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Draft Report on the implementation of the Recovery and Resilience Facility
(Joint committee procedure – Rule 58 of the Rules of Procedure)
Amendment 1
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
— having regard to the Commission’s first annual report on the implementation of the Recovery and Resilience Facility (COM(2022) 75 final) published on 1 March 2022,

Or. en

Amendment 2
Alexis Georgoulis, Rasmus Andresen, Niklas Nienaß, Tomasz Frankowski, Irena Joveva, Massimiliano Smeriglio, Ibán García Del Blanco, Andrey Slabakov, Giorgos Georgiou, Hannes Heide

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
— having regard to its resolution of 17 September 2020 on the cultural recovery of Europe (2020/2708(RSP)) and to its resolution of 20 October 2021 on the situation of artists and the cultural recovery in the EU (2020/2261(INI)),

Or. en

Amendment 3
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

—— having regard to the Opinion of the European Committee of the Regions of 1 December 2021 on the implementation of the Recovery and Resilience Facility\(^a\),

\(^a\) OJ C 97, 28.2.2022, p. 21–25.

Or. en

Amendment 4
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

—— having regard to the Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee of 20 October 2021 on the Annual Sustainable Growth Strategy 2021\(^b\),

\(^b\) ECO/556-EESC-2021.

Or. en

Amendment 5
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Citation 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

—— having regard to the joint analysis of the European Committee of the
Regions and the Council of European Municipalities and Regions (CEMR) on the involvement of municipalities, cities and regions in the preparation of the national Recovery and Resilience Plans,


Amendment 6
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— having regard to the resolution on the rule of law and the consequences of the ECJ ruling (2022/2535),

Or. en

Amendment 7
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 24 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— having regard to the Commission
Communication RepowerEU: joint European Action for more affordable, secure and sustainable energy,

Or. en

Amendment 8
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan, Dragoş Pîslaru

Motion for a resolution
Recital A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is the main building block of the Next Generation EU (NGEU) stimulus package;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Recital A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas Russia’s aggression in Ukraine on February 24 2022 has led the European Union to impose unprecedented economic sanctions; whereas the conflict generated by Russia has led a high number of Ukrainian citizens to leave Ukraine and to travel and settle in the EU; whereas the military invasion will generate economic and social consequences on the European continent, in particular on Eastern Europe countries;
Amendment 10
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas the RRF funding supports key policy areas such as green transition, digital transformation, economic, social and territorial cohesion, institutional resilience and crisis preparedness as well as children and youth and education and skills;

Amendment 11
Eider Gardiazbabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan, Dragoș Pîslaru

Motion for a resolution
Recital B (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B. whereas EUR 672.5 billion in grants and loans will be available to finance national measures designed to alleviate the economic and social consequences of the pandemic;

Amendment 12
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Recital B (new)
B. whereas the COVID-19 pandemic has had a devastating effect on the employment and social situation of young people in Europe, with opportunities for personal development dwindling or being temporarily halted, employment rates falling, and corresponding numbers of young people not in employment, education or training (NEET) rising\(^1a\); whereas youth have significant decrease in personal income and a higher risk of poverty and social exclusion, as well as a considerable risk for the future labour market involvement; whereas immediate action is needed to secure and improve the future and well-being of young people; whereas the youth unemployment rate stands at 15.9%, 2.5 times higher than the general unemployment rates\(^2a\);

\(^{1a}\) https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/yth_empl_150/default/table?lang=en

Amendment 13

Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital B (new)

B. whereas EUR 672.5 billion in grants and loans are being made available
to finance national measures
designed to alleviate the economic
and social consequences of the
pandemic;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan, Dragoș Pîslaru

Motion for a resolution
Recital C (new)

C. whereas the RRF funding will support key policy areas such as green transition, digital transformation, economic, social and territorial cohesion, institutional resilience and crisis preparedness as well as children and youth, including education and skills;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Recital C (new)

C. whereas countries that were particularly hard hit during the financial crisis once again saw an above-average rise in youth unemployment; whereas women, young people, older people, persons with disabilities and large families are more endangered by this development; whereas the
Commission Autumn 2022 Economic Forecast shows promising figures with declining unemployment, and labour markets expected to complete its recovery in 2022 to pre-pandemic levels; however the crisis continues affecting particularly the young; whereas the number of young workers declined, compared to Q1-21\(^{1a}\); whereas in 2022 and 2023 3.4 million jobs are expected to be created\(^{2a}\), making it essential to ensure young people take part of these new employment opportunities; whereas an increasing number of young adults are now relying on the parental home to protect them from poverty, but 29 % of households with three generations are at risk of poverty and 13 % are severely deprived\(^{3a}\);

---

\(^{1a}\) Source: European Economic Forecast, Autumn 2021 (COM) (p. 128)

\(^{2a}\) European Commission Autumn 2021 Economic Outlook, p.2.

\(^{3a}\) Source: Recital N – EP Resolution on Strong Social Europe for Just Transitions

Or. en

Amendment 16
Damian Boeselger, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital C (new)

\begin{itemize}
\item \textit{Motion for a resolution}
\item \textit{Amendment}
\end{itemize}

C. whereas, to be eligible for financing, the National Recovery and Resilience Plans (NRRPs) must include the reforms and investment related to the scope based on the six
areas of European interest, respect general and specific objectives, horizontal principles and the 11 assessment criteria set out in the RRF Regulation;

Amendment 17
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan, Dragoș Pîslaru

Motion for a resolution
Recital D (new)

*Motion for a resolution*  
*Amendment*  

D. whereas, to be eligible for financing, the National Recovery and Resilience Plans (NRRPs) must include the reforms and investment related to the scope based on the six pillars, respect general and specific objectives, horizontal principles and the 11 assessment criteria set out in the RRF Regulation;

Amendment 18
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Recital D (new)

*Motion for a resolution*  
*Amendment*  

D. whereas the constant development of digital skills, as well as the development of skills with economic potential, such as green or entrepreneurial skills, is key for a healthy inclusive and future-oriented European labour market and should create access for every European to quality employment;
whereas the same applies to vocational education, trade skills and life skills; whereas 40% of employers cannot find people with the right skills to fill their vacancies; whereas the EU needs to overcome all forms of skills mismatch in order to make effective use of its human capital; whereas access to proper digital infrastructure and training on digital skills should be available to all in order to avoid widening the gap between people on digital literacy and ensure equal opportunities for all in the education system and in the labour market;

__________________

1a Source: https://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=1146&langId=en

2a Source: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2016/573893/EPRS_BRI%20282016%29573893_EN.pdf

Amendment 19
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital D (new)

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas dialogue and transparency between the EU institutions and the Member States is crucial for the successful implementation of the RRF;

Or. en
Amendment 20
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan, Dragoş Pîslaru

Motion for a resolution
Recital E (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

E. whereas dialogue and transparency between the EU institutions and the Member States is crucial for the optimal implementation of the RRF;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital E (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

E. whereas the European Commission, which is responsible for monitoring the implementation of the RRF, must regularly inform Parliament of the status of the assessment of the NRRPs, and how the targets and milestones have been implemented by the Member States including their contribution to the progress of meeting the green and digital targets; whereas the European Commission is required to take Parliament’s views into account;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan, Dragoş Pîslaru
Motion for a resolution
Recital F (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

F. whereas the European Commission, which is responsible for monitoring the implementation of the RRF, must regularly inform Parliament of the status of the assessment of the NRRPs, and how the targets and milestones have been implemented by the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

F. whereas the Member States must set up and maintain robust control systems and conduct necessary audits to ensure the protection of the financial interests of the Union throughout the entire life-cycle of the Facility;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Eider Gardiazaabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan, Dragoș Pîslaru

Motion for a resolution
Recital G (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

G. whereas the Member States must set up robust control systems and ensure the protection of the
financial interests of the Union;

Amendment 25
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital G (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

G. whereas the Regulation provides the possibility for a Member State to make a reasoned request to amend its NRRP within the period of implementation, where objective circumstances justify such a course of action;

Amendment 26
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan, Dragoș Pîslaru

Motion for a resolution
Recital H (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

H. whereas democratic control and parliamentary scrutiny over the implementation of the RRF is only possible with the full involvement of Parliament and the consideration of all its recommendations in all stages, and whereas the European Parliament will continue to scrutinise the implementation of the RRF;
Amendment 27
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital H (new)

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the war in Ukraine and the economic sanctions in its response carry serious economic and social effects on Member States, including an urgent need to decrease energy dependency on imported fossil fuels, and thus present objectively changed circumstances compared to before the start of the war;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan, Dragoş Pîslaru

Motion for a resolution
Recital I (new)

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the Commission will present a review report on the implementation of the RRF to the European Parliament and the Council by 31 July 2022;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital I (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the European Parliament declared a “climate emergency” already in November 2019; whereas according to the latest findings of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) of February 2022 the impacts of climate change represent an exceptional threat to humanity as global heating will trigger tipping points in Earth’s ecosystems;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Georgios Kyrtsos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges;

Amendment

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges; As such, the Commission and the recipients of NGEU funding have to ensure its visibility and dedicate 0,5% of every funding to mandatory communication actions on the RRF contribution to investments and reforms;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Enikő Győrí, Tamás Deutsch, Andor Deli, Bogdan Rzońca, András Gyürk, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges;

Amendment

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges; **reminds that this instrument of solidarity could also be effectively used, in the current Ukrainian crisis situation, by those countries which bear the heaviest burden of the reception of refugees;**

Amendment 32
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges;

Amendment

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges; **recognises that the RRF alone will not be sufficient to achieve the green and digital goals the EU set for itself; highlights the role that national budgets will have to play in that regard; recalls that the current EU’s fiscal rules would render public investment impossible owing to the requirement to respect the debt and deficit rules;**

Or. en
Amendment 33
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges;

Amendment
1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges; highlights the stabilising effect of NGEU for Member States at a time of great economic uncertainty, thereby allowing governments to maintain investment levels and limit borrowing costs despite immense demands on national budgets; recalls that the EU response was comprehensive and timely, leading to extensive use of existing instruments and deploying additional financing instruments;

Amendment 34
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Markus Ferber, Jan Olbrycht, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the

Amendment
1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented one-off and limited in time instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to
economies of the EU to face the new challenges; prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges; recalls that the EU response was comprehensive and timely, leading to extensive use of existing instruments and deploying additional financing instruments;

Amendment 35
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion for a resolution</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges;</td>
<td>1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges; recalls that the EU response was comprehensive and timely, leading to extensive use of existing instruments and deploying additional financing instruments;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a cornerstone of the **NextGenerationEU** (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges;

helping European economies growth thanks to a more competitive economy and being the pillars of growth business, SMEs, private investment and, finally, the EU's strategic autonomy.

---

**Amendment 37**

Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Nicola Beer, Linea Søgaard-Lidell

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 1**

**Motion for a resolution**

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges;

**Amendment**

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU (NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges;

---

**Amendment 38**

José Gusmão

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 1**

**Motion for a resolution**

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument of solidarity and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU

**Amendment**

1. Highlights that the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) is an unprecedented instrument and a cornerstone of the NextGenerationEU
(NGEU) instrument, ending in 2026, as the main tool in the EU’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic to prepare the economies of the EU to face the new challenges;

Amendment 39
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  

Amendment

1 a. Highlights that the Russian military aggression against Ukraine and the justified sanctions against Russia and Belarus will affect the economic recovery of the Union; underlines that a possible partial or complete stop of Russian fossil fuel imports will further aggravate the economic outlook; notes that the degree of energy dependency on Russian fossil fuel imports varies across Member States;

Amendment 40
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  

Amendment

1 a. Underlines that a determined, coordinated and solidarity-based European economic policy approach has been proven to be essential to foster EU economic integration, to limit the spreading of the crises and to mitigate its
negative economic and social consequences;

Amendment 41
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Amendment

1 a. Highlights that the RRF is a time-limited, one-time instrument specifically designed to deal with an unprecedented health and economic crisis and therefore cannot serve as a blueprint for the EU’s economic governance;

Amendment 42
Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Nicola Beer, Linea Søgaard-Lidell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Amendment

1 a. Recalls fiscal consolidation and a sound economic environment for future investments as the underlying reason behind establishing the RRF;

Amendment 43
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)
Amendment 44
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 c (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

1 c. Stresses that the conflict in Ukraine and the severe sanctions against the Russian Federation will unavoidably result in extremely negative and asymmetric effects on the EU economy and Member States; is concerned about the entailing social consequences and even further increasing social inequality; expects that EU will react with the same determination, unity and speediness and in solidarity in this new severe crisis;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Enikő Győrí, Tamás Deutsch, Andor Deli, Bogdan Rzońca, András Gyürk, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the
economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

or.

Amendment 46
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;
2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience; points out that the implementation of ambitious structural reforms plays a paramount role in achieving those objectives;
and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience; effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key, but insufficient for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience; despite the fact that most of the contribution to investment comes from the expenditure provided from national budgets, as certified by the European Commission’s autumn 2021 forecasts;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;
Amendment 50
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Francisco José Millán Mon, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, so far, the RRF has been an instrument that has created a lot of expectations to all stakeholders and institutions, deeper than what legislators had in mind and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; it is contributing to readjusting national priorities and public knowledge of the effort carried out by the Union; we have confidence that on a medium term basis it can be recognized that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

Amendment 51
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP)

Amendment

2. Recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience; notes, however, that there have been significant
and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; differences in the recovery path of core and periphery economies; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

Amendment 52
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution Amendment

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience; stresses, however, that the recovery dynamics remain uneven, with significant divergences among Member States, and that uncertainty is still high;

Amendment 53
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Markus Ferber, Jan Olbrycht, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2
2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that the effective implementation of the RRF will be a key for positive impact on the EU GDP; recognises that helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to EU recovery and growth, including economic cohesion, jobs, productivity, competitiveness, research, development and innovation, and a well-functioning internal market with strong small and medium enterprises (SMEs);

Or. en

Amendment 54
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience; including economic cohesion, jobs, productivity, competitiveness, research, development and innovation, and a well-functioning internal market with strong small and medium enterprises (SMEs);
small and medium enterprises (SMEs);

Amendment 55
Roberts Zīle, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska, Johan Van Overtveldt, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, for the Member States whose NRRPs have been adopted, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

Amendment 56
Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Nicola Beer, Linea Søgaard-Lidell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, it seems fair to conclude that, so far, the RRF has had positive effects on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will

Amendment

2. Welcomes the fact that even if the economic effects of the RRF cannot be fully disentangled from other developments, the RRF is likely to have a positive effect on gross domestic product (GDP) and that its effective implementation will contribute to the EU’s
be key for the EU’s economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

economic growth; recognises that the RRF has helped to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and is positively contributing to the EU’s recovery and resilience;

Amendment 57
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2 a.  Is concerned that food prices and energy bills in Europe have risen as a result of Russia’s invasion of Ukraine, which will hurt low-income communities the most; calls on the Union’s leadership, building on the structure of the RRF, to propose a new “Energy Recovery Fund”, in order to compensate households and businesses worst hit by the surge in prices and accelerate our investment in renewable sources;

Amendment 58
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Markus Ferber, Jan Olbrycht, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2 a.  Believes that in order for the RRF to attain its objectives and support the EU to bounce back from the crisis, it is imperative that Member States implement thoroughly the agreed reforms and
investments; reminds that the RRF is an incentive-based mechanism, whereby funding is disbursed upon completion of milestones and targets related to reforms;

Amendment 59
Roberts Zile, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska, Johan Van Overtveldt, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

2 a. Emphasizes that inflation can lead to a significant negative change with respect to the expected outcome of the RRF and more importantly to the expected results of the milestones and targets and estimated costs of the Member States’ NRRPs.

