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Digital Services Act: Improving the functioning of the Single Market
(2020/2018(INL))
Amendment 1
Geoffroy Didier

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1 a (new)


Amendment 2
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

- having regard to the communication from the Commission of 11 January 2012, entitled “A coherent framework for building trust in the Digital Single Market for e-commerce and online services” COM/2011/0942 final,

Amendment 3
Brando Benifei, Maria Grapini, Andreas Schieder, Sylvie Guillaume, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Marc Angel

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)
Amendment 4
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution - having regard to the European Parliament resolution of 12 December 2018 on the single market package (2018/2903(RSP),

Or. en

Amendment 5
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution - having regard to the Memorandum of Understanding on the sale of counterfeit goods via the internet of 21 June 2016 and its review in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee of 29 November 2017, entitled

Or. en
“A balanced IP enforcement system responding to today's societal challenges” (COM (2017) 707) final,

Or. en

Amendment 6
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin

Motion for a resolution
Citation 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
- having regard to the Communication from the Commission of 28 September 2017, entitled “Tackling Illegal Content Online: Towards an enhanced responsibility of online platforms” (COM (2017) 555), and its Recommendation of 1 March 2018 on measures to effectively tackle illegal content online (COM (2018) 1177),

Or. en

Amendment 7
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Citation 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
- having regard to the Communication from the Commission of 10 March 2020, entitled “An SME Strategy for a sustainable and digital Europe” (COM/2020/103),

Or. en
Amendment 8
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4

Motion for a resolution
- having regard to the commitments made by commissioner-designate, Thierry Breton, before the European Parliament on 14 November 2019,

Amendment
deleted

Or. en

Amendment 9
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
- having regard to the commitments made by the Commission in its “Political Guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024” and before the European Parliament on 10 September 2019,

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 10
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
- having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions (ECON-VI/048) from 5 December 2019 on “a European framework for regulatory responses to the collaborative economy”,

Amendment
Amendment 11
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

- having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions (SEDEC-VI/051) from 5 December 2019 on “platform work – local and regional regulatory challenges”,
Amendment 14
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 a (new)

-Amendment

having regard to the European Parliament resolution of 15 June 2017 on online platforms and the digital single market (2016/2276(INI)), \(^{1a}\)

\(^{1a}\) OJ C 331, 18.9.2018, p. 135

Or. en

Amendment 15
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 b (new)

-Amendment

having regard to Directive 2006/123/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on services in the internal market, \(^{1a}\)

\(^{1a}\) OJ L 376, 27.12.2006, p. 36–68

Or. en
Amendment 16
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 b (new)

- having regard to the Report of April 2019 conducted by the Joint Research Centre of the European Commission on “The future of Cities”,

Amendment 17
Martin Schirdewan, Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 b (new)

- having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions (ECONVI/048) from 5 December 2019 on “a European framework for regulatory responses to the collaborative economy”,

Amendment 18
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Recital A
A. whereas e-commerce influences the everyday lives of people, businesses and consumers in the Union, and when operated in a fair and regulated level playing field, may contribute positively to unlocking the potential of the Digital Single Market, enhance consumer trust and provide newcomers, and in particular micro, small and medium enterprises, with new market opportunities for sustainable growth and jobs;

A. whereas e-commerce influences the everyday lives of people, businesses and consumers in the Union, and when operated in a fair level playing field, may have contributed positively to unlocking the potential of the Digital Single Market; whereas further discussion is needed in order to find out whether and how to enhance consumer trust and provide newcomers, and in particular micro, small and medium enterprises, with new market opportunities for sustainable growth and jobs;

Amendment 19
Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López

Amendment 20
Andreas Schieder, Sylvie Guillaume, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Brando Benifei
Motion for a resolution
Recital A

A. whereas e-commerce influences the everyday lives of people, businesses and consumers in the Union, and when operated in a fair and regulated level playing field, may contribute positively to unlocking the potential of the Digital Single Market, enhance consumer trust and provide newcomers, and in particular micro, small and medium enterprises, with new market opportunities for sustainable growth and jobs;

Amendment 21
Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

A. whereas e-commerce influences the everyday lives of people, businesses and consumers in the Union, and when operated in a fair and regulated level playing field, may contribute positively to unlocking the potential of the Digital Single Market, enhance consumer trust and provide newcomers, and in particular micro, small and medium enterprises, with new market opportunities for sustainable growth and jobs;

Amendment 22
Andreas Schwab
Recital B

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council\(^2\) (“the E-Commerce Directive”) has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago and no longer adequately reflects the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;

---


Amendment

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council\(^2\) (“the E-Commerce Directive”) has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago, but has been adapted to today’s e-commerce landscape with sector-specific legislation;

---


Amendment 23
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

Recital B

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council\(^2\) (“the E-Commerce Directive”) has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago and no longer

---
adequately reflects the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;

adoption 20 years ago, the European Court of Justice has issued a number of judgments in relation to it; whereas the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice should be codified;

__________________


__________________


Or. en

Amendment 24
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ("the E-Commerce Directive") has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago and no longer adequately reflects the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;

Amendment

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ("the E-Commerce Directive") has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago, it offers unfair competitive advantages to platforms compared to traditional companies and no longer adequately reflects the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;

__________________

Amendment 25
Clara Ponsati Obiols

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ("the E-Commerce Directive") has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago and no longer adequately reflects the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;


Amendment 26
Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the
Council\(^2\) (“the E-Commerce Directive”) has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago and no longer adequately reflects the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;


Amendment 27
Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council\(^2\) (“the E-Commerce Directive”) has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago and no longer adequately reflects the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;


Amendment

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council\(^2\) (“the E-Commerce Directive”) has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago and requires updating, taking into account the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;


Amendment 28
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazełek

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ("the E-Commerce Directive") has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago and no longer adequately reflects the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;  

Amendment

B. whereas the Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ("the E-Commerce Directive") has been one of the most successful pieces of Union legislation and has shaped the Digital Single Market as we know it today; whereas the E-Commerce Directive was adopted 20 years ago and it may no longer adequately reflect the rapid transformation and expansion of e-commerce in all its forms, with its multitude of different emerging services, providers and challenges;  

Amendment 29
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazełek
Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas Directive 2005/29/EC concerning unfair business-to-consumer commercial practices in the internal market as amended by Directive (EU) 2019/2161 (EU) and Directives (EU) 2019/770 and (EU) 2019/771 on certain aspects concerning contracts for the supply of digital content and digital services and contracts for the sale of goods have only recently been adopted; whereas other proposals such as the proposal for Regulation on preventing the dissemination of terrorist content online and the proposal for a Directive on representative actions for the protection of the collective interests of consumers are in the legislative process;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas, despite the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice, the need to go beyond the existing regulatory framework is clearly demonstrated by the fragmented approach of Member States to tackling illegal content online, by the lack of enforcement and cooperation between Member State, and by the inability of the existing legal framework to promote effective market entry and consumer welfare;

C. whereas, despite the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice there seems to be a lack of enforcement and cooperation between Member States;

Or. en
Amendment 31
Edina Tóth

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

C. whereas, despite the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice, the need to go beyond the existing regulatory framework is clearly demonstrated by the fragmented approach of Member States to tackling illegal content online, by the lack of enforcement and cooperation between Member State, and by the inability of the existing legal framework to promote effective market entry and consumer welfare;

Amendment

C. whereas, despite the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice, the need to go beyond the existing regulatory framework is clearly demonstrated by the fragmented approach of Member States to tackling illegal content online, by the lack of enforcement and cooperation between Member State, **by the ever-increasing quantity of illegal content online and the impossibility of ensuring that such content stays down after being removed**, and by the inability of the existing legal framework to promote effective market entry and consumer welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Clara Ponsatí Obiols

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

C. whereas, despite the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice, the need to go beyond the existing regulatory framework is clearly demonstrated by the fragmented approach of Member States to tackling illegal content online, by the lack of enforcement and cooperation between Member State, and by the inability of the existing legal framework to promote effective market entry and consumer welfare;

Amendment

C. whereas, despite the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice, the need to go beyond the existing regulatory framework is clearly demonstrated by the fragmented approach of Member States to tackling illegal content online, by the lack of enforcement and cooperation between Member State, and by the inability of the existing legal framework to promote effective market entry and consumer welfare **and prevent illegitimate interference with the right of freedom of**
Amendment 33
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas, despite the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice, the need to go beyond the existing regulatory framework is clearly demonstrated by the fragmented approach of Member States to tackling illegal content online, by the lack of enforcement and cooperation between Member State, and by the inability of the existing legal framework to promote effective market entry and consumer welfare;

C. whereas currently Member States have fragmented approach to tackling illegal content online as, since the entry into force of Directive 2000/31/EC, some Member States have adopted their own rules on 'notice-and-action' mechanisms; whereas there are therefore increasing differences between such national rules; whereas, as a consequence, the service providers concerned can be subject to a range of different legal requirements which are diverging as to their content and scope;

Amendment 34
Marc Angel, Maria Grapini, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas, despite the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice, the need to go beyond the existing regulatory framework is clearly demonstrated by the fragmented approach of Member States to tackling illegal content online, by the lack of enforcement and cooperation between Member State, and by the inability of the

C. whereas, despite the clarifications made by the European Court of Justice, the need to go beyond the existing regulatory framework is justified by the fragmented approach of Member States to tackling illegal content online, by the complexity of various EU rules applying to e-commerce, by the lack of enforcement and cooperation
existing legal framework to promote effective market entry and consumer welfare;

between Member State, and by the challenges of promoting effective market entry and consumer welfare;

Amendment 35
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas a recent Parliament study\textsuperscript{1a} shows that the potential gain of completing the Digital Single Market for services could be up to €100 billion;

whereas the Digital Services Act should not only be a way to regulate those services but should also aim at unlocking this potential to the benefit of the European economy;

\textsuperscript{1a} “Europe’s two trillion euro dividend, Mapping the Cost of Non-Europe 2019-2024”, EPRS, PE 631.745, April 2019

Or. en

Amendment 36
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas recent efforts to introduce national regulations within the scope of the announced Digital Services Act could undermine the achievements made regarding the Digital Single Market and
introduce barriers to the detriment of cross-border commerce;

Amendment 37
Martin Schirdewan, Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Amendment

Ca. whereas a small number of companies developed a market dominance by acquiring an unprecedented level of knowledge about people's lives;

Amendment 38
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Recital C b (new)

Amendment

Cb. whereas the E-Commerce Directive provides the foundations for the Digital Single Market by setting out the country of origin principle, forbidding any form of prior authorisation, establishing a limited liability regime and a ban on a general monitoring obligation, and great care must be taken to not alter these principles if the Commission decides to propose to amend, widen, or limit this Directive;
Amendment 39
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Anisp, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Amendment

