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SHORT JUSTIFICATION

In view of the ambitious mandate given by Parliament in Resolution 2019/2182(INL), the Commission’s legislative proposal is inadequate and incomplete. Asbestos is one of the biggest public health threats – a quiet and delayed pandemic that we need to tackle effectively by equipping ourselves with the normative tools required to do so. In recent years, the rate of asbestos-related deaths has actually increased, with as many as 90 000 recorded in the EU each year.

The purpose of this opinion is first and foremost to reiterate Parliament’s position as set out in Resolution 2019/2182(INL) and the related ENVI Committee opinion in particular. The ENVI Committee proposal for an opinion was adopted unanimously with one abstention. Given this united stance from the representatives of the European peoples, the rapporteur is putting forward an opinion that reflects the same level of ambition.

Aside from the direct or passive exposure of workers to asbestos, there are different types of non-occupational exposure to asbestos with potentially significant consequences for human health, whether of para-occupational (such as asbestos dust brought home by workers), domestic (such as household objects containing asbestos), or environmental (materials in buildings, landfills or from industrial sources) origin. According to recent studies, non-occupational exposure to asbestos may account for around 20% of mesothelioma cases in industrialised countries. These findings recall the need for the ENVI Committee to take an ambitious position on the protection of workers and all other individuals at risk of harm from exposure to asbestos by any means.

The Commission’s legislative proposal falls within this dramatic context, in which we are seeing the human and economic toll continue to rise. The rapporteur expresses deep regret at the patchy nature of the Commission’s proposal, which has neither the ambition nor the scope needed to provide a legislative response up to the task of tackling the public health threat. As a reminder, there is no threshold below which the concentration of asbestos fibres in the air is harmless.

The rapporteur is thus seeking to have a new version of the Commission’s proposal put forward that provides a legislative tool that can effectively protect workers, their families, the environment and any individual who may be exposed to the scourge of asbestos. Asbestos’s ability to spread and cause harm even at low doses intrinsically links the level of protection of asbestos workers to that of the populations living near places where activities emitting asbestos fibres are carried out.

With the amendments proposed, this opinion therefore provides for the creation a management plan for asbestos-containing waste to better prevent environmental asbestos exposure and protect exposed workers. Improving the management of asbestos waste is a key aspect of this report. From a sectoral perspective, in addition to waste management, the rapporteur addresses the issue of the legislation applicable to asbestos removal and demolition companies in view of the impact that this activity has on the environment and on the populations living near the sites concerned. The opinion also takes a holistic approach, based on the ENVI Committee’s remit in the field of public health. The rapporteur also stresses how important it is to take better account of gender stereotypes in the prevention and detection of
asbestos-related diseases and when offering financial support to households removing asbestos from their homes.

The goal of better informing workers, employers and the general public on asbestos – by creating targeted communication campaigns on the risks of asbestos exposure and setting up national registers of public and private sites containing asbestos so that targeted renovations can be carried out as soon as possible to better protect professionals and users of buildings – was central to rapporteur’s proposals.

The opinion also calls for greater efforts to be made to put an end to the use of asbestos in third countries by strengthening corporate due diligence.

The rapporteur also calls on the Commission to propose new legislation on the minimum requirements for the recognition of occupational diseases, including all asbestos-related diseases, and adequate compensation for those affected, through objectives clearly predefined by Parliament.

The rapporteur therefore hopes to address the many shortcomings in the Commission’s proposal and to put forward a new version of Directive 2009/148/EC that is fit to tackle the challenges of asbestos exposure.

**AMENDMENTS**

The Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety calls on the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs, as the committee responsible, to take into account the following amendments:

**Amendment 1**

Proposal for a directive
Citation 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

– Having regard to Europe’s Beating Cancer Plan,

**Amendment 2**

Proposal for a directive
Recital 1 a (new)
In line with the ‘Health in all policies’ approach, the protection of health from exposure to asbestos has a cross-cutting dimension and is relevant to numerous Union policies and activities. This Directive, focused on the prevention of occupational diseases, should apply in synergies with other initiatives, including the measures foreseen by the Commission in its communication on working towards an asbestos-free future: a European approach to addressing the health risks of asbestos.

Proposal for a directive
Recital 2 a (new)

In line with the One Health approach and as per Article 191(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, the Union’s policy on the environment should contribute to the pursuit of the objectives of protecting the health of its citizens and protect and improve the quality of the environment, and as per paragraph 2 of that Article, the Union’s policy on the environment shall be based on the principles of precaution and preventive action as well as the ‘polluter pays’ principle. Moreover, the growing recognition of the right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment by an increasing number of Member States and third countries also provides a basis for more ambitious arrangements to protect the environment and people affected by asbestos. The Union also has an important role to play at the international level to lead by example as regard the prevention of
amendment 4

Proposal for a directive
Recital 2 b (new)

(2b) With a view to ensuring that the principles of this Directive are swiftly brought into effect, it is essential that the Union provides the Member States with technical expertise and provides information on available Union funds that could be used for that purpose. Relevant Union funds should be made available as soon as possible for asbestos policies at Union level. The encapsulation and sealing of asbestos-containing materials which can technically be removed should be prohibited, while not putting poorer households at a disadvantage because of their inability to afford necessary renovations. Appropriate accompanying measures are therefore needed.

amendment 5

Proposal for a directive
Recital 2 c (new)

(2c) The safe removal and disposal of asbestos-containing materials should be a priority, because repair, maintenance, encapsulation or sealing only lead to the postponement of the removal, which can perpetuate the risks for inhabitants and workers for many years. Where asbestos is not removed, the relevant structures should be identified, registered and
regularly monitored.