Amendment 60
Roberts Zile, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska, Johan Van Overtveldt, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

2 b. Emphasizes that inflationary circumstances should be recognised by the Commission as justified and reasoned course of action for a Member State to amend its NRRP including the potential of downgrading the milestones and targets if it chooses so due to the inflationary circumstances.
Amendment 61
Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Marco Zanni, Anna Bonfrisco, Valentino Grant

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

3. Notes that, according to the Commission, the real GDP of the EU-27 could be around 1.5 % higher in 2024 than without NGEU investments\textsuperscript{19}, when implemented effectively; notes, furthermore, that the Commission forecasts that RRF grants will fund 24 % of total recovery support measures in 2022;


Or. en

Amendment 62
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

3. Notes that, according to the Commission, the real GDP of the EU-27 could be around 1.5 % higher in 2024 than without NGEU investments\textsuperscript{19}, when implemented effectively; notes, furthermore, that the Commission forecasts that RRF grants will fund 24 % of total recovery support measures in 2022;

3. Calls on the Commission to recalculate the real GDP of the EU-27 in 2024, taking into account the increased energy prices and the Russo-Ukrainian War; notes, furthermore, that the Commission forecasts that RRF grants will fund 24 % of total recovery support measures in 2022;

regrets the fact that the Facility’s final amount was significantly lower than initially proposed, which undermined its macroeconomic impact in the EU;
Amendment 63
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that, according to the Commission, the real GDP of the EU-27 could be around 1.5% higher in 2024 than without NGEU investments\textsuperscript{19}, when implemented effectively; notes, furthermore, that the Commission forecasts that RRF grants will fund 24% of total recovery support measures in 2022;

Amendment

3. Notes that, according to the Commission, the real GDP of the EU-27 could be around 1.5% higher in 2024 than without NGEU investments\textsuperscript{19}, when implemented effectively; notes, furthermore, that the Commission forecasts that RRF grants will fund 24% of total recovery support measures in 2022; \textit{highlights that Russia’s aggression in Ukraine will have economic and social consequences over the continent, particularly in Eastern Europe, that might influence the forecasts;}

Amendment 64
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group


Or. en
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

3. Notes that, according to the Commission, the real GDP of the EU-27 could be around 1.5% higher in 2024 than without NGEU investments\textsuperscript{19}, when implemented effectively; notes, furthermore, that the Commission forecasts that RRF grants will fund 24% of total recovery support measures in 2022;


Amendment

3. Recalls that Member States have taken sizeable fiscal measures in response to the pandemic and in support of the recovery (5.2% of GDP in 2021 and 2.8% of GDP in 2022), a significant share of which is financed via the RRF; Notes that, according to the Commission, the real GDP of the EU-27 could be around 1.5% higher in 2024 than without NGEU investments, when implemented effectively; notes, furthermore, that the Commission forecasts that RRF grants will fund 24% of total recovery support measures in 2022;


Or. en

Amendment 65
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

3 a. Highlights the positive impact of the NGEU instrument estimated by the Commission\textsuperscript{1a}, the ECB\textsuperscript{1b} and the IMF\textsuperscript{1c}, notably with an increase of GDP growth of up to 1.5 percentage point higher then without NGEU investment, a positive persistent effect for the following years, the positive macroeconomic spill over effects and the potential of well-targeted reforms and investment to reduce
energy intensity and emissions and reduce social inequalities;

\begin{itemize}
\item \textit{1a} https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/default/files/economy-finance/dp144_en.pdf;
\item \textit{1b} https://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/economic-bulletin/articles/2022/html/ecb.ebart202201_02~318271f6cb.en.html;
\item \textit{1c} file:///C:/Users/sreither/Downloads/1EUR_A2022001.pdf
\end{itemize}

\begin{tabular}{ll}
Amendment 66 & Markus Ferber \\
\textbf{Motion for a resolution} & \\
\textbf{Paragraph 3 a (new)} & \\
\end{tabular}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textit{Motion for a resolution} \\
\item \textit{Amendment} \\
\item \textit{3 a. Notes that many Member States are in a difficult fiscal position, which is partially due to the effects of the pandemic and partially due to policy mistakes and a lack of fiscal discipline prior to the pandemic; points out that the RRF grants will continue to provide substantial fiscal support to growth and can thus enable highly-indebted Member States to pursue structural reforms and medium-term fiscal consolidation; calls on Member States to make the best use of this opportunity;}
\end{itemize}

Or. en
Amendment 67
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Points out that a successful and transparent implementation of the RRF would contribute to long term competitive, strategically autonomous, sustainable, inclusive and resilient economies and societies and will help to foster economic, social and territorial cohesion and catalyse the green and digital transitions;

Or. en

Amendment 68
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Markus Ferber, Jan Olbrycht, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Points out that a successful implementation of the RRF would lay down the foundations for long term competitive, strategically autonomous, sustainable, inclusive and resilient economies and societies;

Or. en

Amendment 69
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)
Motion for a resolution  
Amendment  

3 a. Points out that a successful implementation of the RRF would lay down the foundations for long term competitive, strategically autonomous, sustainable, inclusive and resilient economies and societies;

Or. en

Amendment 70  
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment  

4. Reiterates the importance of the successful implementation by the Member States of national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs) in order to ensure a long-term impact on the EU economy and society; recalls that the RRF is a performance-based mechanism, whereby funding is disbursed upon completion of milestones and targets related to measures; urges the Commission to use all lessons learned from the creation and implementation of the RRF to shape the new EU economic governance framework;

Or. en

Amendment 71  
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Francisco José Millán Mon, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4
4. Reiterates the importance of the successful implementation by the Member States of national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs) in order to ensure a long-term impact on the EU economy and society; recalls that the RRF is a performance-based mechanism, whereby funding is disbursed upon completion of milestones and targets related to measures;
Amendment 73
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

4. Reiterates the importance of the successful implementation by the Member States of national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs) in order to ensure a long-term impact on the EU economy and society; recalls that the RRF is a performance-based mechanism, whereby funding is disbursed upon completion of milestones and targets related to measures;

4. Reiterates the importance of the successful implementation by the Member States of national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs), in particular the reform component, in order to ensure a long-term impact on the EU economy and society; recalls that the RRF is a performance-based mechanism, whereby funding is disbursed only upon completion of milestones and targets;

Or. en

Amendment 74
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

4. Reiterates the importance of the successful implementation by the Member States of national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs) in order to ensure a long-term impact on the EU economy and society; recalls that the RRF is a performance-based mechanism, whereby funding is disbursed upon completion of milestones and targets related to measures;

4. Reiterates the importance of the successful and timely implementation by the Member States of national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs) in order to maximise the long-term impact on the EU economy and society; recalls that the RRF is a performance-based mechanism, whereby funding is disbursed upon completion of milestones and targets related to measures;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

4 a. Points out that the successful rollout of the RRF will help to make EU economies and societies more sustainable, inclusive, resilient and better prepared for the just, green and digital transition; is convinced that enhancing the sustainable transition and putting the well-being of people first is a prerequisite for a resilient, more egalitarian and future-proof economy; is convinced that a successful implementation of the NRRPs will contribute to reduce cross-country divergences fostering convergence;

Or. en

Amendment 76
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

4 a. Notes that the approval of the RRF has led to the abandonment of previous Commission’s proposals for a Euro Area common budget (such as the Budgetary Instrument for Convergence and Competitiveness, BICC); notes, however, that the RRF is only a temporary instrument and should not be seen as a substitute for permanent instruments; calls on the Commission to put forward a proposal for permanent budgetary instruments at Euro Area level in order to promote sustainable growth and convergence;

Or. en
Amendment 77
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4 a. Underlines that the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the need to increase strategic autonomy of the Union in key supply chains and critical infrastructures and services; stresses that this need has been reinforced by the Ukraine war and the sanctions, in particular in the area of energy dependency on fossil fuel imports from Russia; notes that according to the Commission, the RRF is expected to give a major boost to the implementation of the EU Industrial strategy;

Amendment 78
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4 a. Is concerned about the low level of effective disbursement or take-up of RRF funds in some Member States; warns that the RRF will only mitigate the social and economic impact of the crisis if funds are effectively absorbed and spent, so that they reach the real economy; calls on Member States to take the appropriate decisions on organisational structure and resources and to implement legal reforms if necessary in order to ensure the timely
expenditure of RRF funds;

Amendment 79
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Points out that the creation of the RRF provides lessons for the future of the EU economic architecture and governance and that its successful implementation could lead either to its extension or lay down the foundations for creating similar instruments and mechanisms at EU level to tackle crises and promote the EU objectives;

Amendment 80
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Underlines that the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the need to increase strategic autonomy of the Union in key supply chains and critical infrastructures and services; notes that according to the Commission, the RRF is expected to give a major boost to the implementation of the EU Industrial strategy;
Amendment 81
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Amendment

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; notes that according to the Commission the EU-wide GDP effects are around one third larger when explicitly accounting for the spillover effects from individual country measures; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights; calls upon the Commission to assess whether national plans have led to unnecessary duplication of investments in national plans of adjacent countries and to assist Member States in avoiding unnecessary overlap;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Amendment

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights; calls for
the introduction of new indicators in the European Semester cycle to properly monitor social progress and upwards convergence in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 83
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Amendment

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value and foster the development of domestic industries while also promoting convergence between Member States; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights and the EU Green Deal;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of

Amendment

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value;
the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Amendment 85
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Amendment
5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights and to the promotion of gender equality and equal opportunities for all and the mainstreaming of these objectives;

Amendment 86
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Francisco José Millán Mon, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Amendment
5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value taking into account the European Semester and Country Specific Recommendations, as well as the acquis communitaire, being as it is essential the coherent legislative and political development; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under
the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Amendment
5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; notes that according to the Commission the EU-wide GDP effects are around one third larger when explicitly accounting for the spillover effects from individual country measures; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also contribute to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Linea Søgaard-Lidell, Nicola Beer, Caroline Nagtegaal, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Moritz Körner, Ondřej Kovařík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments under the RRF should also improve EU competitiveness;

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises that the packages of reforms and investments, particularly growth-enhancing ones under the RRF, should also generate EU added value; improve EU competitiveness; emphasises that the packages of reforms and
Amendment 89
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Deplores the fact that women\textsuperscript{20}, children\textsuperscript{21}, young people, the elderly and vulnerable groups have been the most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery;


Amendment 90
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Francisco José Millán Mon, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Deplores the fact that women, children, young people, the elderly and vulnerable groups have been the most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery;

Amendment

6. Deplores the consequences that European citizens have suffered and continue to be most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; emphasizes the role that primary industry has had in keeping the economy alive and the effort carried out by SME’s as to maintain the productive network in the midst of epidemiological and regulatory uncertainty, and many times without the alleviation of Member States administration’s; recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery;

______________________________


______________________________


Or. en

Amendment 91
Fabio Massimo Castaldo
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Amendment

6. Deplores the fact that women, children, young people, the elderly and vulnerable groups have been the most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery; underlines that the twin transitions in Europe will create winners and losers; calls for a well-thought strategy on the part of the EU to support those who stand to lose more from the change;


Amendment 92
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6
Motion for a resolution

6. Deplores the fact that women, children, young people, the elderly and vulnerable groups have been the most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery;


Amendment

6. Deplores the fact that women, children, young people, the elderly and vulnerable groups have been the most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery; stresses that support from the RRF should be implemented through inclusive measures, accessible to all, especially the most vulnerable groups;


Or. en
impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; **recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery**;

impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; **deplores the failure of the majority of RRPs to address the gender-specific socio-economic impact of the crisis; stresses that the so far limited interventions to improve the accessibility and the quality of child-care facilities are welcome, but fall short of what is needed**;

---


---

**Amendment 94**
Petri Sarvamaa

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 6**

6. **Deplores the fact** that women[^20], children[^21], young people, the elderly and vulnerable groups have been the most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery;

6. **Notes** that women[^20], children[^21], young people, the elderly and vulnerable groups have been the most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery; **keeping in mind that these are not root targets for financing from RRF**;
Amendment 95
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Deplores the fact that women, children, young people, the elderly and vulnerable groups have been the most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; recalls the need to ensure that the EU leaves no one behind, and that it tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery;

Amendment

6. Deplores the fact that women, children, young people, the elderly and vulnerable groups have been the most impacted by the COVID-19 crisis; recalls the need to ensure that the EU tackles gender-specific socio-economic impacts on its path to recovery;

---


---

Amendment 96
Alexis Georgoulis, Rasmus Andresen, Niklas Nienaß, Tomasz Frankowski, Irena Joveva, Massimiliano Smeriglio, Ibán García Del Blanco, Andrey Slabakov, Giorgos Georgiou, Hannes Heide

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

6 a. Underlines the importance of culture for our identity, democracy, society and economy; is concerned that the European cultural and creative sectors, which account for between 4 and 7% of the EU’s GDP and for about 8.7 million jobs in the EU, were among those hit first and the hardest by the pandemic and will be the last to recover;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 97
Alexis Georgoulis, Rasmus Andresen, Niklas Nienaß, Tomasz Frankowski, Irena Joveva, Massimiliano Smeriglio, Ibán García Del Blanco, Andrey Slabakov, Hannes Heide

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

6 b. Notes the Commission’s approach to incorporate interventions that are not
strictly cultural, such as tourism on cultural heritage sites and energy efficiency of cultural buildings, in the calculations of the overall RRF spending on culture; considers that in order to have accurate statistical information and thus a good representation on the Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard website, a swift, detailed and transparent assessment of the data available to clearly indicate the amounts destined to culture;

Or. en

Amendment 98
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

7. Reiterates the importance of the six pillars in providing the Member States with a structure to propose and implement reforms and investments that tackle the green and digital transitions, the economy, productivity and competitiveness, social and territorial cohesion, health and institutional resilience, and measures for children and young people;

Amendment

7. Reiterates the importance of the six pillars in providing the Member States with a structure to propose and implement reforms and investments that tackle the green and digital transitions, the economy, productivity and competitiveness, social and territorial cohesion, health and institutional resilience, and measures for children and young people; notes that the current debate on energy sovereignty should lead Member States to prioritize the energy transition, so as to significantly reduce (and eventually eliminate) the fossil fuel dependency and promote socially and environmentally sustainable growth;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7
Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates the importance of the six pillars in providing the Member States with a structure to propose and implement reforms and investments that tackle the green and digital transitions, the economy, productivity and competitiveness, social and territorial cohesion, health and institutional resilience, and measures for children and young people;

Amendment

7. Reiterates the importance of the six pillars in providing the Member States with a structure to propose and implement reforms and investments that tackle the green and digital transitions, the economy, productivity and competitiveness, social and territorial cohesion, health and institutional resilience, and measures for children and young people; highlights that all Member States are required by the RRF Regulation to include in their national recovery and resilience plans measures that address all pillars; deplores that not all Member States chose to respect the pillar structure of the Regulation which would have allowed an easier monitoring;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates the importance of the six pillars in providing the Member States with a structure to propose and implement reforms and investments that tackle the green and digital transitions, the economy, productivity and competitiveness, social and territorial cohesion, health and institutional resilience, and measures for children and young people;

Amendment

7. Reiterates the importance of the six pillars in providing the Member States with a structure to propose and implement reforms and investments that tackle the green and digital transitions, the economy, productivity and competitiveness, social and territorial cohesion, health and institutional resilience, and measures for children and young people; highlights that all Member States are required by the RRF Regulation to include in their national recovery and resilience plans measures that address each pillar; deplores that not all Member States chose to respect the pillar structure of the
Amendment 101
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Reiterates the importance of the six pillars in providing the Member States with a structure to propose and implement reforms and investments that tackle the green and digital transitions, the economy, productivity and competitiveness, social and territorial cohesion, health and institutional resilience, and measures for children and young people;

Amendment

7. Reiterates the importance of the six pillars in providing the Member States with a structure to propose and implement reforms and investments that tackle the green and digital transitions, the economy, productivity and competitiveness, social and territorial cohesion, **social and economic inclusiveness**, health and institutional resilience, and measures for children and young people;

---

Amendment 102
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

7 a. **Emphasizes the aim of the Regulation to comply with social objectives and the importance to have methodologies in place for displaying the progress on the implementation to contribute to the European Pillar of Social Rights; therefore, is concerned that the delegated act on social expenditure and on the resilience scoreboard will not be sufficient to track and to report on the**

Amendment

7 a. **Emphasizes the aim of the Regulation to comply with social objectives and the importance to have methodologies in place for displaying the progress on the implementation to contribute to the European Pillar of Social Rights; therefore, is concerned that the delegated act on social expenditure and on the resilience scoreboard will not be sufficient to track and to report on the**
social dimension and impacts of the RRF; calls on the Commission to come forward with complementary social indicators tracking notably the implementation of the 20 principles of the EPSR through the NRRPs;

Amendment 103
Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Marco Zanni, Anna Bonfrisco, Valentino Grant

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

7 a. Considering the unsustainable increase in raw material prices, strongly aggravated by the economic sanctions applied following Russian aggression in Ukraine, and the negative effects that this will have on a large part of the European economy, believes that is necessary a repositioning of the objectives, timeframes and conditionalities originally envisaged by the NGEU agenda in order to allow the requesting Member States to adapt their respective NRRPs to the changed needs;

Amendment 104
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

7 a. Recognises that the conflict in Ukraine and related actions will have negative effects on the Member States’ economic situation and calls on the Commission to be flexible on the revision
of the national plans in order for them to also address the economic and social consequences of the crisis;

Or. en

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment 105</th>
<th>Victor Negrescu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motion for a resolution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 7 b (new)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion for a resolution</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 b. Underlines that the Facility should take into account the new specific situation and challenges of each Member States, in particular related to the food and energy prices crisis, and calls upon the Commission to allow them to address them by optimising the national plans;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment 106</th>
<th>Victor Negrescu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motion for a resolution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 7 c (new)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion for a resolution</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 c. Calls on the Commission to examine the possibility to redirect unused or saved RRF resources to support reforms and investments in areas not yet covered by the plans;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment 107</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roberts Zīle, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska, Johan Van Overtveldt, Bogdan Rzońca</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

8. Notes that in the 26 NRRPs that have been submitted so far, Member States have requested a total of EUR 337.5 billion in grants out of the EUR 338 billion available; notes furthermore that not all Member States, in their current NRRPs, have requested the full amount of grants available to them;

Amendment

8. Notes that in the 26 NRRPs that have been submitted so far, Member States have requested a total of EUR 337.5 billion in grants out of the EUR 338 billion available; notes furthermore that not all Member States, in their current NRRPs, have requested the full amount of grants available to them; **notes that not all Member States have chosen to submit requests up to its maximum financial contribution, as referred to in Article 11 of the RRF Regulation, therefore have not acquired a maximum amount of the pre-finance payment, based on the financial contribution.**

Or. en

---

Amendment 108
Petri Sarvamaa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

8. Notes that in the 26 NRRPs that have been submitted so far, Member States have requested a total of EUR 337.5 billion in grants out of the EUR 338 billion available; notes furthermore that not all Member States, in their current NRRPs, have requested the full amount of grants available to them;

Amendment

8. Notes that in the 26 NRRPs that have been submitted so far, Member States have requested a total of EUR 337.5 billion in grants out of the EUR 338 billion available; **reminds that this should not come as a surprise, since free money is always taken out first;** notes furthermore that not all Member States, in their current NRRPs, have requested the full amount of grants available to them;

Or. en
Amendment 109
Petri Sarvamaa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

8 a. Reminds that the current regulation on RRF already makes it possible for Member States to use the funds as remedy in recovering from unexpected problems in energy transition, caused by the war in Ukraine

Or. en

Amendment 110
Georgios Kyrtos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential; calls on the Commission to redirect these unused loans to Member States that can use them for the implementation of their NRRPs;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Linea Søgaard-Lidell, Nicola Beer, Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Ondřej Kovařík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9
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9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

9. Emphasises that all grants from the RRF should be invested in the implementation period of 2021-2026 to realise the EU’s objectives of sustainable economic growth, resilience and competitiveness; urges Member States to facilitate private investments in connection to the RRF-funded projects in order to increase volume of the recovery plan and close the major investment gap in the fields of green and digital transition.

