



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

Committee on Industry, Research and Energy

2014/2228(INI)

5.3.2015

AMENDMENTS 1 - 215

Draft opinion
Jerzy Buzek
(PE546.749v02-00)

Recommendations to the European Commission on the negotiations for the
Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP)
(2014/2228(INI))

AM\1052919EN.doc

PE546.750v02-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- whereas the European Parliament has called for urgent global action to limit global warming below 2 °C^{1 a}, meaning that a large quantity of fossil fuels, especially those with high greenhouse gas intensity, will have to remain underground;

^{1 a} EP Position on the COP 20 in Lima, Peru, 2014

(This is a recital (i.e. to be placed BEFORE 1.))

Or. en

Amendment 2
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- whereas a lifting of the US export restrictions on oil and gas would lead to higher prices in the US through the EU-US price differential and is therefore highly unlikely;

(This is a recital, i.e. to be placed BEFORE 1.)

Or. en

Amendment 3
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- whereas the quantitative difference in authorised US fuel exports with and without free trade clause is a mere 0, 12 bcm per day, a quantity - even if shipped to the EU - unlikely to have a significant impact on supply security;^{1 b}

^{1 b} TTIP Impacts on European Energy Markets and Manufacturing Industries - Study for the ITRE Committee p.29, [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2015/536316/IPOL_STU\(2015\)536316_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2015/536316/IPOL_STU(2015)536316_EN.pdf)

(This is a recital, i.e. to be placed BEFORE I.)

Or. en

Amendment 4
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- whereas higher LNG prices are paid in Asian markets, resulting in the fact that, in case infrastructure facilities in the US would be operational in the future (which are however in majority placed at the Pacific coast to facilitate export to Asia) and restrictions would be removed,

it would be more attractive to export to Asian markets, unless EU prices are significantly increased with the related impacts for consumers this would entail;

Or. en

(This is a recital, i.e. to be placed BEFORE 1.)

Amendment 5

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Recital a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- whereas the US has not signed the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change;

(This is a recital (i.e. to be placed BEFORE 1.))

Or. en

Amendment 6

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Recital a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- whereas the US has not adopted relevant UN Global Technical Regulation Standards with respect to test cycles, CO² emissions, safety and tyres of motor vehicles;

(This is a recital (i.e. to be placed

BEFORE 1.))

Or. en

Amendment 7

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Recital a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- whereas the US has not ratified 175 out of 189 ILO conventions on international labour standards;

(This is a recital (i.e. to be placed BEFORE 1.))

Or. en

Amendment 8

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Recital a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- whereas the US has not signed the Energy Charta Treaty;

(This is a recital (i.e. to be placed BEFORE 1.))

Or. en

Amendment 9

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- whereas the US has not signed the Basel Convention on Hazardous Waste Disposal, the 1992 Convention on Biological Diversity, the 2000 Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, and the 2001 Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants;

(This is a recital, i.e. to be placed BEFORE 1.)

Or. en

Amendment 10
David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1. Calls on the Commission to abandon the negotiations for the conclusion of the TTIP, given that a large number of studies, including those commissioned by Parliament, have shown that the agreement will not enhance energy security (not least owing to the export restrictions still in place), will not help in the reindustrialisation of Europe, and will not benefit European industry as a whole but will instead provide incentives for research and development activities to be relocated to the United States;

Or. it

Amendment 11
Marlene Mizzi

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1. Stresses that the main outcome of the negotiations should lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, high-standard, free-trade and investment agreement, that respects and promotes European values, stimulates sustainable growth and the creation of high-quality jobs and contributes to the well-being of all European citizens, by placing their interest at the heart of the TTIP trade deal;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to ***maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;***

1. Calls on the Commission to ***ensure that any potential agreement would not lead to higher rates of extraction of fossil fuels and/or higher energy prices for citizen for the sake of prematurely and supposedly perceived trade or energy security benefits; points to the fact that alternative, cost-effective, domestic, job creating and climate protecting measures are available, namely the reduction of energy demand through energy efficiency and saving measures and the accelerated development of domestic renewable energy sources;***

Or. en

Amendment 13
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. ***Welcomes the initiative to negotiate a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) between the EU and the US; notes that TTIP aims at eliminating tariffs, duties and quotas, but also at regulatory cooperation; believes that TTIP will unlock the hidden potential of all European industry sectors, stimulating growth and job creation; calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;***

Or. en

Amendment 14
Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Philippe De Backer, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which ***could significantly increase*** the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP ***with the aim to create a competitive, transparent and non-discriminatory market, which ensures enhanced energy supply security for the EU, encourages trade and competitiveness and provides for affordable energy prices, while upholding existing high environmental standards;***

Or. en

Amendment 15
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security *and urges the Commission to integrate in this chapter clear guarantees for not undermining the EU's existing environmental policy framework and acquis and for not restricting the EU's ability to protect its environment, fight climate change and use public policy to differentiate between different energy source due to their environmental impacts or carbon content;*

Or. en

Amendment 16
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to *maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;*

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to *halt the TTIP negotiations immediately, as their only outcome will be to make Europe an economic, financial and political vassal of the USA; concluding this agreement would mean an irreversible loss of economic and political sovereignty for the states of Europe;*

Or. fr

Amendment 17
Csaba Molnár

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security ***and diversification of energy supply, particularly with reference to the Central and Eastern European region, where dependence on imported energy has traditionally been greatest;***

Or. hu

Amendment 18
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security; ***calls for an energy chapter to be tailored to the five main market set-ups: coal, oil, gas, uranium and renewables;***

Or. de

Amendment 19
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to ***maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;***

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to ***drop the disastrous TTIP project, which the peoples of Europe do not want because they sense that it could threaten their vital interests;***

Or. fr

Amendment 20
Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to ***maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;***

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to ***drop the disastrous TTIP project, which the peoples of Europe do not want because they sense that it could threaten their vital interests;***

Or. fr

Amendment 21
Jeppe Kofod

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security; ***highlights in this regard the importance of renewable energy sources and energy efficiency in increasing***

energy security;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security; *ensuring that such a chapter is fully compatible with the EU's current energy legislation, including climate change objectives.*

Or. en

Amendment 23

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security, *while nevertheless guaranteeing each party's right to regulate the exploitation of energy sources;*

Or. es

Amendment 24
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security **by decreasing dependence on dominant suppliers and single points of transit**;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Antonio Tajani, Salvatore Cicu, Massimiliano Salini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP **which** could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter, **whose scope would include industrial raw materials**, in the TTIP, **as this** could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Or. it

Amendment 26
Miloslav Ransdorf, Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. ***Calls on the*** Commission to maintain

Amendment

1. ***Expresses deep concern on***

the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP *which could significantly increase the EU's energy security*;

Commission's *intention* to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP.

