



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

2010/2308(INI)

9.2.2012

AMENDMENTS

1 - 85

Draft report
Rita Borsellino
(PE473.725v01-00)

on the European Union's Internal Security Strategy
(2010/2308(INI))

AM\890162EN.doc

PE480.655v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 1
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

– having regard, in particular, to Articles 6, 7, 8, 11, 21, 47-50 and 52 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights,

Amendment

– having regard, in particular, to Articles 6, 7, 8, **10(1)**, 11, **12**, 21, 47-50, 52 and **53** of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights,

Or. fr

Amendment 2
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

– having regard, in particular, to Article 3(2) of the Treaty on European Union, and to Chapters 1, 2, 4 and 5 of Title V (Area of Freedom, Security and Justice) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Amendment

– having regard, in particular, to Article **2 and Article** 3(2) of the Treaty on European Union, and to Chapters 1, 2, 4 and 5 of Title V (Area of Freedom, Security and Justice) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Or. fr

Amendment 3
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the European Union*

Amendment 4
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*– having regard to the Commission
communication to Parliament and the
Council on Strengthening Chemical,
Biological, Radiological and Nuclear
Security in the European Union – an EU
CBRN Action Plan (COM(2009)273),*

Or. en

Amendment 5
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*– having regard to Europol's EU
Terrorism Situation and Trend Report
(‘TE-SAT 2011’),*

Or. en

Amendment 6
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– having regard to Europol's EU Organised Crime Threat Assessment ('OCTA 2011'),

Or. en

Amendment 7

Monica Luisa Macovei, Ágnes Hankiss, Mariya Nedelcheva, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution

Citation 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– having regard to its resolution of 14 September 2011 on the EU's efforts to combat corruption,¹

¹ (P7_TA(2011)0388)

Or. en

Amendment 8

Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution

Citation 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– having regard to the relevant European and national constitutional court jurisprudence dealing with the criteria of proportionality and the need for it to be respected by public authorities in a democratic society,

– having regard to the relevant European and national constitutional court jurisprudence dealing with the criteria of proportionality and the need for it to be respected by public authorities in a democratic society,

Or. fr

Amendment 9
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty **has further consolidated security as a necessary condition both for ensuring the exercise of fundamental rights and for bringing about** an Area of Freedom, Security and Justice (AFSJ), **including in the context of external action, where these three concepts are closely interlinked;**

Amendment

A. whereas **as of** the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty the **Union constitutes** an Area of Freedom, Security and Justice **with respect for fundamental rights and the different legal systems and traditions of the Member States; whereas policies in this area are a shared competence between the Union and the Member States in accordance with the provisions of the Treaty;**

Or. en

Amendment 10
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the Lisbon Treaty **has therefore strongly anchored** EU security policy **to a specific** EU rule of law, **laying** the foundations for the development of a security agenda closely shared by the EU and the Member States and subject to democratic oversight at European and national level;

Amendment

B. whereas the Lisbon Treaty **provides a stable framework for** EU security policy **in an** EU rule of law, **and lays** the foundations for the development of a security agenda closely shared by the EU and the Member States and subject to **change and to** democratic oversight at European and national level; **whereas the reinforcement of this policy must be based on the democratic values, public freedoms and fundamental rights recognised in various international texts;**

Or. fr

Amendment 11
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas all security policy must include a prevention component, that this is particularly essential during a period in which economic and social inequalities are growing and jeopardise the effectiveness of fundamental rights;

Or. fr

Amendment 12
Kinga Göncz

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas the Stockholm Programme emphasised that an EU internal security strategy should be developed in order further to improve security in the Union, thus protecting the lives and safety of EU citizens and effectively combating organised crime, terrorism and other threats;

C. whereas the Stockholm Programme emphasised that an EU internal security strategy should be developed in order further to improve security in the Union, thus protecting the lives and safety of EU citizens and effectively combating organised crime, terrorism and other threats, ***while respecting fundamental rights, the principles of international protection and the rule of law;***

Or. en

Amendment 13
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas ***neither*** the Member States ***nor*** the Commission have, as yet, ***envisaged any role for Parliament in this process, despite*** the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty;

Amendment

D. whereas the Member States ***and*** the Commission have ***not***, as yet, ***drawn the necessary consequences of*** the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, ***and consequently Parliament continues to play a marginal role in this process;***

Or. fr

Amendment 14
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the Commission communication on the ***Internal Security Strategy (ISS)*** for the 2010-2014 ***period*** identified five priority areas in which the EU can provide added value, namely fighting and preventing serious and organised crime, terrorism and cybercrime, strengthening the management of external borders and building resilience to natural and man-made disasters;

Amendment

E. whereas the Commission communication on the ISS for the ***period*** 2010-2014 ***has*** identified five priority areas in which ***it claims*** the EU can provide added value, namely fighting and preventing serious ***crime*** and organised crime, terrorism and cybercrime, strengthening the management of external borders and building resilience to natural and man-made disasters;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas the first Commission annual report on the implementation of the ISS ***acknowledged*** that all five objectives

Amendment

F. whereas the first Commission annual report on the implementation of the ISS ***has claimed*** that all ***of the*** five objectives

identified in 2010 remain valid, **and outlined** the **current** state of play, the progress **made so far** and the way forward;

identified in 2010 remain valid, **laid down** the state of play, the progress and the way forward;

Or. en

Amendment 16 **Judith Sargentini**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 1**

Motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the work undertaken in order to set up an ISS **and the main principles underpinning the European Security Model** as developed in the ISS, especially as regards the reinforced relationship between security, freedom and privacy and cooperation and solidarity between Member States;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the work undertaken in order to set up an ISS as developed in the ISS, especially as regards the reinforced relationship between security, freedom and privacy and cooperation and solidarity between Member States; **Underlines that EU security measures and cooperation have to comply with the Union's fundamental rights obligations and focus on targeted law enforcement and intelligence activities with proven capacity to lower crime rates and prevent terrorist attacks;**