Amendment 6
Proposal for a directive
Recital 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Asbestos is a highly dangerous carcinogenic agent, still affecting different economic sectors, such as building and renovation, mining and quarrying, waste management and firefighting, where workers are at high risk of being exposed. Asbestos fibres are classified as carcinogens 1A according to Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council. When inhaled, airborne asbestos fibres can lead to serious diseases such as mesothelioma and lung cancer, and the first signs of disease may take an average of 30 years to manifest from the moment of exposure, ultimately leading to work-related deaths.

*Amendment*

(3) Asbestos is a highly dangerous carcinogenic agent, still affecting different economic sectors, such as building and renovation, mining and quarrying, waste management and firefighting, where workers are at high risk of being exposed. Asbestos fibres are classified as carcinogens 1A according to Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council and are by far the major cause of work-related cancer, with as much as 78% of occupational cancers recognised in the Member States as being related to asbestos. When inhaled, airborne asbestos fibres can lead to serious diseases such as mesothelioma and lung cancer, and the first signs of disease may take an average of 30 years to manifest from the moment of exposure, ultimately leading to work-related deaths.

Amendment 7
Proposal for a directive
Recital 3 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3a) On 10 June 2022, at the end of the 110th International Labour Organization (ILO) International Labour Conference, the right to a safe and healthy working environment was added to the list of universally recognised fundamental rights at work. The recognition of the right to a
safe and healthy environment is an important step towards eradicating asbestos beyond the Union’s borders and justifies the strengthening of companies’ due diligence regarding the production and use of asbestos by their business partners outside the Union.


Amendment 8
Proposal for a directive
Recital 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

(3b) Exposure to asbestos fibres can lead to serious illness and death and it is of the utmost importance to minimize the risk of human exposure to asbestos fibres.

Amendment 9
Proposal for a directive
Recital 3 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  
Amendment

(3c) Given the health hazards of even indirect exposure to asbestos, whether in an occupational, domestic or environmental context, the number, frequency and quality of inspections of the working conditions and workplaces of persons potentially exposed to asbestos, as well as conditions of disposal and inertization of asbestos-containing material must be considerably increased. It is imperative to go well beyond the minimum objective of one inspector for every 10 000 workers set in the benchmark of the ILO in application of
article 10 of Convention 81, which many Member States are, however, still not meeting\textsuperscript{1a}.

\textsuperscript{1a} https://www.ilo.org/shinyapps/bulkexplo rer56/?lang=en&segment=indicator&id=LAI_INSP_SEX_NB_A.

Amendment 10
Proposal for a directive
Recital 3 d (new)

\textit{Text proposed by the Commission}

\textit{(3d) The decades that usually separate exposure to asbestos from the development of associated diseases make it particularly difficult to establish, for patients suffering from these diseases, a causal link between exposure to asbestos fibres and the associated disease. In addition to strengthening preventive measures, this fact means that Member States must facilitate procedures for recognising workplace diseases by reversing the burden of proof of the causal link between exposure and the disease and to provide adequate compensation for workers suffering from asbestos-related diseases.}

Amendment 11
Proposal for a directive
Recital 3 e (new)

\textit{Text proposed by the Commission}

\textit{(3e) The storage and recycling of products containing asbestos poses a serious risk of contamination to workers and the environment. Disposing of}
asbestos waste in landfill is not a viable long-term solution as the waste will have to be dealt with by future generations, since at the current stage of scientific progress, it is difficult to make asbestos waste inert. Asbestos should be kept out of the circular economy to protect workers from unknowingly reusing dangerous materials. Life-cycle-management of building materials is an important part of the circular economy in the framework of the New EU Circular Economy Action Plan. The development of safe waste disposal plans is therefore fundamental for the sake of the environment and public health. To ensure that these additional requirements do not give rise to increased exports of asbestos waste to third countries, it is necessary to prohibit exports of asbestos waste to third countries and thus to encourage the establishment of treatment and inerting centres for asbestos waste throughout the Union.

Amendment 12
Proposal for a directive
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Following the new scientific and technological developments in the area, there is scope to improve the protection of workers exposed to asbestos and thus to reduce the probability of workers contracting asbestos-related diseases. For asbestos, being a non-threshold carcinogen, it is not scientifically possible to identify levels below which exposure would not lead to adverse health effects. Instead, an exposure-risk relationship (ERR) can be derived, facilitating the setting of an occupational exposure limit (‘OEL’) by taking into account an acceptable level of excess risk. As a consequence, the OEL for

Amendment

(4) Following the new scientific and technological developments in the area, there is scope to improve the protection of workers exposed to asbestos and thus to reduce the probability of workers contracting asbestos-related diseases. For asbestos, being a non-threshold carcinogen, it is not scientifically possible to identify levels below which exposure would not lead to adverse health effects. Instead, an exposure-risk relationship (ERR) can be derived, facilitating the setting of an occupational exposure limit (‘OEL’). The ERR derived by the European Chemicals Agency is based on Phase-contrast
Asbestos should be revised in order to reduce the risk by lowering exposure levels.

Microscopy (PCM) measurement, which lead to an underestimation of the exposure due to the technical limitation of PCM to detect fibres with a diameter below 0,2 µm. Measuring asbestos with a more modern technique allowing to count those thin asbestos fibres detrimental to health would be an important step to protect workers better. As a consequence, the OEL and measurement methodology for asbestos should be revised in order to reduce the risk by lowering exposure levels to protect workers better from work-related cancer.

Amendment 13
Proposal for a directive
Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) The concept of ‘sporadic and low intensity’ cannot apply to a non-threshold carcinogen like asbestos, as a basis that justifies exemptions from the protection measures laid down in this Directive.

