

**Question for written answer E-000340/2018  
to the Commission**  
Rule 130  
**Adam Gierek (S&D)**

Subject: Energy Efficiency - PEF

In the joint debate on clean energy on 15 January, which concerned energy efficiency among other things, you said, referring to my statement, that you did not support my solution and were in favour of the existing scheme, as primary energy factors (PEF) can lead to 'double counting'.

What did you mean? Please explain, with examples. According to my data, what we have now is precisely the risk of double counting.

A typical example is that of the 23% primary energy saving and 17% final energy saving in the Commission proposal, which in total is supposed to amount to a 30% (efficiency?) saving. How is this calculated?