



2021/2188(INI)

10.12.2021

AMENDMENTS

1 - 88

Draft opinion
Caroline Roose
(PE700.480v01-00)

Toward a sustainable blue economy in the EU: the role of the fisheries and aquaculture sectors
(2021/2188(INI))

Amendment 1
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1. Whereas nearly one billion people, largely in developing countries, rely on fish and seafood as their primary source of animal protein; whereas small-scale fisheries account for more than 90% of the world's capture fishers and fish workers;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 a. Whereas blue growth is focused on highly capital-intensive projects, with limited benefits for most coastal communities in developing countries;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 b. Whereas the reliance on private finance and the expansion of

international financialisation for blue growth and related marine conservation projects sometimes push democratic decision making on natural resources away from the local level;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 c. Whereas increased competition for maritime space between blue economy sectors can undermine livelihoods of coastal communities and Small-Scale Fisheries, notably women; whereas the blue economy should respond to a human rights-based approach;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 d. Whereas over-exploitation, pollution and climate change are causing a serious loss of marine biodiversity which is key for fisheries and coastal communities;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 e. Whereas Sustainable Fisheries Policy Agreements (SFPA) must guarantee appropriate and effective use, access and control of fisheries stocks management by small-scale fishers ;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 f. Whereas the overexploitation of fish stocks, which leads to a lack of available resources for local people, including young people, can contribute to migration;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 g. Whereas bottom trawling represents the most widespread source of human-induced physical disturbance to

global seabed habitats; in particular, whereas recent estimates suggest that bottom trawling may release as much CO₂ as the entire aviation industry by disturbing seabed sediments that are critical reservoir for long-term carbon storage, while contributing to ocean acidification and undermining marine productivity and biodiversity;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 h (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 h. Whereas climate change increasingly changes the distribution and migratory patterns of various fish species and affects small-scale fisheries in developing countries that are more vulnerable to its effects;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 i (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 i. Whereas the IPCC Special report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate gives evidence of the benefits of combining scientific with local and indigenous knowledge to enforce resilience;

Amendment 11
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 j (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 j. Whereas the illegal, unregulated and unreported (IUU) fishing is a one of the greatest threats to sustainable exploitation of fish population; whereas tax evasion and corruption facilitate IUU fishing which often coincides with human rights abuses, including human trafficking and modern slavery;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 k (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1 k. Whereas the EU is a major market for West African Fish Meal and Fish Oil (FMFO);

Or. en

Amendment 13
Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Recalls the critical role of **small-scale** fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other **emerging** sectors of the blue economy;

1. Recalls the critical role of fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other sectors of the blue economy;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Marlene Mortler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the critical role of **small-scale** fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other **emerging** sectors of the blue economy;

Amendment

1. Recalls the critical role of fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other sectors of the blue economy;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Catherine Chabaud

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the critical role of small-scale fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other emerging sectors of the blue economy;

Amendment

1. Recalls the critical role of small-scale fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the **importance of timely and inclusive planning in order to avoid** potential conflicts between fisheries and other emerging sectors of the blue economy;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the critical role of small-scale fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other emerging sectors of the blue economy;

Amendment

1. Recalls the critical role of small-scale ***and artisanal*** fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other emerging sectors of the blue economy;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Beata Kempa, Ryszard Czarnecki
on behalf of the ECR Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the critical role of small-scale fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other emerging sectors of the blue economy;

Amendment

1. Recalls the critical role of small-scale fisheries in food security and nutrition; ***underlines its support for the sustainable development of small-scale fisheries***; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other emerging sectors of the blue economy;

Or. pl

Amendment 18
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls the critical role of small-scale fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other emerging

Amendment

1. Recalls the critical role of small-scale fisheries in food security and nutrition; notes the potential conflicts between fisheries and other emerging

sectors of the blue economy;

sectors of the blue economy; ***urges the EU to address them through transparent conflict resolution mechanisms; to this end, stresses the need to promote participatory maritime spatial planning, the creation of stakeholders' forums of dialogue, the strict implementation of the precautionary approach, not limited to deep-sea mining, but expanded to the development of new blue sectors;***

Or. en

Amendment 19
Catherine Chabaud

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 bis. Highlights the importance of promoting sustainable aquaculture and fisheries without impact on the environment, by taking into account the contribution of stakeholders for the knowledge and preservation of marine and coastal systems;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Beata Kempa, Ryszard Czarnecki
on behalf of the ECR Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Stresses the importance of the fisheries and aquaculture sectors responsible for the supply of proteins, which are essential for food security;

