



2016/2274(INI)

16.2.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 157

Draft report

Marlene Mizzi

(PE595.559v01-00)

European standards - implementation of Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012
(2016/2274(INI))

Amendment 1

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Directive concerning measures for a high common level of security of network and information systems across the Union 2016/1148 (NIS Directive),*

Or. en

Amendment 2

Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the audit report 'products sold on the European market: unravelling the system of CE marking', performed by the Dutch Court of Audit;*

Or. en

Amendment 3

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the European Commission Open source software strategy 2014-2017^{1a},*

Amendment 4

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Birgit Collin-Langen, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the Commission's action to set out a common vision for European standardisation is a direct result of the Juncker Commission's ten priorities and, in particular, the priorities on Connected Digital Single Market and the Single Market Strategy;

Amendment

A. ***whereas the European standardisation system is a central element in the delivery of the single market and*** whereas the Commission's action to set out a common vision for European standardisation is a direct result of the Juncker Commission's ten priorities and, in particular, the priorities on Connected Digital Single Market and the Single Market Strategy;

Amendment 5

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas standards are voluntary technical specifications which if developed in an open, inclusive and transparent way can positively support a wide range of industrial, economic, social, and environmental policies affecting innovation, ageing population, integration of people with disabilities, the quality of life of citizens, the health and safety of consumers and workers, and development of ICT technologies;

Amendment 6

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas an efficient European standardisation system should be based on close partnership and cooperation between industry, public authorities, standardisation bodies and other interested parties, such as the Annex III organisations recognised under Regulation 1025/2012;

Amendment

B. whereas an efficient European standardisation system should be based on close partnership and cooperation between industry, public authorities, standardisation bodies, *consumers* and other interested parties, such as the Annex III organisations recognised under Regulation 1025/2012;

Or. en

Amendment 7

Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. whereas a system of standardisation for all, stakeholders, users and consumers is based on a system of trust and therefore compliance and proper enforcement mechanisms form the basis of the standardisation system;

Or. en

Amendment 8

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Birgit Collin-Langen, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White, Eva Maydell

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas European standards need to be developed in an open, inclusive and transparent system, based on consensus among all stakeholders, with the aim of defining strategic technical or quality requirements with which current or future products, production processes, services or methods may comply;

Amendment

C. whereas European standards need to be developed in an open, inclusive and transparent system, based on consensus among all stakeholders, with the aim of defining strategic technical or quality requirements with which current or future products, production processes, services or methods may comply, ***and whereas the European standardisation system plays a key role in responding to the increasing need, in European policy and legislation, for standards capable of ensuring product safety, accessibility, innovation, interoperability and sustainability;***

Or. en

Amendment 9
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment 9
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment 9
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment 9
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

of open standards for innovation, better software re-usability and data portability, but fails to provide a definition of an "open" standard;

Or. en

Amendment 11

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová, Jasenko Selimovic, Morten Løkkegaard

Motion for a resolution

Recital C b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C b. Whereas the facilitation of open source software and hardware licencing solutions could provide a competitive advantage to European companies on the world stage by making digital goods and services more innovative and flexible ;

Or. en

Amendment 12

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Birgit Collin-Langen, Ivan Štefanec, Eva Maydell

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D. whereas *standards are a necessary tool for the operation of the Single Market*, and whereas *they* can enhance European competitiveness, growth and innovation, and support quality, businesses performance and the protection of consumers, workers and the environment;

D. whereas *a modern and flexible European standardisation system is a crucial component for an ambitious and renewed European industrial policy*, and whereas *it* can enhance European competitiveness, growth and innovation, and support quality, businesses performance and the protection of consumers, workers and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 13

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas standards are a *necessary* tool for the operation of the Single Market, and whereas they can enhance European competitiveness, growth and innovation, and support quality, businesses performance and the protection of consumers, workers and the environment;

Amendment

D. whereas standards are a *useful* tool for the operation of the Single Market, and whereas they can enhance European competitiveness, growth and innovation, and support quality, businesses performance and the protection of consumers, workers and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 14

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas standards are a necessary tool for the operation of the Single Market, and whereas they can enhance European competitiveness, growth and innovation, and support quality, businesses performance and the protection of consumers, workers and the environment;

Amendment

D. whereas standards are a necessary tool for the operation of the Single Market, and whereas they can enhance European competitiveness, growth, *fair competition* and innovation, and support quality, businesses performance and the protection of consumers, workers and the environment, *provided that these standards are simple and do not lead to added bureaucracy and costs, particularly for SMEs*;

Or. ro

Amendment 15

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

D a. whereas harmonised standards are European Standards adopted, upon a request made by the Commission to provide a presumption of conformity with the essential requirements of the Union legislation; whereas standards are voluntary specifications, which should not replace a democratic decision-making processes, consultation or social dialogue;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas two different standard development systems coexist in Europe – ***one based*** on the national delegation principle and ***the other*** on the ***direct involvement*** of stakeholders ***through membership*** – and there is a need to evaluate both systems with a view to identifying existing challenges and good practices;

Amendment

E. whereas two different standard development systems coexist in Europe – ***standards development as implemented by CEN and CENELEC*** on the ***basis of the*** national delegation principle and ***another based*** on the ***paid membership*** of stakeholders ***as developed by ETSI*** – and there is a need to evaluate both systems with a view to identifying existing challenges and good practices;

Or. en

Amendment 17

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas two different standard development systems coexist in Europe – one based on the national delegation

Amendment

E. whereas two different standard development systems coexist in Europe – one based on the national delegation

principle and the other on the direct involvement of stakeholders through membership – and there is a need to evaluate **both** systems with a view to identifying existing challenges and good practices;

principle and the other on the direct involvement of stakeholders through membership – and there is a need to evaluate **the standards development** systems **relating to Regulation 1025/2012** with a view to identifying existing challenges and good practices;

Or. en

Amendment 18 **Vicky Ford**

Motion for a resolution **Recital F**

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas Regulation 1025/2012 has brought improvements to the standardisation process by integrating, for the first time, societal stakeholders **and** SMEs as **part** of the legal basis of the European standardisation system;

Amendment

F. whereas Regulation 1025/2012 has brought improvements to the standardisation process by integrating, for the first time, societal stakeholders **including** SMEs as **observers** of the legal basis of the European standardisation system;

Or. en

Amendment 19 **Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová, Jasenko Selimovic, Morten Løkkegaard**

Motion for a resolution **Recital G**

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas ICT standards make it possible to develop interoperable solutions for complementary products and for the various parts of a particular product, which is particularly important for the development of the ‘internet of things’ (IoT);

Amendment

G. whereas ICT standards make it possible to develop interoperable solutions for complementary products and for the various parts of a particular product, which is particularly important for the development of the ‘internet of things’ (IoT), **where the current standards fragmentation due to a large number of proprietary or semi-closed solutions is hindering the growth and take up;**

Amendment 20

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas ICT standards make it possible to develop interoperable solutions for complementary products and for the various parts of a particular product, which is particularly important for the development of the ‘internet of things’ (IoT);

Amendment

G. whereas ICT standards make it possible to develop interoperable solutions for complementary products and for the various parts of a particular product, which is particularly important for the development of the ‘internet of things’ (IoT); ***recognises and supports that IoT standards are predominantly developed at a global level;***

Or. en

Amendment 21

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

G a. whereas it is necessary to develop a strategic approach to ICT standardisation and review the current system in order for it to remain successful and respond to the needs of the forthcoming decade, thereby allowing the European Union to maintain a leading role in the global standardisation system;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

G a. whereas free and open standards have proven imperative to the creation and development of the Internet and Internet services, that have in turn fostered unprecedented innovation, societal, and economic prospects;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital G b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

G b. whereas the publication of documents and data fulfils governmental responsibilities and transparency goals; such goals are accountability, reproducibility, sustainability, and reliability of governmental action; when documents or data are published, it must happen in open, standardised formats to avoid "lock-in" situations where a software or vendor might no longer be commercially available, and so that independent entities are able to implement these formats under diverse development and business models, including open source, to ensure the continuation of government and administrative processes;

