

**Question for written answer E-004064/2017
to the Commission**

Rule 130

Pál Csáky (PPE)

Subject: Free movement of capital

In its answer to Question P-001750/2017, the Commission did not properly address points 1 and 3 in my question.

In point 1, I asked whether, regardless of the possibilities for limitation in the public interest which would be acceptable under the Treaties or in case-law, in the Commission's view, the confirmation of discriminatory Member State initiatives is as a general rule more difficult as a result of measures taken in accordance with the principle of national treatment.

Similarly, I did not receive a proper answer to point 3 of the question, namely whether, in considering whether to launch infringement proceedings, the Commission took account of the fact that the parties concerned had already exhausted legal remedies in the Member State concerned in an attempt to overturn a measure by the Member State which infringed European law with the aim of asserting their rights under European law.

I would request a clear answer to these questions.