

**Question for written answer E-000699/2018
to the Commission**
Rule 130
Pál Csáky (PPE)

Subject: Promoting the application of EU law

In its answer to question for written answer No E-004064/2017, the Commission referred to its discretionary power, which it will exercise, according to the answer, after careful consideration. I expect clear answers to the following:

1. Has the Commission taken into account the thousand plus signatures which, contrary to what was stated in its letter of September 2016, proves that the number of people affected runs into thousands?
2. Does the Commission consider its argument, set out in the September 2016 letter, that it considers the infringement on the basis of the information submitted by the Slovak Government to be closed because it affects a small number of people – bearing in mind that the government in question cannot have the relevant information on those affected, since they are not citizens of that country or do not have a permanent place of residence in that country – to be well-founded?
3. How does the Commission, as the ‘guardian of the Treaties’, intend to promote the application of EU law, the termination of the consequences of the infringement and the enforcement of entitlements under EU law of those affected, given that it has not launched proceedings?