Or. en

Amendment 24

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White, Eva Maydell

Motion for a resolution

PE599.757v01-00

12/73

AM\1117489EN.docx

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the overreaching Commission standardisation package, which together with the ICT Standards Communication and the Joint Initiative on standardisation, aims at setting out a coherent European Standardisation System (ESS);

Amendment

1. Welcomes the overreaching Commission standardisation package, which together with the ICT Standards Communication and the Joint Initiative on standardisation, aims at setting out a coherent European Standardisation System (ESS) *with a view to preserving its many successful elements, improving its deficiencies and striking the right balance between the European, national and international dimensions; stresses that any review should build on the strengths of the existing system, which constitute a solid basis for improvement, refraining from any radical changes that would undermine the core values of the system;*

Or. en

Amendment 25

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the overreaching Commission standardisation package, which together with the ICT Standards Communication and the Joint Initiative on standardisation, aims at setting out a coherent European Standardisation System (ESS);

Amendment

1. Welcomes the overreaching Commission standardisation package, which together with the ICT Standards Communication and the Joint Initiative on standardisation, aims at setting out a coherent *and simple* European Standardisation System (ESS);

Or. ro

Amendment 26

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Birgit Collin-Langen, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Acknowledges the specificity and importance of the ESS to all stakeholders, including SMEs, consumers and workers, and calls on the Commission to ensure that the European system will continue to exist and that it maintains sufficient resources to fulfil the objectives of Regulation 1025/2012;

Amendment

2. Acknowledges the specificity and importance of the ESS to all stakeholders, including SMEs, consumers and workers, and calls on the Commission to ensure that the European system will continue to exist and that it maintains sufficient resources to fulfil the objectives of Regulation 1025/2012; ***which should, inter alia, ensure interoperability, legal certainty and the application of appropriate safeguards, while minimising additional burdens for business, risks for users and obstacles to the free movement of information technology;***

Or. en

Amendment 27

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Acknowledges the specificity and importance of the ESS to all stakeholders, including SMEs, consumers and workers, and calls on the Commission to ensure that the European system will continue to exist and that it maintains sufficient resources to fulfil the objectives of Regulation 1025/2012;

Amendment

2. Acknowledges the specificity and importance of the ESS to all stakeholders, including ***industry***, SMEs, consumers and workers, and calls on the Commission to ensure that the European system will continue to exist and that it maintains sufficient resources to fulfil the objectives of Regulation 1025/2012;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Welcomes the Standards Market

Relevance Roundtable (SMARRT) under the Joint Initiative on Standardisation which enables dialogue between the Commission and industry with full transparency to stakeholders on agenda items of the Committee of Standards.

Or. en

Amendment 29

Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Maria Grapini, Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Calls on the Commission to guarantee a sustainable budget for the European Standardisation System in the revision of multiannual financial framework;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Kaja Kallas, Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Notes that standards are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance that can help implement European legislation and policies when they are developed in a transparent and inclusive way, ***but stresses that the political decisions regarding the level of protection of health, safety and environment should be left to the legislator;***

3. Notes that standards are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance that can help implement European legislation and policies when they are developed in a transparent and inclusive way, ***in particular by ensuring that goods and services are compliant in their design with the law such as with regard to health, safety and environment or data protection;***

Or. en

Amendment 31
Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that standards are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance **that can help implement** European legislation and policies when they are developed in a transparent and inclusive way, **but** stresses **that** the political decisions regarding the level of protection of health, safety and environment should be left to the legislator;

Amendment

3. Notes that **harmonised** standards are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance, **the use of which can facilitate compliance with** European legislation and policies when they are developed in a transparent and inclusive way; stresses, **however, that standards cannot be part of EU law or otherwise seen as a necessary implementing measure of EU law, since** the political decisions regarding the level of protection of health, safety and environment should be left to the legislator;

Or. de

Amendment 32
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that standards are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance that can help implement European legislation and policies when they are developed in a transparent and inclusive way, but stresses that **the political decisions** regarding the **level of** protection of health, safety and environment should be left to the legislator;

Amendment

3. Notes that standards are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance that can help implement European legislation and policies when they are developed in a transparent and inclusive way, but stresses that **such legislation and policies** regarding the **sufficient** protection of health, safety and environment should be left to the legislator;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that standards are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance that can help implement European legislation and policies when they are developed in *a* transparent and inclusive way, but stresses that the political decisions regarding the level of protection of health, safety and environment should be left to the legislator;

Amendment

3. Notes that standards *in general* are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance that can help implement European legislation and policies when they are developed in *an accountable*, transparent and inclusive way, but stresses that the political decisions regarding the level of protection of *social inclusion*, health, safety and environment should be left to the legislator;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that standards are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance that can help implement European legislation and policies when they are developed in a transparent and inclusive way, but stresses that the political decisions regarding the level of protection of health, safety and environment should be left to the legislator;

Amendment

3. Notes that standards are a voluntary, market-driven tool providing technical requirements and guidance that can help implement European legislation and policies when they are developed in a transparent and inclusive way, but stresses that the political decisions regarding the level of protection of *consumer* health, safety and environment should be left to the legislator;

Or. ro

Amendment 35

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Recognizes the role of open, standardised formats for responsibility and transparency duties of governments, administration, and the European institutions; to fulfil these duties, documents and data published by them must not rely on the commercial availability of software or financial feasibility of its purchasing; not only for public entities but also individuals; hence documents and data must be published in open, standardised formats, that can be implemented by independent entities, while respecting diverse development and business models, including open source;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Regrets the fact that the Joint Initiative on Standardization and the AUWP pay no attention to conformity to standards; Calls on the Commission to provide a holistic approach and take into account all aspects of when laying down new initiatives in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 b. *Regrets the fact that the current system of market surveillance provides insufficient guarantees that all products on the market conform to European standards;*

Or. en

Amendment 38
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 c. *Stresses its concerns that the current system of conformity assessments leads to competition on quality instead of competition on price;*

Or. en

Amendment 39
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 d. *Points out that the current system of certification and markings is unclear to consumers, who can't tell the differences in quality between accredited testing institutions;*

Or. en

Amendment 40
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Deems it important that European **standards are** promoted at a global level and that the Commission and Member States work in this direction, and pay more attention to the global role and relevance of standards, when getting involved in standardisation work;

Amendment

4. Deems it important that European **best practice is** promoted at a global level **in international standards (subsequently used as European standards)**, and that the Commission and Member States work in this direction, and pay more attention to the global role and relevance of standards, **and foster uniformity of standards** when getting involved in standardisation work;

Or. en

Amendment 41

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Birgit Collin-Langen, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. **Deems** it important that European standards are promoted at a global level and that the Commission and Member States work in this direction, and pay more attention to the global role and relevance of standards, when getting involved in standardisation work;

Amendment

4. **Stresses that the review of the European standardisation system must contribute to European innovation and enhance the Union's competitiveness, strengthen its place in international trade and benefit the welfare of its citizens;** deems **therefore** it important that European standards are promoted at a global level and that the Commission and Member States work in this direction, and pay more attention to the global role and relevance of standards, when getting involved in standardisation work;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

PE599.757v01-00

20/73

AM\1117489EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

4. Deems it important that European standards are promoted at a global level and that the Commission and Member States work in this direction, and pay more attention to the global role and relevance of standards, when getting involved in standardisation work;

Amendment

4. Deems it important that European standards are promoted at a global level and that the Commission and Member States work in this direction, and pay more attention to the global role and relevance of standards, when getting involved in standardisation ***and trade defence*** work;