Or. en

Amendment 27
David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission *to maintain the objective of including* a specific energy chapter in the TTIP *which could significantly increase the EU's energy security*;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission *not to include* a specific energy chapter in the TTIP;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Zigmantas Balčytis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to maintain the objective of including a specific energy chapter in the TTIP which could significantly increase the EU's energy security *by ensuring a supply of energy to EU markets from alternative sources*;

Or. It

Amendment 29
Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Calls on the Commission to guarantee a specific chapter on sustainable development that will safeguard the ratification and application of and compliance with the basic conventions of the International Labour Organisation and basic international environmental agreements, including rules on corporate social responsibility and clear civil society involvement, whilst at the same time ensuring that compliance with these provisions is also guaranteed in the remaining chapters of the agreement;

Or. es

Amendment 30
Herbert Reul, Hermann Winkler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) is of the opinion that strengthening the euro-transatlantic partnership through the TTIP is entirely in keeping with the EU's efforts to achieve more growth and jobs, inter alia through increased transatlantic trade and market access for SMEs; is furthermore of the opinion that, by proactively setting standards under the TTIP, the EU can be a guide for other economic powers;

Or. de

Amendment 31
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Supports the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the European Commission's transparency initiative which will now have to be translated into meaningful practical results;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Underlines the importance of a transatlantic market for the revival and vitalization of European industry, creating the world's biggest market of the two most advanced economies in the world;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Reminds that the EU and the US markets are the world's leading knowledge economies, with a number of globally leading companies operating on

both side of the Atlantic that are dominating the foreign direct investments in research and science in both directions, could increase the global development of knowledge, research and science in industry and more efficient production;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Reminds that the European Parliament will have to give its consent to this TTIP agreement, without which it cannot enter into force;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Calls for the elimination of all tariffs for industry and goods, the full opening up for investments in both directions and for a transatlantic market including industry, energy, ICT, digital economy with a broad cooperation on research and science;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1d. Underlines that a transatlantic market, with the world's leading economies will make it possible to reassert standards in all areas, thus having a global impact, creating not only better conditions for competition but also better development for global trade and prosperity, giving European companies better access to the global economy, and giving European consumers access to the best possible goods and services;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 38
David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 39

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

2. Notes that in all previous examples of agreements between the USA and the European Union, from the SWIFT agreement to the PNR agreement, the end result has been an imbalanced text giving extraterritorial application to US law; the cases that have been taken against European companies such as BNP Paribas and Alstom have illustrated the US conception of the rule of law and of relations with Europe – namely that US law should apply unilaterally and extraterritorially with no scope for negotiation except about how to bow to the interests of the USA and its multinationals;

Or. fr

Amendment 40

Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil; ***points out to the Commission in this connection that overregulating trade in fuels from unconventional sources, e.g. on the basis of the FQD, will undermine free trade in fuels and be detrimental to European energy security; points in this connection to the increased imports of petrol and diesel from the US as a result of the TTIP;***

Or. de

Amendment 41

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ***ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;***

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ***ensures a stance in its negotiations that are not leading to offsetting health, environmental and other risks related to the exploitation of shale gas, tar sand or other energy or industrial resources and raw materials to the US citizen; insists that EU acquis, for example on environmental legislation, consumer and data protection, must be upheld for all imported products and services;***

Or. en

Amendment 42

Jeppe Kofod

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil, ***whilst keeping in mind that the European Council has committed itself to reducing domestic greenhouse gas emissions by at least 40 %, increasing the share of renewable energy to at least 27 % and increasing energy efficiency by at least 27 % by 2030, with a view to raising this target to 30 %;***

Or. en

Amendment 43
Csaba Molnár

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG ***and*** crude oil;

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG, crude oil, ***shale oil and shale gas, which may do much to reduce European energy prices, which, by world standards, are high;***

Or. hu

Amendment 44
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. ***Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;***

Amendment

2. ***Calls on the Commission to reduce the trade barriers with respect to all energy sources, including LNG, crude oil, renewables and green technology; believes that an ambitious agreement will help the EU reaching the climate and energy targets;***

Or. en

Amendment 45

Pavel Telička, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Cora van Nieuwenhuizen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG ***and crude oil;***

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG, ***crude oil and hard coal through eliminating export restrictions, facilitating market access and removing barriers to Foreign Direct Investment;***

Or. en

Amendment 46

Seán Kelly

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including ***LNG and crude oil;***

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including ***crude oil, and paying particular attention to ensuring the removal of the licensing and administrative burdens currently hindering US LNG exports to***

the EU.

Or. en

Amendment 47
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission **ensure** a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission **consider** a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil **provided that the EU can retain the right to categorize fuels according to their lifecycle CO2 impact;**

Or. en

Amendment 48
Krišjānis Kariņš

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil **to diversify and establish reliable energy supply sources;**

Or. en

Amendment 49
Bendt Bendtsen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

PE546.750v02-00

24/103

AM\1052919EN.doc

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free ***energy mix and free*** trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Nadine Morano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ensure a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Amendment

2. *(Does not affect the English version)*

Or. fr

Amendment 51
Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission ***ensure*** a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission ***should not adopt*** a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Or. fr

Amendment 52
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Requests that the Commission **ensure** a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Amendment

2. Requests that the Commission **should not adopt** a policy of free trade with respect to fuels, including LNG and crude oil;

Or. fr

Amendment 53
Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Points out that while opting for American LNG may be a means of diversifying our gas supplies, it will not help us to make the energy transition and it will entail substantial investment on this side of the Atlantic (particularly in terminals); foresees that the price of the LNG in Europe, given the transformation and transportation costs, will bear no relation to that charged in the US; considers therefore that, in a context of competition for investment, this option must be the subject of democratic discussion;

Or. fr

Amendment 54
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission in this connection to take a more forceful line on the US' idiosyncratic approach to exports of indigenous energy commodities; points in this connection to the US practice of re-exporting, which is based on speculation for higher prices and does not bolster the transatlantic market;

Or. de

Amendment 55

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Objects to the imports of particularly high greenhouse gas intensive fuels such as LNG derived from shale gas and crude oil derived from tar sands, as this would jeopardize international climate commitments, put at odds European climate legislation and objectives, not be subject to the recommendations on unconventional fuels and infringe the Fuel Quality Directive;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that TTIP supports the use and promotion of green goods and services, thereby tapping into the considerable potential for environmental and economic gains offered by the transatlantic economy;

Or. es

Amendment 57

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Fredrick Federley, Morten Helveg Petersen, Kaja Kallas, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that TTIP will create a free trade zone for clean energy products and services by eliminating regulatory differences and tariffs in order to boost the transition to a sustainable, low-carbon economy.

