



2018/0169(COD)

6.11.2018

AMENDMENTS

311 - 479

Draft report
Simona Bonafè
(PE628.362v01-00)

Minimum requirements for water reuse

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2018)0337 – C8-0220/2018 – 2018/0169(COD))

Amendment 311
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For the purposes of producing and supplying reclaimed water risk management shall be undertaken by the reclamation plant operator in consultation with the following actors:

Amendment

1. For the purposes of producing and supplying reclaimed water, **and subject to occasional and unannounced spot-checks by the competent authority**, risk management shall be undertaken by the reclamation plant operator in consultation with the following actors:

Or. en

Amendment 312
Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For the purposes of producing and supplying reclaimed water risk management shall be undertaken by the reclamation plant operator in consultation with the following actors:

Amendment

1. For the purposes of producing and supplying reclaimed water risk management shall be undertaken by the reclamation plant operator **and end-user in each area of responsibility** in consultation with the following actors:

Or. de

Amendment 313
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For the **purposes of producing and**

Amendment

1. For the **purpose of ensuring safe**

supplying reclaimed water risk management shall be undertaken by the **reclamation plant operator in consultation** with the following actors:

reclaimed water **production, supply and use, a** risk management shall be undertaken by the **entity responsible for the water reuse project, in cooperation** with the following actors:

Or. en

Amendment 314

Birgit Collin-Langen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For the purposes of producing **and** supplying reclaimed water risk management shall be undertaken by the reclamation plant operator in consultation with the following actors:

Amendment

1. For the purposes of producing, supplying **and storage of** reclaimed water risk management shall be undertaken by the reclamation plant operator in consultation with the following actors:

Or. en

Amendment 315

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For the purposes of producing and supplying **reclaimed** water risk management shall be undertaken by the **reclamation plant operator** in consultation with the following actors:

Amendment

1. For the purposes of producing, **distributing** and supplying **recovered** water risk management shall be undertaken by the **competent authority** in consultation with the following actors:

Or. it

Amendment 316

Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For the purposes of producing and supplying reclaimed water risk management shall be undertaken by the **reclamation plant operator** in consultation with the following actors:

Amendment

1. For the purposes of producing and supplying reclaimed water risk management shall be undertaken by the **relevant public authority** in consultation with the following actors:

Or. en

Amendment 317
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For the purposes of producing and supplying **reclaimed** water risk management shall be undertaken by the reclamation plant operator in consultation with the following actors:

Amendment

1. For the purposes of producing and supplying **reused** water risk management shall be undertaken by the reclamation plant operator in consultation with the following actors:

Or. fr

Amendment 318
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the operator of the urban waste water treatment plant(s) supplying a reclamation **plant with** water, if different from the reclamation **plant** operator;

Amendment

(a) the operator of the urban waste water treatment plant(s) supplying a reclamation **facility with treated waste water according to the quality requirements in Directive 91/271/EEC**, if different from the reclamation **facility** operator;

Amendment 319

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the operator of the urban waste water treatment plant(s) supplying a reclamation plant with water, if different from the reclamation plant operator;

Amendment

(a) the operator of the urban waste water treatment plant(s) supplying a reclamation plant with ***treated waste*** water ***according to the quality requirements in Directive 91/271/EEC***, if different from the reclamation plant operator;

Or. en

Amendment 320

Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the operator of the urban waste water treatment plant(s) supplying a reclamation plant with water, if different from the reclamation ***plant*** operator;

Amendment

(a) the operator of the urban waste water treatment plant(s) supplying a reclamation plant with water, if different from the reclamation ***facility*** operator;

Or. en

Amendment 321

Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the operator of the urban waste

Amendment

(a) the operator of the urban waste

water treatment plant(s) supplying a reclamation plant with water, if different from the reclamation plant operator;

water treatment plant(s) supplying a reclamation plant with **urban waste** water, if different from the reclamation plant operator;

Or. en

Amendment 322

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) redistribution system operator;

Or. it

Amendment 323

Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) reclaimed wastewater storage operator;

Or. en

Amendment 324

Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) the reclamation facility operator;

Or. en

Amendment 325
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) the reclamation facility operator;

Or. en

Amendment 326
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(ab) local and regional environmental
and health authorities;**

Or. it

Justification

The authority competent for water reuse risk management and licensing should consult the local and regional authorities that have specific expertise and perform in situ tasks relating to environmental and health protection.

Amendment 327
Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(ab) reclaimed waste water supply
operator;**

Amendment 328

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) *end-user(s);*

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 329

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) *end-user(s);*

(b) *user(s);*

Or. en

Amendment 330

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) *end-user(s);*

(b) *user(s);*

Or. en

Amendment 331

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) *the competent health authority;*

Or. de

Amendment 332
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) any other party deemed relevant by the *reclamation plant operator*.

(c) any other party deemed relevant by the *entity responsible for the water reuse project*.

Or. en

Amendment 333
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) any other party deemed relevant by the *reclamation plant operator*.

(c) any other party deemed relevant by the *competent authority*.

Or. it

Amendment 334
Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. *The elaboration of the Risk Management Plan shall: include an overall environmental and human health risk assessment; ensure stakeholder engagement; define the relevant quality class to be applied.*

Or. en

Amendment 335

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The **reclamation plant operator** shall draw-up a Water Reuse Risk Management Plan based on the key risk management tasks set out in Annex II. The Water Reuse Risk Management Plan shall propose any additional requirements to those specified in Annex I necessary to further mitigate any risks, and shall, inter alia, identify hazards, risks and appropriate preventive measures.

2. The **entity responsible for the water reuse project** shall draw-up a Water Reuse Risk Management Plan based on the key risk management tasks set out in Annex II. The Water Reuse Risk Management Plan shall propose any additional requirements to those specified in Annex I necessary to further mitigate any risks, and shall, inter alia, identify hazards, risks and appropriate preventive measures **in the water reuse project, in coordination with all parties involved and establishing their responsibilities. The entity responsible for the water reuse project shall inform the user as soon as sufficient information has been collected.**

Or. en

Amendment 336

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The reclamation plant operator shall **draw-up** a Water Reuse Risk Management Plan based on the key risk management tasks set out in Annex II. **The Water Reuse Risk Management Plan shall propose any additional requirements to those specified in Annex I necessary to further mitigate any risks, and shall, inter alia, identify hazards, risks and appropriate preventive measures.**

Amendment

2. **The competent authority shall, as part of the authorisation process for the water reuse plan, propose any additional requirements to those specified in Annex I necessary to further mitigate any risks, and identify hazards, risks and appropriate preventive measures while monitoring costs arising from the measures.**

The reclamation plant operator shall **refer to the risk assessment drawn up by the competent authority when drawing up** a Water Reuse Risk Management Plan based on the key risk management tasks set out in Annex II.

Or. fr

Justification

As stated in Amendments 5 and 9, the competent authorities are responsible for assessing the dangers and risks of the territories concerned by the water reuse plan. This assessment must be linked to the competent authority's authorisation of the project and also serve as a basis for the risk management plan drawn up by the operator (Article 5(2)).

Amendment 337

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The reclamation plant operator shall draw-up a Water Reuse Risk Management Plan based on the key risk management tasks set out in Annex II. The Water Reuse Risk Management Plan shall propose any additional requirements to those specified in Annex I necessary to further mitigate any risks, and shall, inter alia, identify hazards, risks and appropriate

Amendment

2. The reclamation plant operator, **in agreement with the end-user and in consultation with the above actors**, shall draw-up a Water Reuse Risk Management Plan based on the key risk management tasks set out in Annex II. The Water Reuse Risk Management Plan shall propose any additional requirements to those specified in Annex I necessary to further mitigate

preventive measures.

any risks, and shall, inter alia, identify hazards, risks and appropriate preventive measures.

Or. de

Amendment 338

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***The reclamation plant operator*** shall draw-up a Water Reuse Risk Management Plan based on the key risk management tasks set out in Annex II. The Water Reuse Risk Management Plan shall propose any additional requirements to those specified in Annex I necessary to further mitigate any risks, and shall, inter alia, identify hazards, risks and appropriate preventive measures.

Amendment

2. ***The competent authority*** shall draw-up a Water Reuse Risk Management Plan based on the key risk management tasks set out in Annex II, ***and shall lay down the roles and responsibilities of the various actors involved.*** The Water Reuse Risk Management Plan shall propose any additional requirements to those specified in Annex I necessary to further mitigate any risks, and shall, inter alia, identify hazards, risks and appropriate preventive measures.

Or. it

Justification

The drawing up of the risk management plan should be delegated to the competent authority, which should decide the roles and responsibilities of any actor involved in the re-use of recovered waste water.

Amendment 339

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. If the type of crop to be irrigated is

to be marketed in several different forms and falls into several different treated water quality classes, the reclamation facility operator shall be required to provide the farmer with water in the highest of the quality classes concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 340

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By... [1 year after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] the Commission shall adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 14 to supplement this Regulation by introducing a methodology for measuring the presence of microplastic in recovered water which may be subject to additional requirements based on the risk assessment referred to in point 4 of Annex II. That methodology shall be developed by the Commission as part of a thorough review of the sources, distribution, types and effects of microplastic in respect of waste water treatment and recovery.

