



2022/0277(COD)

5.5.2023

AMENDMENTS

878 - 1177

Draft report
Sabine Verheyen
(PE746.655v01-00)

Establishing a common framework for media services in the internal market
(European Media Freedom Act) and amending Directive 2010/13/EU

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0457 – C9-0309/2022 – 2022/0277(COD))

Amendment 878
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The requested authority shall inform the requesting authority of the results achieved or of the progress of the measures taken in response to the request.

Amendment

5. The requested authority **or body** shall inform the requesting authority **or body** of the results achieved or of the progress of the measures taken in response to the request.

Or. de

Amendment 879
Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. ***The requested authority shall provide intermediary results within the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority shall address and reply to the request within 14 calendar days.***

Amendment

6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. ***Further details on the procedure of the structured cooperation shall be defined in the Board's rules of procedure.***

Or. en

Amendment 880
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. ***The requested authority shall provide intermediary results within the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority shall address and reply to the request within 14 calendar days.***

Amendment

6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. ***Further details on the procedure of the structured cooperation, including the rights and obligations of the parties as well as the deadlines to be respected, shall be defined in the Board's rules of procedure.***

Or. en

Amendment 881
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. ***The requested authority shall provide intermediary results within the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority shall address and reply to the request within 14 calendar days.***

Amendment

6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. ***Further details on the procedure of the structured cooperation, including the rights and obligations of the parties as well as the deadlines to be respected, shall be defined in the Board's rules of procedure.***

Or. en

Amendment 882
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. ***The requested authority shall provide intermediary results within the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority shall address and reply to the request within 14 calendar days.***

Amendment

6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. ***Further details on the procedure of the structured cooperation, including the rights and obligations of the parties as well as the deadlines to be respected, shall be defined in the Board's rules of procedure.***

Or. en

Amendment 883
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. The requested authority shall provide intermediary results within the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority shall address and reply to the request within 14 calendar days.

Amendment

6. The requested authority ***or body*** shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. The requested authority ***or body*** shall provide intermediary results within the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority ***or body*** shall address and ***conclusively*** reply to the request within 14 calendar days.

Or. de

Amendment 884

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within **14 calendar days** from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, in **agreement** with the Commission, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Amendment

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within **a time period to be defined in the Board's rules of procedure** from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, in **consultation** with the Commission, **where deemed relevant**, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 885
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within **14 calendar days** from the receipt

Amendment

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within **a time period to be defined in the**

of that referral, the Board shall issue, in **agreement** with the Commission, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Boards' rules of procedure from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, in **consultation** with the Commission **where deemed relevant**, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 886

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within **14 calendar days** from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, in **agreement** with the Commission, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Amendment

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within **a time period to be defined in the Boards' rules of procedure** from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, in **consultation** with the Commission **where deemed relevant**, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 887

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, **in agreement with the Commission**, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Amendment

7. Where the requesting authority **or body** does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority **or body** to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority **or body** without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority **or body** does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's **or body's** reaction is missing, either authority **or body** may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority **or body** shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Or. de

Amendment 888

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within **14 calendar days** from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, **in agreement with the Commission**, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into

Amendment

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within **a time period to be defined in the Board's Rules of procedure** from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into

account the opinion of the Board.

account the opinion of the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 889
Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, ***in agreement with the Commission***, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Amendment

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 890
Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its

Amendment

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its

position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, *in agreement with the Commission*, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Or. fr

Amendment 891
Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, *in agreement with the Commission*, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Amendment

7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.

Or. fr

Amendment 892
Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, a national regulatory authority or body may request another national regulatory authority or body to take necessary and proportionate actions for the effective enforcement of the obligations imposed on video-sharing platforms under Article 28b of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, a national regulatory authority or body may request another national regulatory authority or body to take necessary and proportionate actions for the effective enforcement of the obligations imposed on video-sharing platforms under Article 28b of Directive 2010/13/EU **or national law in Member States concerning obligations imposed on video-sharing platforms.**

Or. en

Amendment 893

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, a national regulatory authority or body may request another national regulatory authority or body to take necessary and proportionate actions for the effective enforcement of the obligations imposed on video-sharing platforms under Article 28b of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, a **competent** national regulatory authority or body may request another **competent** national regulatory authority or body to take necessary and proportionate actions for the effective enforcement of the obligations imposed on video-sharing platforms under Article 28b of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. de

Amendment 894

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within **30 calendar days**, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1.

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within ***a maximum time period to be defined in the Board's rules of procedure***, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph , ***or justify the reasons for which action was not taken.***

Or. en

Amendment 895
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within **30 calendar days**, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1.

Amendment

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within ***a maximum time period to be defined in the Boards' rules of procedure***, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1, ***or justify the reasons for which action was not taken.***

Or. en

Amendment 896
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fianza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within **30 calendar days**, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1.

Amendment

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within ***a maximum time period to be defined in the Boards' rules of procedure***, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1, ***or justify the reasons for which action was not taken.***

Amendment 897

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within 30 calendar days, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1.

Amendment

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within 30 calendar days, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1 ***or justify the reasons for which actions were not taken.***

Or. en

Amendment 898

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within 30 calendar days, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1.

Amendment

2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within 30 calendar days, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1, ***or justify the reasons for which action was not taken.***

Or. de

Amendment 899

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.

Amendment

3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken pursuant to paragraph 1, ***or a refusal to take action***, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.

Or. de

Amendment 900

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.

Amendment

3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken ***or planned, or a refusal to take action*** pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.

Or. en

Amendment 901

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation

Amendment

3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken ***or planned, or a refusal to take action***, pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may

in view of finding an amicable solution.

refer the matter to the Board for mediation
in view of finding an amicable solution

Or. en

Amendment 902

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.

Amendment

3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken, **or a refusal to take action** pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.

Or. en

Amendment 903

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, in **agreement** with the

Amendment

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, **but shall do so**, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, in **consultation** with the

Commission, without undue delay.

Commission, *where deemed relevant*,
without undue delay.

Or. en

Amendment 904

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, in *agreement* with the Commission, without undue delay.

Amendment

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, in *consultation* with the Commission, *where deemed relevant*, without undue delay.

Or. en

Amendment 905

Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall

Amendment

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall

assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, *in agreement with the Commission*, without undue delay.

assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion without undue delay.

Or. en

Amendment 906

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, *in agreement with the Commission*, without undue delay.

Amendment

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion without undue delay.

Or. en

Amendment 907

Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, *in agreement with the Commission*, without undue delay.

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion without undue delay.

Or. fr

Amendment 908
Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, *in agreement with the Commission*, without undue delay.

Amendment

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion without undue delay.

Or. fr

Amendment 909
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, ***in agreement with the Commission***, without undue delay.

Amendment

4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority ***or body*** has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion without undue delay.

Or. de

Amendment 910
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within ***30 calendar days at the latest*** from the receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4, inform the Board, the Commission and the requesting authority or body of the actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.

Amendment

5. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within ***a maximum time period to be defined in the Board's rules of procedure*** from the receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4, inform the Board, the Commission and the requesting authority or body of the actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.

Or. en

Amendment 911
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within **30 calendar days at the latest** from the receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4, inform the Board, the Commission and the requesting authority or body of the actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.

Amendment

5. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within **a maximum period to be defined in the Board's rules of procedure** from the receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4, inform the Board, the Commission and the requesting authority or body of the actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.

Or. en

Amendment 912
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within **30 calendar days at the latest** from the receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4, inform the Board, the Commission and the requesting authority or body of the actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.

Amendment

5. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within **a maximum time period to be defined in the Board's rules of procedure** from the receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4, inform the Board, the Commission and the requesting authority or body of the actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.

Or. en

Amendment 913
Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, **and in close cooperation with the Commission**, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. fr

Amendment 914
Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, **and in close cooperation with the Commission**, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. fr

Amendment 915
Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, and in

Amendment

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate **and**

close cooperation with the Commission, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

relevant, and in cooperation with the Commission, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. en

Amendment 916
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, and in *close* cooperation with the Commission, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the *competent* national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, and in cooperation with the Commission, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. de

Amendment 917
Andrea Bocskor

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, and in close cooperation with the Commission, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the

Amendment

1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, and in close cooperation with the Commission, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the

national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. en

Amendment 918
Andrea Bocskor

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where the Commission issues guidelines related to the application of this Regulation or the *national rules implementing* Directive 2010/13/EU, the Board shall assist it by providing expertise on regulatory, technical or practical aspects, as regards in particular:

Amendment

2. Where the Commission issues guidelines related to the application of this Regulation or the Directive 2010/13/EU, the Board shall assist it by providing expertise on regulatory, technical or practical aspects, as regards in particular:

Or. en

Amendment 919
Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the appropriate prominence of audiovisual media services of general interest under Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU;

Amendment

(a) the appropriate prominence of audiovisual media services of general interest under Article 7a *and Article 13(1)* of Directive 2010/13/EU *and measures to monitor that this prominence is being given;*

Or. fr

Amendment 920
Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the appropriate prominence of audiovisual media services of general interest under Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU;

Amendment

(a) the appropriate prominence of audiovisual media services of general interest under Article 7a **and Article 13.1** of Directive 2010/13/EU;

Or. en

Amendment 921

Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU, **as well as their subsidiaries, sister companies and parent companies; and helping Member States to seize this possibility.**

Or. en

Amendment 922

Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU, **and on their subsidiaries, holding companies and sister companies.**

Or. fr

Amendment 923

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU, **as well as their subsidiaries, sister and parent companies.**

Or. en

Amendment 924

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article **5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU.**

Amendment

(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article **6 of this Regulation.**

Or. en

Amendment 925

Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU. **The Board shall assist the**

Amendment

3. The Commission may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive

Commission in this regard, where requested.

2010/13/EU.

Or. fr

Amendment 926

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fianza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU. ***The Board shall assist the Commission in this regard, where requested.***

Amendment

3. The Commission, ***assisted by the Board***, may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. en

Amendment 927

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU. ***The Board shall assist the Commission in this regard, where requested.***

Amendment

3. ***Assisted by the Board***, the Commission may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. en

Amendment 928

Andrea Bocskor

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and ***of the national rules implementing*** Directive 2010/13/EU. The Board shall assist the Commission in this regard, where requested.