Or. en

Amendment 58

Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Requests that the TTIP also support the use and promotion of green goods and services, thereby tapping into the considerable potential for environmental and economic gains the transatlantic economy offers;

Amendment 59
Jeppe Kofod

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Stresses that free trade in energy must not increase nor prolong European dependence on fossil fuels; reiterates that energy efficiency should be "the first fuel";

Or. en

Amendment 60
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Calls, in the interests of free trade, for the dismantling of indirect trade barriers, such as the US export ban on oil and natural gas and local content requirements on both sides, and a roadmap for the alignment of fuel quality standards;

Or. de

Amendment 61
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. Calls on the Commission, in the interests of legal certainty, predictability and regulatory stability, to take all measures to ensure that the European Economic Area remains a stable export market for hydrocarbons from the US;

Or. de

Amendment 62

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 63

David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 64

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on *transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources*;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on *an agreement to end fossil fuel subsidies in line with the G20 commitments to phase out fossil fuel subsidies, specifically also on fuel tax exemptions for commercial aviation, and subsidies through export credit agencies and international financial institutions*;

Or. en

Amendment 65

Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources; *Furthermore, calls on the Commission to look beyond simply lifting export limitations, to explore ways of cooperating with large scale US energy research and development projects, such as ARPA-E, to help increase energy innovation*;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Calls on*** the Commission ***to*** work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. ***Reminds*** the Commission ***that*** work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources ***cannot in any way impair the right of Member States and the EU to set their own standards and regulations, especially with regard to the development of renewables and more efficient energy use;***

Or. en

Amendment 67
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources; ***points in this regard to Europe's and the US' complementary expertise, which, through synergies, will make it possible to bolster the transatlantic energy market and to maximise the use of open public tendering for firms from both economic areas;***

Or. de

Amendment 68
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations *that define the principles of public support for different energy sources*;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation *and/or mutual recognition* of standards and regulations *for all industry sectors and energy sources through the creation of a Regulatory Cooperation Council, strengthening European companies' competitiveness on the global market*;

Or. en

Amendment 69
Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources *without being to the detriment of the EU's or members states' policies to promote renewables, energy efficiency or other low-emission forms of energy*;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources, ***and to ensure that the respective standards of environmental protection and industrial emissions in the EU and in the US will not be undermined;***

Or. en

Amendment 71
Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to ***work on*** transatlantic ***harmonisation*** of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to ***contribute to*** transatlantic ***convergence*** of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources, ***so as to contain the risk of any distortion of competition without undermining or diluting the European regulatory framework or the EU climate targets;***

Or. fr

Amendment 72
Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to ***work on transatlantic harmonisation of*** standards and regulations that define the principles of

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to ***maintain national and, under the principle of subsidiarity, European*** standards and

public support for different energy sources;

regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources; ***calls on the Commission not to give way to US demands;***

Or. fr

Amendment 73
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to ***work on transatlantic harmonisation of*** standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to ***maintain national and, under the principle of subsidiarity, European*** standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources; ***calls on the Commission not to give way to US demands;***

Or. fr

Amendment 74
Flavio Zanonato, Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources; ***reiterates that protection against unfair competition must a guiding principle for the negotiations;***

Or. it

Amendment 75
Jerzy Buzek

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for *special features of* different energy sources *such as renewables or cogeneration. This refers for example to the definition of biomass.*

Or. en

Amendment 76
Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on transatlantic harmonisation of standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources *and/or in environment and energy sectors as such;*

Or. en

Amendment 77
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to work on

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to work on

transatlantic harmonisation *of* standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

transatlantic harmonisation *and convergence of high mutual* standards and regulations that define the principles of public support for different energy sources;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Pablo Echenique

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Urges the Commission to improve well-being as well as to protect and promote public health, to ensure social justice and fulfilling of all human rights while stop exploiting world's resources in accordance with the objective of sustainability, seeking both to protect and to preserve the environment.

Or. en

Amendment 79
Miapetra Kumpula-Natri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Reminds that TTIP should benefit the development of cleaner technology, energy efficiency as well as green and sustainable energy-use by setting up a predictable rule-based environment for the businesses in the US and the EU.

Or. en

Amendment 80
Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Calls on the EU and the US competent authorities to ensure regulatory convergence for the approval of medicines to avoid unnecessary duplications and to work towards single development plans, while guaranteeing EU standards;

Or. en

Amendment 81
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Calls on the Commission to exclude issues related to nuclear energy in its negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Calls on the Commission to insist on comprehensive certification for all biofuels in its negotiations according to

ambitious sustainability criteria for biofuels, fully addressing indirect land use change, upstream emissions, social issues and links to the RED, FQD and RSF2 regulations and with a view to prohibit import of energy and food crops based biofuels;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Pablo Echenique

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Urges the Commission to ensure the legal right for all EU Member States, the European Union and the United States to legislate in the public interests (consumer safety, public health, social rights, environment) and therefore Member States' and the EU's right to legislate in the public interests should be stated in the preamble and every chapter of the TTIP.

