



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety*

---

**2011/2012(INI)**

02.5.2011

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **121 - 241**

**Draft report**  
**Bas Eickhout**  
(PE460.597v01-00)

the analysis of options to move beyond 20% greenhouse gas emission reductions and assessing the risk of carbon leakage  
(COM(2010)265 – 2011/2012(INI))

AM\865781EN.doc

PE462.703v02-00

AM\_Com\_NonLegReport

**Amendment 121**

**Åsa Westlund**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***5a. Considers, in line with the IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report and the EU's objective of playing a leading role in climate work, that the EU should reduce its emissions by 40%;***

Or. sv

**Amendment 122**

**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even with a pathway of 30% reductions in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;***

***deleted***

Or. it

**Amendment 123**

**Elisabetta Gardini, Sergio Berlato**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even with a pathway of 30% reductions in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 124**

**Eija-Riitta Korhola, Riikka Manner, Romana Jordan Cizelj**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even **with a pathway of 30% reductions in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050** the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even **when meeting the 2050 targets** the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;

Or. en

**Amendment 125**

**Silvia-Adriana Țicău, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Rovana Plumb**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even with a pathway of 30% **reductions** in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even with a pathway **of reductions in greenhouse gases** of 30% in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 **compared with greenhouse gas emission levels in 1990** the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;

Or. ro

**Amendment 126**

**Salvatore Tatarella, Richard Seeber, Peter Liese, Sergio Berlato, Paolo Bartolozzi**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even with a pathway of 30% reductions in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share **of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;**

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even with a pathway of 30% reductions in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share **on the global level. The EU per capita share must however be considered in relation to per capita shares in a global context.**

Or. en

**Amendment 127**

**Matthias Groote**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even **with** a pathway of 30% reductions in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even **if the international community agrees to** a pathway of 30% reductions in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 **and this is achieved**, the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;

Or. de

**Amendment 128**

**Elena Oana Antonescu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even with a pathway of 30% reductions in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates that cumulative emissions are decisive for the climate system; notes that even with a pathway of 30% reductions in 2020, 55% in 2030, 75% in 2040 and 90% in 2050 the EU would still be responsible for approximately double its **GHG emissions** per capita share of the global 2°C compatible carbon budget, and that delaying emissions reductions increases the cumulative share significantly;

Or. en

**Amendment 129**

**Salvatore Tatarella, Richard Seeber, Peter Liese, Bogusław Sonik, Elisabetta Gardini, Martin Callanan, Karl-Heinz Florenz, Theodoros Skylakakis, Miroslav Ouzký**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***6 a. Recalls that the EU with little more than 10% of global emissions will not be able to tackle climate change on its own.***

Or. en

**Amendment 130**  
**Kriton Arsenis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***6 a. Notes that the opportunity cost of not acting now is higher than the additional €11 billion required for moving to a 30% GHG reduction target by 2020;***

Or. en

**Amendment 131**  
**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***7. Stresses that delaying climate action would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock and slower technological learning;***

***deleted***

Or. it

## Amendment 132

Eija-Riitta Korhola, Karl-Heinz Florenz, Salvatore Tatarella, Romana Jordan Cizelj

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 7

##### *Motion for a resolution*

7. Stresses that delaying climate action would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock and slower technological learning;

##### *Amendment*

7. Stresses that delaying **global and European** climate action would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock and slower technological learning;

Or. en

## Amendment 133

Françoise Grossetête

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 7

##### *Motion for a resolution*

7. Stresses that delaying climate action would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock and slower technological learning;

##### *Amendment*

7. Stresses that delaying climate action **at international level** would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock and slower technological learning;

Or. fr

## Amendment 134

Sabine Wils

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 7

##### *Motion for a resolution*

7. Stresses that delaying climate action would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock and slower

##### *Amendment*

7. Stresses that delaying climate action would result in higher costs **not only** for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock and



technological learning;

slower technological learning, ***but also in loosing an innovative EU leading role in research, job creation and giving guidance for a greener sustainable economy;***

Or. en

**Amendment 135**  
**Kriton Arsenis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Stresses that delaying climate action would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock ***and*** slower technological learning;

*Amendment*

7. Stresses that delaying climate action would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock, slower technological learning ***and the loss of EU's competitiveness in the global clean energy market, which is forecast to be worth €10 trillion;***

Or. en

**Amendment 136**  
**Dan Jørgensen, Jo Leinen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Stresses that delaying climate action would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock and slower technological learning;

*Amendment*

7. Stresses that delaying climate action would result in higher costs for achieving the 2050 target due to stranded investment in high-carbon capital stock and slower technological learning ***as documented by the Commission in the 2050 Low Carbon Roadmap;***

Or. en

**Amendment 137**  
**Salvatore Tatarella, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***7a. Notes that we have to take in consideration the different economic assessment in different Member States and sectors; recognizes that a too fast acceleration in emission reduction would lead to a lock - in of more efficient but still carbon intensive technologies.***

Or. en

**Amendment 138**  
**Peter Liese, Richard Seeber**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***7a. Points out that in 2009 the level of emissions in the European Union was already 17.3% below that in 1990, which means that a 20% target does not give a strong signal to market operators to invest in low-CO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>-free technologies;***

Or. de

**Amendment 139**  
**Åsa Westlund, Marita Ulvskog**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***7a. Recalls that the Stern report showed that it is most cost-effective to make the majority of emissions reductions at the beginning of the commitment period;***

Or. sv

**Amendment 140**

**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***8. Points out that, according to the Commission analysis, the surplus of allowances in the ETS will correspond to around 2.4 billion banked allowances and unused international credits in 2020;***

