



2021/2012(INI)

7.7.2021

AMENDMENTS

1 - 285

Draft report
Morten Petersen
(PE693.604v01-00)

A European strategy for offshore renewable energy
(2021/2012(INI))

Amendment 1
Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution
Citation 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to Directive 2014/89/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 establishing a framework for maritime spatial planning^{5 a},*

^{5 a} *OJ L 257, 28.8.2014, p. 135–145.*

Or. es

Amendment 2
Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution
Citation 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution on the impact on the fishing sector of offshore windfarms and other renewable energy systems (2019/2158(INI)),*

Or. es

Amendment 3
Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Citation 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 16 January 2018 on international ocean governance: an agenda for the future of our oceans in the context of the 2030*

Amendment 4

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 25 November 2020 on a New Industrial Strategy for Europe (2020/2076(INI)),*

Or. en

Amendment 5

François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the European Environment Agency report No 3/2015 on “Marine protected areas in Europe's seas”*

Or. en

Amendment 6

François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the initiative report on the impact on the fishing sector*

*of offshore wind farms and other
renewable energy systems on offshore,
adopted by the European Parliament on 6
July 2021;*

Or. en

Amendment 7

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Commission
Communication of 20 May 2020 entitled
'EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030'
(COM(2020) 380),*

Or. en

Amendment 8

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 9
June 2021 on the EU Biodiversity
Strategy for 2030: Bringing nature back
into our lives,*

Or. en

Amendment 9

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of 28 November 2019 on the climate and environment emergency,*

Or. en

Amendment 10

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the EEA briefing of 6 October 2020 entitled ‘Management effectiveness in the EU’s Natura 2000 network of protected areas’,*

Or. en

Amendment 11

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds,*

Or. en

Amendment 12

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora,*

Or. en

Amendment 13

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy,*

Or. en

Amendment 14

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to Directive 2014/89/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 establishing a framework for maritime spatial planning,*

Or. en

Amendment 15

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Citation 23 j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals,*

Or. en

Amendment 16
Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Citation 23 k (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the EEA briefing of 6 October 2020 entitled ‘Management effectiveness in the EU’s Natura 2000 network of protected areas’,*

Or. en

Amendment 17
Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Citation 23 l (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the European Court of Auditors special report of 26 November 2020 entitled ‘Marine environment: EU protection is wide but not deep’,*

Or. en

Amendment 18

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23 m (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard for the UNEP Emissions Gap reports 2019 and 2020,*

Or. en

Amendment 19

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas the EU has endorsed the Paris Agreement, as well as the European Green Deal and the recently adopted European Climate Law, which set an ***ambitious*** target of reducing emissions by 55 % by 2030 ***and complementary goals, with the aim of achieving*** the EU's ***net-zero carbon emission*** target by 2050 ***at the latest in order to fight the effects of global climate change***;

A. whereas the EU has endorsed the Paris Agreement, as well as the European Green Deal and the recently adopted European Climate Law, which set an ***EU*** target of reducing ***greenhouse gases*** emissions by ***at least*** 55 % by 2030; ***stresses that only a emissions reduction of at least 65 % by 2030 would be enough to reach*** the EU's ***climate neutral*** target as well as, ***in line with the best available climate science, towards keeping global warming below 1.5°C***;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas the EU has endorsed the Paris Agreement, as well as the European

A. whereas the EU has endorsed the Paris Agreement, as well as the European

Green Deal and the recently adopted European Climate Law, which set an ambitious target of reducing emissions by 55 % by 2030 and complementary goals, with the aim of achieving the EU's net-zero carbon emission target by 2050 at the latest in order to fight the effects of global climate change;

Green Deal and the recently adopted European Climate Law, which set an ambitious target of reducing emissions by 55 % by 2030 and complementary goals, with the aim of achieving the EU's net-zero carbon emission target by 2050 at the latest in order to fight the effects of global climate change; ***underlines that the UNEP Emissions Gap Reports confirm that an emissions reduction of 65-70 % by 2030 would be necessary to limit global warming to 1,5 C in line with the commitments under the Paris Agreement;***

Or. en

Amendment 21

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the EU has ***endorsed*** the Paris Agreement, as well as the European Green Deal and the recently adopted European Climate Law, which set an ambitious target of reducing emissions by 55 % by 2030 and complementary goals, with the aim of achieving the EU's net-zero carbon emission target by 2050 at the latest in order to fight the effects of global climate change;

Amendment

A. whereas the EU has ***ratified*** the Paris Agreement, as well as the European Green Deal and the recently adopted European Climate Law, which set an ambitious target of reducing emissions by 55 % by 2030 and complementary goals, with the aim of achieving the EU's net-zero carbon emission target by 2050 at the latest in order to fight the effects of global climate change; ***reminds of Parliament's position as adopted during Plenary vote on the Climate Law on the goal of reducing GHG gas emissions by 60 % by 2030;***

Or. en

Amendment 22

Markus Buchheit, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the EU has endorsed the Paris Agreement, as well as the European Green Deal and the recently adopted European Climate Law, which set an **ambitious** target of reducing emissions by 55 % by 2030 and complementary goals, with the aim of achieving the EU's net-zero carbon emission target by 2050 at the latest **in order to fight** the effects of global climate change;

Amendment

A. whereas the EU has endorsed the Paris Agreement, as well as the European Green Deal and the recently adopted European Climate Law, which set an target of reducing emissions by 55 % by 2030 and complementary goals, with the aim of achieving the EU's net-zero carbon emission target by 2050 at the latest; The effects of **these objectives, which will destroy Europe as a business location, on** global climate change **remain to be seen**;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Jessica Stegrud

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a clean energy transition that ensures sustainability, security of supply and affordability of energy;

Amendment

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a clean energy transition that ensures sustainability, security of supply and affordability of energy, **issues that must be resolved at Member State level, since energy policy is a national competence**;

Or. en

Amendment 24

Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a

Amendment

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a

clean energy transition that ensures sustainability, security of supply and affordability of energy;

clean energy transition that ensures sustainability, security of supply and affordability of energy ***while recognising that sustainability and carbon footprint across the value chain has to be taken into consideration when deploying offshore renewable energy;***

Or. en

Amendment 25

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a clean energy transition that ensures sustainability, security of supply and affordability of energy;

Amendment

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a clean energy transition that ensures sustainability, security of supply and affordability of energy; ***as well as the necessary energy infrastructure;***

Or. en

Amendment 26

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Sara Skyttedal, Henna Virkkunen, Tomas Tobé, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a clean energy transition that ensures sustainability, security of supply and affordability of energy;

Amendment

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a clean energy transition that ensures sustainability, ***energy efficiency***, security of supply and affordability of energy;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the transition to a ***net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG)*** economy requires a ***clean*** energy transition that ensures sustainability, security of supply and affordability of energy;

Amendment

B. whereas the transition to a ***highly energy efficient and fully renewable*** economy requires a ***rapid*** energy transition that ensures sustainability, security of supply and affordability of energy;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Markus Buchheit, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a clean energy transition that ***ensures sustainability***, security of supply and affordability of energy;

Amendment

B. whereas the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) economy requires a clean energy transition that ***threatens the*** security of supply and affordability of energy;

Or. en

Amendment 29

Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. Since each Member State has its own particular economic development characteristics and is at a different stage of the transition to the green economy, which must be reflected in the financial

support provided and setting of realistic and achievable levels of ambition for each individual Member State and region.

Or. ro

Amendment 30

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. whereas the UNEP Emissions Gap Report 2020 points to the fact that the highest income groups in our society are responsible for the largest share of GHG emissions, while the lowest income groups suffer disproportionately from the effects climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas the dramatic fall in renewable offshore energy prices has made it one of the cheapest sources of energy and consequently a critical element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, and has also made it one of the most important pillars of the EU's climate ambitions;

C. whereas the dramatic fall in renewable offshore energy prices has made it one of the cheapest sources of energy, ***with a global weighted-average levelised cost of energy of offshore wind declining by 48 % between 2010 and 2020 from EUR 0,14 to EUR 0,071kWh in 2020***, and consequently a critical element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, and has also made it one of the most important pillars of the EU's climate ambitions; ***acknowledging the potential of***

the offshore renewable energy to enable massive energy sources that can protect households from energy poverty;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Jessica Stegrud

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the dramatic fall in renewable offshore energy prices has made it one of the cheapest sources of energy and consequently *a critical element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, and has also made it one of the most important pillars of the EU's climate ambitions;*

Amendment

C. whereas the dramatic fall in renewable offshore energy prices has made it one of the cheapest sources of energy and *does consequently not need any subsidies or other politically motivated market interventions;*

Or. en

Amendment 33
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the *dramatic fall* in renewable offshore energy prices has made it *one of the cheapest sources* of energy and consequently *a critical* element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, *and has also made it one of the most important pillars of the EU's climate ambitions;*

Amendment

C. whereas the *decrease* in renewable offshore energy prices has made it *an affordable source* of energy and consequently *an* element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Markus Buchheit, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas ***the dramatic fall in*** renewable ***offshore*** energy prices ***has made it one of the cheapest sources of energy and consequently a critical element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, and has also made it one of the most important pillars of the EU's climate ambitions;***

Amendment

C. whereas ***offshore*** renewable energy ***has resulted in a dramatic drop in*** prices, ***due to misguided subsidy policies, that are not being passed on to the end user;***

Or. en

Amendment 35
Grzegorz Tobiszowski
on behalf of the ECR Group
Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the ***dramatic*** fall in renewable offshore energy prices has made it ***one of the cheapest*** sources of energy and consequently a critical element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, and has also made it one of the most important pillars of the EU's climate ambitions;

Amendment

C. whereas the ***significant*** fall in renewable offshore energy prices has made it ***more competitively-priced*** sources of energy and consequently a critical element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, and has also made it one of the most important pillars of the EU's climate ambitions;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the dramatic fall in renewable offshore **energy** prices has made it one of the cheapest sources of energy and consequently a critical element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, and has also made it one of the most important pillars of the EU's climate ambitions;

Amendment

C. whereas the dramatic fall in renewable offshore **electricity** prices has made it one of the cheapest sources of energy and consequently a critical element in the green transition, paving the way for a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, and has also made it one of the most important pillars of the EU's climate ambitions;

Or. en

Amendment 37

Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution

Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. Whereas, through their maritime spatial plans, Member States should take into account relevant interactions between fisheries, aquaculture and the production of energy from renewable sources in order to promote the sustainable coexistence of uses;

Or. es

Amendment 38

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C a. whereas offshore renewables can

be a driver for quality job creation, offshore wind requires approximately 1800 employees to operate per GW, compared to 550 for onshore wind and only 280 in a coal fired power plant according to studies;

Or. en

Amendment 39

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C a. Whereas the European strategy towards offshore renewable energy should take into account the EU's sea basins different geographical features that make it difficult to develop a one-size-fits all approach;

