Question for written answer E-000148/2019 to the Commission Rule 130 Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez (GUE/NGL)

Subject: Management of asphalt waste

Directives 75/442/EEC and 91/689/EEC were ambiguous in their wording with regard to the process through which hazardous waste comes to be classified as non-hazardous.

The amendment of those directives through Directive 2008/98/EC did nothing to help in that respect.

As it stands, Article 6(4) and Article 7(3) of that Directive call into question the binding nature of the list of hazardous waste referred to in Article 7(1).

The Directive does not state which body has the power to settle whether specific waste items are hazardous, nor does it set out the steps that a Member State should take to classify hazardous waste as no longer being hazardous.

Therefore, Article 7(3), which considerably hinders the safe transit of hazardous waste in the EU, should be amended.

With regard to the transfer of hazardous waste referred to in questions E-000952/2018 and E-000098/2016, taking into account that the Waste Material Coordination Committee was only established in 2012, which Spanish State body determined that this waste was not hazardous?

1174002.EN PE 633.200