***deleted***

Or. it

**Amendment 141**

**Eija-Riitta Korhola, Karl-Heinz Florenz, Salvatore Tatarella**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8. Points out that, according to the Commission analysis, the surplus of allowances in the ETS *will correspond* to around 2.4 billion *banked* allowances and *unused international credits in 2020*;**

**8. Points out that, according to the *2010* Commission analysis, the surplus of allowances in the ETS *could be up* to 2.4 billion allowances;**

Or. en

**Amendment 142**  
**Salvatore Tatarella**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Points out that, according to the Commission analysis, the surplus of allowances in the ETS **will** correspond to around 2.4 billion banked allowances and unused international credits in 2020;

*Amendment*

8. Points out that, according to the Commission analysis, the surplus of allowances in the ETS **due mainly to the economic crisis could** correspond to around 2.4 billion banked allowances and unused international credits in 2020;

Or. en

**Amendment 143**  
**Holger Krahmer, Salvatore Tatarella**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Points out that, according to the Commission analysis, the surplus of allowances in the ETS will correspond to around 2.4 billion banked allowances and unused international credits in 2020;

*Amendment*

8. Points out that, according to the Commission analysis, the surplus of allowances in the ETS **due mainly to the economic crisis** will correspond to around 2.4 billion banked allowances and unused international credits in 2020;

Or. en

**Amendment 144**  
**Françoise Grossetête**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Points out that, according to the Commission analysis, the surplus of allowances in the ETS will correspond to

*Amendment*

8. Points out that, according to the Commission analysis, the surplus of allowances in the ETS will correspond to

around 2.4 billion banked allowances and unused international credits in 2020;

around 2.4 billion banked allowances and unused international credits in 2020; *points out that the provision enabling these allowances to be banked has enabled carbon prices to be maintained; considers, furthermore, that stable rules for the European emissions trading market are important for encouraging investment and that a Commission initiative to make registry access safe and improve market regulation would be welcome;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 145**  
**Chris Davies**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*8a. Expresses concern that the current lack of ambition could result in low levels of investment, and the relative stagnation of European economies compared to those of Asia;*

Or. en

**Amendment 146**  
**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends heavily on the price signal delivered by the carbon market and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will have a very limited role in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-*

*deleted*

*emission technologies in the sectors it covers;*

Or. it

#### **Amendment 147**

**Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Liese, Holger Krahmer, Eija-Riitta Korhola**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 9**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends heavily on the price signal delivered by the carbon market and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will ***have a very limited role*** in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers;

###### *Amendment*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends heavily on the price signal delivered by the carbon market and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, ***the role which*** the ETS will ***play*** in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers ***cannot currently be predicted with any certainty, as the forecast range for the carbon price is quite wide;***

Or. de

#### **Amendment 148**

**Eija-Riitta Korhola, Riikka Manner**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 9**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends ***heavily*** on the price signal delivered by the carbon market ***and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will have a very limited*** role in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers;

###### *Amendment*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends ***amongst others*** on the price signal delivered by the carbon market ***for which reason a low carbon price has a smaller*** role in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers;

**Amendment 149****Salvatore Tatarella, Elisabetta Gardini, Sergio Berlato****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 9***Motion for a resolution*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends **heavily** on the price signal delivered by the carbon market **and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will have a very limited role in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers;**

*Amendment*

9. Recognises that ***the fuel switching towards cleaner fuels and private*** investment in green technologies depends ***not only*** on the price signal delivered by the carbon market, ***as demonstrated by the recent experience in third countries which do not have a market mechanism to trade emission allowances.***

**Amendment 150****Sabine Wils****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 9***Motion for a resolution*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends **heavily** on the price signal delivered by the carbon market and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will have a very limited role in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers;

*Amendment*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends ***not only*** on the price signal delivered by the carbon market ***but also in the implementation of consistent environmental policies aiming to develop social and greener economy as a job creation alternative to economic decline and crisis;*** concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will have a very limited role in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers; ***thereby risking a lock-in carbon intensive installations and infrastructures***

*for the coming decades;*

Or. en

## **Amendment 151**

**Bas Eickhout**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 9**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends heavily on the price signal delivered by the carbon market and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will have a very limited role in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers;

##### *Amendment*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends heavily on the price signal delivered by the carbon market and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will have a very limited role in driving emission reductions and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers;  
***considers that in the absence of significant tightening of the ETS caps, other measures, such as emissions performance standards for both old and new combustion plants, would be necessary to drive the technological development and prevent lock-in to high-carbon investment;***

Or. en

## **Amendment 152**

**Dan Jørgensen, Jo Leinen**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 9**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends heavily on the price signal delivered by the carbon market and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will have a very limited role in driving emission reductions

##### *Amendment*

9. Recognises that investment in green technologies depends heavily on the price signal delivered by the carbon market and concludes therefore that, under the current 20% target, the ETS will have a very limited role in driving emission reductions



and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers;

and deployment of low-emission technologies in the sectors it covers, *thereby risking a lock-in in carbon intensive installations and infrastructure for the coming decades;*

Or. en

**Amendment 153**  
**Chris Davies**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*9a. Notes the support of Eurelectric, on behalf of the European electricity industry, for the EU ETS as the main policy driver for the deployment of commercially viable low carbon technologies;*

Or. en

**Amendment 154**  
**Jo Leinen, Dan Jørgensen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*9a. Points out that if the EU delivers on its current policies, including its commitment to reach 20% renewables, and achieve 20% energy efficiency by 2020, this would enable the EU to outperform the current 20% emission reduction target and achieve a 25% reduction by 2020; underlines that this would require the full implementation of the Energy Efficiency Plan, which identifies measures which would be necessary to deliver the energy efficiency*

