



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Committee on Budgets*

---

**2011/2074(BUD)**

11.5.2011

# AMENDMENTS

## 1 - 3

**Draft report**

**Barbara Matera**

(PE464.697v01-00)

on the proposal for a decision of the European Parliament and of the Council on mobilisation of the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, in accordance with point 28 of the Interinstitutional Agreement of 17 May 2006 between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on budgetary discipline and sound financial management (application EGF/2010/031 BE/General Motors Belgium from Belgium)  
(COM(2011)0212 – C7-0096/2011 – 2011/2074(BUD))

AM\_Com\_NonLegReport

## Amendment 1

Alexander Alvaro, Carl Haglund, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

### Proposal for a decision

#### Recital E

##### *Proposal for a decision*

E. whereas the application fulfils the eligibility criteria set up by the EGF Regulation,

##### *Amendment*

E. whereas ***the Commission's evaluation concludes that*** the application fulfils the eligibility criteria set up by the EGF Regulation,

Or. en

##### *Justification*

*The European Parliament has not the capacities to evaluate the proposals for EGF projects handed in by member states but solely relies on the information delivered by the European Commission.*

## Amendment 2

Alexander Alvaro, Carl Haglund, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

### Proposal for a decision

#### Paragraph 1 a (new)

##### *Proposal for a decision*

##### *Amendment*

***1a. Expresses its doubts that the developments leading to redundancies at General Motors Belgium were solely influenced by the global financial crisis and therefore completely unpredictable; highlights the fact that the situation of General Motors in Belgium has been difficult throughout the last decade, linked to comparably high cost and inflexibility in the labour market as well as other structural problems;***

Or. en

##### *Justification*

*Difficulties for the production plant of GM had been reported by the press for almost a*

*decade before the financial crisis hit the global automotive markets.*

### **Amendment 3**

**Alexander Alvaro, Carl Haglund, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy**

#### **Proposal for a decision**

#### **Paragraph 3**

##### *Proposal for a decision*

**3. Stresses that, in accordance with Article 6 of the EGF Regulation, it should be ensured that the EGF supports the reintegration of individual redundant workers into employment;** reiterates that assistance from the EGF must not replace actions which are the responsibility of companies by virtue of national law or collective agreements, nor measures restructuring companies or sectors;

##### *Amendment*

**3. Stresses that the intervention criteria as defined in Article 2 of the original Regulation (EC) No 1927/2006 allow for clearer differentiation, compared to the revised version of 2008, as to the scope of the EGF vis-a-vis other, more long-term, programmes to achieve economic growth and labour market stability;** reiterates that assistance from the EGF must not replace actions which are the responsibility of companies by virtue of national law or collective agreements, nor measures restructuring companies or sectors;

Or. en

##### *Justification*

*Difficulties for the production plant of GM had been reported by the press for almost a decade before the financial crisis hit the global automotive markets. The EGF criteria as established in the original regulation seem the more appropriate in the case of GM and other multinational firms*