D. whereas the social and economic challenges brought by the COVID-19 pandemic are showing the resilience of the e-commerce sector and its potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy; whereas, at the same time, the pandemic has also exposed serious shortcomings of the current regulatory framework which call for action at Union level to address the difficulties identified and to prevent them from happening in the future;

Amendment

D. whereas the social and economic challenges brought by the COVID-19 pandemic are showing the resilience of the e-commerce sector and its potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy; whereas the Commission contacted a number of platforms, social media, search engines and market places rapidly to require their cooperation in taking down scams from their platforms; whereas platforms replied positively to this call for cooperation and since then a rapid and efficient information exchange is in place; whereas, at the same time, the pandemic has also shown that platforms and online intermediation services need to step up their efforts to rapidly detect and take down fake claims and tackling the misleading practices of rogue traders in a consistent and coordinated manner, in particular of those selling false medical equipment online; whereas this calls for action at Union level to have a more coherent and coordinated approach to combat these misleading practices;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Amendment

D. whereas the social and economic challenges brought by the COVID-19 caused major supply and demand shocks,
pandemic are showing the resilience of the e-commerce sector and its potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy; whereas, at the same time, the pandemic has also exposed serious shortcomings of the current regulatory framework which call for action at Union level to address the difficulties identified and to prevent them from happening in the future;

adversely affected European businesses and has brought new social and economic challenges that deeply affect our citizens; whereas the e-commerce sector showed resilience and offers potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy;

Amendment 41
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Amendment

D. whereas the social and economic challenges brought by the COVID-19 pandemic are showing the resilience of the e-commerce sector and its potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy; whereas, at the same time, the pandemic has also exposed serious shortcomings of the current regulatory framework which call for action at Union level to address the difficulties identified and to prevent them from happening in the future;

D. whereas the social and economic challenges brought by the COVID-19 pandemic are showing the resilience of the e-commerce sector and its potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy; whereas the Commission welcomed the positive approach by the platforms after sending them the letters on 23 March 2020;

Amendment 42
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D. whereas the social and economic challenges brought by the COVID-19 pandemic are showing the resilience of the e-commerce sector and its potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy; whereas the Commission welcomed the positive approach by the platforms after sending them the letters on 23 March 2020;
challenges brought by the COVID-19 pandemic are showing the resilience of the e-commerce sector and its potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy; whereas, at the same time, the pandemic has also exposed serious shortcomings of the current regulatory framework which call for action at Union level to address the difficulties identified and to prevent them from happening in the future;

Amendment 43
Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

D. whereas the social and economic challenges brought by the COVID-19 pandemic are showing the resilience of the e-commerce sector and its potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy; whereas, at the same time, the pandemic has also exposed serious shortcomings of the current regulatory framework which call for action at Union level to address the difficulties identified and to prevent them from happening in the future;

Amendment
D. whereas the social challenges and economic losses brought by the COVID-19 pandemic are showing the resilience of the e-commerce sector and its potential as a driver for relaunching the European economy; whereas, at the same time, the pandemic has also exposed serious shortcomings of the current regulatory framework which call for action at Union level to address the difficulties identified and to prevent them from happening in the future;

Amendment 44
Jordi Cañas

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas the evolving development and use of internet platforms for a wide set of activities, including commercial activities and sharing goods and services, have changed the ways in which users and companies interact with content providers, traders and other individuals offering goods and services, and makes difficult to elaborate a single definition of online platforms; whereas the digital single market cannot succeed without users’ trust in online platforms that respect all applicable legislation and the legitimate interests of users; whereas any future regulatory framework should also address intrusive business models, behavioural manipulation and discriminatory practices, which have major effects to the detriment of the functioning of the Internal Market and users’ fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Alex Agius Saliba, Adriana Maldonado López, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Clara Aguilera, Brando Benifei, Maria Grapini, Andreas Schieder, Evelyne Gebhardt, Biljana Borzan, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas services provided by online platforms at no monetary cost to consumers are nevertheless ultimately funded through online advertising designed to maximise the amount of user attention dedicated to the platform and to keep users as much time as possible on the platform itself; whereas there is a need to depart from the current purely “advertisement-centric” model to an
approach where the focus is on the interest of citizens in accessing and sharing of high quality contents, the interest of consumers to broaden their choices and opportunities, and the interest of advertisers in broadening their audience and customer base;

Amendment 46
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Amendment

Motion for a resolution
Da. whereas scandals recently emerged regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism);

Amendment 47
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Amendment

Motion for a resolution
Da. whereas legal certainty and business-friendly legislation is essential to seed and grow innovative businesses in the Union, and to further close the gap to the global digital leaders;
Amendment 48
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

Db. whereas Directive (EU) 2019/770, Directive (EU) 2019/771, and Directive (EU) 2019/2161 were all adopted less than a year ago and are still in the process of being implemented and transposed into national legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Recital D c (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

Dc. whereas Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 on promoting fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services only came into force in July 2019 and is only binding on platforms from 12 July 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Recital D d (new)
Amendment 51
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Róža Thun und Hohenstein, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Maria da Graça Carvalho, Pascal Arimont, Kris Peeters, Romana Tomc

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

E. whereas in its communication to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 19 February 2020 “Shaping Europe’s digital future”, the Commission committed itself to adopting, as part of the Digital Services Act package, new and revised rules for online platforms and information service provider; to reinforcing the oversight over platforms’ content policies in the EU; and, to looking into ex ante rules to ensure that large platforms with significant network effects, acting as gatekeepers, remain fair and contestable for innovators, businesses, and new market entrants;

Amendment

Dd. whereas the COVID-19 pandemic has shown how vulnerable EU consumers are to misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on consumers; whereas this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies cannot be established;

Or. en
consumer protection, enforcement, product safety, market surveillance, competition, geo-blocking, audio-visual media services, copyright and the General Data Protection Regulation;

Amendment 52
Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Marc Angel

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

E. whereas in its communication to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 19 February 2020 “Shaping Europe’s digital future”, the Commission committed itself to adopting, as part of the Digital Services Act package, new and revised rules for online platforms and information service provider; to reinforcing the oversight over platforms’ content policies in the EU; and, to looking into ex ante rules to ensure that large platforms with significant network effects, acting as gatekeepers, remain fair and contestable for innovators, businesses, and new market entrants;

Or. en

Amendment 53
Petra Kammerevert

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

E. whereas in its communication to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 19 February 2020 “Shaping Europe’s digital future”, the Commission committed itself to adopting, as part of the Digital Services Act package, new and revised rules for online platforms and information service provider; to reinforcing platform accountability and the oversight over platforms’ content policies in the EU; and, to looking into ex ante rules to ensure that large platforms with significant network effects, acting as gatekeepers, remain fair and contestable for innovators, businesses, and new market entrants;

Or. es
European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 19 February 2020 “Shaping Europe’s digital future”, the Commission committed itself to adopting, as part of the Digital Services Act package, new and revised rules for online platforms and information service provider; to reinforcing the oversight over platforms’ content policies in the EU; and, to looking into ex ante rules to ensure that large platforms with significant network effects, acting as gatekeepers, remain fair and contestable for innovators, businesses, and new market entrants;

Amendment 54
Alexandra Geese on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment
Ea. whereas content hosting intermediaries often take voluntary decisions regarding the legality of content and employ automated content recognition tools which raises concerns as regards the rule of law and the right to an effective remedy, in contravention of Article 52.1 of the European Charter of Fundamental Rights, stating that any limitation on the exercise of the rights and freedoms must be provided for by law;

Amendment 55
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek
Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas the E-Commerce Directive requires platforms to take down illegal activity and illegal information but does not define them, which makes it hardly distinguishable from other harmful but not illegal content;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Eb. whereas automated content recognition tools replicate, reinforce and prolong pre-existing biases, discrimination, errors and assumptions about individuals or demographic groups on the basis of gender, race, religion, political opinion or social origin;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Eb. whereas not the occasional reprehensible cases, but rather relevant data, statistics and analyses should
demonstrate a need for any further measures;

Amendment 58
Alexandra Geese on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Recital E c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ec. whereas internal rules, such as terms and conditions or community guidelines, of systemic operators are determined unilaterally, whereby users often cannot access the platform of the operator without accepting its internal rules and have to waive all rights and remedies towards the operator;

Amendment 59
Alexandra Geese on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Recital E d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ed. whereas the activity of profiling coupled with targeted advertisements not only undermines the democratic society, but also leads to an unfair competitive advantage for dominant private actors collecting large amounts of data;
Amendment 60
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Recital E e (new)

Amendment

Ee. whereas the choice of algorithmic tools for recommendation systems raises accountability and transparency concerns; therefore stresses the need to guarantee the possibility of users to choose whether they want recommendations and personalisation by opting in to such services;

Or. en

Amendment 61
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Pascal Arimont, Kris Peeters, Romana Tome, Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission’s commitment to submit a proposal for a Digital Services Act package, consisting of a directive amending the E-Commerce Directive and a proposal for a Regulation on ex-ante rules on large platforms with a gatekeeper role, and, on the basis of Article 225 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), calls on the Commission to submit such a package on the basis of the relevant Articles of the Treaties, following the recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;
Amendment 62
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission’s commitment to submit a proposal for a Digital Services Act package, and, on the basis of Article 225 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), calls on the Commission to submit such a package on the basis of the relevant Articles of the Treaties, following the recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

1. Welcomes the Commission’s commitment to submit a proposal for a Digital Services Act package, and, on the basis of Article 225 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), calls on the Commission to follow the recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission’s commitment to submit a proposal for a Digital Services Act package, and, on the basis of Article 225 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), calls on the Commission to submit such a package on the basis of the relevant Articles of the Treaties, following the recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

1. Welcomes the Commission’s commitment to submit a proposal for a Digital Services Act package, and, on the basis of Article 225 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), calls on the Commission to submit such a package on the basis of the relevant Articles of the Treaties, analyses of relevant data and best practices following the recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. en
Amendment 64
Alex Agius Saliba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

1. Welcomes the Commission’s commitment to submit a proposal for a Digital Services Act package, and, on the basis of Article 225 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), calls on the Commission to submit such a package on the basis of the relevant Articles of the Treaties, following the recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

1. Welcomes the Commission’s commitment to submit a proposal for a Digital Services Act package, and, on the basis of Article 225 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), calls on the Commission to submit such a package on the basis of the relevant Articles of the Treaties and in particular Article 114 TFEU, following the recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

1a. Calls on the Commission, in drafting the Digital Services Act, to take into account legislation covering digital services which has not yet taken effect, such as Regulation 2019/1150 on promoting fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services and Directive 2019/790 on copyright and related rights in the digital single market and amending Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC;