Or. en

Amendment 40

Markus Buchheit, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C a. whereas wind farms in stable weather conditions can cause a braking effect of the wind, which can reach up to 100 km, resulting in a loss of power to neighbouring wind farms of up to 2 kilowatts per year;

Or. en

Amendment 41
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C a. whereas offshore renewable energy has a strong negative impact on biodiversity, as well as on fisheries activities;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Sara Skyttedal, Henna Virkkunen, Tomas Tobé, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C a. whereas the transition to net-zero GHG emissions increases the demand for low-carbon energy;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution
Recital C b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C b. whereas ORE electrification play a key role in fulfilling with the Unions 2030 climate target and the climate neutrality target in 2050;

Or. en

Amendment 44

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Recital C b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C b. whereas the skills and qualifications of the workforce are a key factor for the success of the offshore renewable strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C c. whereas the EU ORE production sector is a technological leader with significant potential to boost the EU economy by supporting the growth of clean energy production in Europe and around the world;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Recital C c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C c. whereas additional research is needed on the impact of different offshore renewable technologies and infrastructure on marine eco-systems, marine biodiversity and marine protected areas;

Amendment 47
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution
Recital C d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C d. whereas pursuing 340 GW of ORE by 2050 would need a massive upscaling at a speed there is beyond the devolvement of other energy technologies;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution
Recital C e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C e. whereas the under current policies in the EU, the present and projected installation capacity would only lead to only approximately 90 GW in 2050;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution
Recital C f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C f. whereas it is estimated that the investment needs pursuing 300 GW of offshore wind by 2050 are estimated to be almost EUR 800 billion;

Amendment 50

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C g. whereas the EU Member States spent around EUR 85 billion on offshore renewable energy investments from 2010 to 2018;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C h. whereas R&D has grown from EUR 133 million in 2009 to EUR 186 million in 2018;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C i. whereas public R&D investments in the wind energy value chain already have played an crucial role in allowing the sector to develop;

Amendment 53

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C j. whereas the total amount of EU R&D programmes over the 10 past years offshore wind was EUR 496 million;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C k (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C k. whereas the vast majority of future offshore renewable energy projects will be funded privately;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C l (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C l. whereas the role of ORE in climate change mitigation and energy security is already well-known, the economic and socio-economic impacts from the expansion of ORE, especially when it comes to the occurrence of value-added jobs in the EU at a local level, need

to be illuminated;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C m (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C m. whereas the NextGenerationEU recovery fund provides an unique opportunity to mobilise substantially public capital in addition to the private investments;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Sara Skyttedal, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C n (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C n. whereas the North Sea is currently the world's leading region for deployed capacity in offshore wind while regions such as the Atlantic, the Mediterranean, the Baltic Sea, and the Black Sea are promising locations to scale-up offshore production and deployment in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C o (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C o. whereas EU ports play a crucial role in ensuring cost effectiveness of offshore wind;

Or. en

Amendment 59

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C p (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C p. whereas EU ports act as gateways to local development in coastal communities;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C q (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C q. whereas landlocked regions in the EU today do not have the same incentives, opportunities and benefits of an EU-level upscaling in offshore wind;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C r (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C r. *whereas it is estimated to require less than 3 % of the European maritime space and should therefore be compatible with the goals of the EU Biodiversity Strategy;*

Or. en

Amendment 62

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Sara Skyttedal, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C s (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C s. *whereas the EU currently provides only 1 % of the raw materials for wind energy, less than 1 % of Li-batteries, less than 1 % of fuel cells, only 2 % of the raw materials relevant to robotics and only 1 % of silicon-based photovoltaic assemblies;*

Or. en

Amendment 63

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C t (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C t. *whereas the Atlantic neighbouring western EU Member States has a high natural potential for both bottom-fixed and floating offshore wind energy;*

Or. en

Amendment 64

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C u (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C u. whereas the Mediterranean neighbouring southern EU Member States sea has a high potential of mostly floating offshore wind energy;

Or. en

Amendment 65

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C v (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C v. whereas the Black Sea neighbouring eastern EU Member States offers a big potential for both bottom-fixes and floating offshore win;

Or. en

Amendment 66

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skytvedal, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C w (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C w. whereas the recycling rates of wind turbines are currently very low and only has a service time of around 20 years;

Or. en

Amendment 67

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skyttedal, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C x (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C x. whereas there are environmental challenges linked to the production of ORE, especially wind turbines blades, and that this will require a significant part into landfill after only 20 years;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skyttedal, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Recital C y (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C y. whereas the current Energy Taxation Directive exempts marine fuel from taxation;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph -1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-1. Emphasises that energy savings, energy efficiency and renewable energy are among the key drivers for reaching a net zero emissions economy; recalls the Union's commitment to the Energy Efficiency First principle and underlines the importance of implementing this

principle in all relevant legislation and initiatives; in this context, acknowledges that, by 2030, the share of EU renewable electricity production should at least triple^{1a};

^{1a} The PAC scenario states that renewable electricity generation should “at least triple”. Given that according to SHARES/Eurostat, renewable electricity production in the EU27 achieved almost 1000 TWh and the scenario foresees 4100 TWh in the EU28 in the PAC scenario in 2030, quadrupling would be closer. See: Building a Paris Agreement Compatible (PAC) energy scenario’, CAN-Europe / EEB, June 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 70

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be deployed on an unprecedented scale; emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments;

Amendment

1. ***Recalls that in order to limit the devastating effects of global warming on marine aquatic ecosystems, rising water temperatures and ocean acidification, changes in water flow, and fish habitat loss, productivity of marine and fresh water species, combating climate change with the take up of offshore energy is vital;*** stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be deployed on an unprecedented scale; emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments;

Or. en

Amendment 71

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Jens Geier, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be deployed on an unprecedented scale; emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments;

Amendment

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be deployed on an unprecedented scale; ***stresses that many MS are lagging behind in deploying the necessary renewable energy and infrastructure; further stresses that all MS should make utmost efforts to reach their full renewable energy potential***; emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments;

Or. en

Amendment 72

François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be deployed ***on an unprecedented scale; emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments***;

Amendment

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be deployed;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Jessica Stegrud

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires **renewable** energy to be deployed on an unprecedented scale; **emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments;**

Amendment

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires **non-fossil** energy to be deployed on an unprecedented scale;

Or. en

Amendment 74
Markus Buchheit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy **to be deployed on an unprecedented scale; emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments;**

Amendment

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy **and nuclear energy in the future, in particular in the form of dual-fluid technology;**

Or. en

Amendment 75
Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Jens Geier, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be deployed on an unprecedented scale; emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments;

Amendment

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be deployed on an unprecedented scale; emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments; ***believes that binding national renewable energy targets could be such an action;***

Or. en

Amendment 76

Grzegorz Tobiszowski

on behalf of the ECR Group

Beata Szydło, Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be deployed ***on an unprecedented scale;*** emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments;

Amendment

1. Stresses that a net-zero emissions economy requires renewable energy to be ***widely*** deployed ***throughout the Union;*** emphasises that if no further actions are taken to accelerate the deployment of offshore renewable energy (ORE), the EU will not be able to live up to its climate commitments;

Or. en

Amendment 77

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Stresses that climate change disproportionately affects low income

households; recalls the promise of the European Green Deal that no-one should be left behind; underlines that the wellbeing of people should be at the heart of the green transition that needs to be green and social;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Calls on the Commission to prioritise ORE, together with other relevant energy technologies, as a core component of Europe's energy system by 2050;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 b. Stresses that the unprecedented expansion of ORE requires the mobilization of the public sector in the deployment of renewable energy onshore and offshore; highlights that the renewable energy sector constitutes a strategic supply chain in the fight against climate change; emphasizes the importance of an active and public industrial policy that steers the EU and Member States efforts through regulatory measure, public investments and public sector participation in the scale up of

ORE; stresses the potential of public-driven offshore energy production which would benefit from a long-term public interest vision and independence from short-term profit seeking interests that could undermine and the global efforts to fight climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 c. Urges the Commission to revise public procurement and state aid rules to empower Member States and the public sector to tackle the climate emergency and guarantee the rapid expansion of ORE; encourages Member States to attach strong conditionalities in terms of quality and security of jobs when according state aid to private companies;

Or. en

Amendment 81

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 d. Highlights that the green and social transition is a necessary investment for future generations; calls on the Commission to suspend EU fiscal and budgetary rules that hamper investment in a green and social transition;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Highlights that the energy **production targets** for ORE **in all of EU's sea basins**, as outlined **in** Commission communication COM(2020)0741, **are** at least **60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW** by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;

Amendment

2. Highlights that the **need for further offshore renewable energy development applies to all of Europe's sea-basins; notes that there are areas with widely untapped offshore renewable potential, such as the Atlantic, Mediterranean, Baltic or Black Sea; reminds that the Commission has assessed that the installed capacity in 2030 for offshore wind should reach between 70 GW (CPRICE) to 79 GW (ALLBNK) if the EU wants to achieve a mere 55 % greenhouse gas emissions reduction by 2030^{1b}; stresses that the decision to find space for this additional ORE capacity by 2030 is of the utmost importance and should be considered apriority and identified in the EU before 2023/2024 to allow construction by 2030; reminds further that a 'realistic and achievable' installed capacity for ORE -as outlined by the Commission in its Communication COM(2020)0741-, is at least 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;**

^{1b} **Commission Impact assessment on 'Stepping up Europe's 2030 climate ambition' https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:749e04bb-f8c5-11ea-991b-01aa75ed71a1.0001.02/DOC_2&format=PDF**

Or. en

Amendment 83

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;

Amendment

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; ***recalls that according to Commission communication SWD(2020)176 the installed capacity of offshore wind should be 70 - 79 GW for a cost competitive road to the 55 % reduction in 2030; calls for the ORE target to be raised in order to live up to the 55 % reduction target and secure a cost competitive transition supported by a well-functioning market pushing the uptake of offshore wind***; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by

Amendment

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by

2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;

2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment; ***stresses that investments in offshore wind energy must not affect the exploitation of gas in areas where there are natural gas reserves, given that natural gas is important as a transitional technology for ensuring energy security during the transition to a green economy;***

Or. ro

Amendment 85
Markus Buchheit, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind ***energy*** as an energy source ***will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;***

Amendment

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind as an energy source ***can only be maintained through government subsidies; in especially has not been and will not be marketable in a reasonable future and as a consequence the price of electricity will continue to rise; Germany, with the highest electricity prices, is an eloquent example of this; a large part of the costs consist of various electricity taxes;***

Or. en

Amendment 86
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skytvedal, Henna Virkkunen, Tomas Tobé, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;

Amendment

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment; ***highlights that ORE is weather-based and that a sustainable and reliable energy system needs a combination of low- and zero-carbon energy sources;***

Or. en

Amendment 87
Jessica Stegrud

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;

Amendment

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment, ***suggesting that this sector can survive without government interference;***

Or. en

Amendment 88

**Christophe Grudler, Morten Petersen, Klemen Grošelj, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica,
Susana Solís Pérez**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2**