Or. ro

Amendment 43
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Believes it important that ESOs continue to work towards global standards making; notes that Article 13 and 14 of Regulation 1025/2012 already envisages the involvement of many SDOs for ICT public procurement; welcomes the ability for consortia to contribute to this process and considers that the European standards system can benefit from more formal cooperation with third country NSBs in order to facilitate greater cooperation at the global level;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Maria Grapini, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Underlines that Europe should uphold its key role in the international

standardisation system and that when developing European standards both specificities of the regional context and the global relevance of the standard needs to be taken into account;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Eva Maydell, Henna Virkkunen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Stresses that efficient use of existing resources such as the partnerships established by ESOs with standardisation organisations from third countries should be encouraged;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Kaja Kallas, Henna Virkkunen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. 4 a. Stresses that efficient use of existing resources such as the partnerships established by ESOs with standardisation organisations from third countries should be encouraged;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

PE599.757v01-00

22/73

AM\1117489EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. *Stresses the importance of harmonising standards for products and services when negotiating trade agreements with third countries;*

Or. ro

Amendment 48

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová, Jasenko Selimovic, Morten Løkkegaard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. *Stresses that standards adopted by international organisations, especially ICT standards, are developed outside the scope of Regulation 1025/2012, and recommends the European Standardisation Organisations (ESOs) to endorse them only after an internal approval process involving representatives of Annex III organisations, especially for standards supporting European legislation;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 49

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Stresses that standards adopted by international organisations, especially ICT standards, are developed outside the scope of Regulation 1025/2012, **and** recommends the European Standardisation Organisations (ESOs) to endorse them only

5. Stresses that standards **are usually** adopted by international organisations; especially ICT standards are developed outside the scope of Regulation 1025/2012; recommends the European Standardisation Organisations (ESOs) to endorse them only

after an internal approval process *involving representatives of Annex III organisations, especially for standards supporting European legislation;*

after an internal approval process;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Stresses that standards adopted by international organisations, especially ICT standards, are developed outside the scope of Regulation 1025/2012, and recommends the European Standardisation Organisations (ESOs) to endorse them only after an internal approval process involving *representatives of Annex III organisations*, especially for standards supporting European legislation;

Amendment

5. Stresses that standards adopted by international organisations, especially ICT standards, are developed outside the scope of Regulation 1025/2012, and recommends the European Standardisation Organisations (ESOs) to endorse them only after an internal approval process involving *appropriate representation of stakeholders such as consumers, environmental interests and workers*, especially for *harmonised* standards supporting *the implementation of* European legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is of the opinion that the ESOs *should always* develop *inclusive, sustainable, safe and* good quality standards *with fair access for, and treatment of, all stakeholders, with minimised impact on the environment and adequate protection of personal data and privacy;*

Amendment

6. Is of the opinion that the ESOs develop good quality standards *and take due consideration of inclusivity, sustainability and safety;*

Amendment 52**Julia Reda**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 6***Motion for a resolution*

6. Is of the opinion that the ESOs should always develop inclusive, sustainable, safe and good quality standards with fair access for, and treatment of, all stakeholders, with minimised impact on the environment and adequate protection of personal data and privacy;

Amendment

6. Is of the opinion that the ESOs should always develop inclusive, sustainable, safe and good quality standards with fair ***and open*** access for, and treatment of, all stakeholders, with minimised impact on the environment and adequate protection of personal data and privacy;

Amendment 53**Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Birgit Collin-Langen, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White, Eva Maydell****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 7***Motion for a resolution*

7. Notes that Regulation 1025/2012 has improved the inclusiveness of the ESS, but ***regrets that there are still many practical barriers for SMEs, consumers, workers and environmental organisations to participate actively*** in the standardisation ***process***, and calls on the Commission to address the challenges to further involvement;

Amendment

7. Notes that Regulation 1025/2012 has improved the inclusiveness of the ESS, but ***stresses that SMEs, although they represent an essential part of the European market, are not adequately involved in the standardisation system and cannot, therefore, exploit entirely the benefits derived from standardisation; believes that it is essential to improve their representation and participation in the standardization system; asks the Commission to identify, through its impact assessment in the context of the revision of the European standardisation system, the best way to reach this aim***, and calls on the Commission to address the

challenges to further involvement;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Notes that Regulation 1025/2012 has improved the inclusiveness of the ESS, **but regrets that there are still many practical barriers** for SMEs, consumers, workers and environmental organisations to participate actively in the standardisation process, and **calls on the Commission to address the challenges to further involvement;**

Amendment

7. Notes that Regulation 1025/2012 has improved the inclusiveness of the ESS, for SMEs, consumers, workers and environmental organisations to participate actively in the standardisation process, and **encourages this to continue;**

Or. en

Amendment 55

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Notes that Regulation 1025/2012 has improved the inclusiveness of the ESS, but regrets that there are still many practical barriers for SMEs, consumers, workers and environmental organisations to participate actively in the standardisation process, and calls on the Commission to address the challenges to further involvement;

Amendment

7. Notes that Regulation 1025/2012 has improved the inclusiveness of the ESS, but regrets that there are still many practical barriers for SMEs, consumers, workers and environmental organisations to participate actively in the standardisation process, and calls on the Commission, **ESOs and NSBs** to address the challenges to further involvement;

Or. en

Amendment 56

PE599.757v01-00

26/73

AM\1117489EN.docx

Henna Virkkunen, Eva Maydell

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Calls the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms dealing with standardisation and involve standardisation organisations on new initiatives, in order to avoid duplication of efforts for stakeholders;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová, Jasenko Selimovic, Morten Løkkegaard

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Urges the Commission to address the issues related to asymmetries of information between SMEs and large companies, which hinder the ability of SMEs to enter licensing agreements on fair terms ;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Acknowledges that the delivery speed of standards has improved, **and** recalls the importance of striking the right balance between the need to ensure timely development and the need for the standards

8. Acknowledges that the delivery speed of standards has improved; **while there is room for more improvement**, recalls the importance of striking the right balance between the need to ensure timely

to be of high quality;

development and the need for the standards to be of *a* high quality *such that they allow for the reliable functioning of production processes and sustainable economic planning*;

Or. en

Amendment 59

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno, Julia Reda, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Is of the opinion that, complementary to the existing best practices found among the standardisation communities, increasing public awareness of proposed standards, proper and early involvement of all relevant stakeholders and improvement in the quality of the standardisation requests may further increase transparency and accountability of the standardisation system;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Eva Maydell, Henna Virkkunen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Recognises the importance of timely delivery of standards, as well as references being cited in the OJEU in a case of harmonised standards;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 b. *Notes that recent convergence of technologies and the digitalisation of society, businesses and public services blurs the traditional separation between general standardisation and ICT standardisation;*

Or. en

Amendment 62

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Birgit Collin-Langen, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. *Considers that the European ICT standardisation should be part of a European digital strategy to create economies of scale, budget savings and improved competitiveness for European companies, and to increase cross-sectoral and cross-border interoperability of goods and services through the faster definition, in an open and competitive way, of voluntary, market-driven and global standards that are easily implemented by SMEs;*

Or. en

Amendment 63

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Supports open standardisation processes as a driver of innovation, interconnectivity and deployment of technologies, but *recalls that it is also important to ensure proper investment and expertise in, and the development of, cutting-edge technologies;*

Amendment

10. Supports open standardisation processes as a driver of innovation, interconnectivity and deployment of technologies, but *believes that the openness of the standardisation process is not enough for a standard to be qualified as open ; Stresses that standard should be considered as open based on both the openness of the process and of the outcome of the standard, meaning that rights essential to implementation of the standard and for interfacing with other implementations, which have adopted that same standard, are licenced on a royalty free basis that is compatible with both open source and proprietary licenced solutions ; Calls on the Commission to implement that definition in its EU standardisation policy ;*

Or. en

Amendment 64

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Supports open standardisation processes as a driver of innovation, interconnectivity and deployment of technologies, *but recalls that it is also important to ensure proper investment and expertise in, and the development of, cutting-edge technologies;*

Amendment

10. Supports open standardisation processes as a driver of innovation, interconnectivity and deployment of technologies;

Or. en

Amendment 65

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Birgit Collin-Langen, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White, Eva Maydell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. *Supports open* standardisation processes as a driver of innovation, interconnectivity and deployment of technologies, *but* recalls that it is also important to ensure proper investment and expertise in, and the development of, cutting-edge technologies;