Or. pl

Amendment 21
Catherine Chabaud

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the **need to** implement an integrated ecosystem-based approach to all sectors of the blue economy, including extractive industries;

Amendment

2. **Considering that the ocean is one, global and continuous, that its good ecological status as climate regulator is essential;** stresses the **individual and collective responsibility to preserve it and** implement an integrated ecosystem-based approach to all sectors of the blue economy, including extractive industries;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the need to implement an integrated ecosystem-based approach to all sectors of the blue economy, including extractive industries;

Amendment

2. Stresses the need to implement an integrated ecosystem-based approach to all sectors of the blue economy, including extractive industries, **and to ensure that support policies do not encourage overfishing, illegal, unregulated and unreported fishing, or other fishing practices that destroy ocean ecosystems and compromise the sustainability of resources; insists on the need to eliminate harmful fisheries subsidies worldwide and guarantee the environmental and social harmless of publicly subsidised distant fleets;**

Or. en

Amendment 23
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the need to implement an integrated ecosystem-based approach to all sectors of the blue economy, including extractive industries;

Amendment

2. Stresses the need to implement an integrated ecosystem-based approach to all sectors of the blue economy, including extractive industries; ***in particular, urges the EU and partner countries to adopt science-based Maximum Sustainable Yield-based targets for management of all stocks; to enforce effective monitoring, control and surveillance;***

Or. en

Amendment 24
Beata Kempa, Ryszard Czarnecki
on behalf of the ECR Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Welcomes the new EU strategy for a sustainable blue economy; regrets the lack of specific targets for fisheries and aquaculture;

Or. pl

Amendment 25
Carlos Zorrinho

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Underlines the need for a holistic

approach to the potential impacts of coastal preservation and restoration as well as the need to minimize environmental impacts of fishing on marine habitats;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Considers that deterring illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing requires stronger harmonized sanctions across EU Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Marlene Mortler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility in global fisheries governance, which should **prioritise** the rights of small-scale fishers and **protect** their use of and control over fishing resources;

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility in global fisheries governance, which should **be to create a level playing field among all operators, taking also into account** the rights of small-scale fishers and **protecting** their use of and control over fishing resources;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility in global fisheries governance, which should **prioritise** the rights of small-scale fishers and **protect** their use of and control over fishing resources;

Amendment

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility in global fisheries governance, which should **be to create a level playing field among all operators, taking also into account** the rights of small-scale fishers and **protecting** their use of and control over fishing resources;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Catherine Chabaud

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility in global fisheries governance, which should prioritise the **rights of** small-scale fishers and **protect their use of and control over fishing resources;**

Amendment

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility **and important role** in global fisheries governance, which should prioritise the **sustainable management of natural resources including fisheries resources; highlights in this regard the importance of ensuring a sustainable development of the fishing sector including small-scale fishers sector**

Or. en

Amendment 30
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility in global fisheries governance, which should prioritise the rights of small-scale fishers

Amendment

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility in global fisheries governance, which should prioritise the rights of small-scale **and**

and protect their use of and control over fishing resources;

artisanal fishers and protect their use of and control over fishing resources; ***stresses that small-scale fisheries in developing countries should have priority access to fishing resources and that EU vessels should be kept away from resources and fishing areas that small-scale and artisanal fishers depend on for their livelihoods; underlines the need to involve coastal communities in fisheries management schemes and in the development of alternative income sources for fishers to effectively reduce overfishing;***

Or. en

Amendment 31

Caroline Roose

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility in global fisheries governance, which should prioritise the rights of small-scale fishers and protect their use of and control over fishing resources;

Amendment

3. Recalls the EU's responsibility in global fisheries governance, which should prioritise the rights of small-scale fishers and protect their ***access to***, use of and control over fishing resources; ***to this end, urges the EU to scale up its financial support for the development of the entire value chain in small-scale fisheries, and to ensure greater participation of women in decision-making, considering their crucial role in small-scale fishing, including pre- and post-harvest activities, aquaculture and inland fishing;***

Or. en

Amendment 32

Beata Kempa, Ryszard Czarnecki

on behalf of the ECR Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Recalls that fisheries and aquaculture play a key role in creating jobs and sustaining the economy not only in the EU, but also in developing countries; regrets that the COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on people employed in the fisheries and aquaculture sector;