Motion for a resolution

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;

Amendment

2. Highlights that the energy production targets for ORE in all of EU's sea basins, as outlined in Commission communication COM(2020)0741, are at least 60 GW by 2030 and 340 GW by 2050; highlights that the competitiveness of offshore wind energy **and ocean energy** as an energy source will continue to increase and prices will continue to fall further in step with continuous development and deployment;

Or. en

**Amendment 89
François-Xavier Bellamy**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Recalls that the offshore wind technology is not yet fully mastered notably its long term effect on biodiversity, marine life and environment; considers that all ORE shall be deployed in respect of the do no significant harm principle;

Or. en

**Amendment 90
François-Xavier Bellamy**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 b. *recalls that there is a deficit of knowledge and expertise regarding the technology and the costs of recycling and dismantling of ORE plants;*

Or. en

Amendment 91
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 c. *recalls that the impact of ORE, and particularly offshore wind power, on biodiversity is not fully known and estimated; considers that the fight against climate change shall be done in the full respect of biodiversity and shall not be responsible of any ecological harm; warns that no energy strategy will be sustainable if it has a significant negative impact on the environment and on economic, social and territorial cohesion;*

Or. en

Amendment 92
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skyttedal, Henna Virkkunen, Tomas Tobé, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. *Believes that ORE needs to be sustainable and not have adverse impacts on the environment as well as on the economic, social and territorial cohesion;*

Or. en

Amendment 93
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 d. Recalls that the intermittent aspect of ORE implies to combine its production with controllable sources of energy such as gas, coal, hydroelectric or nuclear power, in order to avoid any black-out;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Jens Geier, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Notes the competitive advantage *of EU* companies and technologies in the ORE sector; underlines the potential for exponential growth of the sector and its contribution to the EU economy, including technology and systems exports;

3. Notes the competitive advantage **across the EU for** companies and technologies in the ORE sector; **stresses the importance to maintain this competitive advantage**; underlines the potential for exponential growth of the sector and its contribution to the EU economy, including technology and systems exports; **stresses the importance of supporting R&D investments and build on innovative ORE technology industry system through cross border collaboration and partnership in Horizon Europe in order to facilitate and to support robust European value chains that are crucial for the twin transitions; while ensuring the swift uptake of the innovations developed in this field;**

Or. en

Amendment 95

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes the competitive advantage of EU companies and technologies in the ORE sector; underlines the potential for **exponential** growth of the sector and its contribution to the EU economy, including technology and systems exports;

Amendment

3. Notes the competitive advantage of EU companies and technologies in the ORE sector; ***calls on the Commission to ensure that EU is maintaining technological leadership, retain talent and provide affordable, safe and sustainable energy while taking into account potential impacts, including those related to climate change, on the marine environment;*** underlines the potential for growth of the sector and its contribution to the EU economy, including technology and systems exports;

Or. en

Amendment 96

Markus Buchheit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes the competitive advantage of EU companies and technologies in the ORE sector; underlines the potential for exponential growth of the sector and its contribution to the EU economy, including technology and systems exports;

Amendment

3. Notes the competitive advantage of EU companies and technologies in the ORE sector; underlines the potential for ***government-led*** exponential growth of the sector and its contribution to the EU economy, including technology and systems exports;

Or. en

Amendment 97

François-Xavier Bellamy

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes the competitive advantage of EU companies and technologies in the ORE sector; underlines the potential for **exponential** growth of the sector and its contribution to the EU economy, including technology and systems exports;

Amendment

3. Notes the competitive advantage of EU companies and technologies in the ORE sector; underlines the potential for growth of the sector and its contribution to the EU economy, including technology and systems exports;

Or. en

Amendment 98

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Jens Geier, Lukasz Kohut, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Underlines the need to maintain a clean, competitive and sustainable supply chain for ORE in the European Union; therefore stresses the importance that suppliers apply the highest quality, health, safety and environmental standards according to European certification and standards determined in a dialogue process with all relevant stakeholders; further stresses the need to minimise transport costs in the supply chain; believes that public tenders should take these elements into consideration;

Or. en

Amendment 99

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. *Stresses that the transition towards a climate neutral economy should be accompanied by restoration of nature, while not compromising on the existing nature targets of the Biodiversity Strategy or leave anyone behind as promised in the European Green Deal, and needs to be a fair and inclusive energy transition;*

Or. en

Amendment 100

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. *Stresses that the transition to a net-zero greenhouse gas economy should not compromise conservation and restoration of nature, the existing nature targets of the Biodiversity Strategy or leave anyone behind as promised in the European Green Deal, and needs to be a fair and inclusive energy transition;*

Or. en

Amendment 101

Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. *Warns that offshore renewable energy will only be sustainable if it has no negative impact on the environment and on economic, social and territorial*

cohesion, especially in fisheries-dependent regions;

Or. es

Amendment 102

Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica, Susana Solís Pérez, Morten Petersen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Highlights that the deployment of offshore renewable energy is an ideal opportunity for outermost regions and islands to decarbonise their energy mix and dramatically decrease their dependency on fossil fuel imports;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Calls on the Commission to conduct an impact assessment that clarifies the economic and socio-economic impacts, with a special focus on existing jobs and job creation by deploying 340 GW of ORE by 2050 in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3b. *Is concerned about the increased potential for spatial conflict in certain European sea basins between renewable energy installations and the historical and traditional uses in terms of fisheries and aquaculture; points out that the small-scale, coastal and artisanal fishing sector would be particularly affected by the closure of fishing grounds or changes imposed on its activity, as it lacks the capacity to move to other fishing grounds or to change its fishing methods, in particular if marine renewable energy installations are located within territorial waters (which extend up to 12 nautical miles from the coast);*

Or. es

Amendment 105

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 b. *Calls for local competent authorities to assess initiatives that activate local economies, local sustainable jobs and economic activities in the uptake of the offshore renewables sources; calls for identifying synergies between sectors that can best support twin green and digital transitions and contribute to the futureproof economic recovery; while developing synergies with the actions enabling a sustainable blue economy;*

Or. en

Amendment 106

Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3c. Welcomes the Commission's commitment with regard to the strategy to facilitate dialogue on the environmental, economic and social sustainability of offshore renewable energy and to promote a 'community of practice' where all stakeholders, including industry, NGOs, fishers and scientists, can exchange views, share experience and work on joint projects at an early stage;

Or. es

Amendment 107

Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3d. Acknowledges the potential of floating offshore wind energy devices, which since they can be located at a greater distance from the coastline, have a smaller visual impact and less potential spatial overlap with fishing areas;

Or. es

Amendment 108

Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3e. *Calls on the Member States, as authorities responsible for maritime spatial planning, to take into account the need to ensure that negative impacts of marine renewable energy installations on fisheries are avoided and therefore to ensure that they are located far away from fishing grounds;*

Or. es

Amendment 109

Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 f (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3f. *Stresses that early, equitable, effective and continuous consultation and participation of all stakeholders, notably of fishers and aquaculture producers, the creation of transparent guidelines and, ultimately, the payment of compensation could alleviate the potential for conflict between offshore renewable energy and fisheries;*

Or. es

Amendment 110

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Jens Geier, Łukasz Kohut, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based

consumers;

consumers; *regrets that a number of Member States have not yet reached their 10 % electric interconnection target by 2020; and are lagging behind in building the adequate infrastructure, such as transmission lines to integrate and transport offshore electricity; supports the EU 2030 electricity interconnection target of 15 % by 2030, set in article 2 of regulation (EU) on the Governance of the Energy Union Climate Action; calls for the Commission to come up with a proposal that can secure a faster deployment of the interconnection target;*

Or. en

Amendment 111

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based consumers;

Amendment

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure, *without prejudice to the EU nature legislation and adopted strategies*, to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based consumers; *considers that the Union and its Member States should develop geostrategic agreements on offshore energy infrastructure with neighbouring geographical regions, especially the Western Balkans, the Mediterranean Southern and Eastern Basins;*

Or. en

Amendment 112

Clara Ponsatí Obiols

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based consumers;

Amendment

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based consumers; ***notes the importance of ensuring biodiversity conservation, specifically when locating new offshore infrastructure and alongside the inland grid;***

Or. en

Amendment 113

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based consumers;

Amendment

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure, ***without prejudice to the EU's Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 and EU nature legislation*** to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Jessica Stegrud

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses the ***urgency of improving and expanding*** existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based

Amendment

4. Stresses the ***need to improve and expand*** existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based consumers,

consumers;

investments that the ORE sector can finance without interference from EU institutions;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Markus Buchheit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based consumers;

Amendment

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure ***will be more expensive and more complex than onshore*** to enable the increased flow of electricity from offshore sites to inland-based consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 116
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity ***from offshore sites to inland-based consumers;***

Amendment

4. Stresses the urgency of improving and expanding existing infrastructure to enable the increased flow of electricity;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Markus Buchheit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Shows that the onshore power grid also needs to be further strengthened and supplemented to ensure connection to the supraregional extra-high voltage grid; it is feared that the costs of line construction will be passed on directly to the end consumer;*

Or. en

Amendment 118

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure adequate infrastructure in geographically strategic ports in the EU in order to ensure a cost-effective deployment of ORE;*

Or. en

Amendment 119

Markus Buchheit

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. *Also points out that large amounts of offshore-generated electricity will be used to satisfy the energy demand for the Commission planned implementation of the hydrogen strategy;*

Or. en

Amendment 120

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. Invites the Commission to consider ports as users of ORE; calls the Commission to examine the added value of linking the ORE sector with the port clusters in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 121

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 c. Stresses the need to secure adequate port infrastructure when deploying 340 GW of ORE by 2050 in the EU sea basins; points out that the Commission should take into account the potential of job creation and economic benefits when expanding infrastructure of ports in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 122

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 d. Highlights the importance of ensuring a sustainable and responsible development of the ORE sector, taking into account the critical role of maritime transport and seaports; the development of ORE should take into account the need for safe maritime access lanes and corridors as well as anchorage areas for shipping as well as the potential future development of maritime access lanes to the ports;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skyttedal, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 e. Calls on the Commission to include maritime transport in the scope of the Energy Taxation Directive in its upcoming revision of the directive in 2021 in order to incentivise ship's use of on-shore electricity when at berth;

Or. en

Amendment 124

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 f. Stresses that the maritime spatial plans should be adaptive to future trends, including new traffic flows, new shipping routes, or bigger vessels, and should ensure that offshore energy installations co-exist with maritime transport routes, the fishing industry, traffic separation

schemes, anchorage areas, naval access and activities and port development; strongly believes that the highest levels of safety for ships transiting near offshore renewable energy installations need to be ensured including sufficient coverage of vessel traffic service and the provision of emergency support vessels in the area;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking investment; *stresses the need to secure coordination and alignment between onshore and offshore grid development plans, including with the identification of landing points for offshore connections and onshore grid uptakes; encourages the MSs to speed up the necessary grid infrastructure to facilitate the green transition of which electrification is crucial;* believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments;