Amendment

3. The Commission may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and Directive 2010/13/EU. The Board shall assist the Commission in this regard, where requested.

Or. en

Amendment 929
Isabella Adinolfi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board shall foster cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to ***facilitate*** the development of technical standards related to digital signals ***or*** design of devices or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services.

Amendment

4. The Board shall foster cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to ***promote*** the development of ***EU-wide harmonised*** technical standards related to digital signals, ***such as the hybrid broadcast broadband TV, and*** design of devices or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services.

Or. en

Amendment 930
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board shall foster cooperation

Amendment

4. The Board shall foster cooperation

between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to facilitate the development of *technical* standards related to digital signals or design of devices *or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services*.

between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to facilitate the development of *EU-wide harmonised* standards related to digital signals or design of devices, *including their remote controls or user interfaces*.

Or. de

Justification

'User interface' should be legally defined in Article 2.

Amendment 931

Massimiliano Smeriglio, Sylvie Guillaume

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board shall foster cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to *facilitate* the development of technical standards related to digital signals *or* design of devices or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services.

Amendment

4. The Board shall foster cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to *promote* the development of *harmonised European* technical standards related to digital signals *and* design of devices or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services.

Or. en

Amendment 932

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board shall *foster* cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant

Amendment

4. The Board shall *facilitate* cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any

stakeholders in order to *facilitate* the development of *technical* standards related to digital signals or design of devices or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services.

other relevant stakeholders in order to *promote* the development of *EU-wide harmonised* standards related to digital signals or design of devices or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services.

Or. en

Amendment 933

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Coordination of measures concerning media service providers established outside the Union

Amendment

Coordination of measures concerning media service providers established *or originating from* outside the Union

Or. en

Amendment 934

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Coordination of measures concerning media service providers *established* outside the Union

Amendment

Coordination of measures concerning media service providers *originating from* outside the Union

Or. en

Amendment 935

Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coordination of measures concerning media service providers ***established*** outside the Union

Coordination of measures concerning media service providers ***originating from*** outside the Union

Or. en

Amendment 936
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coordination of measures concerning ***media service providers*** established outside the Union

Coordination of measures concerning ***providers of media offers*** established outside the Union

Or. de

Amendment 937
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fianza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coordination of measures concerning media service providers ***established*** outside the Union

Coordination of measures concerning media service providers ***originating from*** outside the Union

Or. en

Amendment 938
Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Board shall **coordinate measures by** national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service providers established outside the Union that target audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, such media services prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence.

1. **Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2010/13/EU**, the Board shall, **upon request of the** national regulatory authorities or bodies **from at least two Member States, coordinate relevant measures by the national regulatory authorities or bodies concerned** related to the dissemination of or access **by any technical means** to media services provided by media service providers established **or originating from** outside the Union, **irrespective of their means of distribution**, that target audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, such media services prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to **national and public security and defence public health, or where they incite to violence, hatred or promote terrorist activities, including committing terrorist acts**.

Or. en

Amendment 939
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall **coordinate measures by** national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service providers **established** outside the Union that target audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, such media services prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence.

Amendment

1. The Board shall **facilitate the cooperation between** national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service providers **originating from** outside the Union that, **irrespective of the means of distribution or access**, target **or reach** audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view **of the nature** of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, such media services prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security, **including the safeguarding of national security** and

defence, *or public health, or where their programs include incitement to violence or hatred or public provocation to commit a terrorist offence.*

Or. en

Amendment 940

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall *coordinate measures by* national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service providers *established* outside the Union that target audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, such media services prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence.

Amendment

1. The Board shall *facilitate the cooperation between* national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service providers *originating from* outside the Union that, *irrespective of the means of distribution or access*, target *or reach* audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view *of the nature* of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, such media services prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security, *including the safeguarding of national security* and defence, *or public health, or where their programs include incitement to violence or hatred or public provocation to commit a terrorist offence.*

Or. en

Amendment 941

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall coordinate

Amendment

1. The Board shall coordinate

measures by national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media *services* provided by *media service providers* established outside the Union that target *audiences* in the Union where, inter alia in view of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, *such* media *services* *prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence.*

measures by *competent* national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media *offers* provided by *providers of media offers* established outside the Union that target *users* in the Union where, inter alia in view of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, *those* media *offers manifestly, seriously and gravely:*

a) contain public provocation to commit a terrorist offence as set out in Article 5 of Directive (EU) 2017/541;

b) present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security, the preservation of national security and defence.

Or. de

Amendment 942
Niyazi Kizilyürek, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall *coordinate* *measures by* national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service providers established outside the Union that target audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, such media services *prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence.*

Amendment

1. The Board shall *facilitate the cooperation between* national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service providers established *or originating from* outside the Union that, *irrespective of the means of distribution or access*, target *or reach* audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view *of the nature* of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, *or their contribution to the dissemination of messages promoted by third countries*, such media services *prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence.*

Amendment 943

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall coordinate measures by national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service providers ***established*** outside the Union that target audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, such media services prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence.

Amendment

1. The Board shall coordinate measures by national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service providers ***originating from*** outside the Union that, ***irrespective of the means of distribution or access***, target ***or reach*** audiences in the Union where, inter alia in view of the control that may be exercised by third countries over them, such media services prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence.

Or. en

Amendment 944

Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Without prejudice to the possibility of a direct request from the national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination to the competent national regulatory authority or body pursuant to art.13(2) of this Regulation, where an audiovisual media service provider originating from outside the Union falls under the territorial jurisdiction of an EU Member State according to Article 2 of Directive 2010/13/EU and without prejudice to the procedures foreseen

under article 3 of this Directive, a national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination may request the Board to issue an opinion inviting the authorities or bodies of the competent Member State to take appropriate measures against the media service provider.

The requests from the national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination to the competent the national regulatory authority or body which are addressed to the Board shall contain all the necessary information, including at least the original decision of the national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination accompanied by a translation to a commonly agreed language, as well as the necessary evidence underlying that decision such as recordings.

The involvement of the Board shall be triggered following a request of a minimum number of Board members to be defined in the Board's Rules of procedure together with the relevant processes.

When preparing its opinion, the Board shall confirm that the following conditions are met:

(i) there is substantiated evidence that the audiovisual media service is prejudicing or presenting a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security, including the safeguarding of national security and defence, public health or the content of the audiovisual media service provider manifestly, seriously and gravely infringes article 6(1) of Directive 2010/13/EU.

(ii) the audiovisual media service is prejudicing or presenting a serious and grave risk of prejudice for several Member States or the Union.

Or. en

Amendment 945
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Regarding media services provided by media service providers originating from outside of the Union, at the request of a minimum number of Board members to be defined in the Board's Rules of procedure, the Board may issue an opinion on the coordination of measures.

Or. en

Amendment 946
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Regarding media services provided by media service providers established outside of the Union, at the request of a minimum number of Board members to be defined in the Board's Rules of procedure, the Board may issue an opinion on the coordination of measures.

Or. en

Amendment 947
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. Without prejudice to the possibility of a direct request from the national

regulatory authority or body of a country of destination to the competent national regulatory authority or body pursuant to art.13(2) of this Regulation, where an audiovisual media service provider originating from outside the Union falls under the territorial jurisdiction of an EU Member State according to Article 2 of Directive 2010/13/EU and without prejudice to the procedures foreseen under article 3 of this Directive, a national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination may request the Board to issue an opinion inviting the authorities or bodies of the competent Member State to take appropriate measures against the media service provider.

The requests from the national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination to the competent the national regulatory authority or body which are addressed to the Board shall contain all the necessary information, including at least the original decision of the national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination accompanied by a translation to a commonly agreed language, as well as the necessary evidence underlying that decision such as recordings. The involvement of the Board shall be triggered following a request of a minimum number of Board members to be defined in the Board's Rules of procedure together with the relevant processes. When preparing its opinion, the Board shall confirm that the following conditions are met:

(i) there is substantiated evidence that the audiovisual media service is prejudicing or presenting a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security, including the safeguarding of national security and defence, public health or the content of the audiovisual media service provider manifestly, seriously and gravely infringes article 6(1) of Directive 2010/13/EU.

(ii) the audiovisual media service is

prejudicing or presenting a serious and grave risk of prejudice for several Member States or the Union.

Or. en

Amendment 948

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. Without prejudice to the possibility of a direct request from the national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination to the competent national regulatory authority or body pursuant to art.13(2) of this Regulation, where an audiovisual media service provider originating from outside the Union falls under the territorial jurisdiction of an EU Member State according to Article 2 of Directive 2010/13/EU and without prejudice to the procedures foreseen under article 3 of this Directive, a national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination may request the Board to issue an opinion inviting the authorities or bodies of the competent Member State to take appropriate measures against the media service provider. The requests from the national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination to the competent the national regulatory authority or body which are addressed to the Board shall contain all the necessary information, including at least the original decision of the national regulatory authority or body of a country of destination accompanied by a translation to a commonly agreed language, as well as the necessary evidence underlying that decision such as recordings. The involvement of the Board shall be triggered following a request of a minimum number of Board members to

be defined in the Board's Rules of procedure together with the relevant processes. When preparing its opinion, the Board shall confirm that the following conditions are met: (i) there is substantiated evidence that the audiovisual media service is prejudicing or presenting a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security, including the safeguarding of national security and defence, public health or the content of the audiovisual media service provider manifestly, seriously and gravely infringes article 6(1) of Directive 2010/13/EU. (ii) the audiovisual media service is prejudicing or presenting a serious and grave risk of prejudice for several Member States or the Union.

Or. en

Amendment 949
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. 1 c. The coordination of measures and the opinions of the Board shall be without prejudice to the competence and responsibility of the Member States to assess the risks and threats to their public security and national defence, which may be posed by media services originating from outside the EU.

Or. en

Amendment 950
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofò, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1 c (new)

1c. The coordination of measures and the opinions of the Board shall be without prejudice to the competence and responsibility of the Member States to assess the risks and threats to their public security and national defence, which may be posed by media services originating from outside the EU.

