

**Question for written answer E-011261/2013
to the Commission**

Rule 117

Roberta Angelilli (PPE) and Alfredo Antoniozzi (PPE)

Subject: Falcognana area of Rome: additional information on the opening of a new landfill site and the consequent dumping of new types of waste

Following question E-009479/2013 on the creation of a waste disposal plant in Falcognana (Municipality of Rome), it emerged that the Commission has not received any application for permission to enlarge and convert the Falcognana site.

Furthermore, on 1 October the Italian Minister for the Environment stated that the competent authorities are carrying out further investigations and checks since to date no application has been made for the permits envisaged for the new European Waste Catalogue (EWC) codes. The Falcognana landfill only provides for certain types of hazardous and non-hazardous waste, with individual EWC codes authorised by the Lazio regional government as and when required for the maximum allowed daily and yearly amounts, but to date no application has been made to the competent Italian authorities.

Lastly, again on 1 October, Italy was referred to the Court of Justice (Case C-323/13) over the Malagrotta landfill, since the Lazio regional government has failed to comply with the obligations laid down in Directive 1999/31/EC requiring Member States to ensure that only waste that has been subject to treatment is landfilled.

Given that the Falcognana site is intended to partly replace the Malagrotta site, can the Commission state:

1. how it is monitoring the situation in terms of compliance with legislation on waste management and environmental assessment;
2. what information it has received regarding enlargement of the site and the type of treatment the waste is subject to before it is landfilled;
3. what measures and actions can be implemented to protect the landscape and cultural heritage of the area concerned, which is subject to various constraints as affirmed by the Ministry of Cultural Heritage;
4. whether it is aware that citizens from the areas affected by the decision-making procedures have been totally excluded, considering that they have been neither involved nor informed of the time frame, procedures and documents regarding the possible enlargement of the site?