*target.*

Or. en

**Amendment 155**

**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***10. Notes that, due to the surplus and low carbon price, the auction of allowances will also not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected;*** ***deleted***

Or. it

**Amendment 156**

**Elisabetta Gardini, Sergio Berlato**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***10. Notes that, due to the surplus and low carbon price, the auction of allowances will also not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected;*** ***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 157**

**Peter Liese, Richard Seeber**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***10. Notes that, due to the surplus and low*** ***10. Calls for the trend in*** the carbon price

carbon price, *the auction of allowances will also not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected;*

*and in emission trading in general to be closely monitored and, in this context, for the question to be examined of whether the price signal is sufficient to encourage investment in low-CO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>-free technologies and whether, at the same time, the risk of carbon leakage is able to be effectively dealt with;*

Or. de

#### **Amendment 158**

**Eija-Riitta Korhola, Karl-Heinz Florenz, Salvatore Tatarella**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Notes that, due to *the surplus and* low carbon price, the auction of allowances *will also* not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected;

###### *Amendment*

10. Notes that, due to low carbon price, the auction of allowances *might* not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 159**

**Salvatore Tatarella**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Notes that, due to the *surplus and* low carbon price, the auction of allowances *will also* not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected;

###### *Amendment*

10. Notes that, due to the *current* low carbon price, *resulting from the recession*, *the* auction of allowances *might* also not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected;

Or. en

**Amendment 160**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Notes that, due to the surplus and low carbon price, the auction of allowances will also not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected;

*Amendment*

10. Notes that, due to the surplus and low carbon price, the auction of allowances will also not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected ***while domestic targets in developing energy efficiency and savings will be more effective in stimulating adequate investments;***

Or. en

**Amendment 161**  
**Françoise Grossetête**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Notes that, due to the surplus and low carbon price, the auction of allowances will also not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected;

*Amendment*

10. Notes that, due to the surplus and low carbon price, the auction of allowances will also not mobilise resources for climate investments as expected; ***considers that the Member States should encourage effective use of auction income during stage 3 so as to promote R&D and innovation with a view to achieving long-term reductions in greenhouse gas emissions; firmly believes that effective coordination between the Member States, via the Commission, should make it possible for a large proportion of this income to be used for joint Community projects, enabling the EU to compete effectively with the research policies of its competitors;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 162**

**Silvia-Adriana Țicău, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***10a. Considers that stepping up to a 30% target should be subject to the conclusion of a post-Kyoto agreement containing objectives and firm commitments by all the industrialised countries of the world;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 163**

**Silvia-Adriana Țicău, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***10b. Calls on the Commission to review the EU ETS directive forming part of the EU 2020 legislative package concerning energy and climate change adopted in December 2008, with a view to ensuring a 30% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 compared with 1990 levels;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 164**

**Salvatore Tatarella, Miroslav Ouzký, Martin Callanan**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***10a. Considering that at international level individual countries have not yet***

*shown a preference for a target based approach but are making concrete efforts in investing in clean technologies instead.*

Or. en

*(Rather than focusing the EU climate and energy policy discussion on new numerical emission reduction targets, European business calls on EU institutions to work towards a comprehensive low-carbon strategy for Europe in 2020 and beyond which will provide a stable investment environment for European companies. The pragmatic sectoral, bottom-up and technology-based approach to the climate and energy challenges pursued for example in China is an approach from which the EU could learn.)*

**Amendment 165**

**Elisabetta Gardini, Sergio Berlato**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*10a. Considering that at international level individual countries have not yet shown an appetite for a target based approach but are making concrete efforts in investing in clean technologies instead*

Or. en

**Amendment 166**

**Eija-Riitta Korhola**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*10a. Notes that the average carbon price forecast for the third trading period is at about 28 Euro with 36 Euro in the year 2020, while the forecast of the modelling of the Commissions 2010 communication is at 16.50 Euro.*

**Amendment 167**  
**Kriton Arsenis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***10 a. Calls for the introduction of a minimum carbon price in the EU-ETS, below which fluctuations can not occur; notes that such a "price floor" should be fixed at an appropriate level which triggers investments in clean energy technologies;***

Or. en

**Amendment 168**  
**Dan Jørgensen, Jo Leinen, Linda McAvan, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***10a. Supports the idea of setting aside 1,4 billion allowances from the EU ETS prior to 2020 as a possible solution for maintaining the incentives in the ETS and to ensure the level of stringency foreseen at the time of the legislative procedure; calls also for a significant number of allowances to be auctioned at EU level to support a technology accelerator and to fund a just transition mechanism for the European workforce; calls on the Commission to present a proposal for how this policy could be organised in practice.***

Or. en

**Amendment 169**  
**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Subheading 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Options and tools to move beyond the 20% target***      ***deleted***

Or. it

**Amendment 170**  
**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***11. Recalls that, according to the Commission analysis, stepping up to the 30% reduction target with 25% domestic effort now represents an increase of EUR 11 billion as compared to 2008 projections for the absolute costs of the climate and energy package in 2020; notes the Commission assessment that this will raise the carbon price in the EU ETS to some EUR 30/tonne of CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. similar to the level estimated necessary for the 20% reduction target in 2008;***      ***deleted***

Or. it

**Amendment 171**  
**Holger Krahmer**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

11. Recalls that, according to the

11. Recalls that, according to the



Commission *analysis, stepping up to the 30% reduction target with 25% domestic effort now represents an increase of EUR 11 billion as compared to 2008 projections for the absolute costs of the climate and energy package* in 2020; notes the Commission assessment that this *will raise the carbon price in the EU ETS to some EUR 30/tonne of CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. similar to the level estimated necessary for the 20% reduction target in 2008*;