Or. sv
Amendment 66
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

1a. Reiterates that the European Parliament resolution of 15 June 2017 on online platforms and the digital single market (2016/2276(INI)) is still up to date;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)

1b. Reminds that it is of an utmost importance to prepare the proposal cautiously, following facts, statistics and best practices rather than several condemnable cases, outdated or partial statistics, in order to avoid any unintended consequences, hampering innovation and choice of consumers; stresses that gold-plating practices of Union legislation by Member States and unnecessary regulatory burdens or unnecessary restrictions must be avoided and the new obligations for platforms should be proportional and their meaning clear;

Or. en

Amendment 68
Arba Kokalari
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)

1b. Stresses that inadequate compliance with current legislation in the digital sector contributes to fragmentation of the internal market and creates uncertainty for consumers; calls on the Commission to take steps to improve compliance with legislation in the digital economy in parallel with the development of new legislation;

Or. sv

Amendment 69
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 c (new)

1c. Encourages the Commission to work on a clear and easily understandable text for all related parties, such as consumers, enforcement authorities and information society services, which would not have to be subject of wide interpretation by the Court of Justice of the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 c (new)

1c. Calls on the Commission to
propose the Digital Services Act as a regulation to reduce the risk of fragmentation of the digital single market;

Amendment 71
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 d (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment
Id. Reiterates its belief that an evidence-based approach is essential for generating a comprehensive understanding in this field; asks the Commission to provide a detailed analysis on the need for and impact of the Digital Single Act package;

Amendment 72
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 e (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment
1e. Is disappointed that although the Commission was supposed to have been working on gathering information at the time of the adoption of European Parliament resolution of 15 June 2017 on online platforms and the digital single market (2016/2276(INI)) , it has not yet released relevant information, statistics, data, comparisons of best practices needed to prepare responsible direction for a new proposal;
Amendment 73
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 f (new)

  Motion for a resolution  Amendment

If. Given the specific nature of the services covered by the E-Commerce Directive and the need to involve highly specialized experts, asks the Commission to provide a detailed quantification of the financial burden of the future proposal on the Union budget and the budgets of the Member States;

Amendment 74
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 g (new)

  Motion for a resolution  Amendment

1g. Welcomes the Commission soft-law instruments used in recent years to help understanding of legislative environment of platforms for all stakeholders, such as Commission Recommendation (EU) 2018/334 of 1 March 2018 on measures to effectively tackle illegal content online; believes that the Commission should issue guidelines and recommendations for explaining digital services regulatory environment in order to secure rights of online users while stimulating innovation;
Amendment 75
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recognises the importance of the legal framework set out by the E-Commerce Directive in the development of online services in the Union and in particular its internal market clause, through which home country control and the obligation on Member States to ensure the free movement of information society services have been established;

Amendment

2. Recognises the importance of the legal framework set out by the E-Commerce Directive in the development of online services in the Union and in particular its internal market clause, through which home country control and the obligation on Member States to ensure the free movement of information society services have been established; calls however on an update of the territorial scope to include information society services not established in the Union, where their activities are related to: (a) the offering of goods or services, irrespective of whether a payment is required, to consumers or users in the Union; or (b) the monitoring of their behaviour as far as their behaviour takes place within the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Geoffroy Didier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recognises the importance of the legal framework set out by the E-Commerce Directive in the development of online services in the Union and in particular its internal market clause,

Amendment

2. Recognises the importance of the legal framework set out by the E-Commerce Directive in the development of online services in the Union and in particular its internal market clause,
through which home country control and
the obligation on Member States to ensure
the free movement of information society
services have been established;

through which home country control and
the obligation on Member States to ensure
the free movement of information society
services have been established; *recalls that
the new rules on digital services will have
to apply, like the e-Commerce Directive,
without prejudice to the Copyright
Directive, the GDPR or the Audiovisual
Media Services Directive;*

Or. fr

Amendment 77
Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López, Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

2. Recognises the importance of the
legal framework set out by the E-
Commerce Directive in the development of
online services in the Union and in
particular its internal market clause,
through which home country control and
the obligation on Member States to ensure
the free movement of information society
services have been established;

2. Recognises the importance of the
legal framework set out by the E-
Commerce Directive in the development of
online services in the Union and in
particular its internal market clause,
through which home country control and
the obligation on Member States to ensure
the free movement of information society
services have been established; *highlights
that the legal certainty brought by the E-
Commerce Directive has provided SMEs
with the opportunity to expand their
business and to sell more easily across
borders;*

Or. en

Amendment 78
Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2
2. Recognises the importance of the legal framework set out by the E-Commerce Directive in the development of online services in the Union and in particular its internal market clause, through which home country control and the obligation on Member States to ensure the free movement of information society services have been established; and underlines the importance the E-Commerce Directive has had in the development of the Digital Single Market;

Amendment 79
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

2. Recognises the importance of the legal framework set out by the E-Commerce Directive in the development of online services in the Union and in particular its internal market clause, through which home country control and the obligation on Member States to ensure the free movement of information society services have been established;

2. Recognises the importance of the legal framework set out by the E-Commerce Directive in the development of online services in the Union; notes however, that the provisions of the E-Commerce Directive have promoted unequal business conditions in the platform economy at the expense of traditional businesses, which makes a revision of the Directive necessary;

Amendment 80
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Pascal Arimont, Kris Peeters, Romana Tomec, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Andreas Schwab
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

(a) Stresses the importance to address the remaining unjustified obstacles to the Digital Single Market and avoid protectionist measures, which are sometimes used by Member States to boost national competition. For example, settling the costs of cross-border disputes, suppliers’ restrictions to selling cross-border, delivery-related matters, taxation rules, limited cross-border access to goods and services due to differences in intellectual property rights law, access to information on the relevant regulatory requirements, complex administrative procedures, as well as ensuring that no new barriers are created;

Or. en

Amendment 81
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

2a. Stresses that Commission should, ahead of a possible revision of the E-Commerce Directive, complete a full public consultation, including an in person stakeholder hearing, and a full impact assessment, take into account the lessons learned from the COVID-19 crisis and from the European Parliament resolutions; similarly, stresses that this must also apply to other potential pieces of the Digital Services Act package;

Or. en
Amendment 82
Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2a. Stresses especially the importance of the internal market clause and reminds that remaining unjustified obstacles to the digital single market need to be addressed in order to make use of its full potential;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Antonius Manders

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2a. Stresses the need to remove the remaining unjustified obstacles to the Digital Single Market and avoid protectionist measures, which are sometimes used by Member States to boost national competitiveness;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Švenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

2b. Underlines that, if a revision is
approved by the co-legislators, that implementation of the final adopted legislations should be supported by the adoption of Vademecums and implementation guidelines;

Amendment 85
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

3. **Considers** that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be **maintained**; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

3. **Stresses that the main goal must be fair competition between the market participants; considers** that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be **preserved in general, but adjusted and reviewed in order to reflect the current state-of-the-art**; underlines that the principle "taxes and duties must be paid in that country where the economic activity takes place" and the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework; **stresses that for effective legal enforcement of national or regional laws through public administrations, overriding reasons of public interest should be defined and taken into account in a future regulatory framework**;

Amendment 86
Marco Zullo
3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework; asks the Commission to provide the necessary legal certainty regarding certain definitions contained in the E-Commerce Directive, such as ‘active’ and ‘passive’ nature and the meaning of the purely ‘technical nature’ of service providers and to specify, therefore, which digital services actually fall within its scope;

Amendment 87
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Romana Tomc, Andreas Schwab

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of
consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework; highlights that all platforms must have the same duty to protect consumers whereby asymmetrical legislation which targets only certain market actors can be introduced solely as a means to tackle market-related problems;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework: at the same time, underlines the opposite must be equally true in that digital goods and services must be able to freely move within the Single Market similarly to the free movement of physical goods and offline services;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Dan- Ştefan Motreanu
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation 

as defined in the E-Commerce Directive and clarified by the Court of Justice of the European Union should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework and must clearly be enforced, including new obligations for active platforms;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, the rights and freedoms guaranteed under the Charter of Fundamental Rights as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory
Amendment 91
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

3. Considers that unless proven otherwise by relevant data, the provisions of the E-Commerce Directive, including the main principles, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 92
Clara Ponsatí Obiols

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety,

Amendment

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of protection of fundamental rights,
should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework; consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 93
Marc Angel, Maria Grapini, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 94
Marco Campomenosi, Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Isabella Tovaglieri, Markus Buchheit, Jean-Lin Lacapelle on behalf of the ID Group Alessandra Basso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

3. Considers that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the
principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, must become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. **Considers** that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”, as well as the principles of consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

3. **Stresses** that the main principles of the E-Commerce Directive, such as the internal market clause, freedom of establishment and the prohibition on imposing a general monitoring obligation should be maintained; underlines that the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”; considers that the consumer protection and user safety, should also become guiding principles of the future regulatory framework;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Beata Mazurek, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

3a. **Highlights** that there are distinctions and differences between digital services providers and the services they provide; stresses that what might make sense for some digital services providers in terms of content moderation may not be appropriate, nor technically
feasible, for other types of services; therefore, calls on the Commission to consider sector or purpose specific requirements approach;

Amendment 97
Evelyne Gebhardt, Monika Beňová, Andreas Schieder

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

3a. Recalls that given the extension of the scope of the E-Commerce Directive to all digital services, for those services covered by the Services Directive, the country of destination principle as stipulated in the Services Directive should apply. This is necessary to ensure the principle of “what is illegal offline is also illegal online”.

