## Question for written answer E-002147/2023 to the Commission

**Rule 138** 

Pina Picierno (S&D), Irene Tinagli (S&D), Elisabetta Gualmini (S&D), Brando Benifei (S&D), Tiziana Beghin (NI), Alessandra Moretti (S&D), Patrizia Toia (S&D), Beatrice Covassi (S&D), Alexandra Geese (Verts/ALE)

Subject: Italian National Recovery and Resilience Facility – monitoring gender impact: open data

and conditionality

The Italian Government is about to propose a revision of its national recovery and resilience plan (NRRP). Considering the country's worsening performance on gender equality and its being ranked last in the EU for women's employment, it is crucial that the NRRP include the monitoring, improvement and enforcement of gender conditionality and gender-related performance objectives.

Italian NRRP funds dedicated to gender equality are limited to 1.6 % and indirect measures cover 18.5 %. Gender mainstreaming is absent from 77.9 % of the fund. According to Period Think Thank and EquALL in the European Parliament, 96 % of NRRP-related tenders did not envisage any gender-related reward and 68 % did not apply gender procurement (30 % quota – Law Decree 77/2021 Art. 47 ). Only very limited data on tenders, tenderers, and project implementation are available to the public and they are published with significant delays.

- 1. How can the Commission ensure that the Italian Government make NRRP implementation data available to the public for independent monitoring purposes, particularly in the case of genderdisaggregated data?
- 2. Can the Commission provide concrete guidance on how to monitor the gender impacts of non-targeted measures beyond the indicators and scores adopted by Delegated Regulations 2021/2105¹ and 2021/2106², and request the collection of gender-disaggregated data on all of the 14 indicators?
- 3. Can the Commission require that gender procurement principles are not systematically derogated by Italian tendering authorities?

## Supporter<sup>3</sup>

Submitted:6.7.2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OJ L 429, 1.12.2021, p. 79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OJ L 429, 1.12.2021, p. 83.

This question is supported by a Member other than the authors:Rosa D'Amato(Verts/ALE)