Commission *Communication the additional total costs for the EU to step up from the current 20% to 30% are estimated to be around EUR 33 billion in the year 2020*; notes the Commission assessment that *in order to achieve this 30% reduction, it is estimated that the carbon price in the EU ETS would amount to some EUR 30/tonne of CO<sub>2</sub>*;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 172**

**Salvatore Tatarella, Richard Seeber, Peter Liese, Elisabetta Gardini, Paolo Bartolozzi, Sergio Berlato**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 11**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

11. Recalls that, according to the Commission analysis, stepping up to the 30% reduction target with 25% domestic effort now represents an increase of EUR 11 billion as compared to 2008 projections for the absolute costs of the climate and energy package in 2020; *notes the Commission assessment that this will raise the carbon price in the EU ETS to some EUR 30/tonne of CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. similar to the level estimated necessary for the 20% reduction target in 2008*;

##### *Amendment*

11. Recalls that *the Roadmap for moving to a competitive low carbon economy in 2050 confirms the EU's offer in the international negotiations to take on a 30% reduction target for 2020, if the conditions are right. Recalls that,* according to the Commission analysis, stepping up to the 30% reduction target with 25% domestic effort now represents an increase of EUR 11 billion as compared to 2008 projections for the absolute costs of the climate and energy package in 2020;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 173**

**Eija-Riitta Korhola, Romana Jordan Cizelj**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. Recalls that, according to the Commission analysis, stepping up to ***the*** 30% reduction target with 25% domestic effort now represents an increase of EUR 11 billion as compared to 2008 projections for the absolute costs of the climate and energy package in 2020; ***notes the Commission assessment that this will raise the carbon price in the EU ETS to some EUR 30/tonne of CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. similar to the level estimated necessary for the 20% reduction target in 2008;***

*Amendment*

11. Recalls that, according to the ***2010*** Commission analysis, stepping up to ***a*** 30% reduction target with 25% domestic effort now represents an increase of EUR 11 billion as compared to 2008 projections for the absolute costs of the climate and energy package in 2020;

Or. en

**Amendment 174**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***11a. Considers that the ETS has proved ineffective as an instrument for encouraging emission reductions and calls on the Commission and Member States to evaluate and introduce new instruments to encourage the development of green industries, increased energy efficiency and the efficient use of resources;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 175**  
**Chris Davies**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***11a. Stresses that, in order to achieve a 30% greenhouse gas emission reduction by 2020 a high and stable carbon price is necessary to drive investment in low-carbon technology***

Or. en

**Amendment 176**

**Holger Krahmer, Salvatore Tatarella**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***11a. Recognises that the economic crises has reduced the ability of the EU economy to invest in low carbon technologies;***

Or. en

**Amendment 177**

**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***11a. Notes that an achievement by 2050 of a renewable share of 92% of energy and a reduction by 95% of energy related CO2 emissions that will cost the EU only an additional 1,800 billion euro in investments but would bring savings in fossil fuel costs over the next 40 years of approximately 2,650 billion euro.***

Or. en

**Amendment 178**  
**Jo Leinen, Dan Jørgensen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***11a. underlines that a comprehensive range of measures, such as incentives for additional investment, growth-oriented fiscal policy and public procurement is necessary to ensure that economic growth and the reduction of both unemployment and greenhouse gas emissions reinforce each other; points to the Council Directive 2003/96/EC on the taxation of energy product and electricity that already allows for certain exemptions or reductions in the tax level; i.a. because of competitiveness or environmental considerations***

Or. en

**Amendment 179**  
**Dan Jørgensen, Jo Leinen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***11a. Recalls the Joint Declaration of CEOs of leading European Utility Companies calling the EU to move to a 25 % domestic reduction target from February 2011, and the Joint Business Declaration from October 2011 calling for a 30 % reduction target in 2020. Major voices in the European Industry thereby say that now is the time to act and to go beyond the 20 % reduction target in 2020;***

Or. en

**Amendment 180**  
**Dan Jørgensen, Jo Leinen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***11b. Considers that in order to secure long terms investments in renewable energy the EU should adopt a trajectory for binding renewable energy targets after 2020; recalls that the European Wind Energy Association (EWEA) has called for renewable energy targets for the period after 2020; calls on the Commission to put forward a proposals for setting such targets***

Or. en

**Amendment 181**  
**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***12. Supports the Commission analysis that cost-effective sharing of the additional effort between ETS and non-ETS sectors remains the same as under the climate package;***

***deleted***

Or. it

**Amendment 182**  
**Peter Liese, Richard Seeber**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. ***Supports*** the Commission analysis that ***cost-effective sharing of the additional effort between ETS and non-ETS sectors remains the same as under the climate package;***

*Amendment*

12. ***Welcomes*** the Commission analysis that ***it is possible to move to a 25% target for reducing emissions in Europe simply by achieving the 20% energy efficiency target already set, so that, subject to the development of the emission trading system, it is not imperative to adjust the ETS;***

Or. de

**Amendment 183**

**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Supports the Commission analysis that cost-effective sharing of the additional effort between ETS and non-ETS sectors remains the same as under the climate package;

*Amendment*

12. Supports the Commission analysis that cost-effective sharing of the additional effort between ETS and non-ETS sectors remains the same as under the climate package, ***therefore calls Member States to enhance their efforts in innovative investments and implementation of provisions of existing energy savings directives to achieve more ambitious targets;***

Or. en

**Amendment 184**

**Eija-Riitta Korhola, Romana Jordan Cizelj, Françoise Grossetête**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. ***Supports*** the Commission ***analysis*** that cost-effective sharing of the additional