Amendment 14
Proposal for a directive
Recital 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4b) In the context of health and safety at work, state-of-the-art technology must always be applied to achieve the highest possible level of protection. Technical minimum requirements need to lower the concentration of asbestos fibres in the air to the lowest level technically possible, including through dust suppression and the suction of dust at the source,
continuous sedimentation, and means of decontamination, combined with minimum requirements for the pressure difference between asbestos enclosures and surroundings, fresh air supply and HEPA filters.

Amendment 15
Proposal for a directive
Recital 4 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4c) Passive exposure to asbestos, occupational and non-occupational, can have significant impacts on human health. Women are particularly vulnerable to certain types of asbestos exposure - including second-hand exposure, which therefore needs particular attention. There are different types of non-occupational exposure to asbestos, whether domestic exposure or para-occupational- or household-contact exposure (exposure to asbestos fibres brought home by occupationally exposed individuals, mostly from their clothing or hair), household exposure (exposure to asbestos-containing materials in home structures, mostly during renovation), or environmental exposure (including materials existing in buildings and installations or of industrial origin). It is therefore essential that legislative and non-legislative instruments, at Union and Member State level, take account of gender differences in exposure and complications in order to better prevent and detect diseases caused by asbestos exposure. Gender stereotypes constitute a risk for the monitoring, diagnosis, treatment and recognition of asbestos-related diseases, which may limit levels of compensation for victims. The gender distribution of activities in the workplace
and the home constitutes a further risk factor for the diagnosis of asbestos-related diseases. Cleaning activities should therefore be better taken into account in the diagnosis of asbestos exposure-related diseases with a view to empowering female workers in this sector as well as those performing unpaid household work, such as the cleaning of asbestos-contaminated products.

Amendment 16

Proposal for a directive
Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(5a) Within the framework of the Union’s ‘renovation wave for Europe’ initiative, the goal of which is to decarbonise buildings, tackle energy poverty and boost our sovereignty by means of energy efficiency, workers potentially exposed to asbestos urgently need to be trained. Member States shall ensure that workers are adequately trained to prevent asbestos exposure. The purpose of that training should be to enable workers to identify asbestos and remove it under the safest possible conditions for their health and for the health of anyone who may be exposed to it, for example, in the vicinity of building renovation or demolition sites. The national training plans should rely on necessary training infrastructure and technical support, in order to facilitate the asbestos removal in the safest possible way.

Amendment 17
Proposal for a directive
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The limit value for asbestos set out in Directive 2009/148/EC should be revised in the light of the Commission’s evaluations and recent scientific evidence and technical data. Its revision is also an effective way to ensure that preventive and protective measures are updated accordingly in all Member States.

Amendment

(7) The limit value for asbestos set out in Directive 2009/148/EC should be revised in the light of the Commission’s evaluations and recent scientific evidence and technical data. Strengthened preventive and protective measures are needed to implement such a revision of the limit value in all Member States.

Amendment 18

Proposal for a directive
Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) A revised limit value should be set out in this Directive in light of available information, including up-to-date scientific evidence and technical data, based on a thorough assessment of the socioeconomic impact and availability of exposure measurement protocols and techniques at the place of work. That information should be based on opinions of the Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) of the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), established by Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 and opinions of the Advisory Committee on Safety and Health at Work (ACSH) established by a Council Decision of 22 July 2003⁹.

Amendment

(8) A revised limit value should be set out in this Directive in light of available information, including up-to-date scientific evidence and technical data, based on a thorough assessment of the socioeconomic and public health impacts and availability of exposure measurement protocols and techniques at the place of work. That information should be based on opinions of the Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) of the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), established by Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 and opinions of the Advisory Committee on Safety and Health at Work (ACSH) established by a Council Decision of 22 July 2003⁹.

Amendment 19
Proposal for a directive
Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission (11) Optical microscopy, although it does not allow a counting of the smallest fibres detrimental to health, is currently the most used method for the regular measuring of asbestos. As it is possible to measure an OEL equal to 0.01 f/cm³ with phase-contrast microscope (PCM), no transition period is needed for the implementation of the revised OEL. In line with the opinion of the ACSH, a more modern and sensitive methodology based on electron microscopy should be used, while taking into account the need for an adequate period of adaptation and for more EU level harmonisation of different electron microscopy methodologies.

Amendment (11) Optical microscopy, although it does not allow a counting of the smallest fibres detrimental to health, is currently the most used method for the regular measuring of asbestos. As it is possible to measure an OEL equal to 0.01 f/cm³ with phase-contrast microscope (PCM), no transition period is needed for the implementation of the revised OEL. In line with the opinion of the ACSH, a more modern and sensitive methodology based on electron microscopy should be used, while taking into account the need for an adequate period of adaptation. In light of the fact that thinner asbestos fibres (<0,2μm) are also carcinogenic, those fibres should be taken into account when measuring exposure in the workplace. Electron microscopy, which allows the detection of such thinner asbestos fibres, should be used for that purpose. The Commission should support and facilitate Member States with regard to the new methodology for measuring asbestos fibres, in particular through the development of guidelines and providing information on relevant Union funds which can be used for that purpose. The Commission should assess if techniques used by Member States to measure exposure should be harmonised to level up protection of workers against asbestos and ensure fair competition between companies across the Union.

Amendment 20
Proposal for a directive
Recital 12 a
(12a) Since 78% of occupational cancers in the Union are linked to asbestos exposure, and in line with the precautionary principle, the European Parliament voted unequivocally in Resolution 2019/2182(INL) to lower the maximum exposure limit for workers to a level corresponding to an airborne concentration of asbestos of 0.001 fibres per cm³ (1 000 fibres per m³).

