European Parliament
2019-2024

Committee on Industry, Research and Energy

2021/0227(BUD)

6.9.2021

AMENDMENTS
1 - 40

Draft opinion
Christian Ehler
(PE696.282v02-00)

Draft general budget of the European Union for the financial year 2022 - General Introduction - Total expenditure - General statement of revenue - Statement of revenue and expenditure by section (2021/0227(BUD))
Amendment 1
Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the draft budget for 2022 as the first general budget with full implementation of the MFF Agreement, with the highest share of climate-relevant EU expenditure to date and a significant contribution to the EU’s digital transition; considers that the budget should fully align with the updated New Industrial Strategy; stresses that 2022 should be a year for boosting a rapid recovery for a resilient Europe;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the draft budget for 2022 as the first general budget with full implementation of the MFF Agreement, including the relative share of climate-relevant EU expenditure and the contribution to the EU’s digital transition; stresses again that this budget should be fully aligned with the Paris Agreement and the European Green Deal, ultimately contributing to achieve the revised 2030 EU climate, energy and environmental targets and the objective of making the EU climate-neutral by 2050 at the latest and, in turn, prompting the updated New Industrial Strategy; stresses that 2022 should be a year for boosting a rapid recovery for a socially, environmentally and economically resilient Europe;

Amendment 2
Robert Hajšel, Carlos Zorrinho, Łukasz Kohut, Ivo Hristov, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Jens Geier, Nicolás González Casares, Lina Gálvez Muñoz, Dan Nica, Josianne Cutajar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the draft budget for 2022 as the first general budget with full implementation of the MFF Agreement, with the highest share of climate-relevant EU expenditure to date and a significant contribution to the EU’s digital transition; considers that the budget should fully align

Amendment

1. Welcomes the draft budget for 2022 as the first general budget with full implementation of the MFF Agreement, with the highest share of climate-relevant EU expenditure to date and a significant contribution to the EU’s digital transition; underlines the importance of ensuring
with the updated New Industrial Strategy; stresses that 2022 should be a year for boosting a rapid recovery for a resilient Europe;

sufficient funding in order to contribute to the twin transition towards the achievement of the 2030 energy and climate targets and climate-neutrality by 2050; considers that the budget should fully align with the updated New Industrial Strategy; stresses that 2022 should be a year for boosting a rapid recovery for a resilient Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Elżbieta Kruk, Izabela-Helena Kloc

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

1. Welcomes the draft budget for 2022 as the first general budget with full implementation of the MFF Agreement, with the highest share of climate-relevant EU expenditure to date and a significant contribution to the EU’s digital transition; considers that the budget should fully align with the updated New Industrial Strategy; stresses that 2022 should be a year for boosting a rapid recovery for a resilient Europe;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the draft budget for 2022 as the first general budget with full implementation of the MFF Agreement, with the highest share of climate-relevant EU expenditure to date and a significant contribution to the EU’s digital transition; considers that the budget should fully align with the updated New Industrial Strategy and adequately alleviate the effects of the economic downturn; stresses that 2022 should be a year for boosting a rapid recovery for a resilient Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Rasmus Andresen on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

1 a. Urges, in light of the climate emergency and the alarming findings of
the 6th IPCC Assessment Report, for a substantial increase of the climate expenditure in the 2022 budget and to use available margins and flexibility instruments to strengthen the Union’s programmes supporting the ecological, energy and resource-efficient transition; believes, moreover, that the Union funds spent for harmful projects or false climate solutions, such as ITER, which has accumulated substantial technological delays and costs overruns, should be redirected into the development and deployment of energy- and resource-efficiency measures and sustainable renewable energy solutions that are already available or will be available in the near future in order to deliver the EU climate and energy goals;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Christian Ehler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

1 a. Takes note of the Council’s position on the 2022 budget; condemns strongly the cuts proposed to the Union programmes in the industry, research and energy areas, particularly considering that these programmes represent essential investments for recovery, and in the sustainability and digital transitions as well as Europe’s competitiveness, resilience and strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Robert Hajšel, Carlos Zorrinho, Łukasz Kohut, Ivo Hristov, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Jens Geier, Nicolás González Casares, Lina Gálvez Muñoz, Dan Nica
Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

1 a. Recalls its resolution of 16 September 2020 on the draft Council decision on the system of own resources of the EU; calls on Commission to ensure timely implementation of New Own resources as agreed in the Interinstitutional agreement of 16 December 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

