



2020/2045(INI)

30.3.2021

AMENDMENTS

1 - 55

Draft opinion

Tomáš Zdechovský
(PE680.939v03-00)

Implementation report on the EU Trust Funds and the Facility for Refugees in Turkey
(2020/2045(INI))

Amendment 1

Michèle Rivasi

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion

Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas setting up trust funds and going beyond the EU's budgetary rules undermines the principle of a single budget and creates a number of issues in terms of transparency and accountability;

Amendment

B. whereas setting up trust funds and going beyond the EU's budgetary rules undermines the principle of a single budget and creates a number of issues in terms of transparency and accountability; ***whereas the four existing trust funds^{1a} are used as a financing mechanism to implement international cooperation and development measures.***

^{1a} EU trust fund for Africa, Bekou trust fund, EU trust fund for Colombia and EU regional trust fund in response to the Syrian crisis

Or. en

Amendment 2

Corina Crețu

Draft opinion

Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas setting up trust funds and going beyond the EU's budgetary rules undermines the principle of a single budget and creates a number of issues in terms of transparency and accountability;

Amendment

B. whereas setting up trust funds and going beyond the EU's budgetary rules undermines the principle of a single budget and creates a number of issues in terms of ***sound financial management***, transparency and accountability; ***whereas it increases the complexity of existing financial structures, which may lead to operational inefficiencies;***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Corina Crețu

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT) differs from the *other* EU trust funds, mainly because it remains embedded within the budget of the EU;

Amendment

C. whereas the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT) differs from the EU trust funds, mainly because it remains embedded within the budget of the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Tomáš Zdechovský

Draft opinion
Recital C a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

C a. whereas, according to the Commission, FRT aims to coordinate existing EU financing instruments so they are mobilised in a coherent and joint up manner to address refugees' needs;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Monika Hohlmeier

Draft opinion
Recital C a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

C a. whereas the European Parliament agreed to bear half of the expenditure of the Facility from the EU budget, amounting to three billion Euro for the years 2016-2019;

Amendment 6
Corina Crețu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Reiterates that these should be considered exceptional or emergency-led instruments whose added value and effects on the ground should be well justified and monitored;

Amendment

1. ***Notes that Union trust funds are set up to react swiftly to challenging circumstances and to increase the flexibility of funding;*** Reiterates ***however*** that these should be considered exceptional or emergency-led instruments whose added value and effects on the ground should be well justified and monitored; ***stresses the need to ensure that Union trust funds bring clear political visibility for the Union and that the objectives of Union trust funds are aligned with the objectives of the Union.***

Amendment 7
Michèle Rivasi
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Reiterates that these should be considered exceptional or emergency-led instruments whose added value and effects on the ground should be well justified and monitored;

Amendment

1. Reiterates that these should be considered exceptional or emergency-led instruments whose added value and effects on the ground should be well justified and monitored; ***notes that this ‘emergency-driven’ nature makes it difficult to ascertain^{1a} what the concrete emergencies are, how additional funding is addressing them, and to ensure a qualitative scrutiny of their results on the ground;***

1a

[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2018/603821/IPOL_STU\(2018\)603821_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2018/603821/IPOL_STU(2018)603821_EN.pdf)

Or. en

Amendment 8
Michèle Rivasi
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. *Notes with concern that the EU Trust fund for Africa which has been created and shaped around the EU's internal objectives on migration policy, is widely criticised as responsible of operations which affects human rights, e.g. the one supporting the Libyan Coast Guards or the 'Road Project' with forced labour in Eritrea; Underlines that it is incompatible with the EU public procurement^{1a} law and therefore it should not be financed with ODA;*

^{1a} <https://www.europeanpapers.eu/en/e-journal/european-external-migration-funds-and-public-procurement-law>

Or. en

Amendment 9
Michèle Rivasi
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 b. *Stresses that the EU must always*

ensure that EUTF projects and programs promote and protect human rights; strong systems must be put into place to monitor their human rights impacts; an accountability system with specific indicators must be put in place to prevent and deal with breaches of international law;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Joachim Kuhs

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. *Stresses that the need to create such trust funds is partly a consequence of the EU budget being structurally underfinanced and lacking possibilities to finance unexpected needs;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 11
David Lega

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the need to create such trust funds is partly a consequence of the EU budget *being structurally underfinanced and* lacking possibilities to finance unexpected needs;

Amendment

2. Stresses that the need to create such trust funds is partly a consequence of the EU budget lacking possibilities to finance unexpected needs;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Michèle Rivasi

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the need to create such trust funds is partly a consequence of the EU budget being structurally underfinanced and lacking possibilities to finance unexpected needs;