Amendment 21

Proposal for a directive
Recital 13

(13) Special control measures and precautions are needed for workers exposed or likely to be exposed to asbestos, such as subjecting workers to a decontamination procedure and related training, in order to significantly contribute to reducing the risks related to such exposure.

Amendment 22

Proposal for a directive
Recital 13 a (new)

(13) Special control measures and precautions are needed to lower the concentration of asbestos fibres in the air to as low a level as is technically possible below the limit value. Subjecting workers to a decontamination procedure and strengthening the related training requirements are important elements in order to significantly contribute to reducing the risks related to such exposure and to avoid contamination by a third party. In order to ensure a level playing field, an annex to this Directive should provide for minimum training requirements, including specific requirements for workers in specialised asbestos removal undertakings.
According to a European study from the European Trade Union Committee for Education\(^{1a}\), in several Member States there are still schools that are not asbestos-free, putting at risk education workers and students. Member States should therefore carry out a precise diagnosis and a census of schools and universities containing asbestos, in order to protect workers of this sector as well as pupils and students.


Amendment 23
Proposal for a directive
Recital 14

Preventive measures for the protection of the health of workers exposed to asbestos and the commitment envisaged for Member States with regard to the surveillance of their health are important, in particular the continuation of health surveillance after the end of exposure.

The annex related to the medical surveillance of workers should be updated in light of current knowledge on diseases that can be caused by asbestos exposure. The foreseen revision of the Commission Recommendation concerning the European Schedule of Occupational Diseases should also reflect such new scientific evidences in order to facilitate the recognition procedures for asbestos.
victims.

These preventive measures should take into account that some workers are much more exposed to asbestos than others, and this situation of environmental inequality reinforces the already existing economic inequalities. Construction workers in particular are highly exposed to asbestos.

Amendment 24
Proposal for a directive
Recital 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(15a) The Union should support Member States in reducing health inequalities. In this regard, the worker’s exposure survey on cancer risk factors that the European Agency for Safety and Health at work (EU-OSHA) is preparing should enable better-targeted awareness-raising campaigns and preventive measures. It should include gender-disaggregated data on asbestos exposure as well as data on the sector of activities, occupations and professional status, in order to contribute to evidence-based policies tackling inequalities, including gender inequality.

Amendment 25
Proposal for a directive
Recital 15 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(15b) Considering that the health consequences of asbestos exposure often occur decades after exposure to asbestos and can lead to difficulties in establishing
causation in court, the Commission should introduce legislation establishing a general liability regime for diffuse pollution to provide compensation for all damages for victims from diffuse pollution, including those who suffered from asbestos; the Commission should introduce a legislation compelling companies responsible for such pollution to cover all the medical costs of their victims, especially workers, when these are due to asbestos and other kinds of diffuse pollution.

Amendment 26
Proposal for a directive
Recital 15 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15c) There is a need to provide sufficient and focused administrative support to help employers, in particular small and medium-sized enterprises, implement this Directive. In particular, standardised processes for the removal of asbestos-containing materials would help to reduce the levels of asbestos dust, the cost of those operations and facilitate the fulfilment of the notification requirements.

Amendment 27
Proposal for a directive
Recital 15 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15d) The Russian Government’s war of aggression against Ukraine is not only causing suffering for the Ukrainian
people but also considerable damage to infrastructure, housing and the built environment more generally. As Ukraine did not ban the use of asbestos until 2017, the forthcoming reconstruction of the country poses a significant risk to workers, particularly those that handle rubble. It is therefore of the utmost importance that, whether they employ workers from a Member State or not, European companies involved in rebuilding the country take every possible measure to prevent workers’ exposure to asbestos.

Amendment 28

Proposal for a directive
Recital 15 e (new)

_text proposed by the Commission_

(15e) In order to achieve a uniform level of protection within the Union covering non-occupational exposure to asbestos, it is essential to support research into the risks associated with environmental asbestos exposure pathways, in particular around industrial sites and construction sites containing asbestos. The European Environment Agency should also conduct further research on the presence of asbestos in rivers and their surrounding tributaries, in seas and coastal waters and its effects on vegetation and wildlife, such as the one conducted by the US Environmental Protection Agency.

Amendment 29

Proposal for a directive
Recital 15 f (new)
(15f) Considering the health risk posed by exposure to asbestos, the Union should not be involved in international trade in asbestos. The Union should therefore ban imports and exports of asbestos waste outside the Union. Future legislation on due diligence, which takes into account the environmental and human rights impacts of companies’ activities in third countries, can contribute to corporate responsibility on asbestos. The Union should also work with other international organisations and third countries to achieve a global ban on asbestos and its complete eradication.

Amendment 30
Proposal for a directive
Recital 15 g (new)

(15g) Directive 2009/148/EC should be regularly updated to take into account the latest scientific knowledge and technical developments including an evaluation of different types of asbestos fibres and their adverse health effects. By entry into force of this Directive, the Commission should start the consultation process for updating the provisions on fibrous silicates and, in that context, should in particular assess whether riebeckite, winchite, richterite and fluoro-edenite should be included within the scope of that Directive.

Amendment 31
Proposal for a directive
Recital 15 h (new)
Text proposed by the Commission

(15h) In order to keep pace with technological developments, the Commission should, by ...[five years after the entry into force of this Directive] and every five years thereafter, after consulting the social partners, review the technological and scientific information on asbestos identification, measurement and warning technology and should issue guidelines where such technology is to be used in order to protect workers from exposure to asbestos. A more systematic exchange between Member States of best practices should also be established for that purpose.