Or. en

Amendment 126 Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments; ***recognises that the huge investments, often implemented simultaneously, will require carefully and precise planning;***

Or. en

Amendment 127

Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments, ***while ensuring energy security during the transition period, in which the role of gas, as a transitional fuel, remains important;***

Or. ro

Amendment 128

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission **infrastructure** requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation **to make the legislation fit for 1.5°C** and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable **integrated** and efficient **hybrid offshore wind assets for the generation, interconnection and** transmission of **ORE** requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments;

Or. en

Amendment 129
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should **facilitate anticipatory** investments;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should **guarantee safe, environment friendly and long term** investments;

Or. en

Amendment 130

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5**

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a revision of the TEN-E Regulation and the attention it gives to the offshore renewables sector's needs and priorities; stresses that the development of sustainable and efficient transmission infrastructure requires forward-looking **public planning and** investment; believes strongly that regulatory frameworks should facilitate anticipatory investments;

Or. en

Amendment 131

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 a. Underlines the importance of jointly defining and agreeing to cooperate on the amount of offshore renewable generation to be deployed within each sea basin by Member States in 2030, 2040 and 2050, in terms of ensuring investment security and achievement of climate and energy goals;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 a. Recognises the potential for ORE in all sea basins of Europe and call upon the Commission and Member States to further progress the key technologies that will harness this energy;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Sara Skyttedal, Henna Virkkunen, Tomas Tobé, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 b. Stresses that Member States' planning of ORE energy needs to take into account environmental protection, protection of nature and the respective legal frameworks at Member State level into account when new permits are approved;

Or. en

Amendment 134

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 c. Notes the need to consult at an early stage all relevant stakeholders and to prioritise a bilateral and multilateral Maritime Spatial Planning cooperation between Member States in order to guarantee the safety and continued functioning of maritime transport and the fishing industry;

Amendment 135

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 d. Notes that deploying the 340 GW of ORE by 2050 is a comprehensive process and needs a well-functioning supply chain at national, EU and global level; underlines that the EU ORE sector is also relying on imported raw materials and components for production and that the supply chain of these materials should be protected;

Or. en

Amendment 136

Grzegorz Tobiszowski

on behalf of the ECR Group

Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Notes the advantages of combining offshore production facilities and transmission assets in the tender process; invites the Commission and the Member States (MSs) to explore the potential of this full-scope tendering approach and assess its applicability to different set-ups, including meshed grids;

6. Believes that the focus should be put on unleashing the potential of the most advanced projects that are planned to be connected to the national electricity system in a radial form given different challenges across the Member States; notes that a tendency to favour hybrid projects at the expense of radial ones could have a perverse effect on the development of offshore RES equitably in all EU waters and therefore to reach the established targets; notes the potential advantages of combining offshore

production facilities and transmission assets in the tender process; invites the Commission and the Member States (MSs) to explore the potential of this full-scope tendering approach and assess its applicability to different set-ups, including meshed grids, *particularly in the North Sea basin, to take advantage of the opportunities offered by already existing infrastructure there;*

Or. en

Amendment 137

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Notes the advantages of combining offshore production facilities and transmission assets in the tender process; invites the Commission and the Member States *(MSs) to explore* the potential of this full-scope tendering approach and assess its applicability to different set-ups, *including meshed* grids;

Amendment

6. Notes the *potential* advantages of combining offshore production facilities and transmission assets in the tender process; invites the Commission and the Member States *to analyse* the potential *and possible challenges* of this full-scope tendering approach and assess its applicability to different set-ups, *this analysis has to take into account the possible challenges as regards to ensuring incentives and optimal planning of offshore and onshore transmission* grids;

Or. en

Amendment 138

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Notes the advantages of combining offshore production facilities and transmission assets in the tender process; invites the Commission and the Member States (MSs) to explore the potential of *this* full-scope tendering approach *and assess its applicability to different set-ups, including meshed grids*;

6. Notes the advantages of combining offshore production facilities and transmission assets in the tender process; invites the Commission and the Member States (MSs) to explore the potential of *a* full-scope tendering approach;

Or. en

Amendment 139

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Recalls that electricity production from ORE also creates an opportunity for renewable hydrogen production as outline in “EU Strategy to harness the potential of offshore renewable energy for a climate neutral future”, the “EU Strategy for Energy System Integration strategy” and “A hydrogen strategy for a climate-neutral Europe”;

Or. en

Amendment 140

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Notes the inherent complementarity between different offshore renewable energy technologies, in terms of shared infrastructure, supply chain synergies and more reliable

aggregate power production;

Or. en

Amendment 141
Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 b. Underlines the need for investments in infrastructure to support the expansion of the ORE sector, notably investments in ports to accommodate larger turbines and components, cater for operations and maintenance (including training facilities), decommissioning and manufacturing centres for bottom-fixed and floating offshore wind; highlights that ORE will become critical for the security of the energy supply and necessary measures must be taken in order to secure the infrastructure against cyber attacks;

Or. en

Amendment 142
Jutta Paulus
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources; notes that the current legal framework does not facilitate such collaboration sufficiently; strongly believes that failure to increase collaboration between MSs will inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources; **highlights in this regard the importance of the North Seas Energy Cooperation (NSEC) and the need to include the UK again**; notes that the current legal framework does not facilitate such collaboration sufficiently;

Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action without any further delays;

strongly believes that failure to increase collaboration between MSs will inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action without any further delays;

Or. en

Amendment 143

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources; notes that the current legal framework does not facilitate such collaboration sufficiently; strongly believes that failure to increase collaboration between MSs will inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action without any further delays;

Amendment

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources; notes that the current legal framework does not facilitate such collaboration sufficiently; strongly believes that failure to increase collaboration between MSs, **and inter-connected Third Countries**, will inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action **without any** further delays;

Or. en

Amendment 144

Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources; notes that the current legal framework does not facilitate such collaboration sufficiently; strongly

Amendment

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources; notes that the current legal framework does not facilitate such collaboration sufficiently; strongly

believes that failure to increase collaboration between MSs will inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action without any further delays;

believes that failure to increase collaboration between MSs will inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action without any further delays; ***encourages MS to immediately coordinate and put forward plans for the 240 GW post-2030 offshore development;***

Or. en

Amendment 145

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources; notes that the current legal framework does not facilitate such collaboration sufficiently; strongly believes that failure to increase collaboration between MSs will inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action without any further delays;

Amendment

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources, ***taking into account the specificities of each area;*** notes that the current legal framework does not facilitate such collaboration sufficiently; strongly believes that failure to increase collaboration between MSs will inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action without any further delays;

Or. en

Amendment 146

Grzegorz Tobiszowski
on behalf of the ECR Group
Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is

Amendment

7. Stresses that MS collaboration is

vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources; notes that the current legal framework **does not** facilitate such collaboration **sufficiently**; strongly believes that failure to **increase** collaboration between MSs **will** inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action without any further delays;

vital in order to maximise effective use of offshore energy resources; notes that the current legal framework **should be improved in order to** facilitate such **voluntarily** collaboration; strongly believes that failure to **enhance** collaboration between MSs **could** inhibit the roll-out of offshore energy; urges the Commission and the MSs to take the necessary action without any further delays;

Or. en

Amendment 147

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Stresses that Regional cooperation at a sea basin level, between Member States and neighbouring states, should be fostered through joint planning and acting on regulatory barriers, and also by creating regional marine spatial usage maps that are accessible to all stakeholders and regularly revised via a robust common monitoring framework;

Or. en

Amendment 148

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Stresses that Regional cooperation at a sea basin level, between Member States and neighbouring states, should be fostered through joint planning and

acting on regulatory barriers, and also by creating regional marine spatial usage maps that are accessible to all stakeholders and regularly revised via a robust common monitoring framework;

Or. en

Amendment 149

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Welcomes the Commission's intent to coordinate with Member States to support the deployment of 100MW of wave and tidal energy by 2025 and 1GW by 2030;

Or. en

Amendment 150

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 b. Welcomes the Commission proposal for a Regulation on guidelines for trans-European energy infrastructure and repealing Regulation (EU) No 347/2013^{1d} regarding single points of contact (SPOCs), which should facilitate the coordination between Member States and the permitting process for offshore renewable energy projects of common interest;

^{1d} 2020/0360(COD).

Amendment 151

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 b. Highlights the importance of onshore renewables for the public sector including the re-municipalization of energy services, and onsite production on public buildings; emphasis the role of the public sector and energy communities in alleviating energy poverty; stresses that coastal communities should benefit from offshore renewables in a similar way;

Or. en

Amendment 152

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

District heating and cooling

Towards a 100 % renewable based energy system

Or. en

Amendment 153

Grzegorz Tobiszowski

on behalf of the ECR Group

Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Amendment 154

Jessica Stegrud

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that electricity from offshore renewables *can contribute to* the greening of district heating, *decreasing and eventually eliminating its GHG emissions; highlights the potential to incorporate ORE in district heating through clean electricity and heat pumps;*

Amendment

8. Notes that electricity from offshore renewables *is neither a cost efficient nor practical technology for* the greening of district heating;

Amendment 155

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that electricity from offshore renewables can contribute to the greening of district heating, decreasing and eventually eliminating its GHG emissions; highlights the potential to incorporate ORE in district heating through clean electricity and heat pumps;

Amendment

8. Notes that electricity *and direct heating and cooling* from offshore renewables can contribute to the greening of district heating, decreasing and eventually eliminating its GHG emissions; highlights the potential to incorporate ORE in district heating through clean electricity and heat pumps *and sea water air conditioning;*

Amendment 156

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that electricity from offshore renewables can contribute to the greening of district heating, decreasing and eventually eliminating its GHG emissions; highlights the potential to incorporate ORE in district heating through clean electricity and heat pumps;

Amendment

8. Notes that *in some cases* electricity from offshore renewables can contribute to the greening of district heating, decreasing and eventually eliminating its GHG emissions; highlights the potential to incorporate ORE in district heating through clean electricity and heat pumps;

Or. en

Amendment 157

Grzegorz Tobiszowski

on behalf of the ECR Group

Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that electricity from offshore renewables can contribute to ***the greening of district heating, decreasing and eventually eliminating its*** GHG emissions; ***highlights the potential to incorporate ORE in district heating through clean electricity and heat pumps;***

Amendment

8. Notes that electricity from offshore renewables can contribute to ***promoting energy sector integration in order to reduce*** and eventually ***eliminate*** GHG emissions through ***electrification: directly or indirectly in sectors which cannot benefit from direct electrification;***

Or. en

Amendment 158

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Notes that electricity from offshore renewables can contribute to the greening of *district heating, decreasing* and eventually *eliminating its* GHG emissions; *highlights the potential to incorporate ORE in district heating through clean electricity and heat pumps;*

8. Notes that electricity *and direct heating and cooling* from offshore renewables can contribute to the greening of *any end-uses which can use electricity, like heat pumps, leading to a decrease* and eventually *an elimination of* GHG emissions;