Amendment

10. *Stresses that open, voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented* standardisation processes *have been effective* as a driver of innovation, interconnectivity and deployment of technologies, *and* recalls that it is also important to ensure proper investment and expertise in, and the development of, cutting-edge technologies;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Supports open standardisation processes as a driver of innovation, interconnectivity and deployment of technologies, but recalls that it is also important to ensure proper investment and expertise in, and the development of, cutting-edge technologies;

Amendment

10. Supports open standardisation processes as a driver of innovation, interconnectivity and deployment of technologies, but recalls that it is also important to ensure proper investment and expertise in, and the development of, cutting-edge technologies, *and to support SMEs*;

Or. ro

Amendment 67
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Supports open standardisation processes as a driver of innovation,

Amendment

10. Supports open standardisation processes as a driver of innovation,

interconnectivity and deployment of technologies, **but** recalls that it is also important to ensure proper investment and expertise in, and the development of, cutting-edge technologies;

interconnectivity and deployment of technologies, **and** recalls that it is also important to ensure proper investment and expertise in, and the development of, cutting-edge technologies;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Urges the Commission and the ESOs to ***produce a set of high-quality and interoperable*** standards ***to tackle*** the development of the digital technologies, which will contribute to the social, economic and environmental sustainability of ICT value chains and confirm commitment to the public interest of ensuring privacy and data protection;

Amendment

11. Urges the Commission and the ESOs to ***contribute to high-quality, interoperable and open*** standards ***and to acknowledge the existing ecosystem and diverse business models that support*** the development of the digital technologies, which will contribute to the social, economic and environmental sustainability of ICT value chains and confirm commitment to the public interest of ensuring privacy and data protection; ***acknowledges the role of open standards and encourages their wider adoption; Still something wrong with bold and italics. It is clear that what is not bold and italic in the left column is not included in the amendment***

Or. en

Amendment 69

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová, Jasenko Selimovic, Morten Løkkegaard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Urges the ***Commission and the*** ESOs to produce a set of high-quality and
PE599.757v01-00

Amendment

11. Urges the ESOs to produce a set of high-quality and interoperable standards to

32/73

AM\1117489EN.docx

interoperable standards to tackle the development of the digital technologies, which will contribute to the social, economic and environmental sustainability of ICT value chains and confirm commitment to the public interest of ensuring privacy and data protection;

tackle ***the existing fragmentation which hinders*** the development of the digital technologies, which will contribute to the social, economic and environmental sustainability of ICT value chains and confirm commitment to the public interest of ensuring privacy and data protection;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Urges the Commission ***and*** the ESOs to produce a set of high-quality and interoperable standards to tackle the development of the digital technologies, which will contribute to the social, economic and environmental sustainability of ICT value chains and confirm commitment to the public interest of ensuring privacy and data protection;

Amendment

11. Urges the Commission ***to request*** the ESOs to produce a set of high-quality and interoperable standards to tackle the development of the digital technologies, which will contribute to the social, economic and environmental sustainability of ICT value chains and confirm commitment to the public interest of ensuring privacy and data protection;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

11 a. Stresses the imperative need to adapt ICT standardisation policy to market and policy developments, which will lead to achieving important European policy goals requiring interoperability, such as accessibility, security, e-business, e-government, e-health and transport, and will contribute to the development of standards;

Amendment

Amendment 72

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. 11a. Recognizes the past and present importance of open and freely available reference implementations for the ICT sector, where they can have standard-setting character^{2a};

^{2a} *In 1993, CERN put three main components of the Web (a basic client, server, and a common code library) to the public domain which allowed the creation of the World Wide Web (WWW) software, the predecessor to the modern web; <https://home.cern/topics/birth-web/licensing-web>; Gillies, James and Cailliau, Robert. "How the Web was born." (2000)*

Amendment 73

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. ***Supports*** the Commission's ***proposal*** for a trusted IoT label and certification system, ***and recommends that the label provides cybersecurity ratings, and indicates*** the risks associated with the operation and use of an IoT device, on the basis of the requirements spelled out in the NIS Directive;

12. ***Notes*** the Commission's ***Communication*** for a trusted IoT label and certification system, ***cautions that label certification systems may prove costly and cyber security ratings may not necessarily be effective or future proof or indicate*** the risks associated with the operation and use of an IoT device; ***recommends that***

international standards are utilised covering relevant IoT devices on the basis of the requirements spelled out in the NIS Directive;

Or. en

Amendment 74

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Supports the Commission's proposal for a trusted IoT label and certification system, and recommends that the label provides *cybersecurity* ratings, *and indicates the risks associated with the operation and use of an IoT device, on the basis of the requirements spelled out in the NIS Directive;*

Amendment

12. Supports the Commission's proposal for a trusted IoT label and certification system, and recommends that the label provides *measurable and comparable ratings of features and commitments by the manufacturer or provider to allow for comparability of, specifically but not exhaustively, the reliability, longevity, and possible risks associated with the operation and use of an IoT device or service;*

Or. en

Amendment 75

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová, Morten Løkkegaard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Supports the Commission's *proposal for* a trusted IoT label and certification system, *and recommends that the label provides cybersecurity ratings, and indicates the risks associated with the operation and use of an IoT device,* on the basis of the requirements spelled out in the NIS Directive;

Amendment

12. Supports the Commission's *intention to explore initiatives such as* a trusted IoT label and certification system, *to foster trust in the levels of privacy and end-to-end security of an IoT device, which should where relevant be developed* on the basis of the requirements spelled out in the NIS Directive;

Amendment 76

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. To that effect, supports the definition of specific and measurable minimum requirements that take into account the long-term sustainability and reliability of IoT devices or services as well as industry-standard computer security and sustainability standards; such a list should encompass for example the commitment to making available updates for a minimum timeframe after purchasing, the commitment of a manufacturer or provider to a timeframe within which it will provide an update after the discovery and notification of a vulnerability; to this end, the Commission should evaluate the possibility of industry self-regulation, taking into account the speed with which standards and technologies evolve in the ICT sector, and the diversity of development and business models, including open source, startups, and SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 77

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno, Julia Reda, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Notes that with the increased use of the internet, online banking, social

networking and e-health initiatives, people are having growing security and privacy concerns, and that ICT standards need to reflect the principles of the protection of individuals with regards to the processing of personal data and the free movement of such data;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Julia Reda, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Calls on the Commission to include digital integration of manufacturing as ICT standardisation priority and encourages development of open standards for the communication protocol and the data formats for the digital integration of manufacturing equipment in order to ensure full interoperability between machines and devices;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Where IoT devices could have an impact on relevant infrastructure, the NIS Directive should serve as a basis for the definition of security requirements and manufacturer or provider responsibilities;

Amendment 80

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 c. Recommends on the Commission and ESOs to prioritise standards for the improvement of interoperability in the IoT and 5G domains and of vertical domains, such as "Connected and automatic driving", "Smart cities", "Smart Living Environments", as these are an important areas for the advancement of the European competitiveness;

Or. en

Amendment 81

Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 d. Supports the Alliance for Internet of Things Innovation (AIOTI) and the ICT Multi-Stakeholder platform; is aware of the growing number of platforms, groups, meetings and channels for ICT standards, which sometimes might create fragmentation and duplication of standards and stresses the need to better coordinate ICT standards and standardisation priorities among the different organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges the *concerns, in particular as regards the IoT, about how standard essential patents (SEPs) are related to standards; stresses that unfair and unreasonable IPR policy creates barriers in the single market that can hinder the take-up of the digital single market and of new technologies;*

Amendment

13. Acknowledges the *importance of maintaining a balanced standardisation framework and efficient licensing system for standard essential patents (SEPs), based on FRAND terms (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory), in particular as regards the deployment of 5G and the Internet of Things; stresses that maintaining a balanced standardisation framework is essential to stimulate the development and take-up of new technologies and address the legitimate interests of both licensors and licensees of SEPs;*