1 b. Reiterates its call for the phase-out of harmful subsidies and for coherence between all Union funds and programmes; insists that projects and programmes which are inconsistent with the objective of limiting global warming to under 1.5°C, or with the objective of halting and reversing biodiversity loss, should not be eligible for support under the Union budget;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Christian Ehler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)
1 b. Recalls the agreement on the MFF, including on the NGEU funding and the additional funding of EUR 4 billion for Horizon Europe; Considers the cuts proposed by the Council to run contrary to these agreements; intends to hold the Council to its commitments to the recovery of Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Robert Hajšel, Carlos Zorrinho, Łukasz Kohut, Ivo Hristov, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Jens Geier, Nicolás González Casares, Josianne Cutajar, Lina Gálvez Muñoz, Dan Nica

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

1 b. Reminds of the crucial role of strategic foresight and importance of the evidence based anticipatory policy making;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 c (new)

1 c. Demands that all infrastructure investments are in line with the ‘energy efficiency first’ principle and resilient to adverse climate change impacts, and that they contribute to the objective of limiting global warming to under 1.5°C; calls for the integration of GHG emissions in the
mandatory cost-benefit analysis over the lifecycle of the project against the most likely baseline and the explicit consideration of related additional investments and lock-in effects to avoid stranded assets;

Or. en

Amendment 11

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion  Amendment

1 c. Reminds that ambitious policies in pathway to climate neutrality require significant investments in research and innovation and in this regard regrets recent Council cuts in allocations to Horizon Europe for 2022;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion  Amendment

1 d. Welcomes the dedicated focus in the Commission's performance reporting on climate and biodiversity mainstreaming and calls on the Commission to refine and develop it further; reminds the Commission to fully involve the Parliament; emphasizes that the Commission needs to establish the effective methodology, in particular for
tracking halting and reversing biodiversity loss, before the presentation of the draft budget for 2023 in order to ensure that the target set out in the IIA of 16 December 2020 for 2024 can be met effectively; reminds that the implementation of the principle as regards biodiversity must go beyond the full respect of relevant Union acquis; calls on the Commission to assess whether the national recovery and resilience plans collectively put the Union on track towards achieving the 7.5% biodiversity spending target by 2024 (and 10% in 2026 and 2027) and to report the assessment to Parliament;

Amendment 13
Robert Hajšel, Carlos Zorrinho, Łukasz Kohut, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Niels Fuglsang, Jens Geier, Nicolás González Casares, Lina Gálvez Muñoz, Dan Nica, Josianne Cutajar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion

1 d. Welcomes the Commission’s ambition to strengthen Union competitiveness, strategic autonomy and resilience and in this context recalls the success of the European Battery Alliance that in line with EGD, Circular Economy Action Plan and New Industrial Strategy aims to contribute to clean and digital transition by creating a competitive, circular, sustainable and safe value chain for batteries, crucial for future low-emissions mobility and energy storage and can, therefore, serve as a good example;

Amendment 14
Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 e (new)

Draft opinion

1 e. Reminds that the implementation of the Green New Deal requires the MFF and NGEU funding to be awarded exclusively to activities in line with the 'do no significant harm' principle and consistent with the Paris Agreement goal to limit the temperature rise to under 1.5°C; expects that the next performance report includes details on how the Union budget contributes to the Green New Deal, in particular though the implementation of the 'do no significant harm principle'; stresses that the guidance published for the RRF should be seen as the standard for all other expenditures of the same nature, in particular must serve as a basis for the partnership agreements;

Amendment 15

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 e (new)

Draft opinion

1 e. Stresses the importance of achieving the biodiversity spending level of 10% and a climate mainstreaming spending level of 30% agreed under the 2021-2027 MFF; stresses that clear eligibility criteria and comprehensive methodology for defining and tracking relevant climate and biodiversity expenditure in line with the ‘do no harm’
principle are necessary, together with the corresponding correction measures, and the proofing mechanism to identify potential harmful impacts of Union actions on biodiversity and climate;

Amendment 16
Robert Hajšel, Carlos Zorrinho, Łukasz Kohut, Ivo Hristov, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Jens Geier, Nicolás González Casares, Josianne Cutajar, Lina Gálvez Muñoz, Dan Nica

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 f. Calls for addressing the social, economic, energy and environmental impacts of the transition whilst maintaining and expanding employment opportunities in the affected territories in order to avoid social exclusion; points, in this respect, to the role of the Just Transition Fund in addressing societal, socio-economic, technological and environmental impacts on workers, sectors and communities adversely affected by the transition from coal and carbon dependence; calls for securing workers’ rights, reducing of the energy poverty and avoiding deepening of inequalities;

