolapses, ***thus insists that the revision of the Regulation includes provisions that those responsible for assessing whether animals are fit for transport are liable, and stresses that animals unfit for transport, unweaned, pregnant as well as end-of-career animals, are particularly vulnerable animal categories and should not be transported***;

Or. en

Amendment 263

Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to

Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), ***mixing of weaned and unweaned animals in the same consignment, not respecting the specific needs of unweaned animals***, and animals with wounds or prolapses; ***insists that revision of the Regulation includes provision that those responsible for assessing whether animals are fit for transport are liable, should those animals prove to be unfit for transport; stresses that unweaned, pregnant as well as end of career animals are particularly vulnerable animal categories***;

Or. en

Amendment 264

Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), ***and*** animals with

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), animals with wounds or prolapses, ***end of career***

wounds or prolapses;

animals and unweaned animals, while stresses that pregnant, unweaned as well as end of career animals are particularly vulnerable animal categories with special needs and that provisions in the transport regulation do not reflect species, age and status specific needs according to the latest scientific knowledge and recommendations also in the context of maximum duration of transport of these animals;

Or. en

Amendment 265

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses, ***and that these are the result of no or inadequate checks at the time of departure; believes, therefore, that it is necessary to ensure strict checks and full compliance with the regulation at the time of departure to avoid such breaches;***

Or. it

Amendment 266

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; ***notes that the shared responsibility risks giving each part involved responsibility of breaches on animal welfare that they have no control over or possibility to detect***; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

Or. en

Amendment 267

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Asger Christensen, Pascal Durand, Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share equal responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with

physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses; ***are issues which the farmers are responsible for as they know the history and status of the animals prior to transport;***

Or. en

Amendment 268

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share ***equal*** responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport; notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

Amendment

16. Stresses that according to Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the farmer, the driver and transport companies share responsibility for assessing whether animals are fit for transport, ***but that the division of responsibility is ill-defined in the regulation;*** notes that the most common breaches in this respect concern the transport of pregnant animals, animals more than 90 % of the way through gestation, which sometimes give birth on board, downers (with physiological weakness and/or wounds or a pathological condition), and animals with wounds or prolapses;

Or. en

Amendment 269

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. *Highlights that relying solely on the voluntary uptake of guidelines^{1a} on the protection of animals during transports, rather than updating legal provisions in order to fully implement those guideline standards, has proven insufficient to effectively protect animals during transport and has increased discrepancies between Member States and between companies; recalls that guidelines published by the Commission must be in line with and updated according to EFSA research and recommendations on animal welfare, as well as in line with the Regulation 1/2005;*

^{1a} <http://www.animaltransportguides.eu/>

Or. en

Amendment 270
Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. *Insists on specific rules for poultry transportation, such as a 4 hours maximum transport duration, including loading and unloading times, measurements for appropriate species-specific containers allowing the birds to stand in their natural position and to have enough air circulating above their heads, and rules for a proper handling;*

Or. en

Amendment 271

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Recognises the inhomogeneous and improper training of police and veterinary authorities to ensure proper controls at any stage of the journey; takes note that proper control is often subject to individual efforts and interest, while systemic controls are lacking;

Or. en

Amendment 272

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Recognises the inhomogeneous and improper training of police to ensure proper controls at any stage of the journey and notes that proper control is often subject to individual efforts and interest, while systematic controls are lacking;

Or. en

Amendment 273

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Recognises the inhomogeneous and improper training of police to ensure

proper controls at any stage of the journey; takes note that proper control is often subject to individual efforts and interest, while systemic controls are lacking;

Or. en

Amendment 274

Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Notes with concern that the journey logs are often submitted and approved with unrealistic information, missing information or providing no information on the journey at all;

Or. en

Amendment 275

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Local slaughterhouses, mobile slaughterhouses and slaughter systems

Or. fr

Amendment 276

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16b. *Regrets that there are no specific provisions for cats and dogs and that no proposals by the European Commission nor relevant EFSA opinions regarding the movement of cats and dogs were published since the Regulation came into force, and that the welfare of these animals is therefore not protected;*

Or. en

Amendment 277

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16b. *Notes that slaughtering animals and processing meat close to the breeding place not only contribute to animal welfare but also reduce greenhouse gas emissions;*