Or. it

Justification

The Commission must develop a methodology also to identify microplastic in recovered waste water. It is worth mentioning here the request made by Parliament to the Commission in relation to the recasting of Directive 98/83/EC, bearing in mind the position expressed by Parliament in its resolution of 13 September 2018 on a European strategy for plastics in the circular economy (see, in particular, paragraph 46).

Amendment 341

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. If an end user suspects that the water stored as provided for in Article 4a(2) does not meet or no longer meets the minimum requirements laid down in this Regulation, he shall be required to:

(a) inform immediately the health authority concerned and provide, if appropriate, all information available;

(b) cooperate fully with the competent authority concerned in order to verify and determine the grounds for suspicion and the possible presence of unauthorised substances or values as referred to in Annex I, Section 2, Tables 2 and 4 of this Regulation.

Or. fr

Amendment 342

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6 Application for a permit to supply *reclaimed* water

Application for a permit to supply *and use reused* water

Or. en

Justification

In order to avoid misunderstandings and foster coherence within the text, it should be clarified in all concerning parts of the text that the water is reused and not reclaimed.

Amendment 343

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6 Application for a permit to supply **reclaimed** water

Application for a permit to supply **reused** water

Or. fr

Amendment 344

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Application for a permit **to supply** **reclaimed** water

Application for a permit **for** water **reuse**

Or. en

Amendment 345

Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Any supply of reclaimed water destined for a use specified in section 1 of Annex I, shall be subject to a permit.

1. **While it is preferable that such supply should be left largely in the ownership and control of public authorities**, any supply of reclaimed water destined for a use specified in section 1 of Annex I, shall be subject to a permit.

Or. en

Amendment 346
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any **supply of reclaimed** water **destined** for a use specified in section 1 of Annex I, shall be subject to a permit.

Amendment

1. Any water **reuse project** for a use specified in section 1 of Annex I, shall be subject to a permit. **Any user of reclaimed water according to the use specified in section 1 of Annex I, shall be granted a permit of use.**

Or. en

Amendment 347
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Any supply of **reclaimed** water destined for a use specified in section 1 of Annex I, shall be subject to a permit.

Amendment

1. Any supply of **reused** water destined for a use specified in section 1 of Annex I, shall be subject to a permit.

Or. fr

Amendment 348
Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. An operator shall submit an application for the permit referred to in paragraph 1, or for a modification of an existing permit to the competent authority

Amendment

2. An operator shall submit an application for the permit referred to in paragraph 1, or for a modification of an existing permit to the competent authority

of the Member State in which the reclamation plant operates or is planned to operate.

of the Member State in which the reclamation plant operates or is planned to operate. ***Member States may also decide to apply permit and procedures already existing within their legislation of the granting environmental permits.***

Or. en

Amendment 349
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***An*** operator shall ***submit*** an application for the permit referred to in paragraph 1, or for a modification of an existing permit to the competent authority of the Member State in which the ***reclamation*** plant operates or is planned to operate.

Amendment

2. ***A recovery plant operator or distribution network*** operator shall submit an application for the permit referred to in paragraph 1, or for a modification of an existing permit, to the competent authority of the Member State in which the ***recovery plant or distribution network*** operates or is planned to operate.

Or. it

Justification

The distribution system operator must also be approved by the competent authority.

Amendment 350
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. An ***operator*** shall submit an application for the permit referred to in paragraph 1, or for a modification of an existing permit to the competent authority

Amendment

2. An ***entity responsible for a water reuse project*** shall submit an application for the permit referred to in paragraph 1, or for a modification of an existing permit to

of the Member State in which the *reclamation plant operates* or is planned to *operate*.

the competent authority of the Member State in which the *water reuse project is implemented* or is planned to *be implemented*.

Or. en

Amendment 351
Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) Details of reclaimed waste water supplied by the operator to the end-user, including information regarding: supply period, quantity of water supplied, quality targets, crops, intended irrigation quantities, reclaimed waste water transport and storage where applicable and irrigation type, place and area (coordinates).

Or. de

Amendment 352
Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ab) an assessment of the need for water reuse and an analysis of the costs, risks and benefits thereof where an application is entered, compared to possible alternatives;

Or. de

Amendment 353
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) the latest available data demonstrating the compliance of treated urban waste water within the meaning of Directive 1991/271/EEC at the waste water treatment plant from which the water to be recovered originates;

Or. it

Justification

The operator of the recovery facility (which may be different from the treatment plant operator) should demonstrate that the water to be recovered for which authorisation is sought, has been treated in a manner consistent with the requirements applicable to the urban area served by the sewage treatment plant from which it is taken. Such water must in all events be subject to secondary/equivalent or more stringent treatment if the treatment plant from which the water originates is normally discharged into sensitive areas.

Amendment 354
Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a description of how the reclamation plant operator will comply with the minimum requirements for water quality and monitoring set out in section 2 of Annex I;

(b) a description of how the reclamation plant operator will comply **at the point of compliance** with the minimum requirements for water quality and monitoring set out in section 2 of Annex I;

Or. en

Amendment 355
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin, Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a description of how the reclamation **plant** operator will comply with the minimum requirements for water quality and monitoring set out in section 2 of Annex I;

Amendment

(b) a description of how the reclamation **facility** operator will comply with the minimum requirements for water quality and monitoring set out in section 2 of Annex I;

Or. en

Amendment 356
Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a description of how the reclamation plant operator will comply with the additional requirements proposed in the Water Reuse Risk Management Plan.

Amendment

(c) a description of how the reclamation plant operator will comply **at the point of compliance** with the additional requirements proposed in the Water Reuse Risk Management Plan.

Or. en

Amendment 357
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin, Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a description of how the reclamation **plant** operator will comply with the additional requirements proposed in the Water Reuse Risk Management Plan.

Amendment

(c) a description of how the reclamation **facility** operator will comply with the additional requirements proposed in the Water Reuse Risk Management Plan.

Or. en

Amendment 358

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) a description of how the end-user will ensure that water quality, as defined in Section 2 of Annex I, is not adversely affected by transport or storage;

Or. de

Amendment 359

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) a description of how the end-user will comply with the additional requirements proposed in the risk management plan;

Or. de

Amendment 360

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point c c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cc) An analysis of how precautions on the part of the operator and end-user will ensure compliance with EU and national statutory soil and groundwater protection requirements, including confirmation that

possible reclaimed waste water nutrient content is taken into account in the overall nutritional balance;

Or. de

Amendment 361

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point c d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cd) a contingency plan for dealing with operating defects or failures or non-compliance with limit values;

Or. de

Amendment 362

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) a description of how the user will comply with the additional requirements proposed in the Water Reuse Risk Management Plan from the delivery point of reclaimed water until its use;

Or. en

Amendment 363

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The end user shall submit an application for the permit referred to in paragraph 1 to the competent authority of the Member State where the recovered water is to be used.

Or. it

Justification

Reuse of recovered water by end users must also be approved in advance.

Amendment 364

Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7 Article 7

deleted

Granting of the permit

1. For the purposes of assessing the application, the competent authority shall, if appropriate consult and exchange relevant information with the following:

(a) other relevant authorities of the same Member State, in particular the water authority, if different than the competent authority;

(b) contact points in potentially affected Member State(s) designated in accordance with Article 9(1).

2. The competent authority shall decide within 3 months from the receipt of the complete application as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant the permit. Where the competent authority needs more time due to the complexity of the application, it shall inform the applicant thereof, indicate the expected

date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

3. Where the competent authority decides to grant a permit, it shall determine the conditions applicable, which shall include the following, as applicable:

(a) conditions in relation to the minimum requirements for water quality and monitoring set out in section 2 of Annex I;

(b) conditions in relation to the additional requirements proposed in the Water Reuse Risk Management Plan;

(c) any other conditions necessary to further mitigate any unacceptable risks to the human and animal health or the environment.

4. The permit shall be reviewed regularly and at least every five years and, if necessary, modified.

Or. en

Justification

It is not proportionate to regulate the permitting process for the supply of reclaimed water in this Regulation. As permitting processes differ among Member States, Member States should be able to define this process at a national level.