*Amendment*

12. ***Calls upon*** the Commission ***to regularly analyse and to ensure that the***

effort between ETS and non-ETS sectors remains the same as under the climate package;

cost-effective sharing of the additional effort between ETS and non-ETS sectors remains the same as under the climate package;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 185**

**Salvatore Tatarella, Elisabetta Gardini, Sergio Berlato**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 12 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***12a. Notes that the Commission Communication of May 2010 is no longer valid because it does not take into account the last two years of events into the Member States and at global level.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 186**

**Eija-Riitta Korhola, Riikka Manner**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***13. Concurs with the Commission analysis that moving to a 30% reduction target with cost-efficient effort sharing between ETS and non-ETS, and a 25% domestic effort (the rest with offsets), would correspond to an ETS cap reduction of 1.4 billion allowances;***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 187**  
**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

**13. Concurs with the Commission analysis that moving to a 30% reduction target with cost-efficient effort sharing between ETS and non-ETS, and a 25% domestic effort (the rest with offsets), would correspond to an ETS cap reduction of 1.4 billion allowances;**

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. it

**Amendment 188**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Concurs with the Commission analysis that moving to a 30% reduction target with **cost-efficient** effort sharing between ETS and non-ETS, **and a 25% domestic effort (the rest with offsets)**, would correspond to an ETS cap reduction of 1.4 billion allowances;

*Amendment*

13. Concurs with the Commission analysis that moving to a **domestic** 30% reduction target with effort sharing between ETS and non-ETS **sectors** would correspond to an ETS cap reduction of **more than** 1.4 billion allowances;

Or. en

**Amendment 189**  
**Elena Oana Antonescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Concurs with the Commission analysis

*Amendment*

13. Concurs with the Commission analysis



that moving to a 30% reduction target with cost-efficient effort sharing between ETS and non-ETS, and a 25% domestic effort (the rest with offsets), **would correspond to an ETS cap reduction of 1.4 billion allowances;**

of moving to a 30% reduction target with cost-efficient effort sharing between ETS and non-ETS, and a 25% domestic effort (the rest with offsets);

Or. en

**Amendment 190**  
**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14. Notes the option of implementing the change in the ETS through cancelling allowances assigned for auctioning; considers however that all sectors should contribute;**

**deleted**

Or. it

**Amendment 191**  
**Elisabetta Gardini, Sergio Berlato**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14. Notes the option of implementing the change in the ETS through cancelling allowances assigned for auctioning; considers however that all sectors should contribute;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 192**  
**Salvatore Tatarella**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Notes the option of implementing the change in the ETS through cancelling allowances assigned for auctioning; ***considers however that all sectors should contribute;***

*Amendment*

14. Notes the option of implementing the change in the ETS through cancelling allowances assigned for auctioning; ***after that the 2020 target has been already set in the broad political compromise of the revised ETS Directive undermines the credibility and predictability of the legal framework, which is crucial to ensure long term investments in low carbon technologies. Also notes that such option would require an amendment, through co-decision, of the Emission Trading Directive (Directive 2003/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 October 2003 establishing a scheme for greenhouse gas emission allowance trading within the Community amended by Directive 2009/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 amending Directive 2003/87/EC) so as to improve and extend the greenhouse gas emission allowance trading scheme of the Community.***

Or. en

**Amendment 193**  
**Eija-Riitta Korhola, Françoise Grossetête**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Notes the option of implementing the change in the ETS through cancelling allowances ***assigned for auctioning; considers however that all sectors should***

*Amendment*

14. Notes the option of implementing the change in the ETS through cancelling allowances; ***stresses however that a stable and predictable EU ETS is essential to***

*contribute;*

*investment decisions, which is not in line with cancelling carbon allowances in order to politically steer the carbon price;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 194**

**Romana Jordan Cizelj**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 14**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

14. Notes the option of implementing the change in the ETS through cancelling allowances *assigned for auctioning*; *considers* however that *all sectors should contribute*;

###### *Amendment*

14. Notes the option of implementing the change in the ETS through cancelling allowances; *stresses* however that *a stable and predictable EU ETS is essential to investment decisions*; *calls for the ETS in its upcoming phase to be applied in a more flexible manner.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 195**

**Elena Oana Antonescu**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 14**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

14. Notes the *option* of implementing the change in the ETS *through* cancelling allowances assigned for auctioning; considers *however* that all sectors should contribute;

###### *Amendment*

14. Notes *that one of the options* of implementing the change in the ETS *could be* cancelling allowances assigned for auctioning; considers that all sectors should contribute;

Or. en

**Amendment 196**

**Salvatore Tatarella, Miroslav Ouzký, Sergio Berlato, Paolo Bartolozzi, Martin Callanan, Richard Seeber**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***14 a. Considers for encouraging investments in new technologies by the private sector, it is essential to maintain the stability of the legal and economic framework where industries shall operate. A disruption in the current framework would generate uncertainties in the decision-making process and also negatively affect possible investments in this sector.***

Or. en

**Amendment 197**

**Dan Jørgensen, Jo Leinen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***14a. Stresses the need to curb CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the transportation sector through the provision of standardized European infrastructures for electrical vehicles and more incentives for sustainable second- generation biofuel as an alternative to fossil fuels;***

Or. en

**Amendment 198**

**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15**

PE462.703v02-00

36/57

AM\865781EN.doc

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**15. Recognises that some of the most cost-effective reduction potential is found in Member States that are currently below the EU average for GDP per capita, and that public intervention to facilitate financing of initial investments is likely to be necessary to achieve reductions in the non-ETS sectors; stresses the need for EU financial mechanisms to be revised to trigger cost-effective GHG reductions in the EU;**