Amendment 98
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

3a. Notes that rules on consumer protection and user safety, including their enforcement rules, are well established by both, the EU and national legislation; asks the Commission to provide analyses about the enforcement of these rules and potential shortcomings in enforcement;
Amendment 99
Andreas Schieder

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, prevent market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage;

Amendment

4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, prevent market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage; suggests the implementation of thresholds for further regulation (e.g. on illegal content, law enforcement etc.) of providers to be able to categorize them in "large providers", "medium providers" and "small providers"; Recalls that fair regulation and fair competition between providers with a significant digital presence and small providers is needed;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, prevent

Amendment

4. Stresses that fair competition and a predictable, comprehensive EU-level framework without unnecessary burdens and restrictions are crucial in order to promote the growth of all businesses in the field, including European small-scale
market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage;

platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups and provide businesses, including the European ones, with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be competitive on the world stage;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Marc Angel, Maria Grapini, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, prevent market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage;

Amendment

4. Stresses that a future-proof, clear and consistent EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, remove market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to easily sell across borders, reach a critical mass and economies of scale in the digital services market and become more competitive on the world stage;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to

Amendment

4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to
promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, prevent market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage;

*increase exchanges of services between Member States*, promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, prevent market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage;

Amendment 103
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Pascal Arimont, Marion Walsmann, Kris Peeters, Romana Tomc, Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

*Motion for a resolution*

4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, prevent market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage;

*Amendment*

4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs), *micro companies, entrepreneurs* and start-ups, prevent market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage;

Amendment 104
Petra Kammerevert

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4
4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to promote the growth of European small-scale platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, prevent market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage;

Amendment

4. Stresses that a future-proof, comprehensive EU-level framework and fair competition are crucial in order to promote the growth of European platforms, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and start-ups, prevent market fragmentation and provide European businesses with a level playing field that enables them to better profit from the digital services market and be more competitive on the world stage;

Or. de

Amendment 105
Tsvetelina Penkova, Eva Kaili

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Calls on the Commission to coordinate the activities of Digital Single Market with the ones of the Capital Markets Union, especially regarding the methodology that CMU adopts for the transition from open banking to open finance, in order to make sure that innovative enterprises will be able to have access to critical data so as to improve their capacity in developing digital products and services that the consumers value; underscores the statement of the Executive VP of the Commission Mr Vestager on this matter and asks any future regulatory framework proposed by the Commission regarding the EU’s Data Strategy to have explicit recommendations on this issue;

Or. en
Amendment 106
Marco Campomenosi, Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Isabella Tovaglieri, Markus Buchheit, Jean-Lin Lacapelle
on behalf of the ID Group
Alessandra Basso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Stresses that European SMEs and start-ups should be able to compete on a level playing field with non-EU ones; notes that European businesses are often unable to access the same amount and quality of datasets as non EU-businesses, which undermines the competitiveness of European businesses in the European and global markets;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López, Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Highlights that a fully integrated Single Market for e-commerce will greatly benefit consumers by removing regulatory fragmentation which is one of the root causes of geoblocking;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López, Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)
Motion for a resolution

4b. Calls on the Commission to make use of the most efficient regulatory tools, in particular harmonisation and mutual recognition, as highlighted in the interinstitutional agreement on better law-making;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Marc Angel, Brando Benifei, Adriana Maldonado López, Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 c (new)

4c. Invites the Commission to thoroughly examine the clarity and consistency of the existing legal framework applying to online sales in order to identify possible gaps and contradictions; highlights that the goal of the DSA should be to stimulate sustainable growth and online sales across the Single Market, while guaranteeing a high level of consumer protection;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Adam Bielan, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the
platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 111
Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 112
Eugen Jurzyca, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5
Motion for a resolution

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 113
Alex Agius Saliba, Adriana Maldonado López, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Clara Aguilera, Brando Benifei, Maria Grapini, Andreas Schieder, Sándor Rónai, Evelyne Gebhardt, Biljana Borzan, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and the full access to and respect of fundamental and social rights for all workers should be ensured, especially of non-standard workers, including platform or collaborative economy workers; considers necessary that these conditions and the obligations of digital labour platforms as employers be properly addressed and guaranteed in a specific binding legal instrument, to be presented at the same time of the future regulatory framework of
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework, focusing on new transport services and short-term leases, necessitating legal certainty at European level and an approach that facilitates the sharing of platform data with market surveillance authorities;

Amendment 114
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework, focusing on new transport services and short-term leases, necessitating legal certainty at European level and an approach that facilitates the sharing of platform data with market surveillance authorities;

Amendment 115
Clara Ponsati Obiols

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework, focusing on new transport services and short-term leases, necessitating legal certainty at European level and an approach that facilitates the sharing of platform data with market surveillance authorities;
consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework; asks the Commission to introduce further information obligation for collaborative economy platforms in line with data protection rules, as it is essential for local authorities in order to
ensure the availability of affordable housing;

Amendment 119
Andreas Schieder, Sylvie Guillaume, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment
5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, in line with existing competition law and maintaining the principle of "what is illegal offline is illegal online", based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 120
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties -
consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that the Digital Single Act should not tackle the issue of platform workers; notes that a report is being prepared by the relevant committee of the European Parliament on “Fair working conditions, rights and social protection for platform workers - New forms of employment linked to digital development”;

Amendment 121
Tsvetelina Penkova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework, which promotes innovation and job creation;

Amendment 122
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5
5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties – consumers and businesses – is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 123
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties – consumers and businesses – is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 124
Marc Angel
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, is needed for all interested parties; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Or. en

Amendment 125
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment

5. Takes the view that differentiating between the “digital” single market and the “offline” single market does not describe market realities; supports a level playing field for all participants of the internal market; notes that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers are subject to national policies and should be only addressed on the EU level in accordance to the proportionality and subsidiarity principles;

Or. en

Amendment 126
Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou
5. Takes the view that a level playing field in the internal market between the platform economy and the "traditional" offline economy, based on the same rights and obligations for all interested parties - consumers and businesses - is needed; considers that social protection and social rights of workers, especially of platform or collaborative economy workers should be properly addressed in a specific instrument, accompanying the future regulatory framework;

Amendment 127
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

5a. Calls on the Commission to focus its work and to ensure that any legislation is targeted and limited; encourages the Commission to refrain from any attempt to cover all long standing Digital Single Market issues within a single package; underlines that the previous Commission already had an extensive digital agenda and that there is a need to assess its effect before regulating again on the same issue; underlines in particular that Directive (EU) 2019/770 and Directive (EU) 2019/771 are still to be properly transposed and implemented; asks the Commission to take this into account before taking additional measures;
Amendment 128
Brando Benifei, María Grapini, Andreas Schieder, Sylvie Guillaume, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

5a. The legal instrument should end the misclassification of workers that deprives them of their rights, a worker should be presumed to be employed until proven otherwise; it should also ensure fair working conditions, health and safety at the workplace and full social protection for non-standard workers; the rights to bargain collectively and to take collective action must not be restricted and collective agreements covering non-standard workers and platform workers (including the self-employed) should be considered to fall outside the scope of Article 101 TFEU;

Amendment

5a. Highlights that the regulatory framework in the electronic communications sector through the imposition of remedies over the last 30 years together with a continuous revision of the relevant markets subject to ex-ante regulation, has created a competitive communication’s market in Europe; notes

Amendment 129
Pilar del Castillo Vera, Pablo Arias Echeverría

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

5a. Highlights that the regulatory framework in the electronic communications sector through the imposition of remedies over the last 30 years together with a continuous revision of the relevant markets subject to ex-ante regulation, has created a competitive communication’s market in Europe; notes
that the use of the Significant Market Power criteria would provide the best basis to determine the dominance of digital gatekeepers as well and the imposition of remedies aimed at ensuring competition and preserving innovation in digital markets;

Amendment 130
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
5a. Calls upon the European Commission to undertake further research on the financialisation and touristification of housing markets, including speculation, money laundering and tax evasion and its consequences for the functioning of the internal market;

Amendment 131
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
5b. Recalls that a large number of legislative, administrative decisions, and contractual relationships uses the definitions and the rules of the E-Commerce Directive and that any change to them will therefore have important consequences; considers then that care
must be taken before doing so;

Amendment 132
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

5b. Stresses that reliable data at European level on real estate transactions are vital to prevent distortions in Europe’s cities and regions housing markets; recalls that more transparency, as by a European registry, could also prevent money laundering in this sector;

Amendment 133
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Róža Thun und Hohenstein, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Maria da Graça Carvalho, Pascal Arimont, Kris Peeters, Romana Tomec, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market; believes that the EU should focus on removing existing obstacles in the Digital Single Market and on ensuring consumer and fundamental rights protection as one of the main objectives of
the reform of the E-Commerce Directive; considers in this context that the Single Market objective can only be achieved if consumer trust is ensured; believes that the updated E-Commerce rules must clearly establish that consumer law and product safety requirements fall within their scope of application in order to ensure legal certainty;

Amendment 134
Antonius Manders

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Amendment 135
Martin Schirdewan, Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Sophie Pelletier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market; believes that the EU should focus on removing obstacles in the Digital Single Market and on ensuring consumer rights protection as one of the main objectives of the reform of the E-Commerce Directive; considers that consumer trust needs to be ensured;
6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens' rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Amendment 136
Anne-Sophie Pelletier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution
6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Amendment
6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on a European public value approach going beyond the economic sphere, protecting all fundamental rights, including non-discrimination, privacy, dignity, fairness as well as free speech and rule of law and should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 137
Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Amendment

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment, legal certainty, transparency and the possibility to contest the non-compliance of products and services to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Amendment

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights, including measures to combat discrimination and embrace the most vulnerable, should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Or. it

Amendment 139
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek
6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights and particularly the safeguard of freedom of speech and expression, should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 140
Monika Beňová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights and particularly the safeguard of freedom of speech and expression, should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 141
Edina Tóth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights and particularly the safeguard of freedom of speech and expression, should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment, sectorial interoperability and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Or. en
Motion for a resolution

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Amendment

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, *free of illegal content*, favourable digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 142
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, *favourable* digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Amendment

6. Considers that the Digital Services Act should be based on public values of the Union protecting citizens’ rights and should aim to foster the creation of a rich and diverse online ecosystem with a wide range of online services, *a competitive* digital environment and legal certainty to unlock the full potential of the Digital Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 143
Martin Schirdewan, Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

(1) Considers that while the horizontal approach of the E-Commerce Directive should be maintained, a “one-size-fits-all” approach is not suitable to address all the new challenges in today’s digital landscape; stresses therefore, that the diversity of actors and services offered online need a tailored regulatory approach;

Or. en

Amendment 144
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

6a. Underlines that any future proposals should be based on the Single Market under Article 114 TFEU and support its completion; underlines that if the Commission believes proposals are needed for both matters covered by rules on competition (Title VII, Chapter 1 TFEU) and those covered by approximation of laws (Title VII, Chapter 3 TFEU), separate instruments should be used and proposed; underlines that any combination of measures under both policy areas (ex-ante and ex-post measures) into a single instrument could be viewed as the politicising of competition policy and therefore would harm the respect for competition policy decisions and their implementation by bodies world-wide;

Or. en
Amendment 145  
Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Virginie Joron  
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 6 a (new)  

Motion for a resolution

6a. Recommends that the legal instrument to be proposed by the Commission should take the form of a directive, following in the footsteps of the other applicable instruments such as the Copyright Directive, in order to preserve the effectiveness of an approach by Member States according to their internal law applicable in matters as diverse as commercial law and freedom of expression;

Or. fr

Amendment 146  
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior  
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 6 b (new)  

Motion for a resolution

6b. Recalls that there is no consensus on the concept of data as a form of remuneration and therefore believes it would be premature to seek to change the scope of the E-Commerce Directive or base future measures upon this idea; notes that data is a non-consumable resource and can be exchanged an infinite number of times;