Amendment 365 Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority shall decide within **3 months from the receipt of the complete application** as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant the permit. Where the competent authority needs more time due to the complexity of

Amendment

2. The competent authority shall **assess the application with appropriate scientific backup and** decide within **an appropriate period** as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant **or refuse** the permit. Where the competent

the application, it shall inform the applicant thereof, indicate the expected date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

authority needs more time due to the complexity of the application, it shall, **as soon as possible**, inform the applicant thereof indicate the expected date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

Or. de

Amendment 366

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority shall decide within **3** months from the receipt of the complete application as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant the permit. Where the competent authority needs more time due to the complexity of the application, it shall inform the applicant thereof, indicate the expected date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

Amendment

2. The competent authority shall decide within **6 and 18** months from the receipt of the complete application as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant the permit. Where the competent authority needs more time due to the complexity of the application, it shall inform the applicant thereof, indicate the expected date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

Or. en

Justification

3 months is a very short period to gather the necessary information from the competent authorities

Amendment 367

Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority shall

Amendment

2. The competent authority shall

decide within 3 months from the receipt of the complete application as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant the permit. Where the competent authority needs more time due to the complexity of the application, it shall inform the applicant thereof, indicate the expected date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

assess if all preventive measures have been implemented in accordance with the water hierarchy, taking into account the cost benefit dimension and shall ensure that the new permit will not increase global water use in the region, but will be a necessary replacement that can improve ecological status of water bodies.

Or. en

Amendment 368

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin, Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority shall decide within 3 months from the receipt of the complete application as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant the *permit*. Where the competent authority needs more time due to the complexity of the application, it shall inform the applicant thereof, indicate the expected date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

Amendment

2. The competent authority shall decide within 3 months from the receipt of the complete application as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant the *permits*. Where the competent authority needs more time due to the complexity of the application, it shall inform the applicant thereof, indicate the expected date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

Or. en

Amendment 369

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority shall decide within **3** months from the receipt of the complete application as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant

Amendment

2. The competent authority shall decide within **6** months from the receipt of the complete application as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(3) whether to grant

the permit. Where the competent authority needs more time due to the complexity of the application, it shall inform the applicant thereof, indicate the expected date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

the permit. Where the competent authority needs more time due to the complexity of the application, it shall inform the applicant thereof, indicate the expected date of granting the permit and provide reasons for the extension.

Or. de

Amendment 370

Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where the competent authority decides to grant a permit, it shall determine the conditions applicable, which shall include the following, as applicable:

Amendment

3. Where the competent authority decides to grant a permit, it ***may apply the already existing timeline set in its national environmental permit system, if appropriate. It shall also*** determine the conditions applicable, which shall include the following, as applicable:

Or. en

Amendment 371

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) If these conditions are not already included in the risk management plan referred to in Article 5, the competent authority shall update the plan without delay and stipulate additional conditions.

Or. de

Justification

The Regulation should also specify what additional measures are necessary to avoid air, soil and water contamination through substances such as metals, pharmaceuticals, viruses or microplastics, which may still be present in the waste water after the second treatment stage.

Amendment 372

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) any other conditions necessary to ***further mitigate*** any unacceptable risks to the human and animal health or the environment.

Amendment

(c) any other conditions necessary to ***eliminate*** any unacceptable risks to the human and animal health or the environment.

Or. it

Amendment 373

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The following requirements and obligations shall be taken into account by the competent authorities when examining the risk assessment:

(a) the requirement to reduce and prevent water pollution from nitrates in accordance with Council Directive 91/676/EEC;

(b) the obligation for drinking water protected areas to meet the requirements of Council Directive 98/83/EC;

(c) the requirement to meet the environmental objectives set out in Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council;

(d) the requirement to prevent groundwater pollution in accordance with Directive 2006/118/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council;

(e) the requirement to meet the environmental quality standards for priority substances and certain other pollutants laid down in Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council;

(f) the requirement to meet the environmental quality standards for pollutants of national concern (i.e. river basin specific pollutants) laid down in Directive 2000/60/EC;

(g) the requirement to meet the bathing water quality standards laid down in Directive 2006/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council;

(h) the requirements concerning the protection of the environment, and in particular of the soil, when sewage sludge is used in agriculture under Council Directive 86/278/EEC;

i) the requirements regarding hygiene of foodstuff as laid down in Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council and the guidance provided in the Commission Notice on guidance document on addressing microbiological risks in fresh fruits and vegetables at primary production through good hygiene;

(j) the requirements for feed hygiene laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and the Council;

(k) the requirement to comply with the relevant microbiological criteria set out in Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005;

(l) the requirements regarding maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuff set out in Commission Regulation (EC) 1831/2003;

(m) the requirements regarding the maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on food and feed set out in Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council;

(n) the requirements regarding animal health in Regulation (EC) 1069/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Commission Regulation (EC) 142/2011;

(o) any other legislative instrument involved with Member States competences and their competent authorities.

Or. en

Amendment 374
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3b. *Where the competent authority decides to grant a permit of use, it shall determine the conditions applicable in relation to maintain the quality of the water after the point of compliance.*

Or. en

Amendment 375
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin, Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The *permit* shall be reviewed regularly and at least every five years and, if necessary, modified.

4. The *permits* shall be reviewed regularly and at least every five years and, if necessary, modified.

Amendment 376
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The permit shall be reviewed regularly and at least every **five** years and, if necessary, modified.

Amendment

4. The permit shall be reviewed regularly and at least every **three** years and, if necessary, modified.

Or. en

Justification

A lot can change in five years!

Amendment 377
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The permit shall be reviewed regularly and at least every **five** years and, if necessary, modified.

Amendment

4. The permit shall be reviewed regularly and at least every **two** years and, if necessary, modified.

Or. it

Justification

The two-year term has been proposed by those responsible for reclaimed water monitoring in order to detect any changes in the chemical and physical characteristics of the soil and groundwater.

Amendment 378
Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. *Where evidence emerges of adverse health or environmental effects attributable to water reuse, the competent authority may amend or revoke the authorisation requirements accordingly.*

Or. de

Amendment 379
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The competent authority shall verify compliance of the **reclaimed** water with the conditions set out in the permit, at the point of compliance. The compliance check shall be performed using the following means:

1. The competent authority shall verify compliance of the **reused** water with the conditions set out in the permit, at the point of compliance. The compliance check shall be performed using the following means:

Or. fr

Amendment 380
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) *use of soil and groundwater monitoring data collected before and after irrigation with recovered water;*

Or. it

Amendment 381
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In the event of non-compliance, the competent authority shall require the reclamation plant operator to take any necessary measures to restore compliance without delay.

Amendment

2. In the event of non-compliance ***with the minimum water quality requirements of Annex I***, the competent authority shall require the reclamation plant operator to take any necessary measures to restore compliance without delay. ***The reclamation plant operator shall immediately cease any further supply of reclaimed water until the competent authority has determined that water quality requirements are being met again.***

Or. de

Amendment 382
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In the event of non-compliance, the competent authority shall require the reclamation plant operator to take any necessary measures to restore compliance without delay.

Amendment

2. In the event of non-compliance, the competent authority shall require the reclamation plant operator to take any necessary measures to restore compliance without delay ***and inform immediately the final users affected of the situation.***

Or. fr

Amendment 383
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In the event of non-compliance, the competent authority shall require the reclamation *plant* operator to take any necessary measures to restore compliance without delay.

Amendment

2. In the event of non-compliance *of the quality of reclaimed water to be supplied*, the competent authority shall require the reclamation *facility* operator to take any necessary measures to restore compliance without delay.

Or. en

Amendment 384
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In the event of non-compliance, the competent authority shall require the reclamation plant operator to take any necessary measures to restore compliance without delay.

Amendment

2. In the event of non-compliance, the competent authority shall require the reclamation plant operator to take any necessary measures to restore compliance without delay *and to the shortest possible timescales*.

Or. it

Amendment 385
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. *Where non-compliance causes a significant risk to the environment or to human health, the reclamation plant operator shall immediately suspend any further supply of the reclaimed water until the competent authority determines*

Amendment

deleted

that compliance has been restored.

Or. de

Amendment 386

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where non-compliance causes a significant risk to the environment or to human health, the reclamation *plant* operator shall immediately suspend any further supply of the reclaimed water until the competent authority determines that compliance has been restored.

Amendment

3. Where non-compliance causes a significant risk to the environment or to human health, the reclamation *facility* operator shall immediately suspend any further supply of the reclaimed water until the competent authority determines that compliance has been restored, *and inform the users who may be affected.*

Or. en

Amendment 387

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where non-compliance causes a significant risk to the environment or to human health, the reclamation plant operator shall immediately suspend any further supply of the *reclaimed* water until the competent authority determines that compliance has been restored.

Amendment

3. Where non-compliance causes a significant risk to the environment or to human health, the reclamation plant operator shall immediately suspend any further supply of the *reused* water until the competent authority determines that compliance has been restored.

Or. fr

Amendment 388

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. If an incident affecting compliance with the permit's conditions occurs, the reclamation plant operator shall immediately inform the competent authority and the end-user(s) which may be potentially affected, and communicate to the competent authority the information necessary for assessing the impacts of such an incident.

Amendment

4. If an incident affecting compliance with the permit's conditions occurs, the reclamation plant operator shall immediately inform the competent authority and the end-user(s) which may be potentially affected, and communicate to the competent authority **all** the information necessary for assessing the impacts of such an incident.

Or. it

Amendment 389
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. If an incident affecting compliance with the permit's conditions occurs, the reclamation **plant** operator shall immediately inform the competent authority and the **end-user**(s) which may be potentially affected, and communicate to the competent authority the information necessary for assessing the impacts of such an incident.