Or. en

Amendment 83

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges *the* concerns, in particular as regards the IoT, about how standard essential patents (SEPs) are related to standards; *stresses that unfair and unreasonable IPR policy creates barriers in the single market that can hinder the take-up of the digital single market and of new technologies;*

Amendment

13. Acknowledges *some* concerns, in particular as regards the IoT, about how standard essential patents (SEPs) are related to standards; *recognises the need for a robust IP policy to encourage investment and innovation; stresses the need for fair and reasonable IPR policy is necessary to facilitate the take-up of the digital single market and of new technologies;*

Or. en

Amendment 84

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges the concerns, in particular as regards the IoT, about how *standard essential patents (SEPs) are related to* standards; stresses that unfair *and unreasonable* IPR policy creates barriers in the single market that can hinder the take-up of the digital single market and of new technologies;

Amendment

13. Acknowledges the concerns, in particular as regards the IoT, about how patents *are essential to some* standards (*standard essential patents, SEPs*); stresses that unfair, *unreasonable and intransparent* IPR policy creates barriers in the single market that can hinder the take-up of the digital single market and of new technologies;

Or. en

Amendment 85

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová, Morten Løkkegaard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Acknowledges the concerns, in particular as regards the IoT, about how standard essential patents (SEPs) are related to standards; stresses that unfair and unreasonable IPR policy creates barriers in the single market that can hinder the take-up of the digital single market and of new technologies;

Amendment

13. Acknowledges the concerns, in particular as regards the IoT, about how standard essential patents (SEPs) are related to standards *and how restrictions with regard to patents might prevent these technologies to work in a seamless and interoperable way* ; stresses that unfair and unreasonable IPR policy creates barriers in the single market that can hinder the take-up of the digital single market and of new technologies, *in particular for IoT devices as they rely heavily on standardisation* ;

Or. en

Amendment 86

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Pina Picierno

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Warns against the risks of proprietary solutions and agrees that ICT standardisation policy need to be based on open and accessible SEPs within fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory licensing terms to address the legitimate interests of both SEP holders and of potential licensees and to ensure that standardisation process presents a level playing field, where companies of all sizes, including SMEs, can collaborate in a mutually beneficial manner;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Kaja Kallas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Welcomes the commitment of the Commission to ensure that EU ICT standardisation initiatives are based on a balanced IPR policy ; Considers however that a balanced approach cannot be exclusively based on FRAND licensing solutions, as FRAND solutions are incompatible with for instance open source licencing ; Calls therefore on the Commission to truly deliver a balanced policy that includes all types of licensing solutions ;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. *Encourages the Commission's pursuits in ensuring interoperability between digital components and emphasises the importance of standardisation that can be achieved both via standard-essential patents (SEPs) and open licensing models;*

Or. en

Amendment 89

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. *Urges* the Commission to *clarify without delay the core elements of an equitable, effective and enforceable licensing methodology based on the FRAND principles* (i.e. that ensure fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory terms), taking into account the fair return on investment, a sustainable open standardisation process and the wide availability of technologies in an open and competitive market;

14. *Welcomes the Commission's efforts in the development of a balanced framework for negotiations between right holders and implementers of SEPs in order to ensure fair licensing conditions; invites* the Commission to *take note and apply the spirit of CJEU ruling C-170/13 (Huawei v. ZTE), which strikes a balance between the SEP holders and standard implementers, to overcome patent infringements and to ensure the effective conclusion of fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) licensing agreements* (i.e. that ensure fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory terms), taking into account the fair return on investment, a sustainable open standardisation process and the wide availability of technologies in an open and competitive market;

Or. en

Amendment 90

Kaja Kallas, Jasenko Selimovic

PE599.757v01-00

42/73

AM\1117489EN.docx

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Urges the Commission to clarify without delay the core elements of an equitable, effective and enforceable licensing methodology **based on** the FRAND principles (i.e. that ensure fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory terms), taking into account the fair return on investment, a sustainable open standardisation process and the wide availability of technologies in an open and competitive market;

Amendment

14. Urges the Commission to clarify without delay the core elements of an equitable, effective and enforceable licensing methodology **around** the FRAND principles (i.e. that ensure fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory terms), taking into account the fair return on investment, a sustainable open standardisation process and the wide availability of technologies in an open and competitive market; ***Calls in addition on the Commission to timely deliver on its commitment to improve the definition of the information on patent scope, in particular measures to increase transparency and quality of standard essential patent declarations, and improve the quality of information on the application of SEPs to products, as lack of clarity and information constitute a real barrier for SMEs in the implementation of a standard ;***

Or. en

Amendment 91
Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Urges the Commission to clarify without delay the core elements of an equitable, effective and enforceable licensing methodology **based on** the FRAND principles (i.e. that ensure fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory terms), taking into account the fair return on investment, a sustainable open standardisation process and the wide

Amendment

14. Urges the Commission to clarify without delay the core elements of an equitable, effective and enforceable licensing methodology **such as** the FRAND principles (i.e. that ensure fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory terms), taking into account the fair return on investment, a sustainable open standardisation process and the wide

availability of technologies in an open and competitive market;

availability of technologies in an open and competitive market, ***while retaining the possibility to make standards available without financial compensation, and while safeguarding diverse development and business models, including open source;***

Or. en

Amendment 92
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Urges the Commission to clarify without delay the core elements of an equitable, effective and enforceable licensing methodology based on the FRAND principles (i.e. that ensure fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory terms), taking into account the fair return on investment, a sustainable open standardisation process and the wide availability of technologies in an open and competitive market;

Amendment

14. Urges the Commission to clarify without delay the core elements of an equitable, effective and enforceable licensing methodology based on the FRAND principles (i.e. that ensure fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory terms), taking into account the fair return on investment, a sustainable open standardisation process and the wide availability of technologies in an open and competitive market; ***recognises that alongside FRAND other SEP models are in wide spread use – such as the Royalty Free model where patent rights are given gratis – and accordingly legislation and discussion should continue to recognise the rights of all market sectors and IPR holders to use IPR in all open, transparent and fair ways;***

Or. en

Amendment 93
Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. *Calls on the Commission to close discussions about the 'perceived need' of a science cloud and to take immediate action on the European Open Science Cloud in close concert with Member States, which should seamlessly integrate existing networks, data and high-performance computing systems and e-infrastructure services across scientific fields, within a framework of shared policies and ICT standards;*

Or. en

Amendment 94

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Pina Picierno, Julia Reda

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. *Is of the opinion that developers of standards should be entitled to reimbursement for their investment and contributions to the standard, which needs to be based on fair, proportionate and non-discriminatory terms, and transparent, reasonable, predictable and sustainable royalty rates, unless they decide to provide royalty free licensing or allow open source software implementation;*

Or. en

Amendment 95

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová, Morten Løkkegaard

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Stresses that open standards are essential to the further development of open government data and smart cities policies as the release of data sets needs to be based on open standards on data sharing, from the format of publication of the data, to the possibilities to reuse this data ; Highlights the role of public procurement in facilitating the adoption of open standards solutions ;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Urges the Commission to work on a policy for informing the remaining European institutions, the Member States and stakeholders about the stage reached in on-going initiatives and about future initiatives in relation to ICT standards;

Or. ro

Amendment 97
Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Recognises the important role of the of the ESOs in ensuring that SEPs are provided within FRAND terms and calls for a clear commitment from the ESOs to improve the transparency and accuracy of the SEP declarations;

Or. en

Amendment 98
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Welcomes the Commission Standardisation package 'Standards for the 21st Century' and takes the view that the standardisation system *should be made more inclusive with a view to fully integrating the concerns of citizens, consumers and SMEs*;

Amendment

15. Welcomes the Commission Standardisation package 'Standards for the 21st Century' and takes the view that the standardisation system *facilitates citizens, consumers, industry, innovators, investors and SMEs*;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Julia Reda
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Welcomes the Commission Standardisation package 'Standards for the 21st Century' and takes the view that the standardisation system should be made more inclusive with a view to fully integrating the concerns of citizens, consumers and SMEs;