Amendment

Amendment 32
Proposal for a directive
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) Since the objective of this Directive, namely to protect workers against risks to their health and safety arising from or likely to arise from exposure to asbestos at work, including the prevention of such risks, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, but can rather, by reason of its scale and effects, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.

Amendment

(16) Since the objective of this Directive, namely to protect workers against risks to their health and safety arising from or likely to arise from exposure to asbestos at work, as well as, incidentally, persons directly and indirectly exposed by means of third-party professional activity such as demolition sites or asbestos waste dumps, including the prevention of such risks, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, but can rather, by reason of its scale and effects, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to
achieve that objective. Exposure to asbestos is a form of environmental and health inequality fostering feelings of injustice and being ‘left behind’ among vulnerable groups. Given these inequalities, it is essential that the Union engages in a top-down harmonisation of protection levels, particularly for workers, but more generally for anyone exposed to asbestos. Despite the difficulty of assessing it accurately, many studies tend to show an underestimation of environmental exposure to asbestos\textsuperscript{1a}. Nevertheless, environmental exposure levels to asbestos can reach occupational exposure levels\textsuperscript{1b}. This means that no form of exposure to asbestos, whether direct or indirect, occupational or private, should ever be overlooked.


\textsuperscript{1b} French High Authority for Health (2009), Exposition environnementale à l’amiante (Environmental exposure to asbestos): état des données et conduite à tenir (state of scientific knowledge and action required).

Amendment 33
Proposal for a directive
Recital 16 a (new)
(16a) In view of the upcoming increase in thermal renovations of buildings, there is a crucial need to support research and development in order to ensure the highest possible level of protection for workers and the local population exposed to asbestos during demolition and renovation operations, and to improve the reliability and speed of asbestos screening, measurement, removal and safe waste management.

Amendment 34
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 2 – introductory phrase

Text proposed by the Commission
For the purposes of this Directive, ‘asbestos’ means the following fibrous silicates, which are classified as carcinogens 1A according to Regulation (EC) 1272/2008*:

Amendment
For the purposes of this Directive, ‘asbestos’ means the following fibrous silicates, which are meeting the criteria for classification as carcinogens 1A according to Regulation (EC) 1272/2008*:

Amendment 35
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 2 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
(fa) erionite, CAS 66733-21-9;

Amendment

Amendment 36
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Present text
1. This Directive shall apply to activities in which workers are or may be exposed in the course of their work to dust arising from asbestos or materials containing asbestos.

Amendment
(2a) in Article 3, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:

‘1. This Directive shall apply to activities in which workers are or may be exposed actively or passively in the course of their work to dust arising from asbestos or materials containing asbestos.’;


Amendment 37
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment
(2b) in Article 3, paragraph 3 is deleted.


Amendment 38
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 c (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 3 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment
(2c) in Article 3, paragraph 4 is deleted.
Amendment 39

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 d (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point a

Present text

(2d) In Article 4, paragraph 3, point a is replaced by the following:

(a) the location of the worksite;

Amendment

‘(a) the location of the worksite and the specific areas in which the work will be carried out;’;

Amendment 40

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 e (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point d

Present text

(2e) In Article 4, paragraph 3, point d is replaced by the following:

(d) the number of workers involved;

Amendment

‘(d) the number of workers involved, a list of the workers likely to be assigned to the site, the individual certificates proving their competence and the training received, and the dates of the mandatory medical visits;’;

Amendment 41
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 f (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point e

Present text

Amendment

(2f) In Article 4, paragraph 3, point e is replaced by the following:

(e) the starting date and duration of the work;

‘(e) the starting date and duration of the work and the planned working hours;’;


Amendment 42

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 g (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2g) In Article 4, paragraph 3, the following point is added:

‘(fa) the characteristics of the equipment used for the protection and decontamination of workers;’.


Amendment 43

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 h (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point f b (new)
Text proposed by the Commission  

(2h) In Article 4, paragraph 3 the following point is added:
‘(fb) the procedure for the decontamination of workers and equipment, durations and working hours;’;


Amendment 44

Proposal for a directive  
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 i (new)  
Directive 2009/148/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point f c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  

(2i) In Article 4, paragraph 3 the following point is added:
‘(fc) the characteristics of the equipment used for disposal;’;


Amendment 45

Proposal for a directive  
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 j (new)  
Directive 2009/148/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  

(2j) In Article 4, paragraph 3, the following subparagraph is added:
‘Notifications shall be kept by the responsible authority of the Member State’;
in accordance with national law and practice for a minimum of 40 years.’;


Amendment 46

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 k (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 5 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2k) In Article 5, the following paragraph is inserted after paragraph 1:
‘Asbestos-containing parts and materials already in use shall be safely removed and disposed of when technically feasible, and not repaired, maintained, sealed or covered. Asbestos-containing materials which cannot be removed shall be identified, registered and regularly monitored.’;


Amendment 47

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 l (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 5 – paragraph 2

Present text

Amendment

(2l) in Article 5, paragraph 2 is replaced by the following:
’Activities which expose workers to asbestos fibres during the extraction of asbestos or the manufacture and processing of asbestos products or the manufacture and processing of products containing intentionally added asbestos shall be
products or the manufacture and processing of products containing intentionally added asbestos shall be prohibited, with the exception of the treatment and disposal of products resulting from demolition and asbestos removal.