Amendment

4. If an incident affecting compliance with the permit's conditions occurs, the reclamation **facility** operator shall immediately inform the competent authority and the **user**(s) which may be potentially affected, and communicate to the competent authority the information necessary for assessing the impacts of such an incident.

Or. en

Amendment 390
Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. If an incident affecting compliance with the permit's conditions occurs, the reclamation plant operator shall immediately inform the competent authority and the **end-user(s)** which may be potentially affected, and communicate to the competent authority the information necessary for assessing the impacts of such an incident.

Amendment

4. If an incident affecting compliance with the permit's conditions occurs, the reclamation plant operator shall immediately inform the competent authority and the **user(s)** which may be potentially affected, and communicate to the competent authority the information necessary for assessing the impacts of such an incident.

Or. en

Amendment 391

Norbert Lins, Peter Jahr

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. In the event of contamination of soil or agricultural products by reclaimed water resulting in health and environmental hazards, the reclamation plant operator responsible shall be held responsible and liable for damages.

Or. de

Justification

Acceptance by the water supplier of responsibility and liability for damages as a result of inappropriate reclamation methods is essential to promote the increased use of reclaimed water.

Amendment 392

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Competent authorities shall also verify that the conditions of reclaimed water supplied to users at the delivery point are ensured at the place of use under the user(s) responsibility.

Or. en

Amendment 393

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4b. In the event of non-compliance of the minimum requirements of quality of reclaimed water, the competent authority shall require the user(s) to suspend the use of reclaimed water.

Or. en

Amendment 394

Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 9a

Information

1. Member States shall set up information campaigns to make potential end users and citizens aware of the use of that type of water as part of a circular economy approach.

2. Member States shall set up information campaigns for farmers to ensure optimal

use of this water on crops and thereby avoid any adverse health or environmental effects.

Or. fr

Amendment 395

Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Without prejudice to Directives 2003/4/EC and 2007/2/EC, Member States shall ensure that adequate and up-to-date information on reuse of water is available online to the public. That information shall include the following:

Amendment

1. Without prejudice to Directives 2003/4/EC and 2007/2/EC **and to Article 9.4 of Directive 2000/60/EC**, Member States shall ensure that adequate and up-to-date information on reuse of water is available online to the public. That information shall include the following:

Or. en

Amendment 396

Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Without prejudice to Directives 2003/4/EC and 2007/2/EC, Member States shall ensure that adequate and up-to-date information on reuse of water is available online to the public. That information shall include the following:

Amendment

1. Without prejudice to Directives 2003/4/EC and 2007/2/EC, Member States shall ensure that adequate, **freely-available**, and up-to-date information on reuse of water is available online to the public. That information shall include the following:

Or. en

Amendment 397

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the quantity and the quality of the **reclaimed** water supplied in accordance with this Regulation;

Amendment

(a) the quantity and the quality of the **reused** water supplied in accordance with this Regulation;

Or. fr

Amendment 398
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) the percentage of water savings achieved through the reuse of recovered water;

Or. it

Justification

The public should be informed on the savings achieved through water reuse, not least to increase confidence in this practice, as part of the transition to the circular economy.

Amendment 399
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the percentage of the **reclaimed** water in the Member State supplied in accordance with this Regulation compared to the total amount of treated urban waste water;

(b) the percentage of the **reused** water in the Member State supplied in accordance with this Regulation compared to the total amount of treated urban waste water;

Amendment 400

Nicola Caputo, Simona Bonafè

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) the percentage of the reclaimed water in the Member State supplied in accordance with this Regulation compared to the total amount of treatable urban waste water;

Or. it

Amendment 401

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. In accordance with Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 laying down general rules applicable to operators in the food sector, which covers the production, processing, distribution and marketing of foodstuffs intended for human consumption, the reclamation plant operator shall inform the user of the maximum nutrient content of the properly treated waste water supplied, so the end user may satisfy himself that it is consistent with the nutrient levels laid down in the relevant EU standards.

Or. fr

Amendment 402

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States shall ensure the development of communication strategies that are specific, active and adapted to the different parties, to promote stakeholders acceptance and involvement in water reuse.

Or. en

Justification

Given the low level of knowledge and acceptance in relation to water reuse at the European level, it is essential to complement the information made available to the public as per Article 10 in the Proposal with public information and awareness campaigns, since social acceptance and participation, as well as the involvement and commitment of all parties, are key for the success of reuse projects, and one of the main challenges faced. Therefore, Member States should ensure the development of communication strategies that are specific, active and adapted to the different actors, to ensure that they are all aware of the urban water cycle, the need to reuse water and its benefits, thereby promoting stakeholder acceptance and involvement.

Amendment 403

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States shall ensure the development of communication strategies that are specific, active and adapted to different parties, to promote stakeholders acceptance and involvement in water reuse projects.

Or. en

Amendment 404

Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. *The Commission may, by means of implementing acts, lay down detailed rules regarding the format and presentation of the information to be provided under paragraph 1. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 15.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 405

Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. The Commission may, by means of implementing acts, lay down detailed rules regarding ***the format and presentation of*** the information to be provided under paragraph 1. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 15.

3. The Commission may, by means of implementing acts, lay down detailed rules regarding the information to be provided under paragraph 1. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 15.

Or. en

Amendment 406

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) set up and publish by ... [*three* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and update every 6 years thereafter, a data set containing information on the outcome of the compliance check performed in accordance with Article 8(1) and other information to be made available online to the public in accordance with Article 10;

(a) set up and publish by ... [*five* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and update every 6 years thereafter, a data set containing information on the outcome of the compliance check performed in accordance with Article 8(1) and other information to be made available online to the public in accordance with Article 10;

Or. en

Amendment 407

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) set up and publish by ... [*three* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and update every 6 years thereafter, a data set containing information on the outcome of the compliance check performed in accordance with Article 8(1) and other information to be made available online to the public in accordance with Article 10;

(a) set up and publish by ... [*five* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and update every 6 years thereafter, a data set containing information on the outcome of the compliance check performed in accordance with Article 8(1) and other information to be made available online to the public in accordance with Article 10;

Or. en

Justification

As established in the proposal, this data set must be published 2 years after the application of the Regulation (3 years after entry into force). As we are amending the application of the Regulation from 1 to 3 years after the date of entry into force (see amendment), we propose 5 years after the entry into force for the publishing of the data set mentioned in art. 11.1.(a).

Amendment 408

Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission may, by means of implementing acts, lay down detailed rules regarding ***the format and presentation of*** the information to be provided in accordance with paragraph 1 as well as detailed rules regarding the format and presentation of the Union-wide overview referred to in paragraph 3. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 15.

Amendment

4. The Commission may, by means of implementing acts, lay down detailed rules regarding the information to be provided in accordance with paragraph 1 as well as detailed rules regarding the format and presentation of the Union-wide overview referred to in paragraph 3. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 15.

Or. en

Amendment 409
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12

Text proposed by the Commission

12 Article 12
Access to justice

1. Member States shall ensure that natural or legal persons or their associations, organisations or groups, in accordance with national legislation or practice, have access to a review procedure before a court of law or another independent and impartial body established by law to challenge the substantive or procedural legality of decisions, actions or omissions related to the implementation of Articles 4 to 8, when one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

- (a) they have a sufficient interest;***
- (b) they maintain the impairment of a***

Amendment

deleted

right, where the administrative procedural law of the relevant Member State requires this as a precondition.

2. Member States shall determine at what stage decisions, acts or omissions may be challenged.

3.

What constitutes a sufficient interest and impairment of a right shall be determined by Member States, consistently with the objective of giving the public concerned wide access to justice.

To that end, the interest of any non-governmental organisation promoting environmental protection and meeting the requirements under national law shall be deemed sufficient for the purposes of paragraph 1(a).

Such organisations shall also be deemed to have rights capable of being impaired for the purposes of paragraph 1(b).

4. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not exclude the possibility of a preliminary review procedure before an administrative authority and shall not affect the requirement of exhaustion of administrative review procedures prior to recourse to judicial review procedures, where such a requirement exists under national law.

5. Any review procedure referred to in paragraphs 1 and 4 shall be fair, equitable, timely and not prohibitively expensive.

6. Member States shall ensure that information is made available to the public on access to administrative and judicial review procedures.

Or. en

Justification

There is no sense to add this article as the Member States have already their own mechanisms

for access to justice. Furthermore, adding this article should be mandatory to all the legislation in the future, in all contexts or, at the least, establish common rules on access to justice. This goes beyond the scope of the current legislative proposal.