Amendment

15. Welcomes the Commission Standardisation package 'Standards for the 21st Century' and takes the view that the standardisation system should be made more *transparent, open, and* inclusive with a view to fully integrating the concerns of citizens, consumers and SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Regrets that it was not consulted prior to the adoption of the package, and urges the European institutions to align the different initiatives into a single strategic, holistic work programme avoiding duplication of actions and policies;

16. Regrets that it was not consulted prior to the adoption of the package, and urges the European institutions to align the different initiatives into a single strategic, holistic work programme, ***which also includes market surveillance***, avoiding duplication of actions and policies; ***Stresses that the relevant committee of the European Parliament can play an important role the public scrutiny of harmonised standards mandated by the Commission;***

Or. en

Amendment 101

Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno, Julia Reda, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Regrets that it was not consulted prior to the adoption of the package, and urges the European institutions to align the different initiatives into a single strategic, holistic work programme avoiding duplication of actions and policies;

Amendment

16. Regrets that it was not consulted prior to the adoption of the package, and urges the European institutions to align the different initiatives into a single strategic, holistic work programme avoiding duplication of actions and policies; ***Stresses that the relevant committee of the European Parliament can play an important role in the public scrutiny of harmonised standard mandated by the Commission;***

Or. en

Amendment 102 Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls for greater reinforcement,
PE599.757v01-00

Amendment

17. Calls for greater reinforcement,

48/73

AM\1117489EN.docx

coherence and improvement of the Annual Union Work Programme (AUWP);

coherence and improvement *in the accuracy* of the Annual Union Work Programme (AUWP);

Or. en

Amendment 103

Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Calls on the Commission to launch an in-depth investigation into the functioning of the CE-marking system on EU level in order to improve protection for consumers, provide better compliance with EU rules and improve trust in standards;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Stresses that the next AUWP needs specifically to address actions aligning ICT and non-ICT standards, ***coordinating the rules of different national standards bodies (NSBs)*** and advancing the inclusiveness of ESOs by paying better attention to the role of stakeholders listed in ***Annex III***;

18. Stresses that the next AUWP needs specifically to address actions aligning ICT and non-ICT standards, and advancing the inclusiveness of ESOs by paying better attention to the role of stakeholders listed in ***Article 5***;

Or. en

Amendment 105

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Stresses that the next AUWP needs specifically to address actions aligning ICT and non-ICT standards, ***coordinating*** the rules of different national standards bodies (NSBs) and advancing the inclusiveness of ESOs ***by paying better attention to the role of stakeholders listed in Annex III***;

Amendment

18. Stresses that the next AUWP needs specifically to address actions aligning ***the*** ICT and non-ICT standards ***regime, contributing to the harmonisation of*** the rules of different national standards bodies (NSBs) and advancing the inclusiveness of ESOs;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Maria Grapini, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

19 a. Encourages Member States to invest in national standardisation strategies which will also help and encourage public sector, standardisation bodies, societal stakeholders, SMEs and academia at national level to develop and implement individual standardisation action plans;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 107

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Welcomes the Joint Initiative on
PE599.757v01-00

Amendment

20. Welcomes the Joint Initiative on

50/73

AM\1117489EN.docx

Standardisation (JIS), recommends that Parliament also be invited to participate and contribute to the JIS ***and underlines that the rules of such public-private partnerships need to be respected by all stakeholders;***

Standardisation (JIS), recommends that Parliament also be invited to participate and contribute to the JIS;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Maria Grapini, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Welcomes the Joint Initiative on Standardisation (JIS), recommends that Parliament also be invited to participate and contribute to the JIS and underlines that the rules of such public-private partnerships need to be respected by all stakeholders;

Amendment

20. Welcomes the Joint Initiative on Standardisation (JIS), recommends that ***the European*** Parliament also be invited to participate and contribute to the JIS and underlines that the rules of such public-private partnerships need to be respected by all stakeholders; ***Calls on the Commission to take a leading role in the implementation of the key actions and recommendations in the JIS and to report back to the European Parliament by the end of 2017 on the progress that has been achieved;***

Or. en

Amendment 109 Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Welcomes the Joint Initiative on Standardisation (JIS), recommends that Parliament also be invited to participate and contribute to the JIS and underlines that the rules of such public-private

Amendment

20. Welcomes the Joint Initiative on Standardisation (JIS), recommends that Parliament also be invited to participate and contribute to the JIS and underlines that the rules of such public-private

partnerships need to be respected by all stakeholders;

partnerships need to be respected by all stakeholders *including EU institutions*;

Or. en

Amendment 110

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Welcomes the commitment, made in the context of the JIS, to develop a study on the economic and social impact of standards, and invites the Commission to base the study on quantitative and qualitative data, and to analyse both the business model of the standardisation process and the different financial models – including opportunities and challenges – for making access to harmonised standards freely available;

Amendment

21. Welcomes the commitment, made in the context of the JIS, to develop a study on the economic and social impact of standards, and invites the Commission to base the study on quantitative and qualitative data, *to include the quality of life and social impact*, and to analyse both the business model of the standardisation process and the different financial models – including opportunities and challenges – for making access to harmonised standards freely available;

Or. en

Amendment 111

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Welcomes the commitment, made in the context of the JIS, to develop a study on the economic and social impact of standards, and invites the Commission to base the study on quantitative and qualitative data, and to analyse both the business model of the standardisation process and the different financial models – including opportunities and challenges – for making access to harmonised standards

Amendment

21. Welcomes the commitment, made in the context of the JIS, to develop a study on the economic and social impact of standards, and invites the Commission to base the study on quantitative and qualitative data, and to analyse both the business model of the standardisation process and the different financial models – including opportunities and challenges – for making access to harmonised standards

freely available;

readily available, *whilst respecting copyright*;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Welcomes the commitment, made in the context of the JIS, to develop a study on the economic and social impact of standards, and invites the Commission to base the study on quantitative and qualitative data, and to analyse both the business model of the standardisation process and the different financial models – including opportunities and challenges – for making access to harmonised standards *freely* available;

Amendment

21. Welcomes the commitment, made in the context of the JIS, to develop a study on the economic and social impact of standards, and invites the Commission to base the study on quantitative and qualitative data, and to analyse both the business model of the standardisation process and the different financial models – including opportunities and challenges – for making access to harmonised standards *easily* available;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Maria Grapini, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Supports actions aimed at improving the synergy between standardisation and research communities and in promoting standards at an early stage of the research projects; Encourages national standardisation bodies to promote standardisation to researchers and the innovation community, including relevant government organizations and funding agencies and recommends that a specific

Amendment 114

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Urges the Commission to ensure that services standards are developed with the objective of improving the safety and quality of services and of prioritising areas with the highest detriment to consumers ***without encroaching upon existing national regulatory requirements, in particular provisions on labour law, collective agreements and bargaining;***

Amendment

22. Urges the Commission to ensure that services standards are developed with the objective of improving the safety and quality of services and of prioritising areas with the highest detriment to consumers;

Amendment 115

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Urges the Commission to ensure that services standards are developed with the objective of improving the safety and quality of services and of prioritising areas with the highest detriment to consumers without encroaching upon existing national regulatory requirements, ***in particular provisions on labour law, collective agreements and bargaining;***

Amendment

22. Urges the Commission to ensure that services standards are developed with the objective of improving the safety and quality of services and of prioritising areas with the highest detriment to consumers without encroaching upon existing national regulatory requirements; ***recognises furthermore that service standards often respond to national specificities and that their development is related to the needs of the market, the interests of consumers and the public interest; stresses, that the development of European service***

standards, and the drawing-up by professional bodies of their own quality charters or labels at Union level should benefit further harmonisation in the services sector, increase the transparency, quality and competitiveness of European services and promote competition, innovation, the reduction of trade barriers and consumer protection;

Or. en

Amendment 116

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Urges the Commission to ensure that services standards are developed **with the objective of improving** the safety and quality of services and of prioritising areas with the highest detriment to consumers without encroaching upon existing national regulatory requirements, in particular provisions on labour law, collective agreements and bargaining;