Or. en

Amendment 17 **Marie-Christine Vergiat**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 1**

Motion for a resolution

1. **welcomes** the work undertaken in order to set up an ISS and the main principles underpinning the European Security Model as developed in the ISS, especially **as regards the reinforced** relationship between security, freedom and privacy and

Amendment

1. **notes** the work undertaken in order to set up an ISS and the main principles underpinning the European Security Model as developed in the ISS, especially **the objective of reinforcing the** relationship between security, freedom and privacy and

cooperation and solidarity between
Member States;

cooperation and solidarity between
Member States;

Or. fr

Amendment 18
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that freedom, security and justice are indivisible objectives and areas of action, given that ‘freedom loses much of its meaning if it cannot be enjoyed in a secure environment and with the full backing of a system of justice in which all Union citizens and residents can have confidence’;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 19
Hubert Pirker

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that freedom, security and justice are indivisible objectives and areas of action, given that ‘freedom loses much of its meaning if it cannot be enjoyed in a secure environment and with the full backing of a system of justice in which all Union citizens and residents can have confidence’.

Amendment

2. Emphasises that freedom, security and justice are indivisible objectives and areas of action, given that ‘freedom loses much of its meaning if it cannot be enjoyed in a secure environment and with the full backing of a system of justice in which all Union citizens and residents can have confidence’; ***in this context, emphasises Article 6 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, which guarantees everyone the right to liberty and security.***

Amendment 20
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Believes that the ISS still has a security-based approach to the detriment of civil liberties and fundamental rights; deeply regrets the lapse between the declarations made in relation to the respect of values and principles and their taking into account in the formulation of policies; regrets in this regard the regular abuse of privacy and data protection including the conclusion of international agreements relating to sectoral security measures, for example EU PNR agreements with third countries; also emphasises the discrepancy between declared intentions relating to the participation of the various stakeholders, particularly NGOs and the situation in reality;

Or. fr

Amendment 21
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Stresses that the EU is rooted in the principle of freedom; points out that, in support of that freedom, security must be pursued in accordance with the rule of law and subject to fundamental rights

obligations; states that the balance between security and freedom must be seen from this perspective;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Believes that the implementation of the EU Charter *of Fundamental Rights* must be the core of any fully fledged *ISS*; *recalls that, in order to achieve freedom and justice, security must always be pursued in accordance with the rule of law and fundamental rights;*

Amendment

3. Believes that the implementation of the EU Charter must be the core of any fully fledged *Internal Security Strategy*; *calls on the Commission to provide a full assessment of the compliance of policies implementing the Internal Security with the Union's Fundamental rights obligations, particularly the EU Charter;*

Or. en

Amendment 23
Kinga Göncz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Believes that the implementation of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights must be the core of any fully fledged *ISS*; recalls that, in order to achieve freedom and justice, security must always be pursued in accordance with the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment

3. Believes that the implementation of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights must be the core of any fully fledged *ISS*; recalls that, in order to achieve freedom and justice, security must always be pursued in accordance with the *principles of the Treaties, the rule of law and fundamental rights;*

Or. en

Amendment 24
Sonia Alfano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Takes note of the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the EU policy cycle with a view to implementing the general strategic objectives through actions based on intergovernmental cooperation at operational level; believes, however, that a clear division of tasks between the EU and national levels is necessary, that Parliament needs to be part of the process and that an in-depth assessment of the policy cycle should be undertaken in 2013;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the EU policy cycle ***on organised crime*** with a view to implementing the general strategic objectives through actions based on intergovernmental cooperation at operational level; believes, however, that a clear division of tasks between the EU and national levels is necessary, that Parliament needs to be part of the process ***during the stage when priorities are being established, in the discussion of strategic objectives and in the evaluation of results,*** and that an in-depth assessment of the ***European*** policy cycle should be undertaken in 2013 ***with the aim of eventually revising and democratising the same; reaffirms the necessity of holding an annual meeting between the European institutions and agencies, the Member States and parliaments (both national and European parliaments) to ascertain the complementarity of national plans for countering organised crime with plans which are to be developed on a European level, and to analyse the results achieved and the future prospects from a European strategic and operational viewpoint; believes that such a meeting should be organised by the Commission, with the involvement of the Council and Parliament, and with the support of COSI and the European agencies (Europol, Eurojust), by the end of 2013, in correspondence to the evaluation of the first policy cycle 2011-2013 and the defining of the following cycle on the***

basis of funding which will be made available by the multi-year funding measures;

Or. it

Amendment 25
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. **Takes note of** the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the EU policy cycle with a view to implementing the general strategic objectives through actions based on intergovernmental cooperation **at** operational level; believes, **however, that a clear division of tasks between the EU and national levels is necessary, that** Parliament needs to be part of the process and that **an in-depth assessment of the policy cycle should be undertaken in 2013;**

Amendment

4. **Notes that** the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the EU policy cycle with a view to implementing the general strategic objectives through actions based on intergovernmental cooperation **mainly concerns the operational level to the detriment of fundamental rights;** believes, **an in-depth assessment of the policy cycle should be undertaken with an evaluation report, including the impact of security policies on civil liberties and fundamental rights by the end of June 2013 at the latest,** Parliament needs to be part of the process ;

Or. fr

Amendment 26
Salvatore Iacolino

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Takes note of the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the EU policy cycle with a view to implementing the general strategic