Amendment 410
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that natural or legal persons or their associations, organisations or groups, in accordance with national legislation or practice, have access to a review procedure before a court of law or another independent and impartial body established by law to challenge the substantive or procedural legality of decisions, actions or omissions related to the implementation of Articles 4 to 8, when one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

Amendment

1. Member States shall ensure that natural or legal persons or their associations, organisations or groups, in accordance with national legislation or practice, have access to a review procedure before a court of law or another independent and impartial body established by law, ***such court or independent body to be ultimately subject to the European Court of Justice***, to challenge the substantive or procedural legality of decisions, actions or omissions related to the implementation of Articles 4 to 8, when one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

Or. en

Justification

In cases of global corporations being involved, this would preclude any future Investor-State Court that forms part of an international trade agreement from having jurisdiction

Amendment 411
Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) they maintain the impairment of a right, ***where the administrative procedural law of the relevant Member State requires***

Amendment

(b) they maintain the impairment of a right.

this as a precondition.

Or. de

Justification

Article 12 provides individuals and organisations with extensive access to justice. Such access should be conditional on impairment of a right as a general principle and not only when stipulated by national provisions. This amendment avoids legal uncertainty and arbitrary complaints.

Amendment 412

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall, by ... [*three* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Amendment

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall, by ... [*five* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Or. en

Amendment 413

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of

Amendment

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of

this Regulation and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall, by ... [**three** years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

this Regulation and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall, by ... [**five** years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Or. en

Justification

As established in the proposal, this notification to the Commission must be carried out 2 years after the application of the Regulation (3 years after entry into force). As we are amending the application of the Regulation from 1 to 3 years after the date of entry into force (see amendment), we propose 5 years after the entry into force for the notification mentioned in art. 16.

Amendment 414

Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins, Lukas Mandl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 16 a

Transposition

1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by ... [3 years after entry into force of this Directive].

2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

Or. en

Amendment 415

Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins, Lukas Mandl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

It shall apply from ... [one year after the date of entry into force of this Regulation]. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 416

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

It shall apply from ... [*one year* after the date of entry into force of this Regulation]. It shall apply from ... [*three years* after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 417

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

It shall apply from ... [*one year* after the date of entry into force of this Regulation]. It shall apply from ... [*three years* after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Justification

Minimum time has to be at least 3 years to ensure an adequate application

Amendment 418

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

It shall apply from ... [**one year** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Amendment

It shall apply from ... [**two years** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. fr

Amendment 419

Marijana Petir

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

It shall apply from ... [**one year** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Amendment

It shall apply from ... [**two years** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Justification

Considering the number of adaptations required existing facilities to comply with the regulation, by improving the existing equipment, by changing the operation and controls and, above all, by conducting the risk assessment and establishing the risk management plan and assigning responsibilities, the proposed time of one year should be extended to at least two years to allow for compliance.

Amendment 420

Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

It shall apply from ... [**one year** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

It shall apply from ... [**two years** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 421

Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins, Lukas Mandl

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 422

Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins, Lukas Mandl

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 17 a

Addressees

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Or. en

Amendment 423

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – part 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) Civil uses:

- *street cleaning;*
- *supplying of heating and cooling systems;*
- *fire-fighting;*
- *irrigation of golf courses.*

Or. it

Justification

From a circular economy perspective, it would be desirable to extend the scope of the Regulation to uses other than water reuse for irrigation purposes. The three first uses listed above are already covered by Italian law (Ministerial Decree No 185 of 12 June 2003). Allowing recovered water to be used in these civil uses could generate significant water savings.

Amendment 424
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – part 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a Uses of rainwater as referred to in Article 1:

(a) Domestic uses:

- *direct use in toilet discharge facilities;*
- *cleaning of indoor environments;*
- *machine washing of laundry;*

Member States may indicate further uses and adopt regulatory and legislative measures, where appropriate, to facilitate the collection of such water in homes.

Or. it

Justification

In the transition to the circular economy, it is believed that encouraging residential rainwater harvesting can help to reduce the growing pressure on water resources and that this practice can contribute to achieving Sustainable Development Goal 6 by 2030.

Amendment 425

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2.1 Minimum requirements applicable to **reclaimed** water destined to be used for agricultural irrigation

2.1 Minimum requirements applicable to **reused** water destined to be used for agricultural irrigation

Or. fr

Amendment 426

Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2.1. Minimum requirements applicable to reclaimed water **destined to be used** for agricultural irrigation

2.1. Minimum requirements applicable to reclaimed water **intended** for agricultural irrigation

Or. en

Amendment 427

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The classes of **reclaimed** water quality and the allowed use and irrigation methods for

The classes of **reused** water quality and the allowed use and irrigation methods for

each class are set out in Table 1. The minimum requirements for water quality are set out in point (a), Table 2. The minimum frequencies and performance targets for monitoring the *reclaimed* water are set out in point (b), Table 3 (routine monitoring) and Table 4 (validation monitoring).

each class are set out in Table 1. The minimum requirements for water quality are set out in point (a), Table 2. The minimum frequencies and performance targets for monitoring the *recycled* water are set out in point (b), Table 3 (routine monitoring) and Table 4 (validation monitoring).

Or. fr

Amendment 428

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Table 1 Classes of *reclaimed* water quality and allowed agricultural use and irrigation method

Table 1 Classes of *reused* water quality and allowed agricultural use and irrigation method

Or. fr

Amendment 429

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point a – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Table 2 *Reclaimed* water quality requirements for agricultural irrigation

Table 2 *Recycled* water quality requirements for agricultural irrigation

Or. fr

Amendment 430 Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Pavel Telička

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – table 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Minimum reclaimed water quality class	Crop category	Irrigation method
A	All food crops, including root crops consumed raw and food crops where the edible part is in direct contact with reclaimed water	All irrigation methods
B	Food crops consumed raw where the edible part is produced above ground and is not in direct contact with reclaimed water, processed food crops and non-food crops including crops to feed milk- or meat-producing animals	All irrigation methods
C		<i>Drip irrigation* only</i>
D	Industrial, energy, and seeded crops	All irrigation methods

Amendment

Minimum reclaimed water quality class	Crop category	Irrigation method
A	All food crops, including root crops consumed raw and food crops where the edible part is in direct contact with reclaimed water	All irrigation methods
B	Food crops consumed raw where the edible part is produced above ground and is not in direct contact with reclaimed water, processed food crops and non-food crops including crops to feed milk- or meat-producing animals	All irrigation methods
C		<i>Only irrigation methods that do not lead to direct contact between the crop and the reclaimed water. For example, drip irrigation.</i>
D	Industrial, energy, and seeded crops	All irrigation methods

Justification

Amendment is needed to allow for more innovation in irrigation methods that are more efficient

Amendment 431

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point a – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The reclaimed water will be considered compliant with the requirements set out in Table 2 if the measurements meet all of the following criteria:

The water should undergo a third stage of purification to avoid air, soil and water contamination and human health hazards from substances such as metals, pharmaceuticals, viruses or microplastics, which may still be present in the waste water after the second treatment stage.
The reclaimed water will be considered compliant with the requirements set out in Table 2 if the measurements meet all of the following criteria:

Or. de

Amendment 432

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point a – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The ***reclaimed*** water will be considered compliant with the requirements set out in Table 2 if the measurements meet all of the following criteria:

The ***recycled*** water will be considered compliant with the requirements set out in Table 2 if the measurements meet all of the following criteria:

Or. fr

Amendment 433
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point a – paragraph 1 – indent 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***The samples are 100% Salmonella free;***

Or. it

Justification

Salmonella at least should be included among the minimum quality requirements. Under the legislation in force in Italy, there can be no Salmonella in the water at the recovery facility outlet.

Amendment 434
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Reclamation ***plant*** operators shall perform routine monitoring to verify that the reclaimed water is complying with the minimum water quality requirements set out in point (a). The routine monitoring shall be included in the verification procedures of the water reuse ***system***.

Reclamation ***facility*** operators shall perform routine monitoring to verify that the reclaimed water is complying with the minimum water quality requirements set out in point (a). The routine monitoring shall be included in the verification procedures of the water reuse ***project***.

Or. en

Amendment 435
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Reclamation plant operators shall perform routine monitoring to verify that the **reclaimed** water is complying with the minimum water quality requirements set out in point (a). The routine monitoring shall be included in the verification procedures of the water reuse system.

Reclamation plant operators shall perform routine monitoring to verify that the **recycled** water is complying with the minimum water quality requirements set out in point (a). The routine monitoring shall be included in the verification procedures of the water reuse system.

Or. fr

Amendment 436

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Table 3 Minimum frequencies for routine monitoring of **reclaimed** water for agricultural irrigation

Table 3 Minimum frequencies for routine monitoring of **recycled** water for agricultural irrigation

Or. fr

Amendment 437

Stanislav Polčák,

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – table 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Minimum monitoring frequencies

Reclaimed water quality class	E. coli	BOD5	TSS	Turbidity	Legionella spp. (when applicable)	Intestinal nematodes (when applicable)
A	Once a week	Once a week	Once a week	Continuous	Once a week	Twice a month or frequency
B	Once a	Accordin	Accordin	-		

C	<i>week</i> Twice a month	g to Directive 91/271/EC	g to Directive 91/271/EC	-	determine d by the reclamati on plant operator according to the number of eggs in waste water entering the reclamati on plant
D	Twice a month	((Annex I, Section D)	(Annex I, Section D)	-	

Amendment

Minimum monitoring frequencies

Reclaimed water quality class	E. coli	BOD5	TSS	Turbidity	Legionella spp. (when applicable)	Intestinal nematodes (when applicable)
A	Once a month	Once a month	Once a month	Continuo us	Once a month	Once a month or frequency determine d by the reclamati on plant operator according to the number of eggs in waste water entering the reclamati on plant
B	Once a month	Accordin g to Directive 91/271/EC	Accordin g to Directive 91/271/EC	-		
C	Once a month	((Annex I, Section D)	(Annex I, Section D)	-		
D	Once a month			-		

Or. en

Amendment 438
Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Validation monitoring has to be performed before the reclamation plant is put into operation, when equipment is upgraded, and when new equipment or processes are added.