Or. en

Amendment 147  
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6c. Stresses that any future legislative proposals should seek to remove current, and prevent potentially new barriers in the supply of digital services by online platforms; underlines, at the same time, that new Union obligations on platforms must be proportional and clear in nature in order to avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens or unnecessary restrictions; underlines the need to prevent gold-plating practices of Union legislation by Member States;

Amendment 148
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6d. Recalls that the E-Commerce Directive was drafted in a technologically neutral manner in order to avoid amendments of the legal framework arising from the fast pace of innovation in the IT sector; asks the Commission to ensure that any revisions continue to respect this technologically neutral manner;

Amendment 149
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 e (new)

6e. Considers that the future Digital Services Act should take the form of several regulations rather than directives in order to ensure a more harmonised application and avoid delays in its transposition;

Amendment

Amendment

Amendment 150
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

7. Considers that the Digital Services Act provides an opportunity for the Union to shape the central aspects of the digital economy not only at Union level but also be a standard-setter for the rest of the world;

Amendment

7. Considers that the Digital Services Act provides an opportunity for the Union to shape the central aspects of the digital economy not only at Union level, such as green transition to a zero-carbon economy, but also be a standard-setter for the rest of the world;

Amendment 151
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

7. Considers that the Digital Services Act provides an opportunity for the Union to shape the central aspects of the digital economy not only at Union level but also

Amendment

7. Considers that the Digital Services Act provides an opportunity for the Union to shape the digital economy not only at Union level but also be a standard-setter
be a standard-setter for the rest of the world; for the rest of the world; Or. en

Amendment 152
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

7a. Believes that the principles that governed the legislators when regulating information society services providers in the late 90's are still valid and should be used when drafting any future proposals, namely:

(a) To provide appropriate information on a wide scale
(b) To prevent the creation of fresh obstacles and the re-fragmentation of the internal market
(c) To reduce disputes to a minimum
(d) To avoid the risks of over-regulation
(e) To protect general interests more effectively and to identify any need for rules quickly
(f) To step up administrative cooperation
(g) To strengthen Union participation in international discussions;

Or. en

Amendment 153
Alex Agius Saliba, Adriana Maldonado López, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Clara Aguilera, Brando Benifei, Maria Grapini, Andreas Schieder, Marc Angel, Evelyne Gebhardt
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7a (new)

7a. Stresses the importance of maintaining the horizontal approach of the E-Commerce Directive; acknowledges, however, that a one-size-fits-all solution to all types of illegal activities or hosting providers would not be appropriate; recommends distinguishing between commercial and non-commercial activities, and between different types of digital services hosted by platforms rather than focusing too much on the type of the platform;

Amendment 154
Clara Aguilera, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7a (new)

7a. Calls for any new legislation as part of the Digital Services Act package to respect and leave intact the newly adopted Directive (EU) 2019/790 on Copyright in the Digital Single Market, which provides for sector-specific rules on copyright and authors’ rights in the digital environment and ensures the protection of authors and artists’ work;

Amendment 155
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Asks the Commission to take into account whether reciprocal obligations from third countries adopted in reaction to the new EU rules would not hamper provision of services by EU based companies in third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Is of the opinion that all providers for digital services based outside the single market must adhere to the regulation of digital services of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7b. Notes that it is important to ensure that digital services arrangements respect and comply with national and regional legislation or powers of local supervisory authorities or local control mechanisms for the purpose of the appropriate fulfilment of their obligations;

Or. en
Amendment 158
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  

Amendment

Innovation and growth

Or. en

Amendment 159
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution  

Amendment

7b. Reminds a common interest to support and enhance research, innovation and growth of competition on the digital market; notes that different rules for different providers of information society services, based on their size or other criteria might violate the meaning of fair competition rules; notes that too prescriptive and strict rules have the potential to hamper innovation;

Or. en

Amendment 160
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 c (new)

Motion for a resolution  

Amendment

7c. Considers that as the outdated framework of the E-Commerce Directive
no longer adequately reflects a balance of emerging and existing enterprises, as well as online and “traditional” offline economy, a future regulatory framework to ensure a new balance is necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 161
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

Fundamental rights  Fundamental rights and freedoms

Or. it

Amendment 162
Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively; warns in that regard against applying pressure that would push online platforms and social networking sites into taking unnecessarily broad measures which have a chilling effect on content sharing and may undermine fundamental rights;
Amendment 163
Katalin Cseh, Karen Melchior

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.

Amendment

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively; calls for clear guidelines related to products and services that should be excluded from all forms of advertisement targeting children and minors, including influencer marketing.

Amendment 164
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.

Amendment

8. Notes that social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices should comply with Union law to protecting users and society at large;
and society at large \textit{and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.}

\begin{quote}
\noindent \textbf{Amendment 165}
\noindent Monika Beňová

\textbf{Motion for a resolution}

\textbf{Paragraph 8}

\textit{Motion for a resolution} \\

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.

\textit{Amendment} \\

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences \textit{including vulnerable groups such as under aged citizens}, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
\noindent \textbf{Amendment 166}
\noindent Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

\textbf{Motion for a resolution}

\textbf{Paragraph 8}

\textit{Motion for a resolution} \\

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant \textit{social responsibility} in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being

\textit{Amendment} \\

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant \textit{responsibilities} in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively; \textit{and generating}
exploited abusively.  

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites — because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices — bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.

Amendment 167

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Amendment

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites, have a wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices. calls on the Commission, therefore, to pay particular attention to fundamental rights such as freedom of expression and freedom of the press in drafting the Digital Services Act;

Amendment 168

Marco Campomenosi, Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Isabella Tovaglieri, Markus Buchheit, Jean-Lin Lacapelle

on behalf of the ID Group

Alessandra Basso

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites — because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices — bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users, their data and society at large and preventing their services from
exploited abusively. being exploited abusively.

Amendment 169
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Róža Thun und Hohenstein, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Kris Peeters, Andreas Schwab, Romana Tomc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.

Amendment

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms including social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.

Amendment 170
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - bear significant social responsibility in terms of protecting users and society at large and preventing their services from being exploited abusively.

Amendment

8. Notes that information society services providers, and in particular online platforms and social networking sites - because of their wide-reaching ability to reach and influence broader audiences, behaviour, opinions, and practices - should cooperate on protecting users and society at large and on preventing their services from being exploited abusively.
Amendment 171
Geoffroy Didier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

8a. Points out that the size of online platforms should be a powerful indicator in determining their liability in proportion to their market position; suggests that the size of these platforms should be assessed using objective criteria such as turnover in a given market and whether they are a passive or an active host;

Amendment 172
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

8a. Stresses that confusing the role a private platform should play with those more properly within the remit of public bodies charged with enforcing or setting the law is unacceptable and creates risks for both citizens and businesses, neither of which are qualified to take such decisions;

Amendment 173
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Maria Grapini
8a. Notes that some digital business models use public spaces for commercial purposes and is of the opinion that local and regional governments must be able to safeguard public interest and ensure administrative control;

Or. en

8a. Deems it necessary that online platforms’ content policies be intelligible and published in an easily accessible manner;

Or. en

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and deleted
reinforce fundamental rights;

Amendment 176
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Amendment
9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to work on better enforcement of existing legislation and closer cooperation among the Member States in order to understand advantages and shortcomings of existing rules and to reinforce fundamental rights; in this sense asks the Commission to consider preparing guidelines on how to improve enforcement of online related legislation; notes that also in the area of media the online and offline companies should be treated equally;

Amendment 177
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Mozdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of

Amendment
9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of
other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights; other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules; *considers that any reflection should consider how to reinforce fundamental rights, especially freedom of expression; recalls in this respect certain established self-regulatory and co-regulatory schemes such as the Code of Practice on disinformation, which have played a positive role in addressing those issues and could serve as a basis for future legislation;*

Or. en

**Amendment 178**

Jordi Cañas

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 9**

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights; *stresses that users' fundamental rights should be protected from online intrusive business models, including those conducting digital advertising, as well as from behavioural manipulation and discriminatory practices;*

Or. en

**Amendment 179**

Monika Beňová

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 9**
Motion for a resolution

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Amendment

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, create and spreading fake news, political advertising, selling and distributing illegal products and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism), have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 180
Marco Campomenosi, Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Isabella Tovaglieri, Markus Buchheit, Jean-Lin Lacapelle
on behalf of the ID Group
Alessandra Basso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Amendment

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules without any prejudice to the rights to freedom of expression and reinforce fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 181
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9
Motion for a resolution

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Amendment

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation, profiling and tracking techniques and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 182
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Maria da Graça Carvalho, Kris Peeters, Romana Tome, Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Amendment

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce protection of fundamental rights online;

Or. en

Amendment 183
Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9
Motion for a resolution

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Amendment

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, fake news, 

**misinformation**, 
political advertising and manipulation and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 184
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

9. Recalls that recent scandals regarding data harvesting and selling, Cambridge Analytica, **fake news, political advertising and manipulation** and a host of other online harms (from hate speech to the broadcast of terrorism) have shown the need to revisit the existing rules and reinforce fundamental rights;

Amendment

9a. Urges the Commission to consider

Or. en

Amendment 185
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

9a. Urges the Commission to consider
introducing legislative measures to make online advertising more transparent and to counter the scams and the aggressive hidden marketing techniques used by certain influencers;

believes that influencers should indicate clearly, intelligibly and visibly at the beginning of the post whether they have been paid, directly or indirectly, or received free or concessionary products in exchange for their post;

Amendment 186
Brando Benifei, Andreas Schieder, Sylvie Guillaume, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should **achieve the right balance between the internal market freedoms and the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union**;

Amendment

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should **ensure the full respect of the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, including the rights for workers in digital labour platforms to information and consultation within the undertaking, of collective bargaining and action and of fair and just working conditions, the European Social Charter, the Community Charter of Fundamental Social Rights of Workers, the relevant international human right instruments, including ILO Conventions**;

Amendment 187
Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should achieve the right balance between the internal market freedoms and the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should achieve the right balance between the internal market freedoms and the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, therefore it should ensure the access of all citizens to digital services and to the content of digital platforms;

Or. en

Amendment 188
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should achieve the right balance between the internal market freedoms and the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

10. Stresses that as it is the case with the E-Commerce Directive, the Digital Services Act should achieve the right balance between the internal market freedoms and the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 189
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should achieve the right balance between the internal market freedoms and the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should achieve the right balance between the internal market freedoms and the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;
Act should *achieve the right balance between* the internal market freedoms and *the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter* of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

Act should *be based on the principles of* the internal market freedoms and *recognition* of fundamental rights;

Or. en

**Amendment 190**

Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10**

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should *achieve the right balance between* the internal market freedoms and *the fundamental rights and principles set out* in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should *strengthen* the internal market *for services while protecting* rights set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, *in particular freedom of expression*;