Amendment

22. Urges the Commission to **encourage the ESOs to** ensure that **market relevant** services standards are developed **where there is a genuine need to improve** the safety and quality of services and of prioritising areas with the highest detriment to consumers without encroaching upon existing national regulatory requirements, in particular provisions on labour law, collective agreements and bargaining;

Or. en

Amendment 117

Eva Maydell

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Urges the Commission to ensure that services standards are developed with the objective of improving the safety and quality of services and of prioritising areas with the highest detriment to consumers without encroaching upon existing national

Amendment

22. Urges the Commission to ensure that services standards **reflect the increased servitisation of the economy and** are developed with the objective of improving the safety and quality of services and of prioritising areas with the

regulatory requirements, in particular provisions on labour law, collective agreements and bargaining;

highest detriment to consumers without encroaching upon existing national regulatory requirements, in particular provisions on labour law, collective agreements and bargaining;

Or. en

Amendment 118
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22a. *Calls on the Commission to establish a social quality seal as part of the new standardisation package, as a way of ensuring that consumers can see which market players have ambitious corporate social responsibility policies, as a positive incentive to foster the improvement of the social and working conditions of those companies' employees, and as a way of encouraging companies to see their social policy as a part of their strategy that sets them apart from other companies; points out that taking this step will benefit consumers, workers and companies alike;*

Or. es

Amendment 119
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. Is of the opinion that the ***increased complexity resulting from a proliferation of standards, and the diversity of technical communities, creates barriers and costs that affect access rights to standards for SMEs and microenterprises; stresses the***

23. Is of the opinion that the ***fast-changing modern business world, with its increased technical complexity, leads to the development of increased numbers of standards and therefore places greater demands for the involvement on SMEs***

importance of supporting measures to improve SMEs' access to means of developing and using standards;

and microenterprises; stresses the importance of supporting measures to improve SMEs' access to means of developing and using standards;

Or. en

Amendment 120

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. ***Is of the opinion that the increased complexity resulting from a proliferation of standards, and the diversity of technical communities, creates barriers and costs that affect access rights to standards for SMEs and microenterprises; stresses*** the importance of supporting measures to improve SMEs' access to means of developing and using standards;

Amendment

23. Stresses the importance of supporting measures to improve SMEs' access to means of developing and using standards;

Or. en

Amendment 121

Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Is of the opinion that the increased complexity resulting from ***a proliferation of standards***, and the diversity of technical communities, creates barriers and costs that affect access rights to standards for SMEs and microenterprises; stresses the importance of supporting measures to improve SMEs' access to means of developing and using standards;

Amendment

23. Is of the opinion that the increased complexity resulting from ***the marked increase in platforms for processing specifications which do not belong to the recognised standardisation bodies under Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012***, and the diversity of technical communities, creates barriers and costs that affect access rights to standards for SMEs and microenterprises; stresses the importance of supporting measures to improve SMEs'

access to means of developing and using standards;

Or. de

Amendment 122

Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23 a. Stresses that demographic ageing in Europe requires systematic incorporation of the needs of older persons and persons with disabilities, and other vulnerable members of society, in the development of standards, which are a suitable tool to help achieve active and healthy society in Europe and to increase the accessibility of products and services for people;

Or. en

**Amendment 123
Vicky Ford**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. Welcomes the role played by the ESOs, but encourages further initiatives to improve their openness, accessibility and transparency, and recommends that their work be guided by European *interests*;

24. Welcomes the role played by the ESOs, but encourages further initiatives to improve their openness, accessibility and transparency, and recommends that their work be guided by ***the interests of national and European stakeholders, whilst noting that standard setting needs to work in ways that support a particular market sector;***

Or. en

Amendment 124

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Welcomes the role played by the ESOs, but encourages further initiatives to improve their openness, accessibility and transparency, and recommends that their work be guided by European interests;

Amendment

24. Welcomes the role played by the ESOs, but encourages further initiatives to improve their openness, accessibility, and transparency, ***to reach out to all of the diverse and innovative development and business models*** and recommends that their work be guided by European interests;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 a. Points out that standard-setting processes and standardisation agreements need to comply with competition law principles and with the World Trade Organization (WTO) criteria and promotes the inclusion of additional principles such as inclusiveness, quality, neutrality and accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Recognises that the national delegation principle is fundamental for the European system, but warns that there are differences in terms of resources, technical expertise and stakeholder involvement at national level, **and recommends that the work of the national delegations needs to be complemented**;

Amendment

25. Recognises that the national delegation principle is fundamental for the European system, but warns that there are differences in terms of resources, technical expertise and stakeholder involvement at national level;

Or. en

Amendment 127 Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Recognises that the national delegation principle is fundamental for the European system, but warns that there are differences in terms of resources, technical expertise and stakeholder involvement at national level, and recommends that the **work of the national delegations needs to be complemented**;

Amendment

25. Recognises that the national delegation principle is fundamental for the European system, but warns that there are differences in terms of resources, technical expertise and stakeholder involvement at national level, and recommends that the national delegations **should be strengthened**;

Or. en

Amendment 128 Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Is aware of the decreasing citation of referenced standards in the Official Journal of the European Union and calls on the Commission to investigate and address the reasons for this;

Amendment

26. Is aware of the decreasing citation of referenced standards in the Official Journal of the European Union and calls on the Commission to investigate and address the reasons for this **and remove**

unnecessary costs or burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 129

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Liisa Jaakonsaari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 a. Reiterates the important role of the "New Approach Consultants" and commends their methodology of verifying whether the harmonized standard meets the objective criteria and legal requirements of the legislation to be done in a sustainable manner bound by impartiality and independence

Or. en

Amendment 130

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 b. Recommends better involvement of Commission's experts and the "New Approach Consultants" at the initial stage of the standardisation process including in technical committees or working groups; Calls on the Commission to develop an evaluation standardisation guidelines to help different departments within the Commission, ESOs and "New Approach Consultants" evaluate standards in a coherent manner;

Or. en

Amendment 131

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Repeats that **a more** transparent and accessible appeal mechanisms **would build** trust in the ESOs and in the standard-setting processes;

Amendment

27. Repeats that transparent and accessible appeal mechanisms **builds** trust in the ESOs and in the standard-setting processes;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Pina Picierno

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27 a. Encourages the use of new information and communication technologies to improve accessibility and transparency of standardisation processes, such as the CEN-CENELEC eLearning tool for SME's; Advocates that the use of digital tools can facilitate stakeholders participation in the development of standards and provide information about upcoming, on-going and finalised standardisation work;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Calls on the Commission to

PE599.757v01-00

Amendment

28. Calls on the Commission to

62/73

AM\1117489EN.docx

enhance the synergies and coordination between the European institutions, the ESOs, the NSBs and all relevant stakeholder organisations through the Annual Standardisation Forum;

enhance the synergies and coordination between the European institutions, the ESOs, the NSBs and all relevant stakeholder organisations through the Annual Standardisation Forum *whilst also recognising the international context of standards*;

Or. en

Amendment 134
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 – point a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(a) Recognises that the vast majority of standards are developed voluntarily in response to market and consumer needs and supports this;

Or. en

Amendment 135
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 – point b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(b) Opposes the unnecessary politicisation of standards.