Amendment

Validation monitoring has to be performed **by an accredited laboratory** before the **water** reclamation plant is put into operation, when equipment is upgraded, and when new equipment or processes are added **or at any time when a new permit is granted or an existing permit is modified.**

Or. de

Amendment 439

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Validation monitoring has to be performed before the reclamation **plant** is put into operation, when equipment is upgraded, and when new equipment or processes are added.

Amendment

Validation monitoring has to be performed before the reclamation **facility** is put into operation, when equipment is upgraded, and when new equipment or processes are added.

Or. en

Amendment 440

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Validation monitoring shall be performed for the most stringent reclaimed water quality class, Class A, to assess that the performance targets (log₁₀ reduction) are complied with. Validation monitoring

Amendment

Validation monitoring shall be performed for the most stringent reclaimed water quality class, Class A, to assess that the performance targets (log₁₀ reduction) are complied with. Validation monitoring

entails the monitoring of the indicator microorganisms associated to each group of pathogens (bacteria, virus and protozoa). The indicator microorganisms selected are E. coli for pathogenic bacteria, F-specific coliphages, somatic coliphages or coliphages for pathogenic viruses, and Clostridium perfringens spores or spore-forming sulfate-reducing bacteria for protozoa. Performance targets (log₁₀ reduction) for the validation monitoring for the selected indicator microorganisms are set out in Table 4 and shall be met at the outlet of the reclamation **plant (point of compliance)**, considering the concentrations of the raw waste water effluent entering the urban waste water treatment plant.

entails the monitoring of the indicator microorganisms associated to each group of pathogens (bacteria, virus and protozoa). The indicator microorganisms selected are E. coli for pathogenic bacteria, F-specific coliphages, somatic coliphages or coliphages for pathogenic viruses, and Clostridium perfringens spores or spore-forming sulfate-reducing bacteria for protozoa. Performance targets (log₁₀ reduction) for the validation monitoring for the selected indicator microorganisms are set out in Table 4 and shall be met at the outlet of the reclamation **facility**, considering the concentrations of the raw waste water effluent entering the urban waste water treatment plant. **At least 90% of validation samples should reach or exceed the performance target.**

Or. en

Amendment 441 **Tiemo Wölken**

Proposal for a regulation **Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Validation monitoring shall be performed for the most stringent **reclaimed** water quality class, Class A, to assess that the performance targets (log₁₀ reduction) are complied with. Validation monitoring entails the monitoring of the indicator microorganisms associated to each group of pathogens (bacteria, virus and protozoa). The indicator microorganisms selected are: E. coli for pathogenic bacteria, F-specific coliphages, somatic coliphages or coliphages for pathogenic viruses, and Clostridium perfringens spores or spore-forming sulfate-reducing bacteria for protozoa. Performance targets (log₁₀ reduction) for the validation monitoring for the selected indicator microorganisms are

Amendment

For the uses referred to in Section 1(b) and (c), validation monitoring shall be performed for the most stringent **reused** water quality class, Class A, to assess that the performance targets (log₁₀ reduction) are complied with. Validation monitoring entails the monitoring of the indicator microorganisms associated to each group of pathogens (bacteria, virus and protozoa). The indicator microorganisms selected are: E. coli for pathogenic bacteria, F-specific coliphages, somatic coliphages or coliphages for pathogenic viruses, and Clostridium perfringens spores or spore-forming sulfate-reducing bacteria for protozoa. Performance targets (log₁₀ reduction) for the validation monitoring for

set out in Table 4 and shall be met at the **outlet of the reclamation plant** (point of compliance) considering the concentrations of the raw waste water effluent entering the urban waste water treatment plant.

the selected indicator microorganisms are set out in Table 4 and shall be met at the point of compliance **and point of use**, considering the concentrations of the raw waste water effluent entering the urban waste water treatment plant.

Or. de

Amendment 442

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Validation monitoring shall be performed for the most stringent **reclaimed** water quality class, Class A, to assess that the performance targets (\log_{10} reduction) are complied with. Validation monitoring entails the monitoring of the indicator microorganisms associated to each group of pathogens (bacteria, virus and protozoa). The indicator microorganisms selected are *E. coli* for pathogenic bacteria, F-specific coliphages, somatic coliphages or coliphages for pathogenic viruses, and *Clostridium perfringens* spores or spore-forming sulfate-reducing bacteria for protozoa. Performance targets (\log_{10} reduction) for the validation monitoring for the selected indicator microorganisms are set out in Table 4 and shall be met at the outlet of the reclamation plant (point of compliance), considering the concentrations of the raw waste water effluent entering the urban waste water treatment plant.

Amendment

Validation monitoring shall be performed for the most stringent **recycled** water quality class, Class A, to assess that the performance targets (\log_{10} reduction) are complied with. Validation monitoring entails the monitoring of the indicator microorganisms associated to each group of pathogens (bacteria, virus and protozoa). The indicator microorganisms selected are *E. coli* for pathogenic bacteria, F-specific coliphages, somatic coliphages or coliphages for pathogenic viruses, and *Clostridium perfringens* spores or spore-forming sulfate-reducing bacteria for protozoa. Performance targets (\log_{10} reduction) for the validation monitoring for the selected indicator microorganisms are set out in Table 4 and shall be met at the outlet of the reclamation plant (point of compliance), considering the concentrations of the raw waste water effluent entering the urban waste water treatment plant.

Or. fr

Amendment 443

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Validation monitoring shall be performed for the most stringent reclaimed water quality class, Class A, to assess that the performance targets (\log_{10} reduction) are complied with. Validation monitoring entails the monitoring of the indicator microorganisms associated to each group of pathogens (bacteria, virus and protozoa). The indicator microorganisms selected are *E. coli* for pathogenic bacteria, F-specific coliphages, somatic coliphages or coliphages for pathogenic viruses, and *Clostridium perfringens* spores or spore-forming sulfate-reducing bacteria for protozoa. Performance targets (\log_{10} reduction) for the validation monitoring for the selected indicator microorganisms are set out in Table 4 and shall be met at the outlet of the reclamation plant (*point of compliance*), considering the concentrations of the raw waste water effluent entering the urban waste water treatment plant.

Amendment

Validation monitoring shall be performed for the most stringent reclaimed water quality class, Class A, to assess that the performance targets (\log_{10} reduction) are complied with. Validation monitoring entails the monitoring of the indicator microorganisms associated to each group of pathogens (bacteria, virus and protozoa). The indicator microorganisms selected are *E. coli* for pathogenic bacteria, F-specific coliphages, somatic coliphages or coliphages for pathogenic viruses, and *Clostridium perfringens* spores or spore-forming sulfate-reducing bacteria for protozoa. Performance targets (\log_{10} reduction) for the validation monitoring for the selected indicator microorganisms are set out in Table 4 and shall be met at the outlet of the reclamation plant, considering the concentrations of the raw waste water effluent entering the urban waste water treatment plant.

Or. en

Justification

The validation monitoring is done for the reclamation plant/facility and its equipments or processes to ensure they can achieve the quality standards for reclaimed water established in Table 2 of Annex I. of the Proposal. Therefore, the validation needs to be carried out at the outlet of the reclamation plant/facility As we have proposed changing the point of compliance to the delivery point of reclaimed water, we eliminate the reference to the point of compliance here.

Amendment 444

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

If a biological indicator is not present in sufficient quantity in raw waste water to achieve the log10 reduction, the absence of such biological indicator in the effluent shall mean complying with validation. The performance with the compliance target may be established by analytical control, by addition of the performance granted to individual treatment steps based on scientific evidence for standard well-established processes (published data of testing reports, case studies...) or tested in laboratory under controlled conditions for innovative treatment.

Or. en

Amendment 445

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – subheading 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Table 4 – Validation monitoring of ***reclaimed*** water for agricultural irrigation

Table 4 – Validation monitoring of ***recycled*** water for agricultural irrigation

Or. fr

Amendment 446

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(**) Total coliphages is selected as the most appropriate viral indicator. However, if analysis of total coliphages is not feasible, at least one of them (F-specific or

(**) Total coliphages is selected as the most appropriate viral indicator. However, if analysis of total coliphages is not feasible, at least one of them (F-specific or

somatic coliphages) has to be analyzed.

somatic coliphages) has to be analyzed. *If total coliphages are not present in sufficient quantity in raw waste water effluent, the compliance with the performance target may be established by addition of the performance granted to individual treatment steps based on scientific evidence for standard well-established processes (published data of testing reports, case studies...) or tested in laboratory under controlled conditions for innovative treatment.*

Or. en

Amendment 447
Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(**) Total coliphages is selected as the most appropriate viral indicator. However, if analysis of total coliphages is not feasible, *at least one* of them (F-specific *or* somatic coliphages) *has* to be analyzed.