Or. en

Amendment 84
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with

deleted

appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; ***calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;***

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 86
Henna Virkkunen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. ***Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest***

deleted

possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Miapetra Kumpula-Natri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO2 emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

deleted

Or. fi

Amendment 88
Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO2 emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

*4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU **not only** in energy prices **and in terms of access to raw materials** but also in per capita CO2 emissions; **considers that the TTIP is either a ‘new generation’ trade agreement concerned with non-tariff factors, in which case the Commission should ensure that it reflects the best possible deal in terms of social and environmental regulation, so that fair competition will***

result, or else it is a ‘traditional’ agreement concerned with reducing customs duties, in which case the Commission ought to ensure the retention of trade adjustment mechanisms (including tariff-based mechanisms) that reflect the social and environmental disparities between our respective systems;

Or. fr

Amendment 89
Nadine Morano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures *maintaining current* tariff rates *over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP*;

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in *the intensity of their respective efforts to combat climate change and curb* per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, *for as long as there is no harmonisation of these efforts*, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate *protection* measures *so that competition is not distorted when the TTIP agreement comes into force*; *calls, in particular, for the tariff rates applicable to US-made products to be maintained for an indefinite period at the level they are at when the TTIP agreement comes into force*;

Or. fr

Amendment 90
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, **therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;**

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices, **that are, according to Commission analysis mainly linked to national tax policies for specific domestic reasons chosen by the respective Member States^{1 c}**; but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, **and also its transatlantic counterparts, to do away with pork-barrel politics in their negotiations and focus on a common welfare approach based on concepts such as sustainability, the precautionary principle, the internalization of external costs and responsibility towards future generations;**

^{1 c} *Subsidies and costs of EU energy*
https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/ECOFYS%202014%20Subsidies%20and%20costs%20of%20EU%20energy_11_Nov.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 91
Jeppe Kofod

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the **huge differentials** between the USA and the EU in energy prices **but also** in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to **provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest**

Amendment

4. Points to the **differences** between the USA and the EU in energy prices **and** in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to **ensure that the TTIP does not lead to carbon leakage; believes that both US and EU companies should be assisted in transitioning towards a green growth business model**

possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

based on resource efficiency and energy efficiency and that the TTIP should support such a transition;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to *provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;*

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to *abstain from negotiations lowering regulatory standards in Europe or favouring environmental dumping;*

Or. en

Amendment 93
David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions *and their costs*; calls on the Commission, therefore, *to ensure a compensation mechanism for levelling playing field in terms of environmental standards in order* to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with

appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Herbert Reul

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to **provide** energy-intensive sectors in the EU, **including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining** current tariff rates **over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP**;

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to **take appropriate measures** for energy-intensive sectors in the EU **so that, for a number of sensitive products, there is a transitional period for discontinuing** current tariff rates;

Or. de

Amendment 95
Bendt Bendtsen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the **huge** differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures **maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP**;

Amendment

4. Points to the differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, **where appropriate** to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures **without distorting the markets**;

Amendment 96
Flavio Zanonato, Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP; ***calls on the Commission to take due account of the need to promote the manufacturing industry as a driving force for the reindustrialisation of Europe;***

Or. it

Amendment 97
Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period ***after the entry into force of the TTIP;***

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period ***if the TTIP comes into force; points out that the USA, concerned primarily with***

*the relentless pursuit of its own interests,
has refused to ratify the Kyoto Protocol;*

Or. fr

Amendment 98
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period *after the entry into force of the TTIP;*

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period *if the TTIP comes into force; points out that the USA, concerned primarily with the relentless pursuit of its own interests, has refused to ratify the Kyoto Protocol;*

Or. fr

Amendment 99
Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, *including the chemicals industry*, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates *over the longest possible period*

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates *for a period of at least five years* after the entry into force of the TTIP

after the entry into force of the TTIP;

with a mandatory review clause;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Antonio Tajani, Salvatore Cicu, Massimiliano Salini

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry *and the industrial raw materials sector*, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Or. it

Amendment 101

Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer, Cora van Nieuwenhuizen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Amendment

4. Points to the huge differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive *and carbon-leakage* sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Amendment 102
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the **huge** differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Amendment

4. Points to the **current** differentials between the USA and the EU in energy prices but also in per capita CO₂ emissions; calls on the Commission, therefore, to provide energy-intensive sectors in the EU, including the chemicals **and steel** industry, with appropriate measures maintaining current tariff rates over the longest possible period after the entry into force of the TTIP;

Amendment 103
Bendt Bendtsen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Calls on the Commission to include so-called "green services," such as construction, installations, repairs and management of environmental goods, in the ongoing negotiations with our transatlantic partners; notes that the European Union is a world leader in the import and export of green goods and services, yet many barriers remain for the European green service providers; notes that the sector has considerable economic potential for the European Union;

Amendment 104

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Reminds the Commission of the objective to reduce EU greenhouse gas emissions by 80-95% by 2050 ^{1 d} and therefore insists that ambitious and binding energy efficiency measures as well as the promotion of renewables are the economically and environmentally most sustainable options to achieve this goal;

^{1 d} European Council Conclusions March 2011

Amendment 105

Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Underlines the importance of a transatlantic energy market providing a more diversified supply, reducing the dependency on Russian oil and gas, and facilitating not only lower energy prices but also higher standards and reduced CO2 emissions,

Amendment 106
Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Calls on the Commission to include a bilateral safeguard clause protecting energy-intensive industries, including the chemical industry, which would prevent an uncontrolled raise in EU imports of their products;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that account is taken of the needs of SMEs in all relevant TTIP negotiations, in line with the ‘think small first’ principle, where appropriate through the introduction of new arrangements to foster SME participation in the process;

Or. it

Amendment 108
Edouard Martin, Pervenche Berès

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Points out that this agreement must not call into question the collective preference of any country with regard to the prohibition of fracking in accordance with application of the precautionary principle;

Or. fr

Amendment 109
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Calls on the Commission, in addition, to expand carbon leakage measures so that they are tailored to the regulatory situation under the TTIP;

Or. de

Amendment 110
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Calls on the Commission not to undermine the EU standardisation process, for example in the field of energy labelling policies and energy efficiency measures; Considers the opportunities to better converge the measurement standards on both sides of the Atlantic in order to ease the verification of performances by industry and to enhance

market surveillance cooperation between EU and US economies and calls for the level of performance to be achieved to be based on the most ambitious set of measures in relation with each constituency, to reflect their specific consumption patterns;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Calls on the Commission to publish the findings of the online survey, which closed on 15 January 2015, on the impact on European SMEs of US trade barriers, which can hamper EU businesses by making it difficult and/or very costly and complicated for foreign suppliers to meet the requirements for gaining access to the US market;

Or. it

Amendment 112
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Calls, with a view to increased energy security, for an emergency clause to be incorporated into the agreement which allow US exports to be fallen back on, in times of energy shortages, by suspending the application and licensing procedure

for US firms;