Or. en

**Amendment 191**

Alexandra Geese on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 10**

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should *achieve the right balance between* the internal market freedoms and *the fundamental rights and principles set out* in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should *guarantee both* internal market freedoms and the fundamental rights, *freedoms* and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

Or. en
Amendment 192
Martin Schirdewan, Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should achieve the right balance between the internal market freedoms and the fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

Amendment
10. Stresses that the Digital Services Act should ensure that fundamental rights and principles set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union are reflected in the internal market freedoms;

Or. en

Amendment 193
Tsvetelina Penkova, Eva Kaili

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

10a. Underscores the need the Digital Single Market policies to identify general standards of acceptable digital infrastructure in the design of the « technological stack » of the digital services or the digital/smart products; asks the Commission to define and apply standards that make sure that hardware, software, code, connectivity systems and storage/data management architecture are set by default in a way that protect the privacy of the consumer, that the consumer’s data are exclusively used within the nodes of the connecting devices that improve the consumer’s experience and they are not transferred to third parties for other commercial uses without the explicit consent of the consumer;

Or. en
Amendment 194
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

10a. Calls on the Commission to introduce minimum standards for contract terms and general conditions of content hosting providers and providers of content moderation tools to provide for safeguards for fundamental rights, in particular with regard to transparency, accessibility, fairness, predictability and non-discriminatory enforcement;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

11. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has shown how vulnerable EU consumers are to misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling fake or illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on consumers;

deleted

Or. en
Amendment 196
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has shown how vulnerable EU consumers are to misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling fake or illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on consumers;

Amendment

11. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has shown the importance and resilience of online platforms, as tools for educational purposes, for spreading information to mitigate the effects of a pandemic and for safe online-shopping without the need for a consumer to take a risk of being infected by the virus during traditional “contact” shopping; regrets the occurrence of cases of misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling fake or illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on consumers; stresses that the new rules should not be based on a couple of non-compliant cases but rather on data taking in consideration both, positive and negative experience of consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 197
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has shown how vulnerable EU consumers are to misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling fake or illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on consumers;

Amendment

11. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has exposed the challenges EU consumers may face when shopping online, e.g. misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling fake or illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on
conditions on consumers; consumers; recalls however, the number of proactive measures introduced by some online platforms that are addressing these issues;

Amendment 198
Marion Walsmann, Pablo Arias Echeverría, Andrey Kovatchev, Pascal Arimont, Christian Doleschal, Maria da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has shown how vulnerable EU consumers are to misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling fake or illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on consumers; and therefore stresses the urgent need to set up clear rules in order to enhance consumer protection;

Amendment 199
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

11. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has shown how vulnerable EU consumers are to misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling fake or illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on consumers and services and/or illicit websites online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and
11. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has shown how vulnerable EU consumers are to misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling fake or illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on consumers;
Amendment 202  
Brando Benifei, Maria Grapini, Andreas Schieder, Sylvie Guillaume, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Marc Angel

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 11

11. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has shown how vulnerable EU consumers are to misleading trading practices by dishonest traders selling fake or illegal products online that are not compliant with Union safety rules or imposing unjustified and abusive price increases or other unfair conditions on consumers;

Amendment 203  
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies cannot be established;

Amendment 204  
Eugen Jurzyca
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies cannot be established; underlines the need to better inform and educate consumers about online sales in general, and by the potential risks of buying products not approved for the European market in particular;

Or. en

Amendment 205
Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Sándor Rónai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies cannot be established; and recalls that recent

Or. en

Amendment 206
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies cannot be established; and recalls that recent
legislation adopted under the « New Deal for Consumers » imposes transparency obligations on marketplaces, making it clear with whom a consumer is contracting;

Amendment 207
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Róža Thun und Hohenstein, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Pascal Arimont, Marion Walsmann, Kris Peeters, Romana Tome, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies cannot be established;

Amendment
12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these fraudulent companies and individuals cannot be established; and therefore, consumers cannot seek compensation for the damages and losses experienced;

Amendment 208
Andreas Schieder, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies cannot be established;

Amendment
12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies cannot be established, for example due to the lack of a publicly accessible European company register;
Amendment 209
Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies cannot be established;

Amendment

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact that often the identity of these companies and/or individuals cannot be established;

Or. en

Amendment 210
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by the fact often the identity of these companies cannot be established;

Amendment

12. Stresses that this problem is aggravated by difficulties in establishing the identity of companies responsible for irregularities;

Or. sv

Amendment 211
Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

12a. Notes that the WHOIS database register has been a critical instrument to allow interested third parties to find bad actors on the internet; calls on the Commission and the European Data...
Protection Board to find a concrete solution to ensure interested trusted third parties can have a controlled access to it;

Or. en

Amendment 212
Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

13. **Considers that** the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, **should be substantially strengthened**;

**Amendment**

13. **Supports** the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications; **requests proper enforcement of the existing framework before new obligations are put forward; proposes the continued review of the existing requirements based on the dialogue with stakeholders and social partners in search of potential added value in case of either strengthening or softening the current rulebook**;

Or. en

Amendment 213
Tsvetelina Penkova, Eva Kaili

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum

**Amendment**

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum
information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened for providers of information society services which are directed primarily at consumers, which act as the intermediary between the trader and the consumer or which provide the trading interface for the online sale of goods\(^1\);

\(^1\) Justification: Addressing existing shortcomings in the transparency and obligation requirements of the E-Commerce Directive through a “one-size-fits-all” approach may not be the most appropriate solution. Instead, a market-relevant and consumer-oriented alternative would consist in promoting rules which account of the fact that different types of services merit different rules. Setting stronger consumer protection rules can be consistent with the promotion of ICT deployment in Europe, i.e. in setting specific rules for information society service providers depending on whether they are a concerned party in the provision of a business-to-consumer good or service, while balancing the need to safeguard the smoothness and speed of online business transactions.

Amendment 214
Katalin Cseh, Karen Melchior

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum
information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened; and the introduction of an automated message mechanism before check-out informing customers about the potential side effects of certain products, as well as their recommended use, should be considered;

Amendment 215
Edina Tóth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened;

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened; asks the Commission to introduce guidance for platforms on how to better inform consumers about commercial communication;

Amendment 216
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened;
information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened;
strengthened; strengthened, ensuring their timely implementation and their compliance with the EU regulatory framework;

Amendment 219
Arba Kokaları

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened;

Amendment

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be strengthened in parallel with measures to increase compliance with existing rules;

Amendment 220
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened;

Amendment

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and online sellers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be properly analysed and subsequently, if needed, improved;

Or. sv

Or. en
Amendment 221
Marion Walsmann, Pablo Arias Echeverría, Andrey Kovatchev, Christian Doleschal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened;

Amendment

13. Is convinced that the current transparency and information requirements set out in the E-Commerce Directive on information society services providers and their business customers, and the minimum information requirements on commercial communications, should be substantially strengthened;

Or. en

Amendment 222
Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

13a. Considers further that companies established in the territory of several States should declare their legal existence and the economic activities carried out by them in the territory of a Member State of the Union, given that such activities are actually carried out in the territory of that Member State, in order not to evade controls or the tax payable to that State under its internal law and Union law;

Amendment

13a. Considers further that companies established in the territory of several States should declare their legal existence and the economic activities carried out by them in the territory of a Member State of the Union, given that such activities are actually carried out in the territory of that Member State, in order not to evade controls or the tax payable to that State under its internal law and Union law;

Or. fr

Amendment 223
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
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Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of business users as defined in Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on promoting fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services (‘P2B Regulation’) and to take reasonable measures to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date, while preserving consumers’ anonymity; reminds that the verification of the identity of individual users would place extensive administrative burdens on EU start-ups and SMEs competing on a global market;

Or. en

Amendment 224
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to require the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, as defined in Regulation(EU) 2019/1150 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on promoting fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services (‘P2B Regulation’), while preserving consumers’ anonymity; reminds that the verification of the identity of individual
users would place extensive administrative burden on EU start-ups and SMEs competing on a global market;

Amendment 225
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date, through robust control systems, based on business partner authentication and product or service verification; services should not be provided to business customers giving false identities;

Amendment 226
Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers, such as online market places, technical hosting providers, domain name registrars, online advertising service providers, proxy services and online payment providers, to
verify, both at the start of any relationship and periodically, the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate, complete, and up-to-date;

Amendment 227
Tsvetelina Penkova, Eva Kaili

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to require the providers of information society services which are directed primarily at consumers, which act as the intermediary between the trader and the consumer or which provide the trading interface for the online sale of goods to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment 228
Katalin Cseh, Karen Melchior

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;
relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date; calls for the establishment of an online database that can serve as a verification platform of business partners for influencers and small service providers unable to find the resources to do this otherwise;

Amendment 229
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment
14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the undertakings with which they have a contractual commercial relationship, and regularly conduct random monitoring to ensure that the information they provide is accurate; stresses the importance of proportionality in the formulation of such legislation, so as not to harm the competitiveness of SMEs and microenterprises;

Amendment 230
Pablo Arias Echeverria, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Romana Tomc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment
14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and the identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual
relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date; business partners should be the ones in charge of notifying the service provider about any change in their business activity (for example, cessation of business activity) and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment 231
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

14. Calls on the Commission to require intermediate service providers to collect the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship when those business partners have a direct relationship with consumers through the intermediate service, and to ensure that the information is updated in case competent authorities informed the providers of any inaccuracy;

Amendment 232
Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship;

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of both the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship.
relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

commercial relationship, and the individuals who offer services or sell products on online platforms, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Or. en

Amendment 233
Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date, containing in particular the contact details of a responsible natural person;

Or. fr

Amendment 234
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to analyse the need to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to require that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Or. en
Amendment 235
Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to require service providers to continuously verify the information and identity of the business partners with whom they have a contractual commercial relationship, and to ensure that the information they provide is accurate and up-to-date;

Or. en

Amendment 236
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

14a. Underlines the rights of users under the GDPR, as well as the right to internet anonymity or being an unidentified user; warns that ignoring the wishes of internet users to not disclose their identity might put certain groups in disadvantageous situations, including the work of independent media, or deprive vulnerable groups from adequate protection and security online;

Amendment

14a. Underlines the rights of users under the GDPR, as well as the right to internet anonymity or being an unidentified user; warns that ignoring the wishes of internet users to not disclose their identity might put certain groups in disadvantageous situations, including the work of independent media, or deprive vulnerable groups from adequate protection and security online;

Or. en

Amendment 237
Geoffroy Didier
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Calls on the Commission to clarify the regime applicable to content hosting intermediaries and in particular the distinction between passive intermediaries benefiting from a limited liability regime and active platforms that organise and promote content;

Or. fr

Amendment 238
Evelyne Gebhardt, Monika Beňová, Brando Benifei, Biljana Borzan, Andreas Schieder, Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Calls on the Commission to require that where a certain qualification is required to provide a service, the possession of such a qualification has to be clearly disclosed in each online offer in order to avoid deceptive or misleading information to consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 239
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to
15. Calls on the Commission, if
introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;

proposing measures on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information, to take into account the difference between the underlining hosting internet service provider on the one hand and a platform or other websites and its users on the other; stresses that internet service providers often have no contractual relations with a platform’s business users or consumers, including having no legal right to view or access data stored; asks the Commission to ensure that enforcement measures are targeted in a way that takes this difference into account and does not force the breach of privacy and legal process; considers that these obligations should be proportionate and enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;

Amendment 240
Tsvetelina Penkova, Eva Kaili

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;
Amendment 241  
Marco Zullo  
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 15  

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;

Amendment 242  
Monika Beňová  
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 15  

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information and ensuring that platforms are held accountable for misleading information, guarantees or statements; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties, especially for repeated offences;

Or. it

Amendment 243  
Alex Agius Saliba, Adriana Maldonado López, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Clara Aguilera, Brando Benifei, Maria Grapini, Andreas Schieder, Marc Angel, Sándor Rónai, Evelyne Gebhardt, Biljana Borzan
15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;
Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency, information and accountability; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;

Or. en

Amendment 246
Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency with respect to privacy rules; considers that these obligations should be enforced by proportionate, effective and dissuasive penalties.