Or. pl

Amendment 33
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that private EU investment operates in a transparent manner, does not enter in competition with coastal communities and is in line with the SDGs;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Carlos Zorrinho

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Underlines the role of fisheries and aquaculture for the socio-economic development of local communities;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls the EU's commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development; stresses *that sustainable* fisheries partnership agreements must neither undermine local food security nor threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; calls for the agreements to be aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach;

Amendment

4. Recalls the EU's commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development *and* stresses *the need to improve its contribution to the SDGs through the CFP external dimension; underlines that sustainable* fisheries partnership agreements must neither undermine local food security nor threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; calls for the agreements to be aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach; *stresses the need to include all stakeholders in the negotiation of sustainable fisheries partnership agreements and to ensure that the needs of the communities most affected by these partnerships are taken into account;*

Or. en

Amendment 36
Catherine Chabaud

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls the EU's commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development; stresses *that sustainable* fisheries partnership agreements must neither undermine local food security nor

Amendment

4. Recalls the EU's commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development; stresses *that sustainable* fisheries partnership agreements must neither undermine local food security nor

threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; calls for the agreements to be aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach;

threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; ***points out the importance of these agreements in terms of bilateral policy cooperation in the area of fisheries and*** calls for the agreements to be aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, ***and notably with SDG 14 (“Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development”)***, by increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Marlene Mortler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls the EU’s commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development; stresses ***that sustainable*** fisheries partnership agreements ***must*** neither undermine local food security nor threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; calls for the agreements to be aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach;

Amendment

4. Recalls the EU’s commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development; stresses ***that sustainable*** fisheries partnership agreements neither undermine local food security nor threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by ***not*** putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; ***calls for the EU to cooperate with its partner third countries through its bilateral or multilateral relations, so that other third countries' distant fleets apply the same sustainability standards as the EU distant fleets***; calls for the agreements to be aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls the EU's commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development; stresses *thatsustainable* fisheries partnership agreements *must* neither undermine local food security nor threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; calls for the agreements to be aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach;

Amendment

4. Recalls the EU's commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development; stresses *that sustainable* fisheries partnership agreements neither undermine local food security nor threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by *not* putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; calls *for the EU to cooperate with its partner third countries through its bilateral or multilateral relations, so that other third countries' distant fleets apply the same sustainability standards as the EU distant fleets calls;* for the agreements to be aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach;

Or. en

Amendment 39

Caroline Roose

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls the EU's commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development; stresses *thatsustainable* fisheries partnership agreements must neither undermine local food security nor threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; calls for the agreements to be aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by

Amendment

4. Recalls the EU's commitment to the principle of policy coherence for development; stresses *that sustainable* fisheries partnership agreements *must be in line with best available scientific advice and* must neither undermine local food security nor threaten small-scale fisheries in non-EU countries by putting them in direct competition with EU vessels; calls for the agreements to be aligned with the

increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach;

2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development **and with the EU environmental obligations and Common Fisheries Policy objectives** by increasing sectoral support and sustainability provisions on surpluses, discards and the precautionary approach;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Catherine Chabaud

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 bis. Highlights that fisheries partnership agreements must become a tool for the development of a local blue economy; deplores in this regard the lack of monitoring of the implementation and proper use of funding; lends particular support to women and coastal communities, especially in marine conservation areas;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Considers that insufficient, inaccurate and non-public data makes it difficult to evaluate the contributions of the sustainable fishing partnership agreements towards the attainment of the SDGs in partner countries; calls on the EU to collect more data on catches, vessel registrations, labour conditions and on how EU funding is used in partner

countries;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Urges the EU to increase transparency, data collection and reporting requirements of SFPAs; to increase the engagement of civil society prior to the negotiations and during the implementation period;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Is worried that sectoral support within SFPAs often doesn't benefit directly small-scale fishers; calls on the Commission to link SFPAs closely with EU development aid with the aim of improving value-added for coastal communities; in addition, calls on the Commission to proactively make public annual reports on the concrete realizations of sectoral support to better track the use EU public money;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 c. Calls on the European Commission to come forward with ambitious mandates in Regional Fisheries Management Organisation (RFMOs) in order to protect fisheries resources in developing countries and international waters, notably in relation to improving stock management for species such as tropical tunas, reducing discard and applying the precautionary approach to protect the conservation of endangered species, vulnerable marine ecosystems (VMEs) as well as, improving data available, compliance and transparent decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 d. Calls the Commission to push for the integration of climate adaptation and mitigation goals in its SFPAs and in Regional Fisheries Management Organizations (RFMOs) decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 e. Calls on the EU and its Member States to step up its efforts to improve global fisheries governance, notably through mechanisms such as the Fisheries Transparency Initiative (FiTI);