Or. de

Amendment 113
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4c. Calls, in the light of the very different developments on spot markets, for reciprocal alignment of price movements by eliminating restrictions;

Or. de

Amendment 114
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4c. Highlights the process and standards elaborated under the EU energy labelling and Eco-Design Directives, which should not be compromised on;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4d. Objects any regulatory cooperation body and underlines the sovereignty of the respective national and EU bodies for regulatory processes, including the European Parliament, especially regarding policy objectives, impact assessments and democratic decision making processes, with its provisions on transparency and participation of societal stakeholders;

Or. en

Amendment 116

Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4d. Calls for an energy chapter to take account of possible technology exports to the US in the area of renewables;

Or. de

Amendment 117

Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4e. Calls for a modus operandi which, within both economic areas' legal systems, adequately protects states and investments in the energy field, as regards ISDS, and is sufficiently flexible to allow for the specific features of the respective

standards;

Or. de

Amendment 118

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations;

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 119

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations *e.g.* by means of impact assessments ***or*** targeted public consultations;

Amendment

5. Recalls that only 13% of SMEs are active outside the EU, while 25% are active exclusively within the EU internal market; points to the negative impact that any possible TTIP agreement is likely to have on non-export oriented SMEs; Demands the Commission to ***therefore*** ensure that the priorities and concerns of ***all types of*** SMEs, ***whether export oriented or not***, are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations by means of ***comprehensive, ex-ante*** impact assessments ***and*** targeted public consultations; ***calls on the Commission to***

ensure protection and enhancement of pro-SMEs policies and support schemes;

Or. en

Amendment 120

Marlene Mizzi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by *means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations*;

Amendment

5. Notes that TTIP should aim at opening the markets and providing new opportunities for EU companies especially for small and medium sized business; Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by *including a specific SME chapter to facilitate participation in transatlantic trade and reduce costs for SMEs by eliminating double certification requirements, creating a fast track custom procedures and establishing a one-stop shop information system for SMEs*;

Or. en

Amendment 121

David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of *SMEs* are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations *e.g.* by means of impact assessments *or* targeted public consultations;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of *SMEs and crafts* are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations by means of *thorough* impact assessments *and* targeted public consultations, *ensuring the widest*

participation of the relevant stakeholders in all 28 Member States; reminds the importance to take into account also the concerns of citizens, through consumers associations, NGOs, trade unions and other civil society organizations;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Herbert Reul, Hermann Winkler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations;

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that SMEs can benefit greatly from the TTIP, in particular through elimination of non-tariff trade barriers, smaller businesses being hit disproportionately hard by compliance costs; calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations;

Or. de

Amendment 123
Csaba Molnár

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public

consultations;

consultations; *draws attention to the uncertainties which exist in relation to the TTIP negotiations, and, in order to dispel them, calls on the Commission to make the negotiations as transparent as possible and to launch a European information campaign;*

Or. hu

Amendment 124
Eugen Freund

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations *and recommends the reduction of administrative burdens in compliance with the respective regulatory frameworks for the purpose of enabling mobility and a possible establishment of SMEs both in the United States of America and in the EU.*

Or. en

Amendment 125
Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP

negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations;

negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations, ***and emphasises at the same time that the TTIP is above all about facilitating commercial exchanges of goods and services between both sides of the Atlantic and enhancing investments on each side that could be highly beneficial for SMEs;***

Or. en

Amendment 126
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of ***citizens, consumers, workers and*** SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations ***and the involvement of European organisations representing SMEs;***

Or. it

Amendment 127
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public

Amendment

5. Points out that SMEs are most hardly hit by both tariffs and non-tariff barriers; calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations

consultations;

e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations;

Or. en

Amendment 128

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the priorities and concerns of SMEs are fully taken into account in the TTIP negotiations e.g. by means of impact assessments or targeted public consultations *in the individual Member States*;

Or. es

Amendment 129

Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Stresses that TTIP should contain ambitious and innovative provisions for Europe's 20 million SMEs. Given the disproportionate effect that tariffs, non-tariff barriers and technical barriers to trade have on SMEs, this should be one of the main objectives of the negotiations. Possible improvements should cover not only a reduction of tariffs but a simplification of customs procedures and potentially new mechanisms aimed at helping SMEs to exchange experience and best practices buying and selling on

the EU and US markets. In this regard plans for a specific chapter in the final agreement dealing solely with SMEs are welcomed.

Or. en

Amendment 130

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission to guarantee a specific chapter on SMEs whose objective should be to create new opportunities in the United States for European SMEs and to create mechanisms that will facilitate SME participation in transatlantic trade, in particular by means of a one-stop shop for SMEs;

Or. es

Amendment 131

Martina Werner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls for the country-of-destination principle to be incorporated so that, in the interests of consumers and SMEs, established standards concerning product safety, employees' rights, consumer protection, environmental protection, health and social welfare are maintained; points out that states' regulatory sovereignty and parliaments' legislative

functions must continue to be guaranteed;

Or. de

Amendment 132

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the economic, social and environmental impacts of any possible agreement are examined through impartial and independent ex-ante impact assessment with clear involvement of stakeholders and civil society;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer, Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Expects that the priorities and concerns of SMEs will be fully addressed in a specific SME chapter; urges that the needs of SMEs will be fully taken into account as concerns regulatory coherence;

Or. en

Amendment 134
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Reminds that a precondition for European global industrial champions to maintain and develop their leadership is strengthened by a transatlantic market, allowing European companies to make the most of global value chains;

Or. en

Amendment 135
Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – point 2 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(2) Furthermore, calls on the Commission to promote an establishment of a free of charge common information mechanism for SMEs to support their engagement in the transatlantic trade. Among other a hotline and a web portal should be considered, so that European SMEs would be getting similar kind of comfort and support as there is in the EU.

