



2023/2129(DEC)

9.11.2023

AMENDMENTS

1 - 58

Draft opinion
Christine Anderson
(PE754.838v01-00)

2022 discharge: General budget of the EU - Commission
((2023/2129(DEC))

Amendment 1
Maria Noichl

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas, gender equality and the elimination of inequalities are core values upon which the Union is founded, and the commitment to promote equality between men and women in all of its actions as enshrined in Article 8 TFEU;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2
Sandra Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas, gender equality and the elimination of inequalities are core values upon which the Union is founded, and the commitment to promote equality between men and women in all of its actions as enshrined in Article 8 TFEU;

Amendment

A. whereas, gender equality and the elimination of inequalities are core values upon which the Union is founded, and the commitment to promote equality between men and women in all of its actions as enshrined in Article 8 TFEU; ***whereas the policies of attacking social and labour rights it promotes contradict that rhetoric and have, in fact, played a part in the deepening of socio-economic inequalities, particularly affecting women;***

Or. pt

Amendment 3
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas, gender equality and the elimination of inequalities are core values upon which the Union is founded, and the commitment to promote equality ***between men and women*** in all of its actions as enshrined in Article 8 TFEU;

Amendment

A. whereas, gender equality and the elimination of inequalities are core values upon which the Union is founded, and the commitment to promote ***gender equality and gender mainstreaming*** in all of its actions as enshrined in Article 8 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 4

María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Karen Melchior, Susana Solís Pérez

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas, gender equality ***and the elimination of*** inequalities ***are core values upon which the Union is founded, and the commitment*** to promote equality between men and women ***in all of its actions as enshrined in Article 8 TFEU;***

Amendment

A. whereas, gender equality ***is a core value of the Union enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union;*** ***whereas Article 8 TFEU commits the Union to eliminate*** inequalities ***and*** to promote equality between men and women;

Or. en

Amendment 5

Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas, ***gender equality*** and the elimination of inequalities are core values upon which the Union is founded, and the commitment to promote ***equality between men and women*** in all of its actions as enshrined in Article 8 TFEU;

Amendment

A. whereas ***equality between men and women*** and the elimination of inequalities are core values upon which the Union is founded, and the commitment to promote ***such equality*** in all of its actions as enshrined in Article 8 TFEU;

Or. es

Amendment 6
Sandra Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas in a number of Member States with low birth rates, women and couples would like to have children but are in practice prevented from doing so, not by choice but as a consequence of the constant deterioration in their living and working conditions, a direct result of so-called austerity policies that have created unemployment, precarious employment relationships, disregard for and violation of maternity and paternity rights in the workplace, destroying public services and impeding access to childcare facilities;

Or. pt

Amendment 7
Maria Noichl

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

A a. whereas gender equality is one of the core values on which the European Union is founded and the Union is committed to promote gender mainstreaming in all of its actions as enshrined in Article 8 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

A a. whereas the European Parliament has repeatedly asked the Commission to promote and implement the use of gender mainstreaming, gender budgeting and gender impact assessments in all Union policy areas;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Sandra Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. whereas it is vital to ensure that women have the right to work with rights and the right to motherhood without being penalised for it, as women continue to be the worst affected and suffer the most discrimination; whereas examples of this discrimination include pressure from employers on women attending job interviews at which they are asked whether they have children and how old they are, with the aim of influencing women's decisions and opting for childless workers who are 'more available', along with growing economic and work-related pressures on female employees not to take maternity leave;

Or. pt

Amendment 10
Maria Noichl

Draft opinion

Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

A b. whereas this Parliament has repeatedly asked the Commission to promote and implement the use of gender mainstreaming, gender budgeting and gender impact assessments in all the Union policy areas and the European Court of Auditors (ECA) to incorporate a gender perspective into its reports on the implementation of the Union budget;