**deleted**

Or. it

**Amendment 199**

**Silvia-Adriana Țicău, Rovana Plumb**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

15. Recognises that some of the most cost-effective reduction potential is found in Member States that are currently below the EU average for GDP per capita, and that public intervention to facilitate financing of initial investments is likely to be necessary to achieve reductions in the non-ETS sectors; stresses the need for EU financial mechanisms to be revised to trigger cost-effective GHG reductions in the EU;

15. Recognises that some of the most cost-effective reduction potential is found in Member States that are currently below the EU average for GDP per capita, and that public intervention to facilitate financing of initial investments is likely to be necessary to achieve reductions in the **ETS and** non-ETS sectors; stresses the need for EU financial mechanisms to be revised to trigger cost-effective GHG reductions in the EU;

Or. ro

**Amendment 200**

**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***15a. Calls for measures and coordination at EU level for ensuring enabling infrastructure to facilitate introduction of renewable energy, energy efficient technologies, and for increasing the use of public transport;***

Or. en

**Amendment 201**

**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***15b. Acknowledges the loss of potential revenues from surplus assigned amount units (AAUs) from Kyoto Protocol first commitment period to protect the environmental integrity of a post 2013 climate regime and calls for this to be addressed in the context of the future financial framework;***

Or. en

**Amendment 202**

**Theodoros Skylakakis**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***15a. In the framework of the Commission's right of legislative initiative in a timely manner, encourages the Commission to study the effect of not taking into consideration the geographical factor when setting***

*benchmarks for sectors that are heavily influenced by transport cost; both in order to timely detect possible windfall profits and to avoid the danger of carbon leakage when the next ETS period enters into force.*

Or. en

**Amendment 203**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*15a. Urges Member States to ensure that all revenues coming from auctioning of emission allowances are earmarked for further investments in mitigation and adaptation measures;*

Or. en

**Amendment 204**  
**Dan Jørgensen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*15b. Urges Member States ensure that all revenues from auctioning of emission allowances are earmarked for further investments in mitigation and adaptation activities.*

Or. en

**Amendment 205**

**Linda McAvan, Jo Leinen, Dan Jørgensen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***15a. Notes that the Commission has identified investment needs of €1 trillion to upgrade the EU's energy infrastructure by 2020, mainly to be financed through energy tariffs; calls for these investments to be made, with a view both to completing an interconnected internal energy market and substantially decreasing the carbon intensity of the European energy system; but underlines that in raising the necessary finance, low income consumers suffering from energy poverty must be protected from increased tariffs;***

Or. en

**Amendment 206**

**Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***15a. Considers that public financing mechanisms should also be available to facilitate a transition to a cleaner energy mix in Member States; such financing should be conditional on a genuine, demonstrable transition to significantly cleaner energy production.***

Or. en



**Amendment 207**  
**Kriton Arsenis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***15b. Underlines that a financial transaction tax of 0.05% will raise billion of Euros part of which could be used towards financing the 30% GHG reduction target by 2020 and the transition to a zero carbon economy;***

Or. en

**Amendment 208**  
**Dan Jørgensen, Jo Leinen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***15a. Welcomes the fact that the EU is well on track to meet its 2020 renewable energy goals,***  
***but emphasizes that the Commission needs to promptly monitor the actual national implementation of the plans so ensure that progress actually occurs as planned;***

Or. en

**Amendment 209**  
**Dan Jørgensen, Jo Leinen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***15c. Stresses that the development and deployment of breakthrough technologies hold the key to fighting climate change and, at the same time, convincing the EU's partners worldwide that emissions reductions are feasible with positive effects for competitiveness and jobs; considers it essential that Europe should lead by example by substantially increasing expenditure devoted to research on climate-friendly and energy-efficient industrial technologies under the 8th Framework Programme for Research and Innovation that should be properly aligned with the strategic energy technologies set out in the SET-Plan;***

Or. en

**Amendment 210**

**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 16**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16. Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency; calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and for the Commission to review the methodology to consider alignment to a 'top-runner' approach;***

***deleted***

Or. it

## Amendment 211

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Liese, Holger Krahmer, Theodoros Skylakakis, Eija-Riitta Korhola

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 16

##### *Motion for a resolution*

16. ***Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency;*** calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and for the Commission to review the methodology to consider alignment to a ‘top-runner’ approach;

##### *Amendment*

16. ***Stresses again that, in particular, improvements in energy efficiency offer considerable negative-cost emission reduction potential, and that a substantial number of climate protection measures consequently exist which pay for themselves simply thanks to the lower energy costs associated with them;*** calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and for the Commission to review the methodology to consider alignment to a ‘top-runner’ approach;

Or. de

## Amendment 212

Bas Eickhout

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 16

##### *Motion for a resolution*

16. Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency; calls for ***strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in*** implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and for the Commission to review the methodology to ***consider alignment*** to a ‘top-runner’ approach;

##### *Amendment*

16. Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency, ***thereby jeopardising half of the EU’s non-binding 2020 target to save 20% of primary energy consumption*** ; calls for ***speeding up the adoption of ambitious*** implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and ***ensuring a dynamic revision of the minimum requirements;*** calls for the Commission to review the methodology ***and Directive*** to

*allow setting requirements close to or at the level of current best available techniques following a ‘top-runner’ approach;*

Or. en

## Amendment 213

Sabine Wils

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 16

##### *Motion for a resolution*

16. Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency; calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and for the Commission to review the methodology to consider alignment to a ‘top-runner’ approach;

##### *Amendment*

16. Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency, *thereby missing half of the EU's non binding 2020 target to save 20% of primary energy consumption*; calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and for the Commission to review the methodology to consider alignment to a ‘top-runner’ approach;