Or. en

Amendment 159

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. *Calls to the Commission to analyse best practices from mature district heating and cooling markets with emerging markets; stresses the ability of heating and cooling as sources to flexibility in consumption contributing to grid stability and the uptake of fluctuating renewable energy; stresses that a lack of data and disconnection with building renovation strategies at the municipality level is holding back further integration of renewable energy sources in district heating and cooling markets;*

Or. en

Amendment 160

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. *Reiterates the energy efficiency first principle and the need to reduce overall energy demand; stresses that*

onshore and offshore renewables should be complementary and mutually reinforce the transition to an entirely renewable based energy system;

Or. en

Amendment 161

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Lukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 b. *Stresses the high upfront capital costs associated with the construction and refurbishment of the building stock as well as of the district heating and cooling network, particularly those compatible with renewable energy sources; highlights the role of national and local authorities in strategic planning for heating and cooling and supporting district energy operators by de-risking investments and facilitating access to direct funding from the public sector;*

Or. en

Amendment 162

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Research and development

Research and development, ***innovation & marketscale-up***

Or. en

Amendment 163

Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of multipurpose interconnectors (MPIs); stresses the need to create a long-term framework for MPIs that can efficiently integrate the offshore and onshore markets; calls on the Commission to assist manufacturers of different equipment in developing a common standard that can ensure compatibility and interoperability among interconnectors;

Amendment

9. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of multipurpose interconnectors (MPIs); stresses the need to create a long-term framework for MPIs that can efficiently integrate the offshore and onshore markets; calls on the Commission to assist manufacturers of different equipment in developing a common standard that can ensure compatibility and interoperability among interconnectors; ***highlights that new technologies, such as MPI's, need to be designed, tested, demonstrated and de-risked in order to speed up market entry; calls on for suitable framework conditions in order to ensure a fast development of these technologies;***

Or. en

Amendment 164

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Stresses that further research should be conducted to assess the long-term impact of offshore renewable energy infrastructure throughout their life cycle; as well as to improve our knowledge of the areas they're planned to be developed in; especially recalls that more research is still needed to better understand the environmental impacts of offshore renewable energy developments and their various technologies and technical

options, especially cumulative impacts when infrastructures are deployed at an industrial scale;

Or. en

Amendment 165

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 b. *Believes that research should be supported on nature-inclusive design, so as to reduce the impact on the environment as much as possible from the design phase onwards;*

Or. en

Amendment 166

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 c. *However also recalls that due to the complexity of marine ecosystems, eco-design measures can have both positive and negative environmental impacts and thus require pilot tests, and need to be included and assessed in the Environmental impact assessment of the project;*

Or. en

Amendment 167

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 d. *Reminds that offshore renewable energy projects and their environmental impact assessments (EIA) must follow the mitigation hierarchy approach: avoid, minimize/reduce (restore and compensate in last resort only); recalls that when avoidance is impossible or very limited, reduction measures need to be adopted and effectively implemented during all phases, from site-selection, to exploitation and decommissioning, that includes for instance mandatory mitigation measures against underwater noise in EIA to reduce disturbance of underwater fauna, seabird and bats survey plans in all stages of development;*

Or. en

Amendment 168
Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 e. *Believes that throughout the full project cycle, it is crucial to design, develop and deploy renewable offshore energy in a circular and renewable way; especially stresses that the substantial amount of metals and minerals needed to support the growth of renewable technologies need to be responsibly and circularly sourced;*

Or. en

Amendment 169
Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 f. *Recalls that the average lifespan of wind turbines is around 20-25 years; urges the Commission and Member States to invest in research and development into circular and nature inclusive design of ORE;*

Or. en

Amendment 170
Jutta Paulus
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research ***into and the*** development of floating offshore wind, tidal, ***wave*** and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe;

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research, development ***and market deployment*** of floating offshore wind ***and solar***, tidal ***and wave energy and offshore green hydrogen production*** and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe; ***calls therefore on the Commission and Member States to extend research, development and innovation efforts on floating windfarms and the related transport challenges during their construction and maintenance and to assess the feasibility of establishing an Important Project of Common European interest for a large-scale project;***

Or. en

Amendment 171
Clara Ponsatí Obiols

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of floating offshore wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe;

Amendment

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of floating offshore wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe; ***stresses the importance of funding research on floating offshore technologies, in order to be able to implement offshore wind in deep seas of the EU, such as the Mediterranean Sea; notes that further development of floating offshore technologies would allow to locate ORE infrastructure at larger distances from the coast, thus reducing the visual impact and opening new areas with potentially less impact to marine ecosystems;***

Or. en

Amendment 172

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Jens Geier, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of floating offshore wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe;

Amendment

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of floating offshore wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe; ***in this respect also underlines the need to support research, development, scaling-up and commercialisation of decarbonising the entire value chain of ORE and of technologies using renewable energy sources such as offshore wind power to decarbonise other sectors and of sector***

coupling;

Or. en

Amendment 173

Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of floating offshore wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe;

Amendment

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of ***larger and greener*** floating offshore ***solutions, such as*** wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe ***as well as the equipment necessary to manufacture, transport, install, operate, maintain, decommission and recycle all parts;***

Or. en

Amendment 174

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the ***MSs*** should support ***research into and the development*** of floating offshore wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe;

Amendment

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the ***Member States*** should ***coordinate to*** support ***the deployment and market uptake*** of floating offshore wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe; ***points out that the EU should continue to support research into and the development in these offshore technologies;***

Or. en

Amendment 175

Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica, Susana Solís Pérez, Morten Petersen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of floating offshore wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe;

Amendment

10. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should **further** support research into and the development of floating offshore wind, tidal, wave and current stations, which can be adapted to the different seabed conditions in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 176

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Lukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Highlights the need to exploit ORE in deep waters; highlights that floating technology allows to access to higher and more constant wind speeds which can also minimize the turbine's environmental impact and reduce the pressure associated with coastal planning; calls on the Commission and MS to promote research, development, monitoring, and innovation efforts on innovative technologies such as floating platforms; stresses that it is an outstanding opportunity for the EU to become a global leader in ORE technologies that will be key for decarbonisation;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Highlights that the expansion of ORE will require a large highly specialized and qualified workforce; calls on the Commission and Member States to take the necessary steps to create qualified work with good salaries, good working conditions, ensuring social protection instead of precarious work, atypical contracts or bogus self-employed; stresses that this should include individual and collective workers' rights, social protection and collective agreements as an integral part of the offshore renewable strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 178

Clara Ponsatí Obiols

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Calls for the Commission and MSs to enhance the allocation of research facilities in ORE installations, thus facilitating the development of research projects regarding ORE technologies or other marine and environmental topics, as well as promoting the training of professionals for maintaining the ORE infrastructure;

Or. en

Amendment 179

Grzegorz Tobiszowski
on behalf of the ECR Group
Zdzisław Krasnodebski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Considers it is essential to have key segments of renewable energy value chains within Europe in order to achieve the climate goals and to bring significant economic benefits to Europeans, and calls for adequate measures to support the role of Europe-based content in the RES supply chain and legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 180
Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica, Susana Solís Pérez

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Welcomes that the Commission and the EIB are committed to working together with other financial institutions to support strategic investment in offshore energy through InvestEU;

Or. en

Amendment 181
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Notes the Commission and the

EIB's commitment to supporting strategic investment in ORE through InvestEU, including for higher risk investments that advance EU technological leadership;

Or. en

Amendment 182

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Believes that research should be supported on nature-inclusive design, so as to reduce the impact on the environment as much as possible from the design phase onwards;

Or. en

Amendment 183

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 b. Reminds that offshore renewable energy projects and their environmental impact assessments (EIA) must follow the mitigation hierarchy approach: avoid, minimize/reduce (restore and compensate in last resort only); recalls that when avoidance is impossible or very limited, reduction measures need to be adopted and effectively implemented during all phases, from site-selection, to exploitation and decommissioning. This includes for instance mandatory mitigation measures against under water noise in EIA to

reduce disturbance of underwater fauna, seabird and bats survey plans in all stages of development;

Or. en

Amendment 184

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 b. Underlines the importance of female employment in this highly technical environment of the offshore sector and ensuring the principle of equal pay and equal treatment to meet the needs of a rapidly expanding ORE sector; highlights the IndustriAll Pact for skills project in this regard; stresses the importance of quantified objectives for women employed in the sector in recruitment as well as up- and re-skilling;

Or. en

Amendment 185

Clara Ponsatí Obiols

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 b. Strongly believes that the EU and the MSs should support research into and the development of floating solar energy; stresses the importance of floating solar energy due to its higher efficient use of available space, as well as lower landscape and environmental impact; notes the importance of adapting existing solar photovoltaic panels to resist salty water and withstand strong currents and

wave action;

Or. en

Amendment 186

Christophe Grudler, Klemen Grošelj, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica, Susana Solís Pérez, Morten Petersen

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 b. Calls on the Commission and Member States, in the context of the European Green Deal, to make enhanced use of the Union funds to support the development of offshore renewable energy in outermost territories and islands in order to efficiently limit their dependency on fossil fuels;

Or. en

Amendment 187

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 b. Calls on the EU and MS to support research and development of ORE technologies which will contribute to bridging gaps in renewable energy generation cause by seasonal differences;

Or. en

Amendment 188

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 b. Highlights that the potential for new emerging technologies, such as floating wind; stresses that floating wind can provide promising new outlets for the EU industry and thus boost exports;

Or. en

Amendment 189

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 c. Supports the Commission's ambition to support competent national and regional authorities in creating and delivering ORE-specific education and training programmes and the need to develop a skill pool in the ORE field; calls on the Commission to include the ORE field in its next 'European Skills Agenda' in order to help individuals, MNEs, and SMEs to develop the necessary skills for the ORE sector;

Or. en

Amendment 190

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 c. Believes that throughout the full project cycle, it is crucial to design, develop and deploy renewable offshore energy in a circular and renewable way; especially stresses that the substantial amount of metals and minerals needed to support the growth of renewable technologies need to be responsibly and circularly sourced;

Or. en

Amendment 191

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 c. Stresses the greater opportunity of developing offshore renewable hydrogen that can help to pave the way for the wider development of the renewable hydrogen market; invites the Commission to assess how ORE sources could pave the way for the development of renewable hydrogen production;

Or. en

Amendment 192

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 c. Calls on the Commission, Member States and social partners to take agreements such as tripartite agreements in the Norwegian offshore oil and gas industry into consideration, especially with a view to health and safety at work,

labour standards and working conditions;

Or. en

Amendment 193

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 d. Urges the Commission and Member States to have a particular focus on occupational health and safety requirements in a maritime and offshore environment; points out the work environment in open sea in changing seasons, changeable and extreme weather conditions such as storms, working in heights, technically rough environment including large moving parts, in construction maintenance decommissioning and eco-system restoration including transport on board of ships and other means of transport such as helicopters; calls on the Commission and MS to develop an integrated health and safety framework including provisions for training and re-training;