Or. en

Amendment 11

Maria Noichl

Draft opinion

Recital A c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

A c. whereas gender equality in the Union has been particularly impacted by the consequences of the war in Ukraine, the cost of living crisis, which women are disproportionately affected by, and the backlashes against gender equality and women's rights, especially around sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR) in several Member States; whereas this further deepens inequalities and hampers gender equality;

Or. en

Amendment 12

Sandra Pereira

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Stresses that equal opportunities for men and women should be a given in all policy areas; however evidence based data should be the basis, rather than wolf-crying calls claiming women are more impacted by war, Covid, climate change, or that the best solution for women is more abortions; therefore savings can be made in the budgetary process by reducing these budgetary lines; *deleted*

Or. pt

**Amendment 13
Maria Noichl**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Stresses that equal opportunities for men and women should be a given in all policy areas; however evidence based data should be the basis, rather than wolf-crying calls claiming women are more impacted by war, Covid, climate change, or that the best solution for women is more abortions; therefore savings can be made in the budgetary process by reducing these budgetary lines; *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 14
Eleni Stavrou**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Stresses that equal opportunities for men and women should be a given in all policy areas; however evidence based data should be the basis, rather than wolf- *deleted*

crying calls claiming women are more impacted by war, Covid, climate change, or that the best solution for women is more abortions; therefore savings can be made in the budgetary process by reducing these budgetary lines;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that *equal opportunities for men and women* should be *a given in all policy areas; however evidence based data should be the basis, rather than wolf-crying calls claiming women are more impacted by war, Covid, climate change, or that the best solution for women is more abortions*; therefore savings can be made in the budgetary process *by reducing these budgetary lines*;

Amendment

1. Stresses that *a gender equality perspective* should be *integrated and ensured into* all policy areas *particularly in light of the multiple gendered impacts of various ongoing climate, energy and social crises with a focus on SRHR and the backlash against gender equality and women's rights in the EU*; reiterates therefore *its call for the implementation of gender budgeting at all stages of the* budgetary process;

Or. en

Amendment 16

María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Karen Melchior, Susana Solís Pérez

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that *equal opportunities for men and women* should be *a given in all policy areas; however evidence based data should be the basis, rather than wolf-crying calls claiming women are more impacted by war, Covid, climate change,*

Amendment

1. Stresses that *a gender equality perspective* should be *integrated and ensured into* all policy areas, *particularly in the light of the gendered aspects of ongoing crises such as wars, the climate crisis, inflation, and the backlash against*

or that the best solution for women is more abortions; therefore savings can be made in the budgetary process by reducing these budgetary lines;

women's rights, especially sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR) in the Union and world-wide;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Maria Noichl

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Stresses that women's rights and a gender equality perspective should be integrated and ensured into all policy areas; reiterates therefore its call for the implementation of gender budgeting at all stages of the budgetary process; stresses the importance of gender budgeting in all recovery and alleviation measures to make use of EU programmes for mitigating gendered impacts of different crises;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Sandra Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Rejects the increase in the budget allocations for militarisation, specifically for arms, infrastructure, capacity and military mobility and for border surveillance and externalisation; takes the view that those funds should be used to promote economic and social cohesion and tackle the root causes of migration, such as poverty and violent conflicts;

Amendment 19

Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. whereas the Parliament has repeatedly asked the Commission to promote and implement the use of gender mainstreaming, gender budgeting and gender impact assessments in all relevant Union policy areas;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 b. Stresses that a gender equality perspective should be integrated and ensured into all relevant policy areas, particularly in light of the multiple gendered impacts of various ongoing climate, energy and social crises; reiterates therefore its call for the implementation of gender budgeting throughout the budgetary process;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 c (new)

1 c. Highlights that a gender equality perspective should be integrated and ensured in the backlashes against gender equality and women's rights, especially sexual and reproductive health and rights, in several Member States and worldwide; reiterates therefore its call for the implementation of gender budgeting throughout the budgetary process; stresses the importance of gender budgeting in all recovery and alleviation measures to make use of EU programmes for mitigating the gendered impacts of various crises;