Or. en

## Amendment 214

Judith A. Merkies, Vittorio Prodi, Kathleen Van Brempt

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 16

##### *Motion for a resolution*

16. *Deplores* the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency; *calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and for the Commission*

##### *Amendment*

16. *Stresses that a climate policy is an integrated part of the cluster of resource efficiency, industry and innovation policy. Stresses the need to develop a policy structure that makes climate policy an opportunity for industry instead of a threat and that the right balance has to be*

*to review the methodology to consider alignment to a ‘top-runner’ approach;*

*found between ambitious emission reduction targets and keeping industry investments in Europe. Therefore deplores the* lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency;

Or. en

**Amendment 215**  
**Holger Krahmer**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Motion for a resolution*

16. Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency; calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive *and* for the *Commission to review the methodology to consider alignment to a ‘top-runner’ approach;*

*Amendment*

16. Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency; calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive, *but warns for the risk of overregulation and stresses that the freedom of choice of consumers should be duly respected;*

Or. en

**Amendment 216**  
**Salvatore Tatarella, Bogusław Sonik**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Motion for a resolution*

16. Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency; calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and for the Commission

*Amendment*

16. Deplores the lack of measures to capture the negative-cost greenhouse gas reduction potential in energy and resource efficiency *where achievable*; calls for strict application of the least lifecycle cost principle in implementing measures under the Eco-design Directive and for the

to review the methodology to consider alignment to a ‘top-runner’ approach;

Commission to review the methodology to consider alignment to a ‘top-runner’ approach;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 217**

**Karl-Heinz Florenz, Theodoros Skylakakis**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 16 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16a. Is deeply concerned that the EU is not on track to meet the target of reducing energy consumption by 20% as compared with the forecast level in 2020 by improving energy efficiency; calls on the Commission to take all necessary measures to ensure that the Member States exploit this potential, whether by adopting a provision requiring national energy efficiency action plans to be approved by the Commission or by means of other measures, such as the introduction of binding energy efficiency targets;***

Or. de

#### **Amendment 218**

**Karl-Heinz Florenz, Theodoros Skylakakis, Romana Jordan Cizelj**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 16 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16b. Recognises that achieving the energy efficiency target would enable the EU to reduce emissions by more than 20% by 2020;***

**Amendment 219**  
**Peter Liese, Matthias Groote**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16a. Stresses that the latest developments at the international level have clearly demonstrated that it is even more imperative than ever to meet the 20% energy efficiency target; proposes that the target be met with the help of a mix of instruments including European and national measures, such as tax incentives, loans on specially favourable terms, indirect subsidies, changes to rent law, guidelines in connection with public invitations to tender and the laying down of standards; calls for the 20% target to be translated as quickly as possible into targets for the Member States and for these to be laid down in a legally binding way; recognises that, pursuant to the subsidiarity principle, Member States must, however, be allowed room for manoeuvre in choosing the means they will use;***

Or. de

**Amendment 220**  
**Matthias Groote**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16a. Calls on the EU to make greater efforts to increase the share of renewable energies in the electricity sector to 40% by***

*2020 and to create the conditions for intelligent networks, in order to guarantee increasingly decentralised energy production; stresses that to achieve this, more must be invested in energy infrastructure projects;*

Or. de

**Amendment 221**  
**Matthias Groote**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*16b. Regrets the fact that in the area of energy saving and energy efficiency the EU has to date lagged behind its potential, and calls for specific proposals to be put forward for measures to increase CO<sub>2</sub> savings with the help of more energy-efficient buildings; stresses, moreover, that, when calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the whole life cycle of a product must always be taken into account and, above all, that measures designed to achieve more energy-efficient production must be encouraged; stresses that a communication strategy must be developed in order to provide comprehensive information to both businesses and consumers;*

Or. de

**Amendment 222**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 a (new)**



*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**16a. Considers that a move to a domestic 30% reduction target for 2020 could be combined with recycling of auction revenues for supporting investments into new greenhouse gas reduction technologies in energy intensive sectors;**

Or. en

**Amendment 223**

**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**17. Calls for specific targets for EU land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), ensuring permanence and the environmental integrity of the sector's contribution to emissions reductions as well as accurate monitoring and accounting;**

**deleted**

Or. it

**Amendment 224**

**Eija-Riitta Korhola**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

17. Calls for *specific targets for* EU land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), *ensuring permanence and the environmental integrity of the sector's contribution to emissions reductions as well as* accurate monitoring and

17. Calls for *the Commission to investigate the role of the* EU land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF) sector *in its assessment of future climate change policy including* accurate monitoring and accounting;

accounting;

Or. en

**Amendment 225**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Calls **for specific targets** for EU land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), **ensuring** permanence and the environmental integrity of the sector's contribution to emissions reductions as well as accurate monitoring and accounting;

*Amendment*

17. Calls for **further analyses on the inclusion of** EU land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), **in order to ensure** permanence and the environmental integrity of the sector's contribution to emissions reductions as well as accurate monitoring and accounting;

Or. en

**Amendment 226**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Calls for **specific targets** for EU land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), ensuring permanence and the environmental integrity of the sector's contribution to emissions reductions **as well as accurate monitoring and accounting**;

*Amendment*

17. Calls for **the development of an accurate monitoring and accounting system** for EU land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), ensuring permanence and the environmental integrity of the sector's contribution to emissions reductions and **encourages Member states to develop their national policies in order to deliver the mitigation potential of their respective LULUCF sectors**;

Or. en

**Amendment 227**  
**Judith A. Merkies**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Calls for *specific targets for EU* land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), *ensuring permanence* and the *environmental integrity* of *the sector's contribution to emissions reductions as well as accurate monitoring and accounting*;