Or. en

Amendment 136
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Calls for strict application of the Regulation 1025/2012 as regards recognition of Annex III organisations and for the publication of the reports provided for in Article 24 of the Regulation;

Amendment

29. Calls for strict application of the Regulation 1025/2012 as regards recognition of Annex III organisations and for the publication of the reports provided for in Article 24 of the Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 137
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Urges the Commission fully to harmonise conditions for Annex III organisations and for the removal of de facto obstacles to their effective involvement *at national and European level*;

Amendment

30. Urges the Commission fully to harmonise conditions for Annex III organisations and for the removal of de facto obstacles to their effective involvement *in standardization*;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. *Recommends that Annex III organisations be given specific member status, and that a separate category be created for partnerships of societal stakeholders' organisations, with specific rights and obligations, such as a reinforced right of appeal, consultative powers, a right of an opinion before adopting the standard, and access to mirror committees, especially for standards supporting EU legislation;*

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 139

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Recommends that ***Annex III organisations be given specific member status, and that a separate category be created for partnerships of societal stakeholders' organisations, with specific rights and obligations, such as a reinforced right of appeal, consultative powers, a right of an opinion before adopting the standard, and access to mirror committees, especially for standards supporting EU legislation;***

Amendment

31. Recommends that ***the membership status, rights and obligations of Annex III organisations are reviewed with the ESOs to ensure they meet the requirements of Regulation 1025/2012;***

Amendment 140

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Liisa Jaakonsaari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Recommends that Annex III organisations be given specific member status, and that a separate category be created for partnerships of societal stakeholders' organisations, with specific rights and obligations, such as a reinforced right of appeal, consultative powers, a right of an opinion before adopting the standard, and access to ***mirror*** committees, especially for standards supporting EU legislation;

Amendment

31. Recommends that Annex III organisations be given specific member status, and that a separate category be created for partnerships of societal stakeholders' organisations, with specific rights and obligations, such as a reinforced right of appeal, consultative powers, a right of an opinion before adopting the standard, and access to ***technical*** committees ***and working groups***, especially for standards supporting EU legislation;

Amendment 141

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Calls on the *Commission and the ESOs* to ensure that that ISO-CEN Vienna Agreement *and other measures to speed up standards* will not prevent or jeopardise the participation in the standardisation process of Annex III Organisations *or NSBs with limited capacities*;

Amendment

32. Calls on the ESOs to ensure that that ISO-CEN Vienna Agreement will not prevent or jeopardise the participation in the standardisation process of Annex III Organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 142

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Calls on the Commission and the ESOs to ensure that that ISO-CEN Vienna Agreement and other measures to speed up standards will not prevent or jeopardise the participation in the standardisation process of Annex III Organisations or NSBs with limited capacities;

Amendment

32. Calls on the Commission and the ESOs to ensure that that ISO-CEN Vienna *and IEC CENELEC Frankfurt Agreements* and other measures to speed up standards will not prevent or jeopardise the participation in the standardisation process of Annex III Organisations or NSBs with limited capacities;

Or. en

Amendment 143

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 a. Welcomes increasing cooperation and alignment between international and

European standards, notes the close engagement of many third country NSBs with the ESOs; recommends that the ESOs consider closer cooperation with neighbouring third countries where there is close alignment and where they seek such participation;

Or. en

Amendment 144
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 b. *Notes that CEN and CENELEC seek the internationalisation of standards where feasible through ISO and IEC; recommends them to strengthen cooperation with third country NSBs by expanding the role of Companion Standardisation Bodies as far as possible to bring together global players in ESOs; and further notes that ETSI has in place mechanisms to globalise its standards;*

Or. en

Amendment 145

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Nicola Danti

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33. Invites the Commission to adopt an easy to use single point of ***access to standards that can*** provide ***assistance and*** information to the standards' ***users*** on the available standards and their specifications, ***and that can help them find the*** standards ***that best match their needs;***

33. Invites the Commission to adopt an easy to use single point of ***contact to*** provide information to the ***users of*** standards on the available standards and their ***general*** specifications; ***Recommends, furthermore, information and education campaigns at national and EU level to***

promote the role of standards and encourages Member States to include relevant professional education courses on standards in the national education systems;

Or. en

Amendment 146
Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Invites the Commission to *adopt an easy to use single point* of access to standards that can provide assistance and information to the standards' users on the available standards and their specifications, and that can help them find the standards that best match their needs;

Amendment

33. Invites the Commission to *work together with European and national standardisation bodies to adopt easy-to-use points* of access to standards that can provide assistance and information to the standards' users on the available standards and their specifications, and that can help them find the standards that best match their needs;

Or. de

Amendment 147
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Invites the Commission to *adopt an easy to use single point* of access to standards that can provide assistance and information to the standards' users on the available standards and their specifications, and that can help them find the standards that best match their needs;

Amendment

33. Invites the Commission to *work with the ESOs and the NSBs to promote easy to use points* of access to standards *at European and national levels* that can provide assistance and information to the standards' users on the available standards and their specifications, and that can help them find the standards that best match their needs;

Or. en

Amendment 148

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová, Jasenko Selimovic, Morten Løkkegaard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Invites the Commission to adopt an easy to use single point of access to standards that can provide assistance and information to the standards' users on the available standards and their specifications, **and** that can help them find the standards that best match their needs;

Amendment

33. Invites the Commission to adopt an easy to use single point of access to standards that can provide assistance and information to the standards' users on the available standards and their specifications, that can help them find the standards that best match their needs, **as well as guidance on the implementation of the standard;**

Or. en

Amendment 149

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 a. Asks the Commission to develop technology-watch activities so as to identify future development of ICT that could benefit from standardisation; to facilitate the flow and transparency of information necessary for market penetration and the operation of these technologies; and, in this connection, to promote easily accessible and user-friendly evaluation mechanisms via the internet;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 150

Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Julia Reda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33 a. Recommends that NSBs need to examine if it is possible to provide access to standards to the extent that the standards user can make an assessment of the relevance of the standard; Strongly recommends that NSBs and ESOs when determining the standards' fees take into account the needs of SMEs and stakeholders with non-commercial use;

Or. en

Amendment 151

Marlene Mizzi, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Pina Picierno, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33 b. Calls on the Commission to prepare a European register listing existing European standards in all official EU languages, which would include also an information on the ongoing standardisation work in ESOs, existing standardisation mandates, progress and decisions of formal objections;

Or. en

Amendment 152

Julia Reda

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34. Calls on the Commission to monitor international ICT standardisation

deleted

developments and, if necessary, to finance the participation of experts in leading positions within the international standardisation bodies, and in strategically important standardisation projects, in order to promote the European regulatory model and interests;

Or. en

Amendment 153

Kaja Kallas, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Calls on the Commission to monitor international ICT standardisation developments and, if necessary, to **finance** the participation of **experts in leading positions** within the international standardisation bodies, and in strategically important standardisation projects, in order to promote the European **regulatory model and** interests;

Amendment

34. Calls on the Commission to monitor international ICT standardisation developments and, if necessary, to **support** the participation of **European stakeholders** within the international standardisation bodies, and in strategically important standardisation projects, in order to promote the European interests;

Or. en

Amendment 154

Eva Maydell, Henna Virkkunen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Calls on the Commission to monitor international ICT standardisation developments and, if necessary, to **finance** the participation of **experts** in leading positions within the international standardisation bodies, and in strategically important standardisation projects, in order to promote the European regulatory model and interests;

Amendment

34. Calls on the Commission to monitor international ICT standardisation developments and, if necessary, to **support** the participation of **European stakeholders** in leading positions within the international standardisation bodies, and in strategically important standardisation projects, in order to promote the European regulatory model and interests;

Amendment 155

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 34***Motion for a resolution*

34. Calls on the Commission to monitor international ICT standardisation developments and, if necessary, to finance the participation of experts in leading positions within *the international* standardisation bodies, and in strategically important standardisation projects, in order to promote the European regulatory model and interests;

Amendment

34. Calls on the Commission to monitor international ICT standardisation developments and, if necessary, to finance the participation of *a limited number of* experts in leading positions within *appropriate* standardisation bodies, and in strategically important standardisation projects, in order to promote the European regulatory model and interests; *encourages the use of the Multi-Stakeholder Platform on ICT Standardisation to bring together ESOs and international ICT standardisation bodies to promote international standards-setting*;

Or. en

Amendment 156

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 34 a (new)***Motion for a resolution**Amendment*

34 a. Calls on Member States to use European ICT standards in public procurement procedures in order to improve the quality of public services and foster innovative technologies; stresses, however, that the use of standards should not result in additional barriers, in particular for small businesses seeking to participate in public procurement procedures;

Amendment 157

Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz, Carlos Coelho, Ivan Štefanec, Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

35 a. Calls on the Member States to try applying common standards and good practice with regard to digital administration, focusing in particular on judicial bodies and local authorities;