Amendment 112
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

9. Notes however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage;

Amendment 113
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9
9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

Amendment

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential; encourages Member States to use full potential of the RRF, including loans, to counter the effects of the pandemic, the war and the recent sanctions;

Amendment 114
Petri Sarvamaa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

Motion for a resolution

underlines that should the Union decide on a similar package ever again, this lesson should be kept in mind when deciding on the allocation between grants and loans;

Amendment

Or. en
Amendment 115
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

Amendment

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential; recalls, in this context, its previous position deplorewing the cuts to the grant components of the NGEU, which upset the balance between grants and loans and risk undermining the recovery efforts;
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Or. en

Amendment 116
Eero Heinäluoma

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent

Amendment

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent
the RRF from reaching its full potential; 

the RRF from reaching its full potential; 

considers that, in order to maximise the efficiency of available funds, and in the context of the new geopolitical situation, that Member States should use the loans under the RRF to advance investments into the energy transition to reduce their dependency on imported fossil fuel energy and to improve their energy security.

Or. en

Amendment 117
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Markus Ferber, Jan Olbrycht, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

underlines that should Member States, whose NRRPs have been already approved, wish to request loans, it will require amending respective NRRP with the additional set of measures consisting of reforms and investments, without rolling back commitments in the plans already endorsed; encourages Member States to use full potential of the RRF; reminds that a Member State may request loan support at the time of the submission of a recovery and resilience plan or at a different moment in time until 31 August 2023;
Amendment 118
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

Amendment

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential; underlines that should Member States whose NRRPs have been already approved wish to request loans, it will require amending respective NRRP, where relevant with the additional set of reforms and investments, milestones and targets; encourages Member States to use full potential of the RRF;

Amendment 119
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require

Amendment

9. Notes, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require
loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential; loans at a later stage, given the fact that these are not desirable by Member States which already had high levels of public debt; notes that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

Amendment 120
Enikő Győri, Tamás Deutsch, Andor Deli, Bogdan Rzońca, András Gyürk, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

9. Is concerned, however, that only seven Member States have requested loans amounting to a total of EUR 166 billion out of the EUR 385.8 billion available for loans, leaving a considerable amount available should Member States require loans at a later stage; is preoccupied that the limited interest for the loan component may lead to lost opportunities and prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential;

Or. en

Amendment 121
Roberts Zīle, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

9 a. Calls on the Commission to reassess the potential amount of RRF loan support that would not be requested by the Member States due to limited

Or. en
interest for the loan component, thereby calls on the Commission to exercise more flexible framework for the provision of support of the Facility to Member States particularly in respect to the fallout of the Russian aggression on Ukraine, namely related to social, children, housing infrastructure, etc. aspects.

Amendment 122
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

9 a. Reminds all Member States and the Commission that the current war in Ukraine poses a serious threat to the EU recovery and resilience strategy; urges the European Commission to explore ways in which unused loans could be requested to tackle the economic, social and energy consequences following Russia’s aggression in Ukraine on February 24 2022;

Amendment 123
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

9 a. Encourages those Member States that did not request loans to the full extent
available, to do so and prioritise measures aiming at increasing their energy security and mitigating the economic effects of the crisis generated by the Russian invasion of Ukraine in the European Union.

Or. en

Amendment 124
Siegfried Mureşan, Markus Pieper

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

9 b. Is of the opinion that the Russian invasion on Ukraine inter alia requires a recalibration of the European energy policy; highlights that cross-border projects should enhance synergies and cooperation between the EU-countries and reflect common concerns and shared priorities; believes that the Recovery and Resilience Facility plays a significant role in reorienting the EU towards energy-independency and to accelerate the energy transition; is concerned that only 13.68% of cross-border projects are investing in the green transition; believes that under the current policy paradigm shift, too few cross-border projects have been initiated under the framework of the RRF; urges the Member States to make use of Article 21 in the RRF regulation which allows for change to national plans upon request of the Member States; refers to Recital 49 where amending the national plan is possible upon a reasoned request;

Or. en

Amendment 125
Roberts Zīle, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska, Johan Van Overtveldt, Bogdan Rzońca
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

9 b. Urges the Commission to reassess the potential amount of RRF loan support that would not be requested by the Member States due to limited interest for the loan component, in order to supplement the REPowerEU program in necessary ways to help make the EU independent from Russian fossil fuels in light of Russian invasion of Ukraine.

Amendment 126
Siegfried Mureşan, Markus Pieper

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

9 c. Recalls that the acceptance rate of loans by the Member States is so far and will remain low for the foreseeable future; stresses the need for an independent energy supply for the EU and corresponding investments in cross-border networks, interconnectors and hydrogen projects; calls on the Commission to make the full amount of loans available for Member States interested in investing into cross-border projects that are focused on energy-independence and transforming the energy-grid in the EU; calls on the Commission for a proposal on the revision of the Recovery and Resilience Facility Regulation to amend Art 14.5 of the RRF Regulation to allow Member States who are interested to request a loan higher than 6.8% GNI; calls on the Council to support the Commission in
Amendment 127
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation;

Amendment

10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation; reminds that a Member State may request loan support at the time of the submission of a recovery and resilience plan or at a different moment in time until 31 August 2023; calls on the Commission, where relevant, to come forward with targeted measures to incentivise the optimal use of the resources available under the RRF; calls in this respect for spending financed by RRF loans to benefit from a qualified treatment in the EU fiscal framework in order to prevent borrower countries to reduce their non-RRF spending once the currently suspended fiscal rules are re-activated; suggests, therefore, to treat this RRF-financed expenditure as one-off and exclude it from the structural balance as well as the expenditure benchmark;

Amendment 128
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10
10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation; 

observes that one such reason may be that spending financed by the loans allocated under the RRF is not exempt from the EU’s fiscal rules, with the result that such spending would be included in the structural deficit calculations; is concerned that this position would prevent the most indebted Member States, which need it the most, from harnessing the full potential of the RRF; 

Amendment 129 
Linea Søgaard-Lidell, Nicola Beer, Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Ondřej Kovařík 

Motion for a resolution 
Paragraph 10 

Amendment 

10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation; 

a) the reasons why some Member States have not requested grants to the full extent of their allocation, 

b) the extent of loans adopted by central banks in Member States to supplement RRF grants and 

c) private investments generated from RRF-funded projects.

Amendment 130 
Georgios Kyrtsos 

Motion for a resolution 
Paragraph 10
Motion for a resolution

10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation;

Amendment

10. Tasks the Commission to request from Member States, prior to the disbursement of funds, to provide detailed reasoning on why they have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation;

Amendment 131
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Commission, where relevant, to come forward with targeted measures to incentive the optimal use of the resources available under the RRF, taking into account the new financial needs caused by the Russian invasion of Ukraine and the side-effects of sanctions on Russia;

Amendment 132
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation;

Amendment

10. Reminds that a Member State may request loan support at
The time of the submission of a recovery and resilience plan or at a different moment in time until 31 August 2023; calls on the Commission, where relevant, to come forward with targeted measures to incentive the optimal use of the resources available under the RRF;

Amendment 133
Roberts Zile, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska, Johan Van Overtveldt, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution
10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation;

Amendment
10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation; and requests to come up with recommendations how this issue could be improved, provided Member States chose to update or amend their NRRPs

Amendment 134
Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Marco Zanni, Anna Bonfrisco

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution
10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation;

Amendment
10. Believes that among the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation are the many conditionalities linked to these funds, which is why many countries have chosen to continue financing on their own in the markets;
Amendment 135
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion for a resolution</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation;</td>
<td>10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation, which could prevent the RRF from reaching its full potential, and, where relevant, to come forward with a proposal of targeted amendment of the RRF Regulation to incentive the optimal use of the resources available under the RRF;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en

Amendment 136
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion for a resolution</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation;</td>
<td>10. Notes that Member States with high levels of public debt do not have incentives for requesting loans since they remain constrained by current SGP rules (even if they are suspended); notes that a reform of SGP, in order to do away with its pro-cyclical bias and its arbitrary targets for debt and deficit, is of crucial importance;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en

Amendment 137
Enikő Győri, Tamás Deutsch, Andor Deli, Bogdan Rzońca, András Gyürk, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation; such analyses should focus on comparing the conditions of loans from international market with RRF conditions, which might be exaggerated;

Amendment

10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation; stresses that depleting the funds available for loans is not a goal in and of itself; emphasizes that some Member States can borrow at a rate lower than the European Commission due to their fiscal prudence and are hence not in need of RRF loans;

Amendment 138
Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Nicola Beer, Linea Søgaard-Lidell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Amendment

10. Tasks the Commission with analysing the reasons why the Member States have not requested loans to the full extent of their allocation; stresses that depleting the funds available for loans is not a goal in and of itself; emphasizes that some Member States can borrow at a rate lower than the European Commission due to their fiscal prudence and are hence not in need of RRF loans;

Amendment 139
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

10 a. Recalls that, under Article 21 of the Recovery and Resilience Facility, objective circumstances allow a Member State to make a reasoned request to the Commission to make a proposal to amend or replace the approved plan; recalls that if the Commission considers that the reasons put forward by the Member State justify an amendment of the NRRP, it shall assess the amended NRRP in accordance with Article 19 and put forward a proposal for a Council implementing decision according to Article 20 of the Regulation; recalls that such a request for amendment entails an assessment and approval procedure identical to the first assessment and approval procedure of the plans; notes that, so far, no Member State requested to amend or replace the approved plan;

Or. en

Amendment 140
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)
Article 20 of the Regulation; recalls that such a request for amendment entails an assessment and approval procedure identical to the first assessment and approval procedure of the plans; notes that, so far, no Member State requested to amend or replace the approved plan;

Amendment 141
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

10 a. Insists that any amendment of the NRRPs shall fully comply with the provisions of the RRF Regulation and supports the Commission’s approach that the mere change of the political situation in Member States does not represent an objective reason for requesting an amendment of the NRRP; reminds Member States that requests for modifications of NRRPs, must comply with the timelines of the Regulation and will likely lead to delays in the implementation of the reforms and investments, will, subsequently, increase the risk of failing to meet agreed targets and milestones and, ultimately, incapacity of using of the entire RRF allocation or losing part of the funding;

Amendment 142
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

10 a. Calls for the spending financed by RRF loans to benefit from the same treatment in the EU fiscal framework as is the case for the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) in the context of the Commission Communication on flexibility, so as to ensure an optimal effect for the EU recovery;

Amendment 143
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

10 b. Recalls that, under Article 21 of the Recovery and Resilience Facility, objective circumstances allow a Member State to make a reasoned request to the Commission to make a proposal to amend or replace the approved plan; recalls that objective circumstances do not include political developments in Member States and insists that the Commission should apply a strict definition of the objective circumstances that justify such an amendment;

Amendment 144
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

10 b. Notes that the development of Member State GDP is currently forecast to differ significantly from the Commissions autumn 2020 forecast, therefore leading to expected changes in the grant amounts available to Member States for the second 30% funding window of the RRF; points out that significant changes in the allocated grant portion may require amendments of national RRPs;

Amendment

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 c (new)

10 c. Is of the opinion that, irrespective of any change in allocation, the ongoing war in Ukraine should be deemed as relevant objective circumstances and justify the request for amendment; encourages the Commission to propose modifications to NRRPs that will further increase energy independence and help counter the effect of the recent sanctions; considers it essential that, in this context, any new allocation of existing funds is directed primarily towards reducing fossil fuel energy dependency through investments and reforms for reduction in energy consumption, increased energy efficiency, supply diversification and phase-out of fossil fuels; urges the Commission to encourage Member States to modify their approved plans to this
effect, and to offer guidance on the swift and effective implementation of such investment and reform measures;

Amendment 146
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 d (new)

10 d. Calls on the Commission to assess whether the legally specified spending targets of 37% green spending and 20% digital spending are likely to be reached as planned during the implementation phase of the RRF and to recommend amendments of Member State RRPs where it anticipates that the targets could be missed;

Amendment 147
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Francisco José Millán Mon, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF;

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission, so that as guardian of the treaties should look out for the correct implementation of European Union norms and the Parliament as budgetary
control organism, in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF; Shows its concern over the difficulties encountered on its access to information received by the Commission and transmitted by the Member States; Parliament must have access to all documents and communications from the Commission to each Member State, both of its plans and their implementation, and of the evaluations made or received.

Amendment 148
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF;

Amendment

11. Urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF; reiterates the importance of the Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard in providing basic information for citizens on the global progress in the implementation of the RRPs; calls for more detailed reporting, focusing on outcome and impact indicators rather than output, to allow for better understanding the role and the additionality of the RRF;

Amendment 149
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11
11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF;

11. Highlights that RRF spending should adhere to the principle of additionality; looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF; urges the Commission to take utmost care that RRF spending truly results in additional spending;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF;

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF; calls on Eurostat to provide the necessary guidance and framework to ensure a proper monitoring of the NRRPs;

Or. en

Amendment 151
Roberts Zīle, Andželika Anna Moždźanowska, Bogdan Rzońca
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF;

Amendment

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; regrets the lack of public information on how the NRRPs comply with the requirement of additionality; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF; stresses the importance of a transparent and public score board and thus the need of benchmarking reforms and investments

Or. en

Amendment 152
Linea Søgaard-Lidell, Nicola Beer, Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Ondřej Kovařík

Amendment 153
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Amendment

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF;

Or. en
Motion for a resolution

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF;

Amendment

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF; *reiterates the importance of the Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard in providing a clear framework for the additionality impact, as well as ensuring a qualitative analysis of the reforms and investments proposed;*

Or. en

Amendment 154
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF;

Amendment

11. Looks forward to more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; urges the Member States to provide detailed, *transparent and timely information* information to the Commission in order to ensure effective reporting of the impact of the RRF;

Or. en

Amendment 155
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

11 a. Believes that in order to demonstrate its added value, the RRF should focus on investments which could not be adequately financed through other funding instruments of the Union or would have difficulty in obtaining the adequate financing;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Notes that only nine operational arrangements have been signed between the Commission and Member States so far;

12. Notes that only nine operational arrangements have been signed between the Commission and Member States so far; calls on the Commission and Member States to sign operational arrangements as soon as possible and urges the Commission and all Member States to publish their operational arrangements, financing and loan agreements in a timely manner, in order to ensure better transparency and reinforce accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12
Motion for a resolution

12. Notes that only nine operational arrangements have been signed between the Commission and Member States so far; 

Amendment

12. Notes that only nine operational arrangements have been signed between the Commission and Member States so far; calls on the Commission and Member States to sign operational arrangements as soon as possible and urges the Commission and all Member States to publish their operational arrangements and financing arrangements voluntarily in a timely manner, in order to ensure better transparency and reinforce accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 158
Roberts Zile, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

13. Observes that 20 Member States have received pre-financing of up to 13 % of their total allocation, that one Member State has not requested pre-financing and that five have so far requested first payments from the RRF;

Amendment

13. Observes that 20 Member States have received pre-financing of up to 13 % of their financial contribution, that one Member State has not requested pre-financing and that five have so far requested first payments from the RRF;

Or. en

Amendment 159
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

13 a. Reiterates that delays in the implementation of the Facility and
national recovery and resilience plans should not slow down the recovery process following the pandemic and diminish the level of resilience of the Union;

Amendment 160
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Recalls that the RRF Regulation provides for the possibility to include in the NRRPs measures started from 1 February 2020 onwards and that some Member States have made use of this possibility;

Amendment

14. Recalls that the RRF Regulation provides for the possibility to include in the NRRPs measures started from 1 February 2020 onwards and that some Member States have made use of this possibility rather extensively; believes that the entire concept of “retroactive reforms” and its extensive use is not in line with the spirit of the RRF objectives; urges the Commission to refrain from approving further “retroactive reforms”, particularly reforms which were already planned before the set up of the RRF and the emergence of the pandemic;

Amendment 161
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Recalls that the RRF Regulation provides for the possibility to include in the NRRPs measures started from 1 February 2020 onwards and that some Member

Amendment

14. Recalls that the RRF Regulation provides for the possibility to include in the NRRPs measures started from 1 February 2020 onwards and that some Member
States have made use of this possibility; urges the Commission to provide more granular and disaggregated data allowing for a better understanding of the additionality impacts of the RRF; deplores that some Member States have largely reused existing stimulus packages, thereby missing an important chance to use the RRF to provide for incremental growth and effectively transferring national sovereign debt into European debt without the desired effect;

Or. en

Amendment 162
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Recalls that the RRF Regulation provides for the possibility to include in the NRRPs measures started from 1 February 2020 onwards and that some Member States have made use of this possibility;

Amendment

14. Recalls that the RRF Regulation provides for the possibility to include in the NRRPs measures started from 1 February 2020 onwards and that some Member States have made use of this possibility; welcomes that some Member States have taken a proactive attitude to tackle the negative effects of the crisis by starting the implementation of measures ahead of the adoption of their NRRPs;

Or. en

Amendment 163
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

14 a. Deplores that Member States have made excessive use of the retroactivity clause thus undermining the notion of additionality; points out that many of the projects and reforms submitted by Member States as part of their RRPs were already planned before the RRF was either conceived or implemented and should thus have not received RRF funding; deplores that the Commission has failed to prevent Member States from making excessive use of the retroactivity clause; considers that the retroactivity clause and its excessive application ultimately undermine confidence in the RRF itself;

Amendment 164

Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

14 a. Welcomes the early dialogue between the Commission and the Member States in the preparation of the payment requests and Commission readiness in assessing payment requests; encourages it to continue thoroughly assessing whether milestones and targets are complied with consulting relevant experts where needed, in a timely manner; urges the Commission to ensure a fast deployment of payments and to closely monitor the implementation of reforms and investments;
Amendment 165  
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motio for a resolution  

Amendment

14 a. Welcomes the early dialogue between the Commission and the Member States in the preparation of the payment requests and Commission readiness in assessing payment requests; encourages it to continue transparently assessing whether milestones and targets are complied with in a timely manner; urges the Commission to ensure a fast deployment of payments and to closely monitor the implementation of reforms and investments;

Or. en

Amendment 166  
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  

Amendment

14 a. Regrets that among the initial payment requests, in some instances, some Member States make extensive use of the retroactivity clause, particularly as regards the reforms component; is of the opinion, that some of the reforms included in the first payment claims were already planned before the emergence of the pandemic and the set up of the RRF and thus should not receive funding from the instrument;