Or. fr

Amendment 278

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16c. *Stresses that shortcomings of the current regulation on equines transported between EU-countries and to third countries, namely the lack of equine-specific requirements, contradictions to scientific recommendations, unenforceable provision and poor implementation and enforcement system*

lacks, lead to severe suffering of equines;

Or. en

Amendment 279

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16c. Regrets the significant economic challenges faced by small local slaughterhouses; regrets, in particular, that slaughterhouses are being restructured and their number reduced;

Or. fr

Amendment 280

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16d. Notes that the disappearance of local slaughterhouses, which is a factor in longer journey times, is an issue that the Union and the Member States must address; stresses the importance of setting up funding mechanisms to ensure the development and economic viability of local slaughterhouses;

Or. fr

Amendment 281

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16e. *Deems it necessary to support the development of on-farm slaughter, using mobile slaughterhouses, in order to remove the need to transport live animals wherever possible;*

Or. fr

Amendment 282

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16f. *Calls for all animals to be stunned before slaughter, without exception;*

Or. fr

Amendment 283

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16g. *Considers that the desire of European consumers to know how animals are slaughtered must be respected; considers that the label must state whether or not the animal was stunned before slaughter;*

Or. fr

Amendment 284

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Aurélia Beigneux

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 h (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16h. Stresses the need to stop all imports of animals that have not been slaughtered in accordance with European animal welfare standards;

Or. fr

Amendment 285

Annika Bruna, Harald Vilimsky, Sylvia Limmer, Rosanna Conte, Aurélia Beigneux

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 i (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16i. Regrets the fact that slaughterhouse workers still do not receive sufficient vocational training to reduce the suffering of animals; regrets, furthermore, the relentless pace demanded of slaughterhouse workers, which sometimes endangers their health and causes additional animal suffering;

Or. fr

Amendment 286

Daniel Buda

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Is very concerned about the number of reports of inappropriate vehicles being used to transport live animals, whether by land or by sea, and

deleted

recognises the major differences between the Member States in interpreting and enforcing the regulation, as far as the approval of the means of transport is concerned;

Or. en

Amendment 287

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Is very concerned about the number of reports of inappropriate vehicles being used to transport live animals, whether by land or by sea, and recognises the major differences between the Member States in interpreting and enforcing the regulation, as far as the approval of the means of transport is concerned;

Amendment

17. Is very concerned about the number of reports of inappropriate vehicles being used to transport live animals, whether by land or by sea, and recognises the major differences between the Member States in interpreting and enforcing the regulation, as far as the approval of the means of transport is concerned , *with emphasis on road vehicles being routinely authorized to transport unweaned animals even though they are not fulfilling the legislative requirements of the watering system (open water source drinkers), and on the authorisation of unsuitable vessels;*

Or. en

Amendment 288

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Is very concerned about the number of reports of inappropriate vehicles being used to transport live animals, whether by land or by sea, and recognises the major

Amendment

17. Is very concerned about the number of reports of inappropriate vehicles being used to transport live animals, whether by land or by sea, and recognises the major

differences between the Member States in interpreting and enforcing the regulation, as far as the approval of the means of transport is concerned;

differences between the Member States in interpreting and enforcing the regulation, as far as the approval of the means of transport is concerned, ***with emphasis on road vehicles being routinely authorised to transport unweaned animals even though they are not fulfilling the legislative requirements of the watering system (open water source drinkers), and on the authorisation of unsuitable vessels;***

Or. en

Amendment 289
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Is very concerned about the number of reports of inappropriate vehicles being used to transport live animals, whether by land or by sea, and recognises the major differences between the Member States in interpreting and enforcing the regulation, as far as the approval of the means of transport is concerned;

Amendment

17. Is very concerned about the number of reports of inappropriate vehicles being used to transport live animals, whether by land or by sea, and recognises the major differences between the Member States in interpreting and enforcing the regulation, as far as the approval of the means of transport is concerned; ***with emphasis on road vehicles being routinely authorised to transport unweaned animals even though they are not fulfilling the legislative requirements of the watering system (open water source drinkers), and on the authorisation of unsuitable vessels;***

Or. en

Amendment 290
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Is very concerned about the **number of** reports of inappropriate vehicles being used to transport live animals, whether by land or by sea, and **recognises** the **major** differences between the Member States in **interpreting and** enforcing the regulation, as far as the approval of the means of transport is concerned;