Amendment

2. Stresses that the need to create such trust funds is partly a consequence of the EU budget being structurally underfinanced and lacking possibilities to finance unexpected needs; ***is concerned that the trust funds aimed at emergency situations are prolonged non-stop instead of envisaging to have long-term policy operations considered in programming; takes notes with concern that the extension for a year of the EU trust funds will not change identified flaws in their governance structures: the situation of conflicts of interest - pointed out by the European Court of Auditors (ECA)^{1a} in 2017 - in the Operational Committee of Bekou trust fund (where Member States are represented by their own national development agencies, which in turn are selected as project implementers and lead on the largest projects, while NGOs are awarded smaller ones) remains unchanged until the end of 2021;***

1a

<https://www.eca.europa.eu/en/Pages/DocItem.aspx?did=41726>

Or. en

Amendment 13
Olivier Chastel, Gilles Boyer, Alin Mituța

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the need to create such trust funds is partly a consequence of the

Amendment

2. Stresses that the need to create such trust funds is partly a consequence of the

EU budget being structurally underfinanced and lacking possibilities to finance unexpected needs;

EU budget being structurally underfinanced, ***not flexible enough*** and lacking possibilities to finance unexpected needs;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Tomáš Zdechovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that the need to create such trust funds is partly a consequence of the EU budget being structurally underfinanced and lacking possibilities to finance unexpected needs;

Amendment

2. Stresses that the need to create such trust funds is partly a consequence of the EU budget being structurally underfinanced ***in several areas*** and lacking possibilities to finance unexpected needs;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Corina Crețu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Recalls the Special Report of the European Court of Auditors 27/2018 on ‘the Facility for Refugees in Turkey: helpful support, but improvements needed to deliver more value for money’; notes that according to the Court, the audited projects provided helpful support to refugees and that most of them achieved their outputs, but half of them had not yet achieved their expected outcomes; notes the Court’s finding that monitoring of the cash-assistance projects under the Facility was limited because the Commission and its UN implementing partners did not have access to primary

beneficiary data; welcomes that some of the recommendations of this report have been considered in designing the programming of the second tranche of the FRT; invites the Commission to report further on the implementation of the Court's recommendations in the context of the discharge procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Joachim Kuhs, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Insists on the need to *ensure that the objectives of the FRT are consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights;*

Amendment

3. Insists on the need to *dismantle* the FRT;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Corina Crețu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Insists on the need to ensure that the *objectives* of the FRT *are* consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment

3. Insists on the need to ensure that the *implementation* of the FRT *and Union trust funds is* consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Tomáš Zdechovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Insists on the need to ensure that the objectives of the FRT are consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment

3. Insists on the need to ensure that the objectives of the FRT are ***fully*** consistent with the EU's general principles ***and legal commitments laid down in the EU Treaties as well as with EU*** policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law, and human rights;

Or. en

Amendment 19
David Lega

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Insists on the need to ensure that the objectives of the FRT are consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment

3. Insists on the need to ensure that the objectives of the FRT are consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights; ***underlines the need to ensure that these objectives are met;***

Or. en

Amendment 20
Younous Omarjee

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Insists on the need to ensure that

Amendment

3. Insists on the need to ensure ***as a***

the objectives of the FRT are consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights;

priority that the objectives of the FRT are consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Olivier Chastel, Gilles Boyer, Alin Mituța

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Insists on the need to ensure that the objectives of the FRT are consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights;

Amendment

3. Insists **strongly** on the need to ensure that the objectives of the FRT are consistent with the EU's general principles, policies and objectives, including democracy, the rule of law and human rights;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Corina Crețu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Calls the Commission to monitor continuously whether the Facility is being implemented according to the principles of good financial management, transparency, proportionality, non-discriminatory and equal treatment in full accordance with the right of the European Parliament, to exercise scrutiny and control over the EU funding.

Or. en

Amendment 23
Younous Omarjee

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Takes notes of the Special report 27/2018 on the Facility for Refugees in Turkey, where the Court concluded that the Facility could have been more effective, and that it could achieve more value for money;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Younous Omarjee

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. Notes the Court evaluation that the Facility did not fully achieve its objective of coordinating the response effectively; considers that there is still room for improvement in terms of efficiency of humanitarian projects, and this after almost three years after the Special report was published;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Younous Omarjee

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 c. Is extremely worried that while monitoring humanitarian projects, the

Commission was limited by Turkish authorities' refusal to grant access to beneficiary data for the two cash-assistance projects; regrets that as a result there was no possibility to track the projects' beneficiaries;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Joachim Kuhs, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to better address refugees' needs for municipal infrastructure and socio-economic support, in order to improve the streamlining and complementarity of the assistance provided to them;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 27
Younous Omarjee

