

**Question for written answer E-001072/2024
to the Commission**

Rule 138

Elisabetta Gualmini (S&D), Sabrina Pignedoli (NI)

Subject: Correct application of the DNSH principle for projects financed by NRRPs

The Recovery and Resilience Facility states that all measures in the national recovery and resilience plans (NRRPs) must comply with the 'Do No Significant Harm' (DNSH) principle, which states that any project financed through the Facility must not be detrimental to the conservation status of habitats and species.

Commission document C/2023/267 deals with, inter alia, the situation of an assessment of the implications of a project for which compensatory measures are envisaged, and its compliance with the DNSH principle.

In light of the above:

1. Does the Commission believe that the need for compensation measures to be adopted in order for a project to pass an impact assessment with a negative outcome means, on the basis of the abovementioned document C/2023/267, that the project does not comply with the DNSH criteria regarding Objective 6 'Protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems'?
2. Should a project that had an impact assessment (level II) with a negative outcome not be considered to be failing to comply with the conditions laid down in Regulation (EU) 2021/241, and should it therefore be considered ineligible for NRRP financing?

Submitted:10.4.2024