Or. en

Amendment 951

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Board, ***in agreement with the Commission***, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.

Amendment

2. The Board, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. ***National regulatory authorities or bodies of a country of destination may request the Board to issue an opinion advising the competent national authorities to take appropriate measures against the media service provider established or originating from outside the Union. The Board shall issue guidelines on the format of such requests. When the request is formulated by a minimum number of Board members, defined in the Board's rules of procedure, the Board shall be automatically triggered to issue an opinion. The Board may consult the Commission in issuing such opinions, where deemed appropriate.*** All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 952
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Board, in ***agreement with the Commission***, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. ***All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.***

Amendment

2. The Board, in ***the context of a procedure laid down in the rules of procedure***, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1.

Where a provider referred to in paragraph 1 targets users in the territory of a Member State with its media offers, the competent national regulatory authorities or bodies of that Member State may also request that the Board deliver an opinion requiring the competent authorities or bodies of the state within whose jurisdiction the provider falls to take appropriate measures against that provider. All competent national authorities or bodies, including the competent national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.

Or. de

Amendment 953
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Board, ***in agreement with the Commission***, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do

Amendment

2. The Board may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the

their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.

opinions of the Board *issued according to paragraph 1a and 1b. The competent authority or body shall provide reasons for any refusal to undertake the recommended actions.*

Or. en

Amendment 954

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fianza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. *The Board, in agreement with the Commission, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1.* All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.

Amendment

2. All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board *issued according to paragraph 1a and 1b. The competent authority or body shall provide reasons for any refusal to undertake the recommended actions.*

Or. en

Amendment 955

Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Board, *in agreement with the Commission*, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.

Amendment

2. The Board may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 956
Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Board, ***in agreement with the Commission***, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.

Amendment

2. The Board, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.

Or. fr

Amendment 957
Sabine Verheyen, Peter Pollák, Theodoros Zagorakis, Michaela Šojdrová, Tomasz Frankowski

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

2a. The Board, in consultation with the Commission, shall draw up a list of criteria that national regulatory authorities or bodies may take into consideration when exercising their regulatory powers over media service providers referred to in paragraph 1. Measures are taken in a necessary and proportionate manner, considering in particular whether the broadcast content, when received on the territory of the EU, is legally commercialized or captured illegally, and received through standard satellite equipment or special equipment.

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 958

Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States shall ensure that, when relevant, national regulatory authorities or bodies, when deciding to take action against a media service provider originating from outside of the Union, have the legal basis to take into account: (i) a decision taken against that provider by a national regulatory authority or body from another Member State, and/or (ii) an opinion of the Board relating to that provider and taken on the grounds of this article

Or. en

Amendment 959

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. When taking a decision regarding the jurisdiction (inter alia through licensing or registration) over an audiovisual media service provider originating from outside of the Union, the competent regulatory authority or body shall, without prejudice to the national legislation, do its utmost to take into account a set of basic principle-based criteria concerning the service and the service provider to be developed by the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 960
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. When taking a decision regarding the jurisdiction (inter alia through licensing or registration) over an audiovisual media service provider originating from outside of the Union, the competent regulatory authority or body shall, without prejudice to the national legislation, do its utmost to take into account a set of basic principle-based criteria concerning the service and the service provider to be developed by the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 961
Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States shall ensure that when relevant, national regulatory authorities or bodies when deciding to take action against a media service provider originating from outside of the Union, have a legal basis to take into account at least one of the following conditions:

Or. en

Amendment 962
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. Member States shall ensure that, when relevant, national regulatory authorities or bodies, when deciding to take action against a media service provider originating from outside of the Union, have the legal basis to take into account:

(i) a decision taken against that provider by a national regulatory authority or body from another Member State, and/or

(ii) an opinion of the Board relating to that provider and taken on the grounds of this article

Or. en

Amendment 963
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. Member States shall ensure that, when relevant, national regulatory authorities or bodies, when deciding to take action against a media service provider originating from outside of the Union, have the legal basis to take into account: (i) a decision taken against that provider by a national regulatory authority or body from another Member State, and/or (ii) an opinion of the Board relating to that provider and taken on the grounds of this article

Or. en

Amendment 964

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2 b (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. (i) a decision taken against that provider by a national regulatory authority or body from another Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 965

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2 c (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2c. (ii) an opinion of the Board relating to that provider and taken on the grounds of this article.

Or. en

Amendment 966

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2 d (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2d. The online platforms shall cooperate fully with any investigations or inquiries conducted by regulatory authorities or bodies on media service providers outside the EU that may present a risk to public security and defence and shall provide all required information and

data to support such investigations or inquiries.

Or. en

Amendment 967

Monica Semedo, Anna Júlia Donáth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Article 17 of the proposed European Media Freedom Act (EMFA) proposal should be deleted for numerous reasons. However, three of them can be clearly pointed out: equality of freedom of speech, harmonisation of horizontal rules, and the issue of media declaration.

Amendment 968

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

17 ***Content of*** media service providers on very large online platforms

17 ***Media services of recognised*** media service providers on very large online platforms ***and very large online search engines that allow for the dissemination of media services***

Or. de

Amendment 969

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Content of media service providers on very large online platforms

Content of media service providers on very large online platforms ***and very large online search engines providing access to news and current affairs information***

Or. en

Amendment 970

François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Content of media service providers on very large online platforms

Content of media service providers on very large online platforms ***or very large search engines***

Or. en

Amendment 971

Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Content of media service providers on very large online platforms

Content of media service providers on very large online platforms ***and search engines***

Or. fr

Amendment 972

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Providers of very large online platforms shall provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to *declare that:*

1. Providers of very large online platforms ***and very large online platforms and very large online search engines*** shall ***ensure that their terms and conditions, content moderation decisions or any other actions do not infringe on the rights of media service providers to provide news and current affairs information or negatively impact on media pluralism.*** They shall ensure that their content moderation and monitoring processes have adequate human resources to cover all languages and geographical regions in the Union and provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to ***be designated as editorially independent media service providers.***

Or. en

Amendment 973

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Providers of very large online platforms shall ***provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to declare that:***

Amendment

1. Providers of very large online platforms shall ***ensure that their content moderation processes have adequate and sufficient personnel, linguistic range and cultural sensitivity and context-specific training, to ensure that freedom and pluralism of the media is not undermined.***

Or. en

Amendment 974

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Providers of very large online platforms shall provide a functionality allowing *recipients of their services to declare that*:

1. Providers of very large online platforms *or very large online search engines* shall provide a functionality allowing *media service providers to claim or declare*:

Or. de

Amendment 975
François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Providers of very large online platforms shall provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to declare that:

Amendment

1. Providers of very large online platforms *or very large search engines* shall provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to declare that:

Or. en

Amendment 976
Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Providers of very large online platforms shall provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to declare that:

Amendment

1. Providers of very large online platforms *and search engines* shall provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to declare that:

Or. fr

Amendment 977
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *it is a media service provider
within the meaning of Article 2(2);* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 978

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *it is a media service provider
within the meaning of Article 2(2);* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 979

François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) it is a media service provider within
the meaning of Article 2(2);

(a) it is a media service provider within
the meaning of Article 2(2) ***providing news
and current affairs content;***

Or. en

Amendment 980

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) ***it is*** a media service provider within
the meaning of Article 2(2);

(a) ***that they are*** a media service
provider within the meaning of Article

2(2);

Or. de

Amendment 981

Sabine Verheyen, Peter Pollák, Theodoros Zagorakis, Michaela Šojdrová, Tomasz Frankowski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) it is a media service provider within the meaning of Article 2(2);

(a) it is a media service provider within the meaning of Article 2(2); **and**

Or. en

Amendment 982

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) *it is editorially independent from Member States and third countries; and*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 983

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) *it is editorially independent from Member States and third countries; and*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 984
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) *it is* editorially independent from Member States and third countries; **and**

Amendment

(b) **that they are** editorially independent from **the EU and its institutions, from** Member States and third countries, **and functionally independent from private entities whose corporate purpose is not related to the creation or dissemination of media services;**

Or. de

Amendment 985
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) In order to prevent the misuse of the declaration system, a very large online platform or search engines may invalidate the declaration of a media service provider. Such invalidation shall be executed if the media service provider has repeatedly violated the platform's terms and conditions or if its content has been frequently suspended or restricted on the basis of a breach of the terms and conditions following the procedure referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article. The provider of a very large online platform or search engine shall inform the supervising or regulatory entity about the invalidation of the declaration.

Or. en

Amendment 986
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) *it is subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, or adheres to a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards, widely recognised and accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 987
Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) *it is subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, or adheres to a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards, widely recognised and accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 988
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) it is subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, or adheres to a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards, widely recognised and accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States.

(c) it is subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, or adheres to a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards, widely recognised and accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States.

Member States shall ensure that media service providers self-declarations can be easily verified.

Member States shall also provide an effective and expeditious complaint and redress mechanism.

Or. en

Amendment 989

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) it is subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, or adheres to a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards, widely recognised and accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States.

Amendment

(c) it is subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, or adheres to a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards, widely recognised and accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States. ***Member States shall ensure that media service providers self-declarations can be easily verified. Member States shall also provide an effective and expeditious complaint and redress independent mechanism.***

Or. en

Amendment 990

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) *it is* subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, or *adheres* to a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards, *widely* recognised and accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States.

Amendment

(c) *that they are* subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility *and oversight by a competent national regulatory authority or body* in one or more Member States, or *adhere* to a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards *that is transparent and legally* recognised and *widely* accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States; *and*

Or. de

Amendment 991

Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) it is included in publicly available registries, databases or lists kept by a public authority or by the self- or co-regulatory body in charge of monitoring the sector. In case such tools do not exist, the recipient must declare that it is subject to the supervision of an independent national regulatory authority or body and/or to a self- or co-regulatory mechanism, of which name and contact details shall be stated: in this latter case, the provider of the very large online platform or the provider of the very large search engine shall ask the respective supervising or monitoring entity to confirm the information given by the declarant.

The declaration of a media service provider shall only be deemed valid if the supervising or monitoring entities exist and can confirm the adherence to the regulations and/or codes of practice by

the declarant.