Amendment

4. Takes note of the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the EU policy cycle with a view to implementing the general strategic

objectives through actions based on intergovernmental cooperation at operational level; believes, however, that a clear division of tasks between the EU and national levels is necessary, that Parliament needs to be part of the process and that an in-depth assessment of the policy cycle should be undertaken in 2013;

objectives through actions based on intergovernmental cooperation at operational level; believes, however, that a clear division of tasks between the EU and national levels is necessary, that Parliament needs to be part of the process and that an in-depth assessment of the policy cycle should be undertaken in 2013; ***considers likewise the necessity to provide appropriate financial resources in the 2014-2020 multiannual framework for the implementation of such a Strategy through the respective fund;***

Or. it

Amendment 27
Rita Borsellino

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Takes note of the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the EU policy cycle with a view to implementing the general strategic objectives through actions based on intergovernmental cooperation at operational level; believes, however, that a clear division of tasks between the EU and national levels is necessary, that Parliament needs to be part of the process and that an in-depth assessment of the policy cycle should be undertaken in 2013;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the EU policy cycle with a view to implementing the general strategic objectives through actions based on intergovernmental cooperation at operational level; believes, however, that a clear division of tasks between the EU and national levels is necessary, that Parliament needs to be part of the process and that an in-depth assessment of the policy cycle should be undertaken in 2013; ***moreover, considers that in the light of the nature of the cycle this should be renamed "EU operational cycle";***

Or. en

Amendment 28
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. **Takes the view** that a comprehensive EU evidence- and knowledge-based analysis of **the threats to be addressed** is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS, **and is concerned that such EU-wide analysis is still lacking at present**; highlights the need for additional efforts to improve the coherence of the information and data on which the threat assessments undertaken by EU bodies are based, including additional efforts to ensure transparency as regards the methodology used ;

Amendment

6. **Emphasises again its concern for the continued lack of a comprehensive and overall EU analysis of the security situation; reiterates therefore that the security situation relies mainly on the perception and appraisal of certain threats and** that a comprehensive **independent EU and national** evidence- and knowledge-based analysis of **security breaches carried out with the different stakeholders involved including academic communities working on the issue of security and NGOs working in AFSJ**, is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS **based on the two aspects of preventing and combatting**; highlights the need for additional efforts to improve the coherence **and relevance** of the information and data on which the threat assessments undertaken by EU bodies are based, including additional efforts to ensure transparency as regards the methodology used;

Or. fr

Amendment 29
Hubert Pirker

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Takes the view that a comprehensive EU evidence- and knowledge-based analysis of the threats to be addressed is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS, **and is concerned** that such EU-wide analysis **is still lacking at present**; highlights the need for additional efforts to improve the

Amendment

6. Takes the view that a comprehensive EU evidence- and knowledge-based analysis of the threats to be addressed is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS, and **takes the view** that **Europol should conduct** such EU-wide analysis; highlights the need for additional efforts to improve the coherence

coherence of the information and data on which the threat assessments undertaken by EU bodies are based, including additional efforts to ensure transparency as regards the methodology used⁶;

of the information and data on which the threat assessments undertaken by EU bodies **and agencies** are based, including additional efforts to ensure transparency as regards the methodology used⁶;

Or. de

Amendment 30
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Takes the view that a comprehensive EU evidence- and knowledge-based analysis of the threats to be addressed is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS, and is concerned that such EU-wide analysis is still lacking at present; highlights the need for additional efforts to improve the coherence of the information and data on which the threat assessments undertaken by EU bodies are based, including additional efforts to ensure transparency as regards the methodology used⁶;

Amendment

6. Takes the view that a comprehensive EU evidence- and knowledge-based analysis of the threats to be addressed **based on common standards for threat assessment** is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS, and is concerned that such EU-wide analysis is still lacking at present; highlights the need for additional efforts to improve the coherence of the information and data on which the threat assessments undertaken by EU bodies are based, including additional efforts to ensure transparency as regards the methodology used⁶;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recalls that Parliament is now a fully fledged institutional actor in the field of security policies, and is therefore entitled

Amendment

7. Recalls that Parliament is now a fully fledged institutional actor in the field of security policies, and is therefore entitled

to participate actively in determining the features and priorities of the ISS and of the EU Security Model and in evaluating these instruments, including through regular monitoring exercises on the implementation of the ISS, conducted jointly by the EP, national parliaments and the Council under Articles 70 and 71 TFEU and Article 6(2) of the Decision setting up the COSI;

to participate actively in determining the features and priorities of the ISS and of the EU Security Model and in evaluating these instruments, including through regular monitoring exercises on the implementation of the ISS, conducted jointly by the EP, national parliaments and the Council under Articles 70 and 71 TFEU and Article 6(2) of the Decision setting up the COSI; ***recalls therefore that Parliament's role should not be limited to intervention by definition and even less so to a posteriori checks of the ISS which are necessary but insufficient;***

Or. fr

Amendment 32
Sonia Alfano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Endorses, in this connection and on the basis of the existing cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments, the idea of a ‘parliamentary policy cycle’ – which must be finely tuned to, inter alia, the Commission’s annual reporting in this field – ending with an annual parliamentary report on the current state of play as regards the ISS;

Amendment

8. Endorses, in this connection and on the basis of the existing cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments, the idea of a ‘parliamentary policy cycle’ – which must be finely tuned to, inter alia, the Commission’s annual reporting in this field – ending with an annual parliamentary report on the current state of play as regards the ISS; ***believes that such a parliamentary policy cycle should be integrated into the current European strategic and operational structure relating to internal security, and should intervene during policy guidance and during the monitoring of the implementation and evaluation of results, in full execution of the provisions of the European Treaties which, since the signing of the Lisbon Treaty, have passed the shared legislative responsibility with regard to cooperation between the police***

and the judiciary regarding criminal matters on to the European Parliament and to the Council;