Amendment

(**) Total coliphages is selected as the most appropriate viral indicator. However, if analysis of total coliphages is not feasible, *both* of them (F-specific *and* somatic coliphages) *have* to be analyzed.

Or. de

Amendment 448
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(***) Clostridium perfringens spores is selected as the most appropriate protozoa indicator. However sporeforming sulfate-reducing bacteria is an alternative if the concentration of Clostridium perfringens spores does not allow to validate the

Amendment

(***) Clostridium perfringens spores is selected as the most appropriate protozoa indicator. However sporeforming sulfate-reducing bacteria is an alternative if the concentration of Clostridium perfringens spores does not allow to validate the

requested log₁₀ removal.

requested log₁₀ removal. *If Clostridium perfringens are not present in sufficient quantity in raw wastewater effluent, the compliance with the performance target may be established by addition of the performance granted to individual treatment steps based on scientific evidence for standard well-established processes (published data of testing reports, case studies...) or tested in laboratory under controlled conditions for innovative treatment.*

Or. en

Amendment 449

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Methods of analysis for monitoring shall be validated and documented *by the operator* in accordance with EN ISO/IEC-17025 or other national or international standards which ensure an equivalent quality.

Amendment

Methods of analysis for monitoring shall be validated and documented in accordance with EN ISO/IEC-17025 or other national or international standards which ensure an equivalent quality.

Or. en

Amendment 450

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 2.1 – point b – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Methods of analysis for monitoring shall be validated and documented *by the operator* in accordance with EN ISO/IEC-17025 or other national or international standards which ensure an equivalent

Amendment

Methods of analysis for monitoring shall be validated and documented in accordance with EN ISO/IEC-17025 or other national or international standards which ensure an equivalent quality.

quality.

Or. en

Justification

The methods of analysis for monitoring should be validated by validation bodies or entities, not by the operators (who are the users of these methods).

Amendment 451 Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation Annex II – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Describe the water reuse system, from the waste water entering the urban waste water treatment plant to the point of use, including the sources of waste water, the treatment steps and technologies at the reclamation plant, the supply and storage infrastructure, the intended use, the place of use, and the quantities of reclaimed water to be supplied. The aim of this task is to provide a detailed description of the entire water reuse system.

Amendment

1. Describe the water reuse system, from the waste water entering the urban waste water treatment plant to the point of use, including the sources of waste water, the treatment steps and technologies at the reclamation plant, the supply and storage infrastructure, the intended use, the place of use, and the quantities of reclaimed water to be supplied. The aim of this task is to provide a detailed description of the entire water reuse system, ***including a land register identifying the areas where reclaimed water is being used.***

Or. de

Amendment 452 Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation Annex II – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Describe the water reuse ***system***, from the waste water entering the urban waste water treatment plant to the point of

Amendment

1. Describe the water reuse ***project***, from the waste water entering the urban waste water treatment plant to the point of

use, including the sources of waste water, the **treatment steps and technologies at the reclamation plant, the supply and storage infrastructure, the intended use, the place of use, and the quantities of reclaimed water to be supplied.** The aim of **this task is to provide** a detailed description of the **entire water reuse system.**

use, including the sources of waste water, the reclamation **system, the users' supply and storage infrastructure, the intended use, the place of use, and the quantities of reclaimed water to be supplied, with** the aim of **providing** a detailed description.

Or. en

Amendment 453

Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen, Peter Liese, Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins

Proposal for a regulation Annex II – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Describe the water reuse system, from the waste water entering the urban waste water treatment plant to the **point** of use, including the sources of waste water, the treatment steps and technologies at the reclamation plant, the supply and storage infrastructure, the intended use, the place of use, and the quantities of reclaimed water to be supplied. The aim of this task is to provide a detailed description of the entire water reuse system.

Amendment

1. Describe the water reuse system, from the waste water entering the urban waste water treatment plant to the **place** of use, including the sources of waste water, the treatment steps and technologies at the reclamation plant, the supply and storage infrastructure, the intended use, the place of use, and the quantities of reclaimed water to be supplied. The aim of this task is to provide a detailed description of the entire water reuse system.

Or. en

Amendment 454

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation Annex II – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Describe the water reuse system, from the waste water entering the urban waste water treatment plant to the point of

Amendment

1. Describe the water reuse system, from the waste water entering the urban waste water treatment plant to the point of

use, including the sources of waste water, the treatment steps and technologies at the reclamation plant, the supply and storage infrastructure, the intended use, the place of use, and the quantities of *reclaimed* water to be supplied. The aim of this task is to provide a detailed description of the entire water reuse system.

use, including the sources of waste water, the treatment steps and technologies at the reclamation plant, the supply and storage infrastructure, the intended use, the place of use, and the quantities of *recycled* water to be supplied. The aim of this task is to provide a detailed description of the entire water reuse system.

Or. fr

Amendment 455
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Identify potential hazards, in particular the presence of pollutants and pathogens, and the potential for hazardous events such as treatment failures, accidental leakages or contamination in the described water reuse *system*.

Amendment

2. Identify potential hazards, in particular the presence of pollutants and pathogens, and the potential for hazardous events such as treatment failures, accidental leakages or contamination in the described water reuse *project*.

Or. en

Amendment 456
Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Identify the environments, populations and individuals at risk of direct or indirect exposure to the identified potential hazards, taking into account specific environmental factors such as local hydrogeology, topology, soil type and ecology, and factors related to the type of crops and farming practices. Possible irreversible or long-term negative effects of

Amendment

3. Identify the environments, populations and individuals at risk of direct or indirect exposure to the identified potential hazards, taking into account specific environmental factors such as local hydrogeology, topology, soil type and ecology, and factors related to the type of crops and farming practices. *The health risks assessment including hazard*

the water reclamation operation have to be considered as well.

identification, dose-response, exposure assessment and risk characterisation have to be considered along the waste water reuse system. Possible irreversible or long-term negative ***environmental or health*** effects of the water reclamation operation, ***supply, storage and use*** have to be considered as well.

Or. en

Amendment 457

Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Identify the environments, populations and individuals at risk of direct or indirect exposure to the identified potential hazards, taking into account specific environmental factors such as local hydrogeology, topology, soil type and ecology, and factors related to the type of crops and farming practices. Possible irreversible or long-term negative effects of the water reclamation operation have to be considered as well.

Amendment

3. Identify the environments, populations and individuals at risk of direct or indirect exposure to the identified potential hazards, taking into account specific environmental factors such as local hydrogeology, topology, soil type and ecology, and factors related to the type of crops and farming practices. Possible irreversible or long-term negative effects of the water reclamation operation have to be considered as well, ***in particular potential negative impacts on the ecological flows.***

Or. en

Amendment 458

Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Conduct a risk assessment covering both environmental risks and risks to human and animal health, taking into account the

Amendment

Conduct a risk assessment covering both environmental risks and risks to human and animal health, taking into account the

nature of the identified potential hazards, the identified environments, populations and individuals at risk of exposure to those hazards and the severity of possible effects of the hazards, as well as all relevant Union and national legislation, guidance documents and minimum requirements in relation to food and feed and worker safety. Scientific uncertainty in risk characterisation shall be addressed in accordance with the precautionary principle.

nature of the identified potential hazards, the identified environments, populations and individuals at risk of exposure to those hazards and the severity of possible effects of the hazards, ***the potential impact on the minimum ecological equilibrium***, as well as all relevant Union and national legislation, guidance documents and minimum requirements in relation to food and feed and worker safety ***and environmental objectives***. Scientific uncertainty in risk characterisation shall be addressed in accordance with the precautionary principle.

Or. en

Amendment 459
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Conduct a risk assessment covering both environmental risks and risks to human and animal health, taking into account the nature of the identified potential hazards, the identified environments, populations and individuals at risk of exposure to those hazards and the severity of possible effects of the hazards, as well as all relevant Union and national legislation, guidance documents and minimum requirements in relation to food and feed and worker safety. Scientific uncertainty in risk characterisation shall be addressed in accordance with the precautionary principle.

Amendment

Conduct a risk assessment covering both environmental risks and risks to human and animal health, taking into account the nature of the identified potential hazards, the identified environments, populations and individuals at risk of exposure to those hazards and the severity of possible effects of the hazards, as well as all relevant Union and national legislation, guidance documents and minimum requirements in relation to food and feed and worker safety. ***Qualitative studies may be accepted.*** Scientific uncertainty in risk characterisation shall be addressed in accordance with the precautionary principle.