Or. en

Amendment 992

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) it is included in publicly available registries, databases or lists kept by a public authority or by the self- or co-regulatory body in charge of monitoring the sector. In case such tools do not exist, the recipient must declare that it is subject to the supervision of an independent national regulatory authority or body and/or to a self- or co-regulatory mechanism, of which name and contact details shall be stated: in this latter case, the provider of the very large online platform or the provider of the very large search engine shall ask the respective supervising or monitoring entity to confirm the information given by the declarant. The declaration of a media service provider shall only be deemed valid if the supervising or monitoring entities exist and can confirm the adherence to the regulations and/or codes of practice by the declarant.

Or. en

Amendment 993

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) their name and the name of their

managing director, the geographical address at which they are established and contact details, including an email address, website and telephone number. This information shall also be provided through the competent national regulatory authority or body or the co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism to which the relevant media service provider is subject.

Or. de

Amendment 994
Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) Providers of very large online platforms shall bolster the prominence of news and information media, along with access to a diverse and pluralistic media offer

Or. fr

Amendment 995
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Providers of very large online platforms or very large online search engines shall acknowledge receipt of the information and immediately communicate the acknowledgement of receipt to the media service provider and the competent national regulatory authority or body or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism, indicating a competent

contact person or body via which the media service providers can communicate directly and quickly with the provider of the very large online platform or very large online search engine.

Providers of very large online platforms or very large online search engines may, in case of reasonable doubt, request that the competent national regulatory authority or body or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism confirm the information provided by the media service provider. In the event that the competent national regulatory authority or body or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism refuses to provide such confirmation for the media service provider, the media service provider shall have the right to appeal to the Board, which shall take a decision without delay by a majority of its voting members. If the information is confirmed, the competent national regulatory authority or body or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism or else the Board shall ask the provider of the very large online platform or very large online search engine to forward the confirmation to the media service provider, indicating its contact details.

Once this confirmation has been received, the media service provider shall be deemed a recognised media service provider.

Or. de

Amendment 996
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Providers of very large online platforms or very large online search

engines may annul the declaration of a recognised media service provider if the media service provider has repeatedly infringed national or European law or if its media services have been frequently suspended or restricted in accordance with the procedure referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article.

A media service provider whose declaration to a provider of a very large online platform or a very large online search engine has been rejected from the outset in accordance with paragraph 1 or annulled after confirmation in accordance with paragraph 1a shall have the possibility to appeal against that decision to the competent regulatory authority or body or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism designated in paragraph 1c or to the Board, which shall take a decision without delay.

Or. de

Amendment 997
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. *A media service provider whose declaration to a provider of very large online platform or search engine pursuant to paragraph 1 has been rejected or invalidated pursuant to paragraph 1b, shall have the possibility to appeal against this decision. An external complaint mechanism shall be guaranteed in each Member State and handled by one or several independent authorities or bodies.*

Or. en

Amendment 998
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. A media service provider whose declaration to a provider of very large online platform or search engine pursuant to paragraph 1 has been rejected or invalidated pursuant to paragraph 1b, shall have the possibility to appeal against this decision. An external complaint mechanism shall be guaranteed in each Member State and handled by one or several independent authorities or bodies.

Or. en

Amendment 999
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. In order to prevent the misuse of the declaration system, a very large online platform or search engines may invalidate the declaration of a media service provider. Such invalidation shall be executed if the media service provider has repeatedly violated the platform's terms and conditions or if its content has been frequently suspended or restricted on the basis of a breach of the terms and conditions following the procedure referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article.

The provider of a very large online platform or search engine shall inform the supervising or regulatory entity about the invalidation of the declaration.

Or. en

Amendment 1000
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. The Board and the national digital services coordinator shall be notified of any rejection of a declaration, annulment or complaints under paragraphs 1 and 1a.

Or. de

Amendment 1001
Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services *in relation* to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, ***to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act],*** to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

2. ***Without prejudice to any of their legal obligations, including Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 [Digital Services Act], in particular the provisions foreseen in Articles 16 and 22 and corresponding recitals, where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend or limit;*** where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services ***inrelation*** to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall ***immediately*** take all possible measures to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of

Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect; ***where the content is provided by a media service provider subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, such as a recognised audiovisual media service provider, as defined in Article 1 Paragraph 1 (a) of the AVMS Directive (2018/1808), the provider of very large online platform shall grant the media service provider the opportunity to complain and engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue in good faith and with a view to finding an amicable solution before the suspension or restriction takes effect.***

Or. en

Amendment 1002
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform ***decides*** to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to ***content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content*** is incompatible with its terms and conditions, ***without that content contributing*** to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], ***it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation***

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform ***or a very large online search engine intends*** to suspend ***or restrict*** the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to a media service ***provided by a recognised media service provider because that media service*** is incompatible with its terms and conditions ***or because it contributes*** to a systemic risk referred to in Article 34 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, ***it shall communicate to the recognised media service provider concerned and the competent regulatory authority or body referred to in paragraph 1(c) or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism, the Board and the national digital services coordinator the clear and specific reasons for that decision and the specific clause in its terms and conditions, as required by***

(EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 **and Article 17(3) of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065**, prior to the suspension **or restriction** taking effect.

The provider of a very large online platform or a very large online search engine shall give the recognised media service provider the opportunity to respond to the statement of reasons within 24 hours prior to the suspension or restriction taking effect and shall not implement the suspension or blocking of the media service within this time window or until the final conclusion of further proceedings.

Or. de

Amendment 1003
Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform ***decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to*** content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, ***on the grounds that such content*** is incompatible with ***its*** terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall ***take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking***

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform ***believes that the*** content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with ***the*** terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, it shall ***notify the media service provider by electronic means, providing comprehensive reasons to explain why it is incompatible, and give it the opportunity to send a reasoned reply within a reasonable time frame. If the media service provider and the online platform do not reach an agreement, the Board shall issue an opinion on the compatibility of the very large online platform's action with freedom of expression and media freedom.***

effect.

No content may be suspended before the media service provider has received that notice. Suspending media services' content has repercussions on pluralism of information and on the media services provider

If a very large online platform provider decides to suspend the provision of online intermediation media services, the online intermediation media service may ask the competent national judicial authorities, on the basis of applicable law, to instruct the very large online platform provider to reinstate the provision of its online intermediation services for the content in question.

Or. fr

Amendment 1004
Isabella Adinolfi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, ***to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act]***, to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of

Amendment

2. ***Without prejudice to any of their legal obligations, including Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 [Digital Services Act], in particular the provisions foreseen in Articles 16 and 22 and corresponding recitals***, where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend ***or restrict*** the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall ***immediately*** take all possible measures to communicate to the

Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect. ***The provider of very large online platforms shall not unduly suspend or restrict content lawfully uploaded by media service providers subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, such as authorised audiovisual media service providers as defined in Article 1 Paragraph 1 (a) of Directive 2018/1808.***

Or. en

Amendment 1005
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall ***take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act],*** to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to ***restrict or*** suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content ***or services*** provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content ***or services*** is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 [Digital Services Act], it shall communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 ***and Article 17(3) of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 [Digital Services Act], and provide the media service provider with an opportunity to reply to the statement of reasons*** prior to the suspension ***or***

restriction taking effect. If the provider of a very large online platform subsequently decides to suspend or restrict content or services, it shall give detailed reasons in writing why it rejects the media service provider's objections at the time the decision takes effect.

Or. en

Amendment 1006

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider **that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article**, on the grounds that such content **is** incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX **[Digital Services Act]**, it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX **[Digital Services Act]**, to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

Amendment

2. **Without prejudice to its obligations pursuant to Articles 24 and 35 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065**, where a provider of very large online platform **or of a very large online search engine** decides to suspend **or otherwise restrict** the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content **or services** provided by a **designated** media service provider **within a meaning of Article 2(2)**, on the grounds that such content **or servicesis** incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 34 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 **and Article 17(3) of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, and provide the media service provider with an opportunity to reply to the statement of reasons**, prior to the suspension **or otherwise restriction** taking effect.

Amendment 1007
Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect. ***If the provider of a very large online platform subsequently decides to suspend or restrict content or services, it shall give detailed reasons in writing why it rejects the media service provider's objections at the time the decision takes effect.***

Or. en

Amendment 1008
Niyazi Kizilyürek, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, ***without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act],*** it shall take all possible measures, ***to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act],*** to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, ***prior to the suspension taking effect.***

2. Where a provider of very large online platform ***or very large search engine*** decides to suspend ***or otherwise restrict*** the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to ***any*** content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, it shall take all possible measures: ***a) to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 and Article 17(3) of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, b) to provide the media service provider with 48 hours to reply to the statement of reasons. The content shall not be suspended or otherwise restricted during the 48 hours period referred to in point (b), unless the content infringes national law of the country of origin and/or EU law, nor will it be suspended before the media service provider has the ability to challenge the prior notice pursuant to paragraph 3 of this article.***

Or. en

Amendment 1009

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions,

2. ***Without prejudice to its obligations pursuant to Articles 34 and 35 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065,*** where a provider of very large online platform ***or very large search engine*** decides to suspend ***or otherwise restrict*** the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to ***any*** content provided by a media

without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, it shall take all possible measures :

a) to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 and Article 17(3) of 32/34 \000000EN.doc EN Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, b) to provide the media service provider with 24 hours to reply to the statement of reasons. The content shall not be suspended or otherwise restricted during the 24 hours period referred to in point (b), unless the content infringes national law of the country of origin and/or EU law.

Or. en

Amendment 1010

Ibán García Del Blanco, João Albuquerque, Marcos Ros Sempere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to *suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation* to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, *without that content contributing to a*

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to *remove or otherwise restrict availability of an access to* content provided by a media service provider that submitted a *recognised* declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, it shall take all possible measures, to the

systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the **suspension** taking effect.

extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act] **and the codes of conduct recognised under its article 45**, to communicate to the media service provider concerned **and the competent regulatory authority or body or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism, the national coordinator for digital services and the Board**, the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 17 of Regulation EU 2019/2065 Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 **and the specific clause in its general terms and conditions**, prior to the **removal or restriction** taking effect.