Or. en

Amendment 22**Eleni Stavrou****Draft opinion****Paragraph 2**

2. Raises concerns about the demonisation of groups supporting pregnant women; notes that this is contrary to Article 2 TEU and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, where the right to life is enshrined; is further concerned by disrespect of Member State competences in the area of health; therefore calls for a removal of funding for organisations which promote abortion; calls on the Commission to explore the core mission of those to whom EU funds are granted and to withhold funding from actors using EU funds for the purposes of terminating human rights, particularly women's rights and gender equality;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 23

Maria Noichl

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Raises concerns about the demonisation of groups supporting pregnant women; notes that this is contrary to Article 2 TEU and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, where the right to life is enshrined; is further concerned by disrespect of Member State competences in the area of health; therefore calls for a removal of funding for organisations which promote abortion; calls on the Commission to explore the core mission of those to whom EU funds are granted and to withhold funding from actors using EU funds for the purposes of terminating human rights, particularly women's rights and gender equality;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 24

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Raises concerns about the demonisation of groups supporting pregnant women; notes that this is contrary to Article 2 TEU and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, where the right to life is enshrined; is further concerned by disrespect of Member State competences in the area of health; therefore calls for a removal of funding for organisations which promote abortion; calls on the Commission to

Amendment

2. Notes with concern that special report 10/2021, published by the ECA confirmed that the Union's budget cycle does not yet adequately take gender equality into account since key elements, such as gender analysis, gender-related objectives, indicators and accountability through reporting, are largely missing; and that the Commission has not yet fully implemented its commitment to include gender mainstreaming in the Union

explore the core mission of those to whom EU funds are granted and to withhold funding from actors using EU funds for the purposes of terminating human rights, particularly women's rights and gender equality;

budget;

Or. en

Amendment 25

María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Karen Melchior, Susana Solís Pérez

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Raises concerns about the demonisation of groups supporting pregnant women; notes that this is contrary to Article 2 TEU and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, where the right to life is enshrined; is further concerned by disrespect of Member State competences in the area of health; therefore calls for a removal of funding for organisations which promote abortion; calls on the Commission to explore the core mission of those to whom EU funds are granted and to withhold funding from actors using EU funds for the purposes of terminating human rights, particularly women's rights and gender equality;

Amendment

2. Notes the Commission's methodology for tracking gender aspects of expenditure as of 2023; recalls that only 2% of the Union's budget was assessed as having a principle objective of improving gender equality; calls on the Commission to improve this score by mainstreaming gender from the start of programme design; reiterates that further detail is needed so that the majority of spending (73%) currently assessed as 0 'potential to contribute to gender equality' can be fully understood;*

Or. en

Amendment 26

Maria Noichl

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Repeats its concern at the

interrelation between the attacks on the rule of law, democracy and human rights and the backlash on gender equality and women's rights; calls on the Commission to continue its efforts to strengthen the rule of law in the Union, in particular those legal proposals aimed at protecting the EU budget in case of generalised deficiencies as regards the rule of law, breaches of human rights, including women's rights and violations of the fundamental values of the European Union; calls on the Commission to explore the core mission of those to whom EU funds are granted and to withhold funding from actors who seek to use EU funds for the purposes of backsliding human rights, particularly women's rights and gender equality, including SRHR and women's rights to bodily integrity, autonomy and self-determination;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Sandra Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Calls on the Member States to safeguard sexual and reproductive health and rights, in particular by ensuring the availability of reproductive health programmes and services providing the types of care and medicines required for voluntary family planning and maternal and newborn health;*

Or. pt

Amendment 28
Sandra Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. *Notes that gender mainstreaming is flawed, because it would force women into roles, employment and identities, which they may not want, rather than simply giving individuals and families the opportunity to decide;* *deleted*