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to better address refugees' needs for municipal infrastructure and socio-economic support, in order to improve the streamlining and complementarity of the assistance provided to them;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to better address refugees' needs for municipal infrastructure and socio-economic support, in order to improve the streamlining and complementarity of the assistance provided to them; *regrets that disagreement with Turkey on how to address these needs meant that the support in the above-mentioned areas was insufficiently covered*[1];

[1] ECA Special report 27/2018 on the

Amendment 28
Monika Hohlmeier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to better address refugees' needs for municipal infrastructure and socio-economic support, in order to improve the streamlining and complementarity of the assistance provided to them;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to better address refugees' needs for municipal infrastructure and socio-economic support, in order to improve the streamlining and complementarity of the assistance provided to them; ***recalls the need for equal access to education and training opportunities for girls and young women;***

Amendment 29
Corina Crețu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to better address refugees' needs for municipal infrastructure and socio-economic support, in order to improve the streamlining and complementarity of the assistance provided to them;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to better address refugees' needs for municipal infrastructure and socio-economic support, ***as well as to ensure access to education, health, protection and other basic needs*** in order to improve the streamlining and complementarity of the assistance provided to them;

Amendment 30
Corina Crețu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Welcomes the success of the first tranche of the FRT, highlighting specifically the Emergency Social Safety Net (ESSN), the biggest humanitarian project managed by the Commission; welcomes the progress of the second tranche, allowing for a gradual shift from humanitarian to development assistance;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Olivier Chastel, Gilles Boyer, Alin Mituța

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. underlines the positive impact of this fund on the vulnerable target groups such as access to health care, education, integration programme and access to humanitarian help for 1,8 million persons.

Or. en

Amendment 32
Joachim Kuhs, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to address the need to improve the operating environment for civil society organisations and the Turkish

deleted

authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Corina Crețu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Calls on*** the Commission to address the need to improve the operating environment for civil society organisations ***and*** the Turkish authorities;

Amendment

5. ***Stresses the challenging working environment that non-governmental organisations face in the course of the implementation of the FRT; Invites*** the Commission to address the need to improve the operating environment for civil society organisations, ***including through continuing the dialogue with*** the Turkish authorities ***on issues involving registration and permits;***

Or. en

Amendment 34

Joachim Kuhs, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks ***it to ensure that these funds specifically target refugee projects and are not used for any other purposes;***

Amendment

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks ***to dismantle it as soon as possible;***

Or. en

Amendment 35
Corina Crețu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks it to ensure that these funds specifically target **refugee** projects and are not used for any other purposes;

Amendment

6. ***Welcomes the monitoring reports provided through the Facility Results Framework;*** Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out ***based on clear procedures*** to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and ***provide all information necessary for the European Parliament to exercise its right of scrutiny and control;*** asks it to ensure that these funds specifically target ***intended*** projects and are not used for any other purposes; ***in this context recalls the importance of accessibility of primary data on beneficiaries and traceability of EU funding and invites the Commission to present the planned strategic mid-term evaluation of the FRT;***

Or. en

Amendment 36
Younous Omarjee

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks it to ensure that these funds specifically target refugee projects and are not used for any other purposes;

Amendment

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks it to ensure that these funds specifically target refugee projects and are not used for any other purposes; ***in this sense insists that the Turkish authorities grant implementing partners full access to***

the data on eligible beneficiaries, in order to improve the accountability and efficiency of the monitoring framework of these flagship projects[2];

[2] ECA Special report 27/2018 on the Facility for Refugees in Turkey

Or. en

Amendment 37
Tomáš Zdechovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks it to ensure that these funds specifically target refugee projects and are not used for any other purposes;

Amendment

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation ***and control and access for the ECA, OLAF, EPPO and the European Parliament as audit authority***; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks it to ensure that these funds specifically target refugee projects and are not used for any other purposes;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Olivier Chastel, Gilles Boyer, Alin Mituța

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks it to ensure that these funds ***specifically*** target refugee projects and are

Amendment

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits ***ex ante and ex post, including in Turkey*** are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks it to ensure that these funds

not used for any other purposes;

only target refugee projects **and needs** and are not used for any other purposes;

Or. en

Amendment 39

David Lega

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks it to ensure that these funds specifically **target** refugee projects and are not used for any other purposes;

Amendment

6. Underlines the need to make sure strict monitoring exercises and audits are carried out to ensure compliance with the Financial Regulation; invites the Commission to scale up reporting on the FRT and asks it to ensure that these funds **reach the right beneficiaries**, specifically **targeting** refugee projects, and are not used for any other purposes;