Or. en

Amendment 1011 **François-Xavier Bellamy**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 17 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform **decides** to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) **2022/XXX [Digital Services Act]**, it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) **2022/XXX [Digital Services Act]**, to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform **considers** to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article **or to restrict the visibility of such content**, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) **2022/2065**, it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) **2022/2065**, to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, **and to provide the media**

suspension taking effect.

service provider with an opportunity to reply to the statement of reasons, within 48 hours, prior to restriction or the suspension taking effect.

Or. en

Amendment 1012
Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend ***or limit*** the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content ***or services*** provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of ***justified and concrete*** reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

Or. en

Amendment 1013
Emmanuel Maurel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, ***without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.***

2. Where a provider of very large online platform ***or search engine*** decides to suspend ***or otherwise restrict*** the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to ***any*** content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, ***it shall take all possible measures : to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 and Article 17(3) of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, to provide the media service provider with 48 hours to reply to the statement of reasons. Within that time frame, content shall not be suspended or restricted, unless it infringes the national law of the country of origin or EU law.***

Or. fr

Amendment 1014

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofò, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible measures, to the extent consistent with

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides ***to restrict and or*** to suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all possible

their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the media service provider concerned the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, prior to the suspension taking effect.

Or. en

Amendment 1015

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to **suspend the provision of its online intermediation services in relation to** content provided by a media service provider **that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article**, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], it shall take all **possible** measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the **media service provider concerned** the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article **4(1)** of Regulation (EU) **2019/1150**, prior to the suspension taking effect.

Amendment

2. Where a provider of very large online platform decides to **remove content, particularly** content provided **posted** by a media service provider **or linking to content under its editorial control**, on the grounds that such content is incompatible with its terms and conditions, without that content **otherwise** contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) **2022/ 2065** [Digital Services Act], it shall take all **reasonable** measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) **2022/ 2065** [Digital Services Act], to communicate to the **entity that posted the content** the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article **4 (17)** of Regulation (EU) **2022 /2065 [Digital Services Act]**, **if possible** prior to the suspension taking effect.

Or. en

Amendment 1016

Ibán García Del Blanco, João Albuquerque, Marcos Ros Sempere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. In the case of that content otherwise contributing to a systemic risk referred to in Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], including disinformation, the provider of very large online platform shall take all reasonable measures, to the extent consistent with their obligations under Union law, including Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act], to communicate the media service provider concerned and the competent regulatory authority or body or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism, the national coordinator for digital services and the Board, the statement of reasons accompanying that decision, as required by Article 17 of Regulation EU 2019/2065 and Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, if possible, prior to the removal or suspension taking effect.

Or. en

Amendment 1017
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.

3. Within this period, the recognised media service provider may demonstrate to the provider of a very large online platform or very large online search engine, with sufficient reasons, that the basis for the intended suspension or restriction has not been clearly identified and/or that the media service complained of is compatible with the terms and conditions.

If the provider of a very large online platform or very large online search engine still considers the media service concerned to be incompatible with its terms and conditions after due consideration of this justification from the recognised media service provider and after the expiry of the 24-hour period, it may refer the case to the competent regulatory authority or body referred to in 1(c) or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism, which shall decide without delay whether the intended suspension or restriction is justified in view of the specific clause in its terms and conditions and in particular taking into account fundamental communicative freedoms.

If the provider of the very large online platform or very large online search engine does not accept this decision, the competent regulatory authority or body or the body of the self- or co-regulatory mechanism shall transmit this case to the Board. The Board shall take a decision within 7 days of having consulted the competent regulatory authority or body to whose jurisdiction the provider of a very large online platform or very large online search engine is subject.

Providers of very large online platforms or very large online search engines shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.

Or. de

Amendment 1018
Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.

Amendment

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 **and/or Article 20 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 [Digital Services Act]** by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and **and no later than 24 hours after submission of the complaint. If the very large online platform fails to adhere to this time limit, it shall reinstate the content or service** without undue delay.

Or. en

Amendment 1019
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.

Amendment

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 **and/or Article 20 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 [Digital Services Act]** by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and **no later than 24 hours after submission of the complaint. If the very large online platform fails to adhere to this time limit, it shall reinstate the content or service** without undue delay.

Or. en

Amendment 1020
Emmanuel Maurel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.

Amendment

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 **and Article 20 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065** by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and ***within a maximum period of 24 hours after submission of the complaint. If the online platform does not adhere to that time limit, the content or service shall be reinstated*** without undue delay.

Or. fr

Amendment 1021
Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.

Amendment

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and ***no later than 48 hours after submission of the complaint. If the very large online platform fails to adhere to this time limit, it shall reinstate the content or service*** without undue delay.

Amendment 1022

Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation**Article 17 – paragraph 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and *without undue delay*.

Amendment

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and *within a reasonable time frame. And if the complaint has not been received, very large platform providers shall reinstate the content that is the subject of the complaint.*

Or. fr

Amendment 1023

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation**Article 17 – paragraph 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 *by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article* are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.

Amendment

3. Providers of very large online platforms *and very large online search engines* shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 *and Article 20 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 by designated* media service are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay. *The media service provider may also be represented by a body as pursuant to Article 86 of Regulation*

Amendment 1024
François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.

Amendment

3. Providers of very large online platforms **or very large search engines** shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.

Amendment 1025
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article **11** of Regulation (EU) **2019/1150** by media service providers **that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and** decided upon **with priority and** without undue delay.

Amendment

3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article **86** of Regulation (EU) **2022 2065 [Digital Services Act] by representative bodies including those representing** media service providers **are** decided upon without undue delay.

Amendment 1026

Ibán García Del Blanco, João Albuquerque, Marcos Ros Sempere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Amendment

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

If no amicable solution is found, the Board shall facilitate the consistent implementation of this Article, in order to ensure that terms and conditions and moderation processes of very large online platforms are acting in a non arbitrary and non discriminatory manner to guarantee the freedom of expression and of information, including media freedom and pluralism of news and information of the recognised media services providers.

Very large online platforms shall ensure that their content moderation processes have adequate and sufficient personnel, including specific type of linguistic and cultural diversity training to deal with media content from recognised media service providers in one or more Member States. To this end, the Board shall be able to request additional documentation to the very large online platform.

Amendment 1027**Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza****Proposal for a regulation****Article 17 – paragraph 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform **frequently** restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Amendment

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content **or services** provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service **providers have always the right to appeal to the court or to the competent National Authority, through the effective and expeditious complaint and redress independent mechanism provided for in paragraph 2. The media service** provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board. **Very large online platform shall notify to the Board the outcome of such dialogue and/or the outcome of such complaint and redress independent mechanism. If no amicable solution is found, the media service provider may lodge a complaint before a certified out-of-court dispute settlement body in accordance with Article 21 of Regulation 2022/2065 without prejudice and in addition to its right to effective judicial protection.**

Amendment 1028

Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Amendment

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content ***or services*** provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board. ***If no amicable solution is found, the media service provider may lodge a complaint before a certified out-of-court dispute settlement body in accordance with Article 21 of Regulation 2022/2065 without prejudice and in addition to its right to effective judicial protection.***

Or. en

Amendment 1029

Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform ***frequently*** restricts or suspends the provision of its

Amendment

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform restricts or suspends the provision of its services in

services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution **for terminating unjustified restrictions** or suspensions **and avoiding them** in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution **within a reasonable timeframe avoiding unjustified restriction** or suspensions in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board. **If no amicable solution is found, the media service provider may lodge a complaint before a certified out-of-court dispute settlement body in accordance with Article 21 of Regulation 2022/2065 without prejudice and in addition to its right to effective judicial protection.**

Or. en

Amendment 1030 **Catherine Griset**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 17 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Amendment

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request **and supervised by the national judiciary authorities responsible for media services**, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of

such exchanges to the Board.

Or. fr

Amendment 1031
François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Amendment

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform **or very large search engine** frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform **or very large search engine** shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 1032
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where **a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers** that a provider of very large online platform frequently

Amendment

4. Where **trends identified under Article 18 suggest** that a very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to

restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content **provided by the** media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, **upon its** request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. **The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.**

content **posted by one or more** media service provider(s) without sufficient grounds **and in a manner that undermines media freedom and pluralism**, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider(s), **upon their** request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future.

Or. en

Amendment 1033 **Petra Kammerevert**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 17 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a media service provider **that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1** considers that a provider of very large online platform **frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds**, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, **upon its request**, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for **terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions** and avoiding **them** in the future. The media service provider **may** notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Amendment

4. Where a **recognised** media service provider considers that a provider of very large online platform **or very large online search engine frequently complains about its media services without sufficient grounds as referred to in paragraph 2**, the provider of very large online platform **or very large online search engine** shall, **at the request of the recognised media service provider**, engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for **the future** and avoiding **complaints** in the future. The media service provider **should** notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board **and the national digital services coordinator**.

Or. de

Amendment 1034

Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Amendment

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content **or a service** provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Or. fr

**Amendment 1035
Isabella Adinolfi**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform **frequently** restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for **terminating**

Amendment

4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for **avoiding** unjustified restrictions or

unjustified restrictions or suspensions **and avoiding them** in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

suspensions in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 1036

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a media service provider **that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1** considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Amendment

4. Where a **designated** media service provider considers that a provider of very large online platform **or of a very large online search engine** frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service provider without sufficient grounds, the provider of very large online platform shall engage in a meaningful and effective dialogue with the media service provider, upon its request, in good faith with a view to finding an amicable solution for terminating unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. The media service provider may notify the outcome of such exchanges to the Board.

Or. en

Amendment 1037

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. The media service provider shall immediately notify the outcome of any meaningful and effective dialogue to the

Board. To this end, the Board shall maintain a transparent, complete, up-to-date, public register documenting all procedures of this nature, including the name of the concerned media service providers and very large online platforms, as well as the number of meetings, meeting documents, and the outcomes of such dialogues.

Or. en

Amendment 1038

Sabine Verheyen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. This provision shall be without prejudice to the right of media service providers to effective judicial protection.

