

**Question for written answer E-003294/2023
to the Commission**
Rule 138
Clare Daly (The Left)

Subject: Follow up on the Euroins Romania case

Responding to a number of questions on the removal of an insurance licence from Euroins Romania, the Commission referenced a report by the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA), which it suggests confirmed the findings of the Romanian regulator on the company.

It has now emerged that the Commission has not seen the EIOPA report in question.

It has also emerged that the results of the EIOPA report have been questioned by one of the world's leading actuarial accountants, that the results differ dramatically from the views of the Bulgarian regulator – the appropriate supervisory authority for the Euroins Group – and that the results even differ dramatically from earlier reports by the Romanian regulator.

In the interests of openness and transparency:

Will the Commission establish an independent review to examine the manner in which EIOPA produced its report, the source and accuracy of the data used in the report and the report's conclusions, and to establish an explanation as to the dramatic differences between the EIOPA report and the findings of the report commissioned by the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development?

Submitted: 8.11.2023