Amendment

17. Is very concerned about the reports of inappropriate vehicles being used to transport live animals, whether by land or by sea, and **notes** the differences between the Member States in enforcing the regulation, as far as the approval of the means of transport is concerned;

Or. it

Amendment 291

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Notes that most vessels used to transport live animals are former cargo vessels converted before being scrapped, not meant to transport animals and thus not meeting animals' behavioural and species-specific needs, whilst also contributing to sea pollution, causing enormous animal suffering, being registered under black flags of convenience and approved as seaworthy by dubious companies; highlights that there are a total of 80 vessels with a European license, of which more than a half are licensed in countries blacklisted for poor performance, with the average age of 41 years old, leading to greater risk of mechanical or structural failure the older the vessel gets¹¹

¹¹ '78 EU approved vessels', Robin des Bois, supported by Animal Welfare Foundation and Tierschutzbund Zürich, June 2021.

Amendment 292
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Calls for the adoption of harmonised and binding standards for the authorisation of vehicles and vessels transporting live animals, including for the condition and satellite navigation system of the vehicles;

Or. ro

Amendment 293
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Points out with concern that the inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to ***the real possibility of*** a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State being approved in another; notes that there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare;

18. Points out with concern that the inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to ***situations where*** a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State ***is*** being approved in another, ***while it also appears that vessels could suddenly operate under a different name and in this way request a new license***; notes that there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare, ***which is of great concern because a vessel may operated***

*from every Member State once approved
by a single Member State;*

Or. en

Amendment 294

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Points out with concern that the inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to ***the real possibility of*** a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State ***being*** approved in another; notes that there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare;

Amendment

18. Points out with concern that the inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to ***cases where*** a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State ***is*** approved in another; notes that there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare; ***notes that the majority of EU vessels are approved by Romania (47 vessels), followed by France (11 vessels);***

Or. en

Amendment 295

Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Points out with concern that the

Amendment

18. Points out with concern that the

inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to the real possibility of a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State being approved in another; notes that there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare;

inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria *in some Member States*, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to the real possibility of a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State being approved in another; notes that there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 296
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Points out with concern that the inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to the real possibility of a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State being approved in another; notes that there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare;

Amendment

18. Points out with concern that the inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to the real possibility of a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State being approved in another; notes that *in some cases* there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare;

Or. it

Amendment 297
Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Pascal Durand, Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner, Martin Hojsik, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Points out with concern that the inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to the real possibility of a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State being approved in another; *notes* that there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare;

Amendment

18. Points out with concern that the inspection and certification procedures for livestock vessels are not harmonised and lack mandatory inspection criteria, which is aggravated by the fact that the results of these procedures are not communicated between Member States, leading to the real possibility of a vessel that has been rejected in one Member State being approved in another; *is deeply concerned* that there is evidence that the authorities in some Member States have approved and/or permitted the use of vessels which do not comply with the EU rules on animal welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 298

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all of which are

Amendment

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all of which are

critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel **and require sufficient controls; regrets that veterinary and maritime authorities usually work in an isolated way, when their knowledge and work are complementary for carrying proper checks of vessels;**

Or. en

Amendment 299

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all of which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

Amendment

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, to verify vessels' systems for **loading ramps and cutting chutes**, water pumps, **watering, feeding**, ventilation and drainage **of animal waste**, all of which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

Or. it

Amendment 300

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the

Amendment

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the

systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all of which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, **(e.g. a veterinarian, a marine surveyor)**, to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all of which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

Or. en

Amendment 301

Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro, Clara Aguilera, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all **of** which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

Amendment

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, to verify vessels' **emergency systems**, systems for water pumps **and feeding**, ventilation and drainage, all **non veterinary systems** which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

Or. en

Amendment 302

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all of which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

Amendment

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise (*e.g. a veterinarian, a marine surveyor*), to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all of which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

Or. en

Amendment 303

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise, to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all of which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

Amendment

19. Takes note of the Commission's findings that, with some exceptions, the systems in place for livestock vessel approval are insufficient to minimise the risks, mainly because the majority of the competent authorities inspecting livestock vessels do not have adequate procedures, or access to specific technical expertise (*e.g. a veterinarian, a marine surveyor*), to verify vessels' systems for water pumps, ventilation and drainage, all of which are critical for animal welfare during a journey on a livestock vessel;