Or. en

Amendment 136
Martina Werner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. Points to the considerable importance of social partnership for sustainable economic growth; calls on the Commission, for that reason, to make US ratification of the core ILO labour standards an issue during the negotiations;

Or. de

Amendment 137

Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer, Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – point 3 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(3) Calls on the Commission to ensure user friendly rules of origin (ROO) that can be easily applied by EU exporters and to minimise unnecessary obstacles to trade and red-tape created by ROO, especially for SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 138

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new,

deleted

high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Or. fr

Amendment 139

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. *Requests that* the Commission *facilitate* more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Amendment

6. *Calls on* the Commission *to examine how* more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement *could be facilitated* as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors; *is against demands that would jeopardise establishment or continuation, in the US, the EU or in the Member States, of pro-SMEs policies and support schemes such as those improving access to public procurement of SMEs, green or regional procurement;*

Or. en

Amendment 140

Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new,

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU **Member State** firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of

high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors; *points out that the United States is determined to protect its public procurement markets, of which only 30% are currently open to foreign companies (on account of the 1933 Buy American Act), compared with 95% of European public procurement markets;*

Or. fr

Amendment 141
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU **Member State** firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors; *points out that the United States is determined to protect its public procurement markets, of which only 30% are currently open to foreign companies (on account of the 1933 Buy American Act), compared with 95% of European public procurement markets;*

Or. fr

Amendment 142
Csaba Molnár

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate

more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors; *calls on the Commission to ease access to markets in the United States for SMEs based in the EU and, for the purpose of communicating the results of the TTIP negotiations, to establish communication channels in order to provide better information to SMEs;*

Or. hu

Amendment 143
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. *Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;*

Amendment

6. *Calls on the Commission to exclude public procurement from TTIP negotiations;*

Or. en

Amendment 144
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement *at all levels of*

contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

government, not only through increased market access but through high quality disciplines and rules, going beyond what has already been agreed in the context of the Agreement on Government Procurement (GPA), as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 145

Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors. ***If this facilitation is to be meaningful, the US Government has to guarantee free access not only on federal level but on the level of individual states too.***

Or. en

Amendment 146

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate

more active participation of EU firms in US *public procurement* as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

more active participation of EU firms in *obtaining access to public contracts in the US, at both federal and state level, while respecting sustainability criteria for procurement on both sides*, as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Or. es

Amendment 147
Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors; *stresses that this possibility must not consequently undermine the capacity of Europeans to maintain their public services*;

Or. fr

Amendment 148
Jeppe Kofod

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in

US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors; ***underlines that also SMEs should be afforded access to public procurement tenders;***

Or. en

Amendment 149
Herbert Reul, Hermann Winkler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in *US* public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in public procurement in ***individual states of the US, and in their governmental subdivisions***, as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Or. de

Amendment 150
Antonio Tajani, Salvatore Cicu, Massimiliano Salini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Amendment

6. Requests that the Commission facilitate more active participation of EU firms in US public procurement ***on a basis of reciprocity***, as this can contribute to stimulating private-sector innovation and to the emergence of new, high-growth innovative companies and sectors;

Amendment 151

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Stresses that regulatory policy coordination cannot be taken as a pretext for lowering the level of ambition on issues of vital importance for EU citizens such as the safety and wellbeing of employees, or the protection of the environment; is against the promotion of a deregulation agenda with the excuse of reducing administrative and regulatory burdens and trade barriers for industry and SMEs; calls on the Commission not to lower its level of ambition with regard to EU public policy objectives and regulations in the field of environmental, social and health and safety standards and calls on the Commission to uphold, protect and strengthen them;

Or. en

Amendment 152

Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Reminds the Commission that while TTIP may have a positive impact on jobs and growth for the EU and US economies, this is by no means certain. The Commission should therefore work

with trade unions and employers to develop training which will allow the workforce to reskill in light of the labour market impact of TTIP. The Commission should make clear how the Globalisation Adjustment Fund will be used to support this process.

Or. en

Amendment 153
Bendt Bendtsen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Notes that different regulatory approaches in the European Union and the US are costly for industries on both sides of the Atlantic; believes that there are significant efficiency gains to be tapped by aligning these approaches while still allowing authorities in the European Union and in the Unites States to maintain and achieve high quality standards and safety for their citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Welcomes the high priority given by the Pharmaceutical Committee of the Commission to the objective of Mutual Recognition of GMP inspections through the establishment of the Mutual Reliance

Initiative; calls for the inclusion in the TTIP of an ambitious roadmap with key milestones to deliver on this priority and to avoid any other unnecessary regulatory duplications.

Or. en

Amendment 155
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Underlines the importance and the mutual advantages of TTIP both for the EU and the US citizens, that could lead to increasing cross-border scientific knowledge, reduction of non-scientifically justified duplications, more efficient use of resources, promotion of high quality standards in the health sector, while delivering high quality and affordable products;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer, Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Expects the Commission to address in the negotiations the issue of „buy American“, "Jones" and „domestic content" Act which in practice significantly handicap EU companies in access to the US market, especially in the

dredging and engineering sector.

Or. en

Amendment 157
Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Calls on the Commission, in the context of closer transatlantic relations, to use its trade defence instruments (anti-dumping and countervailing duties) as effectively as possible;

Or. fr

Amendment 158
Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Reminds the Commission that it is crucial for the Parties in TTIP to commit to the ratification and the full and effective implementation of the eight core conventions of the ILO. These labour rights must be enforceable through a monitoring process that has the full involvement of trade unions and is backed up with sanctions as the final penalty. TTIP should moreover be used to create a highest standards for labour rights in future trade agreements.

Or. en

Amendment 159

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Recalls that decisions on legal conflicts about fundamental rights may only be made by competent ordinary courts; is of the firm opinion that a possible TTIP agreement should not contain any investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) mechanism, as the given level of investment protection in the EU and in the US is fully sufficient to guarantee legal security;

Or. en

Amendment 160

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 161

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, *including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;*

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, *of the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security and that the negotiations should lead to alignment to the higher standards in e-commerce without impeding on the EU ICT standardisation and technical specifications creation processes, the intellectual property rights (IPR) legislative process and the protection of the cultural sector;*

Or. en

Amendment 162
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, *while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;*

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission *about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security in the area of ICT; calls on the Commission to exclude from the European market those firms who do not comply with EU standards, in particular in the fields of data protection and privacy;*

Or. en

Amendment 163
Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer, Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications *in the area of ICT*, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security. ***Encourages the Commission to work on seeking regulatory alignment in sectors that are of particular interest of the EU industry, such as automotive (final products and components), engineering (including highly sophisticated products) and chemical sectors. This should result, wherever appropriate, in the recognition of equivalence of existing regulations;***
(proposing to delete „in the area of ICT”, as this should apply en bloc)

Or. en

Amendment 164
David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, ***while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications*** in the area of ICT, ***about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;***

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission ***about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security*** in the area of ICT;

