



2022/2006(INI)

14.1.2022

AMENDMENTS

1 - 42

Draft opinion
Margarida Marques
(PE703.118v01-00)

European Semester for economic policy coordination: annual sustainable growth survey 2022
(2022/2006(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Angelika Winzig

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines that the swift and decisive mobilisation of significant EU budgetary resources was instrumental in cushioning the impact of the COVID-19 crisis;

Amendment

1. Underlines that the swift and decisive **exceptional** mobilisation of significant EU budgetary resources was instrumental in cushioning the impact of the COVID-19 crisis;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Hélène Laporte, Joachim Kuhs

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. **Underlines** that the swift and decisive mobilisation of significant EU budgetary resources was instrumental in cushioning the impact of the COVID-19 crisis;

Amendment

1. **Notes** that the swift and decisive mobilisation of significant EU budgetary resources was instrumental in cushioning the impact of the COVID-19 crisis;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines that the swift and decisive mobilisation of significant EU budgetary resources was instrumental in cushioning the impact of the COVID-19 crisis;

Amendment

1. Underlines that the swift and decisive mobilisation of significant EU budgetary resources was **and will continue to be** instrumental in cushioning the **health, social and economic** impact of the COVID-19 crisis; **stresses the general**

importance of significant public investment to support a sustainable, fair and inclusive recovery in the EU, to promote strengthened public healthcare systems, pandemic and crisis prevention and preparedness, upward social and economic convergence, social and economic resilience, social justice and equality and to contribute to the full implementation of the UN Sustainable Development Goals, the European Pillar of Social Rights, the EU's climate and biodiversity objectives, the just green and digital transitions and the EU Gender Equality Strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 4

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines that the swift and decisive mobilisation of significant EU budgetary resources was instrumental in cushioning the impact of the COVID-19 crisis;

Amendment

1. Underlines that the swift and decisive mobilisation of significant EU budgetary resources was instrumental in cushioning the impact of the COVID-19 crisis; *recalls that Member States have taken sizeable fiscal measures in response to the pandemic and in support of the recovery (5.2% of GDP in 2021 and 2.8% of GDP in 2022), an increasing share of which is financed via the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF), which will fund 24% of total recovery support measures in 2022 ; highlights the stabilising effect of NGEU for Member States at a time of great economic uncertainty, thereby allowing governments to maintain investment levels and keep down borrowing costs despite immense demands on national*

budgets;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1a(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

1 a. Stresses for the need to highlight, in a specific analysis for each Member State, the measures implemented and the budgetary resources allocated to deal with the COVID-19 crisis and the share of EU funding in supporting those measures;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Hélène Laporte, Joachim Kuhs

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals;

Amendment

2. Considers that the NRRPs are an opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Angelika Winzig

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals;

Amendment

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality, ***future-oriented*** and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals; ***highlights that the funds obtained by borrowing need to be paid back and that responsible fiscal management is crucial;***

Or. en

Amendment 8
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-

Amendment

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be ***completely*** reshaped to ensure the delivery of ***effective***, high-quality, ***inclusive*** and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs) ***given that the European Semester process has proved insufficient to address social and economic disparities and inequalities***

resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals;

*in the EU; considers that the **RRF aims at the recovery from the COVID-19 crisis and offers** an opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges, **to implement growth-enhancing and socially-just reforms and investments**, and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable, **fair** and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals; **underlines that Member States aim to spend around 40% of their total grant allocation in 2022 alone, thus making the success of the RRF one of the greatest challenges for 2022; points out that support from the RRF is not meant to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure; regrets the lack of adequate and substantial consultation with all relevant stakeholders in the preparation and implementation of NRRPs;***

Or. en

Amendment 9
Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals;

Amendment

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an opportunity for the Member States **to contribute significantly to the economic and social recovery of the Union**, to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals;

deplores, however, that NRRPs fail to tackle adequately aggressive tax planning schemes;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Nils Torvalds

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals;

Amendment

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges ***and reforms and*** to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an

Amendment

2. Stresses that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) requires that the European Semester be reshaped to ensure the delivery of high-quality and ambitious national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs); considers that the NRRPs are an

opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals;

opportunity for the Member States to address identified structural challenges and to make their economies more shock-resistant, sustainable and inclusive in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights and the Sustainable Development Goals *and the EU's climate commitments*;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2a(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

2 a. Calls for the inclusion and highlighting within the European Semester exercise of the policies, activities and recommendations referring to employment, social care, education, culture, sports and media and details of how those issues have been addressed by the national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs);

