

**Question for written answer E-003276/2023
to the Commission**
Rule 138
Roman Haider (ID)

Subject: Euro 7

The Centre for European Policy (*Centrum für Europäische Politik*, CEP) has drafted a blatantly critical assessment of proposal COM(2022)0586. It states that the effect of Euro 7 standards on improving air quality would be marginal. However, above all, it would be smaller and less efficient than that of an accelerated fleet renewal – i.e. the replacement of old pollutant-intensive vehicles with EURO 6d/VI vehicles. The implementation deadline is too short. On the whole, the proposed Euro 7 standards would only lead to unnecessary costs for the automotive industry and for users, without any real environmental benefits¹.

1. What is the Commission's view of the criticism that scrapping all boundary conditions for testing would only bring about an early phase-out of combustion engines by the back door?
2. How does the Commission justify the violation of the EU legislator's reservation on grounds of materiality (Article 290 TFEU) that would arise if future limit values for particulate emissions from tyre abrasion were set by the Commission by means of delegated acts in the Euro 7 Regulation?
3. What is the Commission's assessment of the risk that, in the context of remote retrieval, the bidirectionality of data transmission in the proposed OBM systems may create a security vulnerability, potentially leaving systems open to cyberattacks?

Submitted: 7.11.2023

1

https://www.cep.eu/fileadmin/user_upload/cep.eu/Analysen/COM_2022_586_Emissionsgrenzwerte_fuer_Kraftfahrzeuge/cepPolicyBrief_Euro_7_Emission_Standards_for_Motor_Vehicles_COM_2022__586_Long_Version.pdf