Or. it

Amendment 33
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Believes that the ISS should focus more closely on the indivisible link between the internal and external dimensions of security, and that, in both of these dimensions, EU institutions and agencies active in the JHA field should perform their tasks in full compliance with EU law; calls on the Commission and the Member States also to assess the impact of the ISS on the EU External Security Strategy, including with regard to fundamental rights obligations;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 34
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Believes that the *ISS should focus more closely on the indivisible link* between the internal and external dimensions of security, and that, in both of these dimensions, EU institutions and agencies active in the JHA field should perform their tasks in full compliance with EU law;

9. Believes that the *definition and the implementation of the ISS should take more fully into account the existing interaction* between the internal and external dimensions of security *policy*, and that, in both of these dimensions, EU institutions and agencies active in the JHA

calls on the Commission and the Member States also to assess the impact of the ISS on the EU External Security Strategy, including with regard to fundamental rights obligations;

field should perform their tasks in full compliance with *the values and principles of EU law and the Charter of Fundamental Rights*; calls on the Commission and the Member States also to assess the impact of the ISS on the EU External Security Strategy, including with regard to fundamental rights obligations;

Or. fr

Amendment 35
Salvatore Iacolino

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Believes that the ISS should focus more closely on the indivisible link between the internal and external dimensions of security, and that, in both of these dimensions, EU institutions and agencies active in the JHA field should perform their tasks in full compliance with EU law; calls on the Commission and the Member States also to assess the impact of the ISS on the EU External Security Strategy, including with regard to fundamental rights obligations;

Amendment

9. Believes that the ISS should focus more closely on the indivisible link between the internal and external dimensions of security, and that, in both of these dimensions, EU institutions and agencies active in the JHA field should perform their tasks in full compliance with EU law; calls on the Commission and the Member States also to assess the impact of the ISS on the EU External Security Strategy, including with regard to fundamental rights obligations; *hopes, within such a context, for a strengthening of the agreements on judicial cooperation with third countries*;

Or. it

Amendment 36
Mariya Nedelcheva, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Monica Luisa Macovei, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission to reinforce the synergies between internal and external security by taking concrete measures and by establishing coordination mechanisms between Directorate General Home Affairs, Directorate General Justice and the European External Action Service (EEAS); calls on the Commission to provide expertise to the EU delegations in countries where there are specific issues of interest for the Union in the domain of security and underlines that this should be done by exchanging information and sharing resources with the JHA agencies - Europol, Eurojust, Frontex;

Or. en

Amendment 37

Judith Sargentini, H el ene Flautre

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure that measures and actions implementing the Internal Security Strategy are in compliance with the Union's fundamental rights obligations laid down in Articles 2, 6 and 7 TEU and external policy aims as spelled out in Article 21 TEU, principles developed by the United Nations, international human rights and humanitarian law, and respect for the concept of human security taking the security of the individual rather than that of the state as point of reference;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Emphasises that the whole ISS, in the long-term, should concentrate more on the clear link between external threats and the lack or inefficient use of strategies and measures which could be a key component in preventing security threats such as targeted development assistance, strategies for reducing poverty or restoration programmes for natural or man-made disasters;

Or. fr

Amendment 39
Judith Sargentini, Franziska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. Considers it important in this respect that the compliance of ISS measures with the Union's fundamental rights obligation and the principles of international law is enforced effectively by mechanisms such as unannounced on the spot controls, periodic evaluations by fundamental rights agencies and an obligation to recast the measures in case they are in breach of fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes note of the definition of five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member **State** level; takes the view **that** these objectives are not exhaustive, and **that** the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that, while the fight against terrorism and organised crime **is**, and must remain, **a key priority, it does not seem to be fully justified or appropriate to take** action in fields such as **man-made** disasters and **the enforcement of** intellectual property rights **within** the framework of the **ISS**;

Amendment

10. Takes note of the definition of **the** five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member **States** level; takes the view these objectives are not exhaustive and the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that while the fight against terrorism and organised crime **are** and must remain key **priorities**, action in fields such as **man made** disasters and intellectual property rights **enforcement in** the framework of **Internal Security Strategy are not appropriate and justified and should therefore be rejected; rejects** the **subordination of the Union's border policy to an internal security strategy, given its implications on the Union's foreign, asylum and migration policies;**

Or. en

Amendment 41
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes note of the definition of five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member State level; takes the view that these objectives are not exhaustive, and that the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that, while the fight against terrorism and organised crime **is**, **and** must remain, a key priority, it does not

Amendment

10. Takes note of the definition of five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member State level; takes the view that these objectives are not exhaustive, and that the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that, while the fight against terrorism and organised crime must remain, a key priority, it does not seem to

seem to be **fully** justified or appropriate to take action in **fields such as man-made disasters and** the enforcement of intellectual property rights within the framework of the ISS;

be justified or appropriate to take action in the enforcement of intellectual property rights (**IPR**) **which is part of a specific in-depth debate; recalls the necessity to ensure that non-intentional offences or the simple possession of certain devices are not criminalised in IPR and to guarantee Internet neutrality and free access to cultural goods** within the framework of the ISS;

Or. fr

Amendment 42
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes note of the definition of five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member State level; takes the view that these objectives are not exhaustive, and that the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that, **while** the fight against terrorism and organised crime **is**, and must remain, **a key priority, it does not seem to be fully justified or appropriate to take action in fields such as man-made disasters and the enforcement of intellectual property rights** within the framework of the ISS;