Or. en

Amendment 194

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 d. Highlights the importance of private and public investments in the ORE sector for the large-scale deployment of

ORE technologies; reiterates the call on the Commission to tailor Horizon Europe to the development, scaling-up and commercialisation of breakthrough technologies and innovations in the Union so as to bridge the gap between innovation and market deployment, by providing risk financing for early-stage technology and demonstration projects and developing early value chains in order to support the development of research infrastructure, also with the aim of reducing the existing gaps between MS;

Or. en

Amendment 195

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 d (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 d. Stresses the need to develop ORE skills, via training, reskilling and upskilling programmes across the supply chain, e.g. in R&D, offshore transport, installation, operation and maintenance, to ensure that there is no labour shortage in the supply chain;

Or. en

Amendment 196

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 e (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 e. Highlights that subcontractors have a higher rate of occupational

accidents; calls for joint and severe liability along the sub-contracting chain for sub-contractors and contractors; calls on the inclusion of contractors and sub-contractors in the health and safety frameworks and into the adequate training in theory and practice;

Or. en

Amendment 197

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skytvedal, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 e. Highlights that improved ORE skills and sector-specific knowledge are assets that can be exported to third countries and thus export EU's export of services and contribute to climate change at global level;

Or. en

Amendment 198

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 f. Calls on Commission particularly the European Labour Authority to assess the development of occupational accidents in the offshore industry;

Or. en

Amendment 199

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 g. The development of the sector requires sufficient and well equipped labour inspectorate; calls on Commission and Member States to provide labour inspections with the necessary means;

Or. en

Amendment 200
Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 h. Calls on the Commission and Member States to establish disputes settlement and clear legal conflict regulation in labour law, due to the fact that hybrid ORE projects could involve more than one Member States , apart from conflict on applicable labour and collective agreements this shall provide solutions for cross border labour inspections; emphasis that in cross border situations the principle of most favourable conditions should have priority as well as a non-regression clause;

Or. en

Amendment 201
Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 i. Urges the Commission and Member States to provide a legislative proposal for a unified EU maritime area to establish minimum labour- and social protection standards, promoting collective agreements and social dialogue; to prevent undermining wage and social protection levels and working conditions along the maritime frontiers and across borders;

Or. en

Amendment 202

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 j. Endorses a just transition of offshore oil- and gas workers towards ORE sector by improving the recognition of their skills and qualifications;

Or. en

Amendment 203

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 k (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 k. Considers ORE a strategic supply chain in tackling the climate emergency;

Or. en

Amendment 204

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 I (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 I. Endorses an active public industrial policy in the ORE supply chain including a public procurement agenda for domestic content to locate qualified industrial workplaces in former coal regions, rural areas and other regions undergoing structural change including landlocked regions to facilitate a just transition that creates real perspectives for the people in these regions; highlights the importance of training and retraining workers including quantified targets for women, young people and disadvantaged groups; calls on Commission and Member States to make effective use of EU funds for training and re-training of workers and to support SMEs in the ORE supply chain;

Or. en

Amendment 205

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

**Motion for a resolution
Subheading 7**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Streamlining the issuing of permits

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 206

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11**

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that the huge interest in ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to urgently simplify the relevant procedures and coordinate their efforts; encourages the MSs to **support the one-stop-shop** proposal;

Amendment

11. **Highlights the need for a more sustainable management of maritime space and coasts to unlock the potential of offshore renewables; insists that a proper Maritime Spatial Planning (MSP) process needs to be accompanied with a solid approach to public participation so that all stakeholders' and coastal communities' views are taken into consideration;** notes that the huge interest in ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to urgently simplify the relevant procedures and coordinate their efforts; encourages the MSs to **embrace the single points of contact** proposal;

Or. en

Amendment 207

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that the huge interest in ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to urgently simplify the relevant procedures and coordinate their efforts; encourages the **MSs** to support the one-stop-shop proposal;

Amendment

11. Notes that the huge interest in ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to urgently simplify the relevant procedures, **facilitate compliance with highest labour, social and environmental standards** and coordinate their efforts; encourages the **Member States** to support the one-stop-shop proposal; **stresses that upholding highest social and environmental standards are more important than the ease of doing business;**

Or. en

Amendment 208

Grzegorz Tobiszowski
on behalf of the ECR Group
Zdzisław Krasnodebski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that the huge interest in ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to urgently simplify the relevant procedures and coordinate their efforts; **encourages the MSs to support the** one-stop-shop proposal;

Amendment

11. Notes that the huge interest in ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to urgently simplify the relevant procedures and coordinate their efforts; **notes the potential advantages of offshore** one-stop-shop proposal **which could speed up the administrative procedures related to offshore projects, while preserving the prerogatives of the Member States to issue required decisions;**

Or. en

Amendment 209
Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that the huge interest in ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to urgently simplify the relevant procedures and coordinate their efforts; encourages the MSs to support the one-stop-shop proposal;

Amendment

11. **Stresses that meeting the 2030 and 2050 targets requires speeding up the deployment of ORE;** notes that the huge interest in ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to urgently simplify the relevant procedures and coordinate their efforts; encourages the MSs to support the one-stop-shop proposal;

Or. en

Amendment 210
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that ***the huge interest in*** ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to ***urgently simplify the relevant procedures and*** coordinate their efforts; ***encourages the MSs to support the one-stop-shop proposal;***

Amendment

11. Notes that ORE will attract an increasingly larger number of permit applications; calls on the MSs to coordinate their efforts ***in order to avoid poorly conceived projects, or projects which could present risks for the environment;***

Or. en

Amendment 211
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Notes that European marine protected area shall be excluded of all ORE projects;

Or. en

Amendment 212
Jutta Paulus
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment; calls on the ***MSs to consider***

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment; calls on the ***MS to set up a***

introducing time limits for issuing permits, including the automatic **granting of permits after deadlines expire**;

transparent process for issuing permits, including the **necessary environmental assessments and studies as well as stakeholder consultations, and to introduce time limits for automatic authorisation when fully complete dossiers have been provided**;

Or. en

Amendment 213
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment; ***calls on the MSs to consider introducing time limits for issuing permits, including the automatic granting of permits after deadlines expire***;

Amendment

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment;

Or. en

Amendment 214
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Tomas Tobé, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid

Amendment

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid

deployment; ***calls on the MSs to consider introducing time limits for issuing permits, including the automatic granting of permits after deadlines expire;***

deployment;

Or. en

Amendment 215
Clara Ponsatí Obiols

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment; calls on the MSs to consider introducing time limits for issuing permits, including the automatic granting of permits after deadlines expire;

Amendment

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment; calls on the MSs to consider introducing time limits for issuing permits, including the automatic granting of permits after deadlines expire; ***notes that the urgency of deploying ORE projects cannot jeopardize biodiversity conservation;***

Or. en

Amendment 216
Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment; ***calls on the MSs to consider***

Amendment

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment; ***stresses the role of the public***

introducing time limits for issuing permits, including the automatic granting of permits after deadlines expire;

sector in planning and implementing projects in order to meet the 2030, 2040 and 2050 goals;

Or. en

Amendment 217

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment; calls on the MSs to *consider introducing time limits for issuing permits, including the automatic granting of permits after deadlines expire;*

Amendment

12. Notes the current lengthy process for launching ORE projects and the urgent need to speed it up in order to reach the 2030 and 2050 goals; notes that streamlining MSs procedures and technical standards will facilitate more rapid deployment; calls on the MSs to *set aside more resources for a fast and efficient* issuing of permits;

Or. en

Amendment 218

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Jens Geier, Łukasz Kohut, Josianne Cutajar, Marek Paweł Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

12 a. Considers it of paramount importance to build a broad public consensus around ORE projects through the involvement of local actors to increase public acceptance of offshore wind and its adherent large infrastructures; calls for a transparent and meaningful involvement of coastal communities, including those

situated in the most peripheral regions and islands, and other stakeholders in projects; stresses the importance to increase citizen's trust into the ability of renewable energy to achieve energy independence and secure energy of supply; encourages the Commission and the MS to develop one-stop shops with streamlined information on financing possibilities for demonstration projects for break through ORE technologies;

Or. en

Amendment 219

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Acknowledges that meeting the 2030 and 2050 targets requires speeding up the deployment of offshore renewable energy; stresses however that this should not been done at the expense of either inclusive, transparent and effective stakeholder engagement in the permitting process, or the necessary impact assessments and required correcting measures; believes that failing to deliver on inclusive and science-based permitting processes is likely to hinder public acceptance as well as to result in irreparable damage to marine ecosystems and local coastal communities;

Or. en

Amendment 220

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Acknowledges that meeting the 2030 and 2050 targets requires speeding up the deployment of offshore renewable energy; stresses however that this should not been done at the expense of either inclusive, transparent and effective stakeholder engagement in the permitting process, or the necessary impact assessments and required correcting measures;

Or. en

Amendment 221

Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Underlines the importance and potential of pre-approved offshore development licensed sites as well as placement of connection and transmission lines in order to remove the uncertainty of projects and reduce delivery times;

Or. en

Amendment 222

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Emphasis that the terms of use of maritime space by private operators must be clearly defined, based on a lease agreements including a sunset clause that returns the area into public hands at the

end of the lease; asks the Commission and Member States to ensure binding conditionalities in order that all decommissioning costs will be covered by the owner of the offshore renewable assets for example through participation in industry led schemes; endorses the precautionary principle and agreements should be based on scientific evidence, avoid impact, minimize impact, restore impact and establish a mandatory financial contribution of the operator for restoration;

Or. en

Amendment 223

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 c. Recalls that the majority of ecological functions cannot be artificially substituted, and only a limited number of ecosystems are likely to be suitable for such compensatory actions; underlines that the possibility to implement restoration operations based on offshore renewable energy infrastructures depends on the nature of the ecosystems that have been altered; stresses therefore the need to approach the possibility to implement restoration operations based on offshore renewable energy infrastructures on a case-by-case basis and based on the precautionary principle;

Or. en

Amendment 224

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between sea space requirements for ORE ***and other interests***; strongly believes that involving renewables developers early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

Amendment

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between sea space requirements for ORE ***full compliance with the Biodiversity Strategy; emphasises that if designed and built sensibly, offshore windfarms can benefit marine biodiversity; recalls, however, that a strong expansion of offshore wind energy production requires an intelligent approach to ensure its coexistence with the activities that already take place in the affected areas as well as to do least possible harm to environment; highlights in that regard that noise pollution from windfarm construction and operation, but especially from maritime transport has a negative impact on the marine ecosystem and should be addressed in environmental legislation***; strongly believes that involving renewables developers early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space; ***stresses that space allocation should be the result of a joint maritime spatial planning (MSP) and integrated coastal management (ICM) that goes beyond national borders; highlights that offshore wind electricity yields surpass those of onshore turbines while causing less acceptance problems with citizens***;

Or. en

Amendment 225
François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; ***underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between sea space requirements for ORE and other interests; strongly believes that involving renewables developers*** early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