Or. en

Amendment 304

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. ***Welcomes the Commission's intention to develop, together with the European Maritime Safety Agency, a European Union database of inspections of sea vessels, aimed at establishing a common register of checks to allow all Member States to see all the previous checks performed on a vessel and their results;***

Amendment

20. ***Recognises the importance of developing a European Union IT system made up of a central database and an app for mobile devices, allowing entry of all data on transport, the suitability of means of road or sea transport, a census of authorised transporters and certificates of suitability in the European Union, breaches of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 and any sanctions imposed by the authorities, in order to allow the traffic control authorities to perform transport checks in real time, without having to stop vehicles where everything is in order, and inspections of sea vessels to allow all Member States to see all the previous checks performed on a vessel and their results;***

Or. it

Amendment 305
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. ***Welcomes the Commission's intention to develop, together with the European Maritime Safety Agency, a European Union database of inspections of sea vessels, aimed at establishing a common register of checks to allow all Member States to see all the previous checks performed on a vessel and their results;***

Amendment

20. ***Acknowledges the Commission's intention to develop, together with the European Maritime Safety Agency, a European Union database of inspections of sea vessels, aimed at establishing a common register of checks to allow all Member States to see all the previous checks performed on a vessel and their results;***

Or. en

Amendment 306
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. *Welcomes* the Commission's intention to develop, together with the European Maritime Safety Agency, a European Union database of inspections of sea vessels, aimed at establishing a common register of checks to allow all Member States to see all the previous checks performed on a vessel and their results;

Amendment

20. *Acknowledges* the Commission's intention to develop, together with the European Maritime Safety Agency, a European Union database of inspections of sea vessels, aimed at establishing a common register of checks to allow all Member States to see all the previous checks performed on a vessel and their results;

Or. en

Amendment 307
Sirpa Pietikäinen, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. *Welcomes* the Commission's intention to develop, together with the European Maritime Safety Agency, a European Union database of inspections of sea vessels, aimed at establishing a common register of checks to allow all Member States to see all the previous checks performed on a vessel and their results;

Amendment

20. *Acknowledges* the Commission's intention to develop, together with the European Maritime Safety Agency, a European Union database of inspections of sea vessels, aimed at establishing a common register of checks to allow all Member States to see all the previous checks performed on a vessel and their results;

Or. en

Amendment 308
Maria Noichl, Niels Fuglsang

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20a. *Points to the fact that vessels in particular are often very old, they are poorly overhauled and maintained, they were not normally designed or built for transporting livestock and have not been appropriately converted;*

Or. en

Amendment 309

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Pascal Durand, Hilde Vautmans, Martin Hojsík, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Acknowledges the existence, in general, of well-developed national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but regrets that they are not always followed during the approval procedures;

21. Acknowledges the existence, in general, of well-developed national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but regrets that they are not always followed during the approval procedures; ***points out the need for a central EU database with information on all authorised transporters and certificate of competence and where all approved vehicles are registered in the same database and with information on approval details;***

Or. en

Amendment 310

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Acknowledges the existence, in general, of **well-developed** national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but regrets that **they** are not **always** followed during the approval procedures;

Amendment

21. Acknowledges the existence, in general, of national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but regrets **a lack of harmonisation of these guidelines** that are not followed during the approval procedures, **which keep them from being coherent and efficient**;

Or. en

Amendment 311

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Acknowledges the existence, in general, of **well-developed** national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but regrets that they are not always followed during the approval procedures;

Amendment

21. Acknowledges the existence, in general, of national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but regrets that they are not always followed during the approval procedures **and also regrets the lack of guidelines for the approval of vessels**;

Or. en

Amendment 312

Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Acknowledges the existence, **in general, of** well-developed national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but regrets that they are not always followed during the

Amendment

21. Acknowledges the existence, **of, maybe theoretically,** well-developed national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but regrets that they are not always followed

approval procedures;

during the approval procedures;

Or. en

Amendment 313
Rosanna Conte, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Acknowledges the existence, in general, of well-developed national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but *regrets* that they are not always followed during the approval procedures;

Amendment

21. Acknowledges the existence, in general, of well-developed national guidelines for the approval of livestock vehicles for road transport, but *notes* that they are not always followed during the approval procedures;

Or. it

Amendment 314
Thomas Waitz
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

21a. Underlines that the insufficient definition of 'place of destination' creates significant loopholes, as in practice, it is difficult to distinguish between a real destination and a mere place of transfer, and as some journeys are sometimes approved with an obviously false final destination, such as a harbour;

