

**Question for written answer E-003454/2022/rev.1
to the Commission**

Rule 138

Michèle Rivasi (Verts/ALE), **Marie Toussaint** (Verts/ALE), **Thomas Waitz** (Verts/ALE), **Jutta Paulus** (Verts/ALE), **Grace O'Sullivan** (Verts/ALE)

Subject: Transparency on uranium deliveries

A shipment of Russian uranium to a French nuclear fuel fabrication factory in Lingen (northern Germany) was unloaded in Dunkirk (France) on 13 September, after a short stop in Rotterdam (the Netherlands).

The transport of hazardous materials between Member States and non-EU countries requires full transparency.

Is the Commission aware of why the shipment was diverted several hundred kilometres via Dunkirk, and what are the consequences of the conflict in Ukraine for the transport of uranium?

Given the Russian invasion of Ukraine, it is crucial to know the origin of the uranium supplied to EU nuclear power plants.

What is Russia's controlled share of the EU uranium market?

Are all EU nuclear power plants able to operate without Russian supplies? The Swedish energy giant Vattenfall decided on 24 February, the day of the invasion, to stop all uranium imports from Russia for the time being and replace them with imports from Canada and Australia. Does the Commission think that all Member States can follow this example?

Submitted: 21.10.2022