Amendment

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; ***stresses that the impact goes far beyond the space allocated to ORE project and recalls that the large-scale roll-out of ORE affects the physical functioning of the sea basin, in particular sea and air currents, which might contribute to a mixing of the stratified water column and consequently influence the nutrient cycle, wave generation, tidal amplitudes and bed load sediment transport, and that the effects of these must be closely monitored, while infrasonic noise from rotating blades could repel fish, as well as marine mammal species, from ORE, and electromagnetic fields from underwater cables, as well as underwater noise from pile driving, could have severe negative impacts on crustaceans and marine life; asks for a binding previous consultation of all concerned actors including local authorities, fishers, environmental associations and coastal communities*** early on in the process, ***that*** will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

Or. en

Amendment 226

Grzegorz Tobiszowski

on behalf of the ECR Group

Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; ***underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between sea***

Amendment

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; ***underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between sea***

space requirements for ORE and other interests; strongly believes that involving renewables developers early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

space requirements for ORE and other interests; strongly believes that involving ***all stakeholders, including*** renewables developers early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space; ***highlights that in order to ensure significant deployment of new offshore wind energy capacities, further research on the multi-use of sea space as well as on enhancing generation efficiency of offshore wind turbines must continue;***

Or. en

Amendment 227

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between sea space requirements for ORE and other interests; strongly believes that involving renewables developers early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

Amendment

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between sea space requirements for ORE and other interests; strongly believes that involving renewables developers early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space; ***calls for a transparent process and accessibility to regional maritime spatial plans in order to facilitate an early and inclusive approach for all stakeholders;***

Or. en

Amendment 228

Francisco José Millán Mon, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; ***underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between*** sea space requirements for ORE and other ***interests***; strongly believes that involving renewables developers early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

Amendment

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; ***highlights the need to guarantee the compatibility of*** sea space requirements for ORE and other ***uses such as fisheries and aquaculture***; strongly believes that involving renewables developers ***as well as fishers and aquaculture produces*** early on in the ***decision-making*** process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

Or. es

Amendment 229

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; ***underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between*** sea space requirements for ORE and other ***interests***; strongly believes that involving ***renewables developers*** early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

Amendment

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; ***underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between*** sea space requirements for ORE and other ***interests***; strongly believes that involving ***civil society, local communities and the scientific community*** early on in the process will contribute to the successful ***protection of the maritime environment and*** allocation of sea space;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skytvedal, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; ***underlines, therefore, the possibility of compatibility between sea space requirements for ORE and other interests***; strongly believes that involving renewables developers early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

Amendment

13. Notes that the total space required to ensure the offshore wind capacity for the northern seas meets the 2050 goals is expected to be 2.8 %; strongly believes that involving renewables developers early on in the process will contribute to the successful allocation of sea space;

Or. en

Amendment 231

Grzegorz Tobiszowski

on behalf of the ECR Group

Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Draws attention to the recommendations of Horizon 2020 funded project on The Multi-Use in European Seas exploring the opportunities for the multi-use in European seas across five EU sea basins; recalls its guidance that sustainable development of the ocean can no longer rely on a single-sector management, but requires a more holistic, integrated approach and that the multi-use is not limited to sharing the ‘same’ maritime space, but should encompass joint use of installations and other assets and joint activities;

Or. en

Amendment 232

Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. *Underlines the urgency of ensuring sufficient area for the development of ORE, and highlights that commercial fishery, navy exercises and a restrictive interpretation of environment protection laws must be adequately balanced with the urgency for large-scale climate mitigation efforts;*

Or. en

Amendment 233
Grzegorz Tobiszowski
on behalf of the ECR Group
Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 b. *Considers that multi-use needs should be pro-actively facilitated and incentivised through public regulatory bodies and respective support programmes, going well beyond mere spatial planning solutions; notes that in developing Maritime Spatial Plans Member States were asked to seek not only best available data and broad public participation, but also opportunities for co-location of maritime activities;*

Or. en

Amendment 234
Jutta Paulus
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Notes that pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2018/1999, MSs were required to submit their national energy and climate plans (NECPs) by 31 December 2019 and are required to submit a progress report every two years; notes that pursuant to Directive (EU) 2014/89, the MSs were required to draw up maritime spatial plans (MSPs) by 31 March 2021; notes the risk of incompatibility of the NECPs and MSPs as regards space allocation; stresses that urgent alignment of the MSP Directive and NECP Regulation as well as other relevant EU legislation is needed; urges the MSs to immediately coordinate and lay out plans for the **230 GW** post-2030 offshore development;

Amendment

14. Notes that pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2018/1999, MSs were required to submit their national energy and climate plans (NECPs) by 31 December 2019 and are required to submit a progress report every two years; notes that pursuant to Directive (EU) 2014/89, the MSs were required to draw up maritime spatial plans (MSPs) by 31 March 2021; ***deplores that not all MS have submitted their MSPs yet and urges the Commission to take action on this failure***; notes the risk of incompatibility of the NECPs and MSPs as regards space allocation; stresses that urgent alignment of the MSP Directive and NECP Regulation as well as other relevant EU legislation is needed; urges the MSs to immediately coordinate and lay out plans for the post-2030 offshore development;

Or. en

Amendment 235

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Calls on all Member States to apply an ecosystem-based approach to Maritime Spatial Planning as referred to in Article 1(3) of Directive 2008/56/EC and Article 5(1) of Directive 2014/89/EU with the aim of ensuring the collective pressure of all activities is kept within levels compatible with the achievement of good environmental status while contributing to the sustainable use of marine goods and services; welcomes, in this regard, the Strategy's aim of providing a long-term framework that

promotes sound coexistence between offshore installations and other uses of the sea space, contributes to the protection of the environment and allows for thriving fishing communities;

Or. en

Amendment 236

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Stresses that the uptake of ORE is dependent on the collective effort of the public and private sector; highlights the role that publicly owned companies can play in achieving systemic change towards a green and social transition to meet the climate emergency including the expansion of ORE; calls on the revision of state aid rules and public procurement to provide more flexibility in implementing political decisions pertaining to the green and social transition including ORE; calls on the revision of fiscal rules to enable Member States to make the investments to preserve an inhabitable planet for future generations;

Or. en

Amendment 237

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skytvedal, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Calls on the Commission and the

Member States to adopt a full and holistic life-cycle approach when planning and deploying the 340 GW of ORE by 2050; stresses that the production and deployment of wind turbines are carbon intensive and environmental challenging arrangements which should be included in the relevant impact assessment at EU and Member State level;

Or. en

Amendment 238

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Urges the Commission to set up a simple monitoring framework that can secure a transparent and efficient reporting of the progress of the deployment of ORE as to whether member states are on track to reach the 2030 and 2050 GW-targets; the Commission should report to Parliament and MS biennial whether the deployment of ORE is on track;

Or. en

Amendment 239

Clara Ponsatí Obiols

**Motion for a resolution
Subheading 8 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Taking into account local authorities and communities

Or. en

Amendment 240

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Calls on all Member States to apply an ecosystem-based approach to Maritime Spatial Planning as referred to in Article 1(3) of Directive 2008/56/EC and Article 5(1) of Directive 2014/89/EU with the aim of ensuring the collective pressure of all activities is kept within levels compatible with the achievement of good environmental status while contributing to the sustainable use of marine goods and services; welcomes, in this regard, the Strategy's aim of providing along-term framework that promotes sound coexistence between offshore installations and other uses of the sea space, contributes to the protection of the environment and allows for thriving local fishing communities ;

Or. en

Amendment 241

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Sara Skytvedal, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Calls on the Commission to conduct an analysis of the impacts of the decommissioning of offshore installations and to adopt, if necessary, a EU strategy on sustainable decommissioning of offshore installations in order to minimise environmental, safety, and economic impacts; highlights that such a strategy

such include the dismantling of the existing installations and for future decommissioning activities; stresses that a future EU-wide legal framework only is necessary if the analysis shows significant shortcomings of the current legal framework and instruments in the EU Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 242
Clara Ponsatí Obiols

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Stresses the need for MSs to efficiently coordinate with those local authorities, stakeholders and communities directly affected by ORE projects, to facilitate consensus and participation during the planning, design and implementation phases; notes the positive impact of collaborative funding, thus allowing the local communities and SMEs to invest, even in small contributions, in those ORE projects planned in their area, hence increasing the acceptance of the infrastructure and creating a positive economic impact in the region;

Or. en

Amendment 243
Jutta Paulus
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Believes that, as a principle, any

human activities, including renewable energy must not be allowed in EU strictly protected areas designated as such under the EU Biodiversity Strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 244

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 c. Believes that, as a first principle, renewable energy developments should not be placed within Marine Protected Areas and other ecologically valuable areas for sensitive species and habitats; stresses that in particular, they must not be allowed in EU strictly protected areas designated as such under the EU Biodiversity Strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 245

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 c. Calls for an EU-wide landfill ban on decommissioned wind turbine blades by 2025 in order to ensure circularity, minimise the negative environmental impacts and increase the level of soil protection in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 246

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 d. Calls for grid networks to avoid Marine Protected Areas, as a first principle and to completely avoid passing through strictly protected areas; calls for grid connections that are bound to lie within MPAs, to have reduced environmental impacts as much as possible and favour the least impactful routes;

Or. en

Amendment 247

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Jerzy Buzek, Sara Skyttedal, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 d. Notes the need for a revision of the EU regulation on nature protection and restoration in order to strike a future-proof balance between facilitating the needed industrial framework for an ORE scale-up and balanced nature protection;

Or. en

Amendment 248

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard, Marc Botenga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 e. Recalls that offshore renewable energy projects also have socio-economic impacts, which must be assessed before the implementation of a project, with an integrated management approach via marine spatial planning; therefore stresses the need for transparent and meaningful involvement of coastal communities and other stakeholders in projects; highlights that this is also crucial in preventing and remediating conflicts with other sea space users and uses;

Or. en

Amendment 249

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 f. Calls for energy projects to be developed in full respect of the Partnership Principle, as enshrined by the European Code of Conduct on Partnership, in line with best community led local development practices, and should be included under development plans created at the local and regional level which aim to develop secure supply chains and decent jobs; stresses that offshore renewables projects should always benefit local communities and contribute to a distributive transformation of the energy sector;

Or. en

Amendment 250

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Market design

Market design *and existing funding instruments*

Or. en

Amendment 251

Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Tender process

Or. en

Amendment 252

Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Industrial policy

Or. en

Amendment 253

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Stresses that the uptake of ORE is dependent on the adequate implementation of well-designed market rules;

15. Stresses that the uptake of ORE is dependent on the adequate implementation of well-designed market rules; *highlights that without a rapid phase out of fossil*

fuels and fossil fuel subsidies and a massive increase in offshore wind energy production, realisation of renewable energy goals and limiting global warming to less than 1.5 °C by the end of the century would be impossible; reminds that islands are particularly affected by sea level rise;

Or. en

Amendment 254
Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Stresses that the uptake of ORE is dependent on the adequate implementation of well-designed market rules;