Or. en

Amendment 315

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Agrees that the weaknesses related to the approval of road transport vehicles can be linked to certificate templates being too general and not designed on a species and age-specific basis, thereby affecting the quality of inspection procedures by not providing enough detailed information;

Amendment

22. Agrees that the weaknesses related to the approval of road transport vehicles can be linked to certificate templates being too general and not designed on a species and age-specific basis, thereby affecting the quality of inspection procedures by not providing enough detailed information; ***recognises, therefore, the need to set up a European IT system to monitor and check transport data;***

Or. it

Amendment 316

Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, are still lacking;

Amendment

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles ***even if authorised*** are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals ***and can create unfair competition between companies***; notes that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, are still lacking; ***stress that greater stability is needed for those companies working correctly to promote investments in the improvement of their infrastructures;***

Or. en

Amendment 317

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, **are still lacking**;

Amendment

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee **possibility to drink**, safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that **appropriate** new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, **do not exist**; **insists that inappropriate means of transport should never be used**;

Or. en

Amendment 318

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, **are still lacking**;

Amendment

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee **possibility to drink**, safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that **appropriate** new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, **do not exist**; **insists that inappropriate means of transport should never be used**;

Or. en

Amendment 319

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, **are still lacking**;

Amendment

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee, safety and comfort to the transported animals **and the possibility to drink**; notes that **appropriate** new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, **do not exist, and that inappropriate means of transport should never be used**;

Or. en

Amendment 320

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, are **still lacking**;

Amendment

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, are **available and should be promoted and encouraged through appropriate EU funding**;

Or. it

Amendment 321

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner, Asger Christensen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; **notes** that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, **are still lacking**;

Amendment

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; **regrets** that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during long journeys, **have not yet been introduced by transporters**;

Or. en

Amendment 322

Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during **long** journeys, are still lacking;

Amendment

23. Acknowledges that some road transport vehicles are not structurally appropriate and do not guarantee safety and comfort to the transported animals; notes that new and innovative solutions for watering and feeding systems, as well as solutions to better accommodate live animals during **all** journeys, are still lacking;

Or. en

Amendment 323

Thomas Waitz

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Tilly Metz, Caroline Roose, Eleonora Evi, Pär Holmgren, Francisco Guerreiro, Anna Deparnay-Grunenberg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23a. *Recalls that many livestock vessels are not primarily designed to transport animals and are poorly maintained, ageing, posing many risks for the safety of the animals during sea transport; reminds that, according to several NGOs, 54% of the vessels transporting animals from EU ports are licensed in countries black-listed in 2015 for poor performance under the Paris Memorandum of understanding (MoU), that are considered a high or very high risk in relation to maritime safety, and that only 24% of the listed vessels are flagged under the MoU white-list; considers that the risk of overloading such vessels with too many animals is significant;*

Or. en

Amendment 324
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23a. *Notes that the Member States should not authorise the use of vehicles and vessels transporting animals that do not comply with the provisions of the regulation, should be more rigorous in vehicle certification and approval procedures, and should withdraw authorisations already issued in the event of non-compliance;*

Or. ro

Amendment 325

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Notes that in a high number of vehicles, drinking facilities are not adapted to the specific drinking behaviour or size of the transported animals: are out of reach for the animals or are not available in sufficient number or with adequate distribution; regrets the fact that the current Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not **give precise** indications about the type or required number of watering devices inside the transport vehicle;

Amendment

24. Notes that in a high number of vehicles, drinking facilities are not adapted to the specific drinking behaviour or size of the transported animals: are out of reach for the animals or are not available in sufficient number or with adequate distribution; regrets the fact that the current Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not **provide species- and category-specific** indications about the type or required number of watering devices inside the transport vehicle; **regrets that those involved in the live transport trade have apparently paid no heed to repeated incidents of animal suffering and even mortality due to lack of the basic necessity of water;**

Or. en

Amendment 326

Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Notes that in a high number of vehicles, drinking facilities are not adapted to the specific drinking behaviour or size of the transported animals: are out of reach for the animals or are not available in sufficient number or with adequate distribution; regrets the fact that the current Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not **give precise** indications about the type or required number of watering devices inside the transport vehicle;