Or. pt

Amendment 29
Maria Noichl

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. *Notes that gender mainstreaming is flawed, because it would force women into roles, employment and identities, which they may not want, rather than simply giving individuals and families the opportunity to decide;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 30
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. *Notes that gender mainstreaming is flawed, because it would force women into roles, employment and identities, which they may not want, rather than simply giving individuals and families the opportunity to decide;* *deleted*

Amendment 31

Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Notes that gender mainstreaming is flawed, because it would force women into roles, employment and identities, which they may not want, rather than simply giving individuals and families the opportunity to decide;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 32

María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Karen Melchior, Susana Solís Pérez

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Notes that gender mainstreaming is flawed, because it would force women into roles, employment and identities, which they may not want, rather than simply giving individuals and families the opportunity to decide;

3. Repeats its calls for the Commission to strengthen the rule of law and ensure that EU funds are not made available to any entity that is implicated in breaches of human rights, including women's fundamental rights and SRHR;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Notes that gender mainstreaming is

3. Notes that gender mainstreaming

flawed, because it would force women into roles, employment and identities, which they may not want, rather than simply giving individuals and families the opportunity to decide;

runs counter to womanhood because it forces women to identify themselves artificially, according to ideological dictates that do not correspond to their real desires and needs;

Or. es

Amendment 34

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Calls on the Commission to fully implement the European Court of Auditor's recommendations, including in the mid-term review of the current multiannual financial framework and the implementation of the Recovery and Resilience Facility;

Or. en

Amendment 35

Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Welcomes the fact that gender equality and mainstreaming is one of the horizontal principles for Union funds in the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for 2021-2027 and in the Recovery and Resilience Facility;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Maria Noichl

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Calls on the Commission, in view of the end of this legislative term, to continue its work on gender equality and calls for a Commissioner on gender equality for the next term;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. Welcomes the Commission's work on a new classification to measure the gender impact of Union spending; calls on the Commission to ensure that this classification focuses on an accurate and comprehensive representation of the impact of programmes on gender equality;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Notes the flawed methodology in calculating the Union's gender pay gap of

deleted

12.7 %, as it fails to take into account a person's choice to undertake work which is lower paid, or is part time in nature;

Or. en

Amendment 39

Maria Noichl

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Notes the flawed methodology in calculating the Union's gender pay gap of 12.7 %, as it fails to take into account a person's choice to undertake work which is lower paid, or is part time in nature; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 40

Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Notes the flawed methodology in calculating the Union's gender pay gap of 12.7 %, as it fails to take into account a person's choice to undertake work which is lower paid, or is part time in nature; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 41

María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Karen Melchior, Susana Solís Pérez

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. ***Notes the flawed methodology in calculating the Union's gender pay gap of 12.7 %, as it fails to take into account a person's choice to undertake work which is lower paid, or is part time in nature;***

Amendment

4. ***Insists that women and men should benefit equally from the Recovery and Resilience Facility, in particular in the light of the Union's gender pay gap of 13%; recalls that the Commission introduced a gender assessment of the Member States' Recovery and Resilience Plans only an ex-ante assessment, which revealed that some Member States had no measures tagged as focusing on gender equality;***

Or. en

Amendment 42
Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes the flawed methodology in calculating the Union's gender pay gap of 12.7 %, as it fails to take into account a person's choice to undertake work which is lower paid, or is part time in nature;

Amendment

4. Notes the flawed methodology ***and random criterion used*** in calculating the Union's gender pay gap of 12.7 %, as it fails to take into account a person's choice to undertake work which is lower paid, or is part time in nature;