Or. en

Amendment 1039

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4b. Pursuant to the objectives laid out in paragraph 5, the Board shall be able to request additional documentation when it finds that the information provided by very large online platforms in the context of meaningful and effective dialogues is not sufficient or adequate.

Or. en

Amendment 1040
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Providers of very large online platforms shall make publicly available on an annual basis information on:

Amendment

5. Providers of very large online platforms **or very large online search engines** shall make publicly available on an annual basis information on:

Or. de

Amendment 1041
François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Providers of very large online platforms shall make publicly available on an annual basis information on:

Amendment

5. Providers of very large online platforms **or very large search engines** shall make publicly available on an annual basis information on:

Or. en

Amendment 1042
Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the **number** of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Amendment

(a) the **list broken down by media service provider** of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Amendment 1043

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider ***that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article*** is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Amendment

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Or. en

Amendment 1044

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider ***that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article*** is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Amendment

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a ***designated*** media service provider is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Or. en

Amendment 1045

Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Amendment

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content ***or services*** provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Or. en

Amendment 1046

Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Amendment

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content ***or services*** provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Or. en

Amendment 1047

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Amendment

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content ***or service*** provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Amendment 1048
Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; **and**

Amendment

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content **or service** provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions;

Or. fr

Amendment 1049
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the **content** provided by a media service provider **that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is** incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Amendment

(a) the number of instances where they **intended to impose or** imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the **media services** provided by a **recognised** media service provider **are** incompatible with their terms and conditions; and

Or. de

Amendment 1050
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the grounds *for imposing such restrictions*.

Amendment

(b) the grounds, *including the specific clause in the terms and conditions*.

Or. de

Amendment 1051

François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the grounds for imposing such restrictions.

Amendment

(b) the grounds for imposing such restrictions *or suspensions*.

Or. en

Amendment 1052

Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the grounds for imposing *such* restrictions.

Amendment

(b) the *specific, substantiated and detailed* grounds for imposing restrictions.

Or. fr

Amendment 1053

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. The Commission shall adopt a delegated act, pursuant to Article 290 of

the TFEU, in order to establish a transparent mechanism for the designation of editorially independent media service providers in an inclusive, non-discriminatory and objective matter. The delegated act should be adopted in a way that the Board, with the assistance of its Advisory Group, shall, after consultation in a structured dialogue with relevant stakeholders and self-regulatory media bodies, provide clear and non-discriminatory criteria and procedures for the assessment upon which media service providers will be designated. Media service providers to be designated shall be:

Or. en

Amendment 1054

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5b. media service provider within the meaning of Article 2(2);

Or. en

Amendment 1055

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5c. editorially independent from Member States, political parties and third countries;

Amendment 1056

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5d. subjected to the supervision of an independent regulatory authority or body for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, and/or adheres to a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards, widely recognised and accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 1057

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5e. adhering to ethical and professional standards of journalism;

Or. en

Amendment 1058

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5f. *it does not provide content generated by an artificial intelligence system as defined by Article 3(1) of Regulation (EU) 2023/XXX [AI Act] without human overview and editorial control over such content;*

Or. en

Amendment 1059

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 5 g (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5g. *included in one or more publicly available registries, databases or lists published by an entity which can confirm the adherence of designated media service providers to the regulations and/or codes of practices or any other self or co-regulatory bodies.*

Or. en

Amendment 1060

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. *With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission may issue guidelines to establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1061

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission *may issue* guidelines to establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1.

Amendment

6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission ***shall adopt an implementing act that issues*** guidelines to establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1, ***including modalities of involvement of relevant civil society organisations in the review of the declarations, in consultation with the relevant independent authority or body of the country of establishment, where relevant, and address any potential abuse of the functionality***

Or. en

Amendment 1062

Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission *may issue* guidelines to establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1.

Amendment

6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission ***shall adopt an implementing act that issues*** guidelines to establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1, ***as well as the modalities for implementing the requirements in paragraph 1a and 1b.***

Or. en

Amendment 1063

Tomasz Frankowski, Loucas Fourlas, Peter Pollák, Michaela Šojdrová, Maria Walsh

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission **may** issue guidelines to establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1.

Amendment

6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission **shall** issue guidelines to establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1064

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission **may** issue guidelines to ***establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1.***

Amendment

6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission **shall** issue guidelines to ***facilitate the effective application of this Article.***

Or. en

Amendment 1065

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 6 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. Providers of very large online platforms and very large online search engines shall be subject to the application of the provisions of this Article only if providing access to news and current affairs information and face obligations

only to those media service providers that are designated.

Or. en

Amendment 1066

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 6 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6b. Providers of very large online platforms and providers of very large online search engines shall provide the Board with all the necessary information, when requested, for the purpose of the involvement of the Board pursuant to paragraph 4.

Or. en

Amendment 1067

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 6 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6c. The very large online platforms and very large online search engines shall establish a transparent and accountable process for reporting on their compliance with the Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 1068

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 6 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6d. Media service providers, adhering to criteria pursuant to this Article and mechanism established through a delegated act, and designated by the Board shall transmit information to the national Digital Services Coordinators and European Board for Digital Services established under Regulation (EU)2022/2065.

Or. en

Amendment 1069

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Structured *dialogue*

Structured **Forum**

Or. en

Amendment 1070

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, **providers of very large online search engines**, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms **and very large online search**

society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference.

engines and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference.

The structured dialogue should also address risks based on the design or functioning of very large online platforms or very large online search engines, as well as their respective recommendation systems, including actual or potential negative impacts on fundamental rights, social discourse, media freedom or media pluralism.

Or. de

Amendment 1071

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, *to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference.*

Amendment

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms *and providers of very large online search engines*, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, *in order to:*

Or. en

Amendment 1072

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured **dialogue** between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, **to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference.**

Amendment

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured **Forum** between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, **in particular, to identify numbers and trends related to the subject matter, volume, and affected parties.**

Or. en

Amendment 1073

Ibán García Del Blanco, João Albuquerque, Marcos Ros Sempere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference.

Amendment

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society, **including representatives of the fact-checking organisations**, to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference.

Amendment 1074
François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference.

Amendment

1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms **and very large search engines**, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to discuss experience and best practices in the application of Article 17 of this Regulation, to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms, **and very large search engines**, and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference.

Or. en

Amendment 1075
Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms and very large online search engines;

Or. en

Amendment 1076

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 1 – point b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) monitor adherence to self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference;

Or. en

Amendment 1077

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 1 – point c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) examine the impact of content moderation processes and decisions by providers of very large online platforms and providers of very large online search engines on the freedom and pluralism of media.

Or. en

Amendment 1078

Ibán García Del Blanco, João Albuquerque, Marcos Ros Sempere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The Board shall report on the results of the dialogue to the Commission.

2. The Board shall report on the results of the dialogue to the Commission **and make them publicly available.**

Or. en

Amendment 1079

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Board shall report on the results of the dialogue to the Commission.

Amendment

2. The Board shall report on the results of the dialogue to the Commission **and the European Parliament.**

Or. en

Amendment 1080

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Board shall report on the results of the *dialogue* to the Commission.

Amendment

2. The Board shall report on the results of the **Forum** to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1081

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Right of customisation of **audiovisual** media offer

Amendment

Right of customisation of media offer

Or. en

Amendment 1082

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Users shall have a right to easily **change** the default settings of any device or user interface controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not **affect** national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. Users shall have a right to **a functionality allowing them to easily customise** the default **layout or** settings of any device or user interface controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not **lead to the circumvention of** national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU. **This right does not apply to audiovisual media services regarding users' content choices.**

Or. en

Amendment 1083
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the **default settings of any device or** user interface controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the **configuration of audiovisual media services or of applications allowing users to access such services, on a user interface or on devices, including remote controls,** controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article **7a and 7b** of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. de

Amendment 1084

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device or user interface controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device or user interface controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services, ***including through the use of third party recommender systems, as defined in article 3 of Regulation 2022/2065***, in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. en

Amendment 1085

Massimiliano Smeriglio, Sylvie Guillaume

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device ***or*** user interface controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device, user interface ***and remote control*** controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. en

Amendment 1086

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device **or** user interface controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device, user interface **and remote control** controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. en

Amendment 1087

Isabella Adinolfi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device **or** user interface **controlling** or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device, user interface **and remote control enabling** or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. en

Amendment 1088
François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device or user interface controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Amendment

1. Users shall have a right to easily change the default settings of any device or user interface controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services in order to customise the audiovisual media offer according to their interests or preferences in compliance with the law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing, *inter alia*, Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU.

Or. en

Amendment 1089
François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When placing the devices and user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Amendment

2. When placing the devices and user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ***ensure cultural diversity and a pluralistic media environment. Therefore, they shall not favor any video on demand services but give appropriate prominence to audiovisual and audio media services of general interest, by placing them prominently at the first selection level on these devices or user interfaces and ensuring access through a single action by the user. Manufacturers and developers shall also*** ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Amendment 1090
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***When placing the devices and*** user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 ***on the market, manufacturers and developers*** shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change ***the default settings*** controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Amendment

2. ***Any person who places on the market*** user interfaces, ***devices or remote controls as*** referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change, ***at any time, the configuration of audiovisual media services or of applications allowing users to access such services*** controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered. ***The provisions of Article 25 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 shall apply accordingly.***

Or. de

Amendment 1091
Massimiliano Smeriglio, Sylvie Guillaume

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When placing the devices and user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Amendment

2. When placing the devices, ***remote controls*** and user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Or. en

Amendment 1092

Chiara Gemma, Vincenzo Sofo, Carlo Fidanza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When placing the devices and user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Amendment

2. When placing the devices, **remote controls** and user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Or. en

Amendment 1093

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When placing the devices and user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Amendment

2. When placing the devices and user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default **layout or** settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Or. en

Amendment 1094

Isabella Adinolfi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When placing the devices **and** user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Amendment

2. When placing the devices, user interfaces **and remote control** referred to in paragraph 1 on the market, manufacturers and developers shall ensure that they include a functionality enabling users to freely and easily change the default settings controlling or managing access to and use of the audiovisual media services offered.

