

WRITTEN QUESTION E-2745/09
by Ashley Mote (NI)
to the Commission

Subject: Carbon Dioxide Myth - Mark 2

May I further ask, in response to written answer E-1089/09, for the sources of the 'scientific evidence' on which the Commission relies? Does the Commission refute the 'scientific evidence' that global temperatures have been in decline for more than a decade? If so, on what basis?

Does the Commission further refute the 'scientific evidence' that sea levels would rise no more than ten inches (which I am told is roughly equal to 24 centimetres) if all the land-based ice melted at once? And does it not agree that ice at sea displaces its own weight and its own volume when it melts? In other words, total melt would have no effect whatever on sea levels.

May I draw the attention of the Commission to the scientific work of the Swedish geologist and physicist Dr Nils-Axel Mörner, who has spent most of the last 35 years studying sea levels all over the globe with the best scientific equipment available. His conclusion is that the scare stories promoted by Al Gore and other 'climate change' fanatics are nothing more than that - scare stories. An elementary knowledge of schoolboy physics tells us that the Al Gore scenario defies the laws of science and can be dismissed with absolute certainty.

Is the Commission aware of this recent statement by Dr Mörner: 'The sea is not rising. It hasn't risen in 50 years'?

Further, may I draw the attention of the Commission to the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, whose latest report suggests a sea level increase of 17 inches (59 centimetres) by the end of the century? Dr Mörner puts it at nil to four inches (10 centimetres), and prefers the 'nil'.

Is the Commission further aware that Dr Mörner has also pointed out that not one of the 22 contributing authors to the IPCC's latest report on sea levels was a specialist in the subject? Is it any surprise, then, when Dr Mörner accuses the IPCC of 'deliberate ignorance' on sea levels?