Or. es

Amendment 43
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Welcomes the Commission's work on a new classification to measure the gender impact of Union spending; calls on the Commission to ensure that this

classification focuses on an accurate and comprehensive representation of the impact of all existing programmes and funds; reiterates in this context that a comprehensive gender tracking methodology needs to include reporting on negative impacts on gender equality, as well as the importance of setting concrete expenditure targets;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Sandra Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Stresses that in the last decade, with the onset of the economic and financial crisis, economic, social, labour and gender inequalities within and between Member States have become more acute; recalls the important role of the European Institute for Gender Equality in raising awareness of the extent and causes of gender inequality in the EU; calls, therefore, for its budget, staff and independence to be increased;

Or. pt

Amendment 45
Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Calls on the Commission to deliver on the positive commitment made by President von der Leyen to promote gender equality in all policymaking and

stresses that more needs to be done;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Points out that the key learning of the application of the pilot methodology was the limited possibility of assigning concrete scores due to a lack of required data; stresses therefore the importance of data collection requirements to enable a systematic and comprehensive collection of gender-disaggregated data in the context of all relevant EU policies; urges the Commission to treat this as a priority and make use of the opportunities to improve data collection requirements and indicators in new legislation and reviews, such as the recast of the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Sandra Pereira

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Calls strongly for the establishment of precarity-based offers to be rejected; calls on the Commission and the Member States to promote collective agreements, wage appreciation, the promotion of open-ended contracts and

the regulation of working hours; calls on the European Parliament and other EU institutions to combat precarity by promoting collective agreements and employment with rights and rejecting subcontracting, ensuring that a permanent position means a permanent employment contract and that there is equal pay for equal work;

Or. pt

Amendment 48
Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Stresses the importance of using European Structural and Investment Funds such as the European Social Fund Plus (ESF+) to promote gender equality, women's employment, women's empowerment, entrepreneurship, leadership and management roles, as well as long-term care facilities;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 c. Stresses the importance of strengthening the specifically dedicated Daphne initiative by increasing its resources, in particular measures that aim to combat all levels and all forms of gender-based violence against women and girls and domestic violence in line with

Or. en

Amendment 50
Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. *Notes the importance of combatting violence and trafficking, as outlined in the Daphne initiative but calls for transparency over the results of the money invested.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 51
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. *Notes the importance of combatting violence and trafficking, as outlined in the Daphne initiative but calls for transparency over the results of the money invested.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 52
Maria Noichl

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

5. *Notes the importance of combatting violence and trafficking, as outlined in the Daphne initiative but calls for transparency over the results of the money invested.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 53

María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Karen Melchior, Susana Solís Pérez

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. *Notes the importance of combatting violence and trafficking, as outlined in the Daphne initiative but calls for transparency over the results of the money invested.*

5. *Repeats its calls for a dedicated budget line for gender equality, in particular within the Daphne programme in the light of the crisis of gender-based violence facing the Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 54

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. *Regrets the lack of specific budget lines for measures dedicated to gender equality, especially within the Daphne programme, leading to a lack of transparency and accountability; stresses the importance of strengthening the specifically dedicated Daphne initiative by increasing its resources, in particular measures that aim to combat all levels and all forms of GBV against women and girls and domestic violence;*

Amendment 55

Eleni Stavrou

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Is of the opinion, on the basis of the data currently available, that discharge can be granted on the General budget of the EU in respect of the implementation of its budget for the financial year 2022;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Annika Bruna

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Notes the importance of combating Islamist ideologies and all of their networks in order to safeguard women's rights in Europe;

Or. fr

Amendment 57

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 b. Notes that a study requested by FEMM committee^{1a} shows that men are

often favoured over women and marginalized groups when it comes to the design of subsidies and support under the Fit for 55 package, as well as in other policies, programmes and funding for the green transition;

1a

[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2022/736899/IPOL_STU\(2022\)736899_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2022/736899/IPOL_STU(2022)736899_EN.pdf)

Or. en

Amendment 58
Annika Bruna

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. *Is concerned that the Commission is still subsidising and promoting associations with links to radical religious and political organisations that undermine women's fundamental rights, such as the Muslim Brotherhood;*

Or. fr