Or. en

Amendment 1095
Isabella Adinolfi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. In order to ensure fair access to audiovisual media services, from the perspective of both viewers and media service providers, and to ensure full respect of rights of persons with disabilities, numeric pads to access logical channel numbers should be present on remote controls, in compliance with Art. 30 UNCRPD^{2b} and other relevant obligations concerning rights of persons with disabilities

^{2b} UN General Assembly, Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities : resolution / adopted by the General Assembly, 24 January 2007, A/RES/61/106

Or. en

Amendment 1096
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. *Any person operating the devices or user interfaces referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall ensure that the identity of the media service provider who has editorial responsibility for a media service is consistently and clearly visible and identifiable.*

Or. de

Amendment 1097

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. *Device manufacturers and user interface developers should ensure that the default settings of their products, before customisation by the user, display a variety of audiovisual media services of general interest.*

Or. en

Amendment 1098

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. *This Regulation, Directives 2010/23/EU, 2000/31/EC and Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 shall not affect the competence of Member States to take and enforce measures ensuring the*

appropriate prominence of audiovisual and audio media services of general interest, and shall be without effect to existing prominence measures.

Or. en

Amendment 1099
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 19a

Right to identify the provider of a media service

1. Recipients of media services shall have a right to easily identify the media service provider on any device or user interface controlling or managing access to and use of media services.

2. Manufacturers of devices and providers of user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of media services shall ensure that the identity of the media service provider bearing the editorial responsibility for the content or services is clearly visible alongside the content and services offered.

Or. en

Amendment 1100
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 19a

Right to identify the content of a media

service

1. Recipients of media services shall have a right to easily identify the media service provider on any device or user interface controlling or managing access to and use of media services.

2. Manufacturers of devices and providers of user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of media services shall ensure that the identity of the media service provider bearing the editorial responsibility for the content or services is clearly visible alongside the content and services offered

Or. en

**Amendment 1101
Morten Løkkegaard**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article19a

Right to identify the provider of a media service

1. Recipients of media services shall have a right to easily identify the media service provider on any device or user interface controlling or managing access to and use of media services. 2. Manufacturers of devices and providers of user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of media services shall ensure that the identity of the media service provider bearing the editorial responsibility for the content or services is clearly visible alongside the content and services offered.

Or. en

Amendment 1102
Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 19 a

Right to be able to identify the provider of a media service

Media service recipients must be able to easily identify media service providers. Data communicated by media service providers, such as media logos, journalist names and html links to original sources, must remain visible alongside content.

Or. fr

Amendment 1103
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

National measures affecting the operation of media service providers

National measures affecting the ***provision and*** operation of media service providers

Or. en

Amendment 1104
Emmanuel Maurel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect ***the operation of*** media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect ***media pluralism, editorial independence, activities linked to the news and media***

proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

programmes run by media service providers in the internal market *or which is likely to affect fundamental freedoms as defined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union* shall be *non-discriminatory*, duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory. *This article does not apply when a national measure is also governed by State aid rules and national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.*

Or. fr

Amendment 1105
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect *the operation* of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect *cross-border operations of media services for news and current affairs* of media service providers in the internal market *or that is likely to affect fundamental freedoms as defined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union* shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory. *This Article shall not apply in cases where the measure is otherwise governed by State aid rules and the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.*

Or. en

Amendment 1106
Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect ***the operation*** of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect ***media pluralism and editorial independence*** of media service providers in the internal market, ***and therefore to affect the access to a plurality of views and to reliable sources of information in every language by citizens and business alike*** shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, ***adequate***, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Or. en

Amendment 1107

Monica Semedo, Anna Júlia Donáth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the operation of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified ***and*** proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State, ***including those taken to implement EU legislative acts***, that is liable to affect the ***provision of media services or*** operation of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly ***and objectively*** justified, proportionate ***and minimise disruptions on the operation of media service providers***. Such measures shall be ***adequate***, reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Or. en

Amendment 1108

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the operation of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the operation of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly ***and objectively*** justified and proportionate. ***Any*** such measures ***shall not unjustifiably infringe on the right of media service providers to provide service and minimise disruptions in their operations,*** and shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Or. en

Amendment 1109
Isabella Adinolfi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the operation of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the ***provision of media services or*** operation of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly ***and objectively*** justified and proportionate, ***while minimising disruptions to the operations of media service providers.*** Such measures shall be ***adequate,*** reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Or. en

Amendment 1110
Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the operation of media service providers in the internal **market shall** be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State, **including, but not limited to, the implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU** that is liable to affect the **provision of media services or** operation of media service providers in the internal **marketshall** be duly justified, and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Or. en

Amendment 1111 Theodoros Zagorakis

Proposal for a regulation Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the operation of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective **and** non-discriminatory.

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the operation of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective, non-discriminatory, **and not hinder fair competition in the internal market.**

Or. en

Amendment 1112 François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect ***the operation*** of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect ***transborder operations of news and current affairs programs*** of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Or. en

Amendment 1113

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the operation of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.

Amendment

1. Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to ***negatively*** affect the ***provision or*** operation of media service providers ***or media pluralism*** in the internal market, shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory

Or. en

Amendment 1114

Laurence Farreng, Irena Joveva, Ilana Cicurel, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. This article does not apply to national measures implementing Directive 2010/13/EU, taken pursuant to Article 167 TFEU and for the purpose of cultural diversity, nor where the national measure is otherwise governed by State aid rules.

Amendment 1115

Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Any national procedure used for the purposes of the preparation or the adoption of a regulatory or administrative measure as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be subject to clear timeframes set out in advance. *deleted*

Amendment 1116

Emmanuel Maurel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Any national procedure used for the purposes of the preparation or the adoption of a regulatory or administrative measure as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be subject to clear timeframes set out in advance. *deleted*

Amendment 1117

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Any national procedure used for the purposes of the preparation or the adoption of a regulatory or administrative measure as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be subject to clear timeframes set out in advance.

2. Any national procedure used for the purposes of the preparation or the adoption of a regulatory or administrative measure as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be subject to clear timeframes set out in advance ***to provide sufficient time to reflect on such measures and their consequences, and allow media service providers directly affected to provide feedback on such measures.***

Or. en

Amendment 1118

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States may seek assistance from and rely on the expertise of the Advisory Group in preparation of the legislative, regulatory or administrative measures referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 1119

Emmanuel Maurel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Without prejudice and in addition to its right to effective judicial protection, any media service provider subject to an administrative or regulatory measure referred to in paragraph 1 that concerns it individually and directly shall have the right to appeal against that measure to an

deleted

appellate body. That body shall be independent of the parties involved and of any external intervention or political pressure liable to jeopardise its independent assessment of matters coming before it. It shall have the appropriate expertise to enable it to carry out its functions effectively.

Or. fr

Amendment 1120
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. *Without prejudice and in addition to its right to effective judicial protection, any media service provider subject to an administrative or regulatory measure referred to in paragraph 1 that concerns it individually and directly shall have the right to appeal against that measure to an appellate body. That body shall be independent of the parties involved and of any external intervention or political pressure liable to jeopardise its independent assessment of matters coming before it. It shall have the appropriate expertise to enable it to carry out its functions effectively.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1121
Emmanuel Maurel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *The Board, upon request of the*

deleted

Commission, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Or. fr

Amendment 1122
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Board, upon request of the Commission, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1123
Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Board, upon request of the

4. The Board, *following a*

Commission, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission **may** issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

recommendation by the Advisory Group, on its own initiative or upon request of the Commission, European Parliament or media service provider considered to be directly affected by such measures, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services ***or impacting media pluralism within Member State or editorial freedom of media service providers***. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission **shall** issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Or. en

Amendment 1124 **Catherine Griset**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 20 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board, ***upon request of the Commission***, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. ***Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter.*** Opinions by the Board ***and, where applicable, by the Commission*** shall be made publicly available.

Amendment

4. The Board shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Opinions by the Board shall be made publicly available.

Or. fr

Amendment 1125

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board, upon request of the Commission, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, **where applicable**, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment

4. The Board, **either on its own initiative or** upon request of the Commission **or the media service provider affected by the measure**, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Or. en

Amendment 1126
Theodoros Zagorakis

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board, upon request of the Commission, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment

4. The Board, upon request of the Commission **or a media service provider affected individually by a measure**, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Or. en

Amendment 1127
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board, upon request of the Commission, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment

4. The Board, upon ***its own initiative*** ***or*** request of the Commission, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Or. de

Amendment 1128
Tomasz Frankowski, Loucas Fourlas, Peter Pollák, Michaela Šojdrová, Maria Walsh

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board, upon request of the Commission, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment

4. The Board ***upon its own initiative*** ***or*** upon request of the Commission shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment 1129
François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board, ***upon request of the Commission, shall*** draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment

4. The Board ***may*** draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment 1130
Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Board, ***upon request of the Commission, shall*** draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment

4. The Board shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment 1131**Monica Semedo, Anna Júlia Donáth****Proposal for a regulation****Article 20 – paragraph 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

4. The Board, ***upon request of the Commission***, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment

4. The Board shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment 1132**Rob Rooker****Proposal for a regulation****Article 20 – paragraph 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

4. The Board, ***upon request of the Commission***, shall draw up an opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment

4. The Board shall draw up an ***own-initiative*** opinion where a national legislative, regulatory or administrative measure is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.

Amendment 1133
Emmanuel Maurel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. *Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.* **deleted**

Or. fr

Amendment 1134
Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. *Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the* **deleted**

summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 1135
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, **where applicable**, the views of other authorities concerned.

Amendment

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, **the timeline set out**, and the views of other authorities concerned. **The Board shall provide recommendations addressed to the national authority or body adopting the measure with the aim to ensure that such measure is not having a significant impact on media pluralism. The national authority or body shall make every effort to comply with the recommendations from the Board. In particular, it shall inform the Board how it intends to comply with them and the timeline foreseen. In the event that a national authority or body does not comply or does not intend to comply, it shall inform the Board, stating its reasons. National authorities or bodies shall always publish and reason their decisions including rejection of complaints submitted to them. The recommendations from the Board and the**

follow-up from the national authority or body shall also be made publicly available.