Amendment 48

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) work processes shall be designed so as not to produce asbestos dust or, if that proves impossible, to avoid the release of asbestos dust into the air;

Amendment

(b) work processes shall be designed so as not to produce asbestos dust or, if that proves impossible, to avoid the release of asbestos dust into the air to a level as low as technically possible by implementing at least the following measures:

(i) asbestos dust suppression;
(ii) the suction of asbestos dust at the source;
(iii) the continuous sedimentation of asbestos fibres suspended in the air;
(iv) appropriate decontamination;

Amendment 49

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 a (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Present text

(3a) in Article 7, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:

Amendment
Depending on the results of the initial risk assessment, and in order to ensure compliance with the limit value laid down in Article 8, measurement of asbestos fibres in the air at the workplace shall be carried out regularly.

‘Depending on the results of the initial risk assessment, and in order to ensure compliance with the limit value laid down in Article 8, measurement of asbestos fibres in the air at the workplace shall be carried out during the specific operational phases and at regular intervals during the work process;’;


Amendment 50
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5 a (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 10 – paragraph 1

Present text
(5a) in Article 10, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:

1. Where the limit value laid down in Article 8 is exceeded, the reasons for the limit being exceeded must be identified and appropriate measures to remedy the situation must be taken as soon as possible. Work may not be continued in the affected area until adequate measures have been taken for the protection of the workers concerned.

Amendment
‘1. Where the limit value laid down in Article 8 is exceeded, or if there is reason to believe that asbestos-containing materials which are not identified prior to the work have been disturbed so as to generate dust, work shall stop immediately. The reasons for the limit being exceeded shall then be identified and appropriate measures to remedy the situation must be taken as soon as possible. Work shall not be continued in the affected area until adequate measures have been taken for the protection of the workers concerned.’;


Amendment 51
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6
Before beginning demolition or maintenance work, employers shall take, if appropriate by obtaining information from the owners of the premises as well as from other sources of information, including relevant registers, all necessary steps to identify presumed asbestos-containing materials.

Before beginning demolition, maintenance work or undertaking a renovation on a premise built before the year in which the national asbestos ban entered into force, employers shall take, if appropriate by obtaining information from the owners of the premises as well as from other sources of information, including relevant registers, all necessary steps to identify presumed asbestos-containing materials.

In the case of certain activities such as demolition, asbestos removal work, repairing and maintenance, in respect of which it is foreseeable that the limit value set out in Article 8 will be exceeded despite the use of technical preventive measures for limiting asbestos in air concentrations, the employer shall determine the measures intended to ensure protection of the workers while they are engaged in such activities, in particular the following:

‘In the case of certain activities such as demolition, asbestos removal work, repairing and maintenance, in respect of which it is foreseeable that the limit value set out in Article 8 will be exceeded despite the use of all possible technical preventive measures for limiting asbestos in air concentrations, the employer shall determine the measures intended to ensure protection of the workers while they are engaged in such activities, in particular the following:’;
Amendment 53

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 b (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 15

Present text

Article 15

Before carrying out demolition or asbestos removal work, firms must provide evidence of their ability in this field. The evidence shall be established in accordance with national laws and/or practice.

Amendment

(6b) Article 15 is replaced by the following:

1. Undertakings which intend to carry out demolition or asbestos removal work shall be required to obtain before the start of work a permit from the competent authority. Competent authorities may grant such permits in due time if the applicant undertaking provides proof of adequate state of the art technical equipment for emission-free or, where this is not technically possible yet, low-emission work procedures in line with the requirements of Article 6, and training certificates for their individual workers in accordance with Article 14 and Annex 1a.

2. Competent authorities shall grant permits to undertakings only if they have no doubt as to the reliability of the undertaking and its management. The permits shall be renewable every five years, in accordance with national law and practice.

3. Member States shall establish public registers of the undertakings authorised to remove asbestos under paragraph 1.’;


Amendment 54

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 c (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 16 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Present text

1. In the case of all activities referred to in Article 3(1), and subject to Article 3(3), appropriate measures shall be taken to ensure that:

Amendment

(6c) Article 16, paragraph 1, the introductory part is replaced by following:

‘1. In the case of all activities referred to in Article 3(1) appropriate measures shall be taken to ensure that:’;

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 d (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 16 – paragraph 1 – point c

Present text

(c) workers are provided with appropriate working or protective clothing; this working or protective clothing remains within the undertaking; it may, however, be laundered in establishments outside the undertaking which are equipped for this sort of work if the undertaking does not carry out the cleaning itself; in that event the clothing shall be transported in closed containers;

Amendment

(6d) in Article 16, paragraph 1, the point (c) is replaced by the following:

‘(c) workers are provided with appropriate working or protective clothing as well as protective equipment, in particular respiratory equipment, which is subject to a mandatory individual fitting check; that working or protective clothing remains within the undertaking; it may, however, be laundered in establishments outside the undertaking which are equipped for this sort of work if the undertaking does not carry out the cleaning itself; in that event the clothing shall be transported in closed containers;’;

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 e (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 17 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Present text

Amendment

(6e) in Article 17, paragraph 2, the introductory part is replaced by the following:

2. In addition to the measures referred to in paragraph 1, and subject to Article 3(3), appropriate measures shall be taken to ensure that:


Amendment 57

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 f (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6f) in Article 18, paragraph 1 is deleted.


Amendment 58

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 g (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 18 b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6g) The following Article is inserted:

‘Article 18ba
1. By ... [one year after the date of entry into force of this amending Directive], the Commission shall, in
cooperation with the Advisory Committee for Safety and Health at Work, and after consulting social partners, develop guidelines to support the application of this Directive. Those guidelines shall provide, where appropriate, sector-specific responses. The sector-specific responses shall particularly consider activities in the renovation and demolition, waste management, mining, cleaning and firefighting sectors. These solutions must also take into account the release of asbestos into the environment.