Amendment 17
Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment
Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 g. Underlines the important role that SMEs, microenterprises and start-ups play in the recovery while maintaining employment and growth and calls on Union and Member States to ensure stronger support for SMEs and start-ups and to further reduce their administrative burden; underlines that SMEs are an essential part of the European economy and stresses the need to create a SMEs-friendly business environment and support SMEs cluster and network; Calls for the need for sufficient financial resources in the SME window under InvestEU;

Or. en
Robert Hajšel, Carlos Zorrinho, Łukasz Kohut, Ivo Hristov, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Jens Geier, Nicolás González Casares, Josianne Cutajar, Lina Gálvez Muñoz, Dan Nica

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 h (new)

Draft opinion  Amendment

1 h. Underlines the need to reform the European industry and hard-to-abate sectors in order to achieve a competitive and sustainable Union and its climate goals; stresses, therefore, the need for sufficient funding for programmes supporting these goals, innovative solutions and breakthrough technologies such as Horizon Europe, CEF, InvestEU and the Fuel Cells and Hydrogen 2 (FCH 2) Joint Undertaking;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Robert Hajšel, Carlos Zorrinho, Łukasz Kohut, Ivo Hristov, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Jens Geier, Nicolás González Casares, Josianne Cutajar, Lina Gálvez Muñoz, Dan Nica

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 i (new)

Draft opinion  Amendment

1 i. Insists on the importance of increased investments in digitalisation and digital connectivity to clearly define 2030 digital target and tackle challenges related to reducing the digital divide and digital literacy, cybersecurity, free flow of data, safety, liability and artificial intelligence;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Robert Hajšel, Carlos Zorrinho, Łukasz Kohut, Ivo Hristov, Maria-Manuel Leitão-Marques, Jens Geier, Nicolás González Casares, Josianne Cutajar, Lina Gálvez Muñoz, Dan Nica
1 j. Stresses the importance of successful implementation of 'Fit for 55' package; highlights the essential role of implementing the Union's Circular Economy Action Plan in order to achieve the mentioned policies;

Amendment

2. Highlights the need to support research and innovation in the context of the current pandemic and the EU’s green and digital ambitions; Calls for making available to Horizon Europe Programme the research decommitments from 2020 in line with Article 15(3) of the Financial Regulation; calls for the expenditure on health research from the Union budget to be at least equal to that in the 2021 general budget, given the evolving pandemic situation; calls for allocation of Next Generation EU funds to sectors hard hit by the crisis and to instruments that can contribute directly to the recovery of the European economy;

Amendment

2. Highlights the need to further support research and innovation as a driver for prosperity in the context of the recovery from the current pandemic and the EU’s green and digital ambitions; Calls for making available to Horizon Europe Programme the research decommitments from 2020 in line with Article 15(3) of the Financial Regulation; calls for the expenditure on health research from the Union budget to be at least equal to that in the 2021 general budget, given the evolving pandemic situation; calls for allocation of Next Generation EU funds to sectors hard hit by the crisis and to instruments that can contribute directly to the recovery while spurring the ecological and sustainable digital transition of the European economy; believes that the digital transition should itself facilitate and contribute to the green transition and highlights the importance of further
greening of the ICT sector;

Amendment 23
Giorgos Georgiou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights the need to support research and innovation in the context of the current pandemic and the EU’s green and digital ambitions; Calls for making available to Horizon Europe Programme the research decommitments from 2020 in line with Article 15(3) of the Financial Regulation; calls for the expenditure on health research from the Union budget to be at least equal to that in the 2021 general budget, given the evolving pandemic situation; calls for allocation of Next Generation EU funds to sectors hard hit by the crisis and to instruments that can contribute directly to the recovery of the European economy;

Amendment

2. Highlights the need to support research and innovation in the context of the current pandemic and the EU’s green and digital ambitions with a view to improving access to knowledge, promoting social development, tackling climate change, raising quality of living standards and achieving the Sustainable Developments Goals; Calls for making available to Horizon Europe Programme the research decommitments from 2020 in line with Article 15(3) of the Financial Regulation; calls for the expenditure on health research from the Union budget to be at least equal to that in the 2021 general budget, given the evolving pandemic situation; calls for allocation of Next Generation EU funds to sectors hard hit by the crisis and to instruments that can contribute directly to the recovery of the European economy;