Or. en

Amendment 460

Lynn Boylan

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 4 – paragraph 2 – point b – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

i. confirmation of the nature of the hazards, including, where relevant, the dose-response relationship;

Amendment

i. confirmation of the nature of the hazards, including, where relevant, the dose-response relationship ***in collaboration with health authorities***;

Or. en

Amendment 461

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 4 – paragraph 2 – point b – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

i. confirmation of the nature of the hazards, including, where relevant, the dose-response relationship;

Amendment

i. confirmation of the nature of the hazards, including, where relevant, the dose-response relationship ***in collaboration with health authorities***;

Or. en

Amendment 462

Marijana Petir

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 4 – paragraph 2 – point b – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

i. confirmation of the nature of the hazards, including, where relevant, the dose-response relationship;

Amendment

i. confirmation of the nature of the hazards, including, where relevant, the dose-response relationship ***in collaboration with health authorities***;

Or. en

Justification

Dose-response relationships and risk assessment studies require certain skills, knowledge and data, which health authorities in Members States could provide.

Amendment 463

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inés Ayala Sender

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 4 – paragraph 2 – point b – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

i. confirmation of the nature of the hazards, including, where relevant, the dose-response relationship;

Amendment

i. confirmation of the nature of the hazards, including, where relevant, the dose-response relationship ***in collaboration with health authorities;***

Or. en

Amendment 464

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The following requirements and obligations shall, as a minimum, be taken into account in the risk assessment:

(a) the requirement to reduce and prevent water pollution from nitrates in accordance with Council Directive 91/676/EEC³⁹ ;

(b) the obligation for drinking water protected areas to meet the requirements of Council Directive 98/83/EC⁴⁰ ;

(c) the requirement to meet the environmental objectives set out in Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴¹ ;

(d) the requirement to prevent groundwater pollution in accordance with

deleted

Amendment

Directive 2006/118/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴² ;

(e) the requirement to meet the environmental quality standards for priority substances and certain other pollutants laid down in Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴³ ;

(f) the requirement to meet the environmental quality standards for pollutants of national concern (i.e. river basin specific pollutants) laid down in Directive 2000/60/EC;

(g) the requirement to meet the bathing water quality standards laid down in Directive 2006/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴⁴ ;

(h) the requirements concerning the protection of the environment, and in particular of the soil, when sewage sludge is used in agriculture under Council Directive 86/278/EEC⁴⁵ ;

(i) the requirements regarding hygiene of foodstuffs as laid down in Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴⁶ and the guidance provided in the Commission Notice on guidance document on addressing microbiological risks in fresh fruits and vegetables at primary production through good hygiene;

(j) the requirements for feed hygiene laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and the Council⁴⁷ .

(k) the requirement to comply with the relevant microbiological criteria set out in Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005⁴⁸ ;

(l) the requirements regarding maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs set out in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003⁴⁹ ;

(m) the requirements regarding maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on food and feed set out in Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁰ ;

(n) the requirements regarding animal health in Regulation (EC) 1069/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and Commission Regulation (EC) 142/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵² .

³⁹ Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1-8).

⁴⁰ Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 330, 5.12.1998, p. 32).

⁴¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁴² Directive 2006/118/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on the protection of groundwater against pollution and deterioration (OJ L 372, 27.12.2006, p. 19).

⁴³ Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/EEC, 84/491/EEC, 86/280/EEC and amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 84).

⁴⁴ Directive 2006/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15

February 2006 concerning the management of bathing water quality and repealing Directive 76/160/EEC (OJ L 64, 4.3.2006, p. 37).

⁴⁵ Council Directive 86/278/EEC of 12 June 1986 on the protection of the environment, and in particular of the soil, when sewage sludge is used in agriculture (OJ L 181, 4.7.1986, p. 6).

⁴⁶ Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the hygiene of foodstuffs (OJ L 139, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

⁴⁷ Regulation (EC) 183/2005 of the European Parliament and the Council of 12 January 2005 laying down requirements for feed hygiene (OJ L 35, 8.2.2005, p. 1).

⁴⁸ Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 of 15 November 2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs (OJ L338 22.12.2005, p.1)

⁴⁹ Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs (OJ L 364, 20.12.2006, p. 5)

⁵⁰ Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 February 2005 on maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on food and feed of plant and animal origin and amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC (OJ L 70, 16.3.2005, p. 1)

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1069/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 laying down health rules as regards animal by-products and derived products not intended for human consumption and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1774/2002 (Animal by-products Regulation) (OJ L 300, 14.11.2009, p. 1)

⁵² Commission Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 of 25 February 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1069/2009 of the European Parliament

and of the Council laying down health rules as regards animal by-products and derived products not intended for human consumption and implementing Council Directive 97/78/EC as regards certain samples and items exempt from veterinary checks at the border under that Directive Text with EEA relevance (OJ L 54, 26.2.2011, p. 1)

Or. en

Amendment 465
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. When necessary and appropriate to ensure sufficient protection of the environment and human health, specify requirements for water quality and monitoring that are additional to and/or stricter than those specified in Annex I.

Amendment

5. When necessary and appropriate to ensure sufficient protection of the environment and human health, ***having been proven the risks to come from reclaimed water and not from other sources***, specify requirements for water quality and monitoring that are additional to and/or stricter than those specified in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 466
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. When necessary and appropriate to ensure sufficient protection of the environment and human health, specify requirements for water quality and monitoring that are additional to and/or

Amendment

5. When ***the competent authority considers it*** necessary and appropriate to ensure sufficient protection of the environment and human health, specify requirements for water quality and

stricter than those specified in Annex I.

monitoring that are additional to and/or stricter than those specified in Annex I.

Or. fr

Amendment 467

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. When necessary and appropriate to ensure **sufficient** protection of the environment and human health, specify requirements for water quality and monitoring that are additional to and/or stricter than those specified in Annex I.

Amendment

5. When necessary and appropriate to ensure **appropriate** protection of the environment and human health, specify requirements for water quality and monitoring that are additional to and/or stricter than those specified in Annex I.

Or. it

Amendment 468

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Depending on the outcome of the risk assessment referred to in point 4, such additional requirements may in particular concern:

Amendment

Such additional requirements may in particular concern:

Or. en

Amendment 469

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 5 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) pesticides;

Or. it

Amendment 470
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 5 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) microplastics

Or. de

Amendment 471
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 5 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) other substances of emerging concern;

(e) other substances of emerging concern, ***such as perfluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS) and other substances with endocrine-disrupting properties;***

Or. it

Amendment 472
Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 5 – paragraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) the presence of microplastics and

medicinal products;

Or. de

Amendment 473
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 5 – paragraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) the presence of microplastic;

Or. it

Amendment 474
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. Identify preventive measures that are already in place or that should be taken to limit risks so that all identified risks can be adequately managed.

6. Identify preventive measures, **both for reclamation facility operators and users**, that are already in place or that should be taken to limit risks so that all identified risks can be adequately managed.

Or. en

Amendment 475
Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Ensure that adequate quality control systems and procedures are in place, including monitoring of the reclaimed

7. Ensure that adequate quality control systems and procedures are in place, including monitoring of the reclaimed

water for relevant parameters, and that adequate maintenance programmes for equipment are established.

water for relevant parameters, *as well as control at the place of use of reclaimed water*, and that adequate maintenance programmes for equipment are established.

Or. en

Amendment 476

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Ensure that adequate quality control systems and procedures are in place, including monitoring of the *reclaimed* water for relevant parameters, and that adequate maintenance programmes for equipment are established.

Amendment

7. Ensure that adequate quality control systems and procedures are in place, including monitoring of the *recycled* water for relevant parameters, and that adequate maintenance programmes for equipment are established.

Or. fr

Amendment 477

Tiemo Wölken

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Ensure that environmental monitoring systems are in place that will detect any negative effects of the water reuse, as well as ensure that feedback from the monitoring is provided and that all processes and procedures are appropriately validated and documented.

Amendment

Ensure that environmental monitoring systems are in place that will detect any negative effects of the water reuse, as well as ensure that feedback from the monitoring is provided and that all processes and procedures are appropriately validated and documented. *These include:*

(a) Soil monitoring every five years to establish whether

- a harmful substances have accumulated to a significant degree in the soil. In the absence of such data, relevant precautionary values should be predicated

and adhered to (subject to permissible margins).

(b) Annual groundwater monitoring after the end of the summer growing season, based on representative inflow and outflow samples, to establish whether

- any groundwater deterioration has occurred

- there is any evidence of increasing groundwater contamination by pollutants and nutrients attributable to water reuse.

Or. de

Amendment 478

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

It is recommended that the reclamation *plant* operator set up and maintain a quality management system certified under ISO 9001 or equivalent.

Amendment

It is recommended that the reclamation *facility* operator set up and maintain a quality management system certified under ISO 9001 or equivalent.

Or. en

Amendment 479

Francesc Gambús, Pilar Ayuso, Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – point 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

9a. Ensure the establishment of coordination mechanisms amongst different actors to guarantee the safe production and use of reclaimed water and the success of the water reuse project.

Or. en