Or. en

Amendment 47
Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil **contributes** to overfishing in developing countries; calls for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil **may contribute** to overfishing in developing countries; calls for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Marlene Mortler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil **contributes** to overfishing in developing countries; calls

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil **may contribute** to overfishing in developing countries; calls

for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Beata Kempa, Ryszard Czarnecki
on behalf of the ECR Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil contributes to overfishing in developing countries; calls for **mandatory** due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

Amendment

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil contributes to overfishing in developing countries; calls for due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

Or. pl

Amendment 50
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil contributes to overfishing in developing countries; calls for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

Amendment

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil contributes to overfishing in developing countries; ***underlines the need to enhance the value of fish products through improved fisheries management practices, eco-labelling and new technologies for product traceability***; calls for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is

fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Caroline Roose

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil contributes to overfishing in developing countries; calls for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable **and** free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

Amendment

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil contributes to overfishing in developing countries; ***notably in West Africa***; calls for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable, free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing ***and production linked with human trafficking and slavery***;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Catherine Chabaud

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil contributes to overfishing in developing countries; calls for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

Amendment

5. Stresses that the production of fishmeal and fish oil, ***among others***, contributes to overfishing in developing countries; calls for mandatory due diligence measures to ensure that the whole seafood-industry supply chain is fair, fully traceable and free of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing;

Or. en

Amendment 53
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Recalls that all states involved in fisheries in West Africa should establish a RFMO – particularly for the exploitation of shared stocks, such as small pelagic fish – as required under international law, relevant national laws, pan-African and regional fisheries policies, and other instruments. This management regime should comply with a precautionary and ecosystem-based approach, ensuring the total allowable catch is within safe biological limits;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Is concerned about the numerous cases of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing by EU vessels in non-EU waters; calls on the Commission to put forward, when necessary, infringement procedures to reduce instances of non-compliance by the Member States' external fleets;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Carlos Zorrinho

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Stresses the importance of the impact of aquaculture in the EU on third countries, in particular the use of fish resources from these countries to feed predators in EU aquaculture, and the need to take measures to limit these impacts;

Or. en

**Amendment 56
Caroline Roose**
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 b. Underlines that the business model of aquaculture involves similar risks than industrial farming, including strong impacts on surrounding ecosystems coupled with occasional diseases outbreak; calls for the development of sustainable aquaculture, through sustainable fisheries management and best aquaculture practises by strongly reducing EU industry's dependence on fish meal and by promoting non-carnivorous species to this effect, calls equally on the EU to provide technical assistance to developing country producers, especially small producers;

Or. en

**Amendment 57
Caroline Roose**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 c. Urges the EU to effectively promote and protect small-scale fisheries in Africa, that is the main provider of oceans livelihoods, as a cornerstone of the future “EU-Africa blue task force”, i.e. by funding the implementation of the FAO international guidelines on sustainable small-scale fisheries ;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 d. Expresses its deep concern about privatisation of commons, including coastal lands and access to coastal marine areas, without prior, informed consent of local communities;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Marlene Mortler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Urges the EU to develop a **human** rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by

6. Urges the EU to develop a **fair** rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by

supporting food sovereignty for local fishing communities;

supporting food sovereignty for local fishing communities;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the EU to develop a **human** rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by supporting food sovereignty for local fishing communities;

Amendment

6. Urges the EU to develop a **fair** rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by supporting food sovereignty for local fishing communities;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the EU to develop a human rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by supporting food sovereignty for local fishing communities;

Amendment

6. Urges the EU to develop a human rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by **including and empowering local communities** supporting food sovereignty for local fishing communities **and protecting resources for future growth**;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Caroline Roose

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the EU to develop a human rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by supporting food sovereignty for local fishing communities;

Amendment

6. Urges the EU to develop a human rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by supporting food sovereignty ***and economic development*** for local fishing communities;

Or. en

Amendment 63 Catherine Chabaud

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the EU to develop a human rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by supporting food sovereignty for local fishing communities;