Or. en
Amendment 167
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Amendment

14 a. Reminds of the need to swiftly proceed with the introduction of new own resources to cover the repayment of the NextGenerationEU instrument, in accordance with the roadmap set out in the Interinstitutional Agreement concluded in December 2020 by the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 168
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Amendment

14 a. Is concerned with the possibility of financing the RRF through cuts in future EU budgets; notes that such a scenario would undermine the nature of current grants conceded to the Member States, since these would eventually have to be paid for;

Or. en

Amendment 169
Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Nicola Beer, Linea Søgaard-Lidell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)
14 a. Recalls that the bonds issued to finance the RRF are to be repaid in a manner that ensures the steady and predictable reduction of liabilities, by 2058 at the latest;

Or. en

Amendment 170
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

14 b. Calls on the Commission to present financing alternatives based on new own-resources, particularly regarding the taxation of financial transactions and digital multinationals; as an alternative, calls on the Commission to assess the role the ECB could play in financing the Fund;

Or. en

Amendment 171
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

14 b. Reminds that the Facility is subject to the sound economic governance and calls on the Commission to apply the existing rules scrupulously;
Amendment 172
Roberts Zīle, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska, Johan Van Overtveldt, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

15. Welcomes the fact that 22 NRRPs have been approved and observes that as of early February 2022, one Member State had not yet put forward its NRRP; further notes that four NRRPs are pending assessment by the Commission; calls on the Commission to make the final assessment of the above mentioned plans as soon as possible; reminds the Commission that according to Article 19 of the RRF Regulation, the assessment needs to be done in close cooperation with the Member State within two months of the official submission of the NRRPs;

Amendment 173
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazaabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

15. Welcomes the fact that 22 NRRPs have been approved and observes that as of early February 2022, one Member State had not yet put forward its NRRP; further notes that four NRRPs are pending assessment by the Commission; urges the countries with NRRPs pending assessment to engage in constructive discussions with the Commission in order for the plans to become ready for approval.
without further delay; calls on the Commission to apply diligently the RRF Regulation when assessing the remaining plans;

**Amendment 174**
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 15**

15. Welcomes the fact that 22 NRRPs have been approved and observes that as of early February 2022, one Member State had not yet put forward its NRRP; further notes that four NRRPs are pending assessment by the Commission;

15. Welcomes the fact that 22 NRRPs have been approved and observes that as of early February 2022, four are currently undergoing Commission assessment and one Member State has not yet put forward its NRRP; further notes that four NRRPs are pending assessment by the Commission; urges the countries with NRRPs pending assessment to engage in constructive discussions with the Commission to ensure full compliance with the 11 assessment criteria as set out in the regulation before presenting any draft Council Implementing Decision;

**Amendment 175**
Enikő Győri, Tamás Deutsch, Andor Deli, Bogdan Rzońca, András Gyürk, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 15**

15. Welcomes the fact that 22 NRRPs have been approved and observes that as of early February 2022, one Member State had not yet put forward its NRRP; further notes that four NRRPs are pending

15. Welcomes the fact that 22 NRRPs have been approved and observes that as of early February 2022, one Member State had not yet put forward its NRRP; further notes that four NRRPs are pending
assessment by the Commission; assessment by the Commission; *deplores the fact that the adoption of two NRRPs, namely the Polish and Hungarian, are blocked because of political reasons;*

**Amendment 176**
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 15**

**Motion for a resolution**

15. Welcomes the fact that 22 NRRPs have been approved and observes that as of early February 2022, one Member State had not yet put forward its NRRP; further notes that four NRRPs are pending assessment by the Commission;

**Amendment**

15. Welcomes the fact that 22 NRRPs have been approved and observes that as of early February 2022, one Member State had not yet put forward its NRRP; further notes that four NRRPs are pending assessment by the Commission; *is concerned that some of the plans have been under assessment for a considerable time;*

**Amendment 177**
Roberts Zīle, Andżelika Anna Moźdzanowska, Bogdan Rzońca

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

**Motion for a resolution**

15 a. Points out that the delay of assessment of the NRRPs by the Commission considerably hinders the main objectives of the RRF set out to help to cushion EU economies and citizens from the most acute impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and to positively contribute to the recovery and resilience
of every Member State; notes that these delays further contribute to suspiciousness of politically driven reasons.

Amendment 178
Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Marco Zanni, Anna Bonfrisco, Valentino Grant, Gunnar Beck, Joachim Kuhs

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Amendment

16. Notes the fact that the Commission’s assessments concluded that all approved NRRPs address all six pillars of the RRF and satisfactorily fulfil all assessment criteria as set out in RRF Regulation and represent a balanced package of reforms and investments; considers that **Member States could have better aligned their NRRPs to the six RRF pillars and the requirements** of the RRF Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 179
Enikő Győri, Tamás Deutsch, Andor Deli, Bogdan Rzońca, András Gyürk, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Amendment

16. Notes the fact that the Commission’s assessments concluded that all approved NRRPs address all six pillars of the RRF and satisfactorily fulfil all assessment criteria as set out in RRF Regulation and represent a balanced package of reforms and investments; considers that the assessment criteria of the RRF Regulation should be however revised to allow greater investment in gas and nuclear infrastructures, in order to achieve a fast and stable energy independence of European countries from the Russian Federation
considers that Member States could have better aligned their NRRPs to the six RRF pillars and the requirements of the RRF Regulation;

reminds that these criteria are also fulfilled by the Polish and Hungarian RRP’s, however their approval is pending on political blackmailing by the European left; deplores that this political blackmailing is damaging the level playing field amongst Member States’ economies;

Or. en

Amendment 180
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Francisco José Millán Mon, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

16. Notes the fact that the Commission’s assessments concluded that all approved NRRPs address all six pillars of the RRF and satisfactorily fulfil all assessment criteria as set out in RRF Regulation and represent a balanced package of reforms and investments; considers that Member States could have better aligned their NRRPs to the six RRF pillars and the requirements of the RRF Regulation;

16. Notes the fact that the Commission’s assessments concluded that all approved NRRPs address all six pillars of the RRF and satisfactorily fulfil all assessment criteria as set out in RRF Regulation and represent a balanced package of reforms and investments; considers that Member States could have better aligned their NRRPs to the six RRF pillars and the requirements of the RRF Regulation; stresses that the fast approval of the NRRP without direct participation by regional and local authorities is hindering its implementation; it expects the European Commission to collaborate with Member States on the improvement of these deficiencies.

Or. en

Amendment 181
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)
Amendment 182
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Amendment

16 a. Calls upon the European Commission to be open, transparent and available for the rapid correction of errors in NRRPs or revisions of NRRPs, especially if the reforms included risk to generate negative social and development effects; calls for the amounts allocated to national recovery and resilience plans to be removed from the deficit targets;

Or. en

Amendment 183
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Amendment

16 a. Regrets the lack of adequate involvement of local and regional authorities, civil society organisations, social partners and all other relevant stakeholders in the preparation of the NRRPs; calls on the Member States to ensure their proper involvement in the implementation of the NRRPs;

Or. en
assessing compliance with the Do No Significant Harm (DNSH) principle; notes that the involvement of the Parliament is crucial to avoid greenwashing;

Amendment 184
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

16 b. Regrets the fact that local and regional authorities, social partners and other relevant stakeholders have not been adequately involved in the preparation of the NRRPs; stresses that this may also be the case during the implementation phase; calls on Member States to promote a meaningful involvement of these groups

Amendment 185
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

16 b. Calls upon the European Commission to reduce the period of evaluation and approval of any changes requested by Member States to a maximum of 30 days and to allow the national plans to be implemented without interruption on the other chapters, not amended, during the evaluation process;
Amendment 186
Roberts Zīle, Andželika Anna Moždźanowska, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Reminds the Commission that the rule of law conditionality mechanism is an essential component of the RRF; calls on it to refrain from approving the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary as long as concerns regarding the observance of the rule of law and the prevention and detection of and fight against fraud, conflicts of interest and corruption persist in those countries, and to ensure that all the measures set out in their plans comply with EU values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 187
Enikő Győri, Tamás Deutsch, Andor Deli, Bogdan Rzońca, András Gyürk, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Reminds the Commission that the rule of law conditionality mechanism is an essential component of the RRF; calls on it to refrain from approving the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary as long as concerns regarding the observance of the rule of law and the prevention and detection of and fight against fraud, conflicts of interest and corruption persist in those countries, and to ensure that all the measures set out in their plans comply with EU values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union;
Amendment 188
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Reminds the Commission that the rule of law conditionality mechanism is an essential component of the RRF; calls on it to refrain from approving the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary as long as concerns regarding the observance of the rule of law and the prevention and detection of and fight against fraud, conflicts of interest and corruption persist in those countries, and to ensure that all the measures set out in their plans comply with EU values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union;

Amendment

17. Reminds the Commission that compliance with the Rule of Law and TFEU Article 2 values an essential prerequisite and component of the RRF; calls on the Commission to refrain from a positive assessment of the draft NRRPs and calls upon the Council not to approve the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary as long as concerns regarding the observance of the rule of law and the prevention and detection of and fight against fraud, conflicts of interest and corruption persist in those countries, and to ensure that all the measures set out in their plans comply with EU values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union and in particular, expects the three conditions for disbursing the Recovery and Resilience Facility funds to Poland mentioned by the Commission President on 19 October 2021 to be fulfilled; reminds Member States that the failure to fully comply with the provisions of the RRF Regulation and the subsequent delays in the approval of the NRRPs, seriously affect the capacity of local and regional authorities in adequately tackling the impact of the pandemic on their communities, businesses and citizens and can lead to a long term worsening of the local and regional economic situation; highlights that infringements of the Rule of Law, including of the independence of the judiciary, the right to free and fair elections and the freedom of the media, puts countries on the path towards
autocratic leadership, undermines the values of our Union, and constitutes a serious and strategic security threat, especially, but not only, in the context of the Russian aggression;

Amendment 189
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

17. Reminds the Commission that the rule of law conditionality mechanism is an essential component of the RRF; calls on it to refrain from approving the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary as long as concerns regarding the observance of the rule of law and the prevention and detection of and fight against fraud, conflicts of interest and corruption persist in those countries, and to ensure that all the measures set out in their plans comply with EU values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union;

Amendment

17. Reminds the Commission that the rule of law conditionality mechanism is an essential component of the RRF; calls on it to refrain from approving the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary as long as concerns regarding the observance of the rule of law and the prevention and detection of and fight against fraud, conflicts of interest and corruption persist in those countries, and to ensure that all the measures set out in their plans comply with EU values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union; reminds Member States that the failure to fully comply with the provisions of the RRF Regulation and the subsequent delays in the approval of the NRRPs, seriously affect the capacity of local and regional authorities in adequately tackling the impact of the pandemic on their communities, businesses and citizens and can lead to a long term worsening of the local and regional economic situation;

Amendment 190
Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Marco Zanni, Anna Bonfrisco, Gunnar Beck, Joachim Kuhs
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

17. Reminds the Commission that the rule of law conditionality mechanism is an essential component of the RRF; calls on it to refrain from approving the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary as long as concerns regarding the observance of the rule of law and the prevention and detection of and fight against fraud, conflicts of interest and corruption persist in those countries, and to ensure that all the measures set out in their plans comply with EU values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union;

Amendment

17. Reminds the Commission that the rule of law conditionality mechanism is an essential component of the RRF; calls on it to evaluate objectively and without external political pressure the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary; considers paradoxical to block European funding to two of the countries at the forefront of migration management following the invasion of Ukraine;

Or. en

Amendment 191
Petri Sarvamaa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

17. Reminds the Commission that the rule of law conditionality mechanism is an essential component of the RRF; calls on it to refrain from approving the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary as long as concerns regarding the observance of the rule of law and the prevention and detection of and fight against fraud, conflicts of interest and corruption persist in those countries, and to ensure that all the measures set out in their plans comply with EU values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union;

Amendment

17. Reminds the Commission that the rule of law mechanism and the conditionality regulation are essential components of the RRF; calls on it to refrain from approving the NRRPs of Poland and Hungary as long as concerns regarding the observance of the rule of law and the prevention and detection of and fight against fraud, conflicts of interest and corruption persist in those countries, and to ensure that all the measures set out in their plans comply with EU values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union;

Or. en
Amendment 192
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Calls on the Commission to apply diligently the RRF rules when assessing the remaining plans; reminds the Commission that the RRF is subject to the Rule of Law conditionality regime and calls on refraining from approving NRRPs in case of concerns regarding the observance of rule of law and the sound financial management of EU funds, prevention, detection and fight against fraud, conflict of interests and corruption; furthermore, recalls that the observance of rule of law and the sound financial management of EU funds are to be evaluated continuously throughout the lifecycle of the RRF and that the Commission shall refrain to disburse funding and, where applicable, recover funds, in case such conditions are no longer fulfilled; reminds Member States that the failure to fully comply with the provisions of the Regulation and the subsequent delays in the approval of the NRRPs, seriously affect the capacity of local and regional authorities in adequately tackling the impact of the pandemic on their communities, businesses and citizens and can lead to a long term worsening of the local and regional economic situation;

Or. en

Amendment 193
Petri Sarvamaa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18
Motion for a resolution

18. Urges the Commission to monitor very carefully the risks to EU financial interests in the implementation of the RRF and any breach or potential breach of the principles of the rule of law;

Amendment

18. Urges the Commission to monitor very carefully the risks to EU financial interests in the implementation of the RRF and any breach or potential breach of the principles of the rule of law; reminds the Commission to implement the conditionality regulation without any further delay in order to protect the sound financial management and the Union’s financial interests;

Or. en

Amendment 194
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Urges the Commission to monitor very carefully the risks to EU financial interests in the implementation of the RRF and any breach or potential breach of the principles of the rule of law;

Amendment

18. Reminds that the Rule of Law Conditionality Mechanism is fully applicable for the RRF; urges the Commission to monitor very carefully the risks to EU financial interests in the implementation of the RRF and any breach or potential breach of the principles of the rule of law and to take immediate action if the financial interests of the EU are negatively impacted;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Enikő Győri, Tamás Deutsch, Andor Deli, Bogdan Rzońca, András Gyürk, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18
Motion for a resolution

18. Urges the Commission to monitor very carefully the risks to EU financial interests in the implementation of the RRF and any breach or potential breach of the principles of the rule of law;

Amendment

18. Urges the Commission to monitor very carefully the risks to EU financial interests in the implementation of the RRF and any breach of the principles of the rule of law; notes that the CJEU has explicitly stated that the Conditionality Regulation is not intended to protect the rule of law, but to protect the EU budget;

Or. en

Amendment 196
Roberts Zile, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Urges the Commission to monitor very carefully the risks to EU financial interests in the implementation of the RRF and any breach or potential breach of the principles of the rule of law;

Amendment

18. Urges the Commission to monitor very carefully the risks to EU financial interests in the implementation of the RRF and any breach of the principles of the rule of law;

Or. en

Amendment 197
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

18 a. Notes that, in addition to the requirements laid down in the RRF Regulation, NRRPs can include supplementary commitments taken by Member States in order to address domestic challenges, such as spending
thresholds on territorializable investments; considers such commitments as binding, to the extent that they make integral part of the approved Plans; calls therefore on the Commission to evaluate the implementation of the Plans and national performances against these commitments;

Amendment 198
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

18 a. Furthermore, recalls that the observance of rule of law and the sound financial management of EU funds are to be evaluated continuously throughout the lifecycle of the RRF and that the Commission shall refrain to disburse funding and, where applicable, recover funds, in case such conditions are no longer fulfilled;

Amendment 199
Margarida Marques, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

18 a. Recognises the positive impact of necessary tax reforms in the Member States that are included in some national plans, while deploring that none of the Member States concerned with CSR on
aggressive tax planning, tax avoidance, tax evasion and money laundering, have addressed those challenges in their NRRPs;

Amendment 200
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

The RRF’s contribution to the Green transition

Amendment

Amendment 201
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37 % set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 50 % or EUR 220 billion;

19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37 % set out in the RRF Regulation, and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost EUR 220 billion; points out, however, that external reviews of the spending identify lower figures with regard to Green spending; deplores that the Commission has defined milestones and targets, as well as their operational implementation, inconsistently in various Member States, even though they address the same intervention field; highlights in this
regard that the milestones and targets associated with climate-related measures have not always been defined in a way to ensure that the implemented measures are as climate-supportive as announced; highlights the risk of “greenwashing” in the implementation of some national plans, where measures tagged as contributing 100% to the green spending target do not clearly address the requirements set out by the Regulation, including an engagement to produce 30% of primary energy savings for renovation measures;

Or. en

Amendment 202
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37% set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 50% or EUR 220 billion;

Amendment

19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37% set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 50% or EUR 220 billion; is concerned that, according to an independent analysis\(^1\) of planned recovery measures in 14 Member States, at least eight national recovery plans failed to meet the requirement of the 37% threshold for ‘green’ spending;

\(^1\) https://www.e3g.org/news/a-recovery-with-green-elements-but-not-a-green-recovery/

Or. en

Amendment 203
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group
19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37% set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 50% or EUR 220 billion;

Amendment
19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37% set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 50% or EUR 220 billion; underlines that the NRRPs should contribute to the green transition, including biodiversity; notes positively that several NRRPs contribute significantly to biodiversity but regrets that not all NRRPs contribute sufficiently to biodiversity;

Or. en

Amendment 204
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37% set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 50% or EUR 220 billion;

Amendment
19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37% set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 50% or EUR 220 billion; underlines, however, the concerns whether all relevant measures truly contribute to the achievement of the objectives of and the commitments under the Paris Agreement and the EU Climate Law;

Or. en
Amendment 205
Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Marco Zanni, Anna Bonfrisco, Gunnar Beck, Joachim Kuhs

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37 % set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 50 % or EUR 220 billion;

Amendment

19. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to reach the green target of at least 37 % set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall climate expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 50 % or EUR 220 billion; **questions the real added value of these investments in order to improve the safety of the Union's energy supplies**;