Amendment

10. Takes note of the definition of five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member State level; takes the view that these objectives are not exhaustive, and that the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that **not only** the fight against terrorism and organised crime **but also resilience to man-made and natural disasters including failures in critical infrastructure are and** must remain key **priorities** within the framework of the ISS;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Mariya Nedelcheva, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Monica Luisa Macovei, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes note of the definition of five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member State level; takes the view that these objectives are not exhaustive, and that the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that, **while** the fight against terrorism and organised crime **is**, and must remain, a key priority, **it does not seem to be fully justified or appropriate to take action in fields such as man-made disasters and the enforcement of intellectual property rights** within the framework of the ISS;

Amendment

10. Takes note of the definition of five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member State level; takes the view that these objectives are not exhaustive, and that the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that, **together with** the fight against terrorism and organised crime, **man-made disasters are** and must remain, a key priority within the framework of the ISS;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes note of the definition of five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member State level; takes the view that these objectives are not exhaustive, and that the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that, while the fight against terrorism and organised crime is, and must remain, a key priority, it does not seem to be fully justified or appropriate to take action in fields such as **man-made disasters and** the enforcement of intellectual property rights within the framework of the ISS;

Amendment

10. Takes note of the definition of five key areas for which different concrete actions have been proposed at EU and Member State level; takes the view that these objectives are not exhaustive, and that the order of priorities could have been better structured; observes that, while the fight against terrorism and organised crime is, and must remain, a key priority, it does not seem to be fully justified or appropriate to take action in fields such as the enforcement of intellectual property rights within the framework of the ISS;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Believes that organised crime ***in all its forms constitutes a major threat to freedom, security and justice for EU citizens, and calls on the Commission and the Council to prioritise it further in the light of the recommendations set out*** in its resolution of 25 October 2011 on organised crime in the European Union, ***on the basis of specific data and information on existing cooperation between the EU and the Member States in the fight against the mafias, money laundering and other forms of organised crime;***

Amendment

11. Believes that ***the fight against*** organised crime ***needs to remain a priority, following*** the recommendations ***expressed*** in its resolution of 25 October 2011 on organised crime in the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Sonia Alfano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Believes that organised crime in all its forms constitutes a ***major*** threat to freedom, security and justice for EU citizens, and calls on the Commission and the Council to prioritise it further in the light of the recommendations set out in its resolution of 25 October 2011 on organised crime in the European Union, on the basis of specific data and information on existing cooperation between the EU and the Member States in the fight against the mafias, money laundering and other forms of organised crime;

Amendment

11. Believes that organised crime in all its forms, ***but more particularly that perpetrated by the mafia,*** constitutes a ***growing*** threat to freedom, security and justice for EU citizens, and calls on the Commission and the Council to prioritise it further in the light of the recommendations set out in its resolution of 25 October 2011 on organised crime in the European Union, on the basis of specific data and information on existing cooperation between the EU and the Member States in the fight against the mafias, ***corruption,*** money laundering and other ***serious*** forms

of organised crime;

Or. it

Amendment 47
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Believes that organised crime in all its forms constitutes a major threat to freedom, security and justice for EU citizens, and calls on the Commission and the Council to prioritise it further in the light of the recommendations set out in its resolution of 25 October 2011 on organised crime in the European Union, on the basis of specific data and information on existing cooperation between the EU and the Member States in the fight against the mafias, money laundering and other forms of organised crime;

Amendment

11. Believes that organised crime in all its forms constitutes a major threat to freedom, security and justice for EU citizens, and calls on the Commission and the Council to prioritise it further in the light of the recommendations set out in its resolution of 25 October 2011 on organised crime in the European Union, on the basis of specific data and information on existing cooperation between the EU and the Member States in the fight against the mafias, money laundering, **corruption**, **white-collar crime** and other forms of organised crime;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Monica Luisa Macovei, Ágnes Hankiss, Mariya Nedelcheva, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

11a. Calls on the Commission and the Council to prioritise the fight against corruption in the context of the EU security agenda, as well as allocate the appropriate resources, considering that the Stockholm Programme (4.1) lists corruption among the trans-national

Amendment

threats that continue to challenge the internal security of the Union, which require a clear and comprehensive response;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Emine Bozkurt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Takes note of the pledge of the Commission to propose a legislative instrument on freezing of assets to combat terrorism and related activities under Article 75 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Believes that further priority should be given to the fight against environmental, economic and corporate crime, impact of which is particularly detrimental to the living conditions of EU citizens, *especially in times of crisis*;

12. Believes that further priority should be given to the fight against environmental, economic and corporate crime, impact of which is particularly detrimental to the living conditions of EU citizens; *regrets in this regard the measures taken by certain Member States aimed at weakening penalties for offences in these areas; also emphasises the discrepancy between proposals in these areas and the stigmatisation of certain less important crimes*;

Amendment 51
Salvatore Iacolino

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Believes that further priority should be given to the fight against environmental, economic and corporate crime, impact of which is particularly detrimental to the living conditions of EU citizens, especially in times of crisis;

Amendment

12. Considers that it is of utmost importance to combat, in a most decided manner, typical environment-related crimes, the distortion of the economic system for unlawful ends, and entrepreneurial and corporate conduct whose impact is detrimental to the living conditions of EU citizens;

Or. it

Amendment 52
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

12a. Calls upon the Commission and Member States to focus its Internal Security Strategy on the enhancement of proper police and judicial cooperation between the competent authorities of the Member States and the EU's agencies in a spirit of solidarity facilitated by standard request forms and procedures with deadlines and secure communication lines;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 53
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. In the context of the growing number and seriousness of cyberattacks and the consequent damage caused, welcomes the fact that cybercrime is being taken seriously as a threat to internal security and that the protection of the public and of businesses in cyberspace has been prioritised in the ISS; takes the view, however that the combating of cybercrime will only achieve meaningful success if it is integrated in a general cybersecurity strategy and parallel measures are implemented in relation to prevention, technical standardisation and state cyberwar, and if technological developments such as cloud computing are critically discussed; is concerned that such a strategy does not already exist and that proposals such as the harmonisation of penalties for attacks on information systems¹ are dealt with on a fragmented basis without reference to a general concept; calls on the Commission to draw up a general strategy on cybersecurity as quickly as possible;