Amendment

15. Stresses that the uptake of ORE is dependent on the adequate implementation of well-designed market rules;
highlights that the cost of offshore wind has fallen dramatically the last two decades, and, as a result, calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure the best possible framework conditions for a market driven offshore wind development;

Or. en

Amendment 255
Grzegorz Tobiszowski
on behalf of the ECR Group
Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Stresses that the uptake of ORE is dependent on the adequate implementation of well-designed market rules;

Amendment

15. Stresses that the uptake of ORE is dependent on the adequate implementation of well-designed market rules *and predictable and stable regulatory*

framework given the long duration of such investments;

Or. en

Amendment 256

Markus Buchheit, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Stresses that the uptake of ORE is dependent on the adequate implementation of well-designed market rules;

Amendment

15. Stresses that the uptake of ORE is dependent on the adequate implementation of well-designed market rules ***and is accompanied by an internalization of external costs;***

Or. en

Amendment 257

Markus Buchheit, Sylvia Limmer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Calls for wind turbines that have fallen out of subsidy after 20 years to be allowed to participate in the market without subsidies and feed-in priority; wind turbines must be completely dismantled, including the more robust and stable concrete basements; it should be noted that the amounts of carbon emissions in the production of cement must be included in the overall energy and greenhouse footprint;

Or. en

Amendment 258

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Lukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Stresses the need for a market design that is fully compatible with that of onshore; offshore infrastructure at transmission level should be regulated based on unbundling rules with a clearly defined separation of roles and responsibilities in terms of systems responsibility, third party access, as well as transparent tariffs and conditions;

Or. en

Amendment 259
Jessica Stegrud

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Stresses furthermore that electricity generated by ORE is mostly irregular and weather dependent, therefore its uptake by the electricity grid must be handled in the respective regional context and the quantities of ORE must accordingly be adapted to local constraints;

Or. en

Amendment 260
Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Calls on the Commission to ensure competitiveness if the Commission finds it necessary to revise the current State aid guidelines; stresses that any revisions should ensure cost efficiency and limit distortion within the Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 261

Jessica Stegrud

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Calls for the recalculation of the distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring the right incentives and a stable regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects; invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 262

Markus Buchheit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Calls for the recalculation of the distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring the right incentives and a stable

16. Calls for the recalculation of the distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring the right incentives and a stable

regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects; *invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects;*

regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects;

Or. en

Amendment 263

Cornelia Ernst, Marisa Matias, Manuel Bompard

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the recalculation of the distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring the right incentives and a stable regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects; invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects;

Amendment

16. Calls for the recalculation of the distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring the right incentives and a stable regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects; invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects *including industry led schemes for ecosystem restoration;*

Or. en

Amendment 264

Niels Fuglsang, Cyrus Engerer, Nicolás González Casares, Łukasz Kohut, Marek Pawel Balt, Dan Nica, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Robert Hajšel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for *the recalculation of* the

Amendment

16. Calls for the distribution of costs

distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring the right incentives and a stable regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects; invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects;

and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE *to be sustainable and socio-economic viable*, ensuring the right incentives and a stable regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects; invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects;

Or. en

Amendment 265

Grzegorz Tobiszowski

on behalf of the ECR Group

Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the recalculation of the distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring the right incentives and a stable regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects; invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects;

Amendment

16. Calls for the recalculation of the distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring the right incentives and a stable regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching **cross-border** offshore renewable projects; invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects;

Or. en

Amendment 266

François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls for the recalculation of the distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring ***the right incentives and*** a stable regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects; invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects;

Amendment

16. Calls for the recalculation of the distribution of costs and benefits between the generation and transmission of ORE, ensuring a stable regulatory framework for developers; stresses that uncertainty regarding the distribution of costs and benefits is deterring companies from launching offshore renewable projects; invites the Commission to expedite the publishing of EU guidance on sharing the costs and benefits of offshore hybrid projects;

Or. en

Amendment 267

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Stresses that existing EU funding instruments, such as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), can support mobilizing needed funding to promote cross-border renewable energy solutions and joint projects in the EU; notes that the CEF can be used to identify potential offshore development sites, fund the necessary studies and to fund construction works, for projects between two or more EU Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 268

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. *Calls on relevant Member States to considering joint cross-border development projects of floating wind farms through the CEF; highlights that floating applications appear to become a feasible option for Member States and regions with deeper sea basins (notably the Atlantic, the Mediterranean and the Black Sea); notes that emerging technology for floating offshore wind in deep waters is progressing steadily towards commercial viability and would benefit EU cross-border projects through the CEF; reminds that CEF has already funded offshore energy projects, such as the North Sea Wind Power Hub project;*

Or. en

Amendment 269

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 c. *Calls on the Commission to assess the current EU Public Procurement Directive and revise it if significant shortcomings are found in the current legal framework; stresses the necessity to ensure a strong level-playing field for businesses and the added value of minimum harmonised public procurement rules in the EU;*

Or. en

Amendment 270

Pernille Weiss, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 d. *Calls on the Commission to encourage Members States, where relevant, to include offshore renewable projects in their National Recovery and Resilience Plans and other national programmes financed through EU funds;*

Or. en

Amendment 271
Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms that prevent the further successful integration of offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse existing bidding zones and their suitability for the integration of the growing capacity for offshore renewables; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration;

Amendment

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms that prevent the further successful integration of offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse existing bidding zones and their suitability for the integration of the growing capacity for offshore renewables; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration; ***calls on the Commission to examine better development conditions in hybrid projects in order to ensure better implementation ORE hybrids and more flexible terms to enhance innovation;***

Or. en

Amendment 272

Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms that prevent the further successful integration of offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse existing bidding zones and their suitability for the integration of the growing capacity for offshore renewables; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration;

Amendment

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms that prevent the further successful integration of offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse existing bidding zones and their suitability for the integration of the growing capacity for offshore renewables; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration; ***recognizes that tariffs should accommodate the risks of being industrial first-mover investing in deployment of new technology;***

Or. en

Amendment 273

Markus Buchheit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms that prevent the further successful integration of

Amendment

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms ***as those described in Article 16*** that prevent

offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse existing bidding zones and their suitability for the integration of the growing capacity for offshore renewables; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration;

the further successful integration of offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse existing bidding zones and their suitability for the integration of the growing capacity for offshore renewables; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration;

Or. en

Amendment 274

Jutta Paulus

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms that prevent the further successful integration of offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse **existing** bidding zones **and their suitability** for the integration of the growing capacity for offshore renewables; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration;

Amendment

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms that prevent the further successful integration of offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse **the option of creating dedicated offshore** bidding zones for the integration of the growing capacity for offshore renewables; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration;

Or. en

Amendment 275

Grzegorz Tobiszowski

on behalf of the ECR Group

Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Beata Szydło, Elżbieta Kruk

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms that prevent the further successful integration of offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse existing bidding zones and their suitability for the integration of the **growing capacity for offshore renewables**; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration;

Amendment

17. Calls for a revision of the existing regulatory framework governing EU electricity markets in order to facilitate the uptake of ORE and eliminate artificial trade barriers, fixed prices, subsidies and other market-distorting mechanisms that prevent the further successful integration of offshore renewables; calls on the Commission and the MSs to carefully analyse existing bidding zones and their suitability for the integration of the offshore **hybrid projects**; invites the Commission to identify existing regulatory mechanisms that successfully promote the integration of offshore renewables in a well-functioning energy market, as part of a future-proof model including the facilitation of hybrid projects and new forms of collaboration;

Or. en

Amendment 276

Robert Roos

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. whereas wind energy, both onshore and offshore, requires a full fossil-fuel backup and major adjustments to the electricity grid, it is an uneconomic investment which, moreover, is detrimental to biodiversity; whereas wind energy does not contribute to a stable energy system; calls on Member States to

get to work on building nuclear power plants and to provide the necessary incentives in that regard; calls on the Commission to accord nuclear energy the most favourable regime possible in the taxonomy regulation, as nuclear energy is a proven and safe means of generating cheap and clean electricity

Or. nl

Amendment 277

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Calls on the Commission to clarify the entire regulatory framework and focus, in particular on offshore bidding zones for hybrid projects, in the market guidance staff working document accompanying this strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 278

François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Asks for public, transparent and neutral economic impact assessments, including global cost, consequences on economy and employment, upstream of any ORE projects;

Or. en

Amendment 279

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 b. Underlines the need for a market design that is fully compatible with that of ORE, including the need for ensuring an optimal ORE bidding zone configuration; ORE infrastructure at transmission level should be regulated based on unbundling rules with a clearly defined separation of roles and responsibilities in terms of systems responsibility, third party access, as well as transparent tariffs and conditions and thus contributing to the Single Market and the Energy Union;

Or. en

Amendment 280

François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 b. Given the large amounts of public money involved in energy projects in general, asks the Member States and the Commission to strengthen their vigilance and the transparency requirements to avoid any risk of bribery or misappropriation of funds;

Or. en

Amendment 281

Pernille Weiss, Christian Ehler, Henna Virkkunen, Seán Kelly, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 c. *Recognises the fact that the Commission can impose duties to counteract a subsidy in a third country; deplores, however, that the negative impact circumstances for the European wind turbine industry due to the anti-subsidy measures on Chinese steel that have been in place since 2016;*

Or. en

**Amendment 282
Pernille Weiss**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 d (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 d. *Recognises that the clean energy transition requires sustainability and carbon footprint across the value chain to be taken into consideration when exploiting ORE; stresses that offshore tender processes should include sustainability criteria, such as life-cycle assessment based on the Greenhouse Gas Protocol;*

Or. en

**Amendment 283
Pernille Weiss**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 e (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 e. *Highlights that European companies are world leaders and industrial first-movers in ORE and that the sector holds an untapped potential for*

further creation of jobs, growth and exports; calls for European leadership in the renewable industry and its supply chains be as part of EU's industrial policy; stresses that the exploitation of offshore resources require huge investments and long term patient capital; underlines the need for sufficient and skilled workforce to meet the demand for new qualified labor from the industry;

Or. en

Amendment 284
Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 f. Stresses that Europe would benefit from building a strong home market for offshore wind so as for Europe to further expand its technological leadership in this area and thus create new global export opportunities for European industry; emphasises that the offshore markets are no longer exclusively found in the North Sea; believes that ORE development in the Baltic Sea, the Atlantic and the Mediterranean holds great potential for Europe's green energy transition and EU's industry;

Or. en

Amendment 285
Pernille Weiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 g. Recognises that green hydrogen

will play a key role in EU's path to carbon neutrality by 2050; stresses that ORE, due to the sheer project scale and high capacity, will play an essential role for the accelerating of green hydrogen production; believes that support for research and development is required so as to incentivize industry to offtake green hydrogen in the market via large commercial projects, thus creating a real sustainable demand in sectors such as heavy transport;

Or. en