Or. en

Amendment 206
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

19 a. Regrets that in their NRRPs several countries have prioritised measures such as investments in fossil gas boilers over renewable energy sources; deplores that some countries planned to fund fossil gas boilers as part of broader measures for building renovation and heating, running the risk of extensive lock-in in gas infrastructure that contradicts EU climate objectives; reiterates its call to fully apply the guidance, in particular in light of the urgent need to phase out Russian gas;

Amendment

Or. en
Amendment 207
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

19 b. Underlines that the RRF Regulation’s definition of new climate spending intervention fields is aimed at providing Member States and Commission with the necessary flexibility to fulfil the spirit and letter of the Regulation; deplores that in some Member States spending for hybrid cars has been added to list of intervention fields and asks Member States to redirect funds committed to this aim, as hybrid car technologies do not effectively contribute to the Green transition, lack long-term impact, and do not comply with the DNSH, given that a superior technology is available in fully electric vehicles;

Or. en

Amendment 208
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

19 c. Recalls the urgent need to halt and reverse the dramatic loss of biodiversity; reminds the RRF should contribute to the mainstreaming of biodiversity action and that pillar one of the RRF is the green transition, including biodiversity; is concerned that most Member States have not used the recovery fund as an opportunity to improve biodiversity; regrets that binding targets on biodiversity

Or. en
were not part of the RRF Regulation; stresses that the key objectives of the EU’s Biodiversity Strategy 2030 are in jeopardy without significant changes in EU spending;

Amendment 209
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 d (new)

19 d. Recalls that the NRRPs need to be consistent with the National Energy and Climate Plans (NECPs) and the updates of thereof; calls on the Commission to assess the contribution of the investments earmarked for the green transition under the NRRPs to the achievement of the new 2030 climate and energy targets set out in the respective revised (NECPs);

Amendment 210
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 e (new)

19 e. Notes that the Commission largely relies on Member States to thoroughly audit the RRP implementation; deplores that there is no mechanism for regular and systematic auditing of Member States control systems in place; doubts that the Commission has committed sufficient
human and financial resources to verify that legal requirements of the RRF, in particular those for complying with the 37% Green spending targets, are being fulfilled in the milestones and targets as well as verification of compliance with DNSH requirements under the regulation;

Amendment 211
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 f (new)

Amendment 19 f. Urges the Commission to adopt all necessary steps to effectively monitor, audit and enforce the full implementation of these requirements, as well as on the non-regression of EU environmental law; calls on the Commission to analyse all payment requests in this light and ensure full compliance of all milestones and targets reached with the regulation before granting payments;

Amendment 212
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Amendment 20. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to achieve the digital target of at least 20 % set out in the RRF Regulation, while some Member States have even
allocated more than half of their RRF funds to measures qualifying for the digital target; and that the overall digital expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 29 % or EUR 130 billion; welcomes a strong focus in NRRPs on the digitalisation of public services, including the health sector, and digital skills, digitalisation of business, connectivity, digital R&D and advanced technologies; notes that two thirds of Member States included a security self-assessment for investments in digital capacities and connectivity in their RRRPs;

Or. en

Amendment 213
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

20. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to achieve the digital target of at least 20 % set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall digital expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 29 % or EUR 130 billion;

Amendment
20. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to achieve the digital target of at least 20 % set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall digital expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 29 % or EUR 130 billion; welcomes a strong focus in NRRPs on the digitalisation of public services, including the health sector, and digital skills, digitalisation of business, connectivity, digital R&D and advanced technologies; notes that two thirds of Member States included a security self-assessment for investments in digital capacities and connectivity in their RRRPs;

Or. en
Amendment 214
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

20. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to achieve the digital target of at least 20% set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall digital expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 29% or EUR 130 billion;

Amendment

20. Notes that all approved NRRPs expect to achieve the digital target of at least 20% set out in the RRF Regulation and that the overall digital expenditure of all approved NRRPs reaches almost 29% or EUR 130 billion; notes that countries have taken different approaches to supporting SMEs and highlights different initiatives such as measures for tax relief, voucher schemes and R&D incentives, digitalisation and aggregators for available technologies and services to SMEs, or speeding of fund distribution to companies;

Or. en

Amendment 215
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

20 a. Reminds the Commission and Member States that according to the RRF Regulation, investments in digital technologies should respect the principles of interoperability, energy efficiency and personal data protection, allow for the participation of SMEs and start-ups, and promote the use of open-source solutions; stresses that utmost attention should be paid in the design of digital procurement calls, that SMEs and start-ups are
prioritised in public investment;

Amendment 216
Linea Søgaard-Lidell, Nicola Beer, Caroline Nagtegaal, Ondřej Kovařík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

20 a. Regrets the lack of information on how NRRPs contribute to achieving climate neutrality as outlined in the European Climate Law; calls on the Commission to include data in the national scoreboards on how the investments in the NRRPs reduce greenhouse gas emissions in Member States.

Amendment 217
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

21. Underlines the importance that the NRRPs dedicate almost 50% of total expenditure or EUR 203 billion to measures to benefit the well-functioning of the single market, improving the business environment and promoting private investments; calls on the Member States to lift all unnecessary obstacles that would prevent SMEs from accessing the relevant RRF funding;
sector to plan their activities and projects according to the relevant reforms and funding;

Amendment 218  
Georgios Kyrtos

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 21

21. Underlines the importance that the NRRPs dedicate almost 50% of total expenditure or EUR 203 billion to measures to benefit the well-functioning of the single market, improving the business environment and promoting private investments; calls on the Member States to lift all unnecessary obstacles that would prevent SMEs from accessing the relevant RRF funding; 

Amendment

21. Underlines the importance that the NRRPs dedicate almost 50% of total expenditure or EUR 203 billion to measures to benefit the well-functioning of the single market, improving the business environment and promoting private investments; calls on the Member States to lift all unnecessary obstacles that would prevent SMEs from accessing the relevant RRF funding; calls on the Commission to launch a legal complaints platform with the commitment of written answer;

Amendment 219  
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun  
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 21

21. **Underlines the importance** that the NRRPs dedicate almost 50% of total expenditure or EUR 203 billion to measures to benefit the well-functioning of the single market, improving the business environment and promoting private investments; calls on the Member States to lift all unnecessary obstacles that would prevent SMEs from accessing the relevant RRF funding; 

Amendment

21. **Welcomes** that the NRRPs dedicate almost EUR 203 billion to measures to benefit the well-functioning of the single market, improving the business environment and promoting private investments; **reiterates the importance of private sector in the successful implementation of the RRF**; calls on the Member States to lift all unnecessary obstacles that would prevent SMEs from accessing the relevant RRF funding, **and to**
this end, asks the Commission to provide detailed analyses;

Or. en

Amendment 220

Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

21. Underlines the importance that the NRRPs dedicate almost 50% of total expenditure or EUR 203 billion to measures to benefit the well-functioning of the single market, improving the business environment and promoting private investments; calls on the Member States to lift all unnecessary obstacles that would prevent SMEs from accessing the relevant RRF funding;

Amendment

21. Underlines the importance that the NRRPs dedicate almost 50% of total expenditure or EUR 203 billion to measures to benefit the well-functioning of the single market, improving the business environment and promoting private investments; reiterates the importance of private sector in the successful implementation of the RRF; calls on the Member States to lift all unnecessary obstacles that would prevent SMEs from accessing the relevant RRF funding, and to this end, asks the Commission to provide detailed analyses;

Or. en

Amendment 221

José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; regrets that social investment measures

Amendment

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; notes that the Commission should not
have been rather limited to social infrastructure and that only some NRRPs contain measures for the development of proper care services and temporary support measures; supports the Commission’s aim, through the RRF, of building a more resilient and inclusive labour market;

impose deregulatory measures on Member States, as happened in the past; regrets that social investment measures have been rather limited to social infrastructure and that only some NRRPs contain measures for the development of proper care services and temporary support measures; supports the Commission’s aim, through the RRF, of building a more resilient and inclusive labour market, while contributing to promote real wage growth and tackle income inequalities;

Or. en

Amendment 222
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20 % of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; regrets that social investment measures have been rather limited to social infrastructure and that only some NRRPs contain measures for the development of proper care services and temporary support measures; supports the Commission’s aim, through the RRF, of building a more resilient and inclusive labour market; not noting that relevant measures should promote high-quality employment and tackle the deregulation of the labour market;

Or. en
Amendment 223
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; regrets that social investment measures have been rather limited to social infrastructure and that only some NRRPs contain measures for the development of proper care services and temporary support measures; supports the Commission’s aim, through the RRF, of building a more resilient and inclusive labour market.

Amendment

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; highlights that social expenditure has a high likelihood of replacing recurring government expenditure, which would not be in compliance with the provisions governing the RRF; calls on the European Commission to scrutinise this aspect rigorously;

Or. en

Amendment 224
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; regrets that social investment measures have been rather limited to social

Amendment

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in Member States’ RRP at around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that those expenditure focus on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; support the Commission to build through the RRF a more resilient and inclusive
infrastructure and that only some NRRPs contain measures for the development of proper care services and temporary support measures; supports the Commission’s aim, through the RRF, of building a more resilient and inclusive labour market; labour market; is of the opinion, however, that social expenditure financed though the RRF must not replace nor become recurring budgetary expenditure and shall be strictly linked with the overall objectives of the RRF, namely to support the post-crisis economic recovery;

Amendment 225
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Francisco José Millán Mon, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; regrets that social investment measures have been rather limited to social infrastructure and that only some NRRPs contain measures for the development of proper care services and temporary support measures; supports the Commission’s aim, through the RRF, of building a more resilient and inclusive labour market;

Amendment

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labor contract regulation; shows concern about certain measures might develop into budgetary compromises on a medium term basis regrets that social investment measures have been rather limited to social infrastructure and that only some NRRPs contain measures for the development of proper care services and temporary support measures; considers that it will be necessary to acknowledge investments due to the illegal invasion of Ukraine and the accommodation of refugees, supports the Commission’s aim, through the RRF, of building a more resilient and inclusive labor market;

Or. en
Amendment 226
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

22. Notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; regrets that social investment measures have been rather limited to social infrastructure and that only some NRRPs contain measures for the development of proper care services and temporary support measures; supports the Commission’s aim, through the RRF, of building a more resilient and inclusive labour market;

Amendment

22. Recalls that the social consequences of the pandemic have been manifold and have unevenly burdened lower income and vulnerable groups while at the same time reinforcing the need for public services; notes that the Commission estimates social spending in the NRRPs to account for around 20% of the grants and loans requested; observes that this expenditure focuses on employment incentives for specific disadvantaged groups, reforms of employment protection legislation and labour contract regulation; regrets that social investment measures have been rather limited to social infrastructure and that only some NRRPs contain measures for the development of proper care services and temporary support measures; supports the Commission’s aim, through the RRF, of building a more resilient and inclusive labour market;

Or. en

Amendment 227
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

22 a. Points out that all approved NRPPs so far address social and employment challenges such as measures for improving labour market

Amendment

22 a. Points out that all approved NRPPs so far address social and employment challenges such as measures for improving labour market
participation, promoting upskilling and reskilling, the modernisation of labour market institutions and services, as well as of social protection and healthcare systems but fail to invest on a sufficient scale into social services; calls for increased public expenditure to ensure the provision of accessible high quality public services;

Amendment 228
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

22 a. Points out that all approved NRPPs so far address social and employment challenges such as measures for improving labour market participation, promoting upskilling and reskilling, the modernisation of labour market institutions and services, as well as of social protection and healthcare systems;

Amendment 229
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

22 a. Regrets that in some NRRPs, due to extensive politicisation, anti-social and anti-development measures have been included among the reforms, including to keep pensions and salaries under the
23. Underlines the importance of reforms and investments in health, economic, social and institutional resilience to increase crisis preparedness and crisis response capacity, which account for more than 17% of total NRRP allocations, representing EUR 76 billion; highlights that according to the Commission under this RRF pillar, Member States have to implement 789 measures linked to 1,900 milestones and targets; emphasises that COVID-19 has demonstrated that improving the resilience of public institutions to shocks should be an ongoing reform and investment priority for many Member States;
and crisis response capacity, which account for more than 17% of total NRRP allocations, representing EUR 76 billion; highlights that according to the Commission under this RRF pillar Member States have to implement 789 measures linked to 1,900 milestones and targets;

Amendment 232
Margarida Marques

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

23 a. Underlines that the current situation in Ukraine impacts heavily the energy prices and exposes the risk of the EU dependency to imported fossil fuel energy; stresses the role of the RRF in the rollout of REPowerEU and, therefore, expects the RRF to contribute significantly to the just green transition and increase the resilience of the EU-wide energy system by developing clean energy, and accelerating the reduction of EU’s dependency to fossil fuel energy and improving energy efficiency while promoting a more circular approach in the EU’s production and consumption patterns;

Amendment 233
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 a (new)
23 a. Notes that the crises has affected disproportionally the most vulnerable ones and regrets that some Member States are lacking behind in strengthening the social dimension of their plans;

Amendment 234
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 b (new)

23 b. Underlines that the current situation in Ukraine impacts heavily the energy prices and exposes the risk of the EU dependency to imported fossil fuel energy; stresses the role of the RRF in the rollout of REPowerEU and, therefore, expects the RRF to contribute significantly to the EU energy sovereignty by energy diversification and the just green transition by developing clean energy;

Amendment 235
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

24. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure on healthcare-related measures of EUR 37 billion, which corresponds to 8 % of total NRRP expenditure; expects these healthcare-
related measures to contribute to increasing the resilience of healthcare systems and their preparedness for future crises; related measures to contribute to increasing the resilience of *universal public* healthcare systems and their preparedness for future challenges, such as ageing populations; acknowledges the importance of public healthcare expenditure and calls on the Commission to abandon the pre-pandemic recommendations for further cuts to this expenditure.

Amendment 236
Roberts Zīle, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska, Bogdan Rzońca

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 24**

24. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure on healthcare-related measures of EUR 37 billion, which corresponds to 8% of total NRRP expenditure; expects these healthcare-related measures to contribute to increasing the resilience of healthcare systems and their preparedness for future crises; notes that Commission’s assessment criteria related to healthcare systems should be revised in order to cover individual country specific challenges more accurately.

Or. en

Amendment 237
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 24**

24. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure on healthcare-related measures of EUR 37 billion, which corresponds to 8% of total NRRP expenditure; expects these healthcare-related measures to contribute to increasing the resilience of healthcare systems and their preparedness for future crises; notes that Commission’s assessment criteria related to healthcare systems should be revised in order to cover individual country specific challenges more accurately.
24. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure on healthcare-related measures of EUR 37 billion, which corresponds to 8% of total NRRP expenditure; expects these healthcare-related measures to contribute to increasing the resilience of healthcare systems and their preparedness for future crises;

Amendment 238
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

24. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure on healthcare-related measures of EUR 37 billion, which corresponds to 8% of total NRRP expenditure; expects these healthcare-related measures to contribute to increasing the capacity and resilience of public healthcare systems and their preparedness for future crises; is concerned that some Member States did not include sufficient measures to tackle long-standing public healthcare challenges;

Or. en

24. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure on healthcare-related measures of EUR 37 billion, which corresponds to 8% of total NRRP expenditure; expects these healthcare-related measures to contribute to increasing the resilience of healthcare systems and their preparedness for future crises; is concerned that many Member States did not include sufficient measures to make mental health care accessible and affordable for all age groups, especially in early ages and highlights that mental health should represent an integral part of the EU’s socio-economic recovery from the pandemic and an occupational health priority, in particular in educational and workplace environments;

Or. en
Amendment 239  
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 24

24. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure on healthcare-related measures of EUR 37 billion, which corresponds to 8% of total NRRP expenditure; expects these healthcare-related measures to contribute to increasing the resilience of healthcare systems and their preparedness for future crises;

Amendment

24. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure on healthcare-related measures of EUR 37 billion, which corresponds to 8% of total NRRP expenditure; observes that the highest contribution is earmarked for renovating and expanding hospital infrastructure, followed by strengthening primary care and prevention, digital transition in health care and long-term care; expects these healthcare-related measures to contribute to increasing the resilience of healthcare systems and their preparedness for future crises; is concerned that many Member States did not include sufficient measures to make mental health care accessible and highlights that mental health should represent an integral part of the EU’s socio-economic recovery from the pandemic and an occupational health priority;
their preparedness for future crises; contribute to increasing the resilience of healthcare systems and their preparedness for future crises;

Amendment 241
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

24 a. Observes that public administration modernisation features prominently in many NRRPs with approximately EUR 1.8 billion expected investment in the enhancement of the public administration; underlines that the benefits of reforms go beyond of their associated costs, which should lead to concrete positive impact on citizens;

Amendment 242
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

24 b. Highlights that the pandemic led the Union to prioritize reforms and investments to improve the resilience of public institutions to shocks; stresses that plans also aim to increase the capacity of public administrations to manage European funds effectively at national, regional and local levels; regrets that progress in this area is too slow, especially at regional and local levels, risking the effective and timely use of the funds assigned to each Member State in the
context of the RRF;

Amendment 243
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

25. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure dedicated to children and young people, including early childhood education and care, youth unemployment and skills, of EUR 49 billion, which corresponds to approximately 11.5 % of total NRRP expenditure; is concerned that many NRRPs do not properly reflect the ambitions set by the European Child Guarantee and that they reflect only partially those of the reinforced Youth Guarantee;

Amendment

25. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure dedicated to children and young people, including early childhood education and care, youth unemployment and skills, of EUR 49 billion, which corresponds to approximately 11.5 % of total NRRP expenditure; notes that the amount represents a first step in ensuring that coordinated measures dedicated to next generation are being implemented in all 27 Member States; is concerned that many NRRPs do not properly reflect the ambitions set by the European Child Guarantee and that they reflect only partially those of the reinforced Youth Guarantee; deplores that two Member States chose not to include in their plans measures specifically dedicated to children and youth but preferred to present measures that foster skills and digital skills for all citizens;

Amendment 244
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25
Motion for a resolution

25. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure dedicated to children and young people, including early childhood education and care, youth unemployment and skills, of EUR 49 billion, which corresponds to approximately 11.5% of total NRRP expenditure; is concerned that many NRRPs do not properly reflect the ambitions set by the European Child Guarantee and that they reflect only partially those of the reinforced Youth Guarantee;

Amendment

25. Notes that approved NRRPs envisage expenditure dedicated to children and young people, including early childhood education and care, youth unemployment and skills, of EUR 49 billion, which corresponds to approximately 11.5% of total NRRP expenditure;

Amendment 245
Alexis Georgoulis, Rasmus Andresen, Niklas Nienaß, Tomasz Frankowski, Irena Joveva, Massimiliano Smeriglio, Ibán García Del Blanco, Andrey Slabakov, Giorgos Georgiou, Hannes Heide

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

25 a. Regrets that only 16 Member States have included culture in their NRRPs; fears that this heterogeneity of public investments leads to recovery at different speeds, causing increased disparities within the EU’s cultural ecosystem and thus threatening Europe’s cultural diversity; recalls repeated previous requests by the Parliament and by stakeholders from the cultural and creative sectors, urging the Member States to dedicate at least 2% of the budget of each national NRRP to culture;

Amendment

25 a. Regrets that only 16 Member States have included culture in their NRRPs; fears that this heterogeneity of public investments leads to recovery at different speeds, causing increased disparities within the EU’s cultural ecosystem and thus threatening Europe’s cultural diversity; recalls repeated previous requests by the Parliament and by stakeholders from the cultural and creative sectors, urging the Member States to dedicate at least 2% of the budget of each national NRRP to culture;
Amendment 246
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

25 a. Calls for the Commission to continue monitoring the implementation of the six pillars and ensuring granular data is made available in the RRF scoreboard; notes positively that several Member States provide data at regional level and invites the other Member States to provide data at regional level;

Or. en

Amendment 247
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

25 a. Recalls previous requests from the European Parliament urging the Members states to dedicate at least 2% of NRRP to culture and 10% to education and regrets that the majority of Member States are not performing at the levels requested;

Or. en

Amendment 248
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

25 a. Observes that almost all approved NRRPs contain investment in digital education, making up about 30% of the total spending on education; welcomes the focus on the modernisation of education infrastructure and equipment in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 249
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

25 a. Observes that almost all approved RRP s contain investment in digital education, making up about 30% of the total spending on education; welcomes the focus on the modernisation of education systems in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 250
Alexis Georgoulis, Rasmus Andresen, Niklas Nienaß, Tomasz Frankowski, Irena Joveva, Massimiliano Smeriglio, Ibán García Del Blanco, Andrey Slabakov, Giorgos Georgiou, Hannes Heide

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

25 b. Urges the Member States to put the recovery and resilience of the cultural and creative sectors at the core of their investments into culture, with a particular
focus on improving the overall situation and working conditions of authors, performers, artists and all other cultural creators who are the ones to create the cultural works that our democracy, society and economy benefit from;

Or. en

Amendment 251
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 b (new)

25 b. Calls for the Commission to continue monitoring the implementation of the six pillars and ensuring granular data is made available in the RRF scoreboard; asks the Commission to facilitate more regional methods of monitoring implementation through the Scoreboard and welcomes monitoring initiatives at EU and national level enhancing monitoring of RRF implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 252
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 c (new)

25 c. Notes that in total so far, Member States have put forward 228 measures with a focus on supporting children and youth; notes that 74% of the measures focus on general, vocational and higher
education and training capacity, as well as accessibility; affordability, quality and inclusiveness; including digitisation and infrastructure; further notes that 14% of the measures are dealing with early childhood education and care, early school leaving and child poverty; notes that 12% of the measures focus on tackling youth unemployment through job creation, hiring, job transition incentives and support for self-employment;

Amendment 253
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Emphasises that the RRF should not be used to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure, unless duly justified; notes that the Commission has only approved NRRPs to cover the initial costs of setting up and launching reforms;

Amendment

26. Underlines that the RRF must not be used to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure; notes that the Commission has only approved NRRPs to cover the initial costs of setting up and launching reforms;

Amendment 254
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Emphasises that the RRF should not be used to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure, unless duly justified; notes that the Commission has only approved NRRPs to cover the initial costs of setting up and launching reforms;

Amendment

26. Strongly emphasises that RRF should not be used to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure; notes that the Commission only approved RRP to cover the initial costs of setting up and launching reforms, which might become
recurring costs, if the sustainable financing of the future costs shall be ensured from the national budget or other instruments and it fully respects the concept of sustainable fiscal policy; is deeply preoccupied by measures included in some NRRPs which foresee important amounts for salaries; believes that such expenditure has the clear potential to become recurring budgetary expenditure after the RRF implementation period; strongly questions the criteria on which the Commission has approved such measures; believes that RRF expenditure should not lead to an increase of public spending;

Amendment 255
Petri Sarvamaa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Emphasises that the RRF should not be used to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure, unless duly justified; notes that the Commission has only approved NRRPs to cover the initial costs of setting up and launching reforms; underlines that in order to ensure that the RRF does not lead to negative effects in the light of moral hazard, the temporary and one-off nature of the RRF is essential; reminds that the instrument must be implemented in a way that it does not undermine the Member States’ own responsibility for the management of public finances and the implementation of structural reforms that improve economic sustainability;
Amendment 256
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Emphasises that the RRF should not be used to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure, unless duly justified; notes that the Commission has only approved NRRPs to cover the initial costs of setting up and launching reforms;

Amendment

26. Emphasises that the RRF should not be used to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure, unless duly justified, and calls on the Commission to ensure compliance with this principle; is concerned that independent fiscal authorities in certain Member States have warned that some investments in NRRPs have a high risk of becoming a recurring expenditure in national budgets; notes that the Commission has only approved NRRPs to cover the initial costs of setting up and launching reforms;

Or. en

Amendment 257
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Emphasises that the RRF should not be used to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure, unless duly justified; notes that the Commission has only approved NRRPs to cover the initial costs of setting up and launching reforms;

Amendment

26. Emphasises that the RRF should not be used to substitute recurring national budgetary expenditure, unless duly justified; notes, however, that current SGP rules encourage periphery countries to substitute national expenditures so as to ensure compliance with restrictive deficit targets;

Or. en

Amendment 258
Markus Ferber
27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures;

27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures; is concerned that, according to an independent analysis, the application of the ‘do no significant harm’ principle under the RRF has failed to properly assess the environmental impact of proposed investments and reforms; calls on the Commission to develop sufficiently strong criteria in the remaining delegated acts under the Taxonomy Regulation and review the current ones to prevent environmentally harmful measures;

Amendment 260
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. **Points out** that the *principle of* ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; *notes that in order to comply* with the principle, *some Member States had to improve certain measures*;

Amendment

27. **Stresses** that the *application of the* ‘do no significant harm’ criteria as developed in the Commission’s guidance note must prevent environmentally harmful measures; *is concerned that the quality of the DNSH assessments has been insufficient without resource to independent expertise and verification in the form of public consultation*; *notes with regret that currently most NRRPs do not contain enough detail to allow assessment of their environmental impacts*; *asks the Commission to disclose the submitted ‘do no significant harm’ assessments and provide an exhaustive list of projects that were included in the submitted recovery and resilience plans but rejected or amended on the grounds that they were not aligned with the DNSH principle*; *calls on the establishment of a DNSH monitoring and tracking system throughout the course of investments that shall include avenues available for citizens to raise concerns regarding a specific investment*; *urges the protection and full application of the EU environmental acquis, with a specific focus on areas where infringement procedures are ongoing*;

Amendment 261
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures;

**Amendment**

27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures; underlines, however, the concerns regarding the actual respect of this principle by all national measures; stresses, moreover, that the respect of the ‘do no significant harm’ principle should be carefully examined and monitored during the implementation phase of the NRRPs as well;

Or. en

**Amendment 262**

Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures;

**Amendment**

27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures; insists that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ should be fully respected during the implementation of the NRRPs;

Or. en
Amendment 263
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures;

Amendment

27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures; stresses that the compliance with the DNSH principle needs to be carefully assessed in order to avoid greenwashing;

Or. en

Amendment 264
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures;

Amendment

27. Points out that the principle of ‘do no significant harm’ was evaluated for each measure by the Commission in accordance with its technical guidance; notes that in order to comply with the principle, some Member States had to improve certain measures; notes that the compliance with the principle further entailed inconsistencies between the requirements of the Commission and those of EIB and EIF for the countries that provisioned their InvestEU envelopes with RRF funds and consequently delays in the implementation process;

Or. en
Amendment 265
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 266
Petri Sarvamaa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges; debates the relevance of these sections, considering the core function of RRF, which is to finance reforms and investments, helping citizens and economies to cope with the COVID-19 impacts and setting up the basis of sustainable recovery

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 267
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28
Motion for a resolution

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges;

Amendment

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges; deeply regrets that some NRRPs do not include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges and calls on the concerned Member States to provide without delay those elements;

Or. en

Amendment 268
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges;

Amendment

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges; regrets the fact that not all NRRPs have presented such an explanation;

Or. en

Amendment 269
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges;

Amendment

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges; stresses, however, that all NRRPs should have
included an explanation of how the measures are expected to contribute to gender equality and equal opportunities for all and the mainstreaming of these objectives;

**Amendment 270**

Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 28**

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges;

**Amendment**

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges; notes that NRRPs contain measures focusing on the participation of women in the labour market and the reduction of the gender pay gap but that these measures largely fall short of what would have been needed to ensure a gender-equal recovery; notes that, according to current available data, jobs are largely created in male-dominated sectors without accompanying measures to increase the presence of women in those sectors; underlines the concern that the focus on male job creation will further increase gender inequality; underlines the importance of high-quality public care services to alleviate the burden of unpaid care work which is currently largely covered by women with negative consequences on GDP;

**Amendment 271**

Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges;

Amendment

28. Observes that a large majority of NRRPs include a specific section explaining how the plan addresses gender-related concerns and challenges; notes that NRRPs contain measures focusing on the participation of women in the labour market and the reduction of the gender pay gap;

Or. en

Amendment 272
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

28 a. Highlights that independent studies in major Member States clearly show that the Regulation’s aim to make gender equality a horizontal goal has not been fulfilled; welcomes that the scoreboard contains gender-disaggregated data for indicators expressed with a headcount; deplores the fact that the scoreboard does not allow for a thorough quantitative analysis of investment beneficiaries since no gender indicators are required for funds flowing to businesses; underlines the lack of a qualitative analysis of gender aspects in the NRRPs; highlights the weak methodological assumptions on gender in several NRRPs;

Or. en
Amendment 273  
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques  
on behalf of the S&D Group  
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 28 a (new)  

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment  

28 a. Is concerned that the delegated act on social expenditure and on the resilience scoreboard will not be sufficient to track and to report on the gender dimension and impacts of the RRF; calls on the Commission to come forward with complementary gender indicators tracking notably the gender impacts of the NRRPs;  

Or. en  

Amendment 274  
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques  
on behalf of the S&D Group  
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 29  

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment  

29. Believes that NRRPs would benefit from further cross-border projects in order to enhance spill-over effects and contribute to EU added value; underlines that the current situation of Ukraine shows how crucial is the diversification of the EU’s energy sources and calls for the improvement of the interconnection of European gas and electricity networks and to fully synchronise the power grids throughout the EU;  

Or. en  

Amendment 275  
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan
29. Believes that NRRPs would benefit from further cross-border projects in order to enhance spill-over effects and contribute to EU added value;
Amendment 277
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

29. Believes that NRRPs would benefit from further cross-border projects in order to enhance spill-over effects and contribute to EU added value; notes, in particular, the importance of cross-border projects related with energy transmission across Member States; notes that adequate infrastructure is crucial to promote the use of renewable energy produced in Southern Member States and transported to others; stresses that these investments are crucial for achieving energy sovereignty and the green transition;

Amendment 278
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

29 a. Reminds that according to the RRF Regulation the recovery and resilience plans shall also be consistent with the information included by the Member States in the partnership agreements and operational programmes under Union funds; reiterates that this provision is not only important to avoid double-funding or overlapping of objectives, but also to ensure a coordinated approach and maximise the benefits of EU funding; requests the Commission to provide an analysis how this coordination is ensured; calls on the
Commission to avoid delays in the adoption of Partnership Agreements and calls on these delays to be addressed; reiterates its call on the Commission to discourage the practice of repackaging projects without a real added value, notably for lagging regions, especially when this risks widening the social, economic and territorial convergence gap of the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 279
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

29 a. Observes that one Member State proposed to transfer structural funds to its NRRP, that only two Member States plan to provision their Invest EU envelopes with RRF funds and that only three Member States foresee incorporating the costs of technical support in their NRRPs; regrets that the provision to transfer RRF funds to the InvestEU national compartments has not been used to its full potential; recalls that synergies among different EU funds is essential for a proper recovery and consolidated resilience of the Union and reminds Member States that the use of this provision contributes to enhancing synergies;

Or. en

Amendment 280
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

Amendment

29 a. Observes that one Member State proposed to transfer structural funds to its NRRP, that only two Member States plan to provision their InvestEU envelopes with RRF funds and that only three Member States foresee incorporating the costs of technical support in their NRRPs; regrets that the provision to transfer RRF funds to the InvestEU national compartments has not been used to its full potential; recalls that synergies among different EU funds is essential for a proper recovery and consolidated resilience of the Union and reminds Member States that the use of this provision contributes to enhancing synergies;

Or. en

Amendment 281
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 b (new)

Amendment

29 b. Reminds that according to the RRF Regulation the recovery and resilience plans shall also be consistent with the information included by the Member States in the partnership agreements and operational programmes under Union funds; reiterates that this provision is not only important to avoid double-funding or overlapping of objectives, but also to ensure a coordinated approach and maximise the benefits of EU funding; requests the Commission to provide an analysis how this coordination is ensured; takes note
that the adoption of the NRRPs has led in some instances to the delays in the adoption of Partnership Agreements and calls on these delays to be addressed;

Amendment 282
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 b. Reminds that according to the RRF Regulation the recovery and resilience plans shall also be consistent with the information included by the Member States in the partnership agreements and operational programmes under Union funds; reiterates that this provision is not only important to avoid double-funding or overlapping of objectives, but also to ensure a coordinated approach and maximise the benefits of EU funding; requests the Commission to provide an analysis how this coordination is ensured; calls on the Commission to avoid delays in the adoption of Partnership Agreements and calls on these delays to be addressed;

Amendment 283
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 c (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 c. *Questions how the Commission has encouraged Member States to foster synergies with NRRPs of other Member States;*

Amendment 284
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 c (new)

Amendment

29 c. *Questions how the Commission has encouraged Member States to foster synergies with NRRPs of other Member States;*

Amendment 285
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 d (new)

Amendment

29 d. *Recalls that the EU objective to have 5G connectivity in all populated areas of the EU by 2030 is of utmost importance but at the same time particularly challenging to achieve; recalls that scattered and inconsistent approaches between Member States risk countries being left behind and the digital gap between them being exacerbated; notes that several RRPs contain investment proposals in 5G connectivity*
and recalls that Member States should complement these investments with measures for mobile broadband and infrastructure expansion;

Or. en

Amendment 286
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 e. Highlights that synergies between RRF and other Union funding programmes are essential in order to ensure a proper recovery and consolidated resilience of the Union; regrets that some Member States have postponed the presentation of their Operational Programmes for the implementation of the EU structural funds for the period 2021-2027; calls on the Commission to assess the causes of these delays and to address them;

Or. en

Amendment 287
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 f. Underlines the need for complementarity with other EU sources of finance to ensure synergies in EU spending efforts, in particular with cohesion policy funds which cover similar
objectives to the RRF but with a focus on more long-term structural actions; calls on Member States and cohesion managing authorities to ensure that the Partnership Agreements are linked to and in complementarity with the RRPs.