Or. en

Amendment 1136

Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

Amendment

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned. ***The Board, upon request by a media service provider individually and directly affected by a measure or by the Commission, shall draw up an opinion on the measure.***

Or. en

Amendment 1137

Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is

Amendment

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is

likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned. ***The Board, upon request by a media service provider individually and directly affected by a measure or by the Commission, shall draw up an opinion on the measure.***

Or. en

Amendment 1138

Tomasz Frankowski, Loucas Fourlas, Peter Pollák, Michaela Šojdrová, Maria Walsh

Proposal for a regulation Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

Amendment

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned. ***Media service providers considering to be directly affected by such measures shall be able to request the Board to issue an opinion on that measure.***

Or. en

Amendment 1139

Michaela Šojdrová, Radan Kanev, Peter Pollák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

Amendment

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned. ***Media service providers considering to be directly affected by such measures shall be able to request the Board to issue an opinion.***

Or. en

Amendment 1140

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects ***individually and directly*** a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where

Amendment

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services ***or media pluralism within a Member State or editorial freedom of media service provider***, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the

applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 1141
François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

Amendment

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly ***operations of news and current affairs programs of*** a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 1142
Andrea Bocskor

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is ***likely to*** affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall

Amendment

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media service provider and is ***directly and significantly*** affect the functioning of the internal market for

communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 1143
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects ***individually and*** directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities concerned.

Amendment

5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects directly a media service provider and is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, it shall communicate, at the request of the Board, and where applicable, of the Commission, without undue delay and by electronic means, any relevant information, including the summary of the facts, its measure, the grounds on which the national authority or body has based its measure, and, where applicable, the views of other authorities ***or bodies*** concerned.

Or. de

Amendment 1144
Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

21 Assessment of ***media market***

Amendment

21 Assessment of concentrations ***that***

concentrations

could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence

Or. en

Amendment 1145

François-Xavier Bellamy

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Assessment of media market concentrations

Assessment of media market concentrations *that could have a significant impact on media pluralism*

Or. en

Amendment 1146

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall provide, in their national legal systems, substantive and procedural rules which ensure *an assessment of media market* concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:

Member States shall provide, in their national legal systems, substantive and procedural rules which ensure *the conducting of ex-ante and ex-post quality assessments of* concentrations *affecting the media market* that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:

Or. en

Amendment 1147

Tomasz Frankowski, Loucas Furlas, Peter Pollák, Michaela Šojdrová, Maria Walsh

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall **provide**, in their national legal systems, substantive and procedural rules which ensure an assessment of media market concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:

Member States shall **lay down**, in their national legal systems, substantive and procedural rules which ensure an assessment of media market concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:

Or. en

Amendment 1148
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall provide, in their national legal systems, substantive and procedural rules which ensure an assessment of media market concentrations that could **have a significant impact on** media pluralism **and** editorial independence. These rules shall:

Member States shall provide, in their national legal systems, substantive and procedural rules which ensure an assessment of media market concentrations that could **affect** media pluralism **or** editorial independence. These rules shall:

Or. de

Amendment 1149
Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall provide, in their national **legal systems**, substantive and procedural rules which **ensure** an assessment of media market concentrations that could have **a significant** impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:

Member States shall provide, in their national **law**, substantive and procedural rules which **allow for** an assessment of media market concentrations that could have **an** impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:

Amendment 1150

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) require the parties to a **media market** concentration that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies;

Amendment

(b) require the parties to a concentration **affecting the media market** that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies;

Or. en

Amendment 1151

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) require the parties to a media market concentration that could have **a significant** impact on media pluralism and editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies;

Amendment

(b) require the parties to a media market concentration that could have **an** impact on media pluralism and editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies;

Or. en

Amendment 1152

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) require the parties to a media market concentration that could **have a significant impact on** media pluralism **and** editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies;

(b) require the parties to a media market concentration that could **affect** media pluralism **or** editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies;

Or. de

Amendment 1153
Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) designate the national **regulatory** authority or body as responsible for the assessment of the impact of a notifiable concentration on media pluralism and editorial independence **or ensure the involvement of the national regulatory authority or body in such assessment;**

(c) designate the national **competition** authority or **national regulatory** body as responsible for the assessment of the impact of a notifiable concentration on media pluralism and editorial independence;

Or. fr

Amendment 1154
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) designate the national regulatory authority or body as responsible for the assessment of the impact of a notifiable concentration on media pluralism **and** editorial independence **or ensure the involvement of the national regulatory authority or body in such assessment;**

(c) designate the national regulatory authority or body as responsible for the assessment of the impact of a notifiable concentration on media pluralism **or** editorial independence **or provide for the substantial involvement of the national regulatory authority or body in such assessment;**

Amendment 1155

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) designate *the* national regulatory *authority or body* as responsible for the assessment of *the impact of a notifiable* concentration on media pluralism and editorial independence or ensure the involvement of the national regulatory authority or body in such assessment;

Amendment

(c) designate *one or several* national regulatory *authorities or bodies* as responsible for the assessment of *media market* concentration *and its impact* on media pluralism and editorial independence or ensure the involvement of the national regulatory authority or body in such assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 1156

Andrey Slabakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) set out rules for the national regulatory authority or body designated under point (c) to consult other national regulatory authorities or bodies of the Member State that may contribute to the assessment of a media concentration, where such consultation is deemed necessary under national law;

Or. en

Amendment 1157

Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for ***notifying media market concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence and for*** assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence.

Amendment

(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence.

Or. fr

Amendment 1158

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying media market concentrations ***that could have a significant*** impact on media pluralism and editorial independence ***and for assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence.***

Amendment

(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory, ***inclusive*** and proportionate criteria for notifying ***such*** media market concentrations ***and for assessing the*** impact on media pluralism and editorial independence.

Or. en

Amendment 1159

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria

Amendment

(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria

for notifying **media market** concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence and for assessing the impact of **media market** concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence.

for notifying concentrations **affecting the media market** that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence and for assessing the impact of **such** concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence.

Or. en

Amendment 1160
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying media market concentrations that could **have a significant** impact **on** media pluralism **and** editorial independence and for assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism **and** editorial independence.

Amendment

(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying media market concentrations that could impact media pluralism **or** editorial independence and for assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism **or** editorial independence.

Or. de

Amendment 1161
Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) specify in advance a reasonable period of time by which the evaluation shall be completed to be observed by the authority or body conducting the procedure, taking into account the period of time required for the involvement of the Board and/or the Commission in accordance with paragraphs 4 and 5. Furthermore, the legal consequence of not having completed the assessment by

the end of that period shall be specified.

Or. de

Amendment 1162

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) incorporate the guidelines and recommendations issued by the Board to conduct assessments regarding concentrations affecting the media market and to establish a follow-up system.

Or. en

Amendment 1163

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The assessment referred to in this paragraph shall be distinct from the competition law assessments including those provided for under merger control rules. It shall be without prejudice to Article 21(4) of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004, where applicable.

The assessment referred to in this paragraph shall be distinct from the competition law assessments including those provided for under merger control rules. It shall ***entail consultation in a structured dialogue organised by the Advisory Group with civil society, relevant stakeholders, such as media experts and journalistic associations, as well as other self-regulatory media bodies or non-governmental organisations. The Advisory Group shall report on the results of a consultation in structured dialogue and on the conformity of procedural rules applied with this Regulation. It shall be*** without prejudice to Article 21(4) of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004, where

applicable.

Or. en

Amendment 1164

Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism, including its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of media players on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;

Amendment

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism ***at European, national and regional level***, including its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of media players on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses; ***those effects may be positive for the freedom of the press and the plurality of opinion, since concentration makes it possible to keep publishing some media, in particular the regional press, which is very influential in the formation of public opinion.***

Or. fr

Amendment 1165

Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism, including its effects on ***the formation of public opinion*** and on the diversity of media players on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;

Amendment

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism, including ***a risk assessment, referred to in Article 12 (g), to identify, analyze and assess any systemic risks to media freedom and pluralism in the particular Member State, and its effects on media markets, outlets, and programmes*** and on the diversity of media

players on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;

Or. en

Amendment 1166
Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism, including its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of media players on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;

Amendment

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism, including its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of media players on the market, ***focusing on activities related to the provision of information***, taking into account the online environment, ***the important role of public service media providers*** and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;

Or. en

Amendment 1167

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism, ***including*** its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of media players on the market, ***taking into account*** the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;

Amendment

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism, its effects on the formation of public opinion, and on the diversity of media players on the market, ***including considering the impact of*** the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;

Amendment 1168

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism, including its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of *media* players on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;

Amendment

(a) the impact of the concentration on media pluralism, including its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of players on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;

Or. en

Amendment 1169

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) the results of the risk assessment made by the annual Commission Rule of Law Report and its country-specific reports, as well as instruments such as the Media Pluralism Monitor to identify, analyse and assess any systemic risks to media freedom and media pluralism in the particular Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 1170

Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Morten Løkkegaard, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) adherence by media service providers to ethical and professional standards, including co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanisms governing editorial standards;

Or. en

Amendment 1171

Catherine Griset

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 1172

Diana Riba i Giner, Daniel Freund, Marcel Kolaja

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial

decisions;

decisions, *as well as national legislation and self-regulatory norms in this regard*;

Or. en

Amendment 1173
Morten Løkkegaard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions;

Amendment

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions, *and taking into account national media laws and self-regulation*;

Or. en

Amendment 1174
Irena Joveva, Laurence Farreng, Ilana Cicurel, Ramona Strugariu, Salima Yenbou

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) *the* safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers *taken* with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions;

Amendment

(b) *editorial independence and existing* safeguards for editorial independence *of media service providers*, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures *taken* by media service providers with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 1175

Tomasz Frankowski, Loucas Fourlas, Peter Pollák, Michaela Šojdrová, Maria Walsh

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the *functioning of the* editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions;

Amendment

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the *independence of* editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 1176

Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of *individual* editorial decisions;

Amendment

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of editorial decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 1177

Petra Kammerevert

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of *individual* editorial decisions;

(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of editorial decisions;

Or. de