Amendment

6. Urges the EU to develop a human rights-based approach towards ocean governance and the blue economy by supporting ***fair supply chains and*** food sovereignty for local fishing communities;

Or. en

Amendment 64 Carlos Zorrinho

Draft opinion Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Stresses the importance of strengthening bilateral partnerships with third countries, within the different sectors of the blue economy, in order to improve respect for the environmental and social conditionality of these countries;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Marlene Mortler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. **Welcomes** the objective of protecting 30 % of the world's oceans by 2030, but warns that it should not be done at the expense of indigenous peoples and local communities;

Amendment

7. **Takes note of** the objective of protecting 30 % of the world's oceans by 2030, but warns that it should not be done at the expense of **food security, fishermen and aquaculture producers**, indigenous peoples and local communities;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. **Welcomes** the objective of protecting 30 % of the world's oceans by 2030, but warns that it should not be done at the expense of indigenous peoples and local communities;

Amendment

7. **Takes note of** the objective of protecting 30 % of the world's oceans by 2030, but warns that it should not be done at the expense of **food security, fishermen and aquaculture producers**, indigenous peoples and local communities;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Welcomes the objective of protecting 30 % of the world's oceans by

Amendment

7. Welcomes the objective of protecting 30 % of the world's oceans by

2030, but warns that it should not be done at the expense of indigenous peoples and local communities;

2030, but warns that it should not be done at the expense of indigenous peoples and local communities *whose tenure, access and resource rights to ocean should be protected, as embedded in FAO Voluntary Guidelines of Tenure, Land and Forests and for Securing Sustainable Small Scale Fisheries, the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, and Free, Prior and Informed Consent; as set out in the ILO Convention 169; to this end, calls for the creation of robust human rights safeguards, accountability and grievance mechanism;*

Or. en

Amendment 68
Beata Kempa, Ryszard Czarnecki
on behalf of the ECR Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Welcomes the role of regional sea conventions and regional fisheries management organisations;

Or. pl

Amendment 69
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Recalls that a growing body of research shows that objectives on biodiversity and climate change mitigation and adaptation are better achieved when local communities have direct control over

8. Recalls that a growing body of research, *notably IPCC Special report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate*, shows that objectives on biodiversity and climate change mitigation

the management of the resources on which they rely; highlights the risks of ocean grabbing linked to maritime spatial planning;

and adaptation are better achieved when local communities have direct control over the management of the resources on which they rely; highlights the risks of ocean grabbing linked to maritime spatial planning; ***accordingly, stresses the need to securing Small-Scale Fisheries and the responsible governance of tenure; as well as to hold accountable EU “blue businesses” beneficiaries if their activities violate human rights;***

Or. en

Amendment 70
Catherine Chabaud

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Recalls that a growing body of research shows that objectives on biodiversity and climate change mitigation and adaptation are better achieved when local communities have direct control over the management of the resources on which they rely; highlights the risks of ocean grabbing linked to maritime spatial planning;

Amendment

8. Recalls that a growing body of research shows that objectives on biodiversity and climate change mitigation and adaptation are better achieved when ***fishers and*** local communities have direct control over the management of the resources on which they rely; ***stresses that ecosystems managed by coastal communities are among the richest and most productive and also contribute to the adaptation of coastal areas to the consequences of climate change;*** highlights the risks of ocean grabbing linked to maritime spatial planning;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Beata Kempa, Ryszard Czarnecki
on behalf of the ECR Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Highlights concerns that the development of new activities in the blue economy will lead to increased competition over space at the expense of more traditional activities, such as fishing;

Or. pl

Amendment 72

Caroline Roose

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8 a. Calls on the EU and partner countries to rely on indigenous know-how in its climate mitigation strategies and to promote actively participate management, which has proved to be effective in increasing the resilience of coastal communities;

Or. en

Amendment 73

Carlos Zorrinho

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8 a. Insists on the importance of reducing the pressure of food production on natural resources and the climate and on the fact that the blue economy must be an active part in combating climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 74
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8 b. Calls on the EU to promote and protect women in the seafood supply chain; notably by ensuring greater participation of women in decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. Recalls that illegal, unregulated and unreported fishing damages food security and the livelihoods of people in coastal countries; stresses the need to limit flags of convenience and re-flagging and to address transshipment at sea;