Amendment 165
Eugen Freund

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security; ***Suggests a better consideration, inside the TTIP agreement, of the potential of job creation in the Digital Agenda as an important area within the ICT, given that markets for a great number of SMEs and Start-ups with sustainable economic potential for the society can be developed.***

Amendment 166
Csaba Molnár

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security; ***observes that***

mutual transparency of standards and coordination of approaches to them will promote transatlantic cooperation;

Or. hu

Amendment 167

Martina Werner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security; *states that the key objective must be to establish a trustworthy environment for cloud computing and the Internet of Things;*

Or. de

Amendment 168

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. *Reminds* the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory *alignment* and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, *about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;*

Amendment

7. *Calls on* the Commission *to guarantee that high levels of protection are maintained in relation to health and safety at work, consumers and environmental legislation*, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory *cooperation* and mutual recognition, *based on a high common standard where this is desirable*, including

the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT;

Or. es

Amendment 169

Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety *and security*;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of *market access for telecommunications sector and* regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety , *security and Internet's openness, neutrality and independence*;

Or. en

Amendment 170

Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while *welcoming* the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while *remaining doubtful about* the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security, *for both producers and*

consumers;

Or. fr

Amendment 171

Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while **welcoming** the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while **remaining doubtful about** the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security, **for both producers and consumers;**

Or. fr

Amendment 172

Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of **maintaining high** levels of safety and security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of **keeping** levels of safety and security **high and of not lowering them;**

Or. de

Amendment 173

Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security ***and the importance of maintaining current EU standards;***

Or. en

Amendment 174

Edouard Martin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety ***and*** security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the potential benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety, security ***and personal data protection;***

Or. fr

Amendment 175
Bendt Bendtsen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the *potential* benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

Amendment

7. Reminds the Commission, while welcoming the benefits of regulatory alignment and mutual recognition, including the establishment of common principles in standards and technical specifications in the area of ICT, about the importance of maintaining high levels of safety and security;

Or. en

Amendment 176
Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the economic, social, labour and environmental impact of TTIP and its impact on consumers, gender equality and the EU's cultural diversity are examined through thorough impact assessments in the various Member States, with the clearly structured involvement of all stakeholders, in particular SMEs and civil society;

Or. es

Amendment 177
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission to consider drawing up rules, principles and new procedures for cooperation in standardisation processes; calls, in this connection, for the agreement to include a mutual undertaking to promote, adopt and implement common rules at international level;

Or. it

Amendment 178

Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Welcomes the statement of President Juncker that regulatory alignment should in no way touch upon the existing levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour, environmental, data protection legislation, Services of General Interest and cultural diversity that exists with the EU.

Or. en

Amendment 179

Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Considers TTIP an excellent opportunity to facilitate the creation of a truly transatlantic digital economy; calls

on the Commission to prioritise negotiating an agreement that promotes and allows the cross-border flow of data; points out that EU exports of digitally deliverable services represent 25 per cent of the value of EU exports;

Or. en

Amendment 180

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Asks the Commission to keep in mind that the consent of the European Parliament to a possible agreement could also be endangered as long as the blanket mass surveillance activities are not completely abandoned and an adequate solution is found for the data privacy rights of EU citizens, including administrative and judicial redress ^{1 e} ;

^{1 e} § 74 of the Parliament Resolution of 12 March 2014

Or. en

Amendment 181

Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Highlights to the Commission that regulatory cooperation must not be used

to undermine these standards. TTIP must exempt public services from the market access and investment protection chapters of TTIP so foreign investors cannot challenge Member States for introducing new regulation for public services.

Or. en

Amendment 182

Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Calls on the Commission to maintain a comprehensive and balanced approach on both tariff dismantling and regulatory harmonization. An unbalanced result in this respect would seriously hamper the competitiveness of European businesses.

Or. en

Amendment 183

Pavel Telička, Angelika Mlinar, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Philippe De Backer, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 – point 2 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(2) Calls on the Commission to ensure that TTIP will create a climate in which innovators are encouraged to invest in research, development and commercialisation of new technologies, including efficient and innovative energy and environment technologies. This should be achieved through facilitating

investments in innovation, reducing unjustified regulatory differences and unnecessary administrative burden and improve regulatory coordination in innovative sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 184
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7b. Calls for open competition and development of the digital economy, by nature global but with its main bases in EU and US, underlines that the digital economy must be central for the transatlantic market, with a leverage in the global economy and on opening up global markets further;

Or. en

Amendment 185
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

deleted

Amendment 186

Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno

on behalf of the Committee on Industry, Research and Energy

Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Amendment

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers; ***points out that the United States is determined to protect its public procurement markets, of which only 30% are currently open to foreign companies (on account of the 1933 Buy American Act), compared with 95% of European public procurement markets; doubts whether the United States is prepared to comply with the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers;***

Amendment 187

Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding

Amendment

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding

ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers; ***points out that the United States is determined to protect its public procurement markets, of which only 30% are currently open to foreign companies (on account of the 1933 Buy American Act), compared with 95% of European public procurement markets; doubts whether the United States is prepared to comply with the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers;***

Or. fr

Amendment 188

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the ***TTIP ensure*** a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Amendment

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services that it is of particular importance that the ***negotiations aim at ensuring*** a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers. ***In this regard, commitments in service sectors entailing a high degree of data flows - such as e-commerce, telecoms and financial services - should be discussed only once the ongoing negotiations on Safe Harbor***

and the Data Protection Umbrella Agreement are successfully concluded;

Or. en

Amendment 189
Jerzy Buzek

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding *ICT* services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect *the rules applicable to EU companies* when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Amendment

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding *information society services and telecommunications* services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect *and comply with EU legislation, human rights standards and consumers rights legislation, product safety legislation and standards for due process in the European Union* when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Or. en

Amendment 190
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, *that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an* obligation on US service providers

Amendment

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, *of the asymmetries in size between EU and US* service companies *and of the* obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in

to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Europe or to European customers.

Or. en

Amendment 191
Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, ***that it is of particular importance that*** the TTIP ***ensure*** a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission ***to ensure that,*** regarding ICT services, the TTIP ***establishes*** a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Or. de

Amendment 192
David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and ***with an*** obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Amendment

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and ***reminds that is of utmost importance that the TTIP guarantees the*** obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Amendment 193

Antonio Tajani, Salvatore Cicu, Massimiliano Salini

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Amendment

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market ***on a basis of reciprocity*** and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Or. it

Amendment 194

Krišjānis Kariņš

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Amendment

8. Reminds the Commission, regarding ICT services, that it is of particular importance that the TTIP ensure a level playing field with equal ***and transparent*** access for EU service companies to the US market and with an obligation on US service providers to respect the rules applicable to EU companies when providing services in Europe or to European customers.