2. By … [20 days after the publication of this Directive in the Official Journal of the European Union] the Commission shall start the consultation process for updating the fibrous silicates within the scope of this Directive and, in that context, assess the inclusion of riebeckite, winchite, richterite and fluoro-edenite. After consulting the social partners, the Commission shall where appropriate propose necessary amendments to this Directive in a legislative proposal.

3. By … [five years after the date of entry into force of this amending Directive] and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall, after consulting the social partners, review the technological and scientific state of asbestos identification, measurement or warning technology and issue guidelines for when such technology is to be used in order to protect workers from exposure to asbestos.';

Amendment 59

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 h (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6h) in Article 19, paragraph 1 is deleted


Amendment 60

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 a (new)

Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7a) the following Article 19a is inserted:

‘Article 19a

In order to protect workers and any other person who may be exposed to asbestos, Member States shall establish national digital asbestos registers listing all asbestos existing on their territory. These registers shall fulfil the following requirements:

(a) public accessibility, free of charge, including for workers and companies working in a building or infrastructure, owners, inhabitants, firefighters and other emergency services and users, in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679;

(b) the year of construction of the building or infrastructure concerned (before or after the national asbestos ban);

(c) information about the type of building or infrastructure in which asbestos is located (private, public or
business premises);

(d) the specific location of harmful substances and the parts of the building that have been screened for asbestos;

(e) an indication of where work will be or has been performed (inside/outside) as well as the part of the building (floors, walls, ceilings, roofs) or infrastructure;

(f) the type of material (asbestos cement, insulation, putty etc.) and an estimated proportion of those types of material;

(g) the kind of works that need to be conducted and an indication of work methods that may disturb asbestos containing materials (drilling, cutting etc.) and the expected duration of the works;

(h) a timeline for removal of asbestos and a management plan of removed asbestos.

Schools shall be given priority treatment so as to ensure that all schools containing asbestos are identified as soon as possible and that the asbestos removal work to protect children and school staff is undertaken as soon as possible.

This national register shall supplement a European register set up by the Commission within two years after the entry into force of the directive.

Article 20 – paragraphs 2 to 6 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(7b) in Article 20, the following paragraphs are added:

2. Member States must establish a liability regime for diffuse pollution to compensate victims, including victims of asbestos.

3. Member States must ensure effective access to justice and compensation for asbestos victims.

4. Compensation shall cover all prejudice caused by this pollution, including the prejudice of anxiety, which should be recognised and compensated.

5. In order to take into account the drudgery at work, the Member States must take into account the exposure to asbestos when they elaborate their social security and pension schemes.

6. Employers responsible for such pollution shall cover all the medical costs of their victims, especially workers, when these are due to asbestos and other kinds of diffuse pollution.’;

Amendment 62

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 c (new)

Present text

Article 21

Member States shall keep a register of recognised cases of asbestosis and

Amendment

(7c) Article 21 is replaced by the following:

‘Article 21

1. Member States shall keep a register of all recognised cases of asbestos-related occupational diseases. An indicative list of
mesothelioma. diseases that can be caused by asbestos exposure according to current knowledge is set out in Annex 1a.

2. The term “recognised cases” referred to in paragraph 1 shall not be limited to cases for which compensation is granted, but shall refer to all cases of medically diagnosed asbestos-related diseases.’;


Amendment 63
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 d (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 21 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7d) the following article is inserted after Article 21:

‘Article 21a

The Member States are required to develop treatment and inerting centres for waste containing asbestos on their territory. By 2050, each State must have at least one inerting centre allowing to treat [100%] of its asbestos-containing waste.’;

Amendment 64
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 e (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 21 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7e) the following article is inserted after Article 21:

‘Article 21b

All existing information regarding the
presence and location of asbestos shall be shared with firefighters and emergency services.’;


Amendment 65

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 f (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 22 – paragraphs 2 to 4 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7f) in Article 22, the following paragraphs are inserted:

‘2. Not later than … [2 years after entry into force of the Directive], Member States, with the support of the Commission, shall draw up a plan for the safe, controlled and documented disposal of asbestos-containing waste, ensuring the availability of suitable waste treatment facilities. The plan shall include a solution for the total separation of waste cycles, in accordance with the principle of no asbestos contamination in waste cycles, preventing the reuse of building materials, ensuring maximum protection of workers in the field of the circular economy and guaranteeing environmentally safe storage of asbestos waste, according to the best available technologies.

3. The national public register of landfills containing asbestos waste - as set out in Article 19a - will have to be contained in the plan in order to avoid the uncontrolled diffusion of asbestos fibres in the air, the unintentional displacement of the soils in which these materials are buried, and the associated health risks for citizens.

4. By … [2 years after entry into
force of the Directive], and every [year] thereafter, Member States shall publish a national roadmap for asbestos-free workplaces.

This roadmap shall be elaborated with the participation of, at least: social partners and unions, asbestos victims' groups, tenants representatives, environmental organisations, representatives of national health services.

This roadmap shall contain, at least: asbestos reduction national targets; access to the plan for the safe, controlled and documented disposal of asbestos-containing waste; access to the national public register; recall of the national regulation; best practices to reduce the exposure of workers to asbestos. It shall take into account gender balance issues.

