

**Question for written answer E-001878/2014/rev.1
to the Commission**
Rule 117
Auke Zijlstra (NI)

Subject: European Public Prosecutor's Office voted down (follow-up)

In its answer to Written Question E-013914/2013, the Commission referred to the possible establishment of an enhanced cooperation procedure for the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO).

1. Does the Commission agree that establishing the EPPO through an enhanced cooperation procedure would be an entirely different process and would thus require a new proposal?
2. Does the Commission share the view that, even if the provisions on enhanced cooperation were complied with, the principle of subsidiarity would still be breached?
3. How would the Commission, in this new proposal, take into consideration the objections raised by national parliaments in their reasoned opinions?
4. Can the Commission specify which of the arguments set out in the Member States' reasoned opinions would actually be incorporated into the new proposal?