

**Question for written answer E-005697/2020
to the Commission**

Rule 138

Željana Zovko (PPE)

Subject: Follow-up on the presentation of the Enlargement Package and the economic and investment plan for the Western Balkans

Following up on the presentation on 6 October 2020 of the updated Commission Enlargement Package and the ambitious economic and investment plan for the Western Balkan countries, I would like to elaborate on the following issue that I mentioned in my intervention:

On Bosnia and Herzegovina, the enlargement report emphasised the importance of international decisions on electoral law issues, but overlooked the decisions by the state's Constitutional Court on the same matters. Implementing the domestic court's decisions would respect the procedure of applying the decisions of the international courts. Both domestic and international court decisions are crucial to the country's ability to advance beyond the Dayton Peace Agreement (DPA) framework, and we should work together to coalesce these issues into a roadmap consistent with Parliament's resolutions on Bosnia and Herzegovina.

1. Why have the domestic court's decisions not been included in the report?
2. What are the next steps in implementing these court decisions in the EU's policy towards Bosnia and Herzegovina as recommended by Parliament's resolutions?