This roadmap shall propose a pathway to achieve the national target, and intermediate targets for asbestos free workplaces. Indicators shall be available and filed every [year]. The Commission shall assess national roadmaps [every 2 years] and publish its evaluation and recommendation.’;

Amendment 66

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 g (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 22 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(7g) the following article is inserted after Article 22:

‘Article 22a

From the entry into force of this directive, Member States shall organise annual asbestos awareness campaigns in order to provide relevant information to workers and their families, employers, owners,
tenants, building and infrastructure users, and citizens on the risks of asbestos exposure – including the synergistic effect of tobacco use and asbestos exposure – and in particular its delayed and cumulative effects on human health, and on accompanying measures for safe asbestos removal and asbestos-related legal obligations. These information campaigns shall also be targeted towards people who are carrying out renovation work in their homes.);


Amendment 67

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 h (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 22 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7h) the following article 22b is inserted after Article 22:

‘Article 22b

Application of the provisions of this Directive shall not put low-income households in a situation where they cannot afford to carry out the necessary renovation work, in particular the requirements of Article 5 of this Directive. Member States must implement technical and financial accompanying measures to ensure that income is not an obstacle to safe removal of asbestos in buildings.’;

Amendment 68

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 i (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Article 22 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7i) the following article is inserted after Article 22:

‘Article 22c

By... [two years after the entry into force of this Directive], the Commission shall submit where appropriate, after consulting the social partners, a legislative proposal setting out minimum requirements for the recognition of occupational diseases, including all asbestos-related diseases, and adequate compensation for individuals concerned. The proposal by the Commission should consider at least the following elements:

(a) a list of occupational diseases liable for compensation and subject to preventive measures that shall be recognised by the Member States and be without prejudice to more favourable national law, building on the Commission Recommendation of 19 September 2003 concerning the European schedule of occupational diseases, and updated according to the latest available scientific knowledge;

(b) the establishment of one-stop-shops as contact points for individuals concerned, dealing with all matters regarding occupational diseases;

(c) the establishment of a national function, such as an ombudsperson, to assist victims of occupational diseases in recognition procedures as well as increasing support for, and the exchange of best practices with, inter alia, trade unions and victim associations with regard to recognition procedures;

(d) provisions for adequate compensation of recognised occupational diseases, following the provisions of article 20 (new).’;
Amendment 69

Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by two years after the date of entry into force of this Directive at the latest. They shall immediately communicate the text of those measures to the Commission.

Amendment

With the exception of shorter time limits for transposition expressly set out for specific provisions of this Directive, Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by two years after the date of entry into force of this Directive at the latest. They shall immediately communicate the text of those measures to the Commission.

Amendment 70

Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

1a. For the purposes of ensuring a smooth implementation of the provisions of this Directive, the Commission shall support Member States by providing appropriate technical guidance, as well as information on relevant Union funds which can be used to support that implementation, in particular when it comes to upgrading fibre counting methodologies; upgrading equipment, practices and training, at company level; and supporting households. Member States shall be encouraged to make use of these Union funds.
Amendment 71

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 a (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Annex I – point 1

Present text

1. Current knowledge indicates that exposure to free asbestos fibres can give rise to the following diseases:
   — asbestosis,
   — mesothelioma,
   — bronchial carcinoma,
   — gastro-intestinal carcinoma.

Amendment

(7a) In Annex I, point 1 is replaced by the following:

1. Current knowledge indicates that exposure to free asbestos fibres can give rise to the following diseases,
   — asbestosis,
   — mesothelioma,
   — bronchial carcinoma,
   — gastro-intestinal carcinoma,
   — carcinoma of the larynx,
   — carcinoma of the ovary,
   — non-malignant pleural diseases.


Amendment 72

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 a (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Annex I – point 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(7a) In Annex I, the following point is inserted after point 1:

‘1a. Positive associations have been noted by the International Agency for Research on Cancer between asbestos exposure and the following diseases:
   — pharyngeal cancer,
   — colorectal cancer,
   — stomach cancer.’;

Amendment 73

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 b (new)
Directive 2009/148/EC
Annex I a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(7b) The following annex is inserted after Annex I:

‘Annex Ia

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR TRAINING

Workers who are, or who are likely to be, exposed to dust from asbestos or materials containing asbestos, shall receive a complete mandatory training, encompassing at least the following minimum requirements:

1. The training shall be provided at the start of an employment relationship and at intervals not exceeding four years.

2. The training shall be provided either by an instructor whose qualification is recognised by a national authority or by a certified institution in accordance with national law and practice.

3. Every worker who has attended training in a satisfactory manner and has passed the required test shall receive a training certificate indicating all of the following:

(a) the date of the training;
(b) the duration of the training;
(c) the content of the training;
(d) the language of the training;
(e) the name, qualification, and contact details of the instructor or of the institution providing the training.
4. Workers who are, or who are likely to be, exposed to dust from asbestos or materials containing asbestos shall receive at least the following training, with a theoretical and a practical part, regarding all of the following:

(a) the applicable law of the Member State in which the work is carried out;
(b) the properties of asbestos and its effects on health, including the synergistic effect of smoking, as well as the risks linked to secondary and environmental exposure;
(c) the types of products or materials likely to contain asbestos;
(d) the operations that could result in asbestos exposure and the importance of preventive controls to minimise exposure;
(e) safe work practices, including workplace preparation, choice of working methods and planning of work execution, ventilation, point extraction, measurement and control, and regular breaks;
(f) the appropriate role, choice, selection, limitations and proper use of protective equipment, with special regard to respiratory equipment;
(g) emergency procedures;
(h) decontamination procedures;
(i) waste disposal;
(j) medical surveillance requirements.

The training shall be adapted as closely as possible to the nature of the profession and the specific tasks and work methods it involves.

5. Workers who engage in demolition or asbestos-removal work shall be required to receive training, in addition to the training provided for pursuant to paragraph 4, regarding both of the following:

(a) the use of technological equipment and machines to contain the release and
spreading of asbestos fibres during the work processes, in accordance with this directive;

(b) the newest available technologies and machines for emission-free or, where this is not yet technically possible, low-emission working procedures, to contain the release and spreading of asbestos fibres.'.
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