Or. en

Amendment 13
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2a(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

2 a. Stresses that 37% of national plans need to be dedicated to green spending, following the specific requirements set down in Annex VI of the RRF Regulation and that all measures in the NRRPs need to fully respect the “do no significant harm” principle; urges the Commission to adopt all necessary steps to effectively

monitor, audit and enforce the full implementation of these requirements, as well as on the non-regression of EU environmental law; calls on the Commission to analyse all payment requests in this light and ensure full compliance of all milestones and targets reached with the regulation before granting payments;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2b(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

2 b. Calls for a better correlation of the European Semester with the Digital Economy and Society Index (DESI); calls on Member States to take the necessary measures to reinforce their digital infrastructure, connectivity and the methods used by schools and learning centres for digital education and learning in the context of the pandemic and reiterates the need to accelerate the reforms implementing the digital transformation ensuring that all Europeans can take advantage of it;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2c(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

2 c. Calls for monitoring to include the social objectives agreed upon in the Social Summits and in the European Pillar for

Social Rights; regrets that, in some NRRPs, due to extensive politicisation, anti-social and anti-development measures have been included among the reforms, including to keep pensions and salaries under the poverty line;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Hélène Laporte, Joachim Kuhs

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Welcomes*** the Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard, which is designed to monitor progress on the implementation of the RRF; calls on the Commission to develop tools to ensure the robust implementation of the NRRPs and measure the attainment of targets and milestones as a prerequisite for the disbursement of RRF funds;

Amendment

3. ***Take note of the creation of*** the Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard, which is designed to monitor progress on the implementation of the RRF, ***but a step back is needed in order to assess its impact;*** calls on the Commission to develop tools to ensure the robust implementation of the NRRPs and measure the attainment of targets and milestones, ***as well as Member States' efforts to prevent fraud, corruption and conflicts of interest,*** as a prerequisite for the disbursement of RRF funds;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard, which is designed to monitor progress on the implementation of the RRF; calls on the Commission to develop tools to ensure the robust implementation of the NRRPs and measure

Amendment

3. Welcomes the Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard, which is designed to monitor progress on the implementation of the RRF; calls on the Commission to develop tools to ensure robust implementation of the NRRPs and measure

the attainment of targets and milestones as a prerequisite for the disbursement of RRF funds;

attainment of targets and milestones as a prerequisite for the disbursement of RRF funds; ***points to the need to streamline reporting requirements, reduce the administrative burden and ensure conditions for proper implementation;***

Or. en

Amendment 18

Damian Boeselager

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard, which is designed to monitor progress on the implementation of the RRF; ***calls on*** the Commission to ***develop tools to ensure the robust implementation of the NRRPs and measure the attainment of targets and milestones as a prerequisite for the disbursement of RRF funds;***

Amendment

3. Welcomes the Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard, which is designed ***to inform the wider public about*** progress on the implementation of the RRF; ***regrets that indicators are mostly output-based and lack a performance or results-based dimension; urges*** the Commission ***to concentrate on such results-based evaluations in its annual reports on the RRF and the mid-term implementation report;***

Or. en

Amendment 19

Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3a(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

3 a. Calls on the Commission to monitor closely Member States' progress reports on the implementation of the NRRPs within the European Semester exercise in order to verify to what extent

the objectives of the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) have been met; calls on the European Commission to be open, transparent and available for the rapid correction of errors in NRRPs or revisions of NRRPs, especially if the reforms included risk generating negative social and development effects;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Hélène Laporte, Joachim Kuhs

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. *Reiterates the need for Member States to have the necessary control mechanisms in place to ensure respect for the rule of law and protect the EU's financial interests;*

Amendment

4. *Questions the causal link between budgetary implementation and the conditionality rules of the rule of law and the political use of this instrument;*

Or. en

Amendment 21
Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Reiterates the need for Member States to have the necessary control mechanisms in place to ensure respect for the rule of law and protect the EU's financial interests;

Amendment

4. Reiterates the need for Member States to have the necessary control mechanisms in place to ensure respect for the rule of law and protect the EU's financial interests; *especially to prevent fraud, corruption and conflicts of interest;*

Or. en

Amendment 22
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Reiterates the need for Member States to have the necessary control mechanisms in place to ensure respect for the rule of law **and** protect the EU's financial interests;