Amendment

24. Notes that in a high number of vehicles, drinking facilities are not adapted to the specific drinking behaviour or size of the transported animals: are out of reach for the animals or are not available in sufficient number or with adequate distribution; regrets the fact that the current Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not **provide species- and category-specific** indications about the type or required number of watering devices inside the

transport vehicle; *regrets that those involved in the live transport trade have apparently paid no heed to repeated incidents of animal suffering and even mortality due to lack of the basic necessity of water;*

Or. en

Amendment 327

Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Notes that in a high number of vehicles, drinking facilities are not adapted to the specific drinking behaviour or size of the transported animals: are out of reach for the animals or are not available in sufficient number or with adequate distribution; regrets the fact that the current Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not **give precise** indications about the type or required number of watering devices inside the transport vehicle;

Amendment

24. Notes that in a high number of vehicles, drinking facilities are not adapted to the specific drinking behaviour or size of the transported animals: are out of reach for the animals or are not available in sufficient number or with adequate distribution; regrets the fact that the current Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not **provide species- and category-specific** indications about the type or required number of watering devices inside the transport vehicle, **and regrets that those involved in the transportation of animals have apparently paid no heed to repeated incidents of animal suffering, and even mortality, due to lack of the basic necessity of water;**

Or. en

Amendment 328

Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. Notes that ***in a high number of*** vehicles, drinking facilities are not adapted to the specific drinking behaviour or size of the transported animals: are out of reach for the animals or are not available in sufficient number or with adequate distribution; regrets the fact that the current Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not give precise indications about the type or required number of watering devices inside the transport vehicle;

24. Notes that ***there are*** vehicles, ***even if they are officially authorised, where*** drinking facilities are not adapted to the specific drinking behaviour or size of the transported animals: are out of reach for the animals or are not available in sufficient number or with adequate distribution; regrets the fact that the current Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 does not give precise indications about the type or required number of watering devices inside the transport vehicle; ***recalls that EU authorisations protocols for vehicles are needed;***

Or. en

Amendment 329
Peter Lundgren

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ***ventilation systems*** move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly ***reflect*** the external temperature, in particular when ***the vehicle*** is stationary;

Amendment

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ***ventilation systems*** move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly ***result in a higher temperature than*** the external temperature, ***due to additional up-heating of the vehicle by the animals body heat***, in particular when ***the vehicle*** is stationary; ***notes that these vehicles are therefore inadequate and should not be used;***

Or. en

Amendment 330
Sirpa Pietikäinen, Pascal Durand, Maria Noichl, Veronika Vrecionová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly **reflect** the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary;

Amendment

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly **result in a higher temperature than** the external temperature, **due to additional up-heating of the vehicle by the animals body heat**, in particular when the vehicle is stationary; **notes that these vehicles are therefore inadequate and should not be used**;

Or. en

Amendment 331
Anja Hazekamp, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. **Notes** that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly **reflect** the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary;

Amendment

25. **Expresses its concern** that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly **result in a higher temperature than** the external temperature **and be even higher, due to the animals' bodies' heat**, in particular when the vehicle is stationary, **and notes that these vehicles are therefore inadequate and should not be used**;

Or. en

Amendment 332

Michal Wiezik, Martin Hojsík, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly **reflect** the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary;

Amendment

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly **exceed** the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary **due to additional body-heating of the animals and overheating in the sun and that this is not sufficiently reflected in the regulation;**

Or. en

Amendment 333

Billy Kelleher

on behalf of the Renew Group

Asger Christensen, Pascal Durand, Hilde Vautmans, Emma Wiesner, Martin Hojsík

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly reflect the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary;

Amendment

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly reflect the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary; **recognises that new and innovative solutions for temperature regulations on**

vehicles have not been implemented in most cases;

Or. en

Amendment 334

Rosanna Conte, Annika Bruna, Aurélia Beigneux, Jérôme Rivière

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside *and* outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly reflect the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary;

Amendment

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside, outside *and to* the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that though air ventilation systems move the air through the animal compartment, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly reflect the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary;

Or. it

Amendment 335

Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that *though* air ventilation systems *move the air through the animal compartment*, the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly reflect the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary;

Amendment

25. Notes that the vehicles used for transporting animals inside and outside the Union are often not equipped with air cooling systems; highlights that air ventilation systems *are not sufficient as* the temperature inside the vehicle will nevertheless mainly reflect the external temperature, in particular when the vehicle is stationary;

Or. en