¹ COM(2010)0517

Or. de

Amendment 54
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reiterates that **enhancing** EU police and judicial **cooperation** is critical to a proper ISS, **and must involve the** competent authorities **in the** Member States as well as EU institutions and agencies, and calls upon the Commission and **the** Member States to make this a priority for the ISS;

Amendment

13. Reiterates that **the training of** EU police and judicial **authorities** is critical to a proper ISS, **involving** competent authorities **of** Member States as well as EU institutions and agencies, **in particular the Fundamental Rights Agency, to prevent fundamental rights violations**, and calls upon the Commission and Member States to make this a priority for the ISS;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Hubert Pirker

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reiterates that enhancing EU police and judicial cooperation is critical to a proper ISS, and must involve the competent authorities in the Member States as well as EU institutions and agencies, and calls upon the Commission and the Member States to make this a priority for the ISS;

Amendment

13. Reiterates that enhancing EU police and judicial cooperation, **in particular in the context of Europol and Eurojust**, is critical to a proper ISS, and must involve the competent authorities in the Member States as well as EU institutions and agencies, and calls upon the Commission and the Member States to make this a priority for the ISS;

Or. de

Amendment 56
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Emphasises in this context that joint

solutions must be sought in relation to the use of evidence in order to enhance EU police and judicial cooperation, so that cross-border prosecutions are not impeded, thus benefitting criminals;

Or. de

Amendment 57
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. *Is disappointed, in this connection,* that the ISS still lacks a proper ‘justice dimension’, and believes that *the establishment of* a set of priorities in the field of judicial cooperation must be *seen in the context of the close link between all the dimensions of the Area enshrined in Title V TFEU, namely the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice;*

Amendment

14. *Regrets* that the ISS still lacks a proper ‘justice dimension’ and believes that a set of priorities in the field of judicial cooperation must be *set given the inextricable link between police, judicial cooperation and the fundamental rights safeguards to be applied;*

Or. en

Amendment 58
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. *Is disappointed, in this connection,* that the ISS still lacks a proper ‘justice dimension’, and believes that the establishment of a set of priorities in the field of judicial cooperation must be seen in the context of the close link between all the dimensions of the Area enshrined in Title V TFEU, namely the Area of

Amendment

14. *Is disappointed, in this connection,* that the ISS still lacks a proper ‘justice dimension’, and believes that the establishment of a set of priorities in the field of judicial cooperation must be seen in the context of the close link between all the dimensions of the Area enshrined in Title V TFEU, namely the Area of

Freedom, Security and Justice;

Freedom, Security and Justice; ***emphasises the fundamental importance of mutual trust in encouraging judicial cooperation and emphasises that mutual trust can only be preserved through the establishment and maintenance of equal standards of civil liberties and procedural safeguards;***

Or. fr

Amendment 59
Sonia Alfano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Is disappointed, in this connection, that the ISS ***still lacks a proper*** ‘justice dimension’, ***and*** believes that the establishment of a set of priorities in the field of judicial cooperation must be seen in the context of the close link between all the dimensions of the Area enshrined in Title V TFEU, namely the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice;

Amendment

14. Is disappointed, in this connection, that the ISS ***is lacking the*** ‘justice dimension’ ***which is fundamental both in terms of respect for rights as well as in terms of the overall effectiveness of the interventions:*** believes that the establishment of a set of priorities in the field of judicial cooperation must be seen in the context of the close link between all the dimensions of the Area enshrined in Title V TFEU, namely the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice; ***believes that careful and in-depth reflection should be developed on a European level regarding the fact that all national legal systems, while having their differences, should guarantee citizens the fullest impartiality, independence and autonomy of the judiciary;***

Or. it

Amendment 60
Alexander Alvaro

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Believes that cooperation with other international institutions responsible for security strategy should be strengthened, in particular with NATO and the OSCE;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Judith Sargentini, H el ene Flautre

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Underlines that the fight against terrorism is a priority for the ISS, **the** objectives and tools **of which** must be evaluated **properly** as **indicated** in **Parliament's** resolution of 14 December on ‘the EU Counter-Terrorism Policy: main achievements and future challenges’; outlines that prevention and protection policies must be further **prioritised**, along with prosecution and response; **notes that**, in this context, **a greater focus** on targeted law enforcement and **intelligence-driven** activities **is needed**;

Amendment

15. Underlines that the fight against terrorism is a priority for the ISS, **whose** objectives and tools must be **properly** evaluated as **expressed** in **its** resolution of 14 December on the EU Counter-Terrorism Policy: main achievements and future challenges; outlines that prevention and protection policies must be further **prioritized**, along with prosecution and response; in this context, **better focusing is needed** on targeted law enforcement and **intelligence** activities **with proven capacity to prevent terrorist attacks**; **recalls that for the EU to be a credible actor in promoting fundamental rights both internally and externally, the fight against terrorism should be conducted with full respect for fundamental rights and subject to proper oversight and accountability both at EU and national level, including thorough human right compliant investigations as regards alleged collaboration with CIA extraordinary rendition and secret detention**;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Kinga Göncz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Underlines that the fight against terrorism is a priority for the ISS, the objectives and tools of which must be evaluated properly as indicated in Parliament's resolution of 14 December on 'the EU Counter-Terrorism Policy: main achievements and future challenges'; outlines that prevention and protection policies must be further prioritised, along with prosecution and response; notes that, in this context, a greater focus on targeted law enforcement and intelligence-driven activities is needed;