Amendment

4. Reiterates the need for Member States to have the necessary control **and audit** mechanisms in place to ensure respect for the rule of law, protect the EU's financial interests, **secure transparency and prevent corruption; regrets that the Commission has not yet implemented the rule of law conditionality regulation (RoL Regulation), despite its entry into force on 1 January 2021, and is therefore not fulfilling its duty as guardian of the EU treaties; reiterates its call for the Commission to apply the RoL Regulation completely and without ambiguity;**

Or. en

Amendment 23
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4a(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

4 a. Calls on the Commission to address the distributional consequences of the transition to a climate-neutral economy in the upcoming country reports for 2022 and to analyse the distributional fairness of taxation and social policies in relation to the transition in the country reports;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4b(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

4 b. Calls on the Commission to develop a climate indicator to assess the discrepancy between the structure of Member States' budgets and the Paris-aligned scenario for each of their national budgets; stresses the need for this indicator to provide Member States with information on their trajectory within the framework of the Paris Agreement in order to ensure the achievement of climate-neutrality targets by 2050; expects the climate indicator to be used as a guide for the European Semester and reflected in the country-specific recommendations (CSRs);

Or. en

Amendment 25
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that public funding is key to achieving the 2030 climate objectives and addressing other social and economic challenges; considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a future-oriented economy and the extension of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU;

Amendment

5. Recalls that public funding is key to achieving the 2030 climate objectives and addressing other social, ***educational*** and economic challenges; ***underlines its concern about the gaps in Europe on how access to education and lifelong learning is being dealt with during the pandemic and underlines the need to make sure everyone continues to get access to quality education and lifelong learning, knowing that this represents a key feature in the European Pillar of Social Rights in***

achieving sustainable long-term development of the European Union and Member States; considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a future-oriented economy and the extension of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU; ***calls for the amounts allocated to national recovery and resilience plans to be removed from the deficit targets;***

Or. en

Amendment 26

Hélène Laporte, Joachim Kuhs, Anna Bonfrisco, Valentino Grant

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Recalls that public funding is key to achieving the 2030 climate objectives and addressing other social and economic challenges;*** considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a future-oriented economy and the extension of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU;

Amendment

5. ***Warns the Commission against over-ambitious climate targets and calls for in-depth studies on the sectors impacted by the ecological transition;*** considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a future-oriented economy and the extension of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Nils Torvalds

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that public funding is key to achieving the 2030 climate objectives and addressing other social and economic challenges; considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a future-oriented economy and the extension of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU;

Amendment

5. Recalls that public **and private** funding is key to achieving the 2030 climate objectives and addressing other social and economic challenges; considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a future-oriented economy and the extension of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Angelika Winzig

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that public funding is **key** to **achieving** the 2030 climate objectives and **addressing** other social and economic challenges; considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, **specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a future-oriented economy and the extension of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU**;

Amendment

5. Recalls that public funding is **a leverage for mobilising private investments and that both are needed** to **achieve** the 2030 climate objectives and address other social and economic challenges; considers that all **budgetary-sustainable** options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that public funding is key to achieving the 2030 climate objectives and addressing other social and economic challenges; considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a future-oriented economy and the *extension* of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU;

Amendment

5. Recalls that public funding is key to achieving the 2030 climate objectives, *promoting the digital transition* and addressing other social, economic *and public health* challenges; considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the *thorough* revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote *a just, sustainable and* future-oriented economy and the *establishment of permanent* lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU; *calls on the Commission and the Member States to urgently reform the EU economic governance framework well in advance of the deactivation of the general escape clause in order to effectively promote fair, inclusive and sustainable growth, enhance democratic accountability and avoid a new debt crisis;*

Or. en

Amendment 30
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that *public funding is key to achieving the 2030 climate objectives and addressing other social and economic challenges*; considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a

Amendment

5. Recalls that *meeting the EU's ambitious Green Deal agenda will require significant investment and that, according to the Commission, the private and public investment gap to cater for the green transition is estimated at nearly EUR 520 billion per year for the next decade; further notes that, whereas a substantial*

future-oriented economy and the extension of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU;

share of the investment will be borne by the private sector, public investment will have to increase as well; underlines that significant fiscal space could be created by phasing out direct and indirect environmentally harmful subsidies, in particular fossil fuel subsidies, and redirecting financial flows to sustainable investments and actions and to fill part of the investment gap for the green transition; in addition reiterates its call for the reorientation of taxation systems towards an increased use of environmental taxation and to fight tax evasion and tax avoidance which would also contribute towards creating the needed fiscal space; considers that all options to incentivise Member State investments to tackle those challenges should be on the table, specifically the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact to promote a future-oriented economy and the extension of lending and borrowing capacities at EU level, building on NextGenerationEU;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Believes that RRF governance, notably the assessment and approval of the NRRPs by the Commission and the Council, provides a solid foundation for discussions on how to allow sufficient public investment under the fiscal rules;