Amendment 288
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

30. Notes the Commission assessment that all NRRPs address at least a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant European Semester recommendations but that not all challenges are addressed;

Amendment

30. Reminds that all RRPs have to contribute to effectively addressing all or a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant country-specific recommendations including fiscal aspects; notes the Commission assessment that all RRPs address at least a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant European Semester recommendations but that challenges remain; deplores that some Member States are not sufficiently tackling some long-standing challenges, particularly as regards the fiscal sustainability or the reform of the labour and pensions systems as well as other structural reforms; strongly questions in this regard the positive evaluation of the Commission of some of the NRRPs which fail to propose serious structural reforms deplores that in some instances, NRRPs have been approved although the final design of important structural reforms had not been finalised by the Member States concerned, nor finally agreed with the Commission;
Amendment 289
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

30. Notes the Commission assessment that all NRRPs address at least a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant European Semester recommendations but that not all challenges are addressed;

30. Notes the Commission assessment that all NRRPs address at least a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant European Semester recommendations but that not all challenges are addressed; 
regrets however that the Commission took a quantitative rather than a qualitative assessment approach and has thus allowed Member States to cherry-pick reforms and not to address long-standing economic, fiscal and administrative challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 290
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

30. Notes the Commission assessment that all NRRPs address at least a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant European Semester recommendations but that not all challenges are addressed;

30. Notes the Commission assessment that all NRRPs address at least a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant European Semester recommendations but that not all challenges are addressed, such as sustainability of public finances, tax evasion, tax administration and tax avoidance ensuring stability on the housing market and tackling homelessness, equal access to childcare, structural policies that consolidate competition, public administration red tape and malfunctions of state-owned enterprises, ensuring access to
Amendment 291
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes the Commission assessment that all NRRPs address at least a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant European Semester recommendations but that not all challenges are addressed;

Amendment

30. Reminds Member States that the NRRPS are expected to contribute to effectively addressing all or a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant country-specific recommendations, including fiscal aspects thereof and recommendations made pursuant to Article 6 of Regulation (EU) No 1176/2011 where appropriate, addressed to the Member State concerned or challenges identified in other relevant documents officially adopted by the Commission in the context of the European Semester; notes the Commission assessment that all NRRPs address at least a significant subset of challenges identified in the relevant European Semester recommendations but that not all challenges are addressed;

Amendment 292
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

30 a. Calls on the Commission to adequately evaluate the fulfilment of all milestones and targets, including those related to CSRs in the NRRPs in the
disbursement phase of the Facility and to reduce proportionally payments, based on the importance of the milestones and targets, whether agreed milestones and targets are not satisfactory met, including not rolling back on previously achieved milestones and targets; furthermore, calls on the Commission, if necessary, to make use of the provisions of the Regulation, allowing it to recover grants or ask for early repayment of loans in case of breach of the obligations of Member States under the financing agreements;

Amendment 293
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)

30 a. Reiterates the fact that not all CSRs are equally important and regrets the quantitative approach of the Commission when evaluating the NRRPs in relation to the fulfilment of challenges identified in the relevant CSRs, whereby important structural challenges have not been properly addressed

Amendment 294
Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Nicola Beer, Linea Søgaard-Lidell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

30 a. Encourages the Commission to make sure that Member States address the Country Specific Recommendations adequately and that this foundational principle of the RRF is adhered to when scrutinising the NRRPs and their implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 295
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

30 b. Calls on the Commission to step up its evaluation of the fulfilment of CSRs in the NRRPs in the disbursement phase of the Facility and to refrain from making any payments if agreed milestones and targets related to challenges in the relevant CSRs are not adequately met, including not rolling back on previously met milestones and targets; furthermore calls on the Commission, if necessary, to make full use of the provisions of the Regulation, allowing it to recover grants or ask for early repayment of loans in case of breach of the obligations of Member States under the financing agreements, including in relation to the implementation of CSRs;

Or. en

Amendment 296
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 c. Calls on the Members States to look for ways on how to involve refugees fleeing Ukraine to the European Union, following the military invasion of the Russian Federation, in the practical implementation of the NRRPs, therefore, helping to alleviate their socio-economic situation;

Or. en

Amendment 297
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 d. Reiterates, in the context of the Russian invasion of Ukraine and its possible consequences, particularly as regards the dependence on Russian gas, the importance of EU's energy security; welcomes in this regard the NRRPs containing measures to enhance energy security by decreasing dependence on Russian gas; furthermore, underlines the importance of measures relating to the climate component in order to mitigate the impact of the energy prices crisis upon the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 298
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Recalls that, according to the Commission assessment, all Member States received a B-rating for the criteria that evaluates whether the estimated total costs of the NRRPs are reasonable;

Amendment

31. Notes that, according to the Commission assessment, all Member States received an A-rating for almost all criteria provisioned in the RRF Regulation; recalls that, according to the Commission assessment, all Member States received a B-rating for the criteria that evaluates whether the estimated total costs of the NRRPs are reasonable; calls on the Commission to ensure that costs are plausible and that proper cost analysis is being conducted in order to tackle fraud and corruption; urges the Commission to thoroughly assess the satisfactory fulfilment of milestones and targets before accepting payment requests, and also ensure that previously achieved milestones and targets have not been reversed;

Or. en

Amendment 299
Georgios Kyrtsos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Recalls that, according to the Commission assessment, all Member States received a B-rating for the criteria that evaluates whether the estimated total costs of the NRRPs are reasonable;

Amendment

31. Recalls that, according to the Commission assessment, all Member States received a B-rating for the criteria that evaluates whether the estimated total costs of the NRRPs are reasonable; Emphasises that prior to the disbursement of funds the rating should be upgraded to A;

Or. en
Amendment 300
Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Nicola Beer, Linea Søgaard-Lidell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

31 a. Underlines that means available for the RRF should be used in the context of REPowerEU before any new instruments are introduced; warns against the automatic reflex in some Member States to push for the creation of additional common debt for that purpose; emphasizes that Member States can use the existing RRF to finance their energy transition in this particular regard; stresses furthermore that the remaining amount of loans available must never become grants;

Or. en

Amendment 301
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

31 a. Urges the Commission and Member States to uphold the principles of transparency, equal treatment, open competition, and sound procedural management when launching public procurement for the implementation of investments; is firmly opposed to any type of misuse of powers or arbitrariness when allocating RRF funds;

Or. en
Amendment 302
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

31 a. Stresses the importance of promoting equality and fairness through the procurement processes and practices; calls, in this respect, the Member States to strive for ways to ensure equal and fair access to all, including the micro, small and medium size enterprises, in the procurement process;

Or. en

Amendment 303
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

31 a. Urges Member States to timely launch public procurement for the implementation of measures in order to ensure completion with the calendar of milestones and targets;

Or. en

Amendment 304
Linea Søgaard-Lidell, Nicola Beer, Caroline Nagtegaal, Ondřej Kovařík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)
Motion for a resolution  

Amendment 305  
Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Nicola Beer, Linea Søgaard-Lidell

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 31 b (new)  

Motion for a resolution  

Amendment 307  
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

32. Urges the Commission to take the appropriate measures to ensure early detection of abuse of EU funds; calls on it to monitor rigorously any possible occurrence of double funding and, if such occurrences are confirmed, to proceed with the recovery of funds without delay;

Amendment

32. Observes, that by the nature of the instruments, the control focuses on the achievement of results and outputs instead of verifications of costs; notes that this approach can simplify the implementation and contribute to the achievement of the desired outcome; nevertheless, is deeply preoccupied that it also makes the detection of abuse of EU funds more difficult; Urges the Commission to take the appropriate measures to ensure early detection of abuse of EU funds; calls on it to monitor rigorously any possible occurrence of double funding and, if such occurrences are confirmed, to proceed with the recovery of funds without delay

Or. en

Amendment 308
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

32. Urges the Commission to take the appropriate measures to ensure early detection of abuse of EU funds; calls on it to monitor rigorously any possible occurrence of double funding and, if such occurrences are confirmed, to proceed with the recovery of funds without delay;

Amendment

32. Observes, that by the nature of the instruments, the control focuses on the achievement of results and outputs instead of verifications of costs; notes that this approach can simplify the implementation and contribute to the achievement of the desired outcome; nevertheless, urges the Commission to take the appropriate measures to ensure early detection of abuse of EU funds; calls on it to monitor rigorously any possible occurrence of double funding and, if such occurrences are confirmed, to proceed with the recovery of funds without delay;
Amendment 309
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

32 a. Urges the Commission to insist on the use of the integrated and interoperable monitoring system including a single data-mining and risk-scoring tool (ARACHNE), mentioned in Article 22(4) of the RRF Regulation for all RRF spending; urges Member States to collect and record data on final recipients and beneficiaries of Union funding in an electronic standardised and interoperable format and to use the single data mining and risk scoring tool provided by the Commission; calls on the Commission to ensure that ARACHNE is interoperable with other relevant datasets;

Amendment 310
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazaibal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

32 a. Urges the Member States to collect and record data on final recipients and beneficiaries of Union funding in an electronic standardised and interoperable format and to use the single data mining and risk scoring tool provided by the Commission; calls on the Commission to ensure that ARACHNE is interoperable
with other relevant datasets;

Amendment 311
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

32 b. Recalls that Member States should collect and ensure access of data on beneficial owner(s) of the recipient of the funds and beneficiaries of the programme and reiterates the importance of ensuring the transparency of final beneficiaries; furthermore, reiterates the importance of digitalising all reporting, monitoring and audit; calls upon the Commission and Member States to publish data on final beneficiaries for the sake of public trust and transparency;

Amendment 312
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

32 b. Recalls that Member States should collect and ensure access of data on beneficial owner(s) of the recipient of the funds and beneficiaries of the programme and reiterates the importance of ensuring the transparency of final beneficiaries, without providing an extra burden on the reporting; furthermore, reiterates the importance of digitalising all reporting,
monitoring and audit;

Amendment 313
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 c (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

32 c. Stresses that transparency is key for a strong monitoring system of the NRRPs that would allow meaningful public engagement; calls for the establishment of an open database that would disclose fiches of all projects funded under the NRRPs as well as the reports submitted by Member States on the progress made in the achievement of their recovery and resilience plans in accessible national web portals and integrated databases at EU level; reminds Member States and the Commission of their obligations to publish environmental information, inter alia, as parties to the Aarhus Convention and the full implementation of the Strategic Environmental Impact Assessment Directive; is of the opinion that material and data on the sustainability and DNSH profile of each investment should therefore be publicly available;

Amendment 314
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 c (new)
32 c. Reminds the Commission that in the evaluation of NRRPs and payment claims it can be assisted by experts and invites to make full use of this provision, where relevant, particularly if it lacks the in-house capacity to thoroughly scrutinise the plans or the fulfilment of milestones and targets; raises doubts about the Council’s sufficient capacity to analyse NRRPs properly or payment claims;

Or. en

Amendment 315
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 d (new)

32 d. Urges the Commission to ensure that the evaluation of the milestones and targets achieved by the Member States as part of their NRRP will be based on rigurous quantitative and qualitative assessment; is concerned that a simple quantitative evaluation of the milestones and targets achieved would lead to a box ticking dynamic in Member States, which would then miss a historical opportunity for long-term structural reforms;

Or. en

Amendment 316
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Nicola Beer, Moritz Körner, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 e (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 e. Urges Member States to publish periodically up-to-date data on the funds transferred to final recipients, thereby enabling the accurate monitoring of the NRRP implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 317
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved;

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved; recalls that milestones and targets have been set to ensure the protection of the Union’s financial interests and need to be met before payments are approved; expects the Commission to ensure these are fully met and to monitor ongoing compliance with any such measure thought the entire lifecycle of the RRF;

Or. en

Amendment 318
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33
33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved;

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved; reiterates the important role of EPPO, OLAF, Europol, and Eurojust in supporting Member States and the Commission to protect the financial interests of the Union during the implementation of NGEU; highlights the NGEU Law Enforcement Forum as well as the Operation Sentinel, which in a cooperative manner shall help to prevent and counter threats against NGEU funds and map vulnerabilities in national allocation systems;

Or. en

Amendment 319
Petri Sarvamaa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved;

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved; underlines that corruption prevention policies cover many fields, typically including ethical rules, awareness-raising measures, rules on asset disclosures, incompatibilities and conflicts of interest,
internal control mechanisms, rules on lobbying, and revolving doors; reiterates the role of national measures in preventing fraud and corruption;

Amendment 320
Johan Van Overtveldt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved;

Amendment

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved. Europol, EPPO, OLAF Eurojust and other relevant EU Bodies and Agencies will support the Member States and stakeholders to protect the financial interests of the EU in the implementation of the NGEU;

Amendment 321
Georgios Kyrtos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and

Amendment

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and
that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved;

that the Commission is responsible for assuring and monitoring that these systems are in place and functional for as long as necessary before the first payments are approved;

Or. en

Amendment 322
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up a robust control system in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union and to prevent fraud and tackle corruption, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place before the first payments are approved;

Amendment

33. Recalls that Member States are required to set up robust control and audit systems in order to guarantee the protection of the financial interests of the Union, prevent and tackle fraud, corruption and conflicts of interest and secure transparency, and that the Commission is responsible for assuring that these systems are in place and fully-functioning before the first payments are approved;

Or. en

Amendment 323
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 a. Calls on the Court of Auditors, OLAF, EPPO and national authorities to make full use of their prerogatives under the RRF Regulation and other relevant legislation and scrutinise thoroughly all RRF spending; notes that the 2022 EU Budget has allocated funds for 20 additional temporary staff for the Court of
Auditors to audit the RRF implementation; urges the Court of Auditors to strengthen its efforts on implementation audits of milestones and targets, including the verification of specific criteria for Green spending, as defined by the RRF regulation;

Amendment 324
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

33 a. Reminds the Commission that in the evaluation of NRRPs and payment claims it can be assisted by experts and invites to make full use of this provision, particularly if it lacks the in-house capacity to thoroughly scrutinise the plans or the fulfilment of milestones and targets; is concerned that the Council does not have sufficient capacity to analyse NRRPs or payment claims and warns against transforming this evaluation into a mere box-ticking exercise;

Amendment 325
Johan Van Overtveldt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

33 a. Welcomes the Next Generation EU – Law Enforcement Forum (NGEU-LEF),
a joint initiative co-led by Europol and Italy. The Forum brings together the EU Member States, Europol, EPPO, OLAF, Eurojust and CEPOL to establish a joint way forward in preventing and countering any threat against the NGEU funds and, more broadly, against the financial well-being of the Union.

Amendment 326
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 a. Calls on the Commission to cooperate with and to ensure that adequate control capacities are in place for OLAF, the Court of Auditors and where applicable the EPPO and that those bodies are granted full access by Member States to information to exert their rights according to the Financial Regulation and the RRF Regulation;

Amendment 327
Petri Sarvamaa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to use the Commission’s annual rule of law reports to resolutely fight against systemic corruption and devise effective instruments for preventing, combating and sanctioning
corruption and fighting fraud, as well as for regularly monitoring the use of public funds;

Or. en

Amendment 328
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

33 a. Recalls that the European Parliament, social partners, the civil society, academia and local authorities have to be actively involved in the governance and supervision of the implementation of the NRRPs in each Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 329
Johan Van Overtveldt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 b (new)

33 b. Welcomes the Operation Sentinel organized by Europol in cooperation with EPPO, Eurojust and OLAF, as well as 21 Member States, launched on 15 October 2021. The operation aims to map vulnerabilities inherent to the national allocation systems, detect the fraud schemes used to target fund allocation system and support high priority investigations against high value targets.

Or. en
Amendment 330
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumėa Benjumėa, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 b. Calls on the Commission to ensure that adequate control capacities are in place and that the Commission, OLAF, the Court of Auditors and where applicable the EPPO are granted full access by Member States to information to exert their rights according to the Financial Regulation and the RRF Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 331
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazañabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 b. Calls on the Court of Auditors, OLAF, EPPO and national authorities to make full use of their prerogatives under the RRF Regulation and other relevant legislation and scrutinise thoroughly all RRF spending;

Or. en

Amendment 332
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumėa Benjumėa, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 c. Calls on the Court of Auditors, OLAF and EPPO to make full use of their role under the RRF Regulation and scrutinise thoroughly all RRF spending, in order to prevent, detect, correct and investigate fraud, corruption, conflict of interests and where applicable to impose administrative penalties, as well as to avoid double funding;

Or. en

Amendment 333
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 c. Reiterates the importance of the Commission undertaking a continuous, including ex-post, monitoring of the RRF expenditure ensuring full transparency together with the Member States, and addressing any weaknesses in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 334
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 d. Reminds that in the framework of the discharge procedure to the Commission, in accordance with Article
319 TFEU, the RRF shall be subject to reporting under the integrated financial and accountability reporting referred to in Article 247 of the Financial Regulation, and, in particular, separately in the Annual Management and Performance Report;

Amendment 335
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33 d. Reiterates the importance of the Commission undertaking a continuous, including ex-post, monitoring of the RRF expenditure; believes that full transparency is needed from the Member States, including as regards implementation and management data, in order to analyse the results of the RRF and identify possible weaknesses;

Amendment 336
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33 e. Reminds that in the framework of the discharge procedure to the Commission, in accordance with Article 319 TFEU, the Facility shall be subject to reporting under the integrated financial
and accountability reporting referred to in Article 247 of the Financial Regulation, and, in particular, separately in the Annual Management and Performance Report.

Amendment 337
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 f (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

33 f. Calls on all Member States to collect and record data on final recipients and beneficiaries of Union funding in an electronic standardised and interoperable format and to use the single data mining tool provided by the Commission; furthermore, reiterates the importance of digitalising all reporting, monitoring and audit;

Amendment 338
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardizabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

Lessons learned

Or. en
Amendment 339
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

34. Reaffirms Parliament’s role in scrutinising the implementation of the RRF, in particular through five plenary debates held in 2021, two adopted resolutions, four Recovery and Resilience Dialogues held with the Commission in 2021, 20 meetings of the dedicated working group on the scrutiny of the RRF, parliamentary questions, and the regular flow of information and ad hoc requests for information from the Commission; recalls that Article 25 of the RRF Regulation requests the Commission to transmit relevant documents and information simultaneously and on equal terms to the European Parliament and to the Council; notes that, despite the slow start of the proper process of a document flow, appropriate communication procedures were put in practice;

Or. en

Amendment 340
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumeda Benjumeda, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

34. Reaffirms Parliament’s role in scrutinising the implementation of the RRF, in particular through five plenary debates held in 2021, two adopted resolutions, four Recovery and Resilience Dialogues held with the Commission in 2021, 20 meetings of the dedicated
working group on the scrutiny of the RRF, parliamentary questions, and the regular flow of information and ad hoc requests for information from the Commission; remains committed in ensuring that it will make full use of the entire range of possibilities offered by the Regulation to scrutinise RRF spending, including via local actions in the Member States.

Amendment 341
José Gusmão

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Reaffirms Parliament’s role in scrutinising the implementation of the RRF, in particular through five plenary debates held in 2021, two adopted resolutions, four Recovery and Resilience Dialogues held with the Commission in 2021, 20 meetings of the dedicated working group on the scrutiny of the RRF, parliamentary questions, and the regular flow of information and ad hoc requests for information from the Commission;

Amendment

34. Reaffirms Parliament’s role in scrutinising the implementation of the RRF, in particular through five plenary debates held in 2021, two adopted resolutions, four Recovery and Resilience Dialogues held with the Commission in 2021, 20 meetings of the dedicated working group on the scrutiny of the RRF, parliamentary questions, and the regular flow of information and ad hoc requests for information from the Commission; calls on the RRF Working Group to play a more meaningful role in the scrutiny of the implementation phase;

Amendment 342
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 a. Deplores that many Member States have failed to involve regional, local and other stakeholders appropriately in the design of the RRP; points out that many of the RRF projects will eventually be implemented on the local level; therefore an appropriate involvement of stakeholders on the ground is key; calls for Member States and the Commission to ensure a better involvement of those stakeholders in the further implementation of the RRF;

Or. en

Amendment 343
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 a. Highlights that the governance of the RRF lacks sufficient democratic control through the European Parliament and national parliaments, which has reduced budgetary priority setting to a technocratic exercise between the Commission and national governments in many Member States; highlights that democratic accountability could have strengthened the Commission’s ability to negotiate more ambitions national plans, in some cases;

Or. en

Amendment 344
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 a. Invites the Commission to follow an open, transparent and constructive approach during the recovery and resilience dialogues and to observe the provision of Article 26(1) as regards regular interactions with the Parliament; recalls that the inter-institutional cooperation established through the RRF should become a minimum standard in all funding programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 345
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 a. Invites the Commission to follow an open, transparent and constructive approach during the recovery and resilience dialogues and to observe the provision of Article 26(1) as regards regular interactions with the Parliament; calls to set up a schedule of the recovery and resilience dialogues for the rest of the year, instead of ad-hoc solutions.