*Amendment*

17. Calls for *the Commission to further clarify the impact of* land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF) *emissions in the EU and member states GHG commitments and report on this issue to the Parliament, in preparation of COP17 in Durban in November.*

Or. en

**Amendment 228**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Calls for specific targets for EU land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), ensuring permanence and the environmental integrity of the sector's contribution to emissions reductions as well as accurate monitoring and accounting;

*Amendment*

17. Calls for specific targets, *not linked to ETS or the Effort Sharing*, for EU land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), ensuring permanence *of emission reductions* and the environmental integrity of the sector's contribution to emissions reductions as well as accurate monitoring and accounting;

Or. en

**Amendment 229**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***17a. Considers it is of outmost importance that EU agricultural policy instruments incorporate incentives for reducing climate impacts of agriculture including through support under the first pillar;***

Or. en

**Amendment 230**

**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***17b. Notes that improving resource efficiency and reducing dependency on raw materials would lead to significant energy efficiency gains and lower CO2 emissions;***

Or. en

**Amendment 231**

**Bogusław Sonik**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***17a. Recommends setting up a special fund in order to enable nuclear power stations also to take part in action to reduce emissions and develop renewable energy;***

Or. pl

**Amendment 232**  
**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

**18. Reiterates that EU reduction targets need to be primarily achieved within the EU; recalls that the use of international offsets replaces investment into the EU economy and delays domestic reductions in the EU;**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

Or. it

**Amendment 233**  
**Elisabetta Gardini, Sergio Berlato**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Reiterates that EU reduction targets **need to** be primarily achieved within the EU; recalls that the use of international offsets **replaces investment into the EU economy and delays domestic reductions in the EU;**

*Amendment*

18. Reiterates that, **although** EU reduction targets **should** be primarily achieved within the EU **in the long term**; recalls that the use of international offsets **would contribute to mitigating climate change at lower cost;**

Or. en

**Amendment 234**  
**Judith A. Merkies, Dan Jørgensen, Kriton Arsenis, Marita Ulvskog, Åsa Westlund, Nessa Childers, Vittorio Prodi, Kathleen Van Brempt**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Reiterates that EU reduction targets need to be primarily achieved within the

*Amendment*

18. Reiterates that EU reduction targets need to be primarily achieved within the

EU; recalls that the use of international offsets replaces investment into the EU economy and delays domestic reductions in the EU;

EU; recalls that the use of international offsets replaces investment into the EU economy and delays domestic reductions in the EU; ***calls upon the Commission and Member States to complement the current system of production based direct emission accounting with consumption based accounting, analysing if emissions have indeed been reduced instead of exported; henceforth calls upon the Commission to come forward with a proposal, as changing consumption patterns and resource efficiency are the real answer to mitigating climate change.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 235**

**Sabine Wils**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 18**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

18. Reiterates that EU reduction targets need to be ***primarily*** achieved within the EU; recalls that the use of international offsets replaces investment into the EU economy and delays domestic reductions in the EU;

###### *Amendment*

18. Reiterates that EU reduction targets need to be achieved within the EU ***by effective domestic reductions***; recalls that the use of international offsets replaces investment into the EU economy and delays domestic reductions in the EU;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 236**

**Judith A. Merkies, Dan Jørgensen, Marita Ulvskog, Åsa Westlund, Jo Leinen, Vittorio Prodi, Nessa Childers, Kathleen Van Brempt**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 18 – subparagraph 1 (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

***Options and tools to move beyond the 20%***

*target*

*Calls upon the Commission and Member States to boost innovation by dedicating regional and cohesion funds towards the improvement of energy efficiency in the building and household sector.*

Or. en

**Amendment 237**

**Judith A. Merkies, Dan Jørgensen, Åsa Westlund, Jo Leinen, Karin Kadenbach, Nessa Childers, Vittorio Prodi, Kathleen Van Brempt**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 18 – subparagraph 2 (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Options and tools to move beyond the 20% target*

*Calls upon the Commission and Member States to secure that the full revenues from auctioning of EU ETS credits are effectively used to improve energy and resource efficiency in society, in particular in the energy and industry sectors concerned, instead of being withdrawn to the general budget of the Member States.*

Or. en

**Amendment 238**

**Judith A. Merkies, Dan Jørgensen, Kriton Arsenis, Åsa Westlund, Jo Leinen, Vittorio Prodi, Nessa Childers, Kathleen Van Brempt**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 18 – subparagraph 3 (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Options and tools to move beyond the 20% target*

*Urges the Commission to actively monitor the spending of auctioning revenues by Member States and report on this on an annual basis to the European Parliament.*

Or. en

**Amendment 239**  
**Salvatore Tatarella**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*18a. Takes into account that the Commission Communication on a Roadmap for moving towards a competitive low carbon economy in 2050 emphasises the need to safeguard the competitiveness of European industry (i.e. implement a cost-effective path to maximise benefits for EU manufacturing and to maximise benefits for EU).*

Or. en

**Amendment 240**  
**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*18b. Stresses that climate protection can be effective only if all the major national economies and industries take part in the effort through a binding international agreement establishing a fair distribution of the burdens, and ensuring the competitiveness of national economies so as to avert the real risk of European productive activities being relocated;*



Or. it

**Amendment 241**

**Oreste Rossi**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 18 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***18c. Notes that in general European firms have already taken measures to achieve emission reductions, not only in the EU but also at global level, through the creation of innovative products and solutions; calls for these measures to be properly supported by national and European policies designed to bring together measures to address climate change and energy challenges as part of a long-term strategy, with the direct involvement of both the public and private sectors, yet without placing additional burdens on undertakings or fuelling possible distortions of competition;***

Or. it