Amendment

6. Believes that RRF governance, notably the assessment and approval of the NRRPs by the Commission and the Council, provides a solid foundation for discussions on how to allow sufficient public investment under the fiscal rules; *recalls that the European Parliament, social partners, civil society, academia and local authorities have to be actively involved in the governance and supervision of the implementation of the*

NRRPs in each Member State; regrets that, in some Member States, as was the case of the previous government in Romania, the authorities refused the active participation of social partners and of the parliament in the drafting of the NRRPs;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Believes that RRF governance, notably the assessment and approval of the NRRPs by the Commission and the Council, provides a solid foundation for discussions on how to allow sufficient public investment under the fiscal rules;

Amendment

6. Believes that RRF governance, notably the assessment and approval of the NRRPs by the Commission and the Council, provides a solid foundation for discussions on how to allow sufficient public investment under the fiscal rules; *supports the introduction of a golden rule to exclude public investment on tackling climate change, promoting digitisation of the public sector and strengthening public healthcare and education systems as well as public spending to meet emergency needs, from the calculation of public deficit;*

Or. en

Amendment 33
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Believes that RRF governance, notably the assessment and approval of the

Amendment

6. Believes that RRF governance, notably the assessment and approval of the

NRRPs by the Commission and the Council, *provides a solid foundation for discussions on how to allow sufficient public investment under the fiscal rules;*

NRRPs by the Commission and the Council, *lacks democratic control through the European Parliament and national parliaments and reduces budgetary priority-setting to a technocratic exercise between the Commission and Member State governments; highlights that this lack of democratic accountability weakens the Commission's ability to enforce ambitious reforms and investment projects in the Member States; calls specifically for the spending financed by RRF loans to benefit from special treatment in the EU fiscal framework, as otherwise borrower countries will have to reduce their non-RRF spending once the currently suspended fiscal rules are re-activated;*

Or. en

Amendment 34
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6a(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

6 a. *Underlines the need to increase the role and visibility of education in the European Semester format in order to assess the developments and reforms of the educational systems and consistently check the implementation of the EU actions plans, agendas and recovery targets across the Union, especially in the context of the pandemic;*

Or. en

Amendment 35
Hélène Laporte, Joachim Kuhs

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget.

Amendment

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget; ***questions the actual repayment of the loan by new own resources, which, even if paid in full to the EU budget, would probably not be sufficient.***

Or. en

Amendment 36
Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. ***Recalls*** that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget.

Amendment

7. ***Welcomes the promising early signs of bond issuance by the Commission to finance the first disbursements under the RRF;*** recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget.

Or. en

Amendment 37
Nils Torvalds

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget.

Amendment

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget; ***calls for the Member States to ratify the Own Resources***

Decision (ORD).

Or. en

Amendment 38
Angelika Winzig

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget.

Amendment

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget, ***which need to be budgetary-neutral for Member states.***

Or. en

Amendment 39
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget.

Amendment

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through ***the introduction of a basket of*** new own resources of the EU budget; ***calls on the Commission and the Council to respect in full the timeline included in the legally-binding roadmap as agreed in the Interinstitutional Agreement; stresses that delays or failure to introduce the agreed basket of new own resources will be to the detriment of European programmes and instruments and result in much higher national contributions for the repayment of the NextGenerationEU debt.***

Amendment 40
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget.

Amendment

7. Recalls that the design of NextGenerationEU requires debt repayment through new own resources of the EU budget; ***expects the Commission to put forward an ambitious basket of new own resources in 2023, so the revenues are sufficient to cover the debt servicing from 2028;***

Amendment 41
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7a(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

7 a. Recalls that the degree to which Member States have addressed their 2019 and 2020 CSRs in the NRRPs varies significantly between Member States; emphasises that COVID-19 has demonstrated that improving the resilience of public institutions to shocks should be a reform priority for many Member States;

Amendment 42
Damian Boeselager
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7b(new)

Draft opinion

(new)

Amendment

7 b. *Highlights that, beyond the commitment period of the RRF, a modern and effective framework for EU common fiscal policy needs to be developed; emphasises that, to carry democratic legitimacy, such a framework needs to overcome the European Semester's shortcomings in parliamentary accountability and lack of democratic oversight; is of the view that the RRF offers important lessons and can serve as an inspiration for identifying common structural challenges and investment needs.*

Or. en