Amendment

15. Underlines that the fight against terrorism is a priority for the ISS, the objectives and tools of which must be evaluated properly as indicated in Parliament's resolution of 14 December on 'the EU Counter-Terrorism Policy: main achievements and future challenges'; outlines that prevention and protection policies must be further prioritised, along with prosecution and response; notes that, in this context, a greater focus on targeted law enforcement and intelligence-driven activities is needed; ***stresses however that law enforcement and intelligence activities must be carried out in accordance with the principle of necessity, proportionality and respecting fundamental rights, furthermore, the democratic oversight of any intelligence agency shall be ensured;***

Or. en

Amendment 63
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

15a. Is surprised that in its ISS report for 2011, the Commission continues to focus on Islamic terrorism as the main threat when a report by Europol refers to just three attacks linked to this movement out of a total of 249 (mainly by separatist movements) in the European Union in

Amendment

2010;

Or. fr

Amendment 64
Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15a. Asks the Commission and Member States to consider adopting specific legislation on victims of terrorism to recognise their public character and include more detailed provisions that ensure adequate protection and support, recognition among other rights, long-term emergency assistance, comprehensive reparation, protection of private and family life, protection of dignity and security, the right to knowledge of truth and the right to memory;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Regards prevention of violent radicalisation as an important area of action for the ISS, but calls on the Commission and the Member States to re-assess the nature and level of this threat, including on the basis of recent events demonstrating the rise of violent political radicalism specifically targeting the values of equality and non-discrimination on

16. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to re-assess the threat arising from the resurgence of violent political radicalism and asks the Commission and the Member States to integrate this, including its prevention, in their areas of action;

which the EU is based;

Or. fr

Amendment 66
Sari Essayah

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Reminds the Commission that insufficient measures to prevent marginalisation create a serious threat to internal security where the risks of individuals undertaking criminal activity or other actions that reduce the security of society or individuals increase and accumulate – for example, youth unemployment and school drop-outs increase the risk of criminal activity – and therefore calls for an assessment of the impact of marginalisation on internal security in the EU as a whole;

Or. fi

Amendment 67
Emine Bozkurt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Urges the Commission to thoroughly investigate and map violent extreme rights tendencies in the EU and to take concrete action in combating violent outbursts of this kind;

Or. en

Amendment 68
Luis de Grandes Pascual

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Regards terrorism as a constantly changing phenomenon, which should be combated with a counter-terrorism policy that responds to such development; welcomes, therefore, the measures adopted in the field of aviation security, relating to both baggage checks and passenger-inspection systems;

Or. es

Amendment 69
Luis de Grandes Pascual

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16b. Recalls the importance of the European Parliament as regards preventing and combating terrorism and related activities, essentially in relation to the funding of terrorism, within the framework laid down in Article 75 of the TFEU; calls on the Commission to consider, as soon as possible, the definition of administrative measures as regards the freezing of assets to prevent and combat terrorism and related activities;

Or. es

Amendment 70
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. **Welcomes** the focus on border security in the context of the ISS, **but believes** that border management and human mobility are not merely security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy involving not only the security dimension, but also – more importantly – immigration, asylum, development and employment policies at EU level;

Amendment

17. **Is concerned about** the focus on border security in the context of the ISS **and proposals concerning the increased use of new technologies in border surveillance particularly with regard to the Smart Borders project; calls on the Commission in this regard to specify its intentions concerning its proposed increase to Frontex contributions for the use of data collected during operations; emphasises** that border management and human mobility are not merely security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy involving not only the security dimension, but also – more importantly – immigration, asylum, development and employment policies **respecting liberties and fundamental rights, particularly free movement and human dignity** at EU level;

Or. fr

Amendment 71
Hubert Pirker

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the focus on border security in the context of the ISS, **but believes** that border management and human mobility **are not merely security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy**

Amendment

17. Welcomes the focus on border security in the context of the ISS, **and believes** that border management and human mobility and migration in general always constitute a **security issue and can only be**

involving not only the security dimension, but also – more importantly – immigration, asylum, development and employment policies at EU level;

considered in isolation if immigration, asylum, development and employment policies are interpreted in such a way that migration is controlled in an orderly and targeted way, based on need;

Or. de

Amendment 72
Judith Sargentini, Franziska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. *Welcomes* the *focus on* border security *in* the *context* of the *ISS, but* believes that border management and human mobility are not *merely* security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy involving not *only* the security dimension, but also – *more importantly* – immigration, asylum, development and employment policies at EU level;

Amendment

17. *Rejects* the *subordination of the Union's* border *policy to an internal* security *strategy and is deeply concerned about* the *underlying perception* of *people on* the *move as a potential security threat;* believes that border management and human mobility are not *just* security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy involving not *just* the security dimension, but also *and mainly* immigration, asylum, development and employment policies at EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Kinga Göncz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the focus on border security in the context of the ISS, but believes that border management and human mobility are not merely security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy

Amendment

17. Welcomes the focus on border security in the context of the ISS, but believes that border management and human mobility are not merely security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy

involving not only the security dimension, but also – more importantly – immigration, asylum, development and employment policies at EU level;

involving not only the security dimension, but also – more importantly – immigration, asylum, development and employment policies at EU level; ***stresses furthermore that security must be pursued respecting the achievements of the Union, namely the right to free movement through internal borders;***

Or. en

Amendment 74
Sonia Alfano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the focus on border security in the context of the ISS, but believes that border management and human mobility are not merely security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy involving not only the security dimension, but also – more importantly – immigration, asylum, development and employment policies at EU level;