Or. en

Amendment 346
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 a. Reminds that implementation of the RRF and of NRRPs’ measures shall respect all relevant laws, including the principle of subsidiarity, respect to regional and local self-government and the right to good administration under EU Law;

Or. en

Amendment 347
Dimitrios Papadimoulis
on behalf of The Left Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 a. Invites the Member States to follow the example of the European Parliament and establish national parliamentary committees to scrutinise the implementation of their NRRPs in an open, transparent and democratic way;

Or. en

Amendment 348
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 b. Regrets that in all Member States local and regional authorities, civil society organisations, social partners or other relevant stakeholders were not sufficiently involved, in the design and the
implementation of the NRRPs and calls for their involvement in the implementation of the NRRPs to the maximum extent possible under the national legislation; recalls that local and regional authorities, civil society organisations, social partners and the other relevant stakeholders are at the forefront of NRRP local implementation and reminds the Commission and the Member States that proper involvement and coordination with local and regional authorities, civil society organisations, social partners, and other relevant stakeholders in the implementation of the plans is decisive for the success of the recovery in the Union; calls therefore for the establishment of a structured dialogue with civil society at an EU level to guarantee the promotion of the best practices and identify the need for action to address systemic issues; calls for regular interaction with relevant stakeholders throughout the implementation phase; urges Member States to fully engage local and regional authorities, as well as NGOs, wherever possible in implementing relevant milestones and target; stresses the role of NGOs in monitoring committees and similar structures;

Amendment 349
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 b. Regrets that in all Member States local and regional authorities, civil society organisations, social partners or other relevant stakeholders were not sufficiently involved, in the design and the
implementation of the NRRPs and calls for their involvement in the implementation of the NRRPs to the maximum extent possible under the national legislation; recalls that local and regional authorities, civil society organisations, social partners and the other relevant stakeholders are at the forefront of NRRP local implementation and reminds the Commission and the Member States that proper involvement and coordination with local and regional authorities, civil society organisations, social partners, and other relevant stakeholders in the implementation of the plans is decisive for the success of the recovery in the Union;

Amendment 350
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 b. Deplores that national parliaments, regions and municipalities have had a limited or even no involvement in designing national plans; recalls that regions and municipalities are at the forefront of RRP implementation and demands the Commission and the Member States to ensure proper and deep involvement of regions and municipalities, social partners, civil society, youth organisations and other relevant stakeholders;

Amendment
Amendment 351
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

34 b. Is concerned about the insufficient clarity in many Member States on the NRRPs governance systems and the distribution of responsibilities for their implementation between the central, regional and local levels;

Or. en

Amendment 352
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Luděk Niedermayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 c (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

34 c. Reiterates that the RRF is not a political instrument, but an unprecedented instrument to support citizens and businesses, and calls on the Commission to ensure that Member States do not allocate funding based on political criteria; calls on the Commission to ensure that calls for proposals for RRF funding at national level are competitive and allow for a level playing field as regards the access for regions and municipalities; warns against “tailored-made” calls for proposals at national level whereby criteria are specifically designed for one competitor; calls on the Commission and other institutions involved in the control system to investigate such cases and take all required measures;
Amendment 353
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 c. Reiterates its regret at the fact that many Member States have not or only inadequately involved local and regional authorities (LRAs) in the preparation process of NRRPs, and that the extent to which their input has been incorporated in most cases cannot be ascertained; calls on Member States to ensure the involvement of LRAs in the implementation of the RRF to the maximum extent possible under the national legislation and urges the Commission to present guidance to that end; recalls that LRAs are different to other stakeholders because they are at the forefront of implementing EU law;

Amendment 354
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 c. Calls on Member States to ensure adequate management systems of RRF funds take into consideration the specific needs of the citizens at regional and local levels, while respecting the principles of non-discrimination and equal treatment; reminds that implementation of NRRPs’
measures shall respect all relevant laws;

Or. en

Amendment 355
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 d. Expects the review report on the implementation of the RRF prepared by the Commission to provide extensive data and analysis on the contributions of NRRPs to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights, including the gender impact of NRRPs and the effective contribution of the NRRPs to gender equality, as well as the support to the SMEs and the strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 356
Siegfried Mureșan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 d. Calls on Member States to ensure that management systems of RRF funds takes into consideration the specific needs of the regional and local level and to put in place management systems that allow for RRF expenditure related to local and regional objectives to be de-centralised;

Or. en
Amendment 357
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 e. Reiterates the importance of allowing access to private sector to RRF expenditure, where applicable; recalls the importance of SMEs in the implementation of the RRF and warns against measures which would prevent SMEs from accessing RRF funding; invites the Commission to provide detailed analyses on the access of the private sector to RRF funding;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 358
Dragoş Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 e. Encourages the Commission to explore ways to include local and regional governments, as well as civil society organisations in the monitoring of the RRF implementation;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 359
Siegfried Mureşan, José Manuel Fernandes, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Jan Olbrycht, Markus Ferber, Esther de Lange, Markus Pieper, Luděk Niedermayer
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 f. Reminds that according to the RRF regulation the Commission shall implement information and communication actions relating to the Facility, to actions taken pursuant to the Facility and to the results obtained; and that the Commission shall where appropriate inform the representation offices of the European Parliament of its actions and involve them in those actions;

Or. en

Amendment 360
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Welcomes the launch in December 2021 of the recovery and resilience scoreboard, which will allow every citizen to monitor the implementation of the RRF;

Amendment

35. Welcomes the launch in December 2021 of the recovery and resilience scoreboard, which should allow every citizen to monitor the implementation of the RRF; notes that, in line with the Delegated Act on common indicators, Member States shall report on several indicators; regrets that these indicators do not entail reporting on biodiversity and territorial cohesion, and lack a performance or impact oriented dimension; considers that the Scoreboard in its current form is not designed to track Member States' performance and display the progress in the implementation of the recovery and resilience plans;

Or. en
Amendment 361
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

35. Welcomes the launch in December 2021 of the recovery and resilience scoreboard, which will allow every citizen to monitor the implementation of the RRF;

35. Welcomes the launch in December 2021 of the recovery and resilience scoreboard, which will allow every citizen
to monitor the implementation of the RRF;
regrets, however, that the scoreboard does not reflect the extent to which Member States are effectively channelling funds to finance their projects and executing amounts;

Or. en

Amendment 362
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

35. Welcomes the launch in December 2021 of the recovery and resilience scoreboard, which will allow every citizen to monitor the implementation of the RRF;

35. Welcomes the launch in December 2021 of the recovery and resilience scoreboard, which will allow every citizen
to monitor the implementation of the RRF;
itinvites the Commission to improve and enhance the capabilities of the scoreboard to ensure a high degree of visibility and accountability for the RRF;

Or. en

Amendment 363
Linea Søgaard-Lidell, Nicola Beer, Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Ondřej Kovařík
35. Welcomes the launch in December 2021 of the recovery and resilience scoreboard, which will allow every citizen to monitor the implementation of the RRF; calls for the Commission to launch its Recovery and Resilience Scoreboard in April 2022 as planned and ensure updates of the scoreboard twice a year in accordance with the RRF regulation; urges the Commission to enforce that Member States should provide the data necessary to report on the progress with regard to the six pillars of the RRF regulation and thereby enable every citizen to monitor the implementation of the RRF.

Or. en

Amendment 364
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Welcomes the launch in December 2021 of the recovery and resilience scoreboard, which will allow every citizen to monitor the implementation of the RRF; notes that, in line with the Delegated Act on common indicators, Member States shall report on several indicators, including for the implementation of the EPSR and SMEs supported;

Or. en

Amendment 365
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 35 a (new)  

Motion for a resolution  

35 a. Reminds that according to the RRF regulation the Commission shall implement information and communication actions relating to the Facility; encourages the Commission, through its representation offices in the Member States and in cooperation with the European Parliament Liaison Offices in the Member States, to launch events in the Member States that promote the scoreboard and present the different analyses conducted by the Commission, including on the 6 pillars; encourages the Commission to publish detailed analysis on the positive effects of the RRF by highlighting good practices in the implementation of the national NRRPs and recommendations for overcoming implementation obstacles and improving the effective use of the funds;

Amendment 366  
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil  

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 35 a (new)  

Motion for a resolution  

35 a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to periodically assess and publish the level of effective disbursement of RFF funds; expects the review report on the implementation of the RRF prepared by the Commission to provide extensive data and analysis on the level of effective spending and absorption, the disbursement of funds to the various implementing bodies (such as LRAs) and
the possible existence of bottlenecks in national public administrations that prevent the adequate implementation of NRRPs;

Amendment 367
Markus Ferber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

35 a. Deplores however that Member States were reluctant to provide more granular data to feed into the recovery and resilience scoreboard; notes that more granular data would have allowed citizens to better hold their governments to account;

Amendment 368
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

35 b. Points out that national public administrations face a considerable challenge in absorbing all RRF funding in such a short space of time; urges the European Commission to actively support Member States that have experienced problems absorbing EU funds in addressing this issue, so that RRF funds are successfully disbursed across the Union;
Amendment 369
Dragoş Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

35 b. Intends to make full use of the range of possibilities offered by the RRF Regulation to assess and promote the RRF, including through events including at local, regional and national level;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 370
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

35 c. Welcomes the initiative of the European Ombudsman of preparing 'Good Practice Principles for governing transparency in the use of recovery funds';

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 371
Linea Søgaard-Lidell, Nicola Beer, Caroline Nagtegaal, Moritz Körner, Ondřej Kovařík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Notes that Member States’ NRRPs report on their communication strategies;

Amendment

36. Notes that Member States’ NRRPs report on their communication strategies;

Or. en
deplores however that, without a clear standard, such communication campaigns are envisaged to be very different, thus limiting the visibility of the RRF and EU funding overall; calls for the Commission and Member States to ensure that the mandatory single web spaces on NRRPs include: a) public procurement plans to improve transparency and enable companies across Member States to tender for projects and b) supplier list open for registration so that main suppliers will have a better overview of potential subsuppliers in other Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 372
Dragoș Pîslaru, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Siegfried Mureșan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

36. Notes that Member States’ NRRPs report on their communication strategies; deplores however that, without a clear standard, such communication campaigns are envisaged to be very different, thus limiting the visibility of the RRF and EU funding overall; calls for further harmonisation of information available for citizens on national implementation and on national RRF-related communication strategies to foster transparency and accountability, thus reinforcing ownership of implementation; invites the Commission to recommend an harmonised approach and standard for displaying information on the implementation of the RRF at national/regional level, asking Member States to adhere to such standard or explain deviations;
Amendment 373
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36 a. Calls on the Commission to explore and present different scenarios on how to use the RRF experience to improve the EU economic governance framework; emphasises that, in order to ensure proper democratic legitimacy, such framework needs to overcome shortcomings in parliamentary accountability and lack of democratic oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 374
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Lessons learned from the RRF

Or. en

Amendment 375
Dragoș Pîslaru, Luis Garicano, Martin Hojsík, Olivier Chastel, Valérie Hayer, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Fabienne Keller, Gilles Boyer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 b (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36 b. Highlights that, beyond the commitment period of the RRF, a modern and effective framework for EU common fiscal policy needs to be developed; emphasises that, to carry democratic legitimacy, such framework needs to overcome shortcomings in parliamentary accountability and the current lack of democratic oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 376
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

36 b. Highlights that the revision of EU economic governance framework will be the opportunity to draw lessons from successes but also failures of the RFF; encourages the Commission to properly think about involvement of local and regional authorities and civil society organisations in any future economic coordination mechanism that will guide investments in EU Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 377
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 c (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36 c. Concludes that the design and implementation of the RRF offer important lessons and can serve as an inspiration for identifying and addressing common structural challenges and investment needs; underlines the need to guarantee the integration of the European Semester with the RRF; calls in this respect for national reform and investment plans, addressing CSRs, MIPs and EU-wide priorities, to become a standard feature of the Semester; considers that such plans shall be designed on a multiannual cycle of economic policy coordination that would increase national ownership by anchoring reforms in milestones and targets rather than the precise means to achieve those;

Or. en

Amendment 378
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36 d. Highlights the RRF’s success in protecting the fiscal space of Member States from the unexpected, substantial economic shock of the COVID-19 pandemic; suggests that similar mechanisms, financed through a NGEU-like structure under Article 122 and Article 175 TFEU, should be used to protect the stability of the Union in other exceptional circumstances with grave consequences; finds that the emergency represented by climate change constitutes exceptional circumstances requiring such mechanisms to address the climate
emergency and energy crisis and to establish a fully renewable EU energy system by 2035; equally finds this is the case for the Russian aggression against Ukraine and the related sanctions, which have substantial negative economic effects on all Member States, while affecting some more than others, and increase the urgent need to catalyse the energy transition with the aim of making Europe truly energy independent by 2030;

Or. en

Amendment 379
Damian Boeselager, Ernest Urtasun
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36 e. Underlines that the EU fiscal architecture remains incomplete as the EU lacks permanent meaningful budgetary instruments that would improve its ability to respond to macroeconomic shocks and thereby increase its resilience; calls for the establishment of a permanent fiscal capacity that would address common or country-specific shocks that are too large to be offset through the stabilising impact of monetary policy or the automatic stabilisers in national budgets, as well as to provide for the earmarking of government investments for a more efficient provision of European public goods;

Or. en

Amendment 380
Damian Boeselager, Luis Garicano, Guy Verhofstadt
36 f. Considers an immediate and temporary ban of all fossil fuel imports from Russia a strategic and moral obligation and clear signal towards Putin’s regime to stop the war in Ukraine; points out that such action carries significant costs, which will affect Member States to different degrees, depending on their fiscal space, energy dependence, and trade balance with Russia; holds that, even while the Next Generation EU funds have not yet been fully exhausted, the protection of the fiscal space of severely affected Member States requires a new EU Unity Fund, financed by raising grants and loans at the EU-level; suggests an allocation proportionally to the effects of the war, sanctions and energy supply disruptions on Member States’ economy with the aim of investing in energy efficiency and independence and of shielding people and businesses from severe socio-economic impacts;

Or. en

Amendment 381
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

37. Calls on the Commission to fully take into account Parliament’s views in the upcoming review report on the implementation of the RRF, which the Commission shall present to Parliament and the Council by 31 July 2022;
Amendment 382  
Fabio Massimo Castaldo  

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Calls on the Commission to fully take into account Parliament’s views in the upcoming review report on the implementation of the RRF, which the Commission shall present to Parliament and the Council by 31 July 2022;  

Amendment

37. Calls on the Commission to fully take into account Parliament’s views in the upcoming review report on the implementation of the RRF, which the Commission shall present to Parliament and the Council by 31 July 2022; reaffirms that greater involvement of the European Parliament is necessary to improve the democratic accountability of RRF management and ultimately increase the momentum for European integration;

Amendment 383  
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques  
on behalf of the S&D Group  

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

37 a. Reiterates the Commission’s estimation of the need for additional annual public investment in the three digit billion range to address the challenges of digital transformation, green and just transition and social recovery; points out that additional means are required in order to tackle the consequences of the Russian invasion in Ukraine; therefore stresses, that an
increased level of investment must be stabilised and upward convergence in the EU enhanced for many years to come;

Amendment 384
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

37 a. Points out that LRAs have, in many cases, statutory powers and competences in policy areas that are key for the RRF; stresses that involving LRAs in the RRF is essential for the effectiveness of its implementation, as they play a key role in the design and implementation of many policies and investments; calls for a more active involvement of LRAs and a broader ownership of these authorities in the implementation of the NRRPs;

Amendment 385
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 b (new)

37 b. Calls on Member States to clearly define the role of LRAs in the implementation, further planning and evaluation of NRRPs in the agreements concluded with the European Commission on operational arrangements
and the individual legal commitments on financial contributions, in accordance with their national constitutional provisions and distribution of powers; requests that Member States include in their reports on the implementation of the RRF a section on the involvement of LRAs;

Or. en

Amendment 386
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

37 b. Welcomes the Commission Communication on fiscal policy guidance for 2023 and its call to maintain a supportive fiscal stance to stand ready to react to the evolving economic situation; expects in this respect the general escape clause to remain activated as long as the underlying economic justifications persist;

Or. en

Amendment 387
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques
on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 c (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

37 c. Invites the Commission to take the principles of NGEU as a basis for a revamped common European fiscal architecture; considers NGEU to be a
valuable and reliable blueprint for the European growth strategy for the next decade and calls for a common budgetary capacity dedicated to European strategic investment aligned with EU priorities with macroeconomic stabilisation function;

Amendment 388
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

37 d. Notes the RRF investments in the green transition and digital transformation should contribute to increase EU strategic autonomy and independency, notably to reducing its dependency on imported fossil fuels and, in respect of the current circumstances, calls in particular for accelerating investment to ensure strategic autonomy and independency and reinforcing the EU’s security of supply;

Amendment 389
Costas Mavrides, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jonás Fernández, Margarida Marques on behalf of the S&D Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

37 e. Invites the Commission and the Member States to draw conclusions from the RRF exercise and improve the mechanisms driving the economic
governance framework especially when it comes to establishing a more transparent and democratic coordination process, defining underlying political guidelines, cooperation between the European institutions as well as with the national governments and stakeholders and increased national ownership of policy design by providing positive incentives for productive investments and reforms, developing the national reform and investment programmes and implementing socially-balanced and sustainable structural reforms;

Or. en

Amendment 390
Francisco José Millán Mon, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons, José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

38. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission, and to the governments and parliaments of the Member States.

Or. en