9. Recalls that illegal, unregulated and unreported fishing, ***facilitated by the practice of flying a flag of convenience***, damages food security and the livelihoods of people in coastal countries; ***while creating fertile ground for piracy; calls for a strong system, of deterrent sanctions and a multi-pronged approach to fight IUU fishing***; stresses the need to limit flags of convenience and re-flagging and to address transshipment at sea, ***as important tools to close IUU loopholes; more broadly, calls on the EU to strengthen anti-corruption capacity building; fostering national interagency cooperation and increasing international cooperation; improving oversight of fishery agents; and supporting regional monitoring, control and surveillance centres and task forces;***

Amendment 76
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. Stresses that the governance of land-based activities is critical to achieving a sustainable blue economy, as living coastal resources are most threatened by land-based activities, with agriculture, ports and harbours, and aquaculture being particularly impactful; urges the EU and its Member States to take all necessary measures to address holistically the root causes of marine pollution and fish depletion, and to reform accordingly its sectoral policies;

Or. en

Amendment 77
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. Calls on the EU to provide developing countries with better infrastructure, technical resources and innovative technologies such as blockchain to properly manage and control fisheries activities, increase traceability of fish, help combat illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing and curb human rights violations, including human trafficking and slavery on fishing boats;

Amendment 78
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 b. *Takes note that extractive industry is a growing sector of the blue oceans economy; emphasises the duty of states to refrain from taking measures, including large-scale development projects, that may adversely affect the livelihoods of inland and marine small-scale fishers, their territories or access rights; and to conduct ex-ante assessments of extractive industry projects, operated by private entities in order to evaluate the possible negative human rights impacts on local fishing communities;*

Or. en

Amendment 79
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 b. *Points out that the means to evaluate the activity of EU fleet in external waters are less comprehensive than those in the internal waters; calls on the EU to improve recordings and reporting of infringements, both within and outside the EU, and to establish a centralised socio-economic database from all EU vessels regardless of where they operate;*

Amendment 80
Marlene Mortler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. Calls for the EU to act on the detrimental impacts of fishing techniques such as bottom-contacting gears or fish aggregating devices by limiting their use.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 81
Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. Calls for the EU to act on the detrimental impacts of fishing techniques such as bottom-contacting gears or fish aggregating devices by limiting their use.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 82
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. Calls for the EU to act on the detrimental impacts of fishing techniques such as bottom-contacting gears or fish

10. Calls for the EU to act on the detrimental impacts of fishing techniques such as bottom-contacting gears or fish aggregating devices **on climate, seabeds**

aggregating devices by limiting their use.

and bycatch by limiting their use; *in particular, calls on the EU to prohibit the use of bottom-trawling in all marine protected areas;*

Or. en

Amendment 83
Antoni Comín i Oliveres

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls for the EU to act on the detrimental impacts of fishing techniques such as bottom-contacting gears or fish aggregating devices by limiting their use.

Amendment

10. Calls for the EU to act on the detrimental impacts of fishing techniques such as bottom-contacting gears, *dynamite fishing, improper trawling* or fish aggregating devices by limiting their use.

Or. en

Amendment 84
Carlos Zorrinho

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 a. Urges the EU to ensure the effective use of the European Aquaculture and Fisheries Fund to provide effective support for the transition to more selective and less damaging fishing techniques for EU fishing fleets;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 a. Calls on the EU to encourage developing countries to develop national inshore exclusion zones reserved for local and artisanal fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 b. Stresses the importance of applying the precautionary principle in deep-sea mining; in particular, reiterates its support an international moratorium on commercial deep-sea mining exploitation licences until such time as the effects of deep-sea mining on the marine environment, biodiversity and human activities at sea have been studied and researched sufficiently and all possible risks are understood;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 c. Encourages the EU to continue to work towards the conclusion of the

multilateral negotiations on fisheries subsidies in the World Trade Organisation - implementing Sustainable Development Goal 14.6 - to prohibit certain forms of fisheries subsidies which contribute to overcapacity and overfishing, eliminate subsidies that contribute IUU fishing and refrain from introducing new such subsidies, in full consistency with the objectives set in the European Green Deal, the 8th Environment Action Programme, and the Paris Agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Caroline Roose
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 d. Recognizes that coastal tourism can have positive impacts on developing countries, but can be detrimental when mass tourism strategies are developed, leading to reduced food access and consumption for local consumers and to the destruction of the marine environment and cultural identities, calls on the EU to promote fair and low-impact models for tourism;

Or. en