

**Question for written answer E-002768/2021
to the Commission**
Rule 138
Engin Eroglu (Renew)

Subject: Nuclear energy and 'Do no harm'

I am convinced that nuclear energy is contrary to the 'do no significant harm' principle. This is because the serious long-term damage to humans and the environment caused by nuclear energy cannot be foreseen and there is no satisfactory answer to the question of final disposal. The risk of a major disaster continues to exist and represents a constant and almost incalculable threat. The 'do no significant harm' principle (defined in Regulation (EU) 2019/2088) provides that there should be no significant environmental or social harm caused. As I have just explained, nuclear energy generation is at odds with these two conditions.

When citizens in German-speaking areas speak of a 'nachhaltigen Geldanlage' (sustainable investment), they naturally assume that this excludes investment in nuclear energy.

1. Does the Commission agree with this assessment?
2. How can the Commission ensure that the Taxonomy does not give investors a false impression of reality?