Or. en

Amendment 247
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on hosting service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information;
considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;

considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;

Or. en

Amendment 248
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce enforceable obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency and information; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, effective and dissuasive penalties;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to introduce obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, proportionate, effective and dissuasive penalties;

Or. en

Amendment 249
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Marion Walsmann, Romana Tomc, Anna-Michelle Asimakopoulou, Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

15a. Calls on the Commission to address the problem of fake profiles on digital platforms and the challenges when it comes to identifying the real person who is behind in case this person commits an illegality; calls on the Commission to consider mechanisms to make sure that everyone can be identified online while safeguarding their privacy rights; considers that the creation of a digital identity would be a useful tool in this

Amendment

15a. Calls on the Commission to introduce obligations on internet service providers aimed at increasing transparency; considers that these obligations should be enforced by appropriate, proportionate, effective and dissuasive penalties;
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Amendment

15a. Underlines the need for due process; stresses the need to prevent the abuse of transparency, redress and other systems by businesses in order to confront other businesses; believes that any revisions must seek to balance the rights of all users and ensure that the law is not drafted to favour one legitimate interest over another;

Amendment

15a. Calls on the Commission to introduce transparency and accountability requirements regarding automated decision-making processes of content hosting providers and providers of automated content recognition tools, including the public documentation of, at least, the existence and the functioning of content recognition technologies;
Amendment 252
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 b (new)

Amendment
15b. Welcomes efforts to bring transparency to content removal; underlines that, in order to verify compliance with the rules, the requirement to publish periodic transparency reports should be mandatory and include, at least, the number of notices, type of entities notifying content, nature of the content subject of complaint, response time by the intermediary, the number of appeals;

Amendment 253
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 c (new)

Amendment
15c. In order to verify such transparency reports and compliance with legal obligations, and in line with the Council of Europe Recommendation CM/Rec(2018)2, Member States should make available, publicly and in a regular manner, comprehensive information on the number, nature and legal basis of requests sent to intermediaries to restrict
content or to disclose personal data, including those based on international mutual legal assistance treaties, and on steps taken as a result of those requests;

Or. en

Amendment 254
Martin Schirdewan, Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16


Amendment

16. Stresses that existing obligations, set out in the E-Commerce Directive and the Directive 2005/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (‘Unfair Commercial Practices Directive’) on transparency of commercial communications and digital advertising should be strengthened; points out that pressing consumer protection concerns about profiling, targeting and personalised pricing cannot be addressed by transparency obligations and left to consumer choice alone; **considers that practices like profiling deeply interfere with people’s rights and freedoms; recognises that the General Data Protection Regulation framework does not adequately protect consumers against profile building and unjustified automated decisions; is of the opinion that in order to ensure adequate protection of consumers, personal data should only be used where it’s necessary to provide the service requested**;

---


Amendment 255
Clara Ponsatí Obiols

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution


Amendment

16. Stresses that existing obligations, set out in the E-Commerce Directive and the Directive 2005/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (‘Unfair Commercial Practices Directive’)\(^3\) on transparency of commercial communications and digital advertising should be strengthened; points out that pressing consumer protection concerns about profiling, targeting and personalised pricing cannot be addressed by transparency obligations and left to consumer choice alone; further notes that there are pressing consumer protection concerns regarding online gambling services which are not being adequately addressed by all Member States and might require a more aligned approach at Union level;

Amendment 256
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16


Amendment

16. Stresses that the Commission should review, whether existing obligations, set out in the E-Commerce Directive on transparency of commercial communications and digital advertising should be strengthened and should support this revision by appropriate and relevant data;


Amendment 257
Andreas Schwab
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16


Amendment 258
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16


Parliament and of the Council (‘Unfair Commercial Practices Directive’) on transparency of commercial communications and digital advertising should be strengthened; points out that pressing consumer protection concerns about profiling, targeting and personalised pricing cannot be addressed by transparency obligations and left to consumer choice alone;


Parliament and of the Council (‘Unfair Commercial Practices Directive’) on transparency of commercial communications and digital advertising should be frequently reviewed; points out that pressing consumer protection concerns about profiling, targeting and personalised pricing were recently addressed in the “New Deal for Consumers” legislation which awaits full transposition and enforcement;


Or. en

Amendment 259
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Stresses that existing obligations,

Amendment

16. Stresses that existing obligations,


Amendment 260
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Róža Thun und Hohenstein, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Romana Tomc, Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16


pricing *cannot* be addressed *by* transparency obligations and *left to consumer choice alone*; pricing *should* be addressed, *among others, by clear* transparency obligations and *information requirements*;


Amendment 261
Arba Kokalari

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 16**

16. Stresses that existing obligations, set out in the E-Commerce Directive and the Directive 2005/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (‘Unfair Commercial Practices Directive’)\(^3\) on transparency of commercial communications and digital advertising should be strengthened; *points out that* pressing consumer protection concerns about profiling, targeting and personalised pricing *cannot be addressed by transparency obligations and left to consumer choice alone*; *calls on the Commission to assess the need for further action to address* pressing consumer protection concerns about profiling, targeting and personalised pricing;


Amendment 262
Tsvetelina Penkova, Eva Kaili

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

16a. Underlines that Data Management services and architecture of concentration, storage, use, reuse, curation and analytics is the first significant part of the value chain in the digital economy; considers that the Digital Single Market policies should address the challenges of this architecture; stresses that costs related to computation, elaboration, storage and access to data will determine the speed, depth and scale of the adoption of digital infrastructure and products, especially for SMEs and innovative start-ups; believes that EU should develop its own adequate capacity for cloud services as well as to facilitate the development of a decentralized, interoperable system of data governance that enables the efficient use of local infrastructures, including fog, mist and edge computing; asks the Commission to enable the development of these local data infrastructures to support the expansion of IoT consumer products, IoT industrial products, and Smart Cities applications;
Amendment 263
Alex Agius Saliba, Adriana Maldonado López, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Clara Aguilera, Maria Grapini, Andreas Schieder, Marc Angel, Evelyne Gebhardt, Biljana Borzan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

16a. Stresses that online consumers find themselves in an unbalanced relation to service providers and traders offering services for free but supporting their services by advertising revenue and ads that are automatically targeting individual consumers, based on the information collected through big data and AI mechanisms; notes that the business model based on providing “free” services paid through advertising may lead to undesirable societal outcomes and be detrimental to consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 264
Alexandra Geese on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

16a. Calls for transparency obligations for recommendation systems of content hosting providers including the public documentation of recommendation outputs and their audiences, content-specific ranking decisions and other interventions by the platform, the criteria and the reasoning behind those decisions as well as the organisational structures that control such systems which should take the form of real-time, high-level,
anonymised data access through public API;

Amendment 265
Tomislav Sokol, Pablo Arias Echeverría, Edina Tóth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Amendment

16a. Notes the increasing use of digital platforms and applications in eHealth, and in particular the importance of telemedicine and consumer health informatics; considers that the Digital Services Act package must ensure that digital eHealth services provide citizens with only accurate, verified and scientifically-based facts while also effectively protecting their personal data.

Amendment 266
Evelyne Gebhardt, Monika Beňová, Andreas Schieder, Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Amendment

16a. Notes that given the significant differences between digital services, a one-size-fits-all approach should be avoided; that is, intermediaries’ duties of care should differ depending on their business model;
Amendment 267
Andrey Kovatchev

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

16a. Welcomes the European Commission’s White Paper on Artificial Intelligence; calls on the Commission to ensure that the Digital Services Act preserves the human centric approach to AI, while ensuring respect of the EU’s fundamental values and rights as enshrined in the Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 268
Evelyne Gebhardt, Monika Beňová, Andreas Schieder, Sylvie Guillaume, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

16b. Considers that intermediaries whose interventions may affect users fundamental freedoms should be maximally protected from liability while intermediaries that enable commercial transactions, including advertising, should be subject to a stronger obligation to take active care in order to protect consumers and provide security and trust;

Or. en

Amendment 269
Alexandra Geese on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja
Motion for a resolution
Subheading 4

Motion for a resolution

Artificial intelligence (AI)

Amendment

Content moderation, prioritisation and personalisation

Or. en

Amendment 270
Beata Mazurek, Andżelika Anna Moźdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, to use high quality and unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Amendment

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-Commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should assess whether the existing legislation and policy in the areas of data protection, competition, consumer protection and business to business obligations do not adequately address non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, to use high quality and unbiased datasets, without compromising trade secrets, violating user privacy or data disclosure laws, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Or. en

Amendment 271
María Grapini, Adriana Maldonado López
17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, to use high quality and unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services; to strengthen fundamental rights and find solutions to hold false news providers accountable, without restricting the freedom of expression;

Amendment 272
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the concrete challenges not already covered by current legislation that they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, on the data sets and the explainability - to the extent possible - of
to use high quality and unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Or. en

Amendment 273
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, to use high quality and unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Amendment

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need for audits of algorithms and mandatory risk assessments of associated risks for individuals, groups and society at large, to use high quality and unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Or. en

Amendment 274
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17
Motion for a resolution

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, to use high quality and unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Amendment