Or. en

Amendment 40

Corina Crețu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Notes with concern that the Covid-19 crisis led to a significant slowdown in progress on individual Actions and the FRT as a whole, leading to an estimated implementation delay of 3 to 12 months as of June 2020; underlines that, according to the Monitoring Report from November 2021, the most vulnerable refugees working in the informal sector were most impacted; regrets that the suspension of in-person activities such as those in the area of social cohesion, language teaching and psycho-social support

*disproportionately affected women
refugees;*

Or. en

Amendment 41
Olivier Chastel, Gilles Boyer, Alin Mituța

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. *recalls that the EUTF is a flexible instrument allowing swift, effective and efficient implementation of projects in the frame of humanitarian aid and emergency situations, while ensuring sound financial management.*

Or. en

Amendment 42
Joachim Kuhs, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Stresses that the actions under each strand of the FRT will achieve more value for money if they are part of *an integrated* approach;

8. Stresses that the actions under each strand of the FRT will achieve more value for money if they are part of *a minimized* approach;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Tomáš Zdechovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Stresses that the actions under each strand of the FRT will achieve more value for money if they are part of an integrated approach;

Amendment

8. Stresses that the actions under each strand of the FRT ***will be higher, more sustainable and*** will achieve more value for money if they are part of an integrated approach;

Or. en

Amendment 44

David Lega

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls on the Commission to ***implement a strategy for the transition from humanitarian to development*** assistance and to improve the efficiency of cash assistance projects;

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to ***assess the current orientation of the*** humanitarian assistance ***provided*** and to improve the efficiency of cash assistance projects;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Joachim Kuhs, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls on the Commission to implement a strategy for the transition from humanitarian to development assistance and to ***improve the efficiency of*** cash assistance projects;

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to implement a strategy for the transition from humanitarian to development assistance and to ***cancel*** cash assistance projects;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Younous Omarjee

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls on the Commission to implement a strategy for the transition from humanitarian to development assistance and to improve the efficiency of cash assistance projects;

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to implement a strategy for the transition from humanitarian to development assistance, ***whose goal is to reduce and ultimately to eradicate poverty***, and to improve the efficiency of cash assistance projects;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Olivier Chastel, Gilles Boyer, Alin Mituța

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls on the Commission to implement a strategy for the transition from humanitarian to development assistance and to improve the efficiency of cash assistance projects;

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to implement a strategy for the transition from humanitarian to development assistance and to improve the efficiency ***and the monitoring*** of cash assistance projects;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Monika Hohlmeier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. stresses the need for good monitoring and takes note of the difficulties to control two cash-assistance projects, because the Commission and its UN implementing partners did not have

access to original beneficiary data;

Or. en

Amendment 49

Corina Crețu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Notes with appreciation the efforts and measures taken by the EU and its Member States to support refugees in Turkey; *regrets, however, the general public's lack of knowledge about these actions.*

Amendment

10. Notes with appreciation the efforts and measures taken by the EU and its Member States to support refugees in Turkey; ***Calls on the Commission to improve its communication strategy and intensify its contact with the general public to communicate the efforts made by EU to support refugees and host communities in Turkey.***

Or. en

Amendment 50

Joachim Kuhs, Matteo Adinolfi, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Notes with ***appreciation the efforts and measures taken*** by the EU and its Member States to support refugees in Turkey; regrets, however, the general public's lack of knowledge about these actions.

Amendment

10. Notes with ***regret the waste of funding undertaken*** by the EU and its Member States to support refugees in Turkey; regrets, however, the general public's lack of knowledge about these actions.

Or. en

Amendment 51

Tomáš Zdechovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Notes with appreciation the efforts and measures taken by the EU and its Member States to support refugees in Turkey; regrets, however, the general public's lack of knowledge about these actions.

Amendment

10. Notes with appreciation the efforts and measures taken by the EU and its Member States to support refugees ***and host communities*** in Turkey; regrets, however, the general public's lack of knowledge about these actions ***and their objectives***;

Or. en

Amendment 52
Younous Omarjee

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Notes ***with appreciation*** the efforts and measures taken by the EU and its Member States to support refugees in Turkey; regrets, however, the general public's lack of knowledge about these actions.

Amendment

10. Notes the efforts and measures taken by the EU and its Member States to support refugees in Turkey; regrets, however, the general public's lack of knowledge about these actions.

Or. en

Amendment 53
Tomáš Zdechovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 a. Stresses that efforts must be made to monitor and enforce EU values and norms in the area of support to refugees, which would foster trust in the EU on the basis of its ability to deliver on its aims.

Amendment 54

David Lega

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 a. Calls on the Commission to convey the need for the working environment for international NGOs to be improved to the Turkish authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 55

David Lega

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 b. Calls on the Commission to take action against all attempts by Turkey to use the facility as leverage against the EU.

Or. en