

**Question for written answer E-001381/2011
to the Commission**
Rule 117
Nick Griffin (NI)

Subject: Immigrant crime - 2

Thank you for your reply to question E-4803/2010.

The Commission, in its answer, employs the Marxist theory that environment is responsible for criminality.

In referring to exclusion, has the Commission considered the dispossession of indigenous elements within the host Member States who now feel excluded within their own ancestral communities, due to the arrival of unwanted alien elements?

Would the Commission care to venture why this indigenous category has not engaged in crime any more than did their ancestors, who were excluded from employment during the '30s, during the greatest depression in living memory, when there was no social security of the scope and generosity that exist today?

The Commission has not answered whether it has considered the consequences for the nations of Europe, in terms of crime, were Turkey to join the EU. Will it kindly do so?

Will the Commission also address itself to the question of why its migration and multicultural policies have failed to take note of ethnic criminality, and will it take responsibility for the rise in crime that may be attributed to its migration and multicultural policies?

Has the Commission calculated the costs involved in the measures mentioned in the third paragraph of its reply?

Is the Commission able to confirm, beyond question, that its policies on migration from outside the EU enjoy popular support?

How is the internal security strategy for the EU, to which it refers, likely to address the issues of immigrant crime?