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring transparency and explainability of inputs for algorithms and outputs for which are algorithms optimised; points out the need to assess associated risks of using AI, to use high quality and unbiased underlying datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Or. en

Amendment 275
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, to use high quality and unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Amendment

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, to use high quality and unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Or. en
Amendment 276
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Tomislav Sokol, Romana Tomc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, to use high quality and unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Amendment

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms; points out the need to monitor algorithms and to assess associated risks, to use high quality and when possible unbiased datasets, as well as to help individuals acquire access to diverse content, opinions, high quality products and services;

Or. en

Amendment 277
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to monitor

Amendment

17. Believes that while AI-driven services, currently governed by the E-commerce Directive, have enormous potential to deliver benefits to consumers and service providers, the new Digital Services Act should also address the challenges they present in terms of ensuring non-discrimination, transparency and explainability of algorithms, as well as liability; points out the need to ensure that
algorithms and to assess associated risks,
to use high quality and unbiased datasets,
as well as to help individuals acquire
access to diverse content, opinions, high
quality products and services;

AI services used in high-risk sectors such
as healthcare and transport are marked
by a high standard of security,
transparency and data protection by
introducing risk assessment and
screening systems;

Amendment 278
Martin Schirdewan, Emmanuel Maurel, Stelios Kouloglou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
(17a) Considers it necessary to end the
“attention-seeking” profiling business
model of digital markets, where
algorithms priorities controversial content
and thus contribute to its spread online;
stresses thus, that users should have more
control on how rankings are presented,
e.g. by giving them the choice to arrange
them alternatively;

Amendment 279
Geoffroy Didier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment
17a. Believes that AI technologies have
a wide range of specific applications and
challenges; supports the assessment of AI
technologies by a sectoral approach
rather than a general approach, taking
into account the value of human
intervention and the scale of data
provision;
considers, however, that it is appropriate to develop an ethics of AI in order to protect individuals against potential abuses without hampering innovation;

Amendment 280
Brando Benifei, Maria Grapini, Andreas Schieder, Sylvie Guillaume, Adriana Maldonado López, Clara Aguilera, Marc Angel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

17a. Deems necessary to ensure an approach based on social dialogue and effective information and consultation of workers in introducing and monitoring AI technologies and solutions, in particular with regard to the use of algorithms; the ‘human in command principle’ must be respected, inter alia, to prevent the rise of health and safety risks, alienating tasks, discrimination, undue surveillance, and abuses in management and HR processes;

Amendment 281
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

17a. Recalls that the protection of personal data subject to automated decision-making processes is already covered, among others, by the General Data Protection Regulation and none of
the proposals should seek to repeat or amend such measures;

Or. en

Amendment 282
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

17b. Underlines that algorithms can be protected as trade secrets within the meaning of the Directive 2016/943; stresses that any supervision of such algorithms, where needed, must be carried out by the national regulatory authority of the country of origin, on a case by case basis, only when a Member State has reason to believe that it has algorithmic bias, and be subject to clear confidentiality rules;

Or. en

Amendment 283
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

17c. Believes that the focus of the Commission should be on potential bias within datasets or in the output, rather than on the algorithms themselves;

Or. en
18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed in an understandable and easily accessible way and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as human intervention and consumers should have the right to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems; considers that the set of rights of consumers should be expanded to better protect them in the digital world, in particular the right to accountability and control and the right to fairness which should be considered in order to foster the necessary trust of consumers in AI applications;

Amendment 285
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Maria da Graça Carvalho, Pascal Arimont, Marion Walsmann, Kris Peeters, Romana Tomc, Andreas Schwab

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems;
systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

systems and other innovative digital services or applications; considers it essential that automatic decision-making systems do not generate unfairly biased outputs for consumers in the single market; believes that it should be always possible for consumers to be properly informed about interacting with automated decision-making, and about how to reach a human with decision-making powers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Or. en

Amendment 286
Jean-Lin Lacapelle, Virginie Joron

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Amendment

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems, both from the entities concerned and national courts, on the model of the mechanism described in Article 17, paragraph 9, of the Copyright Directive.
Amendment 287
Eugen Jurzyca, Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Amendment
18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it is and it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems; believes that a decision issued via automated decision-making should be a subject of a remedy which is made out of an automated system, i.e. by human assessment;

Amendment 288
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18
Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that **consumers should** be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for **consumers** to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Amendment

18. Considers that **users have the right** to be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; **further considers that users should be informed when a service is personalised to its users and whether the personalisation can be switched off or otherwise limited;** believes that it should be possible for **users** to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Or. en

Amendment 289
Edina Tóth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Amendment

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems; **notes that the Parliament’s Resolution on automated-decision making emphasized** that, **ultima ratio, humans shall remain in control;**
Resolution on Automated decision-making processes: Ensuring consumer protection, and free movement of goods and services (2019/2915(RSP)).

Amendment 290
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Amendment

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed in a timely, impartial, easily-readable, standardised and accessible manner and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems, in particular as regards the right to an effective remedy, and other digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to meaningfully contest, request checks and corrections of automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Amendment 291
Evelyne Gebhardt, Monika Beňová, Biljana Borzan, Andreas Schieder, Marc Angel, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that consumers should be

Amendment

18. Considers that consumers should be
properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems; ensures that the burden of proof should not lie on the consumer;

Amendment 292
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Amendment 293
Dan-Ştefan Motreanu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible, in situations where it is proportionate and relevant, for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;
Motion for a resolution

18. Considers that consumers should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for consumers to request checks and corrections of possible mistakes resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Amendment

18. Considers that users should be properly informed and their rights should be effectively guaranteed when they interact with automated decision-making systems and other innovative digital services or applications; believes that it should be possible for business and individual users to request transparency, checks and corrections of possible mistakes or biases resulting from automated decisions, as well as to seek redress for any damage related to the use of automated decision-making systems;

Or. en

Amendment 294
Adam Bielan, Beata Mazurek, Eugen Jurzyca, Andżelika Anna Możdżanowska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

18a. Notes that automated content moderation tools are incapable of effectively understanding the subtlety of context and meaning in human communication, which is necessary to determine whether assessed content may be considered to violate the law or terms of service; stresses therefore that the use of such tools should not be mandated by law;

Amendment

18a. Notes that automated content moderation tools are incapable of effectively understanding the subtlety of context and meaning in human communication, which is necessary to determine whether assessed content may be considered to violate the law or terms of service; stresses therefore that the use of such tools should not be mandated by law;

Or. en

Amendment 295
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Considers that lack of clarity regarding the use of ‘chatbots’ may cause distress to certain particularly vulnerable groups who are led to believe that they are communicating with a human being, especially if bots use colloquial expressions in order to generate engagement and empathy;

Or. it

Amendment 296
Pablo Arias Echeverría, Róža Thun und Hohenstein, Tomislav Sokol, Andrey Kovatchev, Edina Tóth, Pascal Arimont, Kris Peeters, Romana Tomc, Andreas Schwab

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Underlines the importance of the use of data by digital platforms and that the accumulation of vast amounts of data by large technological enterprises creates imbalances in bargaining power and, thus, leads to the distortion of competition in the Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 297
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Stresses that digital services should not exclusively use automated decision-making systems for consumer
Amendment 298
Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Andrey Kovatchev, Maria da Graça Carvalho, Tomislav Sokol, Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5

Motion for a resolution
Tackling Illegal Content Online

Amendment
Tackling Illegal and Harmful Content Online

Amendment 299
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5

Motion for a resolution
Tackling Illegal Content Online

Amendment
Tackling Illegal Activities Online

Amendment 300
Maria Grapini, Clara Aguilera, Adriana Maldonado López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution
19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and

Amendment
19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and
confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

therefore Member States must lay down the sanctions applicable to those responsible for disseminating illegal content online, and using unfair commercial practices;

Amendment 301
Kris Peeters

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

Amendment

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services; deems it therefore necessary that illegal content is removed swiftly and consistently;

Amendment 302
Monika Beňová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19
Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

Amendment

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, especially when it is related to cross-border services, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

O. en

Amendment 303
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoș, Andrus Ansip, Liesje Schreinemacher, Sandro Gozi, Stéphanie Yon-Courtin, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

Amendment

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online, such as incitement to terrorism, illegal hate speech, or child sexual abuse material, as well as infringements of intellectual property rights and consumer protection online undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment and harms healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market;

O. en

Amendment 304
Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Andrey Kovatchev, Maria da Graça Carvalho, Tomislav Sokol, Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19
Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

Amendment

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal and harmful content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

Or. en

Amendment 305
Alexandra Geese
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group
Marcel Kolaja

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

Amendment

19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal activities online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

Or. en

Amendment 306
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19
19. Stresses that the existence and spread of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

Amendment

19. Stresses that the existence of illegal content online is a severe threat that undermines citizens' trust and confidence in the digital environment, and which also harms the economic development of healthy platform ecosystems in the Digital Single Market and severely hampers the development of legitimate markets for digital services;

Or. en

Amendment 307
Eugen Jurzyca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

19a. Is of the view that in order for consumers to protect their rights and for businesses to efficiently moderate the content uploaded by users, illegal content should be easily distinguishable from harmful content or misinformation online; asks the Commission to provide a understandable definition of illegal information and activities including their exhaustive list and to provide safeguards in order to avoid gold-plating of this rules by the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 308
Jordi Cañas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)
Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

19a. Acknowledges that it would be very difficult to arrive at a single, legally relevant and future-proof definition of online platforms at EU level, owing to factors such as the great variety of types of existing online business models and their areas of activity; notes that in any case one single EU definition would not help the EU succeed in the platform economy, considering the fast-changing environment of the digital world;

Or. en

Amendment 309
Andreas Schieder

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

19a. Is of the opinion that platforms and digital service providers must be held liable for illegal actions or dissemination of illegal content (e.g. social housing offers on short term rental platforms) and believes that discriminatory decisions of platforms can be made only according to local laws or court decisions; stresses that this also requires the provision of and access to utilizable data;

Or. en

Amendment 310
Dita Charanzová, Ivars Ijabs, Vlad-Marius Botoş, Andrus Ansip, Karen Melchior, Liesje Schreinemacher, Svenja Hahn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)
19a. Believes that allowing new innovative business models to flourish and strengthening the Digital Single Market by removing barriers to the free movement of digital content, barriers which create national fragmented markets and a demand for illegal content, have been proven to work in the past, especially in relation to the infringements of intellectual property rights;

Or. en

Amendment 311
Marco Campomenosi, Antonio Maria Rinaldi, Isabella Tovaglieri, Markus Buchheit, Jean-Lin Lacapelle
on behalf of the ID Group
Alessandra Basso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

19a. Stresses that child pornography is a widespread and steadily growing phenomenon that cannot go unnoticed and must be fought vigorously by common action;

Or. en