Amendment

17. Welcomes the focus on border security in the context of the ISS, but believes that border management and human mobility are not merely security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy involving not only the security dimension, but also – more importantly – immigration, asylum, development and employment policies at EU level ***as well as policies supporting economic, social and democratic development and promoting human rights in third countries;***

Or. it

Amendment 75
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the focus on border security in the context of the ISS, but believes that

Amendment

17. Welcomes the focus on border security in the context of the ISS, but believes that

border management and human mobility are not merely security issues, but key features of a wider political strategy involving ***not only*** the security dimension, ***but also – more importantly*** – immigration, asylum, development ***and employment*** policies at EU level;

border management and human mobility are not merely security issues, but ***encompass*** key features of a wider political strategy involving the security dimension, ***as well as*** immigration, asylum ***and*** development policies at EU level;

Or. de

Amendment 76
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Notes the Commission Communication of 25 November 2011 on the first annual report on the implementation of the EU ISS¹; emphasises that this Communication does little to criticise the action taken in this first year and reiterates the same priorities as in its initial Communication of November 2010 on the EU ISS in action: five steps towards a more secure Europe².

¹ COM(2011) 790

² COM(2010) 673

Or. fr

Amendment 77
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Emphasises that close cooperation with the neighbouring states that share borders with the EU is essential for enhanced security at external borders; urgently calls for a joint approach at EU level to the enhancing of security at those border areas particularly affected by illegal immigration and to the intensifying of cooperation with the relevant neighbouring states; in this context particularly emphasises the successes already achieved through the deployment of Frontex in Greece;

Or. de

Amendment 78
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Emphasizes the role of smart borders and of Schengen governance which will contribute to strengthening the border security; reiterates the importance of ensuring the coordination of Member States' action regarding the management of the external borders as well as the enhanced cooperation with third countries' border security authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 79
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17b. Considers that securing the external EU border should be complemented by programmes and the necessary funding for strengthening the third country borders neighbouring the EU, which should be included in the current framework of the negotiations with the Western Balkans countries and the European Neighbourhood Policy (EUROMED, Eastern Partnership);

Or. en

Amendment 80
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17c. Underlines that the gradual introduction of the Integrated Border Management will ensure a uniform and high level control and surveillance of the external borders, facilitate the mobility within the area of freedom of movement, and therefore contribute to safeguarding fundamental rights and deterring irregular migration, trafficking in human beings and organised crime;

Or. en

Amendment 81
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Takes the view, therefore, that the ISS should further reflect the vision of the Stockholm Programme, and considers it expedient to undertake a parliamentary 'mid-term' review of the Stockholm Programme before the end of 2013 in order to assess its strategic, legislative and financial priorities; also takes the view that a complementary assessment is needed with regard to the relevant European agencies currently being 'Lisbonised' (Europol, Eurojust and the European Judicial Network), along with other agencies and bodies;

Amendment

18. Takes the view, therefore, that the ISS should further reflect the vision of the Stockholm Programme, and considers it expedient to undertake a parliamentary 'mid-term' review of the Stockholm Programme before the end of 2013 in order to assess its strategic, legislative and financial priorities; also takes the view that a complementary assessment is needed with regard to the relevant European agencies currently being 'Lisbonised' (Europol, Eurojust and the European Judicial Network), along with other agencies and bodies; ***recalls that the actions and operations carried out by the agencies must conform to the mandate defined by the decisions concerning their implementation and operation and respect the democratic values and principles and liberties and fundamental rights of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights;***

Or. fr

Amendment 82
Judith Sargentini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Recalls that data processing and collection in the ***context*** of the ISS must always comply with EU data protection principles, particularly those of necessity, proportionality and legality, and with the relevant EU legislation in this field;

Amendment

19. Recalls that data processing and collection in the ***framework*** of the ISS must always comply with EU data protection principles, particularly those of necessity, proportionality and legality, and with the relevant EU legislation in this field; ***expresses its concern about the increasingly widespread practice of profiling, based on the use of data-mining techniques and the generalised collection of innocent citizens' data, for preventive***

and policing purposes; calls for a proper definition and safeguards concerning profiling in the directive on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by competent authorities for the purposes of prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of criminal offences or the execution of criminal penalties, and the free movement of such data;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Rita Borsellino

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Recalls that data processing and collection in the *context* of the ISS must always comply with EU data protection principles, particularly those of necessity, proportionality and legality, and with the relevant EU legislation in this field;

Amendment

19. Recalls that data processing and collection in the *framework* of the ISS must always comply with EU data protection principles, particularly those of necessity, proportionality and legality, and with the relevant EU legislation in this field; *welcomes the data protection proposals put forward by the Commission on 25 January 2012 but is of the opinion that the proposal for a directive in the field of judicial cooperation in criminal matters and law enforcement must be more ambitious and provide for stronger safeguards;*

Or. en

Amendment 84
Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Recalls that data processing and collection in the context of the ISS must always comply with EU data protection principles, particularly those of necessity, proportionality and legality, and with the **relevant** EU legislation in this field;

Amendment

19. Recalls that **the** processing and collection **of personal data** in the context of the ISS must always comply with EU data protection principles, particularly those of necessity, proportionality and legality, and with the EU legislation and the relevant **Conventions of the Council of Europe** in this field;

Or. fr

Amendment 85
Sonia Alfano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Reaffirms, in this connection, the need for proper democratic oversight of AFSJ agencies in order to avoid the risk of blurring the divide ‘between policy advice and actual policy-making’ in relation to AFSJ agencies;

Amendment

20. Reaffirms, in this connection, the need for proper democratic oversight **and evaluation of the work** of AFSJ agencies in order to avoid the risk of blurring the divide ‘between policy advice and actual policy-making’ in relation to AFSJ agencies;

Or. it