Amendment 24
Valérie Hayer, Mauri Pekkarinen, Christophe Grudler, Dominique Riquet, Martina Dlabajová, Nicola Danti, Iskra Mihaylova

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights the need to support

Amendment

2. Highlights the need to support
research and innovation in the context of the current pandemic and the EU’s green and digital ambitions; Calls for making available to Horizon Europe Programme the research decommitments from 2020 in line with Article 15(3) of the Financial Regulation; calls for the expenditure on health research from the Union budget to be at least equal to that in the 2021 general budget, given the evolving pandemic situation; calls for allocation of Next Generation EU funds to sectors hard hit by the crisis and to instruments that can contribute directly to the recovery of the European economy; underlines the role of the EIC and EIT in supporting research and innovation in the Union, and the need to increase their financial resources in 2022;

Amendment 25
Elżbieta Kruk, Izabela-Helena Kloc

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights the need to support research and innovation in the context of the current pandemic and the EU’s green and digital ambitions; Calls for making available to Horizon Europe Programme the research decommitments from 2020 in line with Article 15(3) of the Financial Regulation; calls for the expenditure on health research from the Union budget to be at least equal to that in the 2021 general budget, given the evolving pandemic situation; calls for allocation of Next Generation EU funds to sectors hard hit by the crisis and to instruments that can contribute directly to the recovery of the European economy;

Amendment

2. Highlights the need to support research and innovation in the context of the challenges arising from the EU’s green and digital ambitions; Calls for making available to Horizon Europe Programme the research decommitments from 2020 in line with Article 15(3) of the Financial Regulation; calls for the expenditure on health research from the Union budget to be at least equal to that in the 2021 general budget; calls for allocation of Next Generation EU funds to sectors hard hit by the crisis and to instruments that can contribute directly to the recovery of the European economy;
Amendment 26
Giorgos Georgiou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2 a. Reiterates that Union budget should benefit the people and primarily be focused on sustainable development and quality jobs, energy transition to more efficient and renewable-based energy system, fight against climate change, and eradicate energy poverty. Underlines, in that regard, that public money shall not be spent for military investments or defence implications.

Or. en

Amendment 27
Christian Ehler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2 a. Highlights that the Union budget should support academic freedom and institutional autonomy across Europe and the world; calls for the Union budget to offer support to Afghan scholars now facing dire circumstances as academic freedom is likely to disappear under the Taliban-lead regime;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Christian Ehler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

2 b. Supports the pilot project for setting up a Fellowship Scheme for Researchers At Risk, which should enable the Union to directly support scholars at risk, like those in Afghanistan;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 29
Giorgos Georgiou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

2 b. Stresses that in accordance with Article 41(2) TEU any expenditure arising from actions having military or defence implications should not be charged to the Union budget.

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 30
Christian Ehler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

2 c. Reiterates its support for an industrial policy based on an ecosystem approach that supports competitiveness and strengthens resilience of the Union while facilitating the ecological and digital transitions; highlights the need for alignment of investment across Union Programmes and actions, including Joint Undertakings, Industrial Alliances and IPCEIs where appropriate,
to efficiently address the needs of each ecosystem;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Giorgos Georgiou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

2 c. Calls for additional funds to accelerate the development and deployment of renewable energy sources and energy efficiency measures in order to meet the commitments under the Paris Agreement and to accelerate clean energy transition/ or transition to net-zero greenhouse gas emissions;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Giorgos Georgiou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Regrets the lack of ambition of draft budget for 2022 in regards to SME policy; underlines that without additional support it will not be possible to address adequately the severe, long-term consequences of the crisis on SMEs.

Amendment

3. Regrets the lack of ambition of draft budget for 2022 in regards to micro and SME policy; Underlines that given the current economic situation, it is highly important to establish and strengthen the support mechanism for micro-, small and medium-sized enterprises. Without additional support it will not be possible to address adequately the severe, long-term consequences of the crisis on micro and SMEs. Calls, therefore, for stronger support in the 2022 budget for micro and SMEs and their representative associations, thus helping to support
development, improve access to technology and promote better labour conditions.

Amendment 33
Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

3. Regrets the lack of ambition of draft budget for 2022 in regards to SME policy; underlines that without additional support it will not be possible to address adequately the severe, long-term consequences of the crisis on SMEs.