Or. en

Amendment 195

Michel Reimon

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Stresses that negotiations with the United States on most IPR issues are not desirable from the EU point of view, as legal traditions and rules differ, such as on copyright or patent protection for instance, while the levels of protection are already well developed; recalls that trade secret protection, which is not considered as an IPR in the vast majority of the EU Member States, but is in the US, should not be discussed in the context of IPR; reminds the Commission that it is key for EU producers, both from an economic and a cultural standpoint, that there is full recognition and protection of geographical indications (GIs);

Or. en

Amendment 196

Edouard Martin, Pervenche Berès

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission and on the ECB to set up with their US counterparts a committee to monitor the euro/dollar exchange rate, given that the fluctuations in that rate have a much greater impact on many industrial and services sectors than the regulatory factors referred to above, and that the repercussions go well

beyond the transatlantic market in that the dollar zone is not restricted to the United States, but also incorporates many countries in Latin America and Asia, including China, which has maintained a steady dollar parity for many years;

Or. fr

Amendment 197
Miloslav Ransdorf, Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Regrets the lack of transparency in the Commission trade negotiations. Calls for a public and democratic debate with all relevant stakeholders, in particular trade unions and civil society representatives, ensuring adequate monitoring of the implications of the Commission's free trade agenda.

Or. en

Amendment 198
Adam Gierek

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission, with a view to preventing the wholesale relocation of EU manufacturing sectors and mass job losses in the Member States, to conduct a comparative analysis of the competitiveness of EU manufacturing sectors and their counterparts in the United States;

Amendment 199
Miloslav Ransdorf, Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Underlines that any FTA agreement must be conditional to the safeguarding of current levels of regulation labour, environmental and social rights protection and safeguards on public provision, including the right of States to adopt higher standards in the futures in this regards, insists that any form of ISDS be excluded from FTA negotiations, in order to maintain democratic control over said regulations.

Or. en

Amendment 200
Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Calls on the Commission to publish, in a broad range of easy to access formats, all possible negotiating texts and documents, including documents relating to any possible energy chapter, thereby providing maximum levels of transparency for European citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 201
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Considers a comprehensive chapter on investment, containing provisions on investment liberalisation and investment protection, essential to encourage and safeguard investments in the energy sector, including renewable energy and green technology;

Or. en

Amendment 202
Miapetra Kumpula-Natri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Requests the Commission to ensure that TTIP serves as a forum for diverging technical regulations, standards, testing (including laborious acceptance tests) and certification requirements that reduces unnecessary double work and costs while maintaining high standards.

Or. en

Amendment 203
Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission to remove the Investor-to-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) clause from the negotiating text; especially considering the strong opposition from EU Citizens, as expressed in the Commission's 2014 ISDS Public Consultation and the widespread abusive practice with regard to these clauses by corporate interests including energy producers;

Or. en

Amendment 204

Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Urges the Commission, even though it has not been elected, to listen to the people it claims to serve, rather than the multinationals to whose demands it continues to bow;

Or. fr

Amendment 205

Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 – point a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(a) urges the Commission, even though it has not been elected, to listen to the people it claims to serve, rather than the multinationals to whose demands it

continues to bow;

Or. fr

Amendment 206
Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Requests that the chapter on Intellectual property rights also provides for enhanced protection and recognition of European Geographical Indications.

Or. en

Amendment 207
David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission not to ratify the TTIP if it includes the ISDS (Investor-State Dispute Settlement) clause.

Or. it

Amendment 208
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Recalls the need for transparency in

the negotiations throughout the entire process; reminds the Commission of its obligation, according to TFEU Art 218.10 which the ECJ in a recent ruling has been confirmed as of statutory character, to keep Parliament fully informed on an immediate basis at all stages of the negotiations; urges the Commission to work towards an agreement with the US Administration regarding the access of all Parliamentarians to the consolidated negotiation texts; insists on access for the public to relevant negotiation documents from all parties, with the exception of those which are to be classified with clear justification on a case-by-case basis, in line with Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents ^{1f};

^{1f} OJ L 145, 31.05.2001, p.43

Or. en

Amendment 209
Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Ensure that TTIP includes a specific chapter on SME's and aims at creating new opportunities in the US for European SMEs, for instance by eliminating double certification requirements, by establishing a web-based information system about the different regulations, by introducing 'fast-track' procedures at the border or by eliminating specific tariff peaks that continue to exist; it should establish mechanisms for both sides to work

together to facilitate SMEs' participation in transatlantic trade, for instance through a common SME 'one-stop shop'

Or. en

Amendment 210
Miapetra Kumpula-Natri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Reminds that TTIP offers potential in strengthening high joint standards, better regulation, as well as the global trade and investment rules in a number of areas, including consumer safety, protection of the environment, labour markets, energy trade and investment.

Or. en

Amendment 211
Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Calls on the Commission to ensure that Europe's current standards of social, environmental, worker and consumer rights, as well as levels of public health, are not lowered, compromised, nor negatively impacted in any way by the implementation of any possible TTIP agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 212
Adam Gierek

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Draws attention to the differences in the way in which intellectual property rights, in particular patent rights, are applied in practice, and to the fact that this could give rise to large numbers of legal disputes, thus hampering the development of SMEs.

Or. pl

Amendment 213
Edouard Martin, Pervenche Berès

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Calls on the Commission and the Council to make arrangements, in parallel with the TTIP negotiations, for the proper external representation of the eurozone, in order to consolidate the benefits of the euro for European industry;

Or. fr

Amendment 214
Michel Reimon
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8c. Calls on the Commission to release to

the European public those documents that are accessible to both negotiation parties, in order to allow European citizens to be properly informed; further advocates the possibility to create processes for citizen to express their opinion and accommodate these during the negotiation process;

Or. en

Amendment 215

Theresa Griffin, Jude Kirton-Darling, Clare Moody

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8c. Calls on the Commission to all guarantee the validity of Geographic Indicators (G.Is) as part of the TTIP agreement, including non-agrarian products; reminds the Commission of the economically vital, higher value that G.I status brings;

Or. en