Amendment

3. Regrets the lack of ambition of draft budget for 2022 in regards to SME policy; underlines the need for additional support to address adequately the severe, long-term consequences of the crisis and to enable SMEs and micro-enterprises in particular to take full advantage of the opportunities arising from the Green Deal and to successfully and swiftly take up green practices, products, processes and services;

Amendment 34
Elżbieta Kruk, Izabela-Helena Kloc

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

3. Regrets the lack of ambition of draft budget for 2022 in regards to SME policy; underlines that without additional support it will not be possible to address adequately the severe, long-term consequences of the crisis on SMEs.

Amendment

3. Regrets the lack of ambition of draft budget for 2022 in regards to SME policy; recalls the analysis showing that there are distinct differences among sectors in the level of severity of impact and the extent of rebound; underlines that without additional long-term support it will not be possible to address adequately the severe, long-term consequences of the
Amendment 35
Christian Ehler, Pernille Weiss

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

3. Regrets the lack of ambition of draft budget for 2022 in regards to SME policy; underlines that without additional support it will not be possible to address adequately the severe, long-term consequences of the crisis on SMEs.

Amendment

3. Regrets the lack of ambition of draft budget for 2022 with regards to SME policy; underlines the need to support the Union’s competitiveness and industrial capacity; calls in this regard for adequate allocation of funds to support SMEs and micro enterprises in order to address the severe, long-term consequences of the crisis on SMEs and micro enterprises.

Amendment 36
Christian Ehler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

3 a. Considers the New European Bauhaus movement to be a potent mechanism to support Europe’s transition to a sustainable economy and society; believes Union programmes such as Horizon Europe, Connecting Europe Facility and InvestEU should contribute to that initiative; calls on the Commission to develop a mechanism to integrate this movement into existing Union programmes, leading to synergies and without any additional criteria or administrative burdens;
Amendment 37
Valérie Hayer, Mauri Pekkarinen, Christophe Grudler, Dominique Riquet, Martina Dlabajová, Nicola Danti, Iskra Mihaylova

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls for sufficient staffing for all agencies in line with their tasks and responsibilities; underlines in particular the need to substantially reinforce ACER and the EIT which have been understaffed for many years; welcomes the addition of new establishment plan posts in the EUSPA, but calls for a gradual reduction of external personnel in order to prevent disruptions in security related activities.

Amendment

4. Calls for sufficient staffing for all agencies in line with their tasks and responsibilities; underlines in particular the need to substantially reinforce ACER and the EIT which have been understaffed for many years, as well as ensure sufficient budget for ENISA and BEREC to fulfil their missions; welcomes the addition of new establishment plan posts in the EUSPA, but calls for a gradual reduction of external personnel in order to prevent disruptions in security related activities.

Or. en

Amendment 38
Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls for sufficient staffing for all agencies in line with their tasks and responsibilities; underlines in particular the need to substantially reinforce ACER and the EIT which have been understaffed for many years; welcomes the addition of new establishment plan posts in the EUSPA, but calls for a gradual reduction of external personnel in order to prevent disruptions in security related activities.

Amendment

4. Calls for sufficient staffing for all agencies in line with their tasks and responsibilities; underlines in particular the need to substantially reinforce ACER, EUSPA and the EIT which have been understaffed for many years while more tasks were conferred to them through recent legislation; welcomes the addition of new establishment plan posts in the EUSPA, but calls for a gradual reduction of external personnel in order to prevent disruptions in security related activities.
disruptions in security related activities.

Or. en

**Amendment 39**
Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

**Draft opinion**
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

4 a. Recalls its plea for promoting gender equality and equal rights and opportunities for all in the Union budget; highlights that special efforts are needed to ensure that the Union budget catalyses gender-equal ecological and sustainable digital transitions; stresses the importance of targeting, in particular, the field of science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) and the field of ICT and space sectors; expects the commission to swiftly come up with its methodology to measure the contribution of each relevant programme to gender equality, in line with the inter-institutional Agreement accompanying the 2021-2027 MFF, taking on board the European Court of Auditors' recommendations\(^a\);

\(^a\) Special report N10/2021: "Gender mainstreaming in the EU budget: time to turn words into action."

Or. en

**Amendment 40**
Giorgos Georgiou

**Draft opinion**
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Regrets the lack of ambition of draft budget for 2022 in regards to research for health; underlines that in the context of the current pandemic, without additional support it will not be possible to ensure health as a public good for all; reminds that everyone has the right to a cure and the right to have a quality life; calls therefore for new approaches for human centered health care in order to ensure access to